

THE SPECTRUM OF THE LAPLACIAN ON RIEMANNIAN HEISENBERG MANIFOLDS

Carolyn S. Gordon and Edward N. Wilson

1. Introduction. For any compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) let $\text{spec}(M, g)$ denote the collection of eigenvalues, with multiplicities, of the associated Laplace–Beltrami operator acting on $C^\infty(M)$. Two manifolds (M, g) and (M', g') are said to be isospectral if $\text{spec}(M, g) = \text{spec}(M', g')$. Many examples exist of pairs of isospectral, non-isometric Riemannian manifolds ([3], [6], [10], [12], [15], [17], [18]). Vigñeras gave the first examples of isospectral manifolds with non-isomorphic fundamental groups. In contrast, some manifolds such as the canonical sphere S^n and real projective space P^n , $n \leq 6$, are uniquely determined up to isometry by $\text{spec}(M, g)$. (See e.g. [1], [9].)

In this paper we study the spectrum of the Laplacian of compact Riemannian Heisenberg manifolds; that is, manifolds of the form $(\Gamma \backslash H_n, g)$, where H_n is the $(2n+1)$ -dimensional Heisenberg group, Γ is a uniform discrete subgroup, and g is a Riemannian metric on $\Gamma \backslash H_n$ whose lift to H_n is left-invariant. The Heisenberg manifolds are among the few manifolds for which $\text{spec}(M, g)$ can be explicitly computed. By comparing the spectra of various Heisenberg manifolds, we find:

- (A) If $n = 1$, $(\Gamma \backslash H_n, g)$ is uniquely determined by its spectrum.
- (B) If $n > 1$, there exist many choices of pairs $(\Gamma \backslash H_n, g)$ and $(\Gamma' \backslash H_n, g')$ that are isospectral but not isometric.

More specifically, we associate with every uniform discrete subgroup Γ of H_n a positive integer denoted $|\Gamma|$. Whenever $n > 1$ and $|\Gamma| = |\Gamma'|$, there exist continuous families of metrics g_t and g'_t such that for each t , $(\Gamma \backslash H_n, g_t)$ is isospectral to $(\Gamma' \backslash H_n, g'_t)$. (Note that we are *not* asserting the existence of continuous isospectral deformations of a metric.) Since $|\Gamma|$ does not always determine the isomorphism class of Γ , we thus obtain examples of isospectral manifolds with non-isomorphic fundamental groups. In some cases the manifolds are also isospectral on p -forms for all $p \geq 0$.

This paper was partly motivated by the following result of [6]. Let G be a nilpotent Lie group. In [6] we defined a group $\text{AIA}(G)$ of “almost inner” automorphisms, and showed that $(\varphi(\Gamma) \backslash G, g)$ is isospectral to $(\Gamma \backslash G, g)$ for all $\varphi \in \text{AIA}(G)$ whenever Γ is any uniform discrete subgroup of G and g any metric arising from a left-invariant metric on G . The manifolds are isometric if φ lies in the group $\text{Inn}(G) \subset \text{AIA}(G)$ of inner automorphisms but are rarely isometric otherwise. We thus obtained continuous families of non-isometric manifolds all isospectral to $(\Gamma \backslash G, g)$ under the condition $\text{Inn}(G) \neq \text{AIA}(G)$. We do not know whether this condition is necessary as well as sufficient for the existence of a non-

Received October 16, 1984. Revision received September 4, 1985.

The first author was partially supported by the National Science Foundation grant 840-1598.

Michigan Math. J. 33 (1986).

trivial isospectral deformation of $(\Gamma \backslash G, g)$. The Heisenberg groups are among the simplest examples of nilpotent groups for which $\text{Inn}(G) = \text{AIA}(G)$. Certainly, by (A) every 3-dimensional Heisenberg manifold is spectrally rigid; we give evidence supporting (but not proving) our conjecture that no Heisenberg manifold of any dimension admits a non-trivial continuous isospectral deformation.

The organization of this paper is as follows: After classifying all Riemannian Heisenberg manifolds in Section 2, we compute their spectra in Section 3. We construct the examples (B) of isospectral manifolds in Section 4. In Section 5 we prove (A) and address the question of spectral rigidity in higher dimensions. We discuss the spectra of the Laplacian on p -forms in an appendix.

It is a pleasure to express our gratitude to Ted Chinburg and David H. Johnson for very helpful discussions concerning Theorem 5.4 and to David Harbater for the uniqueness proof of Proposition 2.2.

2. Classification of Riemannian Heisenberg manifolds.

(2.1) DEFINITIONS AND NOTATION. (a) For x, y row vectors in \mathbf{R}^n , let

$$(1) \quad \gamma(x, y, t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & t \\ 0 & I_n & 'y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad X(x, y, t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x & t \\ 0 & 0 & 'y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $'y$ is the transpose of y and I_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. The real $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional Heisenberg group H_n is the Lie subgroup of $\text{GL}(n + 2, \mathbf{R})$ consisting of all matrices of the form $\gamma(x, y, t)$ and its Lie algebra \mathfrak{h}_n is the Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(n + 2, \mathbf{R})$ consisting of all matrices of the form $X(x, y, t)$. The matrix exponential maps \mathfrak{h}_n diffeomorphically onto H_n and satisfies

$$\exp X(x, y, t) = \gamma(x, y, t + \frac{1}{2}x \cdot y),$$

where $x \cdot y$ is the usual dot product in \mathbf{R}^n . The product operation in H_n and Lie bracket in \mathfrak{h}_n are given by

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma(x, y, t)\gamma(x', y', t') &= \gamma(x + x', y + y', t + t' + x \cdot y'), \\ [X(x, y, t), X(x', y', t')] &= X(0, 0, x \cdot y' - x' \cdot y). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathfrak{z}_n = \{X(0, 0, t) : t \in \mathbf{R}\}$. Then \mathfrak{z}_n is both the center and the derived subalgebra of \mathfrak{h}_n . It is convenient to identify the subspace $\{X(x, y, 0) : x, y \in \mathbf{R}^n\}$ of \mathfrak{h}_n with \mathbf{R}^{2n} . Thus \mathfrak{h}_n is the vector space direct sum $\mathfrak{h}_n = \mathbf{R}^{2n} + \mathfrak{z}_n$. The bracket operation defines a non-singular alternating bilinear form $A : \mathbf{R}^{2n} \times \mathbf{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by

$$(3) \quad A(X, Y)Z = [X, Y]$$

for $X, Y \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}$ and $Z = X(0, 0, 1)$. By the standard basis of \mathfrak{h}_n we shall mean

$$\mathfrak{S} = \{X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n, Z\},$$

where the first $2n$ elements of \mathfrak{S} are the standard basis of \mathbf{R}^{2n} . The non-zero brackets among the elements of \mathfrak{S} are thus given by $[X_i, Y_i] = Z$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

(b) A *Riemannian Heisenberg* manifold is a pair $(\Gamma \backslash H_n, g)$, where Γ is a uniform discrete subgroup of H_n (“uniform” means that $\Gamma \backslash H_n$ is compact) and g is a Riemannian metric on $\Gamma \backslash H_n$ whose lift to H_n , also denoted g , is left H_n -invariant.

(2.2) PROPOSITION. *Let Γ be a uniform discrete subgroup of H_n and let g and g' be left-invariant Riemannian metrics on H_n . $(\Gamma \backslash H_n, g)$ is isometric to $(\Gamma \backslash H_n, g')$ if and only if there exists an automorphism φ of H_n such that $\varphi^*g = g'$ and $\varphi(\Gamma) = \gamma\Gamma\gamma^{-1}$ for some $\gamma \in H_n$.*

Proof. This is a special case of a result proved in [5]. □

We first classify the uniform discrete subgroups of H_n .

(2.3) DEFINITION. For $r = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) \in (\mathbf{Z}^+)^n$ such that r_j divides r_{j+1} , $1 \leq j \leq n$, let $r\mathbf{Z}^n$ (respectively, $(1/r)\mathbf{Z}^n$) denote the n -tuples $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ for which $x_i \in r_i\mathbf{Z}$ (respectively, $x_i \in (1/r_i)\mathbf{Z}$), $1 \leq i \leq n$. Define

$$\Gamma_r = \{\gamma(x, y, t) : x \in r\mathbf{Z}^n, y \in \mathbf{Z}^n, t \in \mathbf{Z}\}.$$

It follows easily from (2) that Γ_r is a uniform discrete subgroup of H_n .

Define $\mathcal{L}_r = \{X(x, y, 0) : x \in r\mathbf{Z}^n, y \in \mathbf{Z}^n\}$. Then \mathcal{L}_r is a lattice in \mathbf{R}^{2n} with lattice basis $\{r_1X_1, \dots, r_nX_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$. Note that $X \in \mathcal{L}_r$ if and only if $\exp(X+W) \in \Gamma_r$ for some $W \in \mathfrak{z}_n$.

