

## ON THE STRATIFICATION OF GOOD HYPERSURFACES

BY MUTSUO OKA

### 1. Statement of results.

Let  $f(z)$  be a germ of an analytic function defined in a neighborhood of the origin and let  $f(z) = \sum_{\nu} a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$  be the Taylor expansion. We consider the germ of the hypersurface  $V = f^{-1}(0)$ . We assume that  $f$  has a non-degenerate Newton boundary  $\Gamma(f)$ . The purpose of this paper is to construct a canonical Whitney  $b$ -regular stratification  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $V$  which depends only on the Newton boundaries  $\{\partial\Gamma(f)\}$ . Under the non-degeneracy condition of the Newton boundary, the singular locus of  $V$  is the union of several coordinate subspaces  $\mathbf{C}^{*I}$ . However the  $b$ -regularity for  $(V^*, \mathbf{C}^{*I})$  does not hold in general and we have to know the locus where the regularity fails. For this purpose, we introduce the concept of the  $I$ -primary boundary components which plays an important role for the stratification of  $V$ . Its rough description is as follows. Let  $P = {}^t(p_1, \dots, p_n)$  be a positive rational dual vector and let  $I(P) = \{1 \leq i \leq n; p_i = 0\}$ . The face function  $f_P(z)$  is defined by the partial sum  $\sum' a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$  for  $\nu$  such that  $\nu \in \Delta(P)$ . Here  $\Delta(P)$  is the face of  $\Gamma(f)$  where  $P$  takes its minimal value  $d(P; f)$ . We use the notations of [5]. Assume that  $f_P(z) = z^I g(z_{I(P)})$  where  $z_{I(P)}$  is the projection of  $z$  into the affine coordinate space  $\mathbf{C}^{I(P)}$ . In this case, we say that  $f_P$  is essentially of  $z_{I(P)}$ -variables and we denote  $g(z_{I(P)})$  by  $f_P^e(z_{I(P)})$ . We consider the variety  $V^*(P)$  and  $\partial V^*(P)$  as follows.  $V^*(P) = \{z \in \mathbf{C}^{*n}; f_P(z) = 0\}$  and  $\partial V^*(P) = \{z_{I(P)} \in \mathbf{C}^{*I(P)}; f_P^e(z_{I(P)}) = 0\}$ . If  $f_P$  is not essentially of  $z_{I(P)}$ -variables,  $\partial V^*(P)$  is  $\mathbf{C}^{*I(P)}$  by definition. We call  $\partial V^*(P)$  a  $I$ -primary boundary component with respect to  $P$  if  $V^*(P)$  is not empty. Let  $V_{p_r}$  be the closure of  $V^*$  in  $\mathbf{C}^n$  and let  $V^{*I} = V \cap \mathbf{C}^{*I}$  and let  $V_{p_r}^{*I} = V_{p_r} \cap \mathbf{C}^{*I}$ . Then  $V_{p_r}^{*I}$  is a union of  $I$ -primary boundary components (Lemma (3.3)). We say that the hypersurface  $V = f^{-1}(0)$  is good if for each subset  $I$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $|I| > 2$ , there is at most one  $f_P$  among  $\{f_P; I(P) = I\}$  such that  $f_P$  gives a proper  $I$ -primary boundary component. Here  $P$  may not be unique. We assume that  $V$  is a good hypersurface hereafter. If  $V$  has a proper primary boundary component, we denote this component by  $\partial V_{p_r}^{*I}$ . If  $V$  does not have proper primary boundary component,  $\partial V_{p_r}^{*I} = \emptyset$  by definition. Let  $P$  be a positive dual vector and let  $I = I(P)$ . We say that  $V$  satisfies the primary non-degeneracy condition or simply the PND-condition if the following conditions are satisfied for any  $P$  such that  $V^*(P) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $p_{\min}$

---

Received May 30, 1988

=minimum  $\{p_j; j \notin I\}$ .

(PND1) Assume that  $f_P$  is essentially of  $z_I$ -variables and let  $f=f_P+\hat{f}$ . Write  $f_P(z)=z^K f_{\hat{P}}(z_I)$  where  $K=(k_1, \dots, k_n)$ .

