

## TOPOLOGY OF POLAR WEIGHTED HOMOGENEOUS HYPERSURFACES

MUTSUO OKA

### Abstract

Polar weighted homogeneous polynomials are special polynomials of real variables  $x_i, y_i, i = 1, \dots, n$  with  $z_i = x_i + \sqrt{-1}y_i$  which enjoy a “polar action”. In many aspects, their behavior looks like that of complex weighted homogeneous polynomials. We study basic properties of hypersurfaces which are defined by polar weighted homogeneous polynomials.

### 1. Introduction

We consider a polynomial  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = \sum_{v, \mu} c_{v\mu} \mathbf{z}^v \bar{\mathbf{z}}^\mu$  where  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n), \bar{\mathbf{z}} = (\bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_n), \mathbf{z}^v = z_1^{v_1} \cdots z_n^{v_n}$  for  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$  (respectively  $\bar{\mathbf{z}}^\mu = \bar{z}_1^{\mu_1} \cdots \bar{z}_n^{\mu_n}$  for  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ ) as usual. Here  $\bar{z}_i$  is the complex conjugate of  $z_i$ . Writing  $z_i = x_i + \sqrt{-1}y_i$ , it is easy to see that  $f$  is a polynomial of  $2n$ -variables  $x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n$ . Thus  $f$  can be understood as a real analytic function  $f : \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ . We call  $f$  a *mixed polynomial* of  $z_1, \dots, z_n$ .

A mixed polynomial  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is called *polar weighted homogeneous* if there exist integers  $q_1, \dots, q_n$  and  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  and positive integers  $m_r, m_p$  such that

$$\gcd(q_1, \dots, q_n) = 1, \quad \gcd(p_1, \dots, p_n) = 1,$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n q_j(v_j + \mu_j) = m_r, \quad \sum_{j=1}^n p_j(v_j - \mu_j) = m_p, \quad \text{if } c_{v, \mu} \neq 0$$

We say  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is a *polar weighted homogeneous of radial weight type*  $(q_1, \dots, q_n; m_r)$  and of *polar weight type*  $(p_1, \dots, p_n; m_p)$ . We define vectors of rational numbers  $(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  and  $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$  by  $u_i = q_i/m_r, v_i = p_i/m_p$  and we call them *the normalized radial (respectively polar) weights*. Using a polar coordinate  $(r, \eta)$  of  $\mathbf{C}^*$  where  $r > 0$  and  $\eta \in S^1$  with  $S^1 = \{\eta \in \mathbf{C} \mid |\eta| = 1\}$ , we define a *polar  $\mathbf{C}^*$ -action* on  $\mathbf{C}^n$  by

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14J17, 32S25.

*Key words and phrases.* Polar weighted homogeneous, Polar action.

Received January 25, 2008; revised February 22, 2008.

$$(r, \eta) \circ \mathbf{z} = (r^{q_1} \eta^{p_1} z_1, \dots, r^{q_n} \eta^{p_n} z_n), \quad (r, \eta) \in \mathbf{R}^+ \times S^1$$

$$(r, \eta) \circ \bar{\mathbf{z}} = \overline{(r, \eta) \circ \mathbf{z}} = (r^{q_1} \eta^{-p_1} \bar{z}_1, \dots, r^{q_n} \eta^{-p_n} \bar{z}_n).$$

Then  $f$  satisfies the functional equality

$$(1) \quad f((r, \eta) \circ (\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})) = r^{m_r} \eta^{m_p} f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}).$$

This notion was introduced by Ruas-Seade-Verjovsky [12] implicitly and then by Cisneros-Molina [2].

It is easy to see that such a polynomial defines a global fibration

$$f : \mathbf{C}^n - f^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^*.$$

The purpose of this paper is to study the topology of the hypersurface  $F = f^{-1}(1)$  for a given polar weighted homogeneous polynomial, which is a fiber of the above fibration. Note that  $F$  has a canonical stratification

$$F = \coprod_{I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}} F^{*I}, \quad F^{*I} = F \cap \mathbf{C}^{*I}$$

Our main result is Theorem 10, which describes the topology of  $F^{*I}$  for a simplicial polar weighted polynomial.

## 2. Polar weighted homogeneous hypersurface

This section is the preparation for the later sections. Proposition 2 and Proposition 3 are added for consistency but they are essentially known from the series of works by J. Seade and coauthors [12, 13, 10, 11, 14].

**2.1. Smoothness of a mixed hypersurface.** Let  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  be a mixed polynomial and we consider a hypersurface  $V = \{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{C}^n; f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = 0\}$ . Put  $z_j = x_j + iy_j$ . Then  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is a real analytic function of  $2n$  variables  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  with  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ . Put  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + ih(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  where  $g, h$  are real analytic functions. Recall that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right)$$

Thus

$$\frac{\partial k}{\partial z_j} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_j} - i \frac{\partial k}{\partial y_j} \right), \quad \frac{\partial k}{\partial \bar{z}_j} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_j} + i \frac{\partial k}{\partial y_j} \right)$$

for any analytic function  $k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ . Thus for a complex valued function  $f$ , we define

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j} = \frac{\partial g}{\partial z_j} + i \frac{\partial h}{\partial z_j}, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_j} = \frac{\partial g}{\partial \bar{z}_j} + i \frac{\partial h}{\partial \bar{z}_j}$$

We assume that  $g, h$  are non-constant polynomials. Then  $V$  is a real codimension two subvariety. Put

$$d_{\mathbf{R}}g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_n}, \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_n} \right) \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}$$

$$d_{\mathbf{R}}h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \left( \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_n}, \frac{\partial h}{\partial y_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial h}{\partial y_n} \right) \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}$$

For a complex valued mixed polynomial, we use the notation:

$$df(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_n} \right) \in \mathbf{C}^n, \quad \bar{d}f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_n} \right) \in \mathbf{C}^n$$

Recall that a point  $\mathbf{z} \in V$  is a singular point of  $V$  if and only if the two vectors  $dg(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ ,  $dh(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  are linearly dependent over  $\mathbf{R}$  (see Milnor [4]). This condition is not so easy to be checked, as the calculation of  $g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ ,  $h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  from a given  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is not immediate. However we have

**PROPOSITION 1.** *The following two conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $\mathbf{z} \in V$  is a singular point of  $V$  and  $\dim_{\mathbf{R}}(V, \mathbf{z}) = 2n - 2$ .
- (2) There exists a complex number  $\alpha$ ,  $|\alpha| = 1$  such that  $\overline{df(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})} = \alpha \bar{d}f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$ .

*Proof.* First assume that  $d_{\mathbf{R}}g$ ,  $d_{\mathbf{R}}h$  are linearly dependent at  $\mathbf{z}$ . Suppose for example that  $dg(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \neq 0$  and write  $dh(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = t dg(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  for some  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . This implies that

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} = (1 + ti) \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_j} = (1 + ti) \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_j}, \quad \text{thus}$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j} = (1 + ti) \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j} - i \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_j} \right), \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_j} = (1 + ti) \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j} + i \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_j} \right).$$

Thus

$$df(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = (1 + ti) \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1} - i \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_n} - i \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_n} \right) = 2(1 + ti) d_{\mathbf{z}}g(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$$

$$\bar{d}f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = (1 + ti) \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1} + i \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_n} + i \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_n} \right) = 2(1 + ti) d_{\bar{\mathbf{z}}}g(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$$

Here  $d_{\mathbf{z}}g = \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial z_n} \right)$  and  $d_{\bar{\mathbf{z}}}g = \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial \bar{z}_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial \bar{z}_n} \right)$ . As  $g$  is a real valued polynomial, using the equality  $\overline{d_{\mathbf{z}}g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})} = d_{\bar{\mathbf{z}}}g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  we get

$$\overline{df(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})} = \frac{1 - ti}{1 + ti} \bar{d}f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}).$$

Thus it is enough to take  $\alpha = \frac{1 - ti}{1 + ti}$ .

