

ON A NON-ABELIAN THEORY OF ALGEBRAIC FUNCTIONS

By HIRAKU TOYAMA.

Contents

Introduction.
Bibliography.
Chapter I. Generalized divisors.
Chapter II. Representations of the fundamental groups.
Chapter III. Hyperabelian integrals.
Chapter IV. Divisor classes.
Chapter V. Logarithmic differentials.
Chapter VI. Inversion problem.
Chapter VII. Unitary representations.
Chapter VIII. Duality theorem.

Introduction

The theory of Abelian functions of Riemann and Weierstrass realized that great scientific prophecy enunciated in Abel's Theorem. That theory of Abelian functions and the theory of automorphic functions of F. Klein and H. Poincaré, which can be regarded as the most beautiful and profound in the last century, came however to the standstill since the appearance of H. Weyl's admirable book on Riemann surface. After E. Artin's research on quadratic function fields over finite constant field, the tendency of investigations is directed rather towards the methodological purification and abstraction. Chiefly by the efforts of H. Hasse, F. K. Schmidt, M. Deuring and E. Witt this branch was raised to the high level of perfection and culminated in A. Weil's proof of the Riemann conjecture. But all these theories remain within the limit of "Abelian mathematics", in which the commutativity of the underlying groups plays the central role.

The first step into the "non-Abelian mathematics" was made by A. Weil in his pioneering work on the generalization of Abelian functions, which pointed out to us for the first time the possibility of the theory of hyperabelian functions. In his work the non-commutative fundamental group appeared instead of the commutative Betti group, and in accordance therewith the notion of divisors is generalized. One may regard the generalization of Riemann-Roch's theorem

and the analogue of Abel's Theorem as the chief foundations of his theory.

In this article I will develop a non-Abelian theory of algebraic functions after the model of A. Weil. In Chapter I the normal form of divisors is obtained and by this normalization the algebraic and arithmetical structure of divisors and divisor classes is investigated. Chapter II deals with the algebraico-geometrical properties of the set of representations, which should find some important applications in the following Chapters. Chapter III is devoted to the description of hyperabelian integrals and by means of this new notion the correspondence between divisors and representations is explicitly realized. In Chapter IV we will obtain the normal form of divisor classes under some restrictions, and the significance of logarithmic differentials in our theory is pointed out. Chapter V is devoted to the existence proof of logarithmic differentials, which constitutes one of the chief difficulties of our theory. In Chapter VI we will prove the non-Abelian extension of Jacobi's inversion problem. In Chapter VII the properties of unitary representations are discussed and we understand that there exist familiar connections with the usual theory of representations. Chapter VIII is devoted to the duality theorem of the fundamental group.

This work was begun in 1945 and almost finished in 1946, but the author has been given no means of publication till now owing to the wartime difficulties of our country.

At this occasion I wish to offer hearty thanks to Prof. S. Iyanaga (Tokyo), Prof. T. Tannaka (Sendai), Mr. K. Iwasawa (Tokyo), and Mr. J. Igusa (Tokyo), who have given me kind and valuable advices and criticisms.

Square brackets in the foot-note refer to the bibliography placed in the next.

Bibliography.

