

# SEMINORMAL OPERATORS

Frank Gilfeather

A bounded linear operator  $T$  on a Hilbert space is called a *seminormal* operator if  $T^*T - TT^* = D \geq 0$  or  $D \leq 0$ . Several authors, especially C. R. Putnam, J. G. Stampfli, and S. K. Berberian, have determined conditions that assure the normality of a seminormal operator. Let  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  denote the algebra of all bounded operators on a Hilbert space  $H$ , and  $\mathcal{K}$  the ideal of all compact operators. Let  $\hat{T}$  be the image of  $T$  in  $\mathcal{B}(H)/\mathcal{K}$ , under the quotient map, and let  $\sigma(\hat{T})$  be the spectrum of  $\hat{T}$  in the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}(H)/\mathcal{K}$ . In Section 1, we show that  $T$  is normal whenever  $T$  is a seminormal operator and  $\sigma(\hat{T})$  consists of certain arcs and a countable set. This will imply that  $T$  is normal if it is seminormal and the spectrum of a compact perturbation of  $T$  lies on certain arcs plus a countable set. These results extend some results obtained by T. Yoshino [13], the author [4], and Stampfli [8] to [11].

In Section 2, we use the results of Section 1 to obtain several theorems giving algebraic conditions under which  $T$  is normal. If  $T$  is a seminormal operator such that  $I - T^*T$  is compact and  $i(T - \lambda I) = 0$  ( $i$  is the Fredholm index) for some  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| \leq \|T\|^{-1}$ , then  $T$  is normal. From this we derive conditions on the strong asymptotic behavior of  $T$  and  $T^*$  that imply the normality of a seminormal operator  $T$ . For a seminormal contraction for which the rank of  $I - T^*T$  is finite, we present necessary and sufficient conditions on the asymptotic behavior of  $T$  and  $T^*$  that imply normality.

## 1. SPECTRAL CONDITIONS

The *Weyl spectrum*  $\omega(T)$  of  $T$  is defined as  $\bigcap \sigma(A + K)$ , where the intersection is taken over all  $K$  in  $\mathcal{K}$  [3].

Our results are based on the relations among  $\sigma(T)$ ,  $\omega(T)$ , and  $\sigma(\hat{T})$ . Whenever  $H$  is infinite-dimensional, then  $\sigma(\hat{T}) \subset \omega(T) \subset \sigma(T)$ , and each of these sets is a non-empty, compact subset of the plane. An operator is said to satisfy Weyl's theorem if  $\omega(T) = \sigma(T) - \pi_{00}(T)$ , where  $\pi_{00}(T)$  is the set of isolated eigenvalues of finite multiplicity. L. A. Coburn [3] has shown that hyponormal operators (that is, operators for which  $T^*T - TT^* \geq 0$ ) satisfy Weyl's theorem, and S. K. Berberian has shown that seminormal operators satisfy Weyl's theorem [1].

Recall that an operator is called a *semi-Fredholm* [Fredholm] operator if its range  $R(T)$  is closed and its null space  $N(T)$  is finite-dimensional [if  $N(T)$  and  $R(T)^\perp$  are finite-dimensional]. The semi-Fredholm [Fredholm] operators constitute an open set in  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ . We shall denote the set of Fredholm operators by  $\mathcal{F}$ . If  $T$  is a semi-Fredholm operator, the *index* of  $T$  is defined to be

---

Received December 31, 1969.

This work was done while the author was an Office of Naval Research Post-doctoral Associate at Indiana University.

Michigan Math. J. 18 (1971).

$$i(T) = \dim N(T) - \dim R(T)^\perp.$$

The index is a continuous map, and  $i(T)$  is finite if and only if  $T \in \mathcal{F}$ . Finally,  $T$  is a Fredholm [semi-Fredholm] operator if and only if  $\hat{T}$  has an inverse [left inverse] in  $\mathcal{B}(H)/\mathcal{K}$ . For many of the facts concerning the theory of Fredholm operators, we refer to [6].

