

## THE STRUCTURE OF MULTIVARIATE POISSON DISTRIBUTION

BY KAZUTOMO KAWAMURA

### Summary

In this paper we shall derive a multivariate Poisson distribution and we shall discuss its structure.

### Notations and Definitions

$n$	positive integer
$\mathbf{X}=(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$	$n$ dimensional random vector
$\mathbf{i}=(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$	$n$ dimensional vector with 0, 1 components
$\mathbf{k}=(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n)$	$n$ dimensional vector with nonnegative integer components
$\mathbf{x}=(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$	observation of $\mathbf{X}$
$p(x, \lambda)$	Poisson density with parameter $\lambda$

### Main Results

#### 1. Multivariate Bernoulli distribution $B(1, p_i)$

Multivariate Bernoulli distribution is defined by

$$P(\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{i})=p_i$$

where  $p_i \geq 0$  and  $\sum_i p_i = 1$ .

The moment generating function (m. g. f.) is given by

$$g(\mathbf{s}) = \sum_i p_i s_1^{i_1} s_2^{i_2} \dots s_n^{i_n}.$$

The marginal distribution of this multivariate Bernoulli distribution is also degenerated Bernoulli.

The covariance matrix of  $B(1, p_i)$  is given by

$$\text{Cov}(X_j, X_k) = \sum_{i_j=i_k=0} p_i \sum_{i_j=i_k=1} p_i - \sum_{i_j=1, i_k=0} p_i \sum_{i_j=0, i_k=1} p_i,$$

$$\text{Var}(X_j) = \sum_{i_j=0} p_i \sum_{i_j=1} p_i.$$

---

Received May 18, 1978

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(X_j, X_k) &= \sum_{i_j=i_k=1} x_j x_k p_i - \sum_{i_j=1} x_j p_i \sum_{i_k=1} x_k p_i \\ &= \sum_{i_j=i_k=1} p_i - \sum_{i_j=1} p_i \sum_{i_k=1} p_i \\ &= \sum_{i_j=i_k=1} p_i \left( \sum_{i_j=i_k=0} p_i + \sum_{i_j=1, i_k=0} p_i + \sum_{i_j=0, i_k=1} p_i + \sum_{i_j=i_k=1} p_i \right) \\ &\quad - \left( \sum_{i_j=1, i_k=0} p_i + \sum_{i_j=i_k=1} p_i \right) \left( \sum_{i_j=0, i_k=1} p_i + \sum_{i_j=i_k=1} p_i \right) \\ &= \sum_{i_j=i_k=0} p_i \sum_{i_j=i_k=1} p_i - \sum_{i_j=1, i_k=0} p_i \sum_{i_j=0, i_k=1} p_i. \\ \text{Var}(X_j) &= \sum_{i_j=1} x_j^2 p_i - \left( \sum_{i_j=1} x_j p_i \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{i_j=1} p_i - \left( \sum_{i_j=1} p_i \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

**2. Multivariate binomial distribution  $B(N, p_i)$**

Multivariate binomial distribution is defined by

$$P(\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\sum \alpha_i i_j = k_j} \frac{N!}{\prod_i \alpha_i!} \prod_i p_i^{\alpha_i}.$$

This distribution is derived by  $N$  time convolution of  $B(1, p_i)$ . The m.g.f. of the distribution is given by

$$g_N(\mathbf{s}) = \left( \sum_i p_i s_1^{i_1} s_2^{i_2} \dots s_n^{i_n} \right)^N.$$

The marginal distribution of this multivariate binomial distribution is also degenerated binomial.

Covariance matrix of  $B(N, p_i)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(X_j, X_k) &= N \left( \sum_{i_j=i_k=0} p_i \sum_{i_j=i_k=1} p_i - \sum_{i_j=1, i_k=0} p_i \sum_{i_j=0, i_k=1} p_i \right), \\ \text{Var}(X_j) &= N \left( \sum_{i_j=0} p_i \sum_{i_j=1} p_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

**3. Multivariate Poisson distribution**

Multivariate Poisson distribution is a limiting distribution of  $B(N, p_i)$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  under the condition of  $N p_i = \lambda_i$  where  $\lambda_i$  is a non-negative fixed parameter. If a random vector  $\mathbf{X}$  has a binomial distribution  $B(N, p_i)$  and if we assume  $N p_i = \lambda_i$ , then we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} P(\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\sum \alpha_i i_j = k_j} \prod_{i \neq 0} p(\alpha_i, \lambda_i)$$

where  $p(\alpha_i, \lambda_i)$  is an univariate Poisson density.