(2.4) THEOREM. *The subgroups Γ_r defined in 2.3 classify the uniform discrete subgroups of H_n up to automorphism; that is, if Γ is any uniform discrete subgroup of H_n , then there exists a unique r for which some automorphism of H_n maps Γ to Γ_r . Moreover, for r and s as in 2.3, Γ_r and Γ_s are isomorphic groups if and only if $r = s$.*

Proof. Suppose Γ is a uniform discrete subgroup of H_n . Let $\log : H_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_n$ be the inverse of $\exp : \mathfrak{h}_n \rightarrow H_n$. For $X, Y \in \mathfrak{h}_n$,

$$\log(\exp X \exp Y \exp(-X) \exp(-Y)) = [X, Y].$$

It follows that $\log \Gamma$ is a discrete spanning set of \mathfrak{h}_n , $\log \Gamma$ is closed under the bracket operation, $\log \Gamma \cap \mathfrak{z}_n = \mathbf{Z}W$ for some $W \neq 0$ in \mathfrak{z}_n , and

$$\mathcal{L} = \{X \in \mathbf{R}^n : \text{there exists } X' \in \log \Gamma \text{ such that } X - X' \in \mathfrak{z}_n\}$$

is a lattice in \mathbf{R}^{2n} . Since, for every $a \in \mathbf{R} - \{0\}$, $X(x, y, t) \rightarrow X(ax, ay, a^2t)$ defines an automorphism of \mathfrak{h}_n moving $(1/a^2)\mathbf{Z}$ to \mathbf{Z} , replacement of Γ by a suitable automorphic image permits us to assume $W = \mathbf{Z}$. With this assumption, we claim that there exists $r = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n)$ as in (2.3) and a lattice basis $\{U_1, \dots, U_n, V_1, \dots, V_n\}$ for \mathcal{L} such that

$$(4) \quad 0 = A(U_i, U_j) = A(V_i, V_j) = A(U_i, V_j) - \delta_{ij}r_i \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i, j \leq n,$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker symbol. To see this, note that $\mathfrak{I}_1 = \{A(X, Y) : X, Y \in \mathcal{L}\}$ is an ideal of integers. Let r_1 be its positive generator and choose $U_1, V_1 \in \mathcal{L}$ such

that $A(U_1, V_1) = r_1$. For $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathbf{R}^{2n}$ the annihilator of $\mathbf{R}U_1 + \mathbf{R}V_1$ relative to A , we have $\mathfrak{a} \cong \mathbf{R}^{2n-2}$, $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{z}_n \cong \mathfrak{h}_{n-1}$, and $\mathfrak{L} = \mathbf{Z}U_1 + \mathbf{Z}V_1 + \mathfrak{L} \cap \mathfrak{a}$. Indeed, expressing $Y \in \mathfrak{L}$ in the form $aU_1 + bV_1 + X$ with $X \in \mathfrak{a}$, then $ar_1 = A(Y, V_1)$, $br_1 = A(U_1, Y)$ are in \mathfrak{g} , whence $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $X \in \mathfrak{L}$. By induction on n , we obtain (4). The ideal \mathfrak{g}_j constructed in the j th step of the inductive process is a subideal of \mathfrak{g}_{j-1} , so $r_{j-1} \mid r_j$. Now choose, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, elements X_i^1, Y_i^1 in $\log \Gamma$ such that $U_i - X_i^1, V_i - Y_i^1$ are in \mathfrak{z}_n . By (4) and (2.3), the unique linear map of \mathfrak{h}_n which sends Z to Z, X_i^1 to $r_i X_i$, and Y_i^1 to Y_i is an automorphism of \mathfrak{h}_n mapping \mathfrak{L} to \mathfrak{L}_r and $\log \Gamma$ to $\log \Gamma_r$. It therefore lifts to an automorphism φ of H_n satisfying $\varphi(\Gamma) = \Gamma_r$.

It remains to show that r is uniquely determined by the isomorphism class of Γ_r . As an abstract group, Γ_r is prescribed by generators $\{\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ where γ generates the center of Γ_n and the relations $\alpha_i \beta_i \alpha_i^{-1} \beta_i^{-1} = \gamma^{r_i}$ are satisfied. Since γ is unique up to inverse and $[\gamma^{r_i}]$ is the commutator subgroup of Γ_r , r_1 is uniquely determined. Let $J = \{j : r_j > r_{j-1}\}$. For $j \in J$, $\Gamma_r / [\gamma^{r_j}]$ contains as a direct factor the free abelian group of rank $2(n-j+1)$ with generators $\{\bar{\alpha}_k, \bar{\beta}_k : j \leq k \leq n\}$. If $t \in \mathbf{Z}r_1$ is larger than r_j , one can check that the maximal free abelian direct factor of $\Gamma_r / [\gamma^t]$ has rank $< 2(n-j+1)$. Thus $J, \{r_j : j \in J\}$, and hence r are uniquely determined by the isomorphism class of Γ_r . \square

(2.5) COROLLARY.

- (i) *Given any Riemannian Heisenberg manifold $M = (\Gamma \backslash H_n, g)$, there is a unique r as in Definition 2.3 and a left-invariant metric \tilde{g} on H_n such that M is isometric to $(\Gamma_r \backslash H_n, \tilde{g})$.*
- (ii) *If $r \neq r'$, the manifolds $\Gamma_r \backslash H_n$ and $\Gamma_{r'} \backslash H_n$ have distinct fundamental groups.*

Proof. (i) and (ii) follow from Theorem 2.4 and the fact that the map

$$\Gamma\gamma \rightarrow \varphi(\Gamma)\varphi(\gamma)$$

is an isometry from $(\Gamma \backslash H_n, g)$ to $(\varphi(\Gamma) \backslash H_n, (\varphi^*)^{-1}g)$ for any automorphism φ . \square

(2.6) REMARKS AND NOTATION. (a) We will identify each automorphism φ of H_n with the matrix of its differential φ_* relative to the standard basis \mathfrak{S} (see (2.1)) of \mathfrak{h}_n . Let $\tilde{\text{Sp}}(n, \mathbf{R}) = \{\beta \in \text{GL}(2n, \mathbf{R}) : \beta J \beta = \epsilon J \text{ with } \epsilon = \pm 1\}$, where

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We identify $\beta \in \tilde{\text{Sp}}(n, \mathbf{R})$ with the $(2n+1) \times (2n+1)$ matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \beta & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is then routine to check that, with these identifications, $\tilde{\text{Sp}}(n, \mathbf{R})$ is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(H_n)$. The full group $\text{Aut}(H_n)$ is the set of all matrices of the form $\alpha\beta$, with

$$\beta \in \tilde{\text{Sp}}(n, \mathbf{R}) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = \begin{bmatrix} aI_{2n} & 0 \\ w & a^2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for some } a \in \mathbf{R}, w \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}.$$

The inner automorphisms are those for which $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = \text{Id}$.

(b) A left-invariant metric g on H_n is uniquely determined by the induced inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on \mathfrak{h}_n , where \mathfrak{h}_n is viewed as the tangent space to H_n at the identity. Conversely, every inner product on \mathfrak{h}_n determines a left-invariant metric on H_n . We will identify g with the matrix of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ relative to the standard basis \mathcal{S} of \mathfrak{h}_n . For any g , we can choose an inner automorphism φ such that \mathbf{R}^{2n} is orthogonal to \mathfrak{z}_n relative to φ^*g . By Proposition 2.2, $(\Gamma \backslash H_n, g)$ is isometric to $(\Gamma \backslash H_n, \varphi^*g)$ for every Γ . Replacing g by φ^*g we may then assume that g has the form

$$(5) \quad g = \begin{bmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & g_{2n+1} \end{bmatrix},$$

with h a positive-definite $2n \times 2n$ matrix and $g_{2n+1} > 0$. From now on, every metric g will be assumed to have the form (5).

(c) Let δ_r be the $2n \times 2n$ matrix with diagonal entries $r_1, \dots, r_n, 1, \dots, 1$, and let $\widetilde{\text{SL}}(2n, \mathbf{Z})$ be the group of $2n \times 2n$ matrices with integer entries and determinant equal to ± 1 . Note that for $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(H_n)$, $\varphi(\Gamma_r) = \Gamma_r$ if and only if

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} \beta & 0 \\ w & \epsilon \end{bmatrix} \text{ for some } w \in \mathbf{Z}^n \text{ and } \beta \in \widetilde{\text{Sp}}(n, \mathbf{R}) \cap \delta_r \widetilde{\text{SL}}(2n, \mathbf{Z}) \delta_r^{-1}.$$

(2.7) THEOREM. *Let*

$$\mathfrak{G}_n = \{(r, g) : r \in (\mathbf{Z}^+)^n \text{ satisfies 2.3 and } g \text{ is of the form (10)}\}.$$

*Define an equivalence relation on \mathfrak{G}_n by $(r, g) \sim (r', g')$ if and only if $r = r'$ and $g' = \beta^*g$ (see 2.6(a) for notations), with $\beta \in \widetilde{\text{Sp}}(n, \mathbf{R}) \cap \delta_r \widetilde{\text{SL}}(2n, \mathbf{Z}) \delta_r^{-1}$. (Note that each equivalence class is discrete.) Using (r, g) to parameterize $(\Gamma_r \backslash H_n, g)$, \mathfrak{G}_n / \sim parameterizes the collection of isometry classes of Riemannian Heisenberg manifolds of dimension $2n+1$.*

Proof. If g has the form (5) and $\varphi = \alpha\beta$ as in 2.6(a), then $g' = \varphi^*g$ again has the form (5) precisely when $w = 0$. But then $\varphi(\Gamma_r) = \gamma\Gamma_r\gamma^{-1}$ is possible only if $\varphi(\Gamma_r) = \Gamma_r$. Thus the theorem follows from Proposition 2.2, Theorem 2.4, and the remarks in 2.6(b). □

(2.8) DEFINITION. Let Γ be a uniform discrete subgroup of H_n . Define $|\Gamma| = r_1 r_2 \cdots r_n$ for $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$ the unique n -tuple as in Definition 2.3 for which Γ is isomorphic to Γ_r .

(2.9) PROPOSITION. *The Riemannian volume of a Heisenberg manifold $(\Gamma_r \backslash H_n, g)$ is given by $|\Gamma_r|(\det(g))^{1/2}$, where the conventions of 2.6(b) are used to identify g with a positive-definite matrix.*

Proof. Standard computation using the coordinates defined in (2.1). □

3. The spectrum of a Riemannian Heisenberg manifold.

(3.1) DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS. Let $M = (\Gamma \backslash H_n, g)$ be a Riemannian Heisenberg manifold and $E^\circ(M)$ the space of smooth functions on M . Viewing functions on M as left Γ -invariant functions on H_n , the Laplace–Beltrami operator

on $E^\circ(M)$ is given by

$$(1) \quad \Delta f = - \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} U_i^2 f,$$

where U_1, \dots, U_{2n+1} is any g -orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{h}_n (see [16]). But

$$U_i f(\gamma) = \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right)_{t=0} f(\gamma \exp tU_i) = (R_* U_i) f(\gamma),$$

where R is the quasi-regular representation of H_n on $L^2(\Gamma \backslash H_n)$, that is,

$$R(\gamma') f(\gamma) = f(\gamma\gamma').$$

Thus the extension of Δ to an unbounded operator on $L^2(\Gamma \backslash H_n)$ is given by $\Delta = -\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} (R_*(U_i))^2$.