- [1] P.Alexandroff - H.Hopf, Topologie, Berlin, 1935.
- [2] H.F.Baker, Abel's theorem and the allied theory including the theory of the theta functions, Cambridge, 1897.
- [3] G.Birkhoff, Lattice theory, New York, 1940.
- [4] O.Blumenthal, Zum Eliminationsproblem bei analytischen Funktionen mehrerer Veränderlicher, Math. Ann.57.(1903).
- [5] C.Chevalley, La théorie du corps de classes, Annals of Mathematics, 41 (1940). S.394.
- [6] R.Fricke - F.Klein, Vorlesungen ueber die Theorie der automorphen Funktionen, I, Leipzig, 1897.
- [7] K.Hensel - G.Landsberg, Theorie der algebraischen Funktionen einer Variablen, Leipzig, 1902.
- [8] B.von Kérékjartó, Vorlesungen ueber Topologie, I, Berlin, 1923.
- [9] S.Lefschetz, Topology, New York, 1930.
- G.C.Macduffee,
- [10] 1. Matrices with elements in a principal ideal ring, Bull. Amer.Math.Soc. 39(1933), p.564-584.
- [11] 2. Theory of matrices, Berlin, 1935.
- [12] J.von Neumann, Almost periodic function in a group, I. Transactions of the Amer.Math.Soc.36(1934). p.445-492.
- [13] W.E.Osgood, Lehrbuch der Funktionentheorie, III.
- H.Poincaré,
- [14] 1. Sur les groupes des équations linéaires, Oeuvres, Tome II. p.300-401.
- [15] 2. Mémoires sur les fonctions zétafuchsienntes, Oeuvres, Tome II. p.402-462.
- [16] B.Riemann, Theorie der Abelschen Funktionen, Ges. Werke, p.
- [17] L.Schlesinger, Neue Grundlagen fuer einen Infinitesimalkalkuel der Matrizen, Math.Zeitschrift, 33 (1929) 33-61.
- [18] J.Schreier-S.Ulam, Sur le nombre des générateurs d'un groupe topologique compact et connexe, Fundamenta Math. 24 (1935).
- [19] F.Severi - E.Loeffler, Vorlesungen ueber algebraische Geometrie, Leipzig, 1921.
- [20] K.Shoda, Einige Saetze ueber Matrizen, Jap.Jour.of Math.13, (1937), S.361-365.
- [21] T.Tannaka, Ueber den Dualitaetsatz der nicht-kommutativen topologischen Gruppen, Tôhoku Math. Journ. 45 (1958), 1-12.
- [22] E.C.Titchmarsh, The theory of functions, Oxford, (1932).
- H.Tôyama,
- [23] 1. Zur Theorie der hyperabelschen Funktionen, Proc.
- [24] 2. II, Proc.Imp.Acad. Tokyo, 20 (1944). p.554-557.
- [25] 3. III, Proc.Imp. Acad.Tokyo,20 (1944). p.558-560.
- [26] 4. IV, Proc.Imp.Acad. Tokyo,22 (1946). p.184-190.
- [27] 5. Verallgemeinerung des Abel'schen Integrals und Periodenrelationen, Proc.Imp.Acad. Tokyo.22 (1946).
- [28] 6. Ueber die Darstellungsklasse der Fundamentalgruppe, Proc. of Physico-Math.Soc.Japan,26 (1944),41-42.
- [29] 7. Ueber den nicht-Abelschen Hauptdivisorsatz, Proc.Imp. Acad. Tokyo. (1948).
- [30] 8. On commutators of matrices, Proc.Imp.Acad.Pokyo, (1947).
- [31] V.Volterra - B.Hostinsky, Opérations infinitésimales linéaires, Paris, (1938).
- [32] Bl.van der Waerden
1. Topologische Begrueudung des Kalkuels der abzählenden Geometrie, Math.Ann.102(1927). 337-362.
- [33] 2. Moderne Algebra, II, 2-te Auflage, Berlin, 1940.
- [34] 3. Einfuehrung in die algebraische Geometrie, Berlin, 1939.
- A.Weil,
- [35] 1. Généralisation des fonctions abéliennes, Journal de Liouville, 17(1938). p.47-87.
- [36] 2. On the Riemann hypothesis in function fields. Proc.of Nat. Acad.U.S.A.35 (1941),p.345-347.
- H.Weyl,
- [37] 1. Die Idee der Riemannschen Flaechе, 2-te Auflage, Leipzig 1923.
- [38] 2. Gruppentheorie und Quantenmechanik, Leipzig, 1928.
- [39] 3. Classical groups, Princeton, 1939.

$$\theta_1 = P\theta$$

$$\theta_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_{11} & * \\ * & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