**THEOREM 1.** *If a random vector  $\mathbf{X}$  has a distribution  $B(N, p_i)$  then we have*

$$\lim_{N \ p_i = \lambda_i, N \rightarrow \infty} P(X=\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\sum \alpha_i \nu_j = k_j} \prod_{i \neq 0} p(\alpha_i, \lambda_i),$$

*Proof.* By the condition we get

$$P(X=\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\sum \alpha_i \nu_j = k_j} \frac{N!}{\prod_i \alpha_i!} \prod_i p_i^{\alpha_i},$$

therefore the limit value of each term is

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{N \ p_i = \lambda_i, N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N!}{\prod_i \alpha_i!} \prod_i p_i^{\alpha_i} \\ &= \lim \frac{N!}{\alpha_0! \prod_{i \neq 0} \alpha_i!} \left(1 - \frac{\sum_{i \neq 0} \lambda_i}{N}\right)^{\alpha_0} \prod_{i \neq 0} \frac{\lambda_i^{\alpha_i}}{N^{\alpha_i}} \\ &= \lim \frac{N!}{\alpha_0! N^{\sum_{i \neq 0} \alpha_i}} \lim \left(1 - \frac{\sum_{i \neq 0} \lambda_i}{N}\right)^{N - \sum_{i \neq 0} \alpha_i} \prod_{i \neq 0} \lambda_i^{\alpha_i} / \prod_{i \neq 0} \alpha_i! \\ &= \exp\{-\sum_{i \neq 0} \lambda_i\} \prod_{i \neq 0} \lambda_i^{\alpha_i} / \prod_{i \neq 0} \alpha_i! \\ &= \prod_{i \neq 0} p(\alpha_i, \lambda_i). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \ p_i = \lambda_i, N \rightarrow \infty} P(X=\mathbf{k}) &= \lim_{N \ p_i = \lambda_i, N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\sum \alpha_i \nu_j = k_j} \frac{N!}{\prod_i \alpha_i!} p_i^{\alpha_i} \\ &= \sum_{\sum \alpha_i \nu_j = k_j} \exp\{-\sum_{i \neq 0} \lambda_i\} \prod_{i \neq 0} \frac{\lambda_i^{\alpha_i}}{\alpha_i!} \\ &= \sum_{\sum \alpha_i \nu_j = k_j} \prod_{i \neq 0} p(\alpha_i, \lambda_i). \end{aligned}$$

**THEOREM 2.** *The moment generating function of the limiting distribution is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} h(\mathbf{s}) &= \exp\{-\sum_{i \neq 0} \lambda_i + \sum_{i \neq 0} \lambda_i \mathbf{s}^i\} \\ &= \prod_{i \neq 0} \exp\{-\lambda_i + \lambda_i \mathbf{s}^i\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} h(\mathbf{s}) &= \lim_{N \ p_i = \lambda_i, N \rightarrow \infty} g(\mathbf{s})^N = \lim_{N \ p_i = \lambda_i, N \rightarrow \infty} (\sum_i p_i s_1^{i_1} s_2^{i_2} \dots s_n^{i_n})^N \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \sum_{i \neq 0} p_i + \sum_{i \neq 0} p_i \mathbf{s}^i\right)^N \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \sum_{i \neq 0} p_i + \sum_{i \neq 0} \frac{\lambda_i}{N} \mathbf{s}^i\right)^N \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \sum_{i \neq 0} \frac{\lambda_i}{N} + \sum_{i \neq 0} \frac{\lambda_i}{N} \mathbf{s}^i\right)^N \end{aligned}$$

$$= \exp \left\{ - \sum_{i \neq 0} \lambda_i + \sum_{i \neq 0} \lambda_i \mathbf{s}^i \right\} .$$

where  $\mathbf{s}^i = s_1^{i_1} s_2^{i_2} \dots s_n^{i_n}$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *If a random vector  $\mathbf{X}$  has the Poisson law, then we have an unique decomposition of the random vector by  $X_j = \sum_{i_j=1} X_i$  where  $X_i (i \neq \mathbf{0})$  are mutually independent, univariate Poisson variables with parameter  $\lambda_i$ .*

