

## The Neumann and Dirichlet problems for elliptic operators

By Hisako WATANABE

(Received July 23, 1991)

### 1. Introduction.

Let  $D$  be a bounded  $C^1$ -domain in  $\mathbf{R}^d$ . In [3] E. B. Fabes, M. Jodeit JR. and N. M. Rivière proved that, for every  $f \in L^p(\partial D)$  satisfying  $\int f d\sigma = 0$ , there exists a function  $u$  which is harmonic in  $D$ , and  $\langle \nabla u(X), N_P \rangle$  converges to  $f(P)$  with an exception of a set of surface measure zero as  $X$  tends to  $P$  nontangentially. The corresponding results have been obtained even for a Lipschitz domain  $D$  in the case  $1 < p < 2 + \varepsilon$  (cf. [4], [2]).

On the other hand it is well-known that in  $\mathbf{R}_+^{d+1}$  the Poisson integral of the Bessel potential  $G_\alpha * f$  of each  $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)$  converges not only nontangentially but also tangentially except for a set of an appropriately dimensional Hausdorff measure zero (cf. [1]).

In [7], for a bounded  $C^{1,\alpha}$ -domain  $D$ , we have studied the boundary behavior of the derivatives of solutions for the above Neumann problem, not up to an exception with a set of surface measure zero, but up to an exception with a set of  $\beta$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure zero for  $\beta$  satisfying  $0 < \beta < d - 1$ .

In this paper we will consider the corresponding boundary behaviors of solutions of the Dirichlet and Neumann problems for uniformly elliptic differential operators.

Let  $L$  be a differential operator on  $\mathbf{R}^d$  ( $d \geq 3$ ) defined by

$$(1.1) \quad L = \sum_{j,k=1}^d D_j(a_{jk} D_k),$$

where  $D_j = \partial/\partial x_j$  and  $a_{jk}$  are of class  $C^{1,\alpha}$  with  $a_{jk} = a_{kj}$ . Moreover  $L$  is assumed to be uniformly elliptic. This means that there exists a positive real number  $\lambda > 1$  such that

$$\lambda^{-1} |\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{j,k=1}^d a_{jk}(X) \xi_j \xi_k \leq \lambda |\xi|^2$$

for all  $X, \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d) \in \mathbf{R}^d$ .

Let  $D$  be a bounded  $C^{1,\alpha}$ -domain in  $\mathbf{R}^d$  and  $0 < \beta < d - 1$ . To classify functions defined on  $\partial D$ , we use, as in [7], a countably sublinear functional  $\gamma_\beta$  and

a function space  $\mathcal{L}(\gamma_\beta, C(\partial D))$ , instead of the  $L^p$ -norm and  $L^p(\partial D)$ , respectively.

More precisely, let  $J(\partial D)$  be the class of the extended real-valued functions on  $\partial D$  and define, for  $f \in J(\partial D)$ ,

$$\gamma_\beta(f) := \inf \{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j r_j^\beta; b_j \in \mathbf{R}^+, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j \chi_{A(P_j, r_j)} \geq |f| \text{ on } \partial D \},$$

where  $A(P, r) = B(P, r) \cap \partial D$  and  $B(P, r)$  stands for the open ball in  $\mathbf{R}^d$  with center  $P$  and radius  $r$ .

The functional  $\gamma_\beta$  is countably sublinear, i. e., it is a mapping from  $J(\partial D)$  to  $\mathbf{R}^+ \cup \{+\infty\}$  with the following properties:

- (i)  $\gamma_\beta(f) = \gamma_\beta(|f|)$ ,
- (ii)  $\gamma_\beta(bf) = b\gamma_\beta(f)$  for each  $b \in \mathbf{R}^+$ ,
- (iii)  $f, f_n \geq 0, f \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \Rightarrow \gamma_\beta(f) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_\beta(f_n)$ .

To simplify the notations, we use  $\gamma_\beta(E)$  instead of  $\gamma_\beta(\chi_E)$  for a subset  $E$  of  $\partial D$ . A subset  $E$  of  $\partial D$  is called  $\gamma_\beta$ -polar if  $\gamma_\beta(E) = 0$ . We have shown in [7] that, a Borel set  $E$  is  $\gamma_\beta$ -polar if and only if it is of  $\beta$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure zero.

We say that a property holds  $\gamma_\beta$ -q. e. on  $\partial D$  if it holds on  $\partial D$  except for a  $\gamma_\beta$ -polar set. Note that, if  $\gamma_\beta(f) < +\infty$ , then  $|f| < +\infty$   $\gamma_\beta$ -q. e. on  $\partial D$ .

