

ON $|C, \alpha|_k$ SUMMABILITY FACTORS OF FOURIER SERIES

BY RAJENDRA K. JAIN

1. Let $\sum a_n$ be a given infinite series with its n -th partial sum S_n , and let $t_n = t_n^\alpha = n a_n$. By $\{\sigma_n^\alpha\}$ and $\{t_n^\alpha\}$ we denote the n -th Cesàro means of order α ($\alpha > -1$) of the sequences $\{S_n\}$ and $\{t_n\}$ respectively. The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be absolutely summable (C, α) with index k , or simply summable $|C, \alpha|_k$ ($k \geq 1$), if

$$(1.1) \quad \sum n^{k-1} |\sigma_n^\alpha - \sigma_{n-1}^\alpha|^k < \infty \quad (1.1).$$

Summability $|C, \alpha|_1$ is the same as summability $|C, \alpha|$. Since

$$t_n^\alpha = n(\sigma_n^\alpha - \sigma_{n-1}^\alpha),$$

condition (1.1) can also be written as

$$(1.2) \quad \sum \frac{|t_n^\alpha|^k}{n} < \infty.$$

A sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ is said to be convex [7], if $\Delta^2 \lambda_n \geq 0$, $n=1, 2, \dots$, where $\Delta \lambda_n = \lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}$ and $\Delta^2 \lambda_n = \Delta(\Delta \lambda_n)$.

2. Let $f(t)$ be a periodic function with period 2π and integrable (L) over $(-\pi, \pi)$. We assume without loss of generality that the constant term in Fourier series is zero such that

$$f(t) \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nt + b_n \sin nt) = \sum_{n=1}^{[\infty]} A_n(t),$$

and

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) dt = 0.$$

We write

$$\phi(t) = \frac{1}{2} \{f(x+t) + f(x-t) - 2f(x)\}.$$

3. Cheng [2] established:

THEOREM A. *If*

$$(3.1) \quad \int_0^t |\phi(u)| du = O\left\{t \left(\log \frac{1}{t}\right)^\beta\right\}, \quad \beta \geq 0$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$, then the series

Received August 30, 1968; revised December 26, 1968.

$$\sum \frac{A_n(t)}{n^{1-\alpha}(\log n)^{1+\beta+\epsilon}}, \quad \epsilon > 0,$$

at the point $t=x$ is summable $|C, \alpha|$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$.

Extending the above theorem, Dikshit [4] proved:

THEOREM B. *If $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a convex sequence such that the series $\sum \lambda_n/n$ is convergent, then the series*

$$\sum \frac{\lambda_n A_n(t)}{n^{1-\alpha}(\log n)^\beta}$$

at $t=x$ is summable $|C, \alpha|$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, whenever condition (3.1) is satisfied.

The object of this paper is to generalise theorem B for summability $|C, \alpha|_k$. We prove:

THEOREM. *If $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a convex sequence such that $\sum \lambda_n/n$ is convergent, then the series*

$$\sum \frac{\lambda_n A_n(t)}{n^{1-\alpha}(\log n)^{\beta/k}}$$

at $t=x$ is summable $|C, \alpha|_k$ where $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $k \geq 1$, provided that

$$(3.2) \quad \int_0^t |\phi(u)|^k du = O\left\{t \left(\log \frac{1}{t}\right)^\beta\right\}, \quad \beta \geq 0.$$

4. We require the following lemmas for the proof of our theorem.

LEMMA 1. [3]. *If $0 < \alpha < 1$, $0 < t < 2\pi$ and*

$$T_n^\alpha(t) = \sum_{\mu=1}^n A_{n-\mu}^{\alpha-1} \mu \cos \mu t,$$

then

$$T_n^\alpha(t) = \begin{cases} O(n^2) & \text{for all } t > 0. \\ O(nt^{-\alpha}) & \text{for } t > \frac{1}{n}. \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 2. [1]. *If $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and $0 \leq m \leq n$, then*

$$\left| \sum_{\nu=0}^m A_{n-\nu}^{\alpha-1} \alpha_\nu \right| \leq \max_{0 \leq \mu \leq m} \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^\mu A_{\mu-\nu}^{\alpha-1} \alpha_\nu \right|.$$

LEMMA 3. *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $0 < t \leq 2\pi$. We write*

$$M_n^\alpha(t) = \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{\nu=2}^n A_{n-\nu}^{\alpha-1} \frac{\lambda_\nu}{(\log \nu)^{\beta/k}} \nu^\alpha \cos \nu t, \quad \beta \geq 0, k \geq 1.$$

