

# SMOOTH $S^1$ -MANIFOLDS IN THE HOMOTOPY TYPE OF $\mathbb{C}P^3$

Italo Jose DeJter

## 0. INTRODUCTION

Four years ago T. Petrie [6] conjectured that *if  $X$  is a closed, smooth,  $2n$ -dimensional homotopy  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  that admits a nontrivial action of  $S^1$ , and if  $h: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$  is a homotopy equivalence, then  $h$  preserves Pontrjagin classes.*

In the present paper we prove the conjecture for the case  $n = 3$ :

**THEOREM 0.1.** *Let  $X$  be a closed, smooth  $S^1$ -manifold such that  $X^{S^1} \neq X$ , and let  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^3$  be a homotopy equivalence. Then*

$$f^* \hat{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{C}P^3) = \hat{\mathcal{A}}(|X|),$$

where  $|X|$  denotes the underlying smooth manifold of  $X$ ,

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}}(|X|) = (x_i/2) (\sinh x_i/2)^{-1} \in H^*(|X|; \mathbb{Q}),$$

and the elementary symmetric functions of the  $x_i^2$  give the Pontrjagin classes of  $|X|$ . In particular,  $f$  preserves the Pontrjagin classes of  $|X|$ .

Furthermore, a theorem of D. Montgomery and C. T. Yang [5] implies that there is a bijective application

$$P: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \{\text{diffeomorphism classes of smooth manifolds homotopy equivalent to } \mathbb{C}P^3\}$$

such that, for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$p_1(P(\alpha)) = (24\alpha + 4)z^2,$$

where  $p_1$  is the first Pontrjagin class and  $z$  is a generator of  $H^2(\mathbb{C}P^3)$ .

**THEOREM 0.2.** *A closed smooth  $S^1$  manifold  $X$ , homotopy-equivalent to  $\mathbb{C}P^3$  and such that  $X^{S^1} \neq X$ , is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}P^3$ .*

Theorem 0.1 follows from Theorem 2.1, as indicated subsequently. This is intimately related to the proof of Theorem 1.3, which completely determines the rational torsion-free equivariant K-theory of  $X$ .

## 1. EQUIVARIANT COHOMOLOGIES

Let  $G$  be a compact abelian Lie group that is topologically cyclic, in other words, such that there exists a dense generator  $g$  in  $G$ . Let  $R(G)$  be the representation ring of  $G$ . Let  $Z$  be a closed, smooth  $G$ -manifold such that  $Z^G \neq Z$ , and let  $\hat{K}_G^*(Z)$  be the quotient of the equivariant K-theory  $K_G^*(Z)$  by its  $R(G)$ -torsion.

---

Received May 27, 1975.

Michigan Math. J. 23 (1976).

We assume  $K_G^*(Z^G) \neq \emptyset$ . Then the  $R(G)$ -homomorphism

$$(1.1) \quad i_Z^*: \hat{K}_G^*(Z) \rightarrow K_G^*(Z^G)$$

induced by the inclusion  $i_Z: Z^G \rightarrow Z$  is a monomorphism, by the Atiyah-Segal localization theorem [6, page 109], and the induced homomorphism (where  $F$  is the field of fractions of  $R(G)$ )

$$(1.1a) \quad i_Z^* \otimes 1_F: \hat{K}_G^*(Z) \otimes F \rightarrow K_G^*(Z^G) \otimes F$$

is an isomorphism. For simplicity of exposition, we consider  $\hat{K}_G^*(Z)$  as an  $R(G)$ -subalgebra of  $K_G^*(Z^G)$ , that is, we identify  $K_G^*(Z)$  with its image under  $i_Z^*$ ; thus  $\hat{K}_G^*(Z) \otimes F$  is not only a submodule of  $K_G^*(Z^G) \otimes F$ , but it also coincides with it.

If  $Z$  is simply connected and the tangent bundle  $\tau Z$  of  $Z$  admits a  $\text{spin}^c$  structure [6, page 117], let

$$(1.2) \quad \text{Id}_G^Z: \hat{K}_G^*(Z) \rightarrow R(G)$$

be the  $R(G)$ -module homomorphism defined as the composition

$$\hat{K}_G^*(Z) \xrightarrow{\psi} K_G^*(\tau Z) \xrightarrow{\text{Ind}} R(G),$$

where  $\psi$  is the Thom isomorphism of [6, page 119] and  $\text{Ind}$  is the Atiyah-Singer index homomorphism [1].

According to [6, page 123],  $\text{Id}_G^Z$  has an algebraic extension  $R(G)$  module homomorphism that we denote by

$$(1.3) \quad \text{Ed}_G^Z: K_G^*(Z^G) \rightarrow F,$$

and such that, if  $\{Z_i^G\}_{i=0}^{\ell-1}$  is the set of components of  $Z^G$ , then

$$(1.4) \quad \text{Ed}_G^Z = \text{tr} \left[ \begin{array}{c} \ell-1 \\ \oplus \\ i=0 \end{array} \text{Ed}_i \right],$$

where  $\text{Ed}_i: K_G^*(Z_i^G) \rightarrow F$  are  $R(G)$ -module homomorphisms. If  $G = S^1$ , let

$$(1.5) \quad h^*(\cdot) = \hat{K}_{S^1}^*(\cdot) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

and

$$(1.6) \quad R = R(S^1) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

(See the universal coefficient theorem (U. C. T.) of [4].) Let

$$(1.7) \quad \Lambda = h^*(Z), \quad \Theta = h^*(Z^{S^1}), \quad \text{Id}_\Lambda = \text{Id}_{S^1}^Z \otimes 1_R, \quad \text{Ed}_\Lambda = \text{Ed}_{S^1}^Z \otimes 1_R.$$

