

## QUASIHARMONIC DEGENERACY OF RIEMANNIAN $N$ -MANIFOLDS

BY LEO SARIO

The harmonic classification of Riemannian manifolds of higher dimension was recently brought to completion by the construction of manifolds which carry bounded but no Dirichlet finite nonconstant harmonic functions (Kwon [2], Hada-Sario-Wang [1]). In contrast, almost nothing is known about the quasiharmonic classification of higher dimensional manifolds. The purpose of the present study is to establish inclusion relations constituting such a classification.

Let  $Q$  be the class of quasiharmonic functions [6], i. e., solutions of  $\Delta u=1$ , where  $\Delta=d\bar{\partial}+\bar{\partial}d$  is the Laplace-Beltrami operator. Denote by  $QP, QB, QD$ , and  $QC$  the classes of quasiharmonic functions which are positive, bounded, Dirichlet finite, and bounded Dirichlet finite, respectively. The notation  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{QX}^N, \mathcal{O}_{QX}^N$ , with  $X=P, B, D$ , or  $C$ , will be used for the classes of Riemannian manifolds  $M$  of dimension  $N>2$  for which  $QX(M)=\emptyset$  or  $\neq\emptyset$ , respectively. The class of parabolic  $N$ -manifolds, characterized by the nonexistence of Green's functions, is designated by  $\mathcal{O}_d^N$ . We shall prove that the complete classification scheme

$$\mathcal{O}_d^N < \mathcal{O}_{QP}^N < \mathcal{O}_{QB}^N \cap \mathcal{O}_{QD}^N < \mathcal{O}_{QB}^N, \mathcal{O}_{QD}^N < \mathcal{O}_{QB}^N \cup \mathcal{O}_{QD}^N = \mathcal{O}_{QC}^N$$

holds for every  $N$ . Here " $<$ " signifies strict inclusion and " $\mathcal{O}_{QB}^N, \mathcal{O}_{QD}^N$ " means that the inequalities are valid for both classes.

1. We shall cover the relations in the order given above. Regarding  $\mathcal{O}_d^N$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{QP}^N$ , every  $u \in QP$  is superharmonic by  $\Delta u=1$  and its existence therefore implies that of the Green's functions (see e. g. [7]). To prove  $\mathcal{O}_d^N < \mathcal{O}_{QP}^N$ , it thus suffices to find a hyperbolic  $N$ -manifold with  $QP=\emptyset$ . The trivial example of the Euclidean  $N$ -space does not qualify for  $N=2$ , whereas the following example is valid for all  $N$ . It is an  $N$ -torus cut open along a pair of opposite faces and equipped with a suitable metric.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $T$  be the space*

$$0 < x < 1, \quad |y_i| \leq 1, \quad i=1, \dots, N-1,$$

---

Received April 2, 1973.

The work was sponsored by the U.S. Army Research Office-Durham, Grant DA-ARO-D-31-124-71-G181, University of California, Los Angeles.

with each face  $y_i=1$  identified with the opposite face  $y_i=-1$  by parallel translation. Endow  $T$  with the metric

$$ds^2=(x^{-2}+(1-x)^{-2})dx^2+(x^{-2}+(1-x)^{-2})^{1/(N-1)}\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}dy_i^2.$$

Then  $T \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_g^N \cap \mathcal{O}_{QP}^N$ .

*Proof.* The metric tensor has the determinant  $g=(x^{-2}+(1-x)^{-2})^2$ , and the first entry of the conjugate tensor is  $g^{xx}=(x^{-2}+(1-x)^{-2})^{-1}$ . Characterization of an  $h(x)$  in the class  $H$  of harmonic functions,

$$\Delta h = -g^{-\frac{1}{2}}(g^{\frac{1}{2}}g^{xx}h)' = 0,$$

gives  $h''=0$ , that is,  $h=ax+b$ . Therefore, the harmonic measure of the ideal boundary of  $T$  is positive, which entails  $T \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_g^N$  (see e. g. [7]).

We recall that a manifold  $M$  belongs to  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{QP}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{QB}$ , or  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{QD}$  according as the potential

$$G1(p) = \int_M g(p, q) dq$$

exists or is bounded at some, and hence at every, point  $p \in M$ , or has a finite Dirichlet integral

$$D(G1) = G(1, 1) = \iint_{M \times M} g(p, q) dp dq,$$

respectively (Nakai-Sario [3]). Here  $g$  is the Green's function with pole  $q$ , and  $dp, dq$  are the volume elements at  $p$  and  $q$ .

For the function

$$u(x) = \log x + \log(1-x)$$

we obtain by direct computation  $\Delta u = 1$ . If  $G1$  exists, then by the symmetry of  $T$  with respect to all  $y_i$ -coordinates,  $G1$  is a function of  $x$  only. Since  $\Delta G1 = 1$ , we have

$$G1(x) = u(x) + h(x)$$

for some  $h=ax+b$ . In view of  $u(x)+h(x) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$  and  $x \rightarrow 1$ , this contradicts  $G1 > 0$ . Thus  $T \in \mathcal{O}_{QP}^N$ .

