

MAXIMAL SPACELIKE SUBMANIFOLDS OF A PSEUDORIEMANNIAN SPACE OF CONSTANT CURVATURE

Toru Ishihara

1. Introduction. Generalizing Lawson's result [4], Chern-DoCarmo-Kobayashi proved the following in [3]. Let M be an n -dimensional minimal submanifold of a unit sphere S^{n+p} . Let S be the square of the length of the second fundamental form of M . If M is compact, it follows from Simon's result that if $S \leq n/(2-1/p)$ everywhere on M then either $S = 0$ or $S = n/(2-1/p)$. The Veronese surface in S^4 and $M_{m,n-m}$ in S^{n+1} are the only compact minimal submanifolds of dimension n in S^{n+p} satisfying $S = n/(2-1/p)$, where $M_{m,n-m}$ is the manifold $S^m(\sqrt{m/n}) \times S^{n-m}(\sqrt{(n-m)/n})$ which is naturally imbedded in S^{n+1} .

On the other hand, in this paper we investigate maximal spacelike submanifolds of a pseudo-Riemannian space of constant curvature. Let $N_p^{n+p}(c)$ be an $(n+p)$ -dimensional pseudo-Riemannian manifold of constant curvature c whose index is p . Let M be an n -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed in $N_p^{n+p}(c)$. Note that the codimension is equal to the index.

The pseudohyperbolic space of radius $r (> 0)$ is the hyperquadric

$$H_p^{n+p}(r) = \{x \in \mathbf{R}_{p+1}^{n+p+1}; \langle x, x \rangle = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 - x_{n+1}^2 - \cdots - x_{n+p+1}^2 = -r^2\}.$$

This is a space of constant curvature $-1/r^2$. Let $H^n(r)$ be the component of $H_0^n(r)$ through $(0, \dots, 0, r)$. Here, we describe two examples of maximal spacelike immersions. We consider the mapping defined by

$$u_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}yz, \quad u_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}zx, \quad u_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}xy, \quad u_4 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}(x^2 - y^2),$$

$$u_5 = \frac{1}{6}(x^2 + y^2 + 2z^2),$$

where (x, y, z) is the natural coordinate system in \mathbf{R}_1^3 and $(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5)$ is the natural coordinate system in \mathbf{R}_3^5 . This defines an isometric maximal immersion of $H^2(\sqrt{3})$ into $H_2^4(1)$. We may call this the *hyperbolic Veronese surface*. Let n_1, \dots, n_{p+1} be positive integers and $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_{p+1}$. Let x_i be a point of $H^{n_i}(\sqrt{n_i/n})$. Then $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{p+1})$ is a vector in \mathbf{R}_{p+1}^{n+p+1} with $\langle x, x \rangle = -1$. This defines also an isometric immersion of

$$H_{n_1, \dots, n_{p+1}} = H^{n_1}(\sqrt{n_1/n}) \times \cdots \times H^{n_{p+1}}(\sqrt{n_{p+1}/n})$$

into $H_p^{n+p}(1)$. Now, it has been proved by Cheng and Yau [2] that a complete maximal spacelike hypersurface in the Minkowski $(n+1)$ -space is totally geodesic (see also [1]). First, we generalize this result slightly.

Received April 17, 1987. Revision received June 27, 1988.
Michigan Math. J. 35 (1988).

THEOREM 1.1. *Let M be an n -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed in $N_p^{n+p}(c)$, $c \geq 0$. If M is maximal, then the immersion is totally geodesic and M is a Riemannian space of constant curvature c .*

In [6], Nishikawa gives another extension of the Cheng-Yau result. Next, we consider a manifold immersed in a space of negative constant curvature. Denote by S the square of the length of the second fundamental form of an immersion.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let M be an n -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed in a pseudo-Riemannian space $N_p^{n+p}(-c)$ of constant curvature $-c$ ($c > 0$). Assume that M is maximal. Then we have $0 \leq S \leq npc$.*

The hyperbolic Veronese surface is a maximal submanifold of $H_2^4(1)$ with $S = 4/3$. The submanifolds $H_{n_1, \dots, n_{p+1}}$ of $H_p^{n+p}(1)$ satisfy $S = np$. Conversely, we can show the following.