(a) (i)  $d(P; f)=0$  or (ii)  $d(P; f)>0$  and  $d(P; \hat{f}) \geq d(P; f) + p_{\min}$  or (iii) the variety  $\{z \in \mathbf{C}^{*n}; f_P(z)=0, z_j \frac{\partial \hat{f}_P}{\partial z_j}(z) - k_j \hat{f}_P(z)=0 \text{ for } j \notin I\}$  is empty.

(b)  $\partial V^*(P)$  is a non-degenerate hypersurface in  $\mathbf{C}^{*I}$  in an  $\varepsilon$ -ball  $B_\varepsilon^I$  for some  $\varepsilon$ .

(PND2) Assume that  $f_P$  is not essentially of  $z_I$ -variables. For each  $z_I \in \mathbf{C}^{*I} \cap B_\varepsilon^I$ , the fiber  $q_I^{-1}(z_I)$  is a non-degenerate hypersurface in  $\mathbf{C}^{I^c} \times \{z_I\}$  where  $I^c$  is the complement of  $I$  in  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ .

MAIN THEOREM. We assume that  $V$  is a good hypersurface which satisfies the PND-condition. Let  $S(I)=\{V^{*I}-\partial V_{pr}^{*I}, \partial V_{pr}^{*I}\}$  and let  $S=\bigcup S(I)$ . Then  $S$  is a regular stratification of  $V$ .

For the stratification of the hypersurfaces which is not good and the stratification of the complete intersection varieties, see [6].

## 2. Stratifications.

Let  $V$  be an analytic variety in an open set  $D$  of  $\mathbf{C}^n$ . We recall the necessary notions of the stratification which is induced by Whitney and Thom. For further details, see [10, 7, 3]. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a family of subsets of  $V$  such that  $V$  is covered disjointly by elements of  $\mathcal{S}$ .  $\mathcal{S}$  is called a *Whitney stratification* if the following conditions are satisfied.

(i) (*D-strictness*) Each element  $M$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  (which is called a *stratum*) is a connected smooth analytic variety such that  $\bar{M}$  and  $\bar{M}-M$  are closed analytic varieties in  $D$ . Here  $\bar{M}$  is the closure of  $M$  in  $D$ .

(ii) (*Frontier property*) Let  $M$  and  $N$  be strata of  $\mathcal{S}$  and assume that  $M \neq N$  and  $M \cap \bar{N} \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $M \subset \bar{N}-N$ .

We recall the Whitney *b*-condition for a Whitney stratification  $\mathcal{S}$ . Let  $(N, M)$  be a pair of strata of  $\mathcal{S}$  with  $\bar{N} \supset M$  and let  $p$  be a point of  $M$ . Let  $p_i$  and  $q_i$  be sequences on  $N$  and  $M$  respectively. We assume that

$$(2.1) \quad p_i \rightarrow p, \quad q_i \rightarrow p, \quad T_{p_i}N \rightarrow \tau \quad \text{and} \quad [p_i - q_i] \rightarrow \lambda.$$

Here the arrows imply the convergence in the respective spaces and  $[v]$  is the complex line generated by  $v$ . Thus  $\tau \in G(r, n)$  ( $r = \dim N$ ) and  $\lambda \in G(1, n) = \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$  where  $G(r, n)$  is the Grassmannian manifold of  $r$ -planes in  $\mathbf{C}^n$ . We say that  $(N, M)$  satisfies *Whitney b-condition* at  $p$  if  $\lambda \in \tau$  for any such sequences. When each pair  $(N, M)$  with  $M \subset \bar{N}$  satisfies the Whitney *b*-condition at any point  $p$

of  $M$ , we call  $S$  a  $b$ -regular Whitney stratification. The following proposition is a direct consequence of the Curve Selection Lemma (§3 of [4] or [1]) and Theorem 17.5 of [10].

PROPOSITION (2.2). *Let  $p_i$  and  $q_i$  be as in (2.1). Then there are analytic curves  $p(t)$  and  $q(t)$  defined on the interval  $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$  ( $\varepsilon > 0$ ) such that*

- (i)  $p(0)=q(0)=p$  and  $p(t) \in N$  for  $t \neq 0$  and  $q(t) \in M$ .
- (ii)  $T_{p(t)}N \rightarrow \tau$  and  $[p(t)-q(t)] \rightarrow \lambda$ .