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a  $\Lambda$ -submodule of  $\Theta$  such that  $\text{Ed}_\Lambda(\Gamma) \subset R$ . Then  $\Gamma = \Lambda$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Define  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(\Lambda, R)$  by

$$f(x) = \text{Ed}_\Lambda(x\gamma).$$

By the U.C.T. of [4], there exists a unique element  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that

$$f(x) = \text{Id}_\Lambda(x_\lambda) = \text{Ed}_\Lambda(x_\lambda).$$

Thus  $\text{Ed}_\Lambda[x(\lambda - \gamma)] = 0$  for every  $x \in \Lambda$ . On the other hand,  $\text{Ed}_\Lambda$  also defines a nondegenerate bilinear form

$$\Theta \otimes F = \Lambda \otimes F \rightarrow \text{Hom}_F(\Lambda \otimes F, F)$$

(see (1.1a)). Thus  $\lambda = \gamma$ .

We recall that an  $S^1$ -linear  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  is a smooth  $S^1$ -manifold  $Y$  with underlying manifold  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  and such that the action of  $S^1$  over  $Y$  is given by

$$t \circ [z] = [w_0; \dots; w_n],$$

where  $t \in S^1$ ,  $[z] = [z_0; \dots; z_n]$ , and  $w_i = t^{a_i} z_i$  for  $i = 0, \dots, n$  (with the usual complex operations). We write

$$(1.8) \quad Y = Y(a_0, \dots, a_n).$$

A closed smooth  $S^1$ -manifold, homotopy-equivalent to  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  (usually called an  $S^1$ -homotopy- $\mathbb{C}P^n$ ), is said to be  $S^1$ -exotic if it is not an  $S^1$ -linear  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ .

**THEOREM 1.2.** *If a closed smooth  $S^1$ -manifold  $X$  is homotopy equivalent to  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ , where  $n \leq 3$ , and if  $X^{S^1} \neq X$ , then there exists either an  $S^1$ -linear  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  (see (1.8)), which we denote by  $Z_0$ , or an  $S^1$ -exotic  $\mathbb{C}P^3$  of the only known type [4], denoted by  $Z_1$ ; in either case, there exists an  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra-isomorphism*

$$(1.9) \quad \omega: h^*(Z_j^{S^1}) \rightarrow h^*(X^{S^1}),$$

where  $j = 0$  or  $1$ , such that

$$(1.10) \quad \omega[h^*(Z_j)] \subset h^*(X)$$

and

$$(1.11) \quad [(\text{Ed}_{S^1}^{Z_j} \otimes 1_{\mathbb{R}}) \circ \omega^{-1}][h^*(X)] \subset \mathbb{R}.$$

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is carried out in Sections 3 and 4 for the two different possible situations  $j = 0, 1$ .

The following is an immediate corollary of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

**THEOREM 1.3.** *If  $X$  is a closed, smooth  $S^1$ -manifold, homotopy-equivalent to  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ , where  $n \leq 3$ , and such that  $X^{S^1} \neq X$ , then  $\hat{K}_{S^1}^*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  is the rational  $\hat{K}_{S^1}^*$  of either an  $S^1$ -linear  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  or an  $S^1$ -exotic  $\mathbb{C}P^3$  as in [4].*

## 2. THE DIFFERENTIAL STRUCTURE

Let  $X$  be a closed, smooth  $S^1$ -manifold in the homotopy type of  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ , such that  $X^{S^1} \neq X$ . The set of fixed points  $X^{S^1}$  is the disjoint union [2]

$$(2.1) \quad X^{S^1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} X_i$$

of the fixed-point set components  $X_i$ , such that  $X_i$  is a cohomology  $\mathbb{C}P^{k_i}$  and

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} (k_i + 1) = n + 1.$$

Let  $\eta$  be the equivariant Hopf bundle over  $X$  [6, page 132], and let  $\nu_X X_i$  be the normal  $S^1$ -bundle of  $X_i$  in  $X$ . Then there are integers  $x_{ij}$  and  $a_i$  such that as complex  $S^1$ -modules, for  $x_i \in X_i$

$$(2.3) \quad (\nu_X X_i)_{x_i} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-k_i} t^{x_{ij}} \quad \text{and} \quad (\eta|_{X_i})_{x_i} = t^{a_i}.$$

The exponents  $a_i$  are distinct, and they are determined, up to translation by a common integer [6, page 132]. We shall assume throughout that  $a_0 = 0$ .

We observe that

$$R(S^1)[\eta]/(I) \subset \hat{K}_{S^1}^*(X),$$

where  $I = \prod_{i=0}^{\ell-1} (\eta - t^{a_i})^{k_i+1} \in R(S^1)[\eta]$ .