Let us denote by  $\mathcal{L}(\gamma_\beta, C(\partial D))$  the class of all Borel measurable functions  $f$  such that  $\gamma_\beta(f - f_n) \rightarrow 0$  for some sequence  $\{f_n\} \subset C(\partial D)$ , where  $C(\partial D)$  stands for the class of all continuous real-valued functions on  $\partial D$ .

Furthermore we denote by  $L(\gamma_\beta, C(\partial D))$  the family of the equivalent classes relative to the equivalent relation defined by  $f = g$   $\gamma_\beta$ -q. e. on  $\partial D$ . The space  $L(\gamma_\beta, C(\partial D))$  is a Banach space with norm  $\|f\| = \gamma_\beta(f)$  and it enables us to use the method of layer potentials.

Let  $0 < \eta < 1$ . The approach region at  $P$  is a nontangential region defined by

$$\Gamma_\eta(P) := \{X \in D; \langle P - X, N_P \rangle > \eta |X - P|\},$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the inner product and  $N_P$  is the unit outer normal to the boundary at  $P$ .

Using the countably sublinear functional  $\gamma_\beta$ , we can estimate the nontangential maximal functions of 'double layer potentials' and the gradients of 'single layer potentials' by the same method as in the  $L^p$  theory, without technical skills.

In §6 the following Neumann problem with boundary data  $\mathcal{L}(\gamma_\beta, C(\partial D))$  will be proved.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $D$  be a bounded  $C^{1,\alpha}$ -domain in  $\mathbf{R}^d$ . Furthermore, assume that  $0 < \beta < d - 1$  and  $0 < \eta < 1$ . Then for each function  $f \in$*

$\mathcal{L}(\gamma_\beta, C(\partial D))$  such that  $\int f d\sigma = 0$  there exists a function  $u$  in  $D$  and a subset  $E$  of  $\partial D$  having the following properties:

(i)  $E$  is a set of  $\beta$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure zero,

(ii)  $Lu = 0$  in  $D$ .

(iii)  $\lim_{X \rightarrow P, X \in \Gamma_\eta(P)} \langle A(P)N_P, \nabla u(X) \rangle = f(P)$  for every  $P \in \partial D \setminus E$ ,

where  $A(P)$  stands for the matrix  $(a_{jk}(P))$ .

In §7 the following Dirichlet problem with boundary data  $\mathcal{L}(\gamma_\beta, C(\partial D))$  will be proved.

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $D$  be a bounded  $C^{1,\alpha}$ -domain in  $\mathbf{R}^d$  such that  $\mathbf{R}^d \setminus \bar{D}$  is connected. Furthermore, assume that  $0 < \beta < d - 1$  and  $0 < \eta < 1$ . Then for each function  $f \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma_\beta, C(\partial D))$  there exists a function  $v$  in  $D$  and a subset  $E$  of  $\partial D$  having the following properties:

(i)  $E$  is a set of  $\beta$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure zero,

(ii)  $Lv = 0$  in  $D$ ,

(iii)  $\lim_{X \rightarrow P, X \in \Gamma_\eta(P)} v(X) = f(P)$  for every  $P \in \partial D \setminus E$ .

We note that, if  $\lambda > d - 1 - \beta > 0$ , then  $\mathcal{L}(\gamma_\beta, C(\partial D))$  contains all functions of the form:

$$P \longmapsto \int |P - Q|^{\lambda + 1 - d} g(Q) d\sigma(Q)$$

for  $g \in L^1(\partial D)$  (cf. [7]). Furthermore if  $0 < \alpha < d < \alpha + \beta$  and  $G_\alpha$  be the Bessel kernel with order  $\alpha$ , then the restriction of  $G_\alpha * f$  to  $\partial D$  belongs to  $\mathcal{L}(\gamma_\beta, C(\partial D))$  for every  $f \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$  (cf. [8]).

## 2. The fundamental solution.

In this paper, let  $D$  be a bounded  $C^{1,\alpha}$ -domain for  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Recall that a domain  $D$  in  $\mathbf{R}^d$  is called a  $C^{1,\alpha}$ -domain if to each point  $Q \in \partial D$  there correspond a system of coordinates of  $\mathbf{R}^d$  with origin  $Q$  and an open ball  $B(Q, \rho)$  with center  $Q$  and radius  $\rho$  such that with respect to this coordinate system

$$D \cap B(Q, \rho) = \{(x, t); x \in \mathbf{R}^{d-1}, t > \phi(x)\} \cap B(Q, \rho),$$

where  $\phi \in C_0^{1,\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^{d-1})$  and  $\phi(0) = D_j \phi(0) = 0$ . Note that  $C_0^{1,\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^{d-1})$  stands for the space of all functions  $g$  in  $C_0^1(\mathbf{R}^{d-1})$  with compact support satisfying

$$|D_j g(x) - D_j g(y)| \leq M |x - y|^\alpha$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^{d-1}$  and  $1 \leq j \leq d - 1$ .