THEOREM 1.3. *The submanifolds $H_{n_1, \dots, n_{p+1}}$ in $H_p^{n+p}(1)$ are the only complete connected maximal spacelike submanifolds of dimension n in $H_p^{n+p}(1)$ satisfying $S = np$.*

2. Local formula. Let N be an $(n+p)$ -dimensional pseudo-Riemannian manifold of constant curvature c , whose index is p . Let M be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed in N . As the pseudo-Riemannian metric of N induces the Riemannian metric of M , the immersion is called *spacelike*. We choose a local field of pseudo-Riemannian orthonormal frames e_1, \dots, e_{n+p} in N such that, at each point of M , e_1, \dots, e_n spans the tangent space of M and forms an orthonormal frame there. We make use of the following convention on the ranges of indices:

$$1 \leq A, B, C, D \leq n+p; \quad 1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n; \quad n+1 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma \leq n+p.$$

We shall agree that repeated indices are summed over the respective ranges. Let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n+p}$ be its dual frame field so that the pseudo-Riemannian metric of N is given by $ds_N^2 = \sum \omega_i^2 - \sum \omega_\alpha^2 = \sum \epsilon_A \omega_A^2$, where $\epsilon_i = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\epsilon_\alpha = -1$ for $n+1 \leq \alpha \leq n+p$. Then the structure equations of N are given by

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} d\omega_A = \sum \epsilon_B \omega_{AB} \wedge \omega_B, & \omega_{AB} + \omega_{BA} = 0, \\ d\omega_{AB} = \sum \epsilon_C \omega_{AC} \wedge \omega_{CB} - \frac{1}{2} \sum \epsilon_C \epsilon_D K_{ABCD} \omega_C \wedge \omega_D, \\ K_{ABCD} = c(\delta_{AC} \delta_{BD} - \delta_{AD} \delta_{BC}). \end{cases}$$

We restrict these forms to M . Then

$$(2.2) \quad \omega_\alpha = 0 \quad \text{for } n+1 \leq \alpha \leq n+p,$$

and the Riemannian metric of M is written as $ds_M^2 = \sum \omega_i^2$. We may put

$$(2.3) \quad \omega_{i\alpha} = \sum h_{\alpha ij} \omega_j.$$

Then $h_{\alpha ij}$ are the components of the second fundamental form of M . From (2.1), we obtain the structure equations of M

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{cases} d\omega_i = \sum \omega_{ij} \wedge \omega_j, \\ d\omega_{ij} = \sum \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kj} - \frac{1}{2} \sum R_{ijkl} \omega_k \wedge \omega_l, \end{cases}$$

and the Gauss formula

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{cases} R_{ijkl} = c(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk}) - \sum (h_{\alpha ik}h_{\alpha jl} - h_{\alpha il}h_{\alpha jk}), \\ R_{\alpha\beta ij} = \sum (h_{\alpha ki}h_{\beta kj} - h_{\alpha kj}h_{\beta ki}). \end{cases}$$

We also have the structure equations of the normal bundle of M :

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{cases} d\omega_\alpha = -\sum \omega_{\alpha\beta} \wedge \omega_\beta, \\ d\omega_{\alpha\beta} = -\sum \omega_{\alpha\gamma} \wedge \omega_{\gamma\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \sum R_{\alpha\beta ij} \omega_i \wedge \omega_j. \end{cases}$$

We call $H = \sum (1/n)(\sum_i h_{\alpha ii})e_\alpha$ the *mean curvature normal*. An immersion is said to be *maximal* if its mean curvature normal vanishes identically. From the Gauss formula (2.6) we obtain the following equalities about the Ricci curvature $R_{ij} = \sum R_{ikjk}$:

$$(2.7) \quad R_{ij} = c(n-1)\delta_{ij} - \sum h_{\alpha ij} \sum_k h_{\alpha kk} + \sum_{\alpha,k} h_{\alpha ki}h_{\alpha kj}.$$

From this, the following is immediate.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let M be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold immersed in $N_p^{n+p}(c)$ isometrically. If M is maximal, the Ricci curvature of M satisfies $((n-1)c\delta_{ij}) \leq (R_{ij})$, and the equality holds everywhere if and only if M is totally geodesic in N .*

3. The Simons–Calabi type equation. Let $h_{\alpha ijk}$ denote the covariant derivative of $h_{\alpha ij}$ so that

$$(3.1) \quad \sum h_{\alpha ijk} \omega_k = dh_{\alpha ij} + \sum h_{\alpha ik} \omega_{kj} + \sum h_{\alpha kj} \omega_{ki} - \sum h_{\beta ij} \omega_{\beta\alpha}.$$

