

ON PRIME ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

BY SHIGERU KIMURA

§1. An entire function $F(z)=f \circ g(z)$ is said to be prime if every factorization of the above form implies that one of the functions $f(z)$ or $g(z)$ is linear.

Ozawa [5] has recently proved the following.

THEOREM A. *Let $F(z)$ be an entire function of order ρ , $1/2 < \rho < 1$ and with only negative zeros. Assume that $n(r) \sim \lambda r^\rho$, $\lambda > 0$ where $n(r)$ indicates the number of zeros of $F(z)$ in $|z| < r$. Further assume that there are two indices j and k such that a_j, a_k are zeros of $F(z)$ whose multiplicities p_j, p_k satisfy $(p_j, p_k) = 1$. Then $F(z)$ is prime.*

The purpose of this note is to extend Theorem A to higher orders and to prove the following.

THEOREM. *Let $F(z)$ be an entire function of non-integral order ρ ($> 1/2$), with only negative zeros. Assume that $n(r) \sim \lambda r^\rho$, $\lambda > 0$. Further assume that there are two indices j and k such that a_j, a_k are zeros of $F(z)$ whose multiplicities p_j, p_k satisfy $(p_j, p_k) = 1$. Then $F(z)$ is prime.*

In order to prove this we quote several known results.

LEMMA 1. (Edrei [1]). *Let $f(z)$ be an entire function. Assume that there exists an unbounded sequence $\{h_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^\infty$ such that all the roots of the equations $f(z) = h_\nu$, $\nu = 1, 2, \dots$, be real. Then $f(z)$ is a polynomial of degree at most two.*

LEMMA 2. (Pólya [6]). *Suppose that $f(z), g(z)$ are entire functions and that $\phi(z) = f \circ g(z)$ is of finite order. Then either $g(z)$ is a polynomial or $f(z)$ is of order zero.*

LEMMA 3. (Hardy-Littlewood [2]). *If $F(z)$ is a positive integrable function such that, when $t \rightarrow 0$,*

$$\int_0^\infty F(x)e^{-xt} dx \sim t^{-\beta} \quad (\beta > 0),$$

then, when $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\int_0^x F(u) du \sim \frac{x^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}.$$

Received January 11, 1971.

LEMMA 4. (Titchmarsh [7]). If $\phi(x)$ and $\phi'(x)$ are integrable over any finite interval and when $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\phi(x) \sim x^{-\beta}, \quad \phi'(x) = O(x^{-\beta-1}) \quad (0 < \beta < 1),$$

then, when $t \rightarrow 0$,

$$\int_0^\infty \phi(x) \frac{\cos xt dx}{\sin x} \sim \frac{\sin \frac{1}{2}}{\cos \frac{1}{2}} \pi \beta \Gamma(1-\beta) t^{\beta-1}.$$

§ 2. The main lemmas.

LEMMA 5. (Hellerstein-Shea [3]). Let $f(z)$ be an entire function of order ρ , $q < \rho < q+1$ where q is a nonnegative integer, with real negative zeros and $n(r) \sim \lambda r^\rho (\lambda > 0)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$\log f(re^{i\theta}) \sim e^{i\theta} \pi \lambda \frac{r^\rho}{\sin \pi \rho}, \quad r \rightarrow \infty$$

for each fixed θ in $-\pi < \theta < \pi$.

Our proofs depend upon the following lemma which is the extension of the theorem of [8].

LEMMA 6. Let $f(z)$ be an entire function of order $q < \rho < q+1$ where q is a nonnegative integer, with real negative zeros and if

$$\log f(x) \sim \pi \lambda \operatorname{cosec} \pi \rho \cdot x^\rho \quad (\lambda > 0)$$

then $n(x) \sim \lambda x^\rho$.