Next we show a relationship between  $\omega(T)$  and  $\sigma(\hat{T})$ . M. Schechter [7] has characterized  $\omega(T)$  as

$$\{\lambda \mid (T - \lambda I) \notin \mathcal{F}\} \cup \{\lambda \mid (T - \lambda I) \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } i(T - \lambda I) \neq 0\}.$$

From this characterization of  $\omega(T)$  we obtain the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. *The boundary of  $\omega(T)$  is contained in  $\sigma(\hat{T})$ .*

*Proof.* This lemma follows from the observation that

$$S = \{A \mid A \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } i(A) \neq 0\}$$

is open in  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  and the map  $\lambda \rightarrow T - \lambda I$  is continuous from  $\mathbb{C}$  to  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ ; the set  $S$  is open, since it is the intersection of two open sets in  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ .

It follows that if  $\omega(T)$  has empty interior (in particular, if  $\sigma(T)$  has empty interior), then  $\omega(T) = \sigma(\hat{T})$ .

Our theorems will combine the results of J. Stampfli and the algebraic decomposition of an arbitrary operator into a normal and a completely nonnormal part. This decomposition is known, and we state it without proof in the following lemma [5].

LEMMA 2. *Let  $T$  be an operator on  $H$ . There exists a unique decomposition  $H = H_1 \oplus H_2$  into reducing subspaces  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  of  $T$ , such that  $T_1 \equiv T \upharpoonright H_1$  is normal and  $T_2 \equiv T \upharpoonright H_2$  has no reducing subspace on which  $T_2$  is normal.*

In this paper we shall consider only curves  $\Gamma$  in the plane that have the following two properties:

- 1)  $\Gamma$  has a continuous second derivative at every point,
- 2)  $\Gamma$  has a countable set of crossing points.

J. Stampfli has shown that if  $\sigma(T)$  lies on such a curve  $\Gamma$  with only a finite set of crossing points and if  $T$  is hyponormal, then  $T$  is normal [11]. His result can be extended to the case of a countable number of crossing points, by means of a result of the author [4, Proposition 4].

Let  $\Gamma$  be as described above, that is, let a countable number of crossing points be allowed. The following proposition gives a quite general condition for normality of a seminormal operator.

PROPOSITION 1. *If  $T$  is a seminormal operator and  $\Gamma$  is a curve (as described above) such that  $\sigma(T) - \Gamma$  is countable, then  $T$  is normal.*

*Proof.* Let  $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$  be the decomposition of  $T$ , as in Lemma 2, into normal and completely nonnormal parts, and let  $H = H_1 \oplus H_2$  be the corresponding decomposition of  $H$ . Assume that  $H_2 \neq \{0\}$ , contrary to the proposition. Without loss of generality, we can also assume that  $T$  is hyponormal.

If the operator  $T_2$  has any isolated points in its spectrum, then  $T_2$  has a reducing subspace on which it is normal [8]. Hence we can assume that  $\sigma(T_2)$  has no isolated points.

In the complex plane there exists no nonempty countable set each of whose points is a limit point of the set; that is, there exists no nonempty, countable, perfect subset of the plane. Combining this with the observation that  $\sigma(T_2)$  has no isolated points, we conclude that  $\sigma(T_2)$  lies on the curve  $\Gamma$ . Furthermore, if  $\sigma(T_2)$  contained any simple segments of the curve then, by [11, Theorem 1],  $T_2$  would have a nontrivial reducing subspace on which it is normal. Therefore we may conclude that  $\sigma(T_2) \subset \{\lambda \mid \lambda \text{ is a crossing point of } \Gamma\}$ . This is a countable set, and by [4, Proposition 4], the operator  $T_2$  must be normal. This contradicts our assumption that  $H_2 \neq \{0\}$ . Therefore the proposition is proved.