Conversely assume that  $\overline{df(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})} = \alpha \bar{d}f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  for some  $\alpha = a + bi$  with  $a^2 + b^2 = 1$ . Using the notations

$$d_x g = \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_n} \right), \quad d_y g = \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_n} \right), \quad \text{etc,}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} (1-a) d_x g + b d_y g &= -b d_x h - (1+a) d_y h \\ -b d_x g + (1-a) d_y g &= (a+1) d_x h - b d_y h. \end{aligned}$$

Solving these equations assuming  $a \neq 1$ , we get

$$d_{\mathbf{R}} g = (d_x g, d_y g) = \frac{-2b}{(1-a)^2 + b^2} d_{\mathbf{R}} h$$

which proves the assertion. If  $a = 1$ , the above equations implies that  $dh_{\mathbf{R}} = 0$  and the linear dependence is obvious.  $\square$

**2.2. Polar weighted homogeneous hypersurfaces.** Let  $f$  be a polar weighted homogeneous polynomial of radial weight type  $(q_1, \dots, q_n; m_r)$  and of polar weight type  $(p_1, \dots, p_n; m_p)$ . By differentiating (1) in §1, we get

$$(2) \quad m_r f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_i} z_i + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_i} \bar{z}_i \right)$$

$$(3) \quad m_p f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_i} z_i - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_i} \bar{z}_i \right).$$

We call these equalities *Euler equalities*. Recall that  $\mathbf{C}^n$  has the canonical hermitian inner product defined by

$$(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) = z_1 \bar{w}_1 + \dots + z_n \bar{w}_n.$$

Identifying  $\mathbf{C}^n$  with  $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$  by  $\mathbf{z} \leftrightarrow (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ , the Euclidean inner product of  $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$  is given as  $(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})_{\mathbf{R}} = \Re(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})$ . Or we can also write as

$$(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})_{\mathbf{R}} = \frac{1}{2}((\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) + (\bar{\mathbf{z}}, \bar{\mathbf{w}})).$$

**PROPOSITION 2.** For any  $\alpha \neq 0$ , the fiber  $F_\alpha := f^{-1}(\alpha)$  is a smooth  $2(n-1)$  real-dimensional manifold and it is canonically diffeomorphic to  $F_1 = f^{-1}(1)$ .

*Proof.* Take a point  $\mathbf{z} \in F_\alpha$ . We consider two particular vectors  $\mathbf{v}_r, \mathbf{v}_\theta \in T_{\mathbf{z}} \mathbf{C}^n$  which are the tangent vectors of the respective orbits of  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $S^1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}_r &= \left. \frac{d(r \circ \mathbf{z})}{dr} \right|_{r=1} = (q_1 z_1, \dots, q_n z_n), \\ \mathbf{v}_\theta &= \left. \frac{d(e^{i\theta} \circ \mathbf{z})}{d\theta} \right|_{\theta=0} = (ip_1 z_1, \dots, ip_n z_n). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the differential of the equality

$$f((r, \exp(i\theta)) \circ \mathbf{z}) = r^{m_r} \exp(m_p \theta i) f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}),$$

we see that  $df_{\mathbf{z}} : T_{\mathbf{z}}\mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow T_{\mathbf{z}}\mathbf{C}^*$  satisfies

$$df_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{v}_r) = m_r |\alpha| \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \quad df_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{v}_\theta) = m_p \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$$

where  $(r, \theta)$  is the polar coordinate of  $\mathbf{C}^*$ . This implies that  $f : \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is a submersion at  $\mathbf{z}$ . Thus  $F_{\mathbf{z}}$  is a smooth codimension 2 submanifold. A diffeomorphism  $\varphi_{\mathbf{z}} : F_1 \rightarrow F_{\mathbf{z}}$  is simply given as  $\varphi(\mathbf{z}) = (r^{1/m_r}, \exp^{i\theta/m_p}) \circ \mathbf{z}$  where  $\alpha = r \exp(i\theta)$ .  $\square$

The above proof does not work for  $\alpha = 0$ . Recall that the polar  $\mathbf{R}^+$ -action along the radial direction is written in real coordinates as

$$r \circ (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = (r^{q_1} x_1, \dots, r^{q_n} x_n, r^{q_1} y_1, \dots, r^{q_n} y_n), \quad r \in \mathbf{R}^+.$$

**PROPOSITION 3.** *Let  $V = f^{-1}(0)$ . Assume that  $q_j > 0$  for any  $j$ . Then  $V$  is contractible to the origin  $O$ . If further  $O$  is an isolated singularity of  $V$ ,  $V \setminus \{O\}$  is smooth.*

*Proof.* A canonical deformation retract  $\beta_t : V \rightarrow V$  is given as  $\beta_t(\mathbf{z}) = t \circ \mathbf{z}$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . (More precisely  $\beta_0(\mathbf{z}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \beta_t(\mathbf{z})$ .) Then  $\beta_1 = \text{id}_V$  and  $\beta_0$  is the contraction to  $O$ . Assume that  $\mathbf{z} \in V \setminus \{O\}$  is a singular point. Consider the decomposition into real analytic functions  $f(z) = g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + ih(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ . Using the radial  $\mathbf{R}^+$ -action, we see that

$$(4) \quad g(r \circ (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})) = r^{m_r} g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), \quad h(r \circ (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})) = r^{m_r} h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}).$$

This implies that  $g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ ,  $h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  are weighted homogeneous polynomials of  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  and the Euler equality can be restated as

$$\begin{aligned} m_r g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) &= \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \left( x_j \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + y_j \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_j}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right) \\ m_r h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) &= \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \left( x_j \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + y_j \frac{\partial h}{\partial y_j}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating the equalities (4) in  $r$ , we get

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}(r \circ (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})) = r^{m_r - q_j} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), \quad \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_j}(r \circ (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})) = r^{m_r - q_j} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}).$$

This implies that these differentials are also weighted homogeneous polynomials of degree  $m_r - q_j$ . Thus the jacobian matrix

$$\left( \frac{\partial(g, h)}{\partial(x_i, y_i)}(r \circ (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})) \right)$$

is the same with the jacobian matrix at  $\mathbf{z} = (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  up to scalar multiplications in the column vectors by  $r^{m_r - q_1}, \dots, r^{m_r - q_n}, r^{m_r - q_1}, \dots, r^{m_r - q_n}$  respectively. Thus any points of the orbit  $r \circ (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ ,  $r > 0$  are singular points of  $V$ . This is a contradiction to the assumption that  $O$  is an isolated singular point of  $V$ , as  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r \circ (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = O$ .  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 4.** (*Transversality*) *Under the same assumption as in Proposition 3, the sphere  $S_\tau = \{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{C}^n; |\mathbf{z}| = \tau\}$  intersects transversely with  $V$  for any  $\tau > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \|\mathbf{z}\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j^2 + y_j^2)$ . Then  $S_\tau$  intersects transversely with  $V$  if and only if the gradient vectors  $d_{\mathbf{R}}g, d_{\mathbf{R}}h, d_{\mathbf{R}}\phi$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbf{R}$ . Note that  $d_{\mathbf{R}}\phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ . Suppose that the sphere  $S_{|\mathbf{z}|}$  is tangent to  $V$  at  $\mathbf{z} = (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in V$ . Then we have for example, a linear relation  $dg(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \alpha dh(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + \beta d\phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  with some  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$ . Note that the tangent vector  $\mathbf{v}_r$  to the  $\mathbf{R}^+$ -orbit is tangent to  $V$  and it is written  $\mathbf{v}_r = (q_1x_1, \dots, q_nx_n, q_1y_1, \dots, q_ny_n)$  as a real vector. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left. \frac{dg(r \circ (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}))}{dr} \right|_{r=1} = \sum_{j=1}^n q_j \left( x_j \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + y_j \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_j}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right) \\ &= (\mathbf{v}_r(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), dg(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}))_{\mathbf{R}} \\ &= (\mathbf{v}_r(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), \alpha dh(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}))_{\mathbf{R}} + (\mathbf{v}_r(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), \beta d\phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}))_{\mathbf{R}} \\ &= 2\beta \sum_{j=1}^n q_j (x_j^2 + y_j^2) \end{aligned}$$

as  $(\mathbf{v}_r(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), dh(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}))_{\mathbf{R}} = 0$  by the same reason. This is the case only if  $\beta = 0$  which is impossible as  $V \setminus \{O\}$  is non-singular by Proposition 3.  $\square$

**2.2.1. Remark.** Let  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  be a polar weighted homogeneous polynomial with respective weights  $(q_1, \dots, q_n; m_r)$  and  $(p_1, \dots, p_n; m_p)$ . Proposition 3 does not hold if the radial weights contain some negative  $q_j$ . Assume that  $q_j \geq 0$  for any  $j$  and  $I_0 := \{j \mid q_j = 0\}$  is not empty. Then it is easy to see that  $f$  does not have monomial which does not contain any  $z_i$  with  $i \notin I_0$ , as if such monomial exists, its radial degree is 0. This implies that  $V = f^{-1}(0)$  contains the coordinate subspace  $\mathbf{C}^{I_0} = \{\mathbf{z} \mid z_i = 0, i \notin I_0\}$ . We call  $\mathbf{C}^{I_0}$  the canonical retract coordinate subspace. Then Proposition 3 can be modified as  $\mathbf{C}^{I_0}$  is a deformation retract of  $V$ . Of course,  $\mathbf{C}^{I_0}$  can be contracted to  $O$  but this contraction is not through the action and not related to the geometry of  $V$ .