As $\theta_{11} = \tau^{\alpha_1}(a_0 + at + \dots)$, $(a_0 \neq 0)$ is of the lowest order, θ_{i1}/θ_{11}

are integral functions of τ . Then

$$U_p \theta_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \tau^{\alpha_1} & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & & & \\ \vdots & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

Again, if we apply the same procedure to the second column, then we obtain the matrix

$$\theta_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \tau^{\alpha_1} & \theta_{12} \\ 0 & \tau^{\alpha_2} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

By the Euclidean algorithm we get

$$\theta_{12} = \rho \tau^{\alpha_2} + \theta_{12}^*$$

the order of θ_{12}^* being $< \alpha_2$
By the left multiplication of U_p

$$U_p \theta_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\rho & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tau^{\alpha_1} & \theta_{12} \\ & \tau^{\alpha_2} \\ & \vdots \\ 0 & \vdots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tau^{\alpha_1} & \theta_{12} \\ & \tau^{\alpha_2} \\ & \vdots \\ 0 & \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

Similarly we can apply the same method to the 3rd, ..., rth columns successively and obtain finally the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tau^{\alpha_1} & \theta_{12}^* & \dots & \theta_{1r}^* \\ & \tau^{\alpha_2} & & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & \tau^{\alpha_r} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\theta_{i\kappa}$ is a polynomial of order $< \alpha_\kappa$ if θ and θ' belong to the same

$$\theta = U\theta', \quad \theta = \begin{pmatrix} \tau^{\alpha_1} & \theta_{12} & \dots \\ & \tau^{\alpha_2} & \\ & & \ddots \\ 0 & & & \tau^{\alpha_r} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \theta' = \begin{pmatrix} \tau^{\alpha'_1} & \theta'_{12} & \dots & \theta'_{1r} \\ & \tau^{\alpha'_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & \tau^{\alpha'_r} \end{pmatrix}$$

then the unit function matrix U is canonical, because both θ and θ' are canonical.

We get $\tau^{\alpha_i} = u_{i1} \tau^{\alpha'_i}$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$)
so $u_{i1} = 1$, $\alpha_i = \alpha'_i$
As to the (12) element

$$\theta_{12} = \theta'_{12} + u_{12} \tau^{\alpha_2}$$

As the orders of θ_{12} and θ'_{12} is $< \alpha_2$, we conclude

$$u_{12} = 0, \quad \theta_{12} = \theta'_{12}$$

Similarly we obtain successively

$$\theta_{13} = \theta'_{13}, \quad \theta_{14} = \theta'_{14}, \quad \dots, \quad \theta_{1r} = \theta'_{1r}$$

and $u_{13} = u_{14} = \dots = u_{1r} = 0$
Finally we get

$$\theta = \theta', \quad U = E_r$$

Thus the uniqueness of the normal form is proved. The exponents $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r$ in the main diagonal have the following meaning.

Let τ^{β_k} be the greatest common divisor of all the k -order subdeterminants in the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \theta_{11} & \theta_{12} & \dots & \theta_{1r} \\ \theta_{21} & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \\ \theta_{r1} & \dots & \dots & \theta_{rr} \end{pmatrix}$$

then

$$\alpha_1 = \beta_1, \quad \alpha_2 = \beta_2 - \beta_1, \quad \dots, \quad \alpha_r = \beta_r - \beta_{r-1}$$

If the point p is a branch point q_i the divisor \mathfrak{p} must be invariant with respect to C_i ($p = q_i$) according to Weil's definition

$$\theta^{C_i} = U\theta$$

where U is the unit function matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \zeta^{\alpha_1} \tau^{\alpha_1} & \theta_{12}^{C_i} & \dots & \theta_{1r}^{C_i} \\ & \zeta^{\alpha_2} \tau^{\alpha_2} & & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & \zeta^{\alpha_r} \tau^{\alpha_r} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta^{\alpha_1} u_{12} & & & \\ & \zeta^{\alpha_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \zeta^{\alpha_r} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tau^{\alpha_1} \theta_{12} & \dots & \theta_{1r} \\ & \tau^{\alpha_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & \tau^{\alpha_r} \end{pmatrix}$$