We say that a closed curve in the plane *encloses* a point  $\lambda$  if  $\lambda$  lies in a bounded component of the complement of the curve. We can restate Proposition 1 in terms of the Calkin spectrum of the operator  $T$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Let  $T$  be a seminormal operator, and let  $\Gamma$  be a curve (as described above) such that the set  $\sigma(\hat{T}) - \Gamma$  is countable. If every closed curve in  $\sigma(\hat{T})$  encloses a point not in  $\sigma(T)$ , then  $T$  is normal.*

*Proof.* Since the spectral conditions are satisfied for  $T^*$  as well as for  $T$ , it suffices to assume that  $T$  is hyponormal. First we show that as a subset of the plane,  $\omega(T)$  contains no interior. If  $\omega(T)$  has an interior, then Lemma 1 implies that  $\sigma(\hat{T})$  contains a closed curve, and each point enclosed by that curve is in  $\omega(T)$ . Since  $\sigma(T) \supset \omega(T)$ , such a situation is impossible, by our last hypothesis. Applying Lemma 1, we conclude that  $\omega(T) = \sigma(\hat{T})$ .

By Weyl's theorem for hyponormal operators,

$$\sigma(T) = \omega(T) \cup \pi_{00}(T) = \sigma(\hat{T}) \cup \pi_{00}(T).$$

Since  $\pi_{00}(T)$  is countable,  $T$  satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 1, and therefore  $T$  is normal.

The next results are direct corollaries of Propositions 1 and 2; special cases of them are already known. The following is a slight generalization of [11, Theorem 1].

**PROPOSITION 3.** *Suppose  $T$  is seminormal and  $T = B + K$ , where  $K$  is compact. If there exists a curve  $\Gamma$  such that  $\sigma(B) - \Gamma$  is countable, then  $T$  is normal.*

*Proof.* Since  $\sigma(\hat{T}) \subset \sigma(B)$ ,  $T$  will satisfy the hypothesis of Proposition 2 if each closed curve in  $\sigma(\hat{T})$  encloses a point not in  $\sigma(T)$ . However,  $\omega(T) = \omega(B) \subset \sigma(B)$ , hence each closed curve in  $\omega(T)$  encloses an open set of points not in  $\sigma(T)$ . By Weyl's theorem for seminormal operators, we conclude that each closed curve in  $\sigma(T)$  enclosed a point not in  $\sigma(T)$ , and therefore the same is true for each closed curve in  $\sigma(\hat{T})$ . Thus  $T$  is normal, by Proposition 2.

As a direct corollary of Proposition 3 we obtain [13, Theorem 1].

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $T$  is a seminormal operator and  $T = N + K$ , where  $K$  is compact and  $N$  is quasinilpotent, then  $T$  is normal.*

*Remark.* After this paper was submitted, the author received a preprint from C. R. Putnam, *An inequality for the area of hyponormal spectra*. The propositions in Section 1 can be deduced from Putnam's beautiful inequality.

2. ALGEBRAIC CONDITIONS

In this section, we restrict our attention to nonspectral conditions on a seminormal operator that imply normality. A contraction  $T$  is an operator such that  $\|T\| \leq 1$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $T$  be a seminormal contraction on a Hilbert space  $H$ , and let  $I - T^*T$  be compact. Then  $T$  is normal if and only if  $i(T - \lambda I) = 0$  for some  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| < 1$ . If  $T$  is normal, then  $\sigma(T) \cap \{|\lambda| < 1\} = \{\lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$  is countable and*

$$T = T_0 \oplus \sum_{j \in J} \oplus \lambda_j I_j,$$

where  $H = H_0 \oplus \sum_{j \in J} \oplus H_j$  is the corresponding decomposition of  $H$  by reducing subspaces of  $T$ ,  $T_0$  is unitary, each  $H_j$  is finite-dimensional,  $I_j$  is the identity operator on  $H_j$ , and  $|\lambda_j| \rightarrow 1$ .

*Proof.* If  $T$  is normal, then  $N(T) = N(T^*)$ . Since  $I - T^*T$  is compact,  $T$  is a semi-Fredholm operator, and  $i(T) = 0$ .