**2.2.2. Example.** Consider the following examples.

$$g_1(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = z_1^{a_1} \bar{z}_2 + \dots + z_n^{a_n} \bar{z}_1, \quad a_i \geq 1, j = 1, \dots, n$$

and there exists  $j$  such that  $a_j \geq 2$

$$g_2(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = z_1^{a_1} \bar{z}_2 + \dots + z_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}} \bar{z}_n + z_n^{a_n}, \quad a_j \geq 1, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

**PROPOSITION 5.** (1) *The radial weight vector  $(q_1, \dots, q_n)$  of  $g_1(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is semi-positive, i.e.  $q_j \geq 0$  for any  $j$  if  $a_i \geq 1$  for any  $i$ . ( $\exists j, a_j \geq 2$  by the existence of polar action.) It is not strictly positive if and only if  $n = 2m$  is even and either (a)  $a_1 = a_3 = \dots = a_{2m-1} = 1$  or (b)  $a_2 = a_4 = \dots = a_{2m} = 1$ .*

*In case (a) (respectively (b)), we have  $q_2 = q_4 = \dots = q_{2m} = 0$  and  $q_{2j+1} \geq 1, 0 \leq j \leq m-1$  (resp.  $q_1 = q_3 = \dots = q_{2m-1} = 0$  and  $q_{2j} \geq 1, 1 \leq j \leq m$ ).*

(2) *The radial weight vector  $(q_1, \dots, q_n)$  of  $g_2(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is semi-positive. It is not strictly positive if and only if  $a_n = 1$ . Let  $s$  be the integer such that  $a_n = a_{n-2} = \dots = a_{n-2s} = 1$  and  $a_{n-2s-2} \geq 2$ . Then  $q_{n-1} = \dots = q_{n-2s+1} = 0$  and  $q_j \geq 1$  otherwise.*

*Proof.* We first consider  $g_1(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = z_1^{a_1} \bar{z}_2 + \dots + z_n^{a_n} \bar{z}_1$ . By an easy calculation, using the notation  $a_{i+n} = a_i$  the normalized radial weights  $(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  are given as

$$u_j = \frac{1}{a_1 \dots a_n - 1} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (a_{j+2i+1} - 1) a_{j+2i+2} \dots a_{j+n-1}, \quad \text{if } n = 2m$$

$$u_j = \frac{1}{a_1 \dots a_n + 1} \left( 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (a_{j+2i+1} - 1) a_{j+2i+2} \dots a_{j+n-1} \right), \quad \text{if } n = 2m + 1$$

and the assertion follows immediately from this expression.

Next we consider  $g_2(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = z_1^{a_1} \bar{z}_2 + \dots + z_n^{a_{n-1}} \bar{z}_n + z_n^{a_n}$ . Then the normalized radial weights  $(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  are given as

$$u_j = \frac{1}{a_j} - \frac{1}{a_j a_{j+1}} + \dots + (-1)^{n-j} \frac{1}{a_j a_{j+1} \dots a_n}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{a_{j+1} - 1}{a_j a_{j+1}} + \dots + \frac{a_n - 1}{a_j a_{j+1} \dots a_n}, & n - j : \text{ odd} \\ \frac{a_{j+1} - 1}{a_j a_{j+1}} + \dots + \frac{a_{n-1} - 1}{a_j a_{j+1} \dots a_{n-1}} + \frac{1}{a_j a_{j+1} \dots a_n} & n - j : \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

As  $a_i \geq 1$ , the assertion follows from the above expression. □

**2.3. Simplicial mixed polynomial.** Let  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = \sum_{j=1}^s c_j \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{n}_j} \bar{\mathbf{z}}^{\mathbf{m}_j}$  be a mixed polynomial. Here we assume that  $c_1, \dots, c_s \neq 0$ . Put

$$\hat{f}(\mathbf{w}) := \sum_{j=1}^s c_j \mathbf{w}^{\mathbf{n}_j - \mathbf{m}_j}.$$

We call  $\hat{f}$  the *the associated Laurent polynomial*. This polynomial plays an important role for the determination of the topology of the hypersurface  $F = f^{-1}(1)$ . Note that

PROPOSITION 6. If  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is a polar weighted homogeneous polynomial of polar weight type  $(p_1, \dots, p_n; m_p)$ ,  $\hat{f}(\mathbf{w})$  is also a weighted homogeneous Laurent polynomial of type  $(p_1, \dots, p_n; m_p)$  in the complex variables  $w_1, \dots, w_n$ .

A mixed polynomial  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is called *simplicial* if the exponent vectors  $\{\mathbf{n}_j \pm \mathbf{m}_j \mid j = 1, \dots, s\}$  are linearly independent in  $\mathbf{Z}^n$  respectively. In particular, simplicity implies that  $s \leq n$ . When  $s = n$ , we say that  $f$  is *full*. Put  $\mathbf{n}_j = (n_{j,1}, \dots, n_{j,n})$ ,  $\mathbf{m}_j = (m_{j,1}, \dots, m_{j,n})$  in  $\mathbf{N}^n$ . Assume that  $s \leq n$ . Consider two integral matrix  $N = (n_{i,j})$  and  $M = (m_{i,j})$  where the  $k$ -th row vectors are  $\mathbf{n}_k$ ,  $\mathbf{m}_k$  respectively.

LEMMA 7. Let  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  be a mixed polynomial as above. If  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is simplicial, then  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is a polar weighted homogeneous polynomial. In the case  $s = n$ ,  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is simplicial if and only if  $\det(N \pm M) \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* First we assume that  $s = n$  and consider the system of linear equations

$$(5) \quad \begin{cases} (n_{1,1} + m_{1,1})u_1 + \cdots + (n_{1,n} + m_{1,n})u_n = 1 \\ \cdots \\ (n_{n,1} + m_{n,1})u_1 + \cdots + (n_{n,n} + m_{n,n})u_n = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$(6) \quad \begin{cases} (n_{1,1} - m_{1,1})v_1 + \cdots + (n_{1,n} - m_{1,n})v_n = 1 \\ \cdots \\ (n_{n,1} - m_{n,1})v_1 + \cdots + (n_{n,n} - m_{n,n})v_n = 1 \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that equations (5) and (6) have solutions if  $\det N \pm M \neq 0$  which is equivalent for  $f$  to be simplicial by definition. Note that the solutions  $(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  and  $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$  are rational numbers. We call them *the normalized radial (respectively polar) weights*. Now let  $m_r$ ,  $m_p$  be the least common multiple of the denominators of  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  and  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  respectively. Then the weights are given as  $q_j = u_j m_r$ ,  $p_j = v_j m_p$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$  respectively.

Now suppose that  $s < n$ . It is easy to choose positive integral vectors  $\mathbf{n}_j$ ,  $j = s+1, \dots, n$  (and put  $\mathbf{m}_j = 0$ ,  $j = s+1, \dots, n$ ) such that  $\det(\tilde{N} \pm \tilde{M}) \neq 0$ , where  $\tilde{N}$  and  $\tilde{M}$  are  $n \times n$ -matrices adding  $(n-s)$  row vectors  $\mathbf{n}_{s+1}, \dots, \mathbf{n}_n$ . Then the assertion follows from the case  $s = n$ .  $\square$

This corresponds to considering the mixed polynomial:

$$f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = \sum_{j=1}^s c_j \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{n}_j} \bar{\mathbf{z}}^{\mathbf{m}_j} + 0 \times \sum_{j=s+1}^n \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{n}_j}.$$

2.3.1. *Example.* Let

$$f_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = z_1^{a_1} \bar{z}_2^{b_1} + \cdots + z_n^{a_n} \bar{z}_1^{b_n}, \quad a_i, b_i \geq 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$k(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = z_1^d (\bar{z}_1 + \bar{z}_2) + \cdots + z_n^d (\bar{z}_n + \bar{z}_1), \quad d \geq 2.$$



The associated Laurent polynomials are

$$\widehat{f_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}}(\mathbf{w}) = w_1^{a_1} w_2^{-b_1} + \cdots + w_n^{a_n} w_1^{-b_n}$$

$$\widehat{k}(\mathbf{w}) = w_1^d (1/w_1 + 1/w_2) + \cdots + w_n^d (1/w_n + 1/w_1).$$

COROLLARY 8. *For the polynomial  $f_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}$ , the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $f_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}$  is simplicial.
- (2)  $f_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}$  is a polar weighted homogeneous polynomial.
- (3) (SC)  $a_1 \cdots a_n \neq b_1 \cdots b_n$ .