Comparing the (1,2)-element, we get

$$\theta_{12}^{c_1} = \zeta^{\alpha_1} \theta_{12} + u_{12} \tau^{\alpha_2}$$

Because the degree of $\theta_{12}^{c_1}$ and θ_{12} is smaller than α_2 ,

$$\theta_{12}^{c_1} = \zeta^{\alpha_1} \theta_{12}, \quad u_{12} = 0$$

We denote the fractional part of a real number x by $\langle x \rangle$ and the integral part by $[x]$

$$X = [x] + \langle x \rangle.$$

Now we set

$$d_i = \langle \frac{\alpha_i}{n} \rangle n, \quad \alpha_i' = [\frac{\alpha_i}{n}] n,$$

$$0 \leq d_i < n$$

Then $\zeta^{\alpha_i} = \zeta^{d_i}$, and the function $\theta_{12} / \tau^{\alpha_i}$ remaining invariant. Therefore the function $\theta_{12}^* = \theta_{12} / \tau^{\alpha_i}$ is a polynomial of t only. Let the degree of θ_{12}^* with respect to τ be g_{12} and that of θ_{12}^* with respect to t be g_{12}^* .

Then

$$g_{12} < d_2 + n \alpha_2$$

$$*g_{12} = d_1 + n g_{12}^*$$

By subtraction

$$d_1 - d_2 < (d_2' - g_{12}^*)$$

From $d_1 \geq d_2$ follows

$$\alpha_2' > g_{12}^*$$

and from $d_1 < d_2$ follows

$$\alpha_2' \geq g_{12}^*$$

This argument applies easily to every g_{ik} . Thus the theorem is completely proved. q.e.d.

For convenience we denote the diagonal matrix on the left hand by

$$\langle \beta \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \tau^{d_1} & & & \\ & \tau^{d_2} & & \\ & & \dots & \\ 0 & & & \tau^{d_r} \end{pmatrix}$$

and the canonical matrix on the right hand by

$$[\beta] = \begin{pmatrix} t^{\alpha_1} & \theta_{12} & \dots & \theta_{1r} \\ & t^{\alpha_2} & & \\ & & \dots & \\ 0 & & & t^{\alpha_r} \end{pmatrix}$$

We call the former $\langle \beta \rangle$ the fractional part and the latter the integral part of the local divisor β . Our Theorem 1 asserts the unique decomposition of β in the form $\langle \beta \rangle [\beta]$.

In the next theorem we prove the fact, which may be looked upon as the somewhat weakened form of the Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. If a matrix $\Omega \cdot \theta(t)$ belongs to local divisor β , where

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} \tau^{d_1} & & & \\ & \tau^{d_2} & & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & \tau^{d_r} \end{pmatrix}, \quad 0 \leq d_i < n$$

and $\theta(t)$ is a matrix belonging to K , then Ω is written as follows:

$$\Omega = P \langle \beta \rangle P^{-1}$$

where P is a permutation matrix. **Proof.** We write $\Omega \theta$ in a normal form:

$$\Omega \theta = U \langle \beta \rangle [\beta],$$

Operating C on both sides, we obtain

$$\Omega^c \theta^c = U^c \langle \beta \rangle^c [\beta]^c$$

and

$$\Delta' \Omega \theta = U^c \Delta \langle \beta \rangle [\beta]$$

where

$$\Delta' = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta^{d_1'} & & & \\ & \zeta^{d_2'} & & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & \zeta^{d_r'} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta^{d_1} & & & \\ & \zeta^{d_2} & & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & \zeta^{d_r} \end{pmatrix}$$

From (1) and (2) we have

$$\Delta' = U^c \Delta U^{-1}$$

Expanding U in the power series of τ

$$U = U_0 + U_1 \tau + \dots$$

$$U^{-1} = U_0^{-1} + U_1' \tau + \dots$$

Then

$$\Delta' = (U_0 + U_1 \tau + \dots) \Delta (U_0^{-1} + \dots)$$

$$\Delta' = U_0 \Delta U_0^{-1}$$

We see that Δ and Δ' have the same characteristic values, differing only in the order. Finally we can conclude the existence of the permutation matrix P , such that

$$\Omega = P \langle \beta \rangle P^{-1} \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

Next we go to the view-point in the large by the introduction of the divisor.