It is known that the  $b$ -condition for analytic varieties follows from the ratio condition (R) by [2, 9]. There is also a weaker regularity condition which is called *Whitney  $a$ -condition* but this condition results from  $b$ -condition ([3]).

### 3. Non-degenerate hypersurface and primary boundary components.

Let  $f(z) = \sum_y a_y z^y$  be an analytic function of  $n$  variables which is defined in a neighborhood of the origin. The Newton polyhedron  $\Gamma_+(f)$  is the convex hull of the union of  $\{\nu + \mathbf{R}_+^n\}$  for  $\nu$  such that  $a_\nu \neq 0$ . The Newton boundary  $\Gamma(f)$  is the union of the compact faces of the Newton polyhedron. We assume that the Newton boundary  $\Gamma(f)$  is non-degenerate. As we are mainly interested in non-isolated singularities, we also use the notation  $\partial\Gamma_+(f)$  which is the union of the boundaries of  $\Gamma_+(f)$  which are not necessarily compact. The inclusion  $\Gamma(f) \subset \partial\Gamma_+(f)$  is obvious by the definition.

Let  $\Sigma^*$  be a fixed unimodular simplicial subdivision which is compatible with the dual Newton diagrams  $\{\Gamma^*(f)\}$  and let  $\hat{\pi}: X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$  be the associated modification map. See [8] and [5] for the definition. Let  $V_{pr}$  be the closure of  $V^*$  and let  $\tilde{V}$  be the proper transform of  $V_{pr}$  by  $\hat{\pi}$ . Let  $\pi: \tilde{V} \rightarrow V_{pr}$  be the restriction of  $\hat{\pi}$  to  $\tilde{V}$ . For finite vertices  $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$  of  $\Sigma^*$ , we define a subvariety  $E(Q_1, \dots, Q_s)$  of  $\tilde{V}$  by  $E(Q_1) \cap \dots \cap E(Q_s)$  and let  $E(Q_1, \dots, Q_s)^* = E(Q_1, \dots, Q_s) - \bigcup_{P=Q_i} E(P)$  where  $E(P)$  is the divisor of  $\tilde{V}$  which corresponds to  $P$ . Note that  $E(Q_1, \dots, Q_s)^*$  is non-empty only if  $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$  are vertices of an  $(n-1)$ -simplex of  $\Sigma^*$ . The collection of  $E(Q_1, \dots, Q_s)^*$  gives a regular stratification  $\tilde{S}$  of  $\tilde{V}$ . Let  $\sigma = (P_1, \dots, P_n)$ . Then we have

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{V} \cap \mathbf{C}_\sigma^n = \{\mathbf{y}_\sigma \in \mathbf{C}_\sigma^n; f_\sigma(\mathbf{y}_\sigma) = 0\}$$

where  $f_\sigma(\mathbf{y}_\sigma) = f(\hat{\pi}(\mathbf{y}_\sigma)) / \prod_{j=1}^n y_{\sigma_j}^{a_j(\sigma; f)}$ .

THEOREM (3.2).  *$\tilde{V}$  is a smooth complex manifold and  $\pi: \tilde{V} \rightarrow V_{pr}$  is a proper modification of  $V_{pr}$  in the neighborhood of the origin.*

The assertion is well known if the origin is an isolated singular point of  $V_{pr}$ . The general case can be proved similarly. Let  $I$  be a subset of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . We define the coordinate subspace  $\mathbf{C}^I$  and  $\mathbf{C}^{*I}$  by  $\mathbf{C}^I = \{\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n); z_j = 0 \text{ if } j \notin I\}$

$j \notin I$  and  $C^{*I} = \{z \in C^n; z_j = 0 \text{ iff } j \notin I\}$  respectively. For simplicity we usually write  $C^{*n}$  instead of  $C^{*I}$  if  $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$ . We define the *I-proper boundary*  $V_{pr}^{*I}$  of  $V$  in  $C^{*I}$  by  $V_{pr} \cap C^{*I}$ . If  $I$  is empty,  $V_{pr}^{*I} = \{0\}$  by definition. Then we claim:

LEMMA (3.3). *The I-proper boundary  $V_{pr}^{*I}$  of  $V$  is the union of the I-primary boundary components.*

*Proof.* Let  $\pi: \tilde{V} \rightarrow V_{pr}$  be the resolution of  $V_{pr}$  constructed in §3. Let  $\tilde{V}^{*I}$  be the union of the strata  $E(P_1, \dots, P_s)^*$  of the stratification  $\tilde{S}$  of  $\tilde{V}$  such that  $\pi(E(P_1, \dots, P_s)^*) \subset C^{*I}$ . As  $\pi$  is a proper surjective mapping, it is clear that  $\pi(\tilde{V}^{*I}) = V^{*I}$ . Let  $E(P_1, \dots, P_s)^*$  be such a stratum and let  $\sigma = (P_1, \dots, P_n)$  be an  $(n-1)$ -simplex of  $\Sigma^*$ . Let  $P = P_1 + \dots + P_s$ . Then  $P$  is a positive dual vector with  $I(P) = I$ . We may assume that  $I = \{m+1, \dots, n\}$  ( $m \geq s$ ) for simplicity and  $\sigma = (p_{ij})$  has the following form.

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $A$  and  $B$  are unimodular matrixes of  $m \times m$  and  $(n-m) \times (n-m)$  respectively. Then Lemma (3.3) follows from the following.

SUBLEMMA (3.4). *The restriction of  $\pi$  to  $E(P_1, \dots, P_s)^*$  is a submersion onto  $\partial V^*(P)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $y$  be an arbitrary point of  $E(P_1, \dots, P_s)^*$ . Recall that  $E(P_1, \dots, P_s)^*$  is defined by

$$y_{\sigma_1} = \dots = y_{\sigma_s} = h(y_\sigma) = 0$$

where  $h$  is characterized by

$$(3.5) \quad h(y_\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^n y_{\sigma_i}^{a_i} = f_P(\hat{\pi}(y_\sigma)).$$

Note that  $\Delta(P) = \bigcap_{i=1}^s \Delta(P_i)$ . Thus  $h(y_\sigma)$  does not contain the variables  $y_{\sigma_1}, \dots, y_{\sigma_s}$ . Let  $z = \hat{\pi}(y_\sigma)$ . Then we have  $z_I = (y_I)^B$  i. e.,

$$(3.6) \quad z_j = \prod_{i=m+1}^n y_{\sigma_i}^{p_{ji}} \quad (j = m+1, \dots, n).$$

In particular,  $\{z_j\}$  ( $m+1 \leq j \leq n$ ) depend only on  $y_{\sigma_{(m+1), \dots, \sigma_n}}$ . Let  $E^*$  be the subvariety of  $C^{*n}$  defined by  $h(y_\sigma) = 0$ .  $E^*$  is nothing but the product of  $C^{*s} \times E(P_1, \dots, P_s)^*$ . Let  $V^*(P)$  be the subvariety of the base space  $C^{*n}$  which is defined by

$$V^*(P) = \{z \in C^{*n}; f_P(z) = 0\}.$$

It is clear that  $\hat{\pi}: E^* \rightarrow V^*(P)$  is an isomorphism by (3.5). Let  $q_I: V^*(P) \rightarrow \partial V^*(P)$

and  $p: E^* \rightarrow E(P_1, \dots, P_s)^*$  be the canonical projections. We have the commutative diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 E^* & \xrightarrow{\hat{\pi}} & V^*(P) \\
 \downarrow p & & \downarrow q_I \\
 E(P_1, \dots, P_s)^* & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \partial V^*(P)
 \end{array}$$

Let  $\phi$  be the composition  $q \circ \hat{\pi}: E^* \rightarrow \partial V^*(P)$ . By the commutativity of the diagram,  $\phi = \pi \circ p$ . By the assumption PND1 and PND2,  $\phi$  is a submersion. As  $\phi = \pi \circ p$ , this implies that  $\pi: E(P_1, \dots, P_s)^* \rightarrow \partial V^*(P)$  is a submersion. This completes the proofs of Sublemma (3.4) and Lemma (3.3).