*Proof.* The assertion follows from the equality:

$$\det(\mathbf{n} \pm \mathbf{m}) = \det \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & \cdots & \pm b_n \\ \pm b_1 & a_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \pm b_{n-1} & a_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{cases} a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n + (-1)^{n-1} b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n & \text{for } \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{m} \\ a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n - b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n & \text{for } \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{m}. \end{cases} \quad \square$$

The polynomial  $k(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is a polar weighted homogeneous polynomial with respective weight types  $(1, \dots, 1; d + 1)$  and  $(1, \dots, 1; d - 1)$ . However it is not simplicial.

Now we consider an example which does not satisfy the simplicial condition (SC) of Corollary 8:  $\phi_a := z_1^a \bar{z}_1^a + \cdots + z_n^a \bar{z}_n^a$ . This does not have any polar action as they are polynomials of  $|z_1|^2, \dots, |z_n|^2$  and it takes only non-negative values. Note also that  $\phi_a^{-1}(1)$  is real codimension 1 as  $\phi_a(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j^2 + y_j^2)^a$ .

As typical simplicial polar weighted polynomials, we consider again the following two polar weighted polynomials.

$$g_1(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = z_1^{a_1} \bar{z}_2 + \cdots + z_n^{a_n} \bar{z}_1, \quad a_i \geq 1, j = 1, \dots, n$$

and there exists  $j$  such that  $a_j \geq 2$

$$g_2(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = z_1^{a_1} \bar{z}_2 + \cdots + z_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}} \bar{z}_n + z_n^{a_n}, \quad a_i \geq 1, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

The polynomial  $g_1(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  with  $a_i \geq 2, (i = 1, \dots, n)$  is a special case of  $\sigma$ -twisted Brieskorn polynomial and has been studied intensively ([12]). In our case, we only assume  $a_i \geq 2$  for some  $i$ . The existence of  $i$  with  $a_i \geq 2$  is the condition for the existence of polar action. We consider the two hypersurfaces defined by  $V_i = g_i^{-1}(0)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . The condition for a hypersurface defined by a polar weighted homogeneous polynomial to have an isolated singularity is more complicated than that of the singularity defined by a complex analytic hypersurface. For the above examples, we assert the following.

PROPOSITION 9. For  $V_1, V_2$ , we have the following criterion.

- (1)  $V_i \cap \mathbf{C}^{*n}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  are non-singular.
- (2)  $V_1 = g_1^{-1}(0)$  has no singularity outside of the origin if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied.
  - (a)  $n$  is odd.
  - (b)  $n$  is even and there are (at least) two indices  $i, j$  ( $i < j$ ) such that  $a_i, a_j \geq 2$  and  $j - i$  is odd.
- (3)  $V_2 = g_2^{-1}(0)$  has no singularity outside of the origin if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied.
  - (a)  $a_n \geq 2$ .
  - (b)  $a_n = 1$ ,  $n = 2m + 1$  is odd and  $a_{2j-1} = 1$  for any  $1 \leq j \leq m + 1$ .

*Proof.* We use Proposition 1. So assume that

$$(\#): \overline{df(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})} = \alpha \bar{df}(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}), \quad |\alpha| = 1.$$

(1) We consider  $V_1$ . Suppose  $\mathbf{z} \in V_1 \cap \mathbf{C}^{*n}$  is a singular point. Note that

$$df(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = (a_1 z_1^{a_1-1} \bar{z}_2, \dots, a_n z_n^{a_n-1} \bar{z}_1), \quad \bar{df}(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = (z_n^{a_n}, z_1^{a_1}, \dots, z_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}})$$

(#) implies that

$$(7) \quad a_j \bar{z}_j^{a_j-1} z_{j+1} = \alpha z_{j-1}^{a_j-1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad |\alpha| = 1.$$

In this case, indices should be understood to be integers modulo  $n$ . So  $z_{n+1} = z_1$ , and so on. If  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{C}^{*n}$ , the multiplication of the absolute values of the both sides gives a contradiction:  $\prod_{i=1}^n a_i |z_i|^{a_i} = \prod_{i=1}^n |z_i|^{a_i}$ .

Now we consider the smoothness on  $V_1 \setminus \{O\}$ . Assume that  $\mathbf{z}$  is a singular point of  $V_1 \setminus \{O\}$ . For simplicity, we may assume that  $a_n \geq 2$  as  $g_1$  is symmetric with the permutation  $i \rightarrow i + 1$ .

Assume that  $z_l \neq 0$ . Then the  $(l+1)$ -th component of  $\bar{df}(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is non-zero. Thus by (#),  $(l+1)$ -th component of  $df(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is also non-zero. That is,  $z_{l+1}^{a_l-1} \bar{z}_{l+2} \neq 0$ . In particular,  $z_{l+2} \neq 0$ . We repeat the same argument and get a sequence of non-zero components  $z_l, z_{l+2}, \dots$ . Thus we arrive to the conclusion that either  $z_{n-1} \neq 0$  (if  $n-l$  is odd) or  $z_n \neq 0$  (if  $n-l$  is even).

– If  $n-l$  is odd and  $z_{n-1} \neq 0$ , the last component of  $df(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is non-zero and we have  $z_n, z_1 \neq 0$  as we have assumed that  $a_n \geq 2$ . This creates two non-zero sequences  $z_n, z_2, z_4, \dots$  and  $z_1, z_3, \dots$ . Thus we conclude that  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{C}^{*n}$ , which is impossible by the first argument.

– If  $n-l$  is even,  $z_l, z_{l+2}, \dots, z_n \neq 0$ . Thus we see that the first component of  $\bar{df}(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is non-zero. By the same argument, we get a non-zero sequence  $z_2, z_4, \dots$ .

Thus to show that  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{C}^{*n}$ , it is enough to show that  $z_{n-1} \neq 0$ .

(a) Assume first  $n$  is odd. If  $l$  is even, then we see that  $z_l, z_{l+2}, \dots, z_{n-1} \neq 0$  and we are done.

If  $l$  is odd, we get  $z_n \neq 0$ , which implies the first component of  $\bar{df}(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is non-zero. Thus as the second round, we have non-zero a sequence  $z_2, z_4, \dots$  which contains  $z_{n-1}$ . Thus we are done.

(b) Now we assume that  $n$  is even but there is another integer  $1 \leq i < n$  such that  $a_i \geq 2$  and  $a_n \geq 2$  and  $i$  is odd. If  $i$  is odd, we have shown that  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{C}^{*n}$ .

If  $i$  is even, we get  $z_n \neq 0$  and thus  $z_2 \neq 0$ . Then the sequence  $z_2, z_4, \dots$  contains  $z_{i-1}$ . As  $a_i \geq 2$ , looking at the  $i$ -th component of  $df(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$ , we get  $z_i \cdot z_{i+1} \neq 0$ . Thus we get a non-zero sequence  $z_i, z_{i+2}, \dots$  which contains  $z_{n-1}$ , and we are done.