Let  $\phi: R(S^1)[\eta] \rightarrow \hat{K}_{S^1}^*(X)$  be the composition

$$R(S^1)[\eta] \rightarrow R(S^1)[\eta]/(I) \subset \hat{K}_{S^1}^*(X).$$

For some positive integer  $h$ , let  $j_\alpha$  ( $\alpha = 1, \dots, h$ ) be an integer such that  $0 \leq j_\alpha < \ell$ , and such that in  $\{j_1, \dots, j_h\}$  there are at most  $k_{j_\alpha} + 1$  distinct appearances of  $j_\alpha$ , for each  $\alpha = 1, \dots, h$ . We write

$$(2.4) \quad \psi_{j_1, \dots, j_h}(t) = (\text{Id}_{S^1}^X) \circ \phi \left[ I \prod_{j=1}^h (\eta - t^{a_{j_\alpha}})^{-1} \right] \in R(S^1).$$

We point out the following generalizations of [6, Part II, Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3 and Corollary 2.4], from [6, Part I, Proposition 5.2].

$$(2.5) \quad \psi_i(t) = \left[ \prod_{j \neq i} (1 - t^{a_i - a_j})^{k_j+1} \right] \left[ \prod_{j=1}^{n-k_i} (1 - t^{x_{ij}})^{-1} \right] \in R(S^1)$$

(up to units), and then

$$(2.5a) \quad \psi_i(1) = \pm 1,$$

which implies that

$$(2.6) \quad \prod_{j \neq i} |a_i - a_j|^{k_j+1} = \prod_{j=1}^{n-k_i} |x_{ij}|$$

and

$$(2.6a) \quad \text{g. c. d. } \{ |a_i - a_j|; j = 0, \dots, \ell - 1; j \neq i \} = \text{g. c. d. } \{ |x_{ij}|; j = 1, \dots, n - k_i \}.$$

The numerical value of the last expression is independent of  $i$  ( $i = 0, \dots, \ell - 1$ ).

We say that the action on  $X$  is  $S^1$ -*quasi-linear* if for every  $i$  such that  $0 \leq i < \ell$ ,

$$(2.7) \quad \{ |x_{ij}|; j = 1, \dots, n - k_i \} = \{ |a_j - a_i| \text{ repeated } k_j + 1 \text{ times, } j \neq i \},$$

(where, in the right hand side,  $j = 0, \dots, \ell - 1$ ), or equivalently by (2.5),  $\psi_i(t) = \pm t^{N_i}$ , where  $N_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Otherwise the action is said to be  $S^1$ -*quasi-exotic*.

[6, Part I, Proposition 5.2] implies that if for  $i \neq j$ ,  $k_i, k_j \leq 1$ , then for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$(2.8) \quad \psi_{ij}(t) = (1 - t^{a_i - a_j})^{-1} [\psi_i(t) \pm t^\lambda \psi_j(t)] \in R(S^1) \quad (\text{up to units}).$$

Together with Theorem 1.2, we prove in Sections 3 and 4 the following result.

**THEOREM 2.1.**  $\text{Id}_{S^1}^X(\eta^k)(1) = \pm \text{Id}_{S^1}^Y(\mathcal{H}^k)(1)$ , where

$$Y = Y(a_i \text{ repeated } k_i + 1 \text{ times } (0 \leq i < \ell))$$

(see (1.8)), and where  $\mathcal{H}$  is the equivariant Hopf bundle over  $Y$ .

*Proof of Theorem 0.1.* The proof is obtained from Theorem 2.1, in the same way as the one given for the case where  $X^{S^1}$  is isolated, in [6, Part II, Corollary 2.12].

*Remark 2.2.* [6, Part I, Proposition 5.2] implies, with the notation of the present section, that, if  $X^{S^1}$  is isolated, then

$$(2.9) \quad \text{Id}_{S^1}^X(u(\eta)) = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} u(t^{a_i}) t^{\lambda_i} \prod_{j=1}^{n-k_i} (1 - t^{x_{ij}})^{-1} \in R(S^1),$$

where  $u(\eta) \in R(S^1)[\eta]/(I) \subset \hat{K}_{S^1}^*(X)$  and the exponents  $\lambda_i$  are integers. Compare with [4, Part II, Section 10].

When necessary, we shall make use of the distinction  $\lambda_i = \lambda_i^X$  and  $\psi_{j_1, \dots, j_h} = \psi_{j_1, \dots, j_h}^X$ .

### 3. THE QUASI-LINEAR ACTIONS (2.7)

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *The statements of Theorems 1.2 and 2.1 are true for any  $n > 0$ , provided that  $X$  is  $S^1$ -quasi-linear (see (2.7)) and  $X^{S^1}$  is isolated. More specifically, in this case there exists an  $S^1$ -linear  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ , denoted by  $Z_0$ , such that formulas (1.9), (1.10), (1.11) hold for  $j = 0$ .*

*Proof.* We consider here the Remark 2.2. First, observe from  $\psi_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$  ( $i \neq j$ ) (see (2.8) and (2.9)) that there exists  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$  such that

$$\prod_{\substack{j \neq i \\ j=0, \dots, n}} (a_i - a_j) = \varepsilon \prod_{j=1, \dots, n-k_i} x_{ij}$$

for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . (This observation implies already Theorem 2.1 in the present case.)