To every point ρ of \mathcal{F} we suppose defined a local divisor of r -th order, which has ρ as its base point, and among these only the finite number of them is different from E_r . Such a set of local divisors is called simply a divisor \mathcal{D} . We will denote such a divisor \mathcal{D} by

$$\mathcal{D} = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n),$$

writing explicitly only local divisors different from E_r . Here the order of β_i is unessential.

This expression corresponds to the decomposition of divisors in primary ones. But the above notation does not mean multiplication.

From the divisor \mathcal{D} we can construct the divisor of order 1, $|\mathcal{D}|$, which we shall call the norm divisor

$$|\mathcal{D}| = (|\beta_1|, |\beta_2|, \dots, |\beta_n|)$$

As in the case of local divisor we shall call the divisor

$$\langle \mathcal{D} \rangle = (\langle \beta_1 \rangle, \langle \beta_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle \beta_n \rangle)$$

and

$$[\mathcal{D}] = ([\beta_1], [\beta_2], \dots, [\beta_n])$$

the fractional and integral part of \mathcal{D} respectively. The degree of \mathcal{D} is defined as follows:

$$\deg(\mathcal{D}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \deg |\beta_i|$$

By the Theorem 1 we obtain easily:

$$\deg(\mathcal{D}) = \deg \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle + \deg [\mathcal{D}]$$

$\deg \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle$ is called the ramification degree of \mathcal{D} . The divisor \mathcal{D} , for which $\langle \mathcal{D} \rangle = E$ is called unramified.

Because of the above definition of local divisor \mathcal{D} the set of local function matrices $(\theta^x)^{-1}$ forms also a divisor which we shall call the contra-gradient divisor and designate by \mathcal{D}^k . A remark should be made, that the set of inverse matrices $\theta^{-1} (\theta \in \mathcal{D})$ does not make a divisor in our sense.

Theorem 3. $\deg(\mathcal{D}^k) = -\deg(\mathcal{D})$
and

$$\langle \mathcal{D}^k \rangle = P \langle \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle^{-1} \rangle P^{-1}$$

where P is a permutation matrix, and

$$\deg \langle \mathcal{D}^k \rangle = \deg \langle \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle^{-1} \rangle$$

Proof. Considering $|\mathcal{D}^k| = |\mathcal{D}^{-1}| = |\mathcal{D}|^{-1}$, we get

$$\deg(\mathcal{D}^k) = -\deg \mathcal{D}$$

By the Theorem 1

$$\mathcal{D} = \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle [\mathcal{D}]$$

$$\mathcal{D}^k = \langle \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle^{-1} \rangle [\mathcal{D}]^k$$

On the other hand

$$\mathcal{D}^k = \langle \mathcal{D}^k \rangle [\mathcal{D}^k]$$

We obtain, using the Theorem 2

$$\langle \mathcal{D}^k \rangle = P \langle \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle^{-1} \rangle P^{-1}$$

and

$$\deg \langle \mathcal{D}^k \rangle = \deg \langle \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle^{-1} \rangle$$

q.e.d.

In our definition of divisors the ordinary multiplication seems to be difficult to introduce. But the direct or Kronecker multiplication can be defined as follows:

Let \mathcal{D}_1 resp. \mathcal{D}_2 be a divisor of order r_1 , resp. r_2 . If θ resp. θ' belongs to \mathcal{D}_1 resp. \mathcal{D}_2 ,

$$\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_{11} & \dots & \theta_{1r_1} \\ \theta_{21} & & \\ \vdots & & \\ \theta_{r_1 1} & \dots & \theta_{r_1 r_1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \theta' = \begin{pmatrix} \theta'_{11} & \dots & \theta'_{1r_2} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \theta'_{r_2 1} & & \theta'_{r_2 r_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

We form a matrix of $r_1 r_2$ order, that is the left direct product.

$$\theta \times \theta' = \begin{pmatrix} \theta \theta'_{11} & \dots & \theta \theta'_{1r_2} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \theta \theta'_{r_2 1} & & \theta \theta'_{r_2 r_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

employing Macduffee's notation.[11].