Then we have $h_{\alpha ijk} = h_{\alpha ikj}$. Next, take the exterior derivative of (3.1) and define the second covariant derivative of $h_{\alpha ij}$ by

$$\sum h_{\alpha ijk} \omega_k = dh_{\alpha ijk} + \sum h_{\alpha ijl} \omega_{lk} + \sum h_{\alpha ilk} \omega_{lj} + \sum h_{\alpha ljk} \omega_{li} - \sum h_{\alpha ijk} \omega_{\beta\alpha}.$$

Then we obtain the Ricci formula

$$(3.2) \quad h_{\alpha i j k l} - h_{\alpha i l j k} = \sum h_{\alpha im} R_{mjkl} + \sum h_{\alpha mj} R_{mikl} + \sum h_{\beta ij} R_{\alpha\beta kl}.$$

The Laplacian $\Delta h_{\alpha ij}$ of the second fundamental form $h_{\alpha ij}$ is defined by $\Delta h_{\alpha ij} = \sum h_{\alpha i j k k}$. Using the same method as in [3] (see also [2] and [6]), we have

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta h_{\alpha ij} = nch_{\alpha ij} - c\delta_{ij} \sum h_{\alpha kk} - \sum h_{\alpha mi} h_{\beta mj} h_{\beta kk} - 2 \sum h_{\alpha km} h_{\beta ki} h_{\beta mj} \\ + \sum h_{\alpha km} h_{\beta km} h_{\beta ij} + \sum h_{\alpha mi} h_{\beta mk} h_{\beta kj} + \sum h_{\alpha mj} h_{\beta ki} h_{\beta mk}. \end{aligned}$$

If we assume that M is maximal in N , and since we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta (\sum (h_{\alpha ij})^2) = \sum (h_{\alpha ijk})^2 + \sum h_{\alpha ij} \Delta h_{\alpha ij},$$

we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \Delta (\sum h_{\alpha ij})^2 = \sum (h_{\alpha ijk})^2 + nc \sum (h_{\alpha ij})^2 + \sum h_{\alpha ij} h_{\alpha lk} h_{\beta ij} h_{\beta kl} \\ + \sum (h_{\alpha ik} h_{\beta kj} - h_{\beta ik} h_{\alpha kj})(h_{\alpha il} h_{\beta lj} - h_{\beta il} h_{\alpha lj}). \end{aligned}$$

We will follow the argument in [3]. The square of the length of the second fundamental form h of M in N is given by $S = -\langle h, h \rangle = \sum_{i,j,\alpha} (h_{\alpha ij})^2$. For each α , let H_α be the symmetric matrix $(h_{\alpha ij})$ and put $S_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{i,j} h_{\alpha ij} h_{\beta ij}$. Then, the $(p \times p)$ -matrix $(S_{\alpha\beta})$ is symmetric and can be assumed to be diagonal for a suitable choice of e_{n+1}, \dots, e_{n+p} . Set $S_\alpha = S_{\alpha\alpha}$ and we have $S = \sum_\alpha S_\alpha$. In general, for a matrix $A = (a_{ij})$, we put $N(A) = \text{trace } A \cdot {}^t A$. Now, (3.4) can be rewritten as follows:

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta S = \sum_{\alpha, i, j, k} (h_{\alpha ijk})^2 + ncS + \sum_{\alpha, \beta} N(H_\alpha H_\beta - H_\beta H_\alpha) + \sum_\alpha S_\alpha^2.$$

It is clear that

$$(3.6) \quad 0 \leq N(H_\alpha H_\beta - H_\beta H_\alpha),$$

and the equality holds if and only if $H_\alpha H_\beta = H_\beta H_\alpha$. Put

$$p\sigma_1 = \sum S_\alpha = S, \quad \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \sigma_2 = \sum_{\alpha < \beta} S_\alpha S_\beta.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_\alpha S_\alpha^2 &= \left(\sum_\alpha S_\alpha \right)^2 - 2 \sum_{\alpha < \beta} S_\alpha S_\beta \\ &= p\sigma_1^2 + p(p-1)(\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_2). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we know that

$$p^2(p-1)(\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_2) = \sum_{\alpha < \beta} (S_\alpha - S_\beta)^2.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$(3.7) \quad \sum S_\alpha^2 = \frac{1}{p} S^2 + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\alpha < \beta} (S_\alpha - S_\beta)^2.$$

Thus formula (3.5) is reduced to

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \Delta S &= \sum (h_{\alpha ijk})^2 + ncS + \frac{1}{p} S^2 + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\alpha < \beta} (S_\alpha - S_\beta)^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha, \beta} N(H_\alpha H_\beta - H_\beta H_\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

4. Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. We need the following theorem of [5] and [7] to prove our main results.