By $\Sigma(M)$ we mean the spectrum of M in $E^\circ(M)$, that is, the collection of all eigenvalues, with multiplicities, of Δ . For $\Gamma = \Gamma_r$ as in 2.3, we write $\Sigma(r, g)$ for $\Sigma(M)$. Two Riemannian Heisenberg manifolds M and M' are said to be *isospectral* if $\Sigma(M) = \Sigma(M')$.

(3.2) NOTATION. (a) Let $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$ be as in 2.3, δ_r the $2n \times 2n$ matrix defined in 2.6(c), and h a positive-definite $2n \times 2n$ matrix. For $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}^{2n}$, define

$$(2) \quad \lambda(a, b) = 4\pi^2 [a, b] (\delta_r h \delta_r)^{-1} [a, b].$$

Then by $\Sigma_1(r, h)$ we shall mean the collection of numbers λ which may be described in the form $\lambda(a, b)$, with the understanding that λ is counted once in $\Sigma_1(r, h)$ for each pair $(a, b) \in \mathbf{Z}^{2n}$ such that $\lambda = \lambda(a, b)$.

(b) Let g be of the form 2.6(5) and J the $2n \times 2n$ matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $h^{-1}J$ is similar to the skew-symmetric matrix $h^{-1/2}Jh^{-1/2}$, it has pure imaginary eigenvalues; we denote them by $\pm\sqrt{-1}d_j^2$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. For c a positive integer and $k = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$ an n -tuple of non-negative integers, define

$$(3) \quad \mu(c, k) = \frac{4\pi^2 c^2}{g_{2n+1}} + \sum_{i=1}^n 2\pi c d_i^2 (2k_i + 1).$$

By $\Sigma_2(r, g)$ we shall mean the collection of numbers μ which can be written in the form $\mu(c, k)$, with the understanding that μ occurs $2c^n |\Gamma_r| = 2c^n r_1 \cdots r_n$ times for each pair (c, k) such that $\mu = \mu(c, k)$.

(c) Let \mathfrak{h}_n^* denote the dual space of \mathfrak{h}_n . Given a metric g as in 2.6(5), define $\#: \mathfrak{h}_n^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_n$ by $\tau(X) = g(\#\tau, X)$ and define an inner product \langle, \rangle on \mathfrak{h}_n^* by $\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle = g(\#\sigma, \#\tau)$. Define $\eta: \mathbf{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2n}$ by $[X, Y] = h(X, \eta Y)Z$, that is, $h(X, \eta Y) = A(X, Y)$ for A the alternating form defined in 2.1. Since J is the matrix of A relative to the standard basis and since we are identifying the inner product h with its matrix in the standard basis, the matrix of η in the standard basis is given by $h^{-1}J$. Given Γ_r as in 2.3, let $\mathfrak{A}_r = \{\tau \in \mathfrak{h}_n^*: \tau(Z) = 0 \text{ and } \tau(\log \Gamma_r) \subset \mathbf{Z}\}$.

(3.3) THEOREM. For r as in 2.3 and g of the form 2.6(5), the spectrum $\Sigma(r, g)$ of $(\Gamma_r \backslash H_n, g)$ is the join of $\Sigma_1(r, h)$ and $\Sigma_2(r, g)$ (see 3.2(a, b)) in the sense that the multiplicity of λ in $\Sigma(r, g)$ is the sum of the multiplicities of λ in $\Sigma_1(r, h)$ and $\Sigma_2(r, g)$.

(3.4) LEMMA. We use the notation of 3.2. Then:

- (a) λ occurs in $\Sigma_1(r, h)$ with multiplicity k if and only if $\lambda = 4\pi^2 \langle \tau, \tau \rangle$ for exactly k elements τ in \mathcal{Q}_r .
- (b) $\Sigma_1(r, h)$ is the spectrum of the Laplace–Beltrami operator on the flat torus $T_{r,h} = (\mathcal{L}_r \backslash \mathbf{R}^{2n}, h)$. (See 2.3 for the definition of the lattice \mathcal{L}_r .)

Proof. (a) follows easily from the definitions. If we identify elements of \mathcal{Q}_r with their restrictions to \mathbf{R}^{2n} , then \mathcal{Q}_r is the dual lattice of \mathcal{L}_r . Thus (b) follows from (a) and the classical description of the spectrum of a flat torus (see [1]). \square

(3.5) LEMMA. If $h^{-1}J$ has eigenvalues $\pm\sqrt{-1}d_1^2, \dots, \pm\sqrt{-1}d_n^2$, then there exists an h -orthonormal basis $\{X'_1, \dots, X'_n, Y'_1, \dots, Y'_n\}$ of \mathbf{R}^{2n} such that $[X'_i, Y'_i] = d_i^2 Z$ and all other brackets of basis vectors are zero. In particular, the isometry class of (H_n, g) is uniquely determined by $d_1^2 \sqrt{g_{2n+1}}, \dots, d_n^2 \sqrt{g_{2n+1}}$.

Proof. Since η (as defined in 3.2(c)) is skew-symmetric relative to h with eigenvalues $\pm\sqrt{-1}d_1^2, \dots, \pm\sqrt{-1}d_n^2$, there exists an h -orthonormal basis $\{X'_1, \dots, X'_n, Y'_1, \dots, Y'_n\}$ of \mathbf{R}^{2n} such that $\eta X'_i = -d_i^2 Y'_i, \eta Y'_i = d_i^2 X'_i$. Since $[X, Y] = h(X, \eta Y)Z$ for all $X, Y \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}$, the bracket relations follow. For the second statement, let $Z' = (g_{2n+1})^{-1/2}Z$. Then $\mathcal{B} = \{X'_1, \dots, X'_n, Y'_1, \dots, Y'_n, Z'\}$ is a g -orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{h}_n with $[X'_i, Y'_i] = d_i^2 \sqrt{g_{2n+1}} Z'$ and with all other brackets trivial. If \tilde{g} is a second metric such that $(\tilde{d}_1^2 (\tilde{g}_{2n+1})^{-1/2}, \dots, \tilde{d}_n^2 (\tilde{g}_{2n+1})^{-1/2}) = (d_1^2 g_{2n+1}^{-1/2}, \dots, d_n^2 g_{2n+1}^{-1/2})$ up to order, then \mathfrak{h}_n admits a \tilde{g} -orthonormal basis $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ whose elements satisfy the same bracket relations as \mathcal{B} . Thus there exists $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(H_n)$ with $\tilde{g} = \varphi^*g$. \square

By (1) and (2), any subspace of $L^2(\Gamma \backslash H_n, \Omega)$ invariant under the right action R of H_n is also Δ -invariant. The proof of Theorem 3.3 requires decomposing $L^2(\Gamma \backslash H_n)$ into irreducible subspaces under R and examining the action of Δ on each such subspace.

(3.6) IRREDUCIBLE UNITARY REPRESENTATIONS OF H_n . (a) For $\tau \in \mathfrak{h}_n^*$ with $\tau|_{\mathfrak{h}_n} = \{0\}$, define $f_\tau: H_n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ by $f_\tau(\exp X) = \exp[2\pi\sqrt{-1}\tau(X)]$. Then f_τ is a character of H_n and every character of H_n is of this form. If $\tau \in \mathcal{Q}_r$ (see 3.2(c)), then f_τ may be viewed as a function on $\Gamma_r \backslash H_n$.

(b) For $c \in \mathbf{R} - \{0\}$, define a representation π_c of H_n on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ by

$$(\pi_c(\gamma(x, y, t))f)(u) = \exp[2\pi\sqrt{-1}c(t + u \cdot y)]f(x + u) \quad \text{for all } \gamma(x, y, t) \in H_n$$

(see 2.1), where $u \cdot y$ denotes the standard dot product on \mathbf{R}^n . One can check that π_c is an irreducible unitary representation of H_n .

(3.7) LEMMA. (a) With the notations of 3.6,

$$\{f_\tau: \tau \in \mathfrak{h}_n^*, \tau|_{\mathfrak{h}_n} = 0\} \cup \{\pi_c: c \in \mathbf{R} - \{0\}\}$$

is a complete set of irreducible unitary representations of H_n . In particular, any

irreducible unitary representation of H_n which agrees with π_c on the center of H_n is unitarily equivalent to π_c .

(b) The representation R defined in 3.1 of H_n on $L^2(\Gamma_r \backslash H_n)$ decomposes discretely as the orthogonal direct sum of irreducible unitary representations of H_n , with f_τ occurring once for each $\tau \in \mathfrak{Q}_r$ and with π_c occurring $|c^n| |\Gamma_r| = |c^n| r_1 \cdots r_n$ times for each non-zero integer c .

Proof. (a) For π an arbitrary irreducible unitary representation, $\pi(\gamma)$ is a scalar multiple of the identity operator Id for each γ in the center of H_n . Hence there exists $c \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $\pi(\gamma(0, 0, t)) = \exp[2\pi\sqrt{-1}ct] \text{Id}$ for every $t \in \mathbf{R}$. If $c = 0$, π must be a character and otherwise the Stone–von Neumann Theorem [19] states that π is unitarily equivalent to π_c (see [8, pp. 824–825] for a discussion of this theorem). Alternatively, one may prove (a) by applying the Kirillov theory of representations of nilpotent Lie groups (see [13], [14], or [11]).