Conversely, we shall show that under the conditions of the theorem  $T$  is normal. By Lemma 2 we may assume, without loss of generality, that  $T$  is completely nonnormal, and prove the theorem by showing a contradiction. First we show that  $T - \lambda I$  is a semi-Fredholm operator whenever  $|\lambda| < 1$ . Define

$$S_\lambda = (1 - |\lambda|^2)^{1/2} (I - \lambda T)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad T_\lambda = (I - \bar{\lambda} T)^{-1} (T - \lambda I).$$

The relation  $I - T_\lambda^* T_\lambda = S_\lambda^* (I - T^* T) S_\lambda$  is easily verified. The hypothesis that  $I - T^* T$  is compact implies that  $I - T_\lambda^* T_\lambda$  is compact. Since

$$T_\lambda = (I - \bar{\lambda} T)^{-1} (T - \lambda I)$$

is a semi-Fredholm operator and  $I - \bar{\lambda} T$  is invertible, the operator  $(I - \bar{\lambda} T) T_\lambda = T - \lambda I$  is also a semi-Fredholm operator.

If  $i(T - \lambda I) = 0$  for some  $|\lambda| < 1$ , then either  $T - \lambda I$  is invertible or

$$\infty > \dim N(T - \lambda I) = \dim N(T^* - \bar{\lambda} I) > 0.$$

Since we assume that  $T$  is completely nonnormal, we can show that the relation  $\dim (T - \lambda I) = \dim N(T^* - \bar{\lambda} I) > 0$  cannot occur. Either  $T$  or  $T^*$  is hyponormal, and hence either  $T - \lambda I$  or  $T^* - \bar{\lambda} I$  is hyponormal. Thus either  $N(T - \lambda I) \subset N(T^* - \bar{\lambda} I)$  or  $N(T^* - \bar{\lambda} I) \subset N(T - \lambda I)$ . In either case, we must get equality, so that  $N(T - \lambda I) = N(T^* - \bar{\lambda} I)$ . If we let  $M = N(T - \lambda I)$ , then  $M \neq \{0\}$ , and  $M$  reduces  $T$ . This contradicts the fact that  $T$  is completely nonnormal. Hence we conclude that  $\lambda \notin \sigma(T)$  whenever  $i(T - \lambda I) = 0$ .

Because  $\hat{T}$  is an isometry, we know that either  $\sigma(\hat{T}) = \{|\lambda| \leq 1\}$  or  $\sigma(\hat{T}) \subset \{|\lambda| = 1\}$ . Since there exist  $\mu \notin \sigma(T)$  with  $|\mu| < 1$ , we have the inclusion  $\sigma(\hat{T}) \subset \{|\lambda| = 1\}$ . Hence  $T$  satisfies the hypothesis of Proposition 2, and therefore  $T$  is normal. This contradicts our assumption that  $T$  is completely nonnormal, and the proof of the theorem is complete.

*Remark 1.* In general, the requirement that  $i(T - \lambda I) = 0$  for some  $\lambda$  ( $|\lambda| < 1$ ) is weaker than the condition that there exists a  $\lambda$  ( $|\lambda| < 1$ ) such that  $\lambda \notin \sigma(T)$ .

However, in the third paragraph of the proof of Theorem 1 we show that under the hypothesis of the theorem, the two conditions are equivalent.

*Remark 2.* We can remove the condition that  $\|T\| \leq 1$  if we modify the hypothesis by replacing the condition that  $i(T - \lambda I) = 0$ , for some  $|\lambda| < 1$ , with the same condition for some  $|\lambda| < \|T\|^{-1}$ .

*Remark 3.* The simple unilateral shift  $V$  is hyponormal, and  $I - V^*V$  is compact but  $V$  is not normal. For each  $\lambda$ ,  $|\lambda| < 1$ ,  $i(V - \lambda I) = -1$ , and  $\sigma(V) = \{\lambda \mid |\lambda| \leq 1\}$ .

We shall call an operator  $T$  *quasi-isometric* if  $I - T^*T$  is compact. Thus Theorem 1 gives necessary and sufficient conditions for a quasi-isometric seminormal operator to be normal.

B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaş have introduced a classification of contraction operators that depends on the asymptotic behavior of the iterates of  $T$  and  $T^*$  [12, Chapter II, Section 4]. A contraction  $T$  belongs to class

- $C_0$ . if  $T^n \rightarrow 0$  strongly,
- $C_1$ . if  $T^n h \not\rightarrow 0$  for each  $h \neq 0$ ,
- $C_{.0}$  if  $T^{*n} \rightarrow 0$  strongly, and
- $C_{.1}$  if  $T^{*n} h \not\rightarrow 0$  for each  $h \neq 0$ .

Furthermore, one denotes by  $C_{ab}$  the operators in  $C_a \cap C_b$ .

**COROLLARY 2.** *If  $T$  is a quasi-isometric seminormal contraction in class  $C_{11}$ , then  $T$  is normal.*

*Proof.* Since  $T^n h \not\rightarrow 0$  for each  $h \neq 0$ , we see that  $N(T) = \{0\}$ . Similarly,  $N(T^*) = \{0\}$ , and hence  $i(T) = 0$ . Therefore, by Theorem 1,  $T$  is normal.

Now we shall prove the same result under the assumption that  $I - T^*T$  has finite rank and  $T \in C_{00}$ . For this, we need the following two lemmas.

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $T$  be any operator; then  $I - TT^* = W(I - T^*T)W^* + P$ , where  $W$  is a partial isometry and  $P$  is the projection on  $N(T^*)$ .*

*Proof.* By the polar decomposition of an arbitrary operator  $T$ , we see that  $T = W|T|$ , where  $|T| = (T^*T)^{1/2}$  and  $W$  is a partial isometry with initial domain  $[(T^*T)H]$  and final domain  $[TH]$ . Then  $T^* = |T|W^*$  and

$$\begin{aligned} I - TT^* &= I - W|T||T|W^* = W(I - |T|^2)W^* + I - WW^* \\ &= W(I - T^*T)W^* + I - WW^*. \end{aligned}$$

Now  $WW^*$  is the projection on  $[TH]$ , so that  $I - WW^*$  is the projection on  $(TH)^\perp = N(T^*)$ . This completes the proof.

It will be convenient to use the symbol  $\delta_T$  for the rank of  $I - T^*T$ .

**LEMMA 4.** *If  $T$  is any operator, then  $\delta_T + \dim N(T^*) = \delta_{T^*} + \dim N(T)$ .*

*Proof.* In Lemma 3, we showed that  $I - TT^* = W(I - T^*T)W^* + P$ , where  $W$  is the partial isometry between  $[T^*TH]$  and  $[TH]$ , and where  $P$  is the projection on

$N(T^*)$ . Since  $W$  is an isometry with final domain  $[TH]$ , it is clear that  $W(I - T^*T)W^*H$  is in the orthogonal complement of  $PH$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{T^*} &= \dim(I - TT^*)H = \dim(W(I - T^*T)W^*H + PH) \\ &= \dim W(I - T^*T)W^*H + \dim PH.\end{aligned}$$

Next we shall show that  $\dim(W(I - T^*T)W^*H) + \dim N(T) = \delta_T$ . Since  $W$  is a partial isometry and  $(I - T^*T)W^*H$  is in its initial domain, we see that

$$\dim(W(I - T^*T)W^*H) = \dim(I - T^*T)W^*H.$$

Also,  $\dim(I - T^*T)W^*H = \dim(I - T^*T)W^*WH$ , since  $[WH]^\perp = N(W^*)$ . If we set

$$(I - T^*T)H = (I - T^*T)W^*WH + (I - T^*T)(I - W^*W)H,$$

then the ranges of the two operators  $(I - T^*T)(W^*W)$  and  $(I - T^*T)(I - W^*W)$  are orthogonal; for the former is contained in  $[T^*TH]$ , and the latter is simply  $N(T) = N(T^*T)$ . Thus we can conclude that  $\delta_T = \dim((I - T^*T)W^*H) + \dim N(T)$ .

Now we can finish the proof of Lemma 4 by considering two cases, depending on whether  $N(T)$  is finite- or infinite-dimensional. If  $N(T)$  is infinite-dimensional, then  $\dim(I - T^*T)H = \delta_T$  is infinite, and the equality is trivially satisfied. If  $N(T)$  is finite-dimensional, then we may subtract  $\dim N(T)$  from both sides of the equality  $\delta_T = \dim((I - T^*T)W^*H) + \dim N(T)$ , which we obtained above. Thus  $\dim((I - T^*T)W^*H) = \delta_T - \dim N(T)$ , and substituting this in the equality  $\delta_{T^*} = \dim((I - T^*T)W^*H) + \dim N(T^*)$ , also obtained above, we deduce the desired equality.