*Proof.* This is a direct conclusion from the definition of the distribution of convolution type. Mathematical proof is given as followings. In the bivariate case  $n=2$ , if  $\mathbf{X}=(X_1, X_2)$  has the Poisson law, our m. g. f.  $h(\mathbf{s})$  becomes

$$\begin{aligned} h(\mathbf{s}) &= \exp \{ -(\lambda_{10} + \lambda_{01} + \lambda_{11}) + \lambda_{10}s_1 + \lambda_{01}s_2 + \lambda_{11}s_1s_2 \} \\ &= \exp(-\lambda_{10} + \lambda_{10}s_1) \exp(-\lambda_{01} + \lambda_{01}s_2) \exp(-\lambda_{11} + \lambda_{11}s_1s_2). \end{aligned}$$

If we put  $s_2=1$ , then we get the m. g. f. of  $X_1$

$$\exp(-\lambda_{10} + \lambda_{10}s_1) \exp(-\lambda_{11} + \lambda_{11}s_1) .$$

This is a m. g. f. of convolution type, and if we put  $s_1=1$ , we get

$$\exp(-\lambda_{01} + \lambda_{01}s_2) \exp(-\lambda_{11} + \lambda_{11}s_2)$$

the m. g. f. of  $X_2$  of convolution type. Then we have a decomposition

$$X_1 = X_{10} + X_{11}', \quad X_2 = X_{01} + X_{11}'' .$$

If we put  $X_{11}' \neq X_{11}''$  with positive probability then this is contradictory to the fact that  $X_{11}', X_{11}''$  has a bivariate m. g. f.

$$\exp(-\lambda_{11} + \lambda_{11}s_1s_2) .$$

Therefore we have  $X_{11}' = X_{11}'' = X_{11}$  with probability one. And we can express the decomposition of given  $\mathbf{X}=(X_1, X_2)$  as

$$X_1 = X_{10} + X_{11}, \quad X_2 = X_{01} + X_{11} .$$

In this equality  $X_{10}, X_{01}$  and  $X_{11}$  are mutually independent Poisson distribution with parameter  $\lambda_{10}, \lambda_{01}$  and  $\lambda_{11}$  respectively, as to be proved.

**THEOREM 4.** *The covariance matrix of the multivariate Poisson distribution is given by*

$$\text{Var}(X_j) = \sum_{i_j=1} \lambda_i, \quad \text{Cov}(X_j, X_k) = \sum_{i_j=i_k=1} \lambda_i .$$

*Proof.* This is a direct conclusion using the m. g. f. of the distribution (Theorem 2) or the preceding decomposition Theorem. Generally a m. g. f. is given by

$$h(\mathbf{s}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{k}} P(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{k}).$$

And we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 h(\mathbf{s})}{\partial s_i \partial s_j} &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}} k_i k_j s_1^{k_1} \dots s_i^{k_i-1} \dots s_j^{k_j-1} \dots s_n^{k_n} P(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{k}), \\ \left[ \frac{\partial^2 h(\mathbf{s})}{\partial s_i \partial s_j} \right]_{s_1=s_2=\dots=s_n=1} &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}} k_i k_j P(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{k}) = E(X_i X_j). \end{aligned}$$