(b) follows either from the general results on compact nilmanifolds described in [13] (in particular Theorem 37), or by carrying out a straightforward Fourier analysis of $L^2(\Gamma_r \backslash H_n)$. □

Proof of Theorem 3.3. Given any unitary representation π of H_n on a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} , one may define on the space of analytic vectors in \mathfrak{H} the Laplacian

$$(4) \quad \Delta_{\pi, g} = - \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} [\pi_*(U_i)]^2,$$

where $\{U_i : 1 \leq i \leq 2n+1\}$ is any g -orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{h}_n . By the remarks in 3.1, $\Sigma(r, g)$ is the compilation of the spectra of the operators $\Delta_{\pi, g}$ as π ranges over all representations occurring in the direct sum decomposition of R . $L^2(\mathfrak{Q}_r \backslash \mathbf{R}^{2n})$ may be identified with the subspace \mathfrak{H}_1 of $L^2(\Gamma_r \backslash H_n)$ spanned by the characters f_τ , $\tau \in \mathfrak{Q}_r$. Since the center of H_n acts trivially on this space, (1) implies that the spectrum of Δ on \mathfrak{H}_1 is just the spectrum of the torus $T_{r, h}$ and thus is given by $\Sigma_1(r, h)$ (see Lemma 3.4). To complete the proof, it therefore suffices to show that the eigenvalues of both $\Delta_{\pi_c, g}$ and $\Delta_{\pi_{-c}, g}$, $c \in \mathbf{R} - \{0\}$, are the numbers $\mu(c, k)$ defined in 3.2(b).

Let $\{X'_1, \dots, X'_n, Y'_1, \dots, Y'_n\}$ be the orthonormal basis of (\mathbf{R}^{2n}, h) defined in Lemma 3.5 and let ψ be the unique linear map which fixes Z and maps X'_i to $d_i X_i$, Y'_i to $d_i Y_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. By 2.1 and 3.5, ψ is an automorphism of \mathfrak{h}_n . Continuing to denote by ψ the corresponding automorphism of H_n , $\pi'_c = \pi_c \circ \psi$ is an irreducible unitary representation of H_n which agrees with π_c on the center of H_n and hence, by Lemma 3.7(a), is unitarily equivalent to π_c . By (4), $\Delta_{\pi'_c, g}$ is similar to $\Delta_{\pi_c, g}$ and thus has the same eigenvalues. Since $\{X'_1, \dots, X'_n, Y'_1, \dots, Y'_n, g_{2n+1}^{-1/2} Z\}$ is an orthonormal basis of (\mathfrak{h}_n, g) and $(\pi'_c)_*(X'_i) = d_i \pi_c(X_i)$, etc.,

$$\Delta_{\pi'_c, g} = - \frac{1}{g_{2n+1}} [\pi_c(Z)]^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2 \{\pi_c(X_i)^2 + \pi_c(Y_i^2)\}.$$

By 3.6(b), for $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and $f(u)$ a smooth square integrable function, we have

$$(5) \quad (\Delta_{\pi'_c, g} f)(u) = \left\{ \frac{4\pi^2 c^2}{g_{2n+1}} + \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2 (2\pi c u_i)^2 \right\} f(u) - \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial u_i^2}(u).$$

Now recall that as $k = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n)$ ranges over all n -tuples of non-negative integers, the Hermite functions

$$h_k(v) = \exp[|v|^2/2] \frac{\partial^{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_n}}{\partial v_1^{k_1} \dots \partial v_n^{k_n}} \exp[-|v|^2]$$

form an orthogonal Hilbert basis of $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and satisfy

$$(v_i^2 - \partial^2/\partial v_i^2)h_k = (2k_i + 1)h_k \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

For $\tilde{h}_k(u) = h_k(\sqrt{2\pi|c|}u)$, it follows from (5) that \tilde{h}_k is an eigenfunction of $\Delta_{\pi'_c, g}$ with eigenvalue $\mu(c, k)$ given by 3.2(b). By our remarks above, the proof is now complete. □

4. Isospectral Heisenberg manifolds which are not isometric.

(4.1) THEOREM. Let $M = (\Gamma_r \backslash H_n, g)$ and $M' = (\Gamma_{r'} \backslash H_n, g')$, where

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & g_{2n+1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad g' = \begin{bmatrix} h' & 0 \\ 0 & g'_{2n+1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then M and M' are isospectral if the following four conditions are satisfied:

- (a) $g_{2n+1} = g'_{2n+1}$;
- (b) $|\Gamma_{r'}| = |\Gamma_r|$ (see 2.8);
- (c) $h' = {}^t\alpha h \alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \widetilde{\text{Sp}}(n, \mathbf{R})$ (see 2.6(a) for the definition of $\widetilde{\text{Sp}}(n, \mathbf{R})$);
- (d) $\mathcal{L}_{r'} = \alpha^{-1} \sigma \mathcal{L}_r$ for some $2n \times 2n$ matrix σ satisfying ${}^t\sigma h \sigma = h$ (\mathcal{L}_r and $\mathcal{L}_{r'}$ defined as in 2.3).

Under conditions (a)–(d), M and M' are isometric if and only if $r = r'$ and it is possible to choose α in (c) and σ in (d) so that σ is the identity.

Proof. Condition (c) implies that $h^{-1}J$ and $(h')^{-1}J$ have the same eigenvalues. Indeed, ${}^t\alpha J \alpha = \epsilon J$ ($\epsilon = \pm 1$) and $h' = {}^t\alpha h \alpha$, so $(h')^{-1}J = \alpha^{-1}(\epsilon h^{-1}J)\alpha$. Hence $(h')^{-1}J$ has the same eigenvalues as $\epsilon h^{-1}J$ and hence as $h^{-1}J$. Consequently (a)–(c) and Definition 3.2(b) imply that $\Sigma_2(r, g) = \Sigma_2(r', g')$.

Using (c) and (d) with \cong denoting isometry, we have

$$(\mathcal{L}_{r'} \backslash \mathbf{R}^{2n}, h') \cong (\mathcal{L}_r \backslash \mathbf{R}^{2n}, (\alpha^{-1}\sigma)^* \alpha^* h) \cong (\mathcal{L}_r \backslash \mathbf{R}^{2n}, h)$$

and thus $\Sigma_1(r', h') = \Sigma_1(r, h)$ by Lemma 3.4. By Theorem 3.3, $\Sigma(r, g) = \Sigma(r', g')$.

By Theorem 2.7, M and M' are isometric if and only if $r = r'$ and $g' = \varphi^*g$ for some φ of the form

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} \beta & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon \end{bmatrix}, \quad \beta \in \widetilde{\text{Sp}}(n, \mathbf{R}),$$

satisfying $\varphi(\Gamma_r) = \Gamma_{r'}$. Choosing $\alpha = \beta$ in (c), the condition $\varphi(\Gamma_r) = \Gamma_{r'}$ means σ can be chosen to be the identity. □

(4.2) REMARKS. (i) Conditions (c) and (d) of Theorem 4.1 are equivalent to:

- (c') $(h')^{-1}J$ and $h^{-1}J$ have the same eigenvalues;
- (d') $\delta_{r'} h' \delta_{r'} = {}^t\psi(\delta_r h \delta_r)\psi$ for some $\psi \in \widetilde{\text{SL}}(2n, \mathbf{Z})$, with $\delta_r, \delta_{r'}$ the diagonal matrices defined in 2.6(c).

Indeed, we showed that (c) implies (c') in the proof of (4.1), and the converse follows trivially with $\alpha \in \text{Sp}(n, \mathbf{R})$ the transformation mapping the h -orthonormal

basis of \mathbf{R}^{2n} (given by Lemma 3.5) to the corresponding h' -orthonormal basis. We could therefore have simplified (c) by stipulating that $\alpha \in \text{Sp}(n, \mathbf{R})$, but this would entail replacing the isometry condition $\sigma = \text{Id}$ by a more cumbersome condition. The elements σ in (d) and ψ in (d') are related by $\sigma = \alpha \delta_{r'} \psi^{-1} \delta_r^{-1}$. Using (d'), the isometry conditions become

$$r = r' \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_r \psi \delta_r^{-1} = \alpha \in \text{Sp}(n, \mathbf{R}) \cap \delta_r \text{SL}(2n, \mathbf{Z}) \delta_r^{-1}.$$

(ii) We do not know the extent to which the conditions (a)–(d) of Theorem 4.1 are necessary as well as sufficient for M and M' to be isospectral. We will see later (in proving Theorem 5.1) that (a) is necessary if one is to separately have $\Sigma_1(r, h) = \Sigma_1(r', h')$ and $\Sigma_2(r, g) = \Sigma_2(r', g')$. Given (c), (d) is equivalent to asserting that the tori $T_{r, h}$ and $T_{r', h'}$ are not only isospectral but isometric. It is difficult to imagine how the multiplicities in $\Sigma_2(r, g)$ could match those of $\Sigma_2(r', g')$ if (b) were not satisfied.

(4.3) THEOREM. *Let $n > 1$ and let Γ_r and Γ_s be uniform discrete subgroups of H_n as in 2.3. If $r \neq s$ but $|\Gamma_r| = |\Gamma_s|$ (i.e., $r_1 \cdots r_n = s_1 \cdots s_n$), then there exist continuous families $\{g_t : t \geq 0\}$ and $\{g'_t : t \geq 0\}$ of non-isometric left-invariant Riemannian metrics on H_n such that $(\Gamma_r \backslash H_n, g_t)$ is isospectral to $(\Gamma_s \backslash H_n, g'_t)$ for every $t \geq 0$.*

We note that by Corollary 2.5 the manifolds $\Gamma_r \backslash H_n$ and $\Gamma_s \backslash H_n$ have different fundamental groups, so the isospectral manifolds of Theorem 4.3 are not homeomorphic.