Then

$$(4.1) \quad M_n^\alpha(t) = \begin{cases} O\left\{n^{-\alpha} \sum_{\nu=2}^n \nu^{1+\alpha} (\log \nu)^{-\beta/k} \Delta \lambda_\nu\right\} + O\{n\lambda_n (\log n)^{-\beta/k}\} + O(n^{-1}) & \text{for } 0 < t \leq \frac{1}{n}. \\ O\left\{(nt)^{-\alpha} \sum_{\nu=2}^n \nu^\alpha (\log \nu)^{-\beta/k} \Delta \lambda_\nu\right\} + O\{\lambda_n t^{-\alpha} (\log n)^{-\beta/k}\} + O(n^{-1}) & \text{for } t > \frac{1}{n}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Abel's transformation, we have

$$M_n^\alpha(t) = \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=2}^{n-1} \Delta \left(\frac{\lambda_\nu}{\nu^{1-\alpha} (\log \nu)^{\beta/k}} \right) \sum_{\mu=1}^\nu A_{n-\mu}^{\alpha-1} \mu \cos \mu t \right\} + \frac{T_n^\alpha(t) \lambda_n}{A_n^\alpha n^{1-\alpha} (\log n)^{\beta/k}} - \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \frac{\lambda_2}{2^{1-\alpha} (\log 2)^{\beta/k}} A_{n-1}^{\alpha-1} \cos t,$$

where $T_n^\alpha(t)$ is the sequence defined in Lemma 1. So by Lemmas 1 and 2, for $0 < t \leq 1/n$,

$$M_n^\alpha(t) = O\left\{ \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{\nu=2}^n \Delta \left(\frac{\lambda_\nu}{\nu^{1-\alpha} (\log \nu)^{\beta/k}} \right) \cdot \nu^2 \right\} + O\left\{ \frac{n^2 \lambda_n}{A_n^\alpha n^{1-\alpha} (\log n)^{\beta/k}} \right\} + O(n^{-1}) \\ = O\left\{ n^{-\alpha} \left[\sum_{\nu=2}^n \frac{\nu^{1+\alpha} \Delta \lambda_\nu}{(\log \nu)^{\beta/k}} + \sum_{\nu=2}^n \frac{\nu^\alpha \lambda_\nu}{(\log \nu)^{\beta/k}} + \sum_{\nu=2}^n \frac{\nu^\alpha \lambda_\nu}{(\log \nu)^{\beta/k+1}} \right] \right\} + O\left\{ \frac{n \lambda_n}{(\log n)^{\beta/k}} \right\} + O(n^{-1}).$$

But

$$\sum_{\nu=2}^n \frac{\nu^\alpha \lambda_\nu}{(\log \nu)^{\beta/k}} = O\left\{ \sum_{\nu=2}^n \Delta \lambda_\nu \sum_{m=2}^\nu \frac{m^\alpha}{(\log m)^{\beta/k}} \right\} + O\left\{ \lambda_n \sum_{m=2}^n \frac{m^\alpha}{(\log m)^{\beta/k}} \right\}.$$

Hence, for $0 < t \leq 1/n$, this gives (4.1).

Also, for $t > 1/n$,

$$M_n^\alpha(t) = O\left\{ \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{\nu=2}^n \nu t^{-\alpha} \Delta \left(\frac{\lambda_\nu}{\nu^{1-\alpha} (\log \nu)^{\beta/k}} \right) \right\} + O\left\{ \frac{n \lambda_n t^{-\alpha}}{A_n^\alpha n^{1-\alpha} (\log n)^{\beta/k}} \right\} + O(n^{-1}) \\ = O\left\{ (nt)^{-\alpha} \left[\sum_{\nu=2}^n \frac{\nu \Delta \lambda_\nu}{\nu^{1-\alpha} (\log \nu)^{\beta/k}} + \sum_{\nu=2}^n \frac{\nu \lambda_\nu}{\nu^{2-\alpha} (\log \nu)^{\beta/k}} + \sum_{\nu=2}^n \frac{\nu \lambda_\nu}{\nu^{2-\alpha} (\log \nu)^{\beta/k+1}} \right] \right\} \\ + O\left\{ \frac{\lambda_n}{t^\alpha (\log n)^{\beta/k}} \right\} + O(n^{-1}).$$

But

$$\sum_{\nu=2}^n \frac{\lambda_\nu}{\nu^{1-\alpha} (\log \nu)^{\beta/k}} = O\left\{ \sum_{\nu=2}^n \Delta \lambda_\nu \sum_{\mu=2}^\nu \frac{1}{\mu^{1-\alpha} (\log \mu)^{\beta/k}} \right\} + O\left\{ \lambda_n \sum_{\nu=2}^n \frac{1}{\nu^{1-\alpha} (\log \nu)^{\beta/k}} \right\} \\ = O\left\{ \sum_{\nu=2}^n \frac{\nu^\alpha \Delta \lambda_\nu}{(\log \nu)^{\beta/k}} \right\} + O\left\{ \frac{\lambda_n n^\alpha}{(\log n)^{\beta/k}} \right\}.$$

This establishes (4.2).