We take a sufficient large number  $R$  such that  $B(0, R) \supset \bar{D}$ . To find a

fundamental solution of the uniformly elliptic operator  $L$  defined by (1.1), we consider the differential operator

$$(2.1) \quad L_0 = L - b,$$

where  $b$  is a nonnegative function of class  $C^{1,\alpha}$  such that

$$b = 0 \text{ on } B(0, 2R), \quad b = 1 \text{ on } \mathbf{R}^d \setminus B(0, 3R) \text{ and } 0 \leq b \leq 1.$$

Denote by  $A(X)$  the matrix  $(a_{jk}(X))$ , by  $A^{-1}(X) = (a^{jk}(X))$  the inverse matrix of  $A(X)$  and by  $\det A(X)$  the determinant of  $A(X)$ . The following function  $H$  defined on  $\mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^d$  is fundamental:

$$H(X, Y) := (d-2)^{-1} \omega_d^{-1} (\det A(Y))^{-1/2} \langle A^{-1}(Y)(X-Y), X-Y \rangle^{(2-d)/2}.$$

The following theorem is well-known (cf. [5, Theorem 20.1]).

**THEOREM A.** *Let  $L_0$  be the differential operator defined by (2.1). Then  $L_0$  has the fundamental solution  $F$  in  $\mathbf{R}^d$  with the following properties:*

(a)  $F$  is continuous outside of the diagonal set  $\{(X, X); X \in \mathbf{R}^d\}$ , together with first and second derivatives,

$$(b) \quad |F(X, Y) - H(X, Y)| \leq c |X - Y|^{\alpha+2-d},$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial(F-H)}{\partial x_j}(X, Y) \right| \leq c |X - Y|^{\alpha+1-d} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{\partial^2(F-H)}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}(X, Y) \right| \leq c |X - Y|^{\alpha-d}$$

for all  $X, Y \in B(0, 3R)$ .

(c) For each  $Y \in \mathbf{R}^d$

$$L_0 F(\cdot, Y) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbf{R}^d \setminus \{Y\}.$$

### 3. The operators $K$ and $K^*$ .

We begin with the following lemma.

**LEMMA A** ([7, Lemma 2.6]). *If  $0 < \beta < d-1$ , then*

$$\gamma_\beta(M_\sigma f) \leq c \gamma_\beta(f) \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma_\beta, C(\partial D)),$$

where

$$M_\sigma f(P) = \sup \left\{ r^{1-d} \int_{A(P, r)} |f| d\sigma; r > 0 \right\}.$$

Now, let us define, for a Borel function  $f \in J(\partial D)$  and  $P \in \partial D$ ,

$$Kf(P) = - \int \langle A(Q)N_Q, \nabla_Q F(Q, P) \rangle f(Q) d\sigma(Q)$$

and

$$K^*f(P) = -\int \langle A(P)N_P, \nabla_P F(P, Q) \rangle f(Q) d\sigma(Q)$$

if they are well-defined, and  $Kf(P)=0$ ,  $K^*f(P)=0$  otherwise.

The operator  $K^*$  has the following properties.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $p > 1$  and  $0 < \beta < d - 1$ . Then*

- (a)  $|K^*f| \leq cM_\sigma f$  for all Borel measurable functions  $f$  in  $L^1(\sigma)$ ,
- (b)  $K^*$  is a compact operator on  $L^p(\sigma)$ ,
- (c)  $K^*$  is a compact operator on  $L(\gamma_\beta, C(\partial D))$ .

PROOF. (a): Note that

$$(3.1) \quad -\langle A(P)N_P, \nabla_P F(P, Q) \rangle = \langle (A(Q) - A(P))N_P, \nabla_P F(P, Q) \rangle \\ + \langle (A(Q)N_P, \nabla_P (H(P, Q) - F(P, Q))) \rangle \\ - \langle (A(Q)N_P, \nabla_P H(P, Q)) \rangle.$$

Since  $a_{jk}$  are of class  $C^{1,\alpha}$ , it follows from Theorem A that the absolute values of the first and second terms on the right-hand side of (3.1) are dominated by  $c_1|P-Q|^{\alpha+1-d}$ . Noting that

$$\langle A(Q)N_P, \nabla_P H(P, Q) \rangle \\ = \omega_d^{-1}(\det A(Q))^{-1/2} \langle A^{-1}(Q)(P-Q), P-Q \rangle^{-d/2} \langle N_P, Q-P \rangle$$

and, both of  $A(Q)$  and  $A^{-1}(Q)$  are uniformly elliptic and that  $D$  is a  $C^{1,\alpha}$ -domain, we see that the absolute value of the last term is also dominated by  $c_2|P-Q|^{\alpha+1-d}$ . Therefore we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad |K^*f(P)| \leq c_3 \int |P-Q|^{\alpha+1-d} |f(Q)| d\sigma(Q) \leq c_4 M_\sigma f(P),$$

which shows (a).