Now to show that one of the conditions (a) or (b) is necessary, we assume that  $n$  is even and  $a_\nu = 1$  for any odd  $\nu$  and  $a_n \geq 2$ . Thus putting  $n = 2m$ ,

$$f = (z_1 \bar{z}_2 + z_2^{a_2} \bar{z}_3) + \dots + (z_{2m-1} \bar{z}_{2m} + z_{2m}^{a_{2m}} \bar{z}_1).$$

Consider the subvariety  $z_1 = z_3 = \dots = z_{n-1} = 0$ . Then

$$df(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = (\bar{z}_2, 0, \bar{z}_4, 0, \dots, \bar{z}_{2m}, 0), \quad \bar{d}f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = (z_n^{a_n}, 0, \dots, z_{2m-2}^{a_{2m-2}}, 0)$$

the condition (#) is written as

$$(\#) \quad z_2 = \alpha z_n^{a_n}, \quad z_4 = \alpha z_2^{a_2}, \dots, z_{2m} = \alpha z_{2m-2}^{a_{2m-2}}$$

which has real one-dimensional solution

$$z_{2j} = \alpha^{\beta_j} u^{\gamma_j} \quad (j = 1, \dots, m), \quad \alpha^{\beta_m} u^{\gamma_m a_{2m} - 1} = 1$$

$$\beta_j = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} a_{2(j-1)} a_{2(j-2)} \dots a_{2(j-i)}, \quad \gamma_j = a_2 a_4 \dots a_{2(j-1)}$$

(2) We consider the case  $V_2$ . We will see first  $V_2 \cap \mathbf{C}^{*n}$  is non-singular. Take a singular point of  $V_2$ . Then we have some  $\alpha \in S^1$  so that

$$(\#): \quad \bar{d}f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = \alpha d\bar{f}(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}).$$

As we have

$$df(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = (a_1 z_1^{a_1-1} \bar{z}_2, \dots, a_{n-1} z_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}-1} \bar{z}_n, a_n z_n^{a_n-1}),$$

$$\bar{d}f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = (0, z_1^{a_1}, \dots, z_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}})$$

we see that (#) implies that  $z_1^{a_1-1} \bar{z}_2 = 0$ . Thus there are no singularities on  $V_2 \cap \mathbf{C}^{*n}$ . Suppose that  $z_i \neq 0$  for some  $i$ . If  $i < n-1$ , this implies  $(i+1)$ -th component of  $\bar{d}f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is non-zero. Thus (#) implies that  $(i+1)$ -th component of  $df$  is non-zero. In particular,  $z_{i+2}$  is non-zero. (Of course,  $z_{i+1} \neq 0$  if  $a_{i+1} > 1$ .) Repeating this argument, we arrive to the conclusion: either  $z_{n-1}$  or  $z_n$  is non zero.

First assume that  $a_n \geq 2$ . Comparing the last components of  $df(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  and  $\bar{d}f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$ , we observe that  $z_{n-1}$  and  $z_n$  are both non-zero. Now we go in the reverse direction. As the  $(n-1)$ -th component of  $df(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is non-zero, the corresponding  $(n-1)$ -th component  $z_{n-2}^{a_{n-2}}$  of  $\bar{d}f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is non-zero. Then the  $(n-2)$ -th component of  $df(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is non-zero. Going downwards, we see that  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{C}^{*n}$ . However this is impossible, as we have already seen above.

Next we assume that  $a_n = 1$  and  $n$  is odd and  $a_{2j-1} = 1$  for any  $j$ . Note that the last component of  $df(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is 1. Thus  $z_{n-1} \neq 0$ . If  $z_n \neq 0$ , we get a

contradiction as above  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{C}^{*n}$ . Thus we may assume that  $z_n = 0$ . Comparing  $(2j)$ -components of  $df(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  and  $\alpha \bar{d}f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$ , we get

$$z_2 = 0, \quad z_4 = \alpha z_2^{a_2}, \dots, z_{n-1} = z_{n-3}^{a_{n-3}}$$

which has no solution with  $z_{n-1} \neq 0$ .

Now we show that the condition (a) or (b) in (3) is necessary.

(i) Assume that  $a_n = 1$  and  $n$  is even and put  $n = 2m$ . Let  $s$  be the maximal integer such that  $a_{2s} \geq 2$ . If there does not exist such  $s$ , we put  $s = 0$ . Non-isolated singularities are given by the solutions of

$$\begin{aligned} z_2 = z_4 = \dots = z_{2m} = 0, \quad z_{2j-1} = 0, \quad j \leq s \\ z_{2s+3} = \alpha z_{2s+1}^{a_{2s+1}}, \dots, z_{2m-1} = \alpha z_{2m-3}^{a_{2m-3}}, \quad 1 = \alpha z_{2m-1}^{a_{2m-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Assume that  $a_n = 1$ ,  $n = 2m + 1$  is odd, and there exists odd index such that  $a_{2j+1} \geq 2$ . Put  $s$  be the maximum integer of such  $j$ . Non-isolated singularities are given by the solutions of

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 = z_3 = \dots = z_{2m+1} = 0, \quad z_{2j} = 0, \quad j \leq s \\ z_{2s+4} = \alpha z_{2s+2}^{a_{2s+2}}, \dots, z_{2m} = \alpha z_{2m-2}^{a_{2m}}, \quad 1 = \alpha z_{2m}^{a_{2m}}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

2.3.2. Remark. 1. The polynomial  $g_1(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = z_1^{a_1} \bar{z}_2 + \dots + z_n^{a_n} \bar{z}_1$  is an example of so-called  $\sigma$ -twisted Brieskorn polynomial if  $a_i \geq 2$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a permutation of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Then  $\sigma$ -twisted Brieskorn polynomial is defined as

$$f_\sigma(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = z_1^{a_1} \bar{z}_{\sigma(1)} + \dots + z_n^{a_n} \bar{z}_{\sigma(n)}, \quad a_1, \dots, a_n \geq 2.$$

and the corresponding assertions in Proposition 3 and 4 are proved in [13]. See also [14] for more systematical treatment for real analytic polynomials which define Milnor fibrations. In [3], similar conditions for the isolatedness condition as Proposition 9 are considered. For our purpose, we call  $f_\sigma(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  a weak  $\sigma$ -twisted Brieskorn polynomial if  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$  and  $a_i \geq 1$  for any  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

2. Consider a product  $\mathbf{C}^n = \mathbf{C}^s \times \mathbf{C}^{n-s}$  and use variables  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{C}^s$  and  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{C}^{n-s}$ . Assume that there exist mixed polynomials  $h(\mathbf{v}, \bar{\mathbf{v}})$  and  $k(\mathbf{w}, \bar{\mathbf{w}})$  so that  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = h(\mathbf{v}, \bar{\mathbf{v}}) + k(\mathbf{w}, \bar{\mathbf{w}})$ .  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is a polar weighted polynomial if and only if  $h(\mathbf{v}, \bar{\mathbf{v}})$ ,  $k(\mathbf{w}, \bar{\mathbf{w}})$  are polar weighted polynomial and it is known that  $f^{-1}(1)$  is homotopic to the join  $h^{-1}(1) \star k^{-1}(1)$  if  $f$  is polar weighted. Such a polynomial is called a polynomial of join type ([2], see also [6]).

Now consider a weak  $\sigma$ -twisted Brieskorn polynomial  $f_\sigma(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$ . If  $\sigma$  has order  $n$ , it is (up to a change of ordering) equal to the cyclic permutation  $\sigma = (1, 2, \dots, n)$  and  $f_\sigma = g_1$ . In general,  $\sigma$  can be written as a product of mutually commuting cyclic permutations  $\sigma = \tau_1 \tau_2 \dots \tau_\nu$ . Put  $|\tau_i| = \{j \mid \tau_i(j) \neq j\}$  and put  $f_{\tau_i}$  be the partial sum of monomials in  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  written in variables  $\{z_j \mid j \in |\tau_i|\}$ . Thus  $f_\sigma$  is a join type polynomial of  $\nu$  weak  $\tau_i$ -twisted Brieskorn polynomial  $f_{\tau_i}$ . Thus  $f_\sigma(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  has an isolated singularity if and only if each polynomial  $f_{\tau_i}$  has an isolated singularity. A similar assertion is also proved in [3].

3. Observe that the singularities of  $V_1, V_2$  are on the canonical retract coordinate subspaces  $\mathbf{C}^{l_0}$ . Note also that the polar action is trivial on  $\mathbf{C}^{l_0}$ .

**2.4. Milnor fibration.** Let  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  be a polar weighted homogeneous polynomial of radial weight type  $(q_1, \dots, q_n; m_r)$  and of polar weight type  $(p_1, \dots, p_n; m_p)$ . Then

$$f : \mathbf{C}^n - f^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^*$$

is a locally trivial fibration. The local triviality is given by the action. In particular, the monodromy map  $h : F \rightarrow F$  is given by  $h(\mathbf{z}) = \exp(2\pi i/m_p) \circ \mathbf{z} = (z_1 \exp(2p_1\pi i/m_p), \dots, z_n \exp(2p_n\pi i/m_p))$  where  $F = f^{-1}(1)$  ([12, 2]).

**3. Topology of simplicial polar weighted homogeneous hypersurfaces**

Let  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = \sum_{j=1}^s c_j \mathbf{z}^{m_j} \bar{\mathbf{z}}^{m_j}$  be a polar weighted homogeneous polynomial of radial weight type  $(q_1, \dots, q_n; m_r)$  and of polar weight type  $(p_1, \dots, p_n; m_p)$ . Let  $F = f^{-1}(1)$  be the fiber.