We use the result of Theorem 2,  $h(\mathbf{s})$  becomes

$$h(\mathbf{s}) = \exp \left\{ - \sum_{i \neq 0} \lambda_i + \sum_{i \neq 0} \lambda_i s^i \right\},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial h(\mathbf{s})}{\partial s_k} &= h(\mathbf{s}) \left\{ \sum_{i \neq 0} i_k \lambda_i s_1^{i_1} \dots s_k^{i_k-1} \dots s_n^{i_n} \right\} \\ \frac{\partial^2 h(\mathbf{s})}{\partial s_j \partial s_k} &= \frac{\partial h(\mathbf{s})}{\partial s_j} \left\{ \sum_{i \neq 0} i_k \lambda_i s_1^{i_1} \dots s_k^{i_k-1} \dots s_n^{i_n} \right\} \\ &\quad + h(\mathbf{s}) \frac{\partial}{\partial s_j} \left\{ \sum_{i \neq 0} i_k \lambda_i s_1^{i_1} \dots s_k^{i_k-1} \dots s_n^{i_n} \right\} \\ &= h(\mathbf{s}) \left\{ \sum_{i \neq 0} i_j \lambda_i s_1^{i_1} \dots s_j^{i_j-1} \dots s_n^{i_n} \right\} \left\{ \sum_{i \neq 0} i_k \lambda_i s_1^{i_1} \dots s_k^{i_k-1} \dots s_n^{i_n} \right\} \\ &\quad + h(\mathbf{s}) \left\{ \sum_{i \neq 0} i_j i_k \lambda_i s_1^{i_1} \dots s_j^{i_j-1} \dots s_k^{i_k-1} \dots s_n^{i_n} \right\} \\ \left[ \frac{\partial^2 h(\mathbf{s})}{\partial s_j \partial s_k} \right]_{s_1=s_2=\dots=s_n=1} &= h(\mathbf{s}) \Big|_{s_1=s_2=\dots=s_n=1} \left[ \left( \sum_{i \neq 0} i_j \lambda_i \right) \left( \sum_{i \neq 0} i_k \lambda_i \right) + \sum_{i \neq 0} i_j i_k \lambda_i \right] \\ E(X_j X_k) &= \sum_{i_j=1} \lambda_i + \left( \sum_{i_j=1} \lambda_i \right) \left( \sum_{i_k=1} \lambda_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

And  $E(X_j)$ ,  $E(X_k)$  is given by  $E(X_j) = \text{Var}(X_j) = \sum_{i_j=1} \lambda_i$ ,  $E(X_k) = \text{Var}(X_k) = \sum_{i_k=1} \lambda_i$ .

Finally we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(X_j, X_k) &= E(X_j X_k) - E(X_j) E(X_k) = \sum_{i_j=1} \lambda_i, \\ \text{Var}(X_j) &= E(X_j) = \sum_{i_j=1} \lambda_i. \end{aligned}$$

**THEOREM 5.** *If a random vector  $\mathbf{X}$  has the Poisson law, then the marginal distribution is also a degenerated Poisson.*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathbf{X}$  has a m.g.f.  $h(\mathbf{s})$ , it follows that  $\mathbf{X}^{(j)} = (X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}, X_{j+1}, \dots, X_n)$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots, n$ ) has a m.g.f.  $h(\mathbf{s})|_{s_j=1}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} h(\mathbf{s})|_{s_j=1} &= \exp\left\{-\sum_{i \neq 0} \lambda_i + \sum_{i \neq 0} \lambda_i \mathbf{s}^i\right\}_{s_j=1} \\ &= \exp\left\{-\sum_{i \in (j) \neq 0} \left(\sum_{l_j=0,1} \lambda_i\right) + \sum_{i \in (j) \neq 0} \left(\sum_{l_j=0,1} \lambda_i\right) s_1^{l_1} \cdots s_{j-1}^{l_{j-1}} s_{j+1}^{l_{j+1}} \cdots s_n^{l_n}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This means that if  $\mathbf{X}$  has a Poisson distribution, it follows that  $\mathbf{X}^{(j)}$  has also a generated Poisson distribution. And, similarly, if we put

$$\mathbf{X}^{(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k)} = (X_1, \dots, X_{j_1-1}, X_{j_1+1}, \dots, X_{j_2-1}, X_{j_2+1}, \dots, X_{j_k-1}, X_{j_k+1}, \dots, X_n)$$

then the m. g. f. of the vector is given by

$$\begin{aligned} h(\mathbf{s})|_{s_{j_1}=s_{j_2}=\dots=s_{j_k}=1} &= \exp\left\{-\sum_{i \in (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k) \neq 0} \left(\sum_{l_{j_1}, l_{j_2}, \dots, l_{j_k}} \lambda_i\right) + \sum_{i \in (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k) \neq 0} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left(\sum_{l_{j_1}, l_{j_2}, \dots, l_{j_k}} \lambda_i\right) s_1^{l_1} \cdots s_{j_1-1}^{l_{j_1-1}} s_{j_1+1}^{l_{j_1+1}} \cdots s_{j_2-1}^{l_{j_2-1}} s_{j_2+1}^{l_{j_2+1}} \cdots \right. \\ &\quad \left. s_{j_k-1}^{l_{j_k-1}} s_{j_k+1}^{l_{j_k+1}} \cdots s_n^{l_n}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the random vector  $\mathbf{X}^{(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k)}$  has a degenerated Poisson distribution as to be proved.