(b) and (c): By virtue of (3.2) and Lemma A we see that

$$\|K^*f\|_p \leq c_5 \|f\|_p \quad \text{for all } f \in L^p(\sigma)$$

and

$$\gamma_\beta(K^*f) \leq c_6 \gamma_\beta(f) \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma_\beta, C(\partial D)).$$

Moreover the function  $(P, Q) \rightarrow \langle A(P)N_P, \nabla_P F(P, Q) \rangle$  is continuous at  $(P_0, Q_0)$  if  $P_0 \neq Q_0$ , and  $|\langle A(P)N_P, \nabla_P F(P, Q) \rangle|$  tends to  $+\infty$  as  $Q \rightarrow P$ . Therefore, by the same methods as in Theorem 2 in [7], we can prove that  $K^*$  is a compact operator on  $L^p(\sigma)$  and  $L(\gamma_\beta, C(\partial D))$ . □

LEMMA 3.2. *Let  $p > 1$  and  $0 < \beta < d - 1$ . Then*

- (a)  $|Kf| \leq cM_\sigma f$  for all Borel measurable functions  $f$  in  $L^1(\sigma)$ ,

- (b)  $K$  is a compact operator on  $L^p(\sigma)$ ,  
 (c)  $K$  is a compact operator on  $L(\gamma_\beta, C(\partial D))$ .

PROOF. From Lemma 3.1 and

$$\begin{aligned} & -\langle A(Q)N_Q, \nabla_Q F(Q, P) \rangle \\ &= \langle (A(P) - A(Q))N_Q, \nabla_Q F(Q, P) \rangle + \langle A(P)(N_P - N_Q), \nabla_Q F(Q, P) \rangle \\ & -\langle A(P)N_P, \nabla_Q F(Q, P) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} (3.3) \quad |Kf(P)| &\leq c_1 \left\{ \int |P-Q|^{\alpha+1-d} |f(Q)| d\sigma(Q) + |K^*f(P)| \right\} \\ &\leq c_2 \int |P-Q|^{\alpha+1-d} |f(Q)| d\sigma(Q), \end{aligned}$$

which leads to (a). One can prove (b) and (c) by the same method as in Lemma 3.1.  $\square$

#### 4. Single layer potentials.

Let us define the single layer potential  $u_f$  for a Borel measurable function  $f \in L^1(\sigma)$  by

$$u_f(X) = - \int F(X, Q) f(Q) d\sigma(Q)$$

if it is well-defined, and by  $u_f(X) = 0$  if otherwise. Moreover, set

$$\Phi_f(X, P) := \langle A(P)N_P, \nabla_X u_f(X) \rangle = - \int \langle A(P)N_P, \nabla_X F(X, Q) \rangle f(Q) d\sigma(Q),$$

$$\Phi_{f, \delta}^*(P) := \sup \{ |\Phi_f(X, P)| ; X \in \Gamma_\eta(P), |X-P| < \delta \}$$

and

$$\Phi_{f, \delta}^{**}(P) := \sup \{ |\Phi_f(X, P)| ; X \in \Gamma_\eta^c(P), |X-P| < \delta \}$$

where

$$\Gamma_\eta^c(P) := \{ X \in \mathbf{R}^d \setminus D ; \langle X-P, N_P \rangle > \eta |X-P| \}.$$

LEMMA 4.1. Assume that  $p > 1$ ,  $0 < \beta < d-1$  and  $0 < \eta < 1$ . Then there exist positive real numbers  $c, \delta$  with the following properties:

- (a)  $\Phi_{f, \delta}^* \leq cM_\sigma f(P)$  and  $\Phi_{f, \delta}^{**} \leq cM_\sigma f(P)$  for every Borel measurable function  $f$  in  $L^1(\sigma)$ .  
 (b)  $\|\Phi_{f, \delta}^*\|_p \leq c\|f\|_p$  and  $\|\Phi_{f, \delta}^{**}\|_p \leq \|f\|_p$  for every  $f \in L^p(\sigma)$ ,  
 (c)  $\gamma_\beta(\Phi_{f, \delta}^*) \leq c\gamma_\beta(f)$  and  $\gamma_\beta(\Phi_{f, \delta}^{**}) \leq c\gamma_\beta(f)$  for every  $f \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma_\beta, C(\partial D))$ .