LEMMA 4. If (3.2) holds, then, for $k \geq 1$,

$$(i) \quad \left\{ \int_0^{1/n} |\phi(t)| dt \right\}^k = O\{n^{-k} (\log n)^\beta\}$$

and, for $k \geq 1$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$,

$$(ii) \quad \left\{ \int_{1/n}^{\pi} \frac{|\phi(t)|}{t^{\alpha}} dt \right\}^k = O\{(\log n)^{\beta}\}.$$

Proof of (i). By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \int_0^{1/n} |\phi(t)| dt \right\}^k &\leq \left\{ \int_0^{1/n} |\phi(t)|^k dt \right\} \left\{ \int_0^{1/n} dt \right\}^{k-1} \\ &= O\left\{ \frac{(\log n)^{\beta}}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{n^{k-1}} \right\} = O\left\{ \frac{(\log n)^{\beta}}{n^k} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of (ii). Again, Hölder's inequality gives that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \int_{1/n}^{\pi} \frac{|\phi(t)|}{t^{\alpha}} dt \right\}^k &= \left\{ \int_{1/n}^{\pi} \frac{|\phi(t)|}{t^{\alpha/k}} \cdot \frac{1}{t^{\alpha(1-1/k)}} dt \right\}^k \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_{1/n}^{\pi} \frac{|\phi(t)|^k}{t^{\alpha}} dt \right\} \left\{ \int_{1/n}^{\pi} \frac{dt}{t^{\alpha}} \right\}^{k-1} \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \int_{1/n}^{\pi} \frac{|\phi(t)|^k}{t^{\alpha}} dt \right\} &= O\left\{ \left[t^{1-\alpha} \left(\log \frac{1}{t} \right)^{\beta} \right]_{1/n}^{\pi} \right\} + O\left\{ \int_{1/n}^{\pi} \frac{1}{t^{\alpha}} \left(\log \frac{1}{t} \right)^{\beta} dt \right\} \\ &= O(1) + O\{n^{\alpha-1}(\log n)^{\beta}\} + O\left\{ (\log n)^{\beta} \int_{1/n}^{\pi} \frac{dt}{t^{\alpha}} \right\} \\ &= O\{(\log n)^{\beta}\}, \quad \text{since } 0 < \alpha < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (ii) is evident.

LEMMA 5 [6]. *If $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a convex sequence such that $\sum \lambda_n/n < \infty$, then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \log(n+1) \Delta \lambda_n = O(1), \quad m \rightarrow \infty.$$

5. *Proof of the theorem.* Since the case $k=1$ of the theorem is due to Dikshit [4], we prove the theorem for $k > 1$ only.

The case $\alpha=0$ being trivial, we take $0 < \alpha < 1$. We denote the n -th Cesàro mean of order α of the sequence $\{n^{\alpha} \lambda_n A_n(t) (\log n)^{-\beta/k}\}$ by $C_n^{\alpha}(t)$. Then we have to show that

$$(5.1) \quad \sum \frac{|C_n^{\alpha}(t)|^k}{n} < \infty.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} C_n^{\alpha}(t) &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \phi(t) \cdot \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha}} \sum_{\nu=2}^n \frac{A_{n-\nu}^{\alpha-1} \nu^{\alpha} \lambda_{\nu} \cos \nu t}{(\log \nu)^{\beta/k}} dt \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \left\{ \int_0^{1/n} + \int_{1/n}^{\pi} \right\} \phi(t) M_n^{\alpha}(t) dt \\ &= L_n^1 + L_n^2, \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