**3.1. Canonical stratification of  $F$  and the topology of each stratum.** For any subset  $I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , we define

$$\mathbf{C}^I = \{\mathbf{z} \mid z_j = 0, j \notin I\}, \quad \mathbf{C}^{*I} = \{\mathbf{z} \mid z_i \neq 0 \text{ iff } i \in I\}, \quad \mathbf{C}^{*n} = \mathbf{C}^{*\{1, \dots, n\}}$$

and we define mixed polynomials  $f^I$  by the restriction:  $f^I = f|_{\mathbf{C}^I}$ . For simplicity, we write a point of  $\mathbf{C}^I$  as  $\mathbf{z}_I$ . Put  $F^{*I} = \mathbf{C}^{*I} \cap F$ . Note that  $F^{*I}$  is a non-empty subset of  $\mathbf{C}^{*I}$  if and only if  $f^I(\mathbf{z}_I, \bar{\mathbf{z}}_I)$  is not constantly zero. Now we observe that the hypersurface  $F = f^{-1}(1)$  has the canonical stratification

$$F = \amalg_I F^{*I}.$$

Thus it is essential to determine the topology of each stratum  $F^{*I}$ . Put  $F^* := F \cap \mathbf{C}^{*n}$ , the open dense stratum and put  $\hat{F}^* := \hat{f}^{-1}(1) \cap \mathbf{C}^{*n}$  where  $\hat{f}(\mathbf{w})$  is the associated Laurent weighted homogeneous polynomial.

**THEOREM 10.** *Assume that  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is a simplicial polar weighted homogeneous polynomial and let  $\hat{f}(\mathbf{w})$  be the associated Laurent weighted homogeneous polynomial. Then there exists a canonical diffeomorphism  $\varphi : \mathbf{C}^{*n} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{*n}$  which gives an isomorphism of the two Milnor fibrations defined by  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  and  $\hat{f}(\mathbf{w})$ :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{C}^{*n} - f^{-1}(0) & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbf{C}^* \\ \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \text{id} \\ \mathbf{C}^{*n} - \hat{f}^{-1}(0) & \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} & \mathbf{C}^* \end{array}$$

and it satisfies  $\varphi(F^{*n}) = \hat{F}^{*n}$  and  $\varphi$  is compatible with the respective canonical monodromy maps.

*Proof.* Assume first that  $s = n$  for simplicity. Recall that

$$\hat{f}(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \mathbf{w}^{\mathbf{n}_j - \mathbf{m}_j}.$$

Let  $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$  be the complex coordinates of  $\mathbf{C}^n$  which is the ambient space of  $\hat{F}$ . We construct  $\varphi : \mathbf{C}^{*n} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{*n}$  so that  $\varphi(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{w}$  satisfies

$$\mathbf{w}(\varphi(\mathbf{z}))^{\mathbf{n}_j - \mathbf{m}_j} = \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{n}_j} \bar{\mathbf{z}}^{\mathbf{m}_j}, \quad \text{thus } \hat{f}(\varphi(\mathbf{z})) = f(\mathbf{z}).$$

For the construction of  $\varphi$ , we use the polar coordinates  $(\rho_j, \theta_j)$  for  $z_j \in \mathbf{C}^*$  and the polar coordinates  $(\xi_j, \eta_j)$  for  $w_j$ . Thus  $\mathbf{z}_j = \rho_j \exp(i\theta_j)$  and  $\mathbf{w}_j = \xi_j \exp(i\eta_j)$ . First we take  $\eta_j = \theta_j$ . Put  $\mathbf{n}_j = (n_{j,1}, \dots, n_{j,n})$ ,  $\mathbf{m}_j = (m_{j,1}, \dots, m_{j,n})$  in  $\mathbf{N}^n$ . Consider two integral matrix  $N = (n_{i,j})$  and  $M = (m_{i,j})$  where the  $k$ -th row vector are  $\mathbf{n}_k$ ,  $\mathbf{m}_k$  respectively. Now taking the logarithm of the equality  $\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{n}_j} \bar{\mathbf{z}}^{\mathbf{m}_j} = \mathbf{w}^{\mathbf{n}_j - \mathbf{m}_j}$ , we get an equivalent equality:

$$\begin{aligned} & (n_{j1} + m_{j1}) \log \rho_1 + \dots + (n_{jn} + m_{jn}) \log \rho_n \\ &= (n_{j1} - m_{j1}) \log \xi_1 + \dots + (n_{jn} - m_{jn}) \log \xi_n, \quad j = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

This can be written as

$$(8) \quad (N + M) \begin{pmatrix} \log \rho_1 \\ \vdots \\ \log \rho_n \end{pmatrix} = (N - M) \begin{pmatrix} \log \xi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \log \xi_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Put  $(N - M)^{-1}(N + M) = (\lambda_{ij}) \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbf{Q})$ . Now we define  $\varphi$  as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \mathbf{C}^{*n} &\rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{*n}, \quad \mathbf{z} = (\rho_1 \exp(i\theta_1), \dots, \rho_n \exp(i\theta_n)) \\ &\mapsto \mathbf{w} = (\xi_1 \exp(i\theta_1), \dots, \xi_n \exp(i\theta_n)) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\xi_j$  is given by  $\xi_j = \exp(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{ji} \log \rho_i)$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . It is obvious that  $\varphi$  is a real analytic isomorphism of  $\mathbf{C}^{*n}$  to  $\mathbf{C}^{*n}$ . Let us consider the Milnor fibrations of  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  and  $\hat{f}(\mathbf{w})$  in the respective ambient tori  $\mathbf{C}^{*n}$ .

$$f : \mathbf{C}^{*n} \setminus f^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^*, \quad \hat{f} : \mathbf{C}^{*n} \setminus \hat{f}^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^*.$$

Recall that the monodromy maps  $h^*$ ,  $\hat{h}^*$  are given as

$$\begin{aligned} h^* : F^* &\rightarrow F^*, \quad \mathbf{z} \mapsto \exp(2\pi i/m_p) \circ \mathbf{z} \\ \hat{h}^* : \hat{F}^* &\rightarrow \hat{F}^*, \quad \mathbf{w} \mapsto \exp(2\pi i/m_p) \circ \mathbf{w}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the  $\mathbf{C}^*$ -action associated with  $\hat{f}(\mathbf{w})$  is the polar action of  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$ . Namely  $\exp i\theta \circ \mathbf{w} = (\exp(ip_1\theta)w_1, \dots, \exp(ip_n\theta)w_n)$ . Thus we have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F_\alpha^* & \xrightarrow{h^*} & F_\alpha^* \\
 \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \varphi \\
 \hat{F}_\alpha^* & \xrightarrow{\hat{h}^*} & \hat{F}_\alpha^*
 \end{array}$$

where  $F_\alpha^* = f^{-1}(\alpha) \cap \mathbf{C}^{*n}$  and  $\hat{F}_\alpha^* = \hat{f}^{-1}(\alpha) \cap \mathbf{C}^{*n}$  for  $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}^*$ . □

3.1.1. *Remark.* The case  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = z_1^{a_1} \bar{z}_1 + \dots + z_n^{a_n} \bar{z}_n$  is studied in [12].

**3.2. Zeta-functions.** Now we know that by [7, 8], the inclusion map  $\hat{F}^* \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{*n}$  is  $(s - 1)$ -equivalence and  $\chi(\hat{F}^*) = (-1)^{n-1} \det(N - M)$  for  $s = n$  and 0 otherwise. Note also the monodromy map  $\hat{h} : \hat{F}^* \rightarrow \hat{F}^*$  has a period  $m_p$ . The fixed point locus of  $(\hat{h})^k$  is  $F^*$  if  $m_p | k$  and  $\emptyset$  otherwise. Thus using the formula of the zeta function (see, for example [4]),

$$\zeta_{\hat{h}^*}(t) = \exp\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} dt^{jm_p} / (jm_p)\right) = (1 - t^{m_p})^{(-1)^n d/m_p}$$

where  $d = \det(N - M)$  if  $s = n$  and  $d = 0$  for  $s < n$ . Translating this in the monodromy  $h^* : F^* \rightarrow F^*$ , we obtain

**COROLLARY 11.**  *$F^*$  has a homotopy type of CW-complex of dimension  $n - 1$  and the inclusion map  $F^* \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{*n}$  is an  $(s - 1)$ -equivalence. The zeta function  $\zeta_{h^*}(t)$  of  $h^* : F^* \rightarrow F^*$  is given as  $(1 - t^{m_p})^{(-1)^n d/m_p}$  with  $d = \det(N - M)$  if  $s = n$  and  $\zeta_{h^*}(t) = 1$  for  $s < n$ .*

3.2.1. *Remark.* In general, the restriction of the polar action on  $\mathbf{C}^n$  to  $\mathbf{C}^{*I}$  may not be effective and to make the action effective, we need to define polar weights as  $p_{I,i} = p_i/r_I$  and  $m_{I,p} = m_p/r_I$  where  $r_I$  is the greatest common divisor of  $\{p_i \mid i \in I\}$ . However the monodromy map  $h_I : F^{*I} \rightarrow F^{*I}$  is equal to the restriction of  $h : F \rightarrow F$ .