Let  $Y$  be as in Theorem 2.1. By [6, page 130], the exponents  $\lambda_i^Y$  are null. Assume that  $\lambda_0^X$  is also zero. Now, if  $n > 2$ ,

$$\varepsilon \psi_{0ij}^X - \psi_{0ij}^Y \in \mathbb{R}(S^1)$$

for every  $i$  and  $j$  such that  $i \neq j$  and  $i, j \neq 0$ , implies that there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\lambda_j^X = ka_j$ , so that

$$\varepsilon \text{Id}_{S^1}^X (\eta^{-k}) = \text{Id}_{S^1}^Y (1).$$

This implies the statement.

In the remainder of this section and in Section 4, we complete the proof of the results stated in Sections 1 and 2.

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** *Assume that either  $n = 3$  and  $X^{S^1}$  is nonisolated, or that  $n < 3$ . Then  $X$  is  $S^1$ -quasi-linear.*

*Proof.* Consider the case  $n = \ell = 3$  and  $(k_0, k_1, k_2) = (1, 0, 0)$  (see (2.1) and (2.2)).

If  $\psi_0 \neq \pm t^N$  for every  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we see from (2.5) and (2.6) that the assumption  $x_{0j} \mid a_j$  for  $j = 1, 2$  gives a contradiction; therefore we may assume  $x_{01}, x_{02} \mid a_2$ , which implies that  $a_1 \mid a_2$ . By (2.6a),

$$\text{g. c. d.}(|x_{01}|, |x_{02}|) = \text{g. c. d.}(|a_1|, |a_2|) = |a_1|.$$

Since  $|x_{01}| \neq |x_{02}|$ , we may assume

$$|a_2| = |a_1|pq \quad \text{and} \quad \{|x_{0i}/a_1|\} = \{p, q\},$$

where  $p$  and  $q$  are coprimes greater than 1. Then

$$|a_2 - a_1| = |a_1|(pq \pm 1).$$

Since  $\psi_{02} \in \mathbb{R}$ , we can assume  $|x_{21}| = kp$ , and if  $k > 1$ , then  $|x_{22}| = k'p$ , where  $(k, k') = 1$ , and  $|x_{02}| = q$ , so that (2.6) gives a contradiction; if  $k = 1$ , then  $|x_{22}| = k'q$ , and if  $k' > 1$ , then  $|x_{23}| = k'q$ , so that (2.6) again gives a contradiction, as in the case  $k' = 1$ .

If  $\psi_1 \neq \pm t^N$  for every  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then by (2.5) and symmetry we may assume either

- (i)  $x_{11} \mid a_1$ ;  $x_{12}, x_{13} \mid a_2 - a_1$ , or
- (ii)  $x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{13} \mid a_2 - a_1$ , or

(iii)  $x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{13} \mid a_1$ .

(Observe that (iv)  $x_{11}, x_{12} \mid a_1; x_{13} \mid a_1 - a_2$  contradicts  $\psi_1 \neq \pm t^N$ .)

Then, by (2.6) and (2.6a), there are mutually coprime numbers  $p_1 > 1$ ,  $p_2 > 1$ ,  $p_3 \geq 1$ , such that

for (i) and (ii),  $|a_2 - a_1| = |a_1| \prod p_j$ ,  $\{|x_{ij}/a_1|\} = \{p_j\}$ ,

for (iii),  $|a_1| = |a_2 - a_1| \prod p_j$ ,  $\{|x_{ij}/(a_2 - a_1)|\} = \{p_i p_j; i \neq j\}$ .

In particular,  $\psi_1 \neq \pm t^N$  implies that either  $a_1 \mid a_2 - a_1$  or  $a_2 - a_1 \mid a_1$ . Since a similar conclusion would arise if  $\psi_2 \neq \pm t^N$ , that is, if either  $a_2 \mid a_2 - a_1$  or  $a_2 - a_1 \mid a_2$ , we see that (i) or (ii) implies  $\psi_2 = \pm t^N$ , and therefore  $\{|x_{2i}|\} = \{|a_2|, |a_2|, |a_2 - a_1|\}$ . Then  $\psi_{12} \in R$  gives a contradiction.

Recall that if  $\psi_0 \neq \pm t^N$ , then either  $a_1 \mid a_2$  or  $a_2 \mid a_1$ . This observation and (iii) imply  $\{|x_{0i}|\} = \{|a_i|\}$ . Then  $\psi_{01} \in R$  gives again a contradiction. Similarly for  $\psi_2 \neq \pm t^N$ .

*Remark 3.3.* When  $n \leq 3$  and  $X$  is  $S^1$ -quasi-linear, then [6, Part I, Proposition 5.2] implies Theorem 2.1 and 1.2.

For example, if  $\ell = 3$  and  $(k_0, k_1, k_2) = (1, 0, 0)$ , we can assume  $\varepsilon_j = a_j/x_{0j}$ , for  $j = 1, 2$ . Then  $\psi_{00} \in R(S^1)$  implies  $\langle z_0 + \varepsilon_j \xi_{0j}, [X_0] \rangle = 0$ , where  $z_0$  is the first Chern class of  $\eta \mid X_0$  (as a vector bundle in the nonequivariant sense) and the various  $\xi_{0j}$  are the formal roots of the total Chern class of the direct sum of the components of  $\nu \mid_X \mid X_0$  with real  $S^1$ -representation  $t^{x_{0j}}$ , and  $[X_0]$  is the orientation class of  $X_0$ . It turns out that there exists  $\mu_j \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$\pm t^{N_i} \psi_{00i} = (t^{\mu_j} - 3t^{a_j} + 2)(1 - t^{a_j})^{-2} \in R(S^1),$$

for  $i, j = 1, 2$  ( $i \neq j$ ), which only happens when  $\mu_j = 3a_j$ . This implies our claims.