THEOREM 4.1 (Omori–Yau). *Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold with Ricci curvature bounded from below. Let f be a C^2 -function which is bounded from below on M . Then for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a point x in M such that, at x ,*

$$\|\text{grad } f\| < \epsilon, \quad \Delta f > -\epsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad f(x) < \inf f + \epsilon.$$

LEMMA 4.2. *Let M be an n -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed in $N_p^{n+p}(c)$. If M is maximal in $N_p^{n+p}(c)$, then $S = 0$ or $S \leq -cnp$.*

Proof. Using Proposition 2.1, we see that M satisfies the assumption of Theorem 4.1. We use the maximum principle argument as in [8]. Put $f = 1/\sqrt{S+a}$ for any positive constant a . Then f is a bounded C^∞ -function on M . By calculation, we have

$$(4.1) \quad \Delta f = -\frac{f^3}{2} \Delta S + 3f^5 \|\text{grad } S\|^2.$$

Let ϵ be any positive number. Then Theorem 4.1 implies there is a point x on M such that, at x ,

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{f^6}{4} \|\text{grad } S\|^2 < \epsilon, \quad \Delta f > -\epsilon, \quad f(x) < \inf f + \epsilon.$$

From (4.1) and (4.2) we get

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{f^4}{2} \Delta S < \epsilon(\inf f + \epsilon) + 12\epsilon.$$

On the other hand, formula (3.8) states the following:

$$ncS + \frac{1}{p} S^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \Delta S.$$

Substituting this into (4.3), we obtain

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{S}{(S+a)^2} \left(-nc - \frac{1}{p} S \right) \geq -\epsilon(\inf f + \epsilon) - 12\epsilon.$$

When $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, $f(x)$ goes to the infimum and $S(x)$ goes to the supremum. Thus, we conclude from (4.4) that the function S is bounded on M , and that if $S \neq 0$ then $S \leq -npc$. \square

Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. First, assume that $c \geq 0$. Then Lemma 4.2 implies that $S = 0$; that is, M is totally geodesic in $N_p^{n+p}(c)$. Next, if $c < 0$ then (from Lemma 4.2) we obtain $S \leq -npc$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. \square

5. Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let M be an n -dimensional complete maximal space-like submanifold of $H_p^{n+p}(1)$ with $S = np$. Then from (3.8) it is clear

$$(5.1) \quad h_{\alpha ijk} = 0, \quad S_\alpha = S_\beta, \quad H_\alpha H_\beta = H_\beta H_\alpha \quad \text{for any } i, j, k, \alpha, \beta.$$

Thus we have

$$(5.2) \quad S_\alpha = n.$$

The equalities $H_\alpha H_\beta = H_\beta H_\alpha$ imply that all of H_α are simultaneously diagonalizable, and that the normal connection in the normal bundle of M is flat. Hence, choosing a suitable base e_1, \dots, e_n , we have $h_{\alpha ij} = 0$ for $i \neq j$, and put

$$(5.3) \quad h_{\alpha i} = h_{\alpha ii}.$$

As M is maximal,

$$(5.4) \quad \sum_i h_{\alpha i} = 0.$$

LEMMA 5.1. *All $h_{\alpha i}$ are constant on M . By changing the order of e_1, \dots, e_n we can put*

$$h'_{\alpha 1} = h_{\alpha 1} = \dots = h_{\alpha m_1}, \quad h'_{\alpha 2} = h_{\alpha m_1+1} = \dots = h_{\alpha m_2}, \quad \dots,$$

$$h'_{\alpha s+1} = h_{\alpha m_s+1} = \dots = h_{\alpha m_{s+1}} \quad \text{for } n+1 \leq \alpha \leq n+p,$$

where, if $a \neq b$, $h'_{\alpha a} \neq h'_{\alpha b}$ for some α . Set

$$\mathbf{h}_\alpha = (h'_{\alpha 1}, \dots, h'_{\alpha s+1}) \quad \text{for } n+1 \leq \alpha \leq n+p,$$

$$\mathbf{h}_a = (h'_{n+1a}, \dots, h'_{n+pa}) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq a \leq s+1.$$

Then $\mathbf{h}_a \neq \mathbf{h}_b$ for $a \neq b$ and

$$(5.5) \quad \langle \mathbf{h}_a, \mathbf{h}_b \rangle = \sum_{\alpha} h'_{\alpha a} h'_{\alpha b} = -1.$$