**COROLLARY 1.** *The marginal distribution  $X_j$  of  $\mathbf{X}$  is Poisson with a parameter  $\sum_{l_j=1} \lambda_i$ .*

**COROLLARY 2.** *If  $\text{Cov}(X_j, X_k) = 0$  ( $j \neq k$ ), then  $X_j$  and  $X_k$  are mutually independent random variables.*

**THEOREM 6.** *If  $\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \dots, \mathbf{X}_N$  are mutually independent random vectors of the multivariate Poisson distribution, then the sum  $\sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{X}_j$  has a multivariate Poisson distribution.*

*Proof.* The m. g. f. of the sum vector is given by

$$\begin{aligned} h(\mathbf{s})^N &= \exp N\left\{-\sum_{i \neq 0} \lambda_i + \sum_{i \neq 0} \lambda_i \mathbf{s}^i\right\} \\ &= \exp\left\{-\sum_{i \neq 0} N\lambda_i + \sum_{i \neq 0} N\lambda_i \mathbf{s}^i\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This means that the sum vector is also a multivariate Poisson distribution with parameter  $N\lambda_i$  ( $i \neq 0$ ).

### Estimation of covariance matrix

We assume that  $\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \dots, \mathbf{X}_N$  are mutually independent multivariate Poisson random vectors with unknown parameter  $\lambda_i$ . Given a sequence of the

random vectors, we shall estimate the mean vector and the covariance matrix, in this section.

**A sequence of multivariate Poisson random vectors**

$$X_k = (X_{1k}, \dots, X_{nk}) \quad (k=1, 2, \dots, N)$$

<i>k</i>	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	sum
$X_{1k}$	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	8
$X_{2k}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	6
$X_{3k}$	1	3	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	16
$X_{4k}$	3	2	2	0	1	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	1	19
$X_{5k}$	5	4	5	15	10	7	5	11	6	4	9	11	11	3	10	7	14	8	5	7	157
$X_{6k}$	8	8	8	14	12	8	6	9	2	4	3	11	8	5	7	11	10	6	4	2	146
$X_{7k}$	1	3	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	2	2	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	17
$X_{8k}$	2	3	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	2	4	1	2	2	0	0	2	1	0	1	24
sum	21	23	18	30	25	16	14	27	10	14	17	29	23	13	17	18	30	20	15	13	

This sequence of random vectors is of  $n=8$  dimensional Poisson distribution and the sample size  $N=20$ . In this paper, we use

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{X}_i &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N X_{ik}, \quad S_{ij} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (X_{ik} - \bar{X}_i)(X_{jk} - \bar{X}_j) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N X_{ik}X_{jk} - \bar{X}_i\bar{X}_j \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n), \end{aligned}$$

where we get easily  $S_{ij} = S_{ji}$ .

**Estimated mean values and standard deviations**

$$\bar{X}_i, \quad S_i^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N (X_{ik} - \bar{X}_i)^2 \quad (i=1, 2, \dots, n)$$

$\bar{X}_1=0.4$	$\bar{X}_2=0.3$	$\bar{X}_3=0.8$	$\bar{X}_4=0.95$
$S_1=0.5831$	$S_2=0.4583$	$S_3=0.8124$	$S_4=1.0235$
$\bar{X}_5=7.85$	$\bar{X}_6=7.3$	$\bar{X}_7=0.85$	$\bar{X}_8=1.2$
$S_5=3.3208$	$S_6=3.2573$	$S_7=0.8529$	$S_8=1.0770$
Sample mean of the sum	19.65		
Standard deviation of the sum	5.9521		