*Remark (3.7).* Assume that  $f(z_I)$  is not identically zero. Then  $V^{*I}$  is defined by  $f(z_I)=0$ . In this case,  $f_P(z)=f(z_I)$  and for any  $P$  with  $I(P)=I$ . Thus  $V^{*I}$  itself is the unique  $I$ -primary boundary component. In this case,  $V$  is non-singular on  $V^{*I}$ .

**4. Key Lemma.**

We first consider the following situation. Let  $p(t)=(p_1(t), \dots, p_n(t))$  be an analytic curve defined in the interval  $(-1, 1)$  with the Taylor expansion  $p_i(t)=a_i t^{b_i} + (\text{higher terms})$ . We assume that

- (i)  $f(p(t)) \equiv 0$ ,
- (ii)  $a_j \neq 0$  for each  $j=1, \dots, n$  and  $b_i=0$  if and only if  $i \in I$ .

Let  $B = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ ,  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . Let  $b_{\min} = \text{minimum } \{b_j; j \notin I\}$  and  $J_{\min} = \{j; b_j = b_{\min}\}$ . Let  $q(t)$  be an analytic curve in  $V^{*I}(B)$  with  $q(0) = p(0)$ . We assume that

- (iii)  $T_{p(t)} V^* \rightarrow \tau$  and  $[p(t) - q(t)] \rightarrow \lambda$ .

Then we assert

KEY LEMMA (4.1).  $\lambda$  is contained in  $\tau$ .

*Proof.* It is well-known that the tangent space  $T_z V^*$  is characterized by  $df(z)^\perp = \{v \in T_z \mathbb{C}^n; df(z)(v) = 0\}$ . Let us consider the limit of  $df(p(t))$ . For a real analytic function  $k(t)$ , we define an integer  $ord(k(t))$  by the order of  $k(t)$  at  $t=0$ . Similarly we define the order of a vector-valued analytic function by the minimum of the order of the coordinate functions. Thus  $ord(df(p(t)))$  is the minimum of  $ord(\partial f / \partial z_i(p(t)))$  for  $i=1, \dots, n$ . Let  $m = ord(df(p(t)))$  and let  $\vec{\gamma} = df(p(t))/t^m|_{t=0}$ . By the PND1-(b)-condition,  $m \leq d(B; f)$ . Let  $\vec{\gamma} = \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i dz_i$ . Then we have an obvious equality  $\tau = \vec{\gamma}^\perp$ . Considering the leading term of (i), we obtain  $f_B(\mathbf{a}) = 0$ .

**Case (a).** Assume that  $f_B(\mathbf{z})$  is not essentially of  $\mathbf{z}_I$ -variables. Then  $V^{*I}(B) = \mathbf{C}^{*I}$  by the definition. Then by the PND2-condition, there exists an index  $j$  ( $j \notin I$ ) such that  $\partial f_B / \partial z_j(\mathbf{a}) \neq 0$  if  $\sum_{i \in I} |a_i|^2$  is small enough. Thus we have  $m \leq d(B; f) - b_{\min}$ . Assume that  $m = d(B; f) - b_{\min}$ . Then we must have

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{\partial f_B}{\partial z_j}(\mathbf{a}) = 0 \text{ for } j \notin J_{\min} \cup I \text{ and } \gamma_j = \frac{\partial f_B}{\partial z_j}(\mathbf{a}) \text{ for } j \in J_{\min}.$$

If  $m < d(B; f) - b_{\min}$ , we have that

$$(4.3) \quad \gamma_j = 0 \text{ for } j \in J_{\min} \cup I.$$

Note that  $\gamma_i = 0$  for  $i \in I$  in both cases. This implies that  $\vec{\gamma} | \mathbf{C}^I = 0$ .