The (r_1, r_2) -divisor, which contains all such $\theta \times \theta'$ is called the left direct product of \mathcal{D}_1 and \mathcal{D}_2 and denoted by $\mathcal{D}_1 \cdot \times \mathcal{D}_2$. We see easily:

$$\text{Theorem 4. } \deg(\mathcal{D}_1 \cdot \times \mathcal{D}_2) = r_2 \deg \mathcal{D}_1 + r_1 \deg \mathcal{D}_2$$

and

$$\langle \mathcal{D}_1 \cdot \times \mathcal{D}_2 \rangle = P \langle \langle \mathcal{D}_1 \rangle \cdot \times \langle \mathcal{D}_2 \rangle \rangle P^{-1}$$

where P is a permutation matrix. Then

$$\deg \langle \mathcal{D}_1 \cdot \times \mathcal{D}_2 \rangle = \deg \langle \langle \mathcal{D}_1 \rangle \cdot \times \langle \mathcal{D}_2 \rangle \rangle$$

Proof. By the well-known formula in the determinant theory

$$|\mathcal{D}_1 \cdot \times \mathcal{D}_2| = |\mathcal{D}_1|^{r_2} \cdot |\mathcal{D}_2|^{r_1}$$

We obtain easily

$$\deg(\mathcal{D}_1 \cdot \times \mathcal{D}_2) = r_2 \deg \mathcal{D}_1 + r_1 \deg \mathcal{D}_2.$$

As for the second formula

As for the second formula

$$\mathcal{D}_1 = \langle \mathcal{D}_1 \rangle [\mathcal{D}_1], \quad \mathcal{D}_2 = \langle \mathcal{D}_2 \rangle [\mathcal{D}_2]$$

so

$$\mathcal{D}_1 \cdot \times \mathcal{D}_2 = \langle \langle \mathcal{D}_1 \rangle \cdot \times \langle \mathcal{D}_2 \rangle \rangle ([\mathcal{D}_1] \cdot \times [\mathcal{D}_2])$$

We set

$$\langle \mathcal{D}_1 \rangle \cdot \times \langle \mathcal{D}_2 \rangle = \langle \langle \mathcal{D}_1 \rangle \cdot \times \langle \mathcal{D}_2 \rangle \rangle \cdot \theta$$

where θ is a function of t only. Then by Theorem 2

$$\langle \mathcal{D}_1 \cdot \times \mathcal{D}_2 \rangle = P \langle \langle \mathcal{D}_1 \rangle \cdot \times \langle \mathcal{D}_2 \rangle \rangle P^{-1}$$

From this we get

$$\deg \langle \mathcal{D}_1 \cdot \times \mathcal{D}_2 \rangle = \deg \langle \langle \mathcal{D}_1 \rangle \cdot \times \langle \mathcal{D}_2 \rangle \rangle$$

As a natural counterpart of direct product we may define the direct sum

$$\mathcal{D}_1 + \mathcal{D}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{D}_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Still more easily we can prove for the direct sum

Theorem 5.

$$\deg(\mathcal{D}_1 + \mathcal{D}_2) = \deg(\mathcal{D}_1) + \deg(\mathcal{D}_2)$$

$$\langle \mathcal{D}_1 + \mathcal{D}_2 \rangle = \langle \mathcal{D}_1 \rangle + \langle \mathcal{D}_2 \rangle$$

$$[\mathcal{D}_1 + \mathcal{D}_2] = [\mathcal{D}_1] + [\mathcal{D}_2].$$

As in the classical theory we can introduce the notion of divisor class. Two divisors \mathcal{D}_1 and \mathcal{D}_2 of the same order r , which can be obtained from each other by the right multiplication of a non-singular matrix Φ belonging to K , is said to belong to the same divisor class, that is,

$$\mathcal{D}_1 = \mathcal{D}_2 \Phi.$$

Of course the direct product and sum of divisors are not commutative, but those of divisor classes are commutative, for