Proof. Consider diagonal matrices g and g' with diagonal entries $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n, g_{2n+1}$ and $a'_1, \dots, a'_n, b'_1, \dots, b'_n, g'_{2n+1}$, where $a_1, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n, g_{2n+1}$ are arbitrary in \mathbf{R}^+ and the remaining entries are defined by $g'_{2n+1} = g_{2n+1}$, $b'_n = b_1$, $a'_n = a_1$, and (for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$) $b'_i = b_{i+1}$, $a'_i = a_{i+1} = (r_i/s_i)^2 a_i$. We claim that $(\Gamma_r \backslash H_n, g)$ is isospectral to $(\Gamma_s \backslash H_n, g')$ for every choice of the $n+2$ parameters $a_1, b_1, \dots, b_n, g_{2n+1}$. Indeed, we have conditions (a) and (b) of Theorem (4.1), so it suffices to check conditions (c') and (d') of (4.2). By definition, $a'_n b'_n = a_1 b_1$ and, for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $a'_i b'_i = a_{i+1} b_{i+1}$. This verifies (c') since, in the notation of 2.6(5), $h^{-1}J$ has eigenvalues $\pm(-a_i b_i)^{-1/2}$, etc. Next note that $\delta_r h \delta_r$ has diagonal entries $r_1^2 a_1, \dots, r_n^2 a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n$. By definition, b'_1, \dots, b'_n is a permutation of b_1, \dots, b_n and $s_i^2 a'_i = r_i^2 a_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. From $|\Gamma_r| = |\Gamma_s|$, it follows that $s_n^2 a'_n = r_n^2 a_n$ as well. The entries of $\delta_s h' \delta_s$ are thus a permutation of those of $\delta_r h \delta_r$ and this verifies (d').

Using Theorem (2.7), it follows that for one-parameter families of metrics g_t and g'_t arising in this way from a suitably chosen path in our $(n+2)$ -dimensional parameter space, g_{t_1} is not isometric to g_{t_2} for $t_1 \neq t_2$ and similarly for g'_{t_1} and g'_{t_2} . □

(4.4) REMARK. The metric g constructed in the proof of 4.3 depends on $n+2$ positive parameters b_1, \dots, b_n, a_1 , and g_{2n+1} . Following 3.2(c), denote the eigenvalues of $h^{-1}J$ by $\pm\sqrt{-1}d_1^2, \dots, \pm\sqrt{-1}d_n^2$. As the b_i 's vary (with a_1 arbitrary but fixed), (d_1, \dots, d_n) takes on every value in $\mathbf{R}^+ \times \cdots \times \mathbf{R}^+$.

(4.5) THEOREM. *Let $M = (\Gamma_r \backslash H_n, g)$ and $M' = (\Gamma_{r'} \backslash H_n, g')$ be the isospectral manifolds constructed as in the proof of Theorem 4.3. If $d_1 = d_2 = \dots = d_n$ (see 4.4), then M and M' are isospectral on p -forms for every $p \geq 0$.*

Proof. See the appendix. □

(4.6) REMARK. Let $n > 1$. One can construct continuous families of metrics g_t and g'_t on the same manifold $\Gamma_r \backslash H_n$ such that $(\Gamma_r \backslash H_n, g_t)$ is isospectral (on functions) but not isometric to $(\Gamma_r \backslash H_n, g'_t)$. To see this, use the same notations as in Theorem (4.3) for g and g' but now take a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1 , and g_{2n+1} as free parameters and define the remaining entries by $g'_{2n+1} = g_{2n+1}$, $a'_1 = (r_n/r_1)^2 a_n$, $b'_1 = b_1$, and (for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$) $a'_{i+1} = (r_i/r_{i+1})^2 a_i$, $b_{i+1} = b'_{i+1} = a'_i b'_i / a_{i+1}$. As in the proof of Theorem (4.3), one checks that (c') and (d') are satisfied; for example, from the defining relations, $a_{i+1} b_{i+1} = a'_i b'_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$) and

$$a'_1 \cdots a'_n b'_1 \cdots b'_n = a_1 \cdots a_n b_1 \cdots b_n,$$

whence $a_1 b_1 = a'_n b'_n$. Hence $(\Gamma_r \backslash H_n, g)$ and $(\Gamma_{r'} \backslash H_n, g')$ are isospectral. Since the manifolds are in this case diffeomorphic, one must check directly, using the last statement of Theorem (4.1), that for generic choices of the parameters, $(\Gamma_r \backslash H_n, g)$ and $(\Gamma_{r'} \backslash H_n, g')$ are not isometric.

5. Spectral rigidity. A continuous isospectral deformation of a Riemannian manifold (M, g) is a continuous family g_t , $t \geq 0$, of Riemannian metrics on M such that $g_0 = g$ and (M, g_t) is isospectral to (M, g) for all t . The deformation is non-trivial if (M, g_t) is non-isometric to (M, g) for all $t > 0$. We conjecture that no Heisenberg manifold admits a non-trivial continuous isospectral deformation. We will see (Theorem 5.4) that the conjecture is true in dimension 3; in fact, any two isospectral 3-dimensional Heisenberg manifolds are isometric. The following theorem supports the conjecture in higher dimensions.

(5.1) THEOREM. *As in Theorem 2.7, we parameterize n -dimensional Heisenberg manifolds by pairs $(r, g) \in \mathcal{G}_n$. For $(r, g) \in \mathcal{G}_n$,*

$$S = \{(r', g') \in \mathcal{G}_n : \Sigma_1(r, h) = \Sigma_1(r', h') \text{ and } \Sigma_2(r, g) = \Sigma_2(r', g')\}$$

is a countable set.

Proof. If $(r', g') \in S$ then $(\Gamma_r \backslash H_n, g)$ and $(\Gamma_{r'} \backslash H_n, g')$ are isospectral by Theorem 3.3 and, by Lemma 3.4., so are the flat tori $T_{r, h}$ and $T_{r', h'}$. It is well known that isospectral manifolds have the same volume. By Proposition 2.9 and its analog for flat tori, we therefore have

$$|\Gamma_r| (\det g)^{1/2} = |\Gamma_{r'}| (\det g')^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad |\Gamma_r| (\det h)^{1/2} = |\Gamma_{r'}| (\det h')^{1/2}.$$

Since $\det g = (\det h) g_{2n+1}$, it follows that $g_{2n+1} = g'_{2n+1}$.

Every flat torus is isometric to $T_{r, \tilde{h}}$ for some flat metric \tilde{h} on \mathbf{R}^{2n} . Kneser, in unpublished work, proved that the number of isometry classes of flat tori which are isospectral to a given flat torus is finite. Thus there are metrics $h^{(1)}, \dots, h^{(m)}$ such that for every $(r', g') \in S$, there exists j such that $T_{r', h'}$ is isometric to $T_{r, h^{(j)}}$;

that is, there exists a linear map ψ such that $h' = \psi^*h^{(j)}$ and $\psi(\mathcal{L}_{r'}) = \mathcal{L}_r$. The last condition forces ψ to lie in the discrete set $\delta_r \text{SL}(2n, \mathbf{Z})\delta_r^{-1}$ and we conclude that there are only countably many possibilities for the pairs (r', h') .

(5.2) REMARKS. Suppose $g_t, t \geq 0$, is a continuous family of isospectral Riemannian metrics on $(\Gamma_r \backslash H_n)$ with $g = g_0$. If the deformation is non-trivial, Theorem 5.1 implies that for all $t \neq 0$ sufficiently small, $\Sigma_1(r, h_t) \neq \Sigma_1(r, h)$ and $\Sigma_2(r, g_t) \neq \Sigma_2(r, g)$ even though $\Sigma(r, g_t) = \Sigma(r, g)$. It is easy to check that the asymptotic distribution of eigenvalues in $\Sigma_1(r, h)$ differs from that in $\Sigma_2(r, g)$. In dimensions 3 and 5, $\Sigma_2(r, g)$ has higher order than $\Sigma_1(r, h)$ (the proof in dimension 3 is given in 5.4); in dimensions 7 and higher the situation is reversed. Thus in dimension ≥ 7 , for example, if $\Sigma(r, g_t) = \Sigma(r, g)$ then $\Sigma_1(r, h_t)$ and $\Sigma_1(r, h)$ must agree except for subsets of asymptotically lower order. Perhaps this is enough to imply that $\Sigma_1(r, h_t) = \Sigma_1(r, h)$ and hence that the deformation is trivial.

(5.3) PROPOSITION. *If M and M' are isospectral Riemannian Heisenberg manifolds of dimension 3 or 5, then M and M' are locally isometric.*

Proof. Let (H_n, g) be the simply-connected covering of a Riemannian Heisenberg manifold M . We may assume g is of the form 2.6(5). By Lemma 3.5, we may choose an orthonormal basis $\mathcal{B}' = \{X'_1, \dots, X'_n, Y'_1, \dots, Y'_n, Z'\}$ of \mathfrak{h}_n relative to g such that $[X'_i, Y'_i] = a_i Z'$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), with $0 < a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_n$ and such that all other brackets of basis vectors equal zero. ($a_i = d_i^2(g_{2n+1})^{1/2}$ after reordering.) Moreover, by 3.5, the a_i 's uniquely determine the isometry class of (H_n, g) and hence determine M up to local isometry. Thus we need only show that when $n = 1$ or 2, $\Sigma(M)$ determines the a_i 's. Recall that $\Sigma(M)$ determines the volume of M and the integrals over M of τ and of $2|R|^2 - 2|\rho|^2 + 5\tau^2$, where R and ρ are the curvature tensor and Ricci tensor of M and τ is the scalar curvature. (See [1].) In our case M is locally homogeneous, so τ is constant and R_p and ρ_p are independent of $p \in M$; thus $\Sigma(M)$ determines τ and $2|R|^2 - 2|\rho|^2 + 5\tau^2$. By a standard computation, one finds that

$$\tau = -\frac{3}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2, \quad |R|^2 = \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^4 + \frac{3}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} a_i^2 a_j^2, \quad \text{and} \quad |\rho|^2 = \frac{3}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^4 + \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{4} a_i^2 a_j^2.$$

In particular, when $n = 1$, τ uniquely determines a_1 ; when $n = 2$,

$$\tau \quad \text{and} \quad 2|R|^2 - 2|\rho|^2 + 5\tau^2$$

together determine a_1 and a_2 . □

(5.4) THEOREM. *A three-dimensional Heisenberg manifold M is uniquely determined up to isometry by the spectrum $\Sigma(M)$.*