Now we consider the line  $[p(t) - q(t)]$ . Let  $k = \text{ord}(p(t) - q(t))$ . As  $q(t) \in \mathbf{C}^{*I}$ , it is easy to see that  $1 \leq k \leq b_{\min}$ . Let  $\vec{\lambda} = (p(t) - q(t)) / t^k |_{t=0}$ . By the definition of  $\lambda$ , we have that  $[\vec{\lambda}] = \lambda$ . If  $k < b_{\min}$ ,  $\vec{\lambda}$  is a vector in  $\mathbf{C}^I$ . In this case, it is clear that  $\vec{\gamma}(\vec{\lambda}) = 0$ . Assume that  $k = b_{\min}$ . Then  $\lambda_j = a_j$  if  $j \in J_{\min}$  and  $\lambda_j = 0$  if  $j \notin J_{\min} \cup I$ . We consider the equality

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\equiv \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(p(t)) \frac{d p_j(t)}{dt} \\ &\equiv \left[ \sum_{j \in I} \frac{\partial f_B}{\partial z_j}(\mathbf{a}) b_j a_j \right] t^{d(B; f) - 1} + (\text{higher terms}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain the equality

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_{j \in I} \frac{\partial f_B}{\partial z_j}(\mathbf{a}) b_j a_j = 0.$$

If  $m < d(B; f) - b_{\min}$ ,  $\vec{\gamma}(\vec{\lambda}) = 0$  is immediate from (4.3). Assume that  $m = d(B; f) - b_{\min}$ . By (4.2) and (4.4), we can see easily that  $\vec{\gamma}(\vec{\lambda}) = 0$ . Here  $\vec{\lambda}$  is identified with the tangent vector  $\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$  at  $p(0)$ .

**Case (b).** Assume that  $f_B(\mathbf{z})$  is essentially of  $\mathbf{z}_I$ -variables. Let  $f_B(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{z}^L f_B^*(\mathbf{z})$  where  $\mathbf{z}^L$  is a monomial in the variables  $\{z_j; j \notin I\}$ . Then  $V^{*I}(B) = \{f_B^*(\mathbf{z}_I) = 0\}$  and  $\text{ord}(f_B(p(t))) = \text{ord}(p(t)^L) = d(B; f)$ . We have two equalities:

$$(4.5) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(p(t)) \frac{d p_j(t)}{dt} \equiv 0 \text{ and } \sum_{i \in I} \frac{\partial f_B^*}{\partial z_i}(q(t)) \frac{d q_i(t)}{dt} \equiv 0.$$

Let  $\beta = \text{ord}(f_B^*(p(t)))$  and  $\delta = \text{ord}(\hat{f}(p(t)))$ . First we assume that PND1-(a)-(ii) holds. As  $f(p(t)) = f_B(p(t)) + \hat{f}(p(t)) \equiv 0$ , we have

$$(4.6) \quad \beta + d(B; f) = \delta \geq d(B; \hat{f})$$

where  $\hat{f}_B(\mathbf{z})$  is the secondary face function of  $f$  with respect to the weight  $B$ . The equality holds if and only if  $\hat{f}_B(\mathbf{a}) \neq 0$ . We consider the equality which follows immediately from (4.5).

$$(4.7) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(p(t)) \frac{d}{dt} [p_j(t) - q_j(t)] + \sum_{i \in I} \left[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_i}(p(t)) - \frac{\partial f_B}{\partial z_i}(p(t)) \right] \frac{dq_i(t)}{dt} + \sum_{i \in I} p(t)^L \left[ \frac{\partial f_B^{\hat{f}}}{\partial z_i}(p(t)) - \frac{\partial f_B^{\hat{f}}}{\partial z_i}(q(t)) \right] \frac{dq_i(t)}{dt} \equiv 0.$$

By the assumption,  $p_j(t) \equiv q_j(t) \pmod{(t^k)}$  for any  $j$ . This implies that  $ord \left[ \frac{\partial f_B^{\hat{f}}}{\partial z_i}(p(t)) - \frac{\partial f_B^{\hat{f}}}{\partial z_i}(q(t)) \right] \geq k$ . Thus the order of the last sum is at least  $d(B; f) + k$ . On the other hand, we have

$$ord \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_i}(p(t)) - \frac{\partial f_B}{\partial z_i}(p(t)) \right) \geq d(B; \hat{f}) \geq d(B; f) + b_{\min} \quad (i \in I)$$