If  $\ell = 2$  and  $(k_0, k_1) = (1, 1)$ , then  $\langle z_0, [X_0] \rangle = \langle a_1, [X_1] \rangle$  (where  $z_1$  is the first Chern class of  $\eta \mid X_1$  and  $[X_1]$  is the orientation class of  $X_1$ ), because there is an isomorphism  $(\iota_{X_1})_2: H_2(X_1) \rightarrow H_2(|H|)$  with  $(\iota_{X_1})_2[X_1] = z^2 \cap [|X|]$ . Then  $\psi_{01} \in R(S^1)$  implies  $x_{01}x_{02} = x_{11}x_{22}$ , and  $\psi_{00j} \in R(S^1)$  for  $j = 1, 2$  implies our claims.

If  $\ell = 2$  and  $(k_0, k_1) = (2, 0)$ , then  $(\iota_{X_0})_4: H_4(X_0) \rightarrow H_4(|X|)$  is an isomorphism given by  $(\iota_{X_0})_4[X_0] = \pm z \cap [|X|]$ . Since  $X_0$  is of codimension 2 in  $|X|$ , we can extend  $\nu \mid_X \mid X_0$  to a bundle  $\nu$  over  $X$  such that

$$(\iota_{X_0})^2(c_1(\nu)) = c_1(\nu \mid_X \mid X_0) \quad \text{and} \quad (\iota_{X_0})_4[X_0] = \pm c_1(\nu) \cap [|X|].$$

Then,  $p_1(X_0) = (24\alpha + 3)z_0^2$  can be substituted in  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}(X_0) = 1 - p_1(X_0)/24 + \dots$ , and by means of  $\psi_{00} \in R(S^1)$  and  $\psi_{000} \in R(S^1)$  we get our claims.

#### 4. $S^1$ -QUASI-EXOTIC HOMOTOPY $\mathbb{C}P^3$ 'S

According to Section 3, to prove the results stated in Sections 1 and 2 it is enough to complete their proofs under the following assumption.

Let  $n = 3$ , let  $X^{S^1}$  be isolated, and let  $X$  be  $S^1$ -quasi-exotic (see (2.7)).  
 (4.1) Without loss of generality, we can assume  $\psi_0 \neq \pm t^m$  for every  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  (see (2.4)).

**PROPOSITION 4.1.** *There is exactly one  $a_i$  that is divisible by none of the  $x_{0j}$ , for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ .*

*Proof.* To prove that at least one  $a_i$  is not divisible by any of the  $x_{0j}$ , for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , we assume to the contrary that each  $a_i$  is divisible by some  $x_{0j}$ . By symmetry, we consider three cases:

(i)  $x_{03} \mid a_1, a_2$ ;  $x_{01} \mid a_3$ ; (ii)  $x_{03} \mid a_1, a_2, a_3$ , (iii)  $x_{0i} \mid a_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

(iii) and (2.6) imply  $\psi_0(t) = \pm t^N$ , a contradiction. By (2.6), each  $x_{0j}$  divides some  $a_i$ . This observation, symmetry, and the recent rejection of (iii) allow us to assume, for (i), that  $x_{02} \mid a_3$ , and for (ii), that  $x_{01}, x_{02} \mid a_3$ . Under these assumptions, we see that (ii) is a particular case of (i). Moreover, (2.6) implies  $x_{03} \mid a_j, x_{0k} \mid a_3$ , for  $j = 1$  and  $2, k = 1$  and  $2$ . Then (2.6a) implies  $(a_1, a_2) = x_{03}$ ; replacing  $t$  by  $t^{x_{03}}$ , we may assume  $x_{03} = 1, (a_1, a_2) = 1$ , and  $|x_{0j}| = b_j \mid a_1 a_2$  for  $j = 1, 2$ . Again by (2.6),  $|a_3| = b_1 b_2 \mid a_1 a_2$ , so that (because  $\psi_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ ) we may assume  $|a_2| = 1$  and  $(b_1, b_2) = 1$ , and because  $\psi_0 \neq \pm t^N$ , we see that  $b_1 > 1$  and  $b_2 > 1$ ; therefore  $\psi_{03} \in \mathbb{R}$  and (2.6) give a contradiction.

To prove the rest of the statement, assume that  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are not divisible by any of the  $x_{0j}$ . Then  $\psi_{03} \in \mathbb{R}$  implies that  $a_1 - a_3$  and  $a_2 - a_3$  are not divisible by any of the  $x_{3j}$ , and

$$(*) \quad \{|x_{0j}|\} = \{|x_{3j}|\}.$$

On the other hand, it is easy to see the existence of the decompositions

$$|x_{01}| = m p_3 p_2 q_1, \quad |x_{02}| = m p_3 p_1 q_2, \quad |x_{03}| = m p_1 p_2 q_3$$

into positive factors. Then our present assumption says that  $|a_3| = m p q$ , where  $p = p_1 p_2 p_3$  and  $q = q_0 q_1 q_2 q_3$ . Because of (2.6) we have the relation  $a_1 a_2 = m^2 p$ . Because of (2.6a),  $|a_1| = m p'$  and  $|a_2| = m p''$ , where  $p' p'' = p$ . Therefore, (\*) implies

$$(p'' q \pm 1)(p' q \pm 1) = 1,$$

which is possible only if  $|a_3| = 2 |a_1| = 2 |a_2|$ , which in turn is absurd.

**COROLLARY 4.1a.** *We can assume*

$$x_{0j} \nmid a_1 \text{ for } j = 1, 2, 3, \quad x_{01}, x_{02} \mid a_2, \quad x_{03} \mid a_3,$$

and write

$$|x_{01}| = \gamma p, \quad |x_{02}| = \gamma q, \quad |a_2| = \gamma p q \alpha, \quad |a_3| = |x_{03}| \beta,$$

where  $p$  and  $q$  are positive coprimes and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0$ .

**PROPOSITION 4.2.** (a)  $\alpha = \beta = 1$ .

(b)  $a_1 \mid x_{01}, x_{02}, x_{03}$ .

(c)  $p > 1, q > 1$ .

(d)  $\psi_2 \neq \pm t^N$ , for every  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* In the first place, observe that (2.6) implies

$$\gamma = |a_1| \alpha \beta,$$

and (2.5) implies that the common zeros of  $1 - t^{|x_{01}|}$  and  $1 - t^{|x_{02}|}$  are the zeros of  $1 - t^\gamma$ . Because of these facts together with  $\psi_0 \neq \pm t^N$ , we see that either

(i)  $\gamma \mid a_1$ , or (ii)  $\gamma \mid a_3$ . We shall prove the proposition for each of these two situations.

(i)  $\gamma \mid a_1$ . Here (a) and (c) hold. If  $a_1 \nmid x_{03}$ , by  $\psi_{02} \in \mathbb{R}$  and (2.6), we can assume

$$\{|x_{2i}|\} = \{|a_1| p, |a_1| q, (pq \pm 1) |a_1| pq \pm x_{03}\},$$

which is possible only if  $a_1 pq \pm x_{03} = \pm 1$ ; therefore  $\psi_{12} \in \mathbb{R}$  and (2.6) give

$$\{|x_{1i}|\} = \{|a_1|, |a_1|, pq \pm 1\},$$

which is possible only if  $a_1 \pm x_{03} = \pm 1$ , which is absurd. This proves (b), and  $\psi_{02} \in \mathbb{R}$  justifies (d).

(ii)  $\gamma \mid a_3$ . Here  $a_1 \alpha \mid x_{01}, x_{02}, x_{03}$ . It follows, by (2.6a), that  $\alpha = 1$ ; therefore (b) holds. To verify (c), let us assume that to the contrary  $p = 1$ . Then  $\psi_{03} \in \mathbb{R}$  and (2.6) shows that

$$\{|x_{3i}/a_1|\} = \{k\beta, k'\beta, k''(\beta x \pm 1)x\},$$

where  $x = |x_{03}/a_1|$ , and  $kk'k'' = |q \pm x|$ , which is possible only if  $x = 1$ , and this contradicts  $\psi_0 \neq \pm t^N$ . This proves (c), and  $\psi_{02} \in \mathbb{R}$  justifies (d), thus allowing us to verify that  $\beta = 1$  by means of the fact that (b) holds at the component  $X_2$ , in the following way: By (b) at  $X_0$ ,  $\delta' = |a_3 a_1^{-1} \beta^{-1}| \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $\beta > 1$ , then

$$\text{g. c. d. } (\beta pq, \beta |pq \pm \delta'|)$$

can be determined to be the g. c. d. of two of  $b_j = |a_j - a_2|/|a_1|$  for  $j \neq 2$ . This only happens if the third  $b_j$ , that is,  $\beta pq \pm 1$ , is equal to 1, absurd.

**PROPOSITION 4.3.** *Let  $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}$  be determined by*

$$|a_1 \delta| = |a_3| \quad \text{and} \quad |a_1| |\delta - pq| = |a_3 - a_2|.$$

*Then  $|\delta - pq| = 1$ , so that we can write*

$$\varepsilon_0 a_1 = |a_1| \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon_0 a_2 = |a_1| pq, \quad \varepsilon_0 a_3 = |a_1| (pq + \varepsilon'),$$

*where  $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon, \varepsilon' = \pm 1$  and*

$$\{|x_{0j}/a_1|\} = \{p, q, pq + \varepsilon'\}, \quad \{|x_{2j}/a_1|\} = \{p, q, pq - \varepsilon\}.$$

*Proof.* Part (b) of Proposition 4.2 applied to  $\psi_2 \neq \pm t^N$  and the remark below (2.6a) imply  $|\delta - pq| = 1$ . The rest comes from  $\psi_{02} \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Subsequently, we shall make use of Section 5, in which we find a polynomial expression and properties of the element

$$(4.3a) \quad \phi_{p,q}(t) = (1 - t^{pq})(1 - t)(1 - t^p)^{-1}(1 - t^q)^{-1} \in R(S^1).$$

PROPOSITION 4.4. (i)  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon'$ .

$$(ii) \quad \{ |x_{1j}| \} = \{ |x_{2j}| \}, \quad \{ |x_{0j}| \} = \{ |x_{3j}| \}.$$

$$(iii) \quad \prod x_{1j} = - \prod x_{2j}, \quad \prod x_{0j} = - \prod x_{3j}.$$

*Proof.* These conclusions are obtained by means of  $\psi_{12} \in R$ ,  $\psi_{03} \in R$ , Proposition 4.3, Corollary 5.5, and the fact that the numerator in (2.8) for the data of Proposition 4.3 necessarily takes the form  $\phi_{p,q} - t^\lambda \phi_{p',q'}$  for some integers  $\lambda$ ,  $p' > 0$ ,  $q' > 0$  such that  $(p', q') = 1$ .

PROPOSITION 4.5. *We can assume, if necessary by permuting the subindexing of the fixed-point set components  $X_i$ , that*

$$a_1 = |a_1|, \quad a_2 = |a_1| pq, \quad a_3 = |a_1| (pq + 1).$$

*Then there exists an orientation class (see the Thom isomorphism of [6, page 119], or Section 1)*

$$\partial_{S^1}^X \in K_{S^1}^*(\tau X)$$

*such that for every  $u \in K_{S^1}^*(X)$  with (see (1.1))*

$$i_X^*(u) = (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) \in K_{S^1}^*(X^{S^1}) = \prod_{j=0}^3 K_{S^1}^*(X_j)$$

*there exists  $\varepsilon_0 = \pm 1$  such that, if  $\sigma = t^{a_i}$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon_0 \text{Ind}(\partial_{S^1}^X u)(t) \\ &= (1 - \sigma^p)^{-1} (1 - \sigma^q)^{-1} [(1 - \sigma^{pq+1})^{-1} (u_3 - u_0) - (\sigma - \sigma^{pq})^{-1} (u_2 - u_1)]. \end{aligned}$$

(Theorem 2.1 will follow.)

*Proof.* We assume for simplicity that  $a_1 = 1$ . As in Proposition 4.4, (iii), by means of  $\psi_{ij} \in R$  for  $i \neq j$ , we get relations of sign among the integers  $x_{ij}$  that permit us to obtain the following formula for the Index, where  $\delta_{S^1}^X = \psi(1) \in K_{S^1}^*(\tau X)$  is the orientation class of Section 1.

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon_0 \text{Ind}(\delta_{S^1}^X u) \\ &= (1 - t^p)^{-1} (1 - t^q)^{-1} [(1 - t^{pq+1})^{-1} (t^{\lambda_3} u_3 - t^{\lambda_0} u_0) - (1 - t^{pq-1})^{-1} (t^{\lambda_2} u_2 - t^{\lambda_1} u_1)], \end{aligned}$$

where the exponents  $\lambda_i$  are integers. (This implies Theorem 2.1.) Moreover,

$$pq + 1 \mid \lambda_3 - \lambda_0, \quad pq - 1 \mid \lambda_2 - \lambda_1, \quad \text{and } (pq + 1)^{-1} (\lambda_3 - \lambda_0) = (pq - 1)^{-1} (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1).$$

We use  $\text{Ind}(\delta_{S^1}^X \eta^k t^\ell) \in R$  for integers  $k$  and  $\ell$  such that

$$\lambda_0 + \ell = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_3 + k(pq + 1) + \ell = 0$$

to conclude  $\lambda_1 + k + \ell = \lambda_2 + kpq + \ell$ . Then  $\text{Ind}(\delta_{S^1}^X \eta^{k+1} t^\ell) \in R$  shows that  $\lambda_1 + k + \ell = -1$ . Since

$$\partial_{S^1}^X = \eta^k t^\ell \delta_{S^1}^X \in K_{S^1}^*(\tau X)$$

is also an orientation class, the Proposition is proved.

**PROPOSITION 4.6.** *Theorem 1.2 holds with  $j = 1$  when  $X$  is  $S^1$ -quasi-exotic.*

*Proof.* Consider the  $R$ -module homomorphism

$$f: h^*(X) \rightarrow R$$

given by  $f(u) = (u_1 - u_2)(\sigma - \sigma^{pq})^{-1}$ . By the U.C.T. of [4], we have an epimorphism

$$\Psi: (X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(h^*(X), R)$$

given by  $\Psi(u)[v] = \text{Ind}(\partial_{S^1}^X uv)$ . Therefore, there exists  $\gamma \in h^*(X)$  such that  $\Psi(\gamma) = f$ . Comparing  $f$  and  $\Psi$  (see Proposition 4.5), we find that if  $i_X^*(\gamma) = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)$ , then  $\gamma_0 = \gamma_3 = 0$  and  $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = (1 - \sigma^p)(1 - \sigma^q)$ .

On the other hand, [6] gives explicitly an example of an  $S^1$ -exotic  $\mathbb{C}P^3$  (we denote it by  $Z_1$ ), whose equivariant  $K$ -theory as an  $R(S^1)$  algebra is

$$K_{S^1}^*(Z_1) = R(S^1)[\eta, \eta^{-1}, \gamma]/J,$$

where  $J$  is the ideal generated by the elements

$$(\eta - \sigma)(\eta - \sigma^{pq}), \quad \gamma^2 - \gamma(1 - \sigma^p)(1 - \sigma^q), \quad (\eta - 1)(\eta - \sigma^{pq+1}) + \phi_{p,q}(\sigma)\gamma\eta \quad (\text{see (4.3a)}).$$

If  $Z_1^{S^1} = \sum_{i=0}^3 Z_{1,i}$  is the union of the isolated fixed points, then the Atiyah-Segal localization theorem [6, page 109] implies that  $i_{Z_1}^* K_{S^1}^*(Z_1)$  is generated as a submodule of

$$K_{S^1}^*(Z_1^{S^1}) = \prod_{i=0}^3 K_{S^1}^*(Z_{1,i}),$$

by  $i_{Z_1}^*(\gamma)$ ,  $i_{Z_1}^*(\eta)$ , and  $i_{Z_1}^*(\eta^{-1})$ , with the same coordinates as those of  $i_X^*(\gamma)$ ,  $i_X^*(\eta)$ , and  $i_X^*(\eta^{-1})$ , respectively. These facts imply the existence of an  $R$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\omega: h^*(Z_1^{S^1}) \rightarrow h^*(X^{S^1})$$

such that  $\omega(h^*(Z_1))$  is the  $R$ -subalgebra of  $h^*(X)$  generated by  $\eta$ ,  $\eta^{-1}$ , and  $\gamma$ .

The preceding conclusions together with Proposition 4.5 applied to  $X$  and to  $Z_1$  imply the statement.

*Remark.* Theorems 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3 can be extended to smooth torus actions, as can be seen in [3].

5. APPENDIX. THE POLYNOMIAL STRUCTURE OF  $\phi_{p,q}$  (4.3a)

LEMMA 5.1. *Let  $p$  and  $q$  be coprimes greater than 1. Then, there exists a unique pair of nonnegative integers  $m$  and  $n$  such that*

$$-mp + nq = 1, \quad m < q, \quad n < q.$$

LEMMA 5.2. *If  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ , there exists exactly one pair of nonnegative integers  $m_\varepsilon$  and  $n_\varepsilon$  such that*

$$m_\varepsilon p + n_\varepsilon q = pq - p - q + \varepsilon.$$

*Proof.* For  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ , we define

$$m_\varepsilon = -\varepsilon m - 1 + (1/2)q(1 + \varepsilon),$$

$$n_\varepsilon = \varepsilon n - 1 + (1/2)p(1 - \varepsilon).$$

THEOREM 5.3. *We have the equation  $\phi_{p,q} = \sum_{a \in C_1} t^a - \sum_{b \in C_{-1}} t^{b+1}$ , where*

$$C_\varepsilon = \{jp + kq; 0 \leq j \leq m_\varepsilon; 0 \leq k \leq n_\varepsilon\} \quad \text{for } \varepsilon = \pm 1.$$

*Moreover, the elements of  $C_1 \cup \{b + 1, b \in C_{-1}\}$  are pairwise distinct integers.*

A proof of Theorem 5.3 is given in [3].

*Example 5.4.* We illustrate Theorem 5.3.

The sets  $C_1$  and  $C_{-1}$  can be arranged as matrices, partially superposed. For example, let  $p = 7$  and  $q = 10$ . Observe the arrangement

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
 & 0 & 7 & 14 & 21 & 28 & 35 & 42 \\
 & 10 & 17 & 24 & 31 & 38 & 45 & 52 \\
 (**) & 20 & 27 & 34 & & & & \\
 & 30 & 37 & 44 & & & & \\
 & 40 & 47 & 54 & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

obtained by restriction from the matrix  $\{a_{jk}, 0 \leq j, k\}$  defined by  $a_{jk} = j \cdot 10 + k \cdot 7$ . Then  $C_1$  (respectively,  $C_{-1}$ ) is the maximal submatrix of (\*\*) with vertex  $(p - 1)(q - 1) = 54$  (respectively,  $(p - 1)(q - 1) - 2 = 52$ ). This method, applied to different selections of  $p$  and  $q$ , produces quite different pairs  $(C_1, C_{-1})$ .

COROLLARY 5.5. *If  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$  and if  $p, q, p', q'$  are integers greater than zero such that  $(p, q) = (p', q') = 1$ ,  $\{p, q\} \neq \{p', q'\}$ , and  $p'q' = pq + \alpha$ , where  $|\alpha| \leq 2$ , then*

$$1 - t^{pq+\varepsilon} \nmid \phi_{p',q'} - t^\lambda \phi_{p,q}, \quad \text{for any } \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The proof of Corollary 5.5 is given in [3].

## REFERENCES

1. M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer, *The index of elliptic operators. I.* Ann. of Math. (2) 87 (1968), 484-530.
2. G. E. Bredon, *Introduction to compact transformation groups.* Academic Press, New York, 1972.
3. I. J. Dejter, *Smooth  $G$ -manifolds in a homotopy type and  $G$ -transversality to  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ .* Dissertation, Rutgers University, 1975.
4. W. Iberkleid and T. Petrie, *Smooth  $S^1$ -manifolds.* Lecture Notes, Springer-Verlag, New York (to appear).
5. D. Montgomery and C. T. Yang, *Differentiable actions on homotopy seven spheres. II.* Proc. Conf. on Transformation Groups (New Orleans, La., 1967), pp. 125-134. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1968.
6. T. Petrie, *Smooth  $S^1$  actions on homotopy complex projective spaces and related topics.* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 78 (1972), 105-153.

Instituto de Ciências Matemáticas de São Carlos  
Universidade de São Paulo, 13.560-São Carlos-(sp); Brazil