**Estimated covariance matrix**

The estimated covariance matrix is given by

$$S=[S_{ij}]$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0.34 & -0.02 & 0.03 & 0.07 & -0.64 & -0.32 & -0.14 & -0.23 \\ -0.02 & 0.21 & 0.06 & 0.015 & 0.145 & -0.14 & 0.045 & 0.09 \\ 0.03 & 0.06 & 0.66 & 0.44 & -0.43 & -0.09 & 0.32 & 0.09 \\ 0.07 & 0.015 & 0.44 & 1.05 & -0.86 & -0.085 & 0.49 & 0.06 \\ -0.64 & 0.145 & -0.43 & -0.86 & 11.03 & 6.445 & -0.42 & -0.12 \\ -0.32 & -0.14 & -0.09 & -0.085 & 6.445 & 10.61 & 0.145 & -0.56 \\ -0.14 & 0.045 & 0.32 & 0.49 & -0.42 & 0.145 & 0.73 & 0.53 \\ -0.23 & 0.09 & 0.09 & 0.06 & -0.12 & -0.56 & 0.53 & 1.16 \end{pmatrix}$$

The main components

$$S_{ii}=S_i^2=\frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=1}^N(X_{ik}-\bar{X}_i)^2 \quad (i=1, 2, \dots, n)$$

will be refined by using estimated mean vector

$$\bar{X}_i=\frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=1}^N X_{ik} \quad (i=1, 2, \dots, n).$$

And the estimated sample covariances in  $S$  with negative values are not natural, because all parameters  $\sum_{i,j=1}^n \lambda_i$  estimated by  $S_{ij}$  must be nonnegative. There-

fore we shall refine the estimator  $S$  as  $S^+=[S_{ij}^+]$  where  $S_{ij}^+$  equals to  $S_{ij}$  iff  $S_{ij} \geq 0$  and 0 iff  $S_{ij} < 0$ . And a more refined estimator will be given by  $\tilde{S}=[\tilde{S}_{ij}]$  where  $\tilde{S}_{ij}$  is defined by

$$\tilde{S}_{ij}=\begin{cases} \bar{X}_i & \text{iff } i=j, \\ S_{ij}^+ & \text{iff } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Because the parameter estimated by  $S_{ii}$  is the variance value of  $X_i$  and equals to the mean value of  $X_i$ . And we get easily  $S_{ii}^+=S_{ii} \geq 0$ ,  $S_{ij}^+=S_{ji}^+$  and  $\tilde{S}_{ij}=\tilde{S}_{ji}$ . Then we have

$$\tilde{S}=\begin{pmatrix} 0.4 & 0 & 0.03 & 0.07 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.3 & 0.06 & 0.015 & 0.145 & 0 & 0.045 & 0.09 \\ 0.03 & 0.06 & 0.8 & 0.44 & 0 & 0 & 0.32 & 0.09 \\ 0.07 & 0.015 & 0.44 & 0.95 & 0 & 0 & 0.49 & 0.06 \\ 0 & 0.145 & 0 & 0 & 7.85 & 6.445 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6.445 & 7.3 & 0.145 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.045 & 0.32 & 0.49 & 0 & 0.145 & 0.85 & 0.53 \\ 0 & 0.09 & 0.09 & 0.06 & 0 & 0 & 0.53 & 1.2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Conclusion of this section.

1. The unknown mean values of  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$



$$EX_1 = \sum_{i_1=1} \lambda_i, \dots, EX_n = \sum_{i_n=1} \lambda_i$$

are to be estimated by

$$\bar{X}_1, \dots, \bar{X}_n,$$

where

$$\bar{X}_i = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N X_{ik} \quad (i=1, 2, \dots, n).$$

2. The unknown covariance matrix of  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$

$$[\text{Cov}(X_i, X_j)]; \quad \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j) = \sum_{i_i=i_j=1} \lambda_i$$

will be estimated by the sample covariance matrix  $S$  or  $S^+$ .

3. And a more refined covariance matrix will be given by  $\tilde{S}$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] FELLER, W., An introduction to probability theory and its applications, Vol. 1, sec. ed. 6-th print (1961).  
 [2] KAWAMURA, K., The structure of trivariate Poisson distribution, Vol. 28, No. 1, 1-8 (1976). Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.  
 [3] KENDALL, M.G. and A. STUART, The advanced theory of statistics, Vol. 1. Distribution theory, second ed. Griffin (1963).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY