

SOME PROPERTIES OF EXTREMAL POLYNOMIALS FOR THE ILIEFF CONJECTURE

BY DEAN PHELPS AND RENE S. RODRIGUEZ

Let P_n denote the family of complex polynomials each of degree n , with leading coefficient 1, and having all of its roots in $\bar{D}(0, 1)$, the closed unit disc with center at 0 and radius 1. Let $p \in P_n$ have roots z_1, \dots, z_n and have roots w_1, \dots, w_{n-1} . For such p we use $I(z_j), I(p)$, and $I(P_n)$ to denote the numbers $\min \{|z_i - w_k|: 1 \leq k \leq n-1\}$, $\max \{I(z_j): 1 \leq j \leq n\}$, and $\sup \{I(p): p \in P_n\}$ respectively. Then $p \in P_n$ is called an extremal polynomial for the Ilieff conjecture if $I(p) = I(P_n)$. With this notation the Gauss-Lucas theorem implies that $I(P_n) \leq 2$ and the conjecture of Ilieff is that $I(p) \leq 1$ for all $p \in P_n$. We show that there exist extremal polynomials, that an extremal polynomial must have at least one root on each subarc of the unit circle of length $\geq \pi$, and we find the extremal polynomials for $n=3$ and 4.

We begin with a

LEMMA. P_n is a compact normal family in the open plane C .

Proof. Let $R > 0$. If $p \in P_n$ then $|p(z)| = |(z - z_1) \cdots (z - z_n)| \leq (R+1)^n$ when $|z| \leq R$ so that by the theorem of Stieltjes and Osgood P_n is a normal family in C . Next if $\{p_j\}$ is a sequence in P_n converging almost uniformly, i.e., uniformly on compact sets, to a limit p then the Weierstrass convergence theorem implies that p is a polynomial of degree n with leading coefficient 1 and Hurwitz's theorem implies that all the roots of p lie in $\bar{D}(0, 1)$.

THEOREM 1. *There exists an extremal polynomial.*

Proof. Let $\{p_k\}$ be a sequence in P_n such that $\lim I(p_k) = I(P_n)$. We may assume that $\{p_k\}$ converges almost uniformly to a limit $p \in P_n$. Then $I(p) \leq I(P_n)$. If equality does not hold then $I(p) + 4\varepsilon = I(P_n)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose $\delta, 0 < \delta < \varepsilon$, so that p has no roots in $0 < |z - z_j| < 2\delta, j=1, \dots, n$. If $D(z_j, \delta)$ denotes the disc with center z_j and radius δ then $\cup_1^n D(z_j, \delta)$ contains all the roots of p and each disc $D(z_j, I(p) + \varepsilon)$ contains at least one root of p' . Thus for sufficiently large k all the roots of p_k are contained in $\cup_1^n D(z_j, \delta)$ and each disc $D(z_j, I(p) + \varepsilon)$ contains at least one root of p'_k , whence $I(p_k) < I(p) + 2\varepsilon = I(P_n) - 2\varepsilon$ for these k which is a contradiction.

THEOREM 2. *If $p \in P_n$ and $|z_j| < 1$ for the roots z_1, \dots, z_n of p , then p is not an extremal polynomial.*

Received March 15, 1971.

Proof. We may assume that $I(p) = I(z_1)$. By the Gauss-Lucas theorem we have that $|w_j| < 1$ for the roots w_1, \dots, w_{n-1} of p' ; also $|z_1 - w_j| \geq I(z_1)$ for $j = 1, \dots, n-1$. Therefore for each w_j there is a sequence $\{s_k^j\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of points in $D(0, 1)$ converging to w_j and such that $|z_1 - s_k^j| > I(z_1)$. Consider the functions

$$p_k(z) = n \int_{z_1}^z \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (w - s_k^j) dw, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots.$$

They are polynomials of degree n and leading coefficient 1, and the sequence $\{p_k\}$ converges almost uniformly on C to p . Since the roots of p are in the open disc $D(0, 1)$, Hurwitz's theorem implies there is an integer K such that for $k > K$, p_k has all its roots in $D(0, 1)$. Thus $p_k \in P_n$ for $k > K$ and by construction $I(p_k) > I(z_1) = I(p)$. Hence $I(p) \neq I(P_n)$.

Thus an extremal polynomial in P_n has at least one root on the unit circle $C(0, 1)$. An improvement of this result is given in

THEOREM 3. *If $p \in P_n$ is an extremal polynomial then every closed subarc of $C(0, 1)$ of length greater than or equal to π contains a root of p .*

Proof. Suppose first that p has one distinct root, say z_1 , on $C(0, 1)$. We may assume that $z_1 = 1$. Let $r = \max \{|z_j| : m \leq j \leq n\}$ where z_m, z_{m+1}, \dots, z_n are the roots of p that lie in $D(0, 1)$, and define $s = (1-r)/2$. Then the polynomial $q(z) = p(z+s)$ is in P_n , has all of its roots in $D(0, 1)$ and $I(q) = I(p)$. By theorem 2, however, $I(q) < I(P_n) = I(p)$. Now suppose p has two distinct roots z_1 and z_2 on $C(0, 1)$ and that z_1 and z_2 are separated by a subarc of $C(0, 1)$ of length greater than π and containing no root of p . We may assume that for some θ , $0 < \theta < \pi/2$, $z_1 = \exp(i\theta)$, $z_2 = \exp(-i\theta)$ and p has no roots on $\{\exp(it) : \theta < t < 2\pi - \theta\}$. Define $r = \max \{|z_j| : |z_j| < 1\}$ if p has a root in $D(0, 1)$ and $r = 0$ otherwise. Define $s = \min \{\cos \theta, (1-r)/2\}$. Then as above, the polynomial $q(z) = p(z+s)$ is in P_n , has all of its roots in $D(0, 1)$, and $I(p) = I(q) < I(P_n) = I(p)$. This contradiction establishes the theorem.