Moreover, if we put $m_0 = 0$ we have

$$(5.6) \quad \omega_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{for } m_{a-1}+1 \leq i \leq m_a, \quad m_{b-1}+1 \leq j \leq m_b \quad (a \neq b).$$

Proof. We modify slightly the argument in the proof of Lemma 3 in [3]. As $h_{\alpha ijk} = 0$, setting $i = j$ in (3.1) we get

$$0 = dh_{\alpha i} + \sum h_{\alpha ik} \omega_{ki} + \sum h_{\alpha ki} \omega_{ki} = dh_{\alpha i},$$

where we use $\omega_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ because the normal connection is flat. Hence $h_{\alpha i}$ are constant. If $h_{\alpha i} \neq h_{\alpha j}$, since (3.1) implies

$$0 = \sum h_{\alpha ik} \omega_{kj} + \sum h_{\alpha kj} \omega_{ki} = (h_{\alpha i} - h_{\alpha j}) \omega_{ij},$$

we get $\omega_{ij} = 0$, and hence (5.6). If $h_{\alpha i} \neq h_{\alpha j}$ for some i, j, α , we also have

$$0 = d\omega_{ij} = -\sum \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kj} + \sum \omega_{i\beta} \wedge \omega_{\beta j} - \omega_i \wedge \omega_j.$$

As $\sum \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kj} = 0$, we obtain

$$\left(\sum_{\beta} h_{\beta i} h_{\beta j} + 1 \right) \omega_i \wedge \omega_j = 0.$$

Hence we obtain $\sum_{\beta} h_{\beta i} h_{\beta j} + 1 = 0$ if $h_{\alpha i} \neq h_{\alpha j}$ for some α . This shows (5.5).

Put $n_a = m_a - m_{a-1}$ and

$$H_{\alpha a} = \sqrt{n_a/n} h'_{\alpha a}, \quad H_{n+p+1a} = \sqrt{n_a/n} \quad \text{for } n+1 \leq \alpha \leq n+p, \quad 1 \leq a \leq s+1.$$

Now, we put

$$\mathbf{H}_\alpha = (H_{\alpha 1}, \dots, H_{\alpha s+1}), \quad \mathbf{H}_a = {}^t(H_{n+1a}, \dots, H_{n+p+1a}).$$

Since (5.4) is rewritten as $\sum n_a h'_{\alpha a} = 0$, we have

$$(5.7) \quad \langle \mathbf{H}_{n+p+1}, \mathbf{H}_\alpha \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } n+1 \leq \alpha \leq n+p.$$

From (5.5), it follows that

$$(5.8) \quad \langle \mathbf{H}_a, \mathbf{H}_b \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq a < b \leq s+1.$$

As (5.2) implies $\sum_a n_a h'^2_{\alpha a} = n$, we obtain

$$(5.9) \quad \|\mathbf{H}_\alpha\|^2 = 1 \quad \text{for } n+1 \leq \alpha \leq n+p+1. \quad \square$$

We consider the matrix $\mathbf{H} = (H_{\alpha a})$ as the linear mapping $\mathbf{H}: \mathbf{R}^{s+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{p+1}$. Then we have the following.

LEMMA 5.2. *The matrix \mathbf{H} is square and orthogonal.*

Proof. First we will show $s \leq p$. As nonzero vectors $\mathbf{H}_1, \dots, \mathbf{H}_{s+1}$ of \mathbf{R}^{p+1} satisfy (5.8), they are linearly independent. Hence $s+1 \leq p+1$. Put

$$T_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_a H_{\alpha a} H_{\beta a}, \quad T = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} (T_{\alpha\beta})^2.$$

Taking a suitable base of \mathbf{R}^{p+1} , we diagonalize the symmetric matrix $(T_{\alpha\beta})$ and put $T_\alpha = T_{\alpha\alpha} = \|\mathbf{H}_\alpha\|^2$. Then we have

$$(5.10) \quad T = \sum T_\alpha^2.$$

Put

$$U = \sum_\alpha T_\alpha = \sum_{\alpha, a} (H_{\alpha a})^2$$

and

$$(p+1)\sigma_1 = \sum_\alpha T_\alpha = U, \quad \frac{p(p+1)}{2}\sigma_2 = \sum_{\alpha < \beta} T_\alpha T_\beta.$$

Then, as in (3.7), we have

$$T = \frac{1}{p+1} U^2 + \frac{1}{p+1} \sum_{\alpha < \beta} (T_\alpha - T_\beta)^2.$$