PROOF. Recall that

$$F(X, Q) = H(X, Q) + G(X, Q),$$

where  $H$  is the function defined in § 2 and

$$|\nabla_x G(X, Q)| \leq c_1 |X - Q|^{\alpha+1-d}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & -\langle A(P)N_P, \nabla_x H(X, Q) \rangle \\ &= \langle (A(Q) - A(P))N_P, \nabla_x H(X, Q) \rangle + \langle A(Q)(N_Q - N_P), \nabla_x H(X, Q) \rangle \\ & -\langle A(Q)N_Q, \nabla_x H(X, Q) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |-\langle A(P)N_P, \nabla_x H(X, Q) \rangle| \\ & \leq c_2 \{ |P - Q|^\alpha |X - Q|^{1-d} + |X - Q|^{-d} |\langle X - Q, N_Q \rangle| \}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that  $\phi \in C_0^1(\mathbf{R}^{d-1})$ ,  $|\nabla \phi| \leq \eta/6$ ,

$$\partial D \cap B(P, r) = \{(z, \phi(z)); z \in \mathbf{R}^{d-1}\} \cap B(P, r).$$

If  $X = (x, t) \in \Gamma_\eta(P) \cap B(P, r)$  and  $P = (y, \phi(y))$ , then  $t - \phi(y) > (5\eta/6)|x - y|$ . Therefore, if  $Q = (z, \phi(z)) \in \partial D$  and  $3|x - y| \geq |y - z|$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |X - Q| & \geq |t - \phi(z)| \geq t - \phi(y) - |\phi(y) - \phi(z)| \\ & \geq (5\eta/6)|x - y| - (\eta/6)|y - z| \geq (\eta/9)|y - z| \geq (\eta/18)|P - Q|. \end{aligned}$$

By the same method as in the proof of Theorem 1.3 in [3] we can choose positive real numbers  $\delta, c_4, c_6$ , independent of  $f$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup \left\{ \int |X - Q|^{-d} |\langle X - Q, N_Q \rangle| |f(Q)| d\sigma(Q); X \in \Gamma_\eta(P), |X - P| < \delta \right\} \\ & \leq c_3 \left\{ M_\sigma f(P) + \int |P - Q|^{\alpha+1-d} |f(Q)| d\sigma(Q) \right\} \leq c_4 M_\sigma f(P) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup \left\{ \int (|X - Q|^{\alpha+1-d} + |P - Q|^\alpha |X - Q|^{1-d}) |f(Q)| d\sigma(Q); X \in \Gamma_\eta(P), |X - P| < \delta \right\} \\ & \leq c_5 \int |P - Q|^{\alpha+1-d} |f(Q)| d\sigma(Q) \leq c_6 M_\sigma f(P). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have the estimate of  $\Phi_{f,\delta}^*$ . Similarly the estimate of  $\Phi_{f,\delta}^{**}$  is also obtained.

The estimates of (b) are easy consequences of (a). The estimates of (c) are deduced from (a) and Lemma A. □

Using Green's formula, we can easily show the following properties of  $H$ .

LEMMA 4.2.

(a) For  $X \in D$

$$\int \langle A(X)N_Q, \nabla_Q H(Q, X) \rangle d\sigma(Q) = -1,$$

(b) For  $X \in B(0, R) \setminus \bar{D}$

$$\int \langle A(X)N_Q, \nabla_Q H(Q, X) \rangle d\sigma(Q) = 0,$$

(c) For  $P \in \partial D$

$$\int \langle A(P)N_Q, \nabla_Q H(Q, P) \rangle d\sigma(Q) = -1/2.$$

LEMMA 4.3. Let  $P \in \partial D$ . Then

$$(4.1) \quad \lim_{X \rightarrow P, X \in \Gamma_\eta(P)} \Phi_1(X, P) = K^*(1) - 1/2$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad \lim_{X \rightarrow P, X \in \Gamma_\eta^c(P)} \Phi_1(X, P) = K^*(1) + 1/2.$$