As an application of theorem 3 we have

THEOREM 4. *Let $p \in P_n$ be extremal. If z_k is a root of p with $|z_k| < 1$ then*

$$I(z_k) \leq \left[\frac{(1 + |z_k|^2)(1 + |z_k|)^{n-3}}{n} \right]^{1/(n-1)}$$

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that $z_1 = 1$ is a root of p on $C(0, 1)$ nearest to z_k and that $z_k = z_n = r \exp(iy)$ with $0 \leq y \leq \pi$. By theorem 3, p has a root on the circular arc $\{\exp(it) : 0 < t \leq \pi\}$, say $\exp(iu) = z_2$. Then writing $p(z) = \prod_{j=1}^n (z - z_j)$ and $p'(z) = n \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (z - w_j)$ we have

$$p'(z_n) = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (z_n - z_j) = n \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (z_n - w_j)$$

so that

$$n(I(z_n))^{n-1} \leq n \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} |z_n - w_j| = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} |z_n - z_j| \leq |z_n - 1| |z_n - \exp(iu)| (1 + |z_n|)^{n-3}.$$

Since $\varphi(t) = |z_n - \exp(it)| = |r \exp(iy) - \exp(it)|$ is a decreasing function of t for $0 \leq t \leq y$, we have that $u \geq y$ because 1 is a root of p on $C(0, 1)$ nearest to z_n . Since $\varphi(t)$ is increasing for $y \leq t \leq \pi$, $\varphi(u) \leq \varphi(\pi)$. Thus $n(I(z_n))^{n-1} \leq |z_n - 1| |z_n + 1| (1 + |z_n|)^{n-3} \leq (1 + |z_n|^2)(1 + |z_n|)^{n-3}$ and the result follows.

We remark here that in [1] it is shown that if $p \in P_n$ has a root z_k on $C(0, 1)$, then $I(z_k) \leq 1$. Using this and Theorem 4 we can prove a result of Rubinstein [3].

PROPOSITION. $I(p_n) = 1$ for $n = 3$ and 4.

Proof. Let $p \in P_n$ be extremal. If z_k is a root of p with $|z_k| < 1$, the bound in theorem 4 gives $I(z_k) < (2/3)^{1/2}$ for $n = 3$ and $I(z_k) < 1$ for $n = 4$. Thus $I(P_n) \leq 1$ for $n = 3$ or 4, and the polynomials $z^n - 1 \in P_n$ show that $I(P_n) \geq 1$.

Further it is shown in [3] that if $p \in P_n$ has a root at z_k on $C(0, 1)$ and p is not of the form $z^n - \exp(it)$ for some t , then $I(z_k) < 1$. Using this result and theorem 4 we can prove

THEOREM 5. If $p \in P_n$ is extremal, then $p(z) = z^n - \exp(it)$ for some t if $n = 2, 3$, and 4.

Proof. This is immediate for $n = 2$. In the proof of the proposition it was shown that $I(z_k) < (2/3)^{1/2}$ and $I(z_k) < 1$ for $n = 3$ and 4 respectively and $|z_k| < 1$. The result in [3] quoted above completes the proof.

Based on this result we offer the conjecture: If $p \in P_n$ is extremal then $p(z) = z^n - \exp(it)$ for some t .

A result not dependent on the above theorems is given in

THEOREM 6. If $p \in P_n$ has all of its roots on a line segment that is contained in $\bar{D}(0, 1)$ then $I(p) \leq 1$.

Proof. We may assume that p has all its roots on the closed real interval $[-1, 1]$, $p(1) = 0$, and p has at least one root less than 1. Let the roots of p be such that $z_1 = z_2 = \dots = z_{m-1} < z_m \leq \dots \leq z_n = 1$. Then $I(z_n) \leq 1$ by the result in [1], and if z_j is a root in the half open interval $[z_m, 1)$ then p has a root on either side of z_j whence by Rolle's theorem $I(z_j) \leq 1$. If $z_1 = -1$ then by [1] again, $I(z_1) \leq 1$. If $-1 < z_1$ then $q(z) = p(z + z_1 + 1)$ has roots $z'_j = z_j - (z_1 + 1)$ where $-1 = z'_1 \leq \dots \leq z'_n$ so that $I(z_1) = I(z'_1) \leq 1$. Thus $I(p) \leq 1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] GOODMAN, A. W., Q. I. RAHMAN AND J. S. RATTI, On the zeros of a polynomial and its derivative. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (1969), 273-74.
- [2] Hayman, W. K., Research problems in function theory. Athlone Press, University of London (1967).
- [3] Rubinstein, Z., On a problem of Ilyeff. Pacific J. Math. **26** (1968), 159-61.

UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA,
GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA 32601.