Proof. Let M and M' be isospectral 3-dimensional Heisenberg manifolds. By Theorem 2.7, we may assume that $M = (\Gamma_r \backslash H_1, g)$ and $M' = (\Gamma_{r'} \backslash H_1, g')$ for some $r, r' \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ and g, g' of the form 2.6(5). By Lemma 3.5, there exists an orthonormal basis $\{X_0, Y_0, Z_0\}$ of \mathfrak{h}_1 relative to g such that $Z_0 = g_3^{-1/2}Z$ and $[X_0, Y_0] = d^2Z = d^2(g_3)^{1/2}Z_0 = (g_3/\det h)^{1/2}Z_0$, since $d^4 = \det(h^{-1}J) = \det h^{-1}$. Hence the

proof of Proposition 5.3 shows that $\Sigma(M)$ determines $g_3/\det(h)$ as well as the volume $r(\det g)^{1/2} = r(\det(h)g_3)^{1/2}$. Therefore

$$(1) \quad g_3/g'_3 = \det(h)/\det(h') = r'/r.$$

We claim that M is isometric to M' provided that $r = r'$. Indeed, if $r = r'$, (1) says that $g_3 = g'_3$ and $\det(h) = \det(h')$; consequently $\Sigma_2(r, g) = \Sigma_2(r, g')$ by 3.2. Since $\Sigma(r, g) = \Sigma(r', g')$, it follows that $\Sigma_1(r, h) = \Sigma_1(r, h')$; that is, by Lemma 3.4 the tori $T_{r, h}$ and $T_{r, h'}$ are isospectral. But any two isospectral flat two-dimensional tori are necessarily isometric (see [1]). Hence $h' = \beta h \beta$ for some β satisfying $\beta(\mathcal{L}_r) = \mathcal{L}_r$, where \mathcal{L}_r is defined by (2.3). Since $\det h = \det h'$, $\beta \in \widetilde{SL}(2, \mathbf{R})$. But $\widetilde{SL}(2, \mathbf{R}) \subset \widetilde{Sp}(1, \mathbf{R})$, so β extends to an automorphism

$$(2) \quad \varphi = \begin{pmatrix} \beta & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon \end{pmatrix}$$

of H_1 , where $\epsilon = \det(\beta)$. $\varphi(\Gamma_r) = \Gamma_r$ and $g' = \varphi g \varphi$, so M is isometric to M' as claimed.

We are left to show that the condition $\Sigma(r, g) = \Sigma(r', g')$ implies $r = r'$. Consider the asymptotic distribution of eigenvalues. By a subset Λ of the join of $\Sigma(r, g)$ and $\Sigma(r', g')$, we shall mean a subcollection of elements with possible repetitions. For $s > 0$, $n_s(\Lambda)$ will denote the number of elements of Λ , counted with multiplicities, which are less than s . $n_s(\Sigma_1(r, h))$ is the number of points of \mathbf{Z}^2 whose norm relative to the inner product $(\delta_r h \delta_r)^{-1}$ is less than $s^{1/2}$. Hence $n_s(\Sigma_1(r, h)) = O(s)$ and $n_s(\Sigma_1(r', h')) = O(s)$. To estimate $n_s(\Sigma_2(r, g))$, set $A = 4\pi^2/g_3$ and $B = 2\pi/(\det(h))^{1/2}$. It follows from (1) and 3.2 that the elements of $\Sigma_2(r, g)$ and of $\Sigma_2(r', g')$ are of the form

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu(c, k) &= Ac^2 + Bc(2k + 1) \quad \text{and} \\ \mu'(c, k) &= A(r'/r)c^2 + B(r'/r)^{1/2}c(2k + 1), \end{aligned}$$

respectively. $\mu(c, k) < s$ if and only if $c < (s/A)^{1/2}$ and $2k + 1 < (s - Ac^2)/(Bc)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} n_s(\Sigma_2(r, g)) &= \sum_{c=1}^{[(s/A)^{1/2}]} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \text{ odd}}}^{[(s - Ac^2)/(Bc)]} 2cr \sim \int_0^{(s/A)^{1/2}} \frac{s - Ac^2}{B} r \, dc \\ &= \frac{2}{3}rs^{3/2}/(\sqrt{AB}) = O(s^{3/2}), \end{aligned}$$

and similarly $n_s(\Sigma_2(r', g')) = O(s^{3/2})$. (We note that the first-order approximations do not immediately distinguish $\Sigma_2(r, g)$ and $\Sigma_2(r', g')$ when $r \neq r'$, since for $A' = A(r'/r)$ and $B' = B(r'/r)^{1/2}$, $r'/((A')^{1/2}B') = r/(A^{1/2}B)$.) Let Λ be the ‘‘symmetric difference’’ of $\Sigma_2(r, g)$ and $\Sigma_2(r', g')$ in the sense that each element of $\Sigma_2(r, g) \cup \Sigma_2(r', g')$ occurs in Λ with multiplicity equal to the absolute value of the difference of its multiplicities in $\Sigma_2(r, g)$ and $\Sigma_2(r', g')$. Since $\Sigma(r, g) = \Sigma(r', g')$, Λ is contained in the join of $\Sigma_1(r, h)$ and $\Sigma_1(r', h')$ and hence must satisfy $n_s(\Lambda) \leq O(s)$. We will show that the assumption $r < r'$ implies $n_s(\Lambda)$ is at least $O(s \log(s))$, a contradiction. It will follow that $r \geq r'$ and, by symmetry, $r = r'$. We consider four cases.

Case 1. Suppose A and B are rationally independent. Then by (3), $\mu(c_1, k_1) = \mu(c_2, k_2)$ only if $c_1 = c_2, k_1 = k_2$. That is, $\mu(c, k)$ has multiplicity $2cr$ in $\Sigma_2(r, g)$. On the other hand, the multiplicity of $\mu(c, k)$ in $\Sigma_2(r', g')$ is a (possibly zero) multiple of $2r'$. For any c such that cr is not divisible by r' , $\mu(c, k)$ occurs in Λ with multiplicity at least 2 for every k . Thus we obtain approximately $(s - Ac^2)/Bc$ eigenvalues in Λ for each such c . Λ therefore contains a subcollection of order approximately

$$(\text{const}) \int_{c=1}^{(s/A)^{1/2}} B^{-1}(s/c - Ac) dc = O(s \log(s)),$$

where $(\text{const}) \geq r/r'$.

Case 2. Suppose A and B are rationally dependent and $(r'/r)^{1/2}$ is irrational. Then by (3), $\Sigma_2(r, g) \cap \Sigma_2(r', g') = \emptyset$ and $n_s(\Lambda) = O(s^{3/2})$.

Case 3. Suppose A and B are rationally dependent and $(r'/r)^{1/2}$ is rational but not equal to 2. We may assume, after multiplying all elements of $\Sigma_2(r, g)$ and $\Sigma_2(r', g')$ by a suitable constant, that A and B are relatively prime positive integers. Write $(r'/r)^{1/2} = p/q$ with $(p, q) = 1$. By assumption, $p > q$. (3) implies

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} q^2 \mu(c, k) &= Ac^2 q^2 + Bc(2k + 1)q^2, \\ q^2 \mu'(c, k) &= Ac^2 p^2 + Bc(2k + 1)pq. \end{aligned}$$

Subcase a. Suppose $(p, B) = 1$. By (4) and the fact that $(p, q^2) = 1, p \mid \mu'(c, k)$ for all pairs (c, k) . On the other hand, if p divides both $\mu(c, k)$ and $\mu(c, k + 1)$, then p divides $\mu(c, k + 1) - \mu(c, k) = 2Bc$. Thus $p \mid 2c$. By our assumptions, $p > 2$. For all c such that $p \nmid 2c$ and for all k , either $\mu(c, k)$ or $\mu(c, k + 1)$ occurs in Λ with the same multiplicity as in $\Sigma_2(r, g)$. It follows that $n_s(\Lambda) = O(s^{3/2})$.

Subcase b. Suppose $(p, B) > 1$. Choose a common prime factor p_0 of p and B . $p_0 \mid q^2 \mu'(c, k)$ for all (c, k) . However, since $(p_0, Aq^2) = 1, p_0 \mid q^2 \mu(c, k)$ only if $p_0 \mid c$. It again follows that $n_s(\Lambda) = O(s^{3/2})$.

Case 4. Suppose A and B are rationally dependent and $r'/r = 4$. As in Case 3 we may assume that A and B are relatively prime positive integers. By (3),

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu(c, k) &= Ac^2 + Bc(2k + 1), \\ \mu'(c, k) &= 4Ac^2 + 2Bc(2k + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mu'(c, k) = \mu(2c, k)$ for every c, k . However, since $r' = 4r$, μ is counted $8cr$ times in $\Sigma_2(r', g')$ for every c such that $\mu = \mu'(c, k)$, but is counted only $2(2c)r = 4cr$ times in $\Sigma_2(r, g)$ for each such c . Define Σ_{even} (resp. Σ_{odd}) to be the collection of all μ satisfying $\mu = \mu(c, k)$ for some even (resp. odd) positive integer c and some k , with the understanding that μ occurs $2cr$ times for each even (resp. odd) c such that $\mu = \mu(c, k)$. Then $\Sigma_2(r, g)$ is the join of Σ_{even} and Σ_{odd} while $\Sigma_2(r', g')$ is the join of two copies of Σ_{even} , so Λ is the symmetric difference of Σ_{even} and Σ_{odd} . If either A or B is even, then all elements of Σ_{odd} are odd while all elements of Σ_{even} are even; hence $\Lambda = \Sigma_2(r, g)$ and $n_s(\Lambda) = O(s^{3/2})$. Thus we may assume that A and B are both odd.