by PND1-(a)-(ii) where  $\hat{f} = f - f_B$ . As  $k \leq b_{\min}$ , the order of the second sum in (4.7) is also at least  $d(B; f) + k$ . The order of the first sum in (4.7) is (at least)  $m + k - 1$ . As  $m \leq d(B; f)$  by the PND1-(b)-condition and  $k \leq b_{\min}$ , the coefficient of  $t^{m+k-1}$  of (4.7) is equal to  $\check{\gamma}(\vec{\lambda})$ . Thus we conclude that  $\check{\gamma}(\vec{\lambda}) = 0$ . Assume (a)-(i):  $d(B; f) = 0$ . We consider the following equality instead of (4.7).

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(p(t)) \frac{d}{dt} [p_j(t) - q_j(t)] + \sum_{i \in I} \left[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_i}(p(t)) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_i}(q(t)) \right] \frac{dq_i(t)}{dt} \equiv 0.$$

Here we have used the equality  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_i}(q(t)) = \frac{\partial f_B}{\partial z_i}(q(t))$ . By the PND1-(b)-condition,  $m = 0$ . Thus by a similar argument, we have  $\check{\gamma}(\vec{\lambda}) = 0$ . Note that  $m = d(B; f)$  if the PND1-(a)-condition is satisfied.

Assume that PND1-(a)-(iii) holds. We may assume that  $d(B; \hat{f}) < d(B; f) + b_{\min}$ . We consider (4.7) again. The order of the last sum is at least  $d(B; f) + k$ . We can write  $f_B^{\hat{f}}(p(t)) = \lambda t^\theta + (\text{higher terms})$  by (4.6) where  $\theta = d(B; \hat{f}) - d(B; f)$ . Note that  $\theta \leq \beta$ . As  $f(p(t)) \equiv 0$ , we have that  $\hat{f}_B(\alpha) + \lambda \alpha^K = 0$ . Thus we have

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(p(t)) = \eta_j t^{a(\hat{f}; \hat{f}) - b_j} + (\text{higher terms}) \quad \text{for } j \notin I$$

where  $\eta_j = \frac{\partial \hat{f}_B}{\partial z_j}(\alpha) + \lambda k_j \alpha^K / a_j = \left( a_j \frac{\partial \hat{f}_B}{\partial z_j}(\alpha) - k_j \hat{f}_B(\alpha) \right) / a_j$ . As  $f_B(\alpha) = 0$ , there exists an index  $j_0 \notin I$  such that  $\eta_{j_0} \neq 0$  by the PND1-(a)-(iii) condition. Thus the order of the first term of (4.7) is at most  $d(B; \hat{f}) - b_{j_0} + k - 1$ . The order of the second term is at least  $d(B; \hat{f})$ . As  $k < b_{\min}$ , we have the inequality:  $d(B; \hat{f}) - b_{j_0} + k - 1 < d(B; \hat{f})$ . By the assumption that  $d(B; \hat{f}) < d(B; f) + b_{\min}$ , we have

also the inequality:  $d(B; \hat{f}) - b_{j_0} + k - 1 < d(B; f) + k$ . Therefore we conclude as before that  $\hat{\gamma}(\hat{\lambda}) = 0$ . This completes the proof of Lemma (4.1).