PROOF. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & -\langle A(P)N_P, \nabla_X F(X, Q) \rangle \\ &= -\langle (A(P) - A(Q))N_P, \nabla_X F(X, Q) \rangle - \langle A(Q)(N_P - N_Q), \nabla_X F(X, Q) \rangle \\ & \quad - \langle A(Q)N_Q, \nabla_X (F(X, Q) - H(X, Q)) \rangle \\ & \quad - \{ \langle A(Q)N_Q, \nabla_X H(X, Q) \rangle + \langle A(X)N_Q, \nabla_Q H(Q, X) \rangle \} \\ & \quad + \langle A(X)N_Q, \nabla_Q H(Q, X) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The absolute value of each term, except for the last term, on the right-hand side is dominated by  $c|X - Q|^{\alpha+1-d}$  and the integral of the last term over  $\partial D$  takes the value  $-1$  by Lemma 4.2. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{X \rightarrow P, X \in \Gamma_\eta(P)} \Phi_1(X, P) \\ &= - \int \langle (A(P) - A(Q))N_P, \nabla_P F(P, Q) \rangle d\sigma(Q) \\ & \quad - \int \langle A(Q)(N_P - N_Q), \nabla_P F(P, Q) \rangle d\sigma(Q) \\ & \quad - \int \langle A(Q)N_Q, \nabla_P (F(P, Q) - H(P, Q)) \rangle d\sigma(Q) \\ & \quad - \int \{ \langle A(Q)N_Q, \nabla_P H(P, Q) \rangle + \langle A(P)N_Q, \nabla_Q H(Q, P) \rangle \} d\sigma(Q) - 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= -\int \langle A(P)N_P, \nabla_P F(P, Q) \rangle d\sigma(Q) - \int \langle A(P)N_Q, \nabla_Q H(Q, P) \rangle d\sigma(Q) - 1 \\
 &= K^*(1) - 1/2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly the relation (4.2) is also obtained.

LEMMA 4.4. *Let  $0 < \beta < d - 1$ ,  $0 < \eta < 1$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma_\beta, C(\partial D))$ , then there exists a  $\gamma_\beta$ -polar set  $E$  such that*

$$(4.3) \quad \lim_{X \rightarrow P, X \in \Gamma_{\eta(P)}} \Phi_f(X, P) = (K^* - (1/2)I)f(P)$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad \lim_{X \rightarrow P, X \in \Gamma_{\eta^c(P)}} \Phi_f(X, P) = (K^* + (1/2)I)f(P)$$

for every  $P \in \partial D \setminus E$ .

PROOF. Let  $\delta$  be a positive real number satisfying (a) and (c) in Lemma 4.1. For  $f \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma_\beta, C(\partial D))$  and a positive real number  $b$  we put

$$E_{f,b} = \{P \in \partial D; \Phi_{f,\delta}^*(P) > b\}.$$

By the aid of Lemma 4.1 we have

$$\gamma_\beta(E_{f,b}) \leq b^{-1} \gamma_\beta(\Phi_{f,\delta}^*) \leq cb^{-1} \gamma_\beta(f).$$

Epecially, let  $f$  be a function of  $C^1$  class on  $\partial D$ . From Lemma 4.3 we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\lim_{X \rightarrow P, X \in \Gamma_{\eta(P)}} \Phi_f(X, P) \\
 &= -\lim_{X \rightarrow P, X \in \Gamma_{\eta(P)}} \int \langle A(P)N_P, \nabla_X F(X, Q) \rangle (f(Q) - f(P)) d\sigma(Q) \\
 &\quad + \lim_{X \rightarrow P, X \in \Gamma_{\eta(P)}} f(P) \Phi_1(X, P) \\
 &= -\int \langle A(P)N_P, \nabla_P F(P, Q) \rangle (f(Q) - f(P)) d\sigma(Q) + K^*(1)f(P) - (1/2)f(P) \\
 &= K^*f(P) - (1/2)f(P).
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand the space  $C^1(\partial D)$  is uniformly dense in  $C(\partial D)$  and hence it is dense in  $L(\gamma_\beta, C(\partial D))$ . Therefore Theorem A in [7], which is a generalized Fatou type theorem with respect to a countably linear functional, leads to (4.3). Similarly one can also show (4.4). □

LEMMA 4.5. *Let  $p > 1$  and  $0 < \eta < 1$ . Then for every  $f \in L^p(\sigma)$  there exists a set  $E \subset \partial D$  such that  $\sigma(E) = 0$  and, (4.3) and (4.4) hold for every  $P \in \partial D \setminus E$ .*

PROOF. The operator  $K^*$  is bounded in  $L^p(\sigma)$  and (4.3) holds for every  $f \in C^1(\partial D)$ . On account of (b) in Lemma 4.1 we conclude that (4.3) holds at every point  $P \in \partial D$  except for a set of surface measure 0. □

### 5. Double layer potentials.

In this section we prepare some lemmas corresponding to Lemmas in §4 to solve the Dirichlet problem. Let us define, for Borel measurable function  $f$  in  $L^1(\sigma)$ , the double layer potential  $\Psi_f$  defined by

$$\Psi_f(X) := - \int \langle A(Q)N_Q, \nabla_Q F(Q, X) \rangle f(Q) d\sigma(Q)$$

at  $X \in \mathbf{R}^d \setminus \partial D$ . We also define, for  $P \in \partial D$ ,

$$\Psi_{f, \delta}^*(P) := \sup \{ |\Psi_f(X)| ; X \in \Gamma_\eta(P), |X-P| \leq \delta \},$$

$$\Psi_{f, \delta}^{**}(P) := \sup \{ |\Psi_f(X)| ; X \in \Gamma_\eta^e(P), |X-P| \leq \delta \}.$$

Then we have the corresponding lemma to Lemma 4.1.