2. Next we show that  $\mathcal{O}_{QP}^N \subset \mathcal{O}_{QB}^N \cap \mathcal{O}_{QD}^N$ . Since the boundedness as well as Dirichlet finiteness of  $G1$  trivially implies its existence, we only have to find an  $N$ -manifold with  $QP \neq \emptyset$ ,  $QB = QD = \emptyset$ . We shall henceforth tacitly understand that  $|y_i| \leq 1$  implies identification of opposite faces by pairs.

LEMMA 2. *The  $N$ -manifold*

$$T: 0 < x < 1, \quad |y_i| \leq 1, \quad i=1, \dots, N-1,$$

with the metric

$$ds^2 = x^{-3} dx^2 + x^{3/(N-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} dy_i^2$$

belongs to  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{QP}^N \cap \mathcal{O}_{QB}^N \cap \mathcal{O}_{QD}^N$  for every  $N$ .

*Proof.* Clearly

$$u = x^{-1} \in QP,$$

and the most general  $h(x) \in H$  is

$$h(x) = ax^{-2} + b.$$

Since  $G1$  exists,

$$G1 = u + h = ax^{-2} + x^{-1} + c$$

for some  $a, c$ . This function is unbounded, hence  $T \in \mathcal{O}_{QB}^N$ .

Let  $D_x$  stand for the Dirichlet integral over the subregion of  $T$  from  $x$  to 1, and denoted by  $c$  a constant, not always the same. Then

$$D_x(u) = c \int_x^1 x^{-4} x^3 dx = -c \log x,$$

$$D_x(h) = c \int_x^1 x^{-6} x^3 dx = c(1 - x^{-2}),$$

and  $D_x(G1) > |D_x(u) - D_x(h)|$ , which is unbounded as  $x \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore  $T \in \mathcal{O}_{QD}^N$ .

3. We proceed to show that  $\mathcal{O}_{QB}^N \cap \mathcal{O}_{QD}^N \subset \mathcal{O}_{QB}^N$ .

LEMMA 3. *The  $N$ -manifold*

$$T: 0 < x < 1, \quad |y_i| \leq 1, \quad i=1, \dots, N-1,$$

with the metric

$$ds^2 = x^{-2} dx^2 + x^{2/(N-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} dy_i^2$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{O}_{QB}^N \cap \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{QD}^N$  for every  $N$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$u = \log x^{-1} \in Q$$

with

$$D(u) = c \int_0^1 x^{-2} x^2 dx < \infty.$$

Therefore  $T \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{QD}^N$ .

The general  $h(x) \in H$  is

$$h = ax^{-1} + b.$$

For some  $a, b$ ,

$$G1 = \log x^{-1} + ax^{-1} + b,$$

which is unbounded. A fortiori  $T \in \mathcal{O}_{QB}^N$ .

4. The relation  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}^B}^N \cap \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}^D}^N < \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}^D}^N$  is known for  $N > 2$ . In fact, by Sario-Wang [8], the Poincaré  $N$ -ball  $B_\alpha^N: r < 1, ds = (1-r^2)^\alpha |dx|$ , belongs to  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}^B}^N$  if and only if  $\alpha \in (-1, (N-2)^{-1})$ , and to  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}^D}^N$  if and only if  $\alpha \in (-3(N+2)^{-1}, (N-2)^{-1})$ . Therefore  $B_\alpha^N \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{Q}^B}^N \cap \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}^D}^N$  is characterized by  $\alpha \in (-1, -3(N+2)^{-1}]$ . Here we give two other examples, valid for all  $N$ .

LEMMA 4. *The  $N$ -manifold*

$$T: |x| < \infty, \quad |y| \leq 1, \quad |z_i| \leq 1, \quad i=1, \dots, N-2,$$

with the metric

$$ds^2 = (1+x^4)^{-1} dx^2 + (1+x^4)(1+x^6)^2 dy^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N-2} dz_i^2$$

belongs to  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{Q}^B}^N \cap \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}^D}^N$  for every  $N$ .

*Proof.* We readily verify that the function

$$u(x) = - \int_0^x (1+s^4)^{-1} (1+s^6)^{-1} \int_0^s (1+r^6) dr ds$$

belongs to  $Q$ . As  $|x| \rightarrow \infty, u'(x) \sim cx^{-3}$  and

$$u(x) = \mathcal{O}(1).$$

Consequently  $T \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{Q}^B}^N$ .