By Minkowski's inequality, it is therefore sufficient to prove that

$$(5.2) \quad \sum \frac{|L_n^1|^k}{n} < \infty,$$

and

$$(5.3) \quad \sum \frac{|L_n^2|^k}{n} < \infty.$$

Proof of (5.2). Using (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^m \frac{|L_n^1|^k}{n} &\cong \sum_{n=2}^m \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{1/n} |\phi(t)| dt \left\{ O\left(n^{-\alpha} \sum_{\nu=2}^n \frac{\nu^{1+\alpha} \Delta \lambda_\nu}{(\log \nu)^{\beta/k}} \right) + O\left(\frac{n \lambda_n}{(\log n)^{\beta/k}} \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n} \right) \right\} \right]^k \\ &= \left\{ O \left[\sum_{n=2}^m \frac{1}{n} \left(\int_0^{1/n} \frac{|\phi(t)|}{n^\alpha} \sum_{\nu=2}^n \frac{\nu^{1+\alpha}}{(\log \nu)^{\beta/k}} \Delta \lambda_\nu dt \right)^k \right] \right\}^{1/k} \\ &\quad + O \left[\sum_{n=2}^m \frac{1}{n} \left(\int_0^{1/n} \frac{|\phi(t)| n \lambda_n}{(\log n)^{\beta/k}} dt \right)^k \right]^{1/k} + O \left[\sum_{n=2}^m \frac{1}{n^{1+k}} \left(\int_0^{1/n} |\phi(t)| dt \right)^k \right]^{1/k} \\ &= \{M_1^{1/k} + M_2^{1/k} + M_3^{1/k}\}^k, \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

Now, applying lemmas 4 (i) and 5, we get

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &= O \left[\sum_{n=2}^m \frac{1}{n^{1+k\alpha}} \left(\int_0^{1/n} |\phi(t)| dt \right)^k \left(\sum_{\nu=2}^n \frac{\nu^{1+\alpha} \Delta \lambda_\nu}{(\log \nu)^{\beta/k}} \right)^k \right] \\ &= O \left[\sum_{n=2}^m \frac{1}{n^{1+k\alpha}} \cdot \frac{(\log n)^\beta}{n^k} \left(\sum_{\nu=2}^n \frac{\nu^{1+\alpha} \Delta \lambda_\nu}{(\log \nu)^{\beta/k}} \right)^k \right] \\ &= O \left[\sum_{n=2}^m \frac{(\log n)^\beta}{n^{1+k(1+\alpha)}} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=2}^n \left(\frac{\nu^{1+\alpha} (\Delta \lambda_\nu)^{1/k}}{(\log \nu)^{\beta/k}} \right) (\Delta \lambda_\nu)^{1-1/k} \right\}^k \right] \\ &= O \left[\sum_{n=2}^m \frac{(\log n)^\beta}{n^{1+k(1+\alpha)}} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=2}^n \frac{\nu^{k(1+\alpha)} \Delta \lambda_\nu}{(\log \nu)^\beta} \right\} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=2}^n \Delta \lambda_\nu \right\}^{k-1} \right] \\ &= O \left[\sum_{n=2}^m \frac{(\log n)^\beta}{n^{1+k(1+\alpha)}} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=2}^n \frac{\nu^{k(1+\alpha)} \Delta \lambda_\nu}{(\log \nu)^\beta} \right\} \right] \\ &= O \left[\sum_{\nu=2}^m \frac{\nu^{k(1+\alpha)} \Delta \lambda_\nu}{(\log \nu)^\beta} \sum_{n=\nu}^m \frac{(\log n)^\beta}{n^{1+k(1+\alpha)}} \right] \\ &= O \left[\sum_{\nu=2}^m \Delta \lambda_\nu \right] \\ &= O(1), \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Next, using lemma 4 (i) again, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
M_2 &= O\left[\sum_{n=2}^m \frac{n^{k-1}\lambda_n^k}{(\log n)^\beta} \cdot \left(\int_0^{1/n} |\phi(t)| dt\right)^k\right] \\
&= O\left[\sum_{n=2}^m \frac{n^{k-1}\lambda_n^k}{(\log n)^\beta} \cdot \frac{(\log n)^\beta}{n^k}\right] \\
&= O\left[\sum_{n=2}^m \frac{\lambda_n^k}{n}\right] \\
&= O(1), \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$M_3 = O\left(\sum_{n=2}^m \frac{(\log n)^\beta}{n^{1+2k}}\right) = O(1), \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

This proves (5. 2).