LEMMA 5.1. *Assume that  $p > 1$ ,  $0 < \beta < d-1$  and  $0 < \eta < 1$ . Then there exist positive real numbers  $c, \delta$  having the following properties:*

$$(a) \quad \Psi_{f, \delta}^*(P) \leq cM_\sigma f(P), \quad \Psi_{f, \delta}^{**}(P) \leq cM_\sigma f(P)$$

for every Borel measurable function  $f$  in  $L^1(\sigma)$  and for every  $P \in \partial D$ ,

$$(b) \quad \|\Psi_{f, \delta}^*\|_p \leq c\|f\|_p \quad \text{and} \quad \|\Psi_{f, \delta}^{**}\|_p \leq c\|f\|_p \quad \text{for every } f \in L^p(\sigma),$$

$$(c) \quad \gamma_\beta(\Psi_{f, \delta}^*) \leq c\gamma_\beta(f) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_\beta(\Psi_{f, \delta}^{**}) \leq c\gamma_\beta(f).$$

PROOF. Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} & -\langle A(Q)N_Q, \nabla_Q F(Q, X) \rangle \\ &= \langle (A(X) - A(Q))N_Q, \nabla_Q F(Q, X) \rangle - \langle A(X)N_Q, \nabla_Q (F(Q, X) - H(Q, X)) \rangle \\ & \quad - \langle A(X)N_Q, \nabla_Q H(Q, X) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |\Psi_f(X)| \\ & \leq c_1 \left\{ \int |X-Q|^{\alpha+1-d} |f(Q)| d\sigma(Q) + \int |X-Q|^{-d} |\langle X-Q, N_Q \rangle| |f(Q)| d\sigma(Q) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By the same method as in the proof of Lemma 4.1 we have

$$\Psi_{f, \delta}^*(P) \leq c_2 M_\sigma f(P).$$

Similarly we have also the estimate of  $\Psi_{f, \delta}^{**}$ . The estimates of (b) and (c) follow from (a) and Lemma A.  $\square$

The following lemma can be proved by the same method as in Lemma 4.4.

LEMMA 5.2. *Let  $0 < \beta < d-1$  and  $0 < \eta < 1$ . Then for every  $f \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma_\beta, C(\partial D))$*

there exists a  $\gamma_\beta$ -polar set  $E$  such that

$$(5.1) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow P, x \in \Gamma_{\eta}(P)} \phi_f(X) = (K + (1/2)I)f(P)$$

and

$$(5.2) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow P, x \in \Gamma_{\eta}^e(P)} \phi_f(X) = (K - (1/2)I)f(P)$$

for each  $P \in \partial D \setminus E$ .

Using (b) of Lemma 5.1, we can prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 5.3. *Let  $p > 1$  and  $0 < \eta < 1$ . Then for each  $f \in L^p(\sigma)$  there exists a subset of  $\partial D$  such that  $\sigma(E) = 0$  and (5.1), (5.2) hold at every point  $P \in \partial D \setminus E$ .*

### 6. The Neumann problem.

Before the proof of Theorem 1 we prepare a lemma.

LEMMA 6.1. *Let  $p > 1$  and set*

$$S_p := \left\{ f \in L^p(\sigma); \int f d\sigma = 0 \right\}.$$

Then  $K^* - (1/2)I$  is invertible on  $S_p$ .

PROOF. Let  $f \in S_p$ . Noting that

$$|K^*f(P)| \leq c \int |P - Q|^{\alpha+1-d} |f(Q)| d\sigma(Q),$$

we see that  $K^*f$  is continuous or it belongs to  $L^s(\sigma)$  for the positive real number  $s$  such that  $1/p - \alpha/(d-1) = 1/s$ . By repeating this, we conclude that  $f$  belongs to  $L^t(\sigma)$  for every  $t > 1$ .