We can now give necessary and sufficient conditions for the normality of a semi-normal contraction  $T$  with  $\delta_T < \infty$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $T$  be a seminormal contraction with  $\delta_T < \infty$ . Then  $T$  is normal if and only if  $T \in C_{00} \cup C_{11}$  or  $T$  is the direct sum of two operators, each in  $C_{00} \cup C_{11}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $T$  is normal, then the decomposition given in Theorem 1 shows that  $T$  is the direct sum of two operators, one in  $C_{11}$  and the other in  $C_{00}$ .

Conversely, we have already seen by Corollary 2 that if  $T \in C_{11}$ , then  $T$  is normal. Thus we need only consider the case where  $T \in C_{00}$ . It follows from the theory of unitary dilations, and specifically from [12, Theorem II. 1.2 and Proposition I. 2.1], that  $\delta_{T^*} = \delta_T$  whenever  $T \in C_{00}$  and  $\delta_T < \infty$ . Lemma 4 implies that  $\delta_T + \dim N(T^*) = \delta_{T^*} + \dim N(T)$ . Since  $\delta_{T^*}$  is finite, the rank of  $I - TT^*$  is finite, and hence  $\dim N(T^*)$  is finite. Subtracting  $\dim N(T^*)$  and  $\delta_{T^*}$  from both sides of the equation, we conclude that  $0 = \delta_T - \delta_{T^*} = \dim N(T) - \dim N(T^*) = i(T)$ . Therefore it follows from Theorem 1 that  $T$  is normal.

Now we present an example to show that the conclusion of Theorem 2 cannot be extended to operators with  $\delta_T = \infty$ . Let  $T$  be the unilateral weighted shift with weights  $\omega_i$  (if  $H$  is a separable Hilbert space and  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is an orthonormal basis for  $H$ , then  $T$  is the operator that maps  $e_i$  to  $\omega_i e_{i+1}$ ). Let us choose for  $T$  the weights  $\omega_i = i/(i+1)$ . J. Stampfli has shown that with these weights  $T$  is semi-normal. In fact,

$$(T^*T - TT^*)e_i = \left(\frac{i}{i+1}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{i-1}{i}\right)^2 \geq 0$$

when  $i > 1$  and  $(T^*T - TT^*)e_1 = 1/4$ . Since the infinite product  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{i+1}\right)$  does not converge, one can show that  $T$  belongs to the class  $C_0$ . All unilateral shifts belong to the class  $C_{.0}$ , and therefore  $T$  belongs to  $C_{00}$ . Furthermore, the rank of  $I - T^*T$  is infinite, and  $T$  is not normal. In fact, the operator  $I - T^*T$  belongs to the Hilbert-Schmidt class of compact operators. Thus we see that Theorem 2 cannot be extended to the case  $\delta_T = \infty$ , even when  $I - T^*T$  is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator.

M. S. Brodskii and M. S. Livšic have studied operators  $T$  whose imaginary part  $\Im T = \frac{1}{2i}(T - T^*)$  is compact. If  $T$  is seminormal and  $\Im T$  is compact, we can give the complete structure of  $T$ .

**COROLLARY 3.** *If  $T$  is a seminormal operator with compact imaginary part, then  $T$  is normal and*

$$T = T_0 \oplus \sum_{i \geq 1} \oplus \lambda_i I_i,$$

where  $H = H_0 \oplus \sum_{i \geq 1} \oplus H_i$  is the corresponding decomposition of  $H$  by reducing spaces of  $T$ ,  $T_0$  is selfadjoint, each  $I_i$  is the identity operator on  $H_i$ , no  $\lambda_i$  is real, and each  $H_i$  ( $i \geq 1$ ) is finite-dimensional. Furthermore, the only possible limit points of  $\{\lambda_i\}$  are real.