Using (5.9), we obtain

$$(5.11) \quad T = \frac{1}{p+1} U^2.$$

On the other hand, we set

$$T_a = \|\mathbf{H}_a\|^2 = \sum_\alpha H_{\alpha a} H_{\alpha a} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq a \leq s+1.$$

Then as $\langle \mathbf{H}_a, \mathbf{H}_b \rangle = 0$ for $a \neq b$, we get

$$T = \sum_{\alpha, \beta, a} H_{\alpha a} H_{\alpha a} H_{\beta a} H_{\beta a} = \sum_a (T_a)^2.$$

As $U = \sum (H_{\alpha a})^2 = \sum_a T_a$, we have

$$(5.12) \quad T = \frac{1}{s+1} U^2 + \frac{1}{s+1} \sum_{a < b} (T_a - T_b)^2.$$

From (5.11) and (5.12) we obtain

$$\frac{s-p}{(p+1)(s+1)} U^2 = \frac{1}{s+1} \sum_{a < b} (T_a - T_b)^2.$$

This implies that $s = p$ and $\|\mathbf{H}_a\| = \|\mathbf{H}_b\|$. From (5.8) and (5.9), it follows that the matrix \mathbf{H} is orthogonal. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. First, we assume that M is connected, simply connected and complete. For each $1 \leq a \leq p+1$, define the distribution D_a by

$$\omega_1 = 0, \dots, \omega_{m_1} = 0, \omega_{m_1+1} = 0, \dots, \omega_{m_2} = 0, \dots, \omega_{m_a} = 0, \omega_{m_{a+1}+1} = 0, \dots, \omega_n = 0.$$

Then, D_a is globally defined on M . From (5.6), it is clear that D_a is integrable and parallel. Take a fixed point x of M . Let M_a be the maximal integrable submanifold of D_a through x ; then it is n_a -dimensional, complete, connected and totally geodesic in M . Since M is simply connected and complete and each D_a is parallel, we conclude by a standard argument that M is a Riemannian product $M_1 \times M_2 \times \dots \times M_{p+1}$. Since M is complete and simply connected, and since each M_a is totally geodesic in M , M_a is complete and simply connected. If $n_a = 1$ then $M_a = R$. We may consider R as $H^1(\sqrt{1/n})$. From the Gauss formula (2.5) it follows that the Riemannian curvature of M_a is expressed as

$$R_{ijkl} = -(1 + \sum (h'_{\alpha a})^2)(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk}).$$

From Lemma 5.2 we have $\|\mathbf{H}_a\|^2 = \sum_{\alpha} H_{\alpha a}^2 = 1$, that is, $(\sum (h'_{\alpha a})^2 + 1)(n_a/n) = 1$. Hence, M_a is a space of constant curvature $-n/n_a$. Thus, in any case, we can put $M_a = H^{n_a}(\sqrt{n_a/n})$.

If M is complete and connected but not simply connected, let \tilde{M} be its simply connected Riemannian covering manifold. Then the composition mapping of \tilde{M} in $H_p^{n+p}(1)$ under the covering mapping and the immersion of \tilde{M} in $H_p^{n+p}(1)$ satisfies the assumption of the theorem and is imbedded in $H_p^{n+p}(1)$ as above. Thus, M is immersed as the product submanifold of the theorem. \square

REFERENCES

1. E. Calabi, *Examples of Bernstein problems for some nonlinear equations*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Appl. Math. 15 (1970), 223–230.
2. S.-Y. Cheng and S.-Y. Yau, *Maximal space-like hypersurfaces in the Lorentz–Minkowski spaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) 104 (1976), 407–419.
3. S. S. Chern, M. do Carmo, and S. Kobayashi, *Minimal submanifolds of a sphere with second fundamental form of constant length*, Functional Analysis and Related Fields, Springer, Berlin, 1970.
4. H. B. Lawson, *Local rigidity theorems for minimal hypersurfaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) 89 (1968), 187–195.
5. H. Omori, *Isometric immersions of Riemannian manifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 19 (1967), 205–214.
6. S. Nishikawa, *On maximal spacelike hypersurfaces in a Lorentzian manifold*, Nagoya Math. J. 95 (1984), 117–124.
7. S.-T. Yau, *Harmonic functions on complete Riemannian manifolds*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 28 (1975), 201–228.
8. ———, *A general Schwarz lemma for Kähler manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. 100 (1978), 197–203.

Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences
 University of Tokushima
 Josanjima, Tokushima 770
 Japan