Suppose there exists a  $u \in QD$ . Take a function  $\varphi_0 \in C_0^\infty((-\infty, \infty))$ ,  $\varphi_0 \geq 0$ ,  $\text{supp } \varphi_0 \subset (0, 1)$ . For  $t > 0$ , set  $\varphi_t(x) = \varphi_0(x-t)$ . Then  $\text{supp } \varphi_t \subset (t, t+1)$ ,

$$(1, \varphi_t) = c \int_t^{t+1} (1+x^6) \varphi_t dx > ct^6,$$

and

$$D(\varphi_t) = c \int_t^{t+1} \varphi_t^2 (1+x^4)(1+x^6) dx = \mathcal{O}(t^{10}).$$

Therefore  $(1, \varphi_t) / \sqrt{D(\varphi_t)} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . This violates Stokes' formula, which gives  $(\Delta u, \varphi_t) = (1, \varphi_t) < \sqrt{D(u)} \sqrt{D(\varphi_t)}$ . We conclude that  $T \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}^D}^N$ .

5. Our second example for  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{Q}^B}^N \cap \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}^D}^N = \emptyset$  is as follows.

LEMMA 5. *The  $N$ -manifold*

$$T: 0 < x < 1, \quad |y_i| \leq 1, \quad i=1, \dots, N-1,$$

with the metric

$$ds^2 = x^{-1} dx^2 + x^{-5/(N-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} dy_i^2$$

belongs to  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{Q}^B}^N \cap \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}^D}^N$  for every  $N$ .

*Proof.* The general solution of  $\Delta u = 1$  is

$$u = ax^3 + \frac{1}{2}x + b,$$

which is bounded. Since  $G1=u$  for some  $(a, b)$ ,  $T \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{QB}^N$ . By virtue of

$$D(G1)=D(u)=c \int_0^1 \left(3ax^2 + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 xx^{-3} dx = \infty,$$

we have  $T \in \mathcal{O}_{QD}^N$ .

6. Trivially  $\mathcal{O}_{QB}^N \cup \mathcal{O}_{QD}^N \subset \mathcal{O}_{QC}^N$ . If there exist  $u \in QB$  and  $v \in QD$ , then  $G1$  is bounded and Dirichlet finite, hence in  $QC$ . This gives our last relation  $\mathcal{O}_{QB}^N \cup \mathcal{O}_{QD}^N = \mathcal{O}_{QC}^N$ .

In the case  $N=2$  the relations  $\mathcal{O}_{QP} < \mathcal{O}_{QB}$ ;  $\mathcal{O}_{QP} < \mathcal{O}_{QD}$ ;  $\mathcal{O}_{QB} \not\subset \mathcal{O}_{QD}$ ;  $\mathcal{O}_{QD} \not\subset \mathcal{O}_{QB}$ ;  $\mathcal{O}_{QB} < \mathcal{O}_{QC}$ ; and  $\mathcal{O}_{QD} < \mathcal{O}_{QC}$  can also be established (Nakai-Sario [3]) by means of the identity  $\Delta u = \lambda^{-2} \Delta_e u$  between the Riemannian  $\Delta$ , Euclidean  $\Delta_e$ , and the conformal metric  $ds = \lambda(z) |dz|$ .

7. We collect our results:

THEOREM. *The strict inclusion relations*

$$\mathcal{O}_B^N < \mathcal{O}_{QP}^N < \mathcal{O}_{QB}^N \cap \mathcal{O}_{QD}^N < \mathcal{O}_{QB}^N, \mathcal{O}_{QD}^N < \mathcal{O}_{QB}^N \cup \mathcal{O}_{QD}^N = \mathcal{O}_{QC}^N$$

*between quasiharmonic null classes of Riemannian  $N$ -manifolds are valid for every dimension  $N \geq 2$ .*

The author wishes to express his sincere gratitude to Professor Cecilia Wang for a painstaking checking of the manuscript.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [ 1 ] D. HADA, L. SARIO AND C. WANG,  $N$ -manifolds carrying bounded but no Dirichlet finite harmonic functions, Nagoya Math. J. 54 (1974), 1-6.
- [ 2 ] Y.K. KWON, Strict inclusion  $\mathcal{O}_{HB} < \mathcal{O}_{HD}$  for all dimensions, (to appear).
- [ 3 ] M. NAKAI AND L. SARIO, Quasiharmonic classification of Riemannian manifolds, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 31 (1972), 165-169.
- [ 4 ] M. NAKAI AND L. SARIO, Existence of Dirichlet finite biharmonic functions, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. A. I. 532 (1973), 1-33.
- [ 5 ] M. NAKAI AND L. SARIO, Existence of bounded biharmonic functions, J. Reine Angew. Math. 259 (1973), 147-156.
- [ 6 ] L. SARIO, Biharmonic and quasiharmonic functions on Riemannian manifolds, Duplicated lecture notes 1968-70, University of California, Los Angeles.
- [ 7 ] L. SARIO AND M. NAKAI, Classification Theory of Riemann Surfaces, Springer-Verlag, 1970, 446 pp.
- [ 8 ] L. SARIO AND C. WANG, Quasiharmonic functions on the Poincaré  $N$ -ball, Rend. Mat. 6 (1973), 1-14.