**4. Connectivity of  $F$**

Now we are ready to patch together the information of the strata  $F^{*I}$  for the topology of  $F$ . First we introduce the notion of  $k$ -convenience which is introduced for holomorphic functions ([8]). We say  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is  $k$ -convenient if  $f^I \not\cong 0$  for any  $I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  with  $|I| \geq n - k$ . The following is obvious by the definition.

**PROPOSITION 12.** *Assume that  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is a simplicial polar weighted homogeneous polynomial with  $s$  monomials and assume that  $f$  is  $k$ -convenient. Then  $k \leq s - 1$ .*

Now we have the following result about the connectivity of  $F$ .

**THEOREM 13.** *Assume that  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}})$  is a simplicial polar weighted homogeneous polynomial with  $s$  monomials and assume that  $f$  is  $k$ -convenient. Then  $F$  is  $\min(k, n - 2)$ -connected.*

For the proof, we show the following stronger assertion. Let  $I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C}^n(*I) &= \{\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n \mid z_j \neq 0, j \in I\}, & F(*I) &= F \cap \mathbf{C}^n(*I). \\ \mathbf{C}^{*I} &= \{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{C}^n \mid z_j \neq 0 \text{ iff } j \in I\}, & F^{*I} &= F \cap \mathbf{C}^{*I}. \end{aligned}$$

**LEMMA 14.** *Under the assumption as in Theorem 13, the inclusion  $F(*I) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^n(*I)$  is  $\min(k + 1, n - 1)$ -equivalence.*

We prove the assertion by double induction on  $(n, k)$ . Put

$$\begin{aligned} I_j &= \{j, \dots, n\}, & K_j &= \{1, \dots, \overset{\vee}{j}, \dots, n\} \\ \mathbf{C}_j^{n-1} &= \mathbf{C}^{K_j} = \mathbf{C}^n \cap \{z_j = 0\}, & F_j &= F \cap \mathbf{C}_j^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $F_j$  is the Milnor fiber of  $f^{K_j}$ . Theorem 13 follows from Lemma 14 by taking  $I = \emptyset$ . Changing the ordering if necessary, we may assume that  $I = I_t$  for some  $t$ . We consider the filtration of  $F$ :

$$F^* = F(*I_1) \subset F(*I_2) \subset F(*I_3) \subset \dots \subset F(*I_n) \subset F = F(*\emptyset).$$

A key lemma is

**LEMMA 15.** *The inclusion map  $(F(*I_j), F(*I_{j-1})) \hookrightarrow (\mathbf{C}^n(*I_j), \mathbf{C}^n(*I_{j-1}))$  is  $\min(k + 1, n - 1)$ -equivalence.*

*Proof.* Let  $T_j$  be a tubular neighborhood of  $\{z_j = 0\}$  in  $\mathbf{C}^n(*I_{j+1})$  such that  $T_j \cap F(*I_{j+1})$  is a tubular neighborhood of  $F_j(*I_{j+1}) = \{z_j = 0\} \cap F(*I_{j+1})$  in  $F(*I_{j+1})$ . Consider the following diagrams by the excision isomorphisms and by the Thom isomorphisms  $\psi$  for  $D^2$ -bundle:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\ell+1}(F(*I_{j+1}), F(*I_j)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_{\ell+1}(F(*I_{j+1}) \cap T_j, F(*I_j) \cap T_j) \\ \downarrow \tau_j & & \downarrow \tau'_j \\ H_{\ell+1}(\mathbf{C}^n(*I_{j+1}), \mathbf{C}^n(*I_j)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_{\ell+1}(T_j, \mathbf{C}^n(*I_j) \cap T_j) \\ & \xrightarrow{\psi} & H_{\ell-1}(F_j(*I_{j+1})) \\ & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \downarrow \tau''_j \\ & & H_{\ell-1}(\mathbf{C}_j^{n-1}(*I_{j+1})) \end{array}$$

Now note that  $f^{K_j}$  is  $(k - 1)$ -convenient. Thus by the induction assumption on Lemma 15,  $\tau''_j$  is isomorphism for  $\ell - 1 \leq k - 1$ . This implies that  $\tau'_j, \tau_j$  is isomorphism for  $\ell + 1 \leq k + 1$ .  $\square$



*Proof of Lemma 14.* Now we can prove Lemma 14 by the induction on  $j$  and Five Lemma, assuming  $I = I_j$  for some  $j$ , applied to two exact sequences for the pairs  $(F(*I_{j+1}), F(*I_j))$  and  $(\mathbf{C}^n(*I_{j+1}), \mathbf{C}^n(*I_j))$  and commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_{\ell+1}(F(*I_{j+1}), F(*I_j)) & \longrightarrow & H_{\ell}(F(*I_j)) & \longrightarrow & H_{\ell}(F(*I_{j+1})) \\ \downarrow \tau_j & & \downarrow \iota_j & & \downarrow \iota_j \\ H_{\ell+1}(\mathbf{C}^n(*I_{j+1}), \mathbf{C}^n(*I_j)) & \longrightarrow & H_{\ell}(\mathbf{C}^n(*I_j)) & \longrightarrow & H_{\ell}(\mathbf{C}^n(*I_{j+1})) \end{array}$$

Induction starts for  $j = 1$ :  $\iota_1$  is  $\min(k + 1, n - 1)$ -equivalence by Corollary 11. This completes the proof of Lemma 14.  $\square$

**4.1. Euler numbers and zeta functions.** Let  $f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = \sum_{j=1}^s c_j \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{n}_j} \bar{\mathbf{z}}^{\mathbf{m}_j}$  be a simplicial polar weighted homogeneous. Let

$$\mathcal{S} = \{I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}; f^I \text{ is full}\}$$

and put  $r_I = \gcd_{i \in I} \{p_i\}$  and  $m_{p,I} = m_p/r_I$  and put  $d_I = |\det_{i \in I}(\mathbf{n}_i - \mathbf{m}_i)|$ . Thus for  $I \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $f^I$  is a simplicial full polar weighted homogeneous polynomial of polar weight type  $(p_i/r_I)_{i \in I}$  with degree  $m_{p,I}$ . We observed in Remark 3.2.1 that the monodromy map  $h^{*I} : F^{*I} \rightarrow F^{*I}$  is equal to the restriction of the monodromy map  $h : F \rightarrow F$ . We denote the zeta function of the monodromy map

$$h : F \rightarrow F, \quad h^{*I} = h|_{F^{*I}} : F^{*I} \rightarrow F^{*I}$$

by  $\zeta(t)$ ,  $\zeta^{*I}(t)$  respectively. Recall that  $\zeta(t)$  is an alternating product of characteristic polynomials ([4]). Namely

$$\zeta(t) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} P_j(t)^{(-1)^{j+1}}$$

where  $P_j$  is the characteristic polynomial of the monodromy action on  $h_* : H_j(F, \mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow H_j(F, \mathbf{Q})$ . By Theorem 10 and the additive formula for the Euler characteristics, using a similar argument as that of Proposition 2.8, [8], we have:

**THEOREM 16.** (1)  $\chi(F) = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{S}} (-1)^{|I|-1} d_I$ .  
 (2)  $\zeta(t) = \prod_{I \in \mathcal{S}} \zeta^{*I}(t)$ ,  $\zeta^{*I}(t) = (1 - t^{m_{p,I}})^{(-1)^{|I|} d_I/m_{p,I}}$ .

**4.2. Examples.** 1. Assume that  $f_1(\mathbf{z})$  is a homogeneous polynomial defined by

$$f_1(\mathbf{z}) = z_1^{a_1} + z_2^{a_2} + \dots + z_n^{a_n}, \quad a_1, \dots, a_n \geq 2.$$

Then  $F = f_1^{-1}(1)$  is  $(n - 2)$ -connected and

$$\chi(F) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{|I|=j} \chi(F^{*I}) = (a_1 - 1)(a_2 - 1) \cdots (a_n - 1) - (-1)^n$$

and

$$\operatorname{div}(\zeta_h) = (\Lambda_{a_1} - 1) \cdots (\Lambda_{a_n} - 1) - (-1)^n$$

as is well-known by [9, 1, 5]. Here  $\operatorname{div}((t - \lambda_1) \cdots (t - \lambda_k)) = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \in \mathbf{Z} \cdot \mathbf{C}^*$  and  $\Lambda_m = \operatorname{div}(t^m - 1)$ .