Proof. We can write

$$f(z) = e^{P(z)} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{z}{a_n} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{z}{a_n} + \dots + \frac{1}{q} \left(-\frac{z}{a_n} \right)^q \right), \quad a_n > 0$$

where $P(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $d \leq q$. If we write $|f(x)/e^{P(x)}| = g(x)$, then we have

$$\log g(x) \sim \pi \lambda \operatorname{cosec} \pi \rho \cdot x^\rho.$$

From the representation

$$\log \left| \frac{f(xe^{i\theta})}{e^{P(xe^{i\theta})}} \right| = (-1)^q x^{q+1} \int_0^\infty \frac{n(r)}{r^{q+1}} \cdot \frac{r \cos (q+1)\theta + x \cos q\theta}{r^2 + 2rx \cos \theta + x^2} dr,$$

we have

$$\log g(x) = (-1)^q x^{q+1} \int_0^\infty \frac{n(r)(r+x)}{r^{q+1}(r+x)^2} dr.$$

Hence

$$|\log g(x)| \cong x^{q+1} \int_x^\infty \frac{n(r)dr}{r^{q+1}(x+r)} \cong x^{q+1} \cdot n(x) \int_x^\infty \frac{dr}{(x+r)r^{q+1}} \cong \frac{n(x)}{2(q+1)}.$$

Therefore we have

$$n(x) = O(x^\rho).$$

Also

$$\frac{g'(x)}{g(x)} = (-1)^q \int_0^\infty \frac{(q+1)x^q r + qx^{q+1}}{r^{q+1}(r+x)^2} n(r) dr = O(x^{\rho-1}).$$

Now

$$(-1)^q \cdot \frac{\log g(x^2)}{x^{2q+2}} = 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{n(r^2)}{r^{2q+1}(r^2+x^2)} dr.$$

Multiply each side by

$$x^{2q+\alpha} \cos \left\{ xt - \frac{1}{2} (2q+\alpha)\pi \right\} \quad (t > 0, 1-2\rho < \alpha < 2-2\rho)$$

and integrate from 0 to ∞ . We may, as in [8], invert the order of integration on the right, and we obtain for a suitable α ($1-2\rho < \alpha < 2-2\rho$)

$$\int_0^\infty \log g(x^2) \cos \left(xt - \frac{1}{2} \alpha \pi \right) x^{\alpha-2} dx = \pi \int_0^\infty n(r^2) r^{\alpha-2} e^{-rt} dr.$$

In the Lemma 4, put

$$\phi(x) = \frac{\sin \pi \rho}{\pi \lambda} x^{\alpha-2} \log g(x^2), \quad \beta = 2-2\rho-\alpha.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \log g(x^2) \cdot \cos \left(xt - \frac{1}{2} \alpha \pi \right) x^{\alpha-2} dx \\ &= \frac{\pi \lambda}{\sin \pi \rho} \left\{ \cos \frac{1}{2} \alpha \pi \int_0^\infty \phi(x) \cos xt dt + \sin \frac{1}{2} \alpha \pi \int_0^\infty \phi(x) \sin xt dt \right\} \\ &\sim \frac{\pi \lambda}{\sin \pi \rho} \left\{ \cos \frac{1}{2} \alpha \pi \cdot \sin \left(1-\rho - \frac{1}{2} \alpha \right) \pi + \sin \frac{1}{2} \alpha \pi \cdot \cos \left(1-\rho - \frac{1}{2} \alpha \right) \pi \right\} \Gamma(2\rho+\alpha-1) t^{1-2\rho-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_0^\infty n(r^2) r^{\alpha-2} e^{-rt} dr \sim \lambda \Gamma(2\rho+\alpha-1) t^{1-2\rho-\alpha}.$$

Hence, by Lemma 3, we have

$$\int_0^x n(r^2)r^{\alpha-2}dr \sim \frac{\lambda}{2\rho+\alpha-1} x^{2\rho+\alpha-1}.$$

Thus, for $x > x_0(\varepsilon)$

$$\frac{\lambda(1-\varepsilon)}{2\rho+\alpha-1} x^{2\rho+\alpha-1} < \int_0^x n(r^2)r^{\alpha-2}dr < \frac{\lambda(1+\varepsilon)}{2\rho+\alpha-1} x^{2\rho+\alpha-1}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_x^{x+x\delta} n(r^2)r^{\alpha-2}dr &< \frac{\lambda(1+\varepsilon)(1+\delta)^{2\rho+\alpha-1} - \lambda(1-\varepsilon)}{2\rho+\alpha-1} x^{2\rho+\alpha-1} \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{2\rho+\alpha-1} \{(2\rho+\alpha-1)\delta + O(\delta^2) + O(\varepsilon)\} x^{2\rho+\alpha-1}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\int_x^{x+x\delta} n(r^2)r^{\alpha-2}dr \geq n(x^2) \int_x^{x+x\delta} r^{\alpha-2}dr > n(x^2) \frac{x\delta}{x^{2-\alpha}(1+\delta)^{2-\alpha}}.$$

Hence

$$n(x^2) < \lambda(1+\delta)^{2-\alpha} \left\{ 1 + O(\delta) + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}\right) \right\} x^{2\rho}.$$

and the required upper bound is obtained on taking, e. g., $\delta = \sqrt{\varepsilon}$. The lower bound may be obtained in a similar way. This proves Lemma 6.