$\mu(c, k) = c(Ac + B + 2Bk)$. In particular, $c \mid \mu(c, k)$. We consider the elements $\mu(c, k)$ which satisfy the following conditions:

- (a) c is a prime; $c \geq 3$. (Hence $Ac + B + 2Bk$ is even.)
- (b) $q = \frac{1}{2}(Ac + B + 2Bk)$ is a prime.
- (c) $q > mc$, where $m = \max\{\frac{1}{2}(A + B), 2\}$.

If $A \geq 2$, the definition of q in (b) implies that $Aq^2 > \mu(c, k)$; if $A = 1$, (b) and (c) together imply $Aq^2 > \mu(c, k)$. It follows that $\mu(q, \tilde{k}) > \mu(c, k)$ for every choice of \tilde{k} . Thus if $\mu(c, k) = \mu(\tilde{c}, \tilde{k})$ for some pair $(\tilde{c}, \tilde{k}) \neq (c, k)$, then $\tilde{c} < q$. But \tilde{c} divides $\mu(c, k) = 2cq$, so \tilde{c} must equal 2, c , or $2c$. Clearly $\mu(c, k) \neq \mu(c, \tilde{k})$ when $k \neq \tilde{k}$, so the multiplicity of $\mu(c, k)$ in Σ_{odd} is precisely $2cr$, and its multiplicity in Σ_{even} is one of 0, $4r$, $4cr$, or $4cr + 4r$. In any case, $\mu(c, k)$ has multiplicity at least $2(c - 2)r > \frac{2}{3}cr$ in Λ . Thus it suffices to show that the number of pairs (c, k) satisfying (a)–(c), each counted $\frac{2}{3}cr$ times and with $\mu(c, k) = 2cq \leq s$, has order greater than $O(s)$.

Condition (b) is equivalent to

$$(b') \quad \mu(c, k) = 2cq \quad \text{where } q \text{ is prime and } q \equiv \frac{1}{2}(Ac + B) \pmod{B}.$$

Let $N(s)$ denote the number of elements $\mu(c, k) \leq s$ satisfying (a), (b'), and (c), each counted $\frac{2}{3}cr$ times. Note that these conditions imply that $c \leq (s/2m)^{1/2}$. Fix α with $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. For s sufficiently large so that $s^\alpha \leq (s/2m)^{1/2}$, we have

$$(6) \quad N(s) \geq \sum_{\substack{3 \leq c \leq s^\alpha \\ c \text{ prime}}} \frac{2}{3} cr \left[\pi\left(\frac{s}{2c}, \frac{1}{2}(Ac + B), B\right) - \pi\left(mc, \frac{1}{2}(Ac + B), B\right) \right],$$

where $\pi(x, n, B)$ denotes the number of primes congruent to n modulo B which are less than x .

By the prime number theorem (see [2]), $\pi(x, n, B)$ is approximately

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(B)} \frac{x}{\log x} \quad \text{for large } x,$$

where φ is the Euler function. Hence there exist $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbf{R}^+$ depending only on B such that $b_1x/\log(x) < \pi(x, n, B) < b_2x/\log(x)$ for all $x \geq 3$. (Dependency of b_1 and b_2 on n can be avoided since n lies in one of only finitely many congruence classes modulo B .) In particular each term in (6) is greater than

$$(7) \quad \frac{2}{3} cr \left(b_1 \frac{s}{2c \log(s/2c)} - b_2 \frac{mc}{\log mc} \right) > b'_1 \frac{s}{\log s} - b'_2 s^\alpha$$

for some constants $b'_1, b'_2 \in \mathbf{R}^+$, since $3 \leq c < s^\alpha$. Again by the prime number theorem, the number of primes c in the interval $[3, x]$ is greater than $b_3x/\log(x)$ for some constant b_3 . Hence, by (6) and (7),

$$N(s) > b''_1 \frac{s^{1+\alpha}}{(\log s)^2} - b''_2 \frac{s^{2\alpha}}{\log s}$$

for some $b''_1, b''_2 \in \mathbf{R}^+$ independent of s . It follows that $n_s(\Lambda)$ is at least

$$O\left(\frac{s^{1+\alpha}}{(\log s)^2}\right).$$

Thus in all cases $n_s(\Lambda)$ is at least $O(s \log(s))$ as claimed. This completes the proof. □

Appendix. The spectrum on p -forms. If (M^n, g) is a compact Riemannian manifold, the Laplace–Beltrami operator Δ acts on the space $E^p(M)$ of smooth p -forms by

$$(1) \quad \Delta = d\delta + \delta d,$$

where $\delta = (-1)^{n(p+1)+1} * d *$, $*$ being the Hodge- $*$ operator of (M, g) . If $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $\tau \in E^p(M)$, then ([6, Proposition 4.3]):

$$(2) \quad \Delta(f\tau) = (\Delta f)\tau + f(\Delta\tau) - 2\nabla_{\text{grad } f}\tau.$$

Now suppose that $M = \Gamma \backslash G$ (where G is a connected Lie group and Γ a uniform discrete subgroup) and that the metric g on M lifts to a left-invariant metric on G . Elements of the exterior algebra $\Lambda^p(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, where \mathfrak{g}^* is the dual space of the Lie algebra of \mathfrak{g} , may be viewed first as left-invariant p -forms on G and then as elements of $E^p(\Gamma \backslash G)$. With this interpretation,

$$(3) \quad E^p(\Gamma \backslash G) = C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G) \otimes \Lambda^p(\mathfrak{g}^*).$$

Note that if U_1, \dots, U_n is a basis of \mathfrak{g} orthonormal with respect to g , then $\nabla_{\text{grad } f}\tau = \sum_{i=1}^n (U_i f) \nabla_{U_i} \tau$. It therefore follows, from (2) and from equation (1) of Section 3 (with H_n replaced by G), that $\mathfrak{H} \otimes \Lambda^p(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is Δ -invariant whenever \mathfrak{H} is a subspace of $C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G)$ invariant under the right action of G .

(A.1) LEMMA. *Let Γ and Γ' be uniform discrete subgroups of a Lie group G , let g' be a left-invariant Riemannian metric on G , let $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(G)$, and set $g = \varphi^*g'$. Let Δ and Δ' denote the Laplacians of $(\Gamma \backslash G, g)$ and $(\Gamma' \backslash G, g')$. Denote by R and R' the right actions of G on $C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G)$ and $C^\infty(\Gamma' \backslash G)$, respectively, and let $\mathfrak{H} \subset C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G)$ and $\mathfrak{H}' \subset C^\infty(\Gamma' \backslash G)$ be subspaces invariant under R and R' , respectively. If $R|_{\mathfrak{H}}$ is unitarily equivalent to $R' \circ \varphi|_{\mathfrak{H}'}$, then the action of Δ on $\mathfrak{H} \otimes \Lambda^p(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is equivalent to that of Δ' on $\mathfrak{H}' \otimes \Lambda^p(\mathfrak{g}^*)$.*

Proof. This lemma is a straightforward application of (2). The details are given in [6, §4.4]. (The additional hypotheses in [6], that $\Gamma = \Gamma'$ and that φ is an “almost inner” automorphism, are not needed in the proof.) \square

We now specialize to Heisenberg manifolds.

(A.2) NOTATION. For Γ_r the uniform discrete subgroup of H_n defined in 2.3, we will denote by $\mathfrak{H}_{r,1}$ the space of all C^∞ functions on $\Gamma_r \backslash H_n$ which vanish on the center of H_n , and by $\mathfrak{H}_{r,2}$ the complementary subspace of $C^\infty(\Gamma_r \backslash H_n)$ invariant under the right action of H_n . (Note that the action of H_n on any irreducible subspace of $\mathfrak{H}_{r,2}$ is equivalent to the representation π_c defined in 3.6 for some c .) By the remarks above, if g is any left-invariant Riemannian metric on H_n and Δ is the Laplace–Beltrami operator of $(\Gamma_r \backslash H_n, g)$, then $\mathfrak{H}_{r,i} \otimes \Lambda^p(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is Δ -invariant, $i = 1, 2$. Denote by $\Sigma_i^p(r, g)$ the collection of eigenvalues, with multiplicities, of Δ on $\mathfrak{H}_{r,i} \otimes \Lambda^p(\mathfrak{g}^*)$.

(A.3) PROPOSITION. *We use notation A.2 and let*

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & g_{2n+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

as in 2.6(5). Then $\Sigma_2^p(r, g)$ is uniquely determined by $|\Gamma_r|$, g_{2n+1} , and the eigenvalues of $h^{-1}J$ (see 3.2(b)).

Proof. Suppose $|\Gamma_r| = |\Gamma_s|$ and let

$$g' = \begin{bmatrix} h' & 0 \\ 0 & g_{2n+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

be another metric on H_n such that $h^{-1}J$ and $(h')^{-1}J$ have the same eigenvalues and $g_{2n+1} = g'_{2n+1}$. The argument given in remark 4.2(i) shows that $h' = \alpha h \alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \text{Sp}(n, \mathbf{R})$ and hence

$$g' = \varphi^* g \quad \text{for } \varphi = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \text{Aut}(H_n).$$

Let R_r and R_s denote the action of H_n on $C^\infty(\Gamma_r \backslash H_n)$ and $C^\infty(\Gamma_s \backslash H_n)$ as defined in 3.1. By Lemma 3.7(b), for every integer c , π_c occurs in R_r with the same multiplicity as in R_s . Moreover, since φ acts as the identity on the center of H_n , $\pi_c \circ \varphi$ is unitarily equivalent to π_c . (See 3.7(a).) It follows that $R_s \circ \varphi|_{\mathfrak{H}_{2,s}}$ is unitarily equivalent to $R_r|_{\mathfrak{H}_{2,r}}$. Thus $\Sigma_2^p(r, g) = \Sigma_2^p(r', g')$ by Lemma A.1. \square

$\Sigma_1^p(r, g)$ depends more intricately on Γ_r and g except in the special case of Theorem 4.5, that is, the case in which the eigenvalues $\pm\sqrt{-1}d_1^2, \dots, \pm\sqrt{-1}d_n^2$ of $h^{-1}J$ satisfy $d_1 = d_2 = \dots = d_n$.