2. Consider

$$f_2(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) = z_1^{a_1} \bar{z}_2 + \cdots + z_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}} \bar{z}_n + z_n^{a_n}$$

Then  $f_2$  is a simplicial polar weighted polynomial and put

$$\mathcal{S} = \{I_j = \{1, \dots, j\} \mid j = 0, \dots, n-1\}.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(F) &= (-1)^{n-1} (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n - a_2 \cdots a_n + \cdots + (-1)^{n-1} a_n) \\ \log \zeta(t) &= (-1)^n \left( \frac{1}{(1 - t^{a_1 \cdots a_n})} - \frac{1}{(1 - t^{a_2 \cdots a_n})} + \cdots + (-1)^{n-1} \frac{1}{(1 - t^{a_n})} \right) \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The polar weight of  $f_2$  is given by  $(p_1, \dots, p_n; m_p)$  where

$$\begin{aligned} m_p &= a_1 \cdots a_n, \quad p_1 = m_p \left( \frac{1}{a_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{a_1 \cdots a_n} \right), \\ p_2 &= m_p \left( \frac{1}{a_2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{a_2 \cdots a_n} \right) \\ &\vdots \\ p_{n-1} &= m_p \left( \frac{1}{a_{n-1}} + \frac{1}{a_{n-1} a_n} \right), \quad p_n = \frac{m_p}{a_n} \end{aligned}$$

Thus the assertion follows from Corollary 11.  $\square$

**4.3. Surface cases.** Consider the case  $n = 3$ . We consider two simplicial polar weighted homogeneous polynomials.

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) &= z_1^{a_1} \bar{z}_2^{b_1} + z_2^{a_2} \bar{z}_3^{b_2} + z_3^{a_3}, \quad a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 > 0 \\ f_2(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) &= z_1^{a_1} \bar{z}_2^{b_1} + z_2^{a_2} \bar{z}_3^{b_2} + z_3^{a_3} \bar{z}_1^{b_3}, \quad a_1 a_2 a_3 > b_1 b_2 b_3 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

They are 1-convenient. Let  $F_1 = f_1^{-1}(1)$  and  $F_2 = f_2^{-1}(1)$ . By Theorem 13,  $F_1, F_2$  are simply connected. Their Betti numbers  $b_2(F_i)$  are given as

$$b_2(F_1) = a_1 a_2 a_3 - a_2 a_3 + a_3 - 1, \quad b_2(F_2) = a_1 a_2 a_3 - b_1 b_2 b_3 - 1.$$

(I) First we consider  $f_1$ . The normalized polar weight for  $f_1$  is given as

$$v_1 = \frac{b_1 b_2}{a_1 a_2 a_3} + \frac{b_1}{a_1 a_2} + \frac{1}{a_1}, \quad v_2 = \frac{b_2}{a_2 a_3} + \frac{1}{a_2}, \quad v_3 = \frac{1}{a_3}$$

Let  $r = \gcd(b_1b_2, a_1a_2a_3)$ ,  $r_1 = \gcd(b_2, a_2a_3)$ . Then  $m_p$  is given as  $a_1a_2a_3/r$  and the zeta function of  $h_1 : F_1 \rightarrow F_1$  is given as

$$\zeta_{h_1}(t) = P_0(t)^{-1}P_2(t)^{-1} = \frac{(1 - t^{a_2a_3/r_1})^{r_1}}{(1 - t^{a_1a_2a_3/r})^r(1 - t^{a_3})}$$

where  $P_2(t)$  is the characteristic polynomial of the monodromy action  $h_{1*} : H_2(F_1; \mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow H_2(F_1; \mathbf{Q})$ . Note that  $P_0(t) = 1 - t$ . For example,

$$\zeta_{h_1}(t) = \frac{(1 - t^{a_2a_3})}{(1 - t^{a_1a_2a_3})(1 - t^{a_3})}, \quad b_1 = b_2 = 1$$

$$\zeta_{h_1}(t) = \frac{(1 - t^{a'_2a_3})^2}{(1 - t^{a'_1a'_2a_3})^4(1 - t^{a_3})}, \quad a_1 = 2a'_1, a_2 = 2a'_2, b_1 = b_2 = 2.$$

(II) We consider  $f_2$ . The normalized polar weight for  $f_2$  is given as:

$$v_1 = \frac{a_2a_3 + b_1a_3 + b_1b_2}{a_1a_2a_3 - b_1b_2b_3}, \quad v_2 = \frac{a_1a_3 + a_1b_2 + b_2b_3}{a_1a_2a_3 - b_1b_2b_3}, \quad v_3 = \frac{a_1a_2 + a_2b_3 + b_1b_3}{a_1a_2a_3 - b_1b_2b_3}.$$

Put  $d = a_1a_2a_3 - b_1b_2b_3$ . The least common multiple  $m_p$  of the denominators of  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  depends on  $\gcd(d, a_2a_3 + b_1a_3 + b_1b_2)$  and so on. We only gives two examples.

(1) Assume that  $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = a$ ,  $b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = b$ . Then  $v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = \frac{1}{a-b}$ . Thus

$$\zeta_{h_2}(t) = (1 - t^{a-b})^{a^2+ab+b^2}.$$

(2) Assume that  $\gcd(d, a_2a_3 + b_1a_3 + b_1b_2) = \gcd(d, a_1a_3 + a_1b_2 + b_2b_3) = \gcd(d, a_1a_2 + a_2b_3 + b_1b_3) = 1$ . Then  $m_p = d$  and  $\zeta_{h_2}(t) = (1 - t^d)$ .

For example, if  $a_1 = 2, a_2 = 3, a_3 = 5$  and  $b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = 1$ , we get  $\zeta_{h_2}(t) = (1 - t^{29})$ .

REFERENCES

[ 1 ] E. BRIESKORN, Beispiele zur Differentialtopologie von Singularitäten, Invent. Math. **2** (1966), 1–14.  
 [ 2 ] J. CISNEROS-MOLINA, Join theorem for polar weighted homogeneous singularities, Proceeding of Le-Fest, Cuernavaca, 2007, to appear.  
 [ 3 ] L. HERNÁNDEZ DE LA CRUZ AND S. LÓPEZ DE MEDRANO, Some families of isolated singularities, Proceeding of Le-Fest, Cuernavaca, 2007, to appear.  
 [ 4 ] J. MILNOR, Singular Points of Complex Hypersurface, Annals math. studies **61**, Princeton Univ. Press, 1968.  
 [ 5 ] J. MILNOR AND P. ORLIK, Isolated singularities defined by weighted homogeneous polynomials, Topology **9** (1970), 385–393.  
 [ 6 ] M. OKA, On the homotopy types of hypersurfaces defined by weighted homogeneous polynomials, Topology **12** (1973), 19–32.  
 [ 7 ] M. OKA, On the topology of full nondegenerate complete intersection variety, Nagoya Math. J. **121** (1991), 137–148.

- [ 8 ] M. OKA, Non-degenerate complete intersection singularity, Hermann, Paris, 1997.
- [ 9 ] F. PHAM, Formules de Picard-Lefschetz généralisées et ramification des intégrales, Bull. Soc. Math. France **93** (1965), 333–367.
- [10] A. PICHON AND J. SEADE, Real singularities and open-book decompositions of the 3-sphere, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) **12** (2003), 245–265.
- [11] A. PICHON AND J. SEADE, Fibered multilinks and singularities  $f\bar{g}^*$ , preprint, Nov. 13, 2007.
- [12] M. A. S. RUAS, J. SEADE AND A. VERJOVSKY, On real singularities with a Milnor fibration, Trends in singularities, Trends Math., Birkhäuser, Basel, 2002, 191–213.
- [13] J. SEADE, Open book decompositions associated to holomorphic vector fields, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana (3) **3** (1997), 323–335.
- [14] J. SEADE, On the topology of hypersurface singularities, Real and complex singularities, Lecture notes in pure and appl. Math. **232**, Dekker, New York, 2003, 201–205.

Mutsuo Oka  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE  
26 WAKAMIYA-CHO  
SHINJUKU-KU, TOKYO 162-8601  
JAPAN  
E-mail: oka@rs.kagu.tus.ac.jp