§ 3. Proof of Theorem.

Let $F(z)$ be $f \circ g(z)$. Assume that $f(w)$ is transcendental. If $f(w)=0$ has only a finite number of roots, then we can write

$$f(w) = P(w)e^{H(w)}$$

where $P(w)$ is a polynomial and $H(w)$ is also a polynomial, in view of $\rho < +\infty$. Since, by Lemma 2, $g(z)$ is a polynomial, ρ is an integer. This is a contradiction. Hence $f(w)=0$ has an infinite number of roots $\{w_n\}$, $w_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consider the equations $g(z) = w_n$, $n=1, 2, \dots$. All their roots lie on the real negative axis. Then by Lemma 1 $g(z)$ is a polynomial of degree at most two. Therefore $g(z)$ must be linear.

Suppose, next, that $F(z) = f \circ g(z)$ with a polynomial $f(w)$. In this case, we have

$$F(z) = Ag_1(z)^{l_1} \cdots g_p(z)^{l_p}, \quad g_j(z) = g(z) - w_j.$$

From the representation

$$F(z) = e^{p(z)} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E\left(\frac{z}{a_n}, q\right),$$

we may put

$$g_j(z) = e^{p_j(z)} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E\left(\frac{z}{a_{jn}}, q\right).$$

And it is clear that

$$|g_j(r)| \sim |g_k(r)|, \quad r \rightarrow \infty,$$

for any j and k . Thus for each s , $1 \leq s \leq p$

$$|F(r)| \sim |A| \prod_{j=1}^p |g_s(r)|^{l_j} = |A| \cdot |g_s(r)|^\alpha \quad \left(\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^p l_j\right), \quad r \rightarrow \infty$$

By Lemma 5 we have

$$\log |F(r)| \sim \frac{\pi\lambda}{\sin \pi\rho} r^\rho, \quad r \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence

$$\log |g_s(r)| \sim \frac{\pi(\lambda/\alpha)}{\sin \pi\rho} r^\rho \quad (1 \leq s \leq p), \quad r \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then by Lemma 6

$$n(r, g_s(z)) \sim \frac{\lambda}{\alpha} r^\rho, \quad r \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, in view of $\rho > 1/2$, we can choose a rectilinear ray issuing from the origin by Lemma 5 such that along the ray,

$$g(z) \rightarrow w_1, \quad g(z) \rightarrow w_2 \quad (z \rightarrow \infty).$$

This is clearly a contradiction. Therefore we have $F(z) = A(g(z) - w_1)^{l_1}$. By the existence of two zeros whose multiplicities are coprime, l_1 must reduce to 1. Hence we have

$$F(z) = A(g(z) - w_1),$$

which is the desired result.

REFERENCES

- [1] EDREI, A., Meromorphic functions with three radially distributed values. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **78** (1955), 276-293.
- [2] HARDY, G. H., AND J. E. LITTLEWOOD, Tauberian theorems concerning power

- series and Dirichlet series whose coefficients are positive. Proc. London Math. Soc. **13** (1913), 174-191.
- [3] HELLERSTEIN, S., AND D. F. SHEA, Bound for the deficiencies of meromorphic functions of finite order. Proc. of Symposia in pure Math. **11**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence (1968), 214-239.
- [4] OZAWA, M., On prime entire functions. Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. **22** (1970), 301-308.
- [5] OZAWA, M., On prime entire functions, II. Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. **22** (1970), 309-312.
- [6] PÓLYA, G., On an integral function of an integral function. J. London Math. Soc. **1** (1926), 12-15.
- [7] TITCHMARSH, E. C., A theorem on infinite products. J. London Math. Soc. **1** (1926), 35-37.
- [8] TITCHMARSH, E. C., On integral functions with real negative zeros. Proc. London Math. Soc. **26** (1927), 185-200.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
UTSUNOMIYA UNIVERSITY.