### 5. Proof of Main Theorem.

In this section, we will prove Main Theorem in §1. Let  $Y$  and  $Z$  be a pair of strata of  $\mathcal{S}$  such that  $\bar{Y} \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ . We assume that  $Y \in \mathcal{S}(J)$  and  $Z \in \mathcal{S}(K)$ . Then we must have  $J \supset K$ . If  $J = K$ , the  $b$ -regularity is obvious as  $V$  is good. Thus we may assume that  $J \neq K$ . If  $Y$  is an open dense stratum in  $\mathbf{C}^{*J}$ , the  $b$ -regularity for  $(Y, Z)$  is again obvious. Thus we assume that  $\bar{Y} \neq \mathbf{C}^J$ . Let  $p(t)$  and  $q(t)$  be real analytic curves defined on  $(-1, 1)$  such that (i)  $p(0) = q(0) \in Z$ . (ii)  $p(t) \in Y$  for  $t > 0$ . (iii)  $q(t) \in Z$  for  $t \geq 0$ . Assume that the tangent space  $T_{p(t)}Y$  converges to  $\tau$  and the line  $[p(t) - q(t)]$  converges to  $\lambda$ .  $Y$  is a non-degenerate hypersurface defined by  $f_P^{\mathbb{C}}(z_j) = 0$  for some  $P$  with  $I(P) = J$ . Assume that  $p_j(t) = a_j t^{b_j} + (\text{higher terms})$  for  $j \in J$ . For brevity's sake, we assume that  $J = \{1, \dots, m\}$ . Let  $B = (b_1, \dots, b_m)$  and  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$ . As  $p(0) = q(0) = \mathbf{a}_I \in Z$ ,  $K = I(P)$ . By looking at the leading terms of the equality  $h(p(t)) \equiv 0$ , we can see that  $\mathbf{a}_K$  belongs to the  $K$ -primary component  $Y^{*K}(B)$ . Let  $R = P + rQ$  for a sufficiently small  $r > 0$ . Then it is an easy linear algebra to see the following.

(i)  $(f_P)_B = f_R$ . (ii) The secondary face function  $\hat{f}_R$  of  $f$  with respect to  $R$  is equal to the secondary face function of  $f_P$  with respect to  $B$ .

Thus the PND-condition for  $f$  implies the PND-condition for  $f_P$ . Now we use Lemma (4.1) to obtain the regularity for the pair  $(Y, Z)$ . This completes the proof of Main Theorem.

*Example (5.1).* Let  $f(z) = (z_1 z_2)^2 (z_3^5 + z_4^5) + (z_3 z_4)^2 (z_1^5 + z_2^5)$ . Then the singular locus of  $V$  is the union of the two dimensional coordinate planes  $\mathbf{C}^I$  for  $|I| = 2$ . Let  $I = \{1, 2\}$ . Then by an easy calculation, we have a proper primary boundary components defined by  $C: z_1^5 + z_2^5 = 0$ .  $C$  consists of five lines, say  $C_1, \dots, C_5$ . Thus  $\mathcal{S}(I) = \{\mathbf{C}^{*I} - C, C_1, \dots, C_5\}$ . The same is true for  $I = \{3, 4\}$ . Thus the stratification of  $V$  consists of the following strata:  $V^*$ ,  $\mathbf{C}^{*I}$  ( $I \neq \{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}$ ),  $\mathbf{C}^{*(1, 2)} - C$ ,  $\mathbf{C}^{*(3, 4)} - D$ ,  $C_i, D_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 5$ ),  $\mathbf{C}^{(j)}$  ( $j = 1, \dots, 4$ ),  $\{0\}$  where  $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^5 D_i = \{z_3^5 + z_4^5 = 0\}$ .

### REFERENCES

- [1] H. HAMM, Lokale topologische Eigenschaften komplexer Räume, Math. Ann., 191 (1971), 235-252.
- [2] J. C. KUO, The ratio test for analytic Whitney stratifications, in Proceedings of Liverpool singularities symposium, Springer Lecture Note, 192 (1971), 141-149.
- [3] J. MATHER, Stratifications and Mappings, in Dynamical Systems, ed. Peixoto (1973), 195-232.



- [ 4 ] J. MILNOR, Singular Points of Complex Hypersurface, Annals Math. Studies, 61, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1968.
- [ 5 ] M. OKA, On the Resolution of Hypersurface Singularities, Advanced Study in Pure Mathematics, 8 (1986), 405-436.
- [ 6 ] M. OKA, Canonical stratification of complete intersection varieties, preprint, 1988.
- [ 7 ] R. THOM, Ensembles et morphismes stratifiés, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 75 (1969), 240-284.
- [ 8 ] A. N. VARCHENKO, Zeta-Function of Monodromy and Newton's Diagram, Inventiones Math., 37 (1976), 253-262.
- [ 9 ] J. P. VERDIER, Stratifications de Whitney et théorème de Bertini-Sard, Inventiones Math, 36 (1976), 295-312.
- [10] H. WHITNEY, Tangents to analytic variety, Ann. Math., 81 (1964), 496-546.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