*Proof.* By Proposition 2,  $T$  is normal. Let  $\{\lambda_i\}$  be the set of nonreal points in  $\sigma(T)$ . By Weyl's theorem and Lemma 1, we conclude that the only accumulation points of  $\{\lambda_i\}$  are real and that for  $\lambda_k \in \{\lambda_i\}$ , the corresponding eigenspace  $H_k$  is finite-dimensional and reduces  $T$ . Since  $T$  is normal,  $H_i \perp H_j$  if  $i \neq j$ . Suppose  $K = \sum_{i \geq 1} \oplus H_i$  and  $H_0 = H \ominus K$ . Then  $K$  and  $H_0$  reduce  $T$ , and  $T_0 = T|_{H_0}$  is self-adjoint. Therefore  $T = T_0 \oplus \sum_{i \geq 1} \oplus \lambda_i I_i$ .

The fact that in this corollary  $T$  is normal is [13, Theorem 3].

For the sake of completeness we present the following corollary of Proposition 1. An operator  $T$  is called *polynomially compact* if there exists a nonzero polynomial  $p$  such that  $p(T)$  is compact.

**COROLLARY 4.** *If  $T$  is a polynomially compact, seminormal operator, then  $T$  is normal.  $T$  has a decomposition*

$$T = T_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus T_k,$$

where  $H = H_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus H_k$  is the corresponding decomposition of  $H$ , and there exists a set  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\} \subset \sigma(T)$  such that each  $T_i - \lambda_i I_i$  is a compact normal operator.

*Proof.* The author has given the structure of polynomially compact operators in [4]. In particular,  $\sigma(T)$  is countable. By Proposition 1, we can conclude that  $T$  is normal. The structure of a polynomially compact, normal operator is given in [4, Theorem 2].

*Remark.* Let  $T$  be any polynomially compact operator, and let

$$p(z) = \prod_{i=1}^k (z - \lambda_i)^{n_i}$$

be the polynomial of minimum degree and with leading coefficient 1 such that  $p(\hat{T})$  is compact. Then  $\omega(T) = \sigma(\hat{T}) = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$ . S. K. Berberian [2] has pointed out that if  $T$  is normal, then  $\omega(T)$  is a finite set if and only if  $T$  is polynomially compact.

The author wishes to thank S. K. Berberian for discussions of these topics, and he gratefully acknowledges his assistance on this paper.

#### REFERENCES

1. S. K. Berberian, *An extension of Weyl's theorem to a class of not necessarily normal operators*. Michigan Math. J. 16 (1969), 273-279.
2. ———, *The Weyl spectrum of an operator*. J. Math. Mech. (to appear).
3. L. A. Coburn, *Weyl's theorem for nonnormal operators*. Michigan Math. J. 13 (1966), 285-288.
4. F. Gilfeather, *The structure and asymptotic behavior of polynomially compact operators*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 25 (1970), 127-134.
5. ———, *The structure theory of N. Suzuki for non selfadjoint operators in Hilbert space* (to appear).
6. I. C. Gohberg and M. G. Kreĭn, *The basic propositions on defect numbers, root numbers and indices of linear operators* (Russian). Uspehi Mat. Nauk (N.S.) 12 (1957), no. 2 (74), 43-118; Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) 13 (1960), 185-264.
7. M. Schechter, *Invariance of the essential spectrum*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 71 (1965), 365-367.
8. J. G. Stampfli, *Hyponormal operators*. Pacific J. Math. 12 (1962), 1453-1458.
9. ———, *Hyponormal operators and spectral density*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 117 (1965), 469-476; errata, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 115 (1965), 550.
10. ———, *A local spectral theory for operators*. J. Functional Analysis 4 (1969), 1-10.
11. ———, *On hyponormal and Toeplitz operators*. Math. Ann. 183 (1969), 328-336.
12. B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foias, *Analyse harmonique des opérateurs de l'espace de Hilbert*. Masson, Paris; Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1970.
13. T. Yoshino, *On a problem of Bonsall*. Tôhoku Math. J. (2) 20 (1968), 5-7.

Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47405  
 University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822