Proof of Theorem 4.5. From Proposition A.3, it suffices to prove that $\Sigma_1^p(r, g) = \Sigma_1^p(s, g')$. By Lemma 3.7(b),

$$(4) \quad \mathfrak{H}_{r,1} = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \mathcal{Q}_r} \mathbf{R}f_\tau \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{H}_{s,1} = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \mathcal{Q}_s} \mathbf{R}f_\tau,$$

where f_τ is defined in 3.6(a) and \mathcal{Q}_τ in 3.2(c). Use g to define $\eta: \mathfrak{h}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_n$, $\#: \mathfrak{h}_n^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_n$ and an inner product $\langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle$ on \mathfrak{h}_n^* , with g' used to define analogous objects $\eta', \#'$, and $\langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle'$. Since $\Sigma_1(r, h) = \Sigma_1(s, h')$ by the proof of Theorem (4.3), Lemma (3.4) implies the existence of a bijection $\theta: \mathcal{Q}_r \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_s$ such that $\langle \theta\tau, \theta\tau \rangle' = \langle \tau, \tau \rangle$. We will show below that for each $\tau \in \mathcal{Q}_r$ there exists $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(H_n)$ such that $\tau = \theta\tau \circ \varphi_*$. But then $f_\tau = f_{\theta\tau} \circ \varphi$, which means that $R_r|_{\mathbf{R}f_\tau}$ is unitarily equivalent to $R_s \circ \varphi|_{\mathbf{R}f_{\theta\tau}}$ (where R_r and R_s denote, as usual, the right actions of H_n on $C^\infty(\Gamma_r \backslash H_n)$ and $C^\infty(\Gamma_s \backslash H_n)$). By (4) and Lemma (4.1), it will follow that $\Sigma_1^p(r, g) = \Sigma_1^p(s, g')$.

Our assumption that $d_1 = d_2 = \dots = d_n$ implies that $\eta^2 = -d_1^4(\text{Id})$. Since the h -orthonormal basis of Lemma (3.5) arose by taking real and imaginary parts of eigenvectors in \mathfrak{h}_n^C of η , in the present case any unit vector in \mathfrak{h}_n can serve as the first vector of such a basis. Thus we may choose an h -orthonormal basis $\mathfrak{B} = \{U_1, V_1, \dots, U_n, V_n\}$ and an h' -orthonormal basis $\mathfrak{B}' = \{U'_1, V'_1, \dots, U'_n, V'_n\}$ of \mathbf{R}^{2n} such that

$$(6) \quad [U_i, V_i] = d_1^2 Z = [U'_i, V'_i] \quad (1 \leq i \leq n),$$

with all other brackets of pairs of elements in \mathfrak{B} (resp., \mathfrak{B}') being trivial, and such that

$$(7) \quad U_1 = \langle \tau, \tau \rangle^{-1/2} \# \tau, \quad U'_1 = \langle \tau, \tau \rangle^{-1/2} \# (\theta\tau).$$

By (6), there exists $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(H_n)$ satisfying $\varphi_*(U_i) = U'_i$, $\varphi_*(V_i) = V'_i$, and $\varphi_*(Z) = Z$. Then $g = \varphi^*g'$ and by (7), $\theta\tau \circ \varphi_* = \tau$. \square

In contrast to Theorem 4.5, the following computation suggests that $\Sigma_1^1(r, g)$ may often distinguish the isospectral manifolds of Theorem 4.3 when the d_i 's are distinct.

(A.4) PROPOSITION. *We use the notation of A.2 and 3.2(c). For $\tau \in \mathcal{Q}_r$, let*

$$\alpha(\tau) = 4\pi^2 \langle \tau, \tau \rangle, \quad A = \frac{g_{2n+1}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^4,$$

$$B(\tau) = 4\pi^2 g_{2n+1} \langle \#^{-1}\eta\#\tau, \#^{-1}\eta\#\tau \rangle, \quad \beta_{\pm}(\tau) = \alpha(\tau) + A \pm \sqrt{A^2 + B(\tau)}.$$

Then $\Sigma_1^1(r, g)$ is the collection of numbers λ of the form $\lambda = \alpha(\tau)$ or $\lambda = \beta_{\pm}(\tau)$ for some $\tau \in \mathcal{Q}_r$. λ occurs in $\Sigma_1^1(r, g)$ $2n-1$ times for each $\tau \in \mathcal{Q}_r$ such that $\lambda = \alpha(\tau)$, and once for each $\tau \in \mathcal{Q}_r$ such that $\lambda = \beta_+(\tau)$ or $\lambda = \beta_-(\tau)$.

Proof. Let $\tau \in \mathcal{Q}_r$, with $f_{\tau}(\exp X) = \exp[2\pi\sqrt{-1}\tau(x)]$ as in 3.6. Then $\text{grad } f_{\tau} = 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\#\tau$. For $\sigma \in \mathfrak{h}_n^*$, (2) yields

$$(8) \quad \Delta(f_{\tau}\sigma) = f_{\tau}\{4\pi^2 \langle \tau, \tau \rangle \sigma + \Delta\sigma - 4\pi\sqrt{-1}\nabla_{\#\tau}\sigma\}.$$

Let $\xi = (\#)^{-1}Z/g_{2n+1}$. Thus $\xi(Z) = 1$ and $\xi|_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} = 0$. Using (1) and Lemma 3.5, an easy computation shows that for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{h}_n^*$,

$$(9) \quad \Delta\sigma = \sigma(Z)g_{2n+1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n d_i^4 \right) \xi = 2A\sigma(Z)\xi.$$

Using the standard formula (see [7]),

$g(\nabla_X Y, U) = \frac{1}{2}\{g([X, Y], U) - g(Y, [X, U]) - g(X, [Y, U])\}$ for $X, Y, U \in \mathfrak{h}_n$, together with $\nabla_X(\#\sigma) = \#\nabla_X\sigma$, routine computation yields

$$(10) \quad \nabla_{\#\tau}\sigma = -\frac{1}{2}\sigma(Z)(\#)^{-1}\eta\#\tau + \frac{1}{2}\sigma(\eta\#\tau)g_{2n+1}\xi.$$

From (8), (9), and (10), we see that if σ belongs to the $(2n-1)$ -dimensional subspace orthogonal to both ξ and $\#^{-1}\eta\#\tau$, then $f_{\tau}\sigma$ is an eigenvector of Δ for the eigenvalue $\alpha(\tau) = 4\pi^2 \langle \tau, \tau \rangle$. Moreover, on the two-dimensional subspace spanned by $f_{\tau}\xi$ and $f_{\tau}(\#^{-1}\eta\#\tau)$, $\Delta - \alpha(\tau)\text{Id}$ is described by the 2×2 matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} g_{2n+1} \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^4 & -2\pi\sqrt{-1}g_{2n+1}\|\eta\#\tau\|^2 \\ 2\pi\sqrt{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2A & \frac{-\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}B(\tau) \\ 2\pi\sqrt{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since the eigenvalues of this matrix are $A \pm \sqrt{A^2 + B(\tau)}$, the Proposition now follows from (4). \square

(A.5) REMARKS. In [4], the pairs of manifolds isospectral on functions which were constructed in the proof of Theorem 4.3 will be re-examined. It will be shown that in certain cases where the d_i 's are not all equal, the spectrum of the Laplacian on 1-forms distinguishes the manifolds. Proposition (A.4) will be used heavily in

this demonstration. To our knowledge, these examples provide the first known instance of manifolds isospectral on functions yet non-isospectral on p -forms for some p .

REFERENCES

1. M. Berger, P. Gauduchon, and E. Mazet, *Le spectre d'une variété Riemannienne*, Lecture Notes in Math., 194, Springer, Berlin, 1971.
2. H. Davenport, *Multiplicative number theory*, Markham, Chicago, Ill., 1967.
3. N. Ejiri, *A construction of nonflat, compact irreducible Riemannian manifolds which are isospectral but not isometric*, Math. Z. 168 (1979), 207–212.
4. C. S. Gordon, *Manifolds isospectral on functions but not on 1-forms*, submitted for publication.
5. C. S. Gordon and E. N. Wilson, *Isometry groups of solvmanifolds*, preprint.
6. ———, *Isospectral deformations of compact solvmanifolds*, J. Differential Geom. 19 (1984), 241–256.
7. S. Helgason, *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
8. R. Howe, *On the role of the Heisenberg group in harmonic analysis*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 3 (1980), 821–843.
9. A. Ikeda, *On spherical space forms which are isospectral but not isometric*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 35 (1983), 437–444.
10. ———, *On the spectrum of a Riemannian manifold of positive constant curvature*, Osaka J. Math. 17 (1980), 75–93.
11. A. A. Kirillov, *Unitary representations of nilpotent Lie groups*, Russian Math. Surveys 17 (1962), 53–104.
12. J. Milnor, *Eigenvalues of the Laplace operator of certain manifolds*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 51 (1964), 542.
13. C. C. Moore, *Representations of solvable and nilpotent groups and harmonic analysis on nil and solvmanifolds*. Harmonic analysis on homogeneous spaces (Williamstown, Mass., 1972), 3–44, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 26, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1973.
14. L. Pukanszky, *Lecons sur les représentations des groupes*, Dunod., Paris, 1966.
15. T. Sunada, *Riemannian coverings and isospectral manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) 121 (1985), 169–186.
16. H. Urakawa, *Bounded domains which are isospectral but not congruent*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 15 (1982), 441–456.
17. ———, *On the least positive eigenvalue of the Laplacian for compact group manifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 31 (1979), 209–226.
18. M.-F. Vignéras, *Variétés Riemanniennes isospectrales et non isométriques*, Ann. of Math. (2) 112 (1980), 21–32.
19. J. von Neumann, *Die Eindeutigkeit der Schröderschen Operatoren*, Math. Ann. 104 (1931), 570–578.

Department of Mathematics
 Washington University
 St. Louis, Missouri 63130