Proof of (5. 3). Applying (4. 2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=2}^m \frac{|L_n^2|^k}{n} &\leq \sum_{n=2}^m \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{1/n}^{\pi} |\phi(t)| dt \left\{ O\left((nt)^{-a} \sum_{\nu=2}^n \frac{\nu^\alpha \Delta \lambda_\nu}{(\log \nu)^{\beta/k}}\right) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + O\left(\frac{\lambda_n}{t^\alpha (\log n)^{\beta/k}}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right\} \right]^k \\
&= \left\{ O\left[\sum_{n=2}^m \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{n^\alpha} \int_{1/n}^{\pi} \frac{|\phi(t)|}{t^\alpha} \sum_{\nu=2}^n \frac{\nu^\alpha \Delta \lambda_\nu}{(\log \nu)^{\beta/k}} dt \right)^k \right]^{1/k} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + O\left[\sum_{n=2}^m \frac{1}{n} \left(\int_{1/n}^{\pi} \frac{|\phi(t)|}{t^\alpha} \cdot \frac{\lambda_n}{(\log n)^{\beta/k}} dt \right)^k \right]^{1/k} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + O\left[\sum_{n=2}^m \frac{1}{n^{1+k}} \left(\int_{1/n}^{\pi} |\phi(t)| dt \right)^k \right]^{1/k} \right\}^k \\
&= \{N_1^{1/k} + N_2^{1/k} + N_3^{1/k}\}^k, \quad \text{say.}
\end{aligned}$$

Using lemmas 4 (ii) and 5, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
N_1 &= O\left[\sum_{n=2}^m \frac{1}{n^{1+k\alpha}} \left(\int_{1/n}^{\pi} \frac{|\phi(t)|}{t^\alpha} dt\right)^k \left(\sum_{\nu=2}^n \frac{\nu^\alpha \Delta \lambda_\nu}{(\log \nu)^{\beta/k}}\right)^k\right] \\
&= O\left[\sum_{n=2}^m \frac{(\log n)^\beta}{n^{1+k\alpha}} \left(\sum_{\nu=2}^n \frac{\nu^\alpha \Delta \lambda_\nu}{(\log \nu)^{\beta/k}}\right)^k\right] \\
&= O\left[\sum_{n=2}^m \frac{(\log n)^\beta}{n^{1+k\alpha}} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=2}^n \left(\frac{\nu^\alpha (\Delta \lambda_\nu)^{1/k}}{(\log \nu)^{\beta/k}}\right) (\Delta \lambda_\nu)^{1-1/k} \right\}^k\right] \\
&= O\left[\sum_{n=2}^m \frac{(\log n)^\beta}{n^{1+k\alpha}} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=2}^n \frac{\nu^{k\alpha} \Delta \lambda_\nu}{(\log \nu)^\beta} \right\} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=2}^n \Delta \lambda_\nu \right\}^{k-1}\right] \\
&= O\left[\sum_{n=2}^m \frac{(\log n)^\beta}{n^{1+k\alpha}} \sum_{\nu=2}^n \frac{\nu^{k\alpha} \Delta \lambda_\nu}{(\log \nu)^\beta}\right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= O \left[\sum_{\nu=2}^m \frac{\nu^{k\alpha} \Delta \lambda_\nu}{(\log \nu)^\beta} \sum_{n=\nu}^m \frac{(\log n)^\beta}{n^{1+k\alpha}} \right] \\
 &= O \left[\sum_{\nu=2}^m \Delta \lambda_\nu \right] \\
 &= O(1), \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Lastly, applying lemma 4 (ii) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_2 &= O \left[\sum_{n=2}^m \frac{\lambda_n^k}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{(\log n)^\beta} \left(\int_{1/n}^\pi \frac{|\phi(t)|}{t^\alpha} dt \right)^k \right] \\
 &= O \left[\sum_{n=2}^m \frac{\lambda_n^k}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{(\log n)^\beta} (\log n)^\beta \right] \\
 &= O \left[\sum_{n=2}^m \frac{\lambda_n^k}{n} \right] \\
 &= O(1), \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

And obviously

$$N_3 = O \left(\sum_{n=2}^m \frac{1}{n^{1+k}} \right) = O(1), \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

This proves (5. 3).

Thus the proof of the theorem is complete.

The author is much indebted to Dr. P. L. Sharma for his kind help and valuable suggestions during the preparation of this paper and is also thankful to the referee for his kind suggestions.

REFERENCES

[1] BOSANQUET, L. S., A mean value theorem. J. London Math. Soc. **16** (1941), 146-148.
 [2] CHENG, M. T., Summability factors of Fourier series at a given point. Duke Math. J. **14** (1947), 405-410.
 [3] CHENG, M. T., Summability factors of Fouries series. Duke Math. J. **15** (1948), 17-27.
 [4] DIKSHIT, G. D., On the absolute summability factors of a Fourier series and its conjugate series. Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. Supplem. (1958), 42-53.
 [5] FLETT, T. M., On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley. Proc. London Math. Soc. **7** (1957), 113-141.
 [6] PATI, T., The summability factors of infinite series. Duke Math. J. **21** (1954), 271-284.
 [7] ZYGMUND, A., Trigonometrical Series. Warsaw (1935).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
 UNIVERSITY OF SAUGAR, SAGAR, M. P., INDIA.