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_{r_1} \\ E_{r_2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{D}_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_{r_2} \\ E_{r_1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{D}_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$P(\mathcal{D}_1 \cdot \times \mathcal{D}_2)P^{-1} = \mathcal{D}_2 \cdot \times \mathcal{D}_1,$$

Moreover considering the distributive law:

$$\mathcal{D}_1 \times (\mathcal{D}_2 + \mathcal{D}_3) = (\mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}_2) + (\mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}_3)$$

The following theorem holds

Theorem 6. The set of all divisor classes is additive and multiplicatively closed, and addition and multiplication are both commutative, associative and distributive.

This algebraic system of divisor classes, which is denoted by D , is a natural generalization of divisor class group in the classical theory, and the subsystem D_0 of divisor classes of degree 0 is also important.

Theorem 7. If \mathcal{D}_1 and \mathcal{D}_2 belong to the same class,

$$P\langle \mathcal{D}_1 \rangle P^{-1} = \langle \mathcal{D}_2 \rangle$$

Proof.

$$\mathcal{D}_1 \bar{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{D}_2$$

$$\langle \mathcal{D}_1 \rangle [\mathcal{D}_1] \bar{\mathcal{F}} = U \langle \mathcal{D}_2 \rangle [\mathcal{D}_2].$$

By the Theorem 2,

$$P\langle \mathcal{D}_1 \rangle P^{-1} = \langle \mathcal{D}_2 \rangle$$

q.e.d.

This theorem asserts that the exponents d_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, r$) of τ in $\langle \mathcal{D} \rangle$ at the base point q_μ are invariant, when we replace \mathcal{D} by any divisor equivalent to \mathcal{D} , if we disregard their ordering. Let $N_{\mu\alpha}$ be a number of d_i which are equal to α at q_μ , then $N_{\mu\alpha}$ ($\mu = 1, 2, \dots, l$), called the ramification indices, are class invariants of divisor classes. By means of $N_{\mu\alpha}$ we can express:

$$\deg \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle = \sum_{\mu} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n_{\mu}-1} \frac{\alpha N_{\mu\alpha}}{n_{\mu}}$$

For any divisor \mathcal{D} , there exist functions $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ belonging to K such that $\mathcal{D}\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ are everywhere finite. The set $\{\bar{\mathcal{F}}\}$ of all such $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ makes a linear system. The dimension of such $\{\bar{\mathcal{F}}\}$ is called the dimension of \mathcal{D} and denoted by $\dim \mathcal{D}$. Together with $\deg \mathcal{D}$ is also $\dim \mathcal{D}$ class invariant of \mathcal{D} .

Let \mathcal{D}_1 and \mathcal{D}_2 be divisors and r_1 and r_2 be their orders, then there may be a (r_1, r_2) -matrix $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ belonging to K , such that $\mathcal{D}_1 \bar{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{D}_2^{-1}$ is everywhere finite. We see immediately that these $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ belongs to $\{\mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}_2^K\}$.

By the above notation we can write Riemann-Roch's theorem generalised by Weil as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}_2^K) &= \dim(\mathcal{D}_2 \times \mathcal{D}_1 \times w) \\ &+ r_2 \deg \mathcal{D}_1 - r_1 \deg \mathcal{D}_2 \\ &- \deg \langle \langle \mathcal{D}_1 \rangle \times \langle \mathcal{D}_2 \rangle \rangle - r_1 r_2 (p-1), \end{aligned}$$

where w is a differential divisor. This formula we shall call the Riemann-Roch-Weil's theorem, which plays the fundamental role in our whole theory.

(*) Received March 7, 1949.

Tokyo Institute of Technology.