Let  $(K^* - (1/2)I)f = 0$ . Set

$$u(X) = - \int F(X, Q) f(Q) d\sigma(Q).$$

Then  $u$  is continuous everywhere. On account of the uniform ellipticity and Lemma 4.5 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_D |\nabla u(X)|^2 dX &\leq \lambda \int_D \sum_{j,k=1}^d a_{jk}(X) \frac{\partial u(X)}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u(X)}{\partial x_k} dX \\ &= \lambda \int_{\partial D} (K^* - (1/2)I)f(Q) u(Q) d\sigma(Q) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $u$  is a constant  $c$  on  $D$  and hence on  $\bar{D}$ . Assume that  $c \geq 0$ . Noting that  $L_0 u = 0$  in  $\mathbf{R}^d \setminus \bar{D}$  and  $\lim_{|X| \rightarrow \infty} u(X) = 0$ , we see by the maximum principle that  $u$  takes the maximum at every point  $P \in \partial D$ . Therefore, as  $X$  converges to  $P$  along the nontangential region  $\Gamma_\eta^e(P)$ , the function:  $X \rightarrow \langle A(P)N_P, \nabla u(X) \rangle$

is nonnegative. But this is equal to  $(K^*+(1/2))f=f$   $\sigma$ -a. e., whence  $f$  is nonnegative  $\sigma$ -a. e. on  $\partial D$ . Noting that  $\int f d\sigma=0$ , we see that  $f=0$   $\sigma$ -a. e.. Similarly we can also show that  $f=0$   $\sigma$ -a. e. in the case  $c<0$ . Thus we see that the operator  $K^*-(1/2)I$  is injective on the closed subspace  $S_p$  of  $L^p(\sigma)$ . Since  $K^*$  is compact on  $S_p$  by Lemma 3.1,  $K^*-(1/2)I$  is invertible on  $S_p$ .

LEMMA 6.2. Set

$$S_\beta := \left\{ f \in L(\gamma_\beta, C(\partial D)); \int f d\sigma = 0 \right\}.$$

Then  $K^*-(1/2)I$  is invertible on  $S_\beta$ .

PROOF. Let  $f$  be a function in  $\mathcal{L}(\gamma_\beta, C(\partial D))$  such that  $\int f d\sigma=0$  and  $(K^*-(1/2)I)f=0$   $\gamma_{\beta-q}$ -e. e.. Noting that  $f \in L^p(\sigma)$  for  $p=(d-1)/\beta$ , we see by Lemma 6.1 that  $K^*f=(1/2)f$   $\sigma$ -a. e. and hence  $f=0$   $\sigma$ -a. e.. Therefore  $K^*f=0$  and hence  $f=0$   $\gamma_{\beta-q}$ -e. e.. Thus  $K^*-(1/2)I$  is injective on the closed subspace  $S_\beta$  of  $L(\gamma_\beta, C(\partial D))$ . Since  $K^*$  is compact on  $S_\beta$  by Lemma 3.1,  $K^*-(1/2)I$  is invertible on  $S_\beta$ .  $\square$

Next, we prove Theorem 1.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Let  $f$  be a function in  $\mathcal{L}(\gamma_\beta, C(\partial D))$  such that  $\int f d\sigma=0$ . By the aid of Lemma 6.2 we can choose a function  $g \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma_\beta, C(\partial D))$  such that

$$(K^*-(1/2)I)g = f \quad \gamma_{\beta-q}\text{-e. e.}$$

By Lemma 4.4 we see that the single layer potential  $u_g$  of  $g$  is the desired function.  $\square$

Similarly, using Lemmas 4.5 and 6.1 we can prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 3. Let  $0<\alpha<1$  and  $D$  be a bounded  $C^{1,\alpha}$ -domain in  $\mathbf{R}^d$ . Furthermore, assume that  $p>1$  and  $0<\eta<1$ . Then for each function  $f \in L^p(\sigma)$  satisfying  $\int f d\sigma=0$  there exists a function  $u$  in  $D$  and a subset  $E$  of  $\partial D$  having the following properties:

- (i)  $\sigma(E)=0$ ,
- (ii)  $Lu=0$  in  $D$ ,
- (iii)  $\lim_{X \rightarrow P, X \in \Gamma_\eta(P)} \langle A(P)N_P, \nabla u(X) \rangle = f(P)$  for every  $P \in \partial D \setminus E$ .

**7. The Dirichlet problem.**

Let  $L$  be the differential operator in §1. Let us find, for  $f \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma_\beta, C(\partial D))$ , a function  $v$  defined on  $D$  such that  $Lv=0$  on  $D$  and  $v$  converges nontangentially to  $f$   $\gamma_\beta$ -q. e. on  $\partial D$ .

We begin with the following lemma.

LEMMA 7.1. *Assume that  $\mathbf{R}^d \setminus \bar{D}$  is connected. Then the operator  $K^*+(1/2)I$  is injective on  $L^q(\sigma)$  for every  $q>1$  and  $K+(1/2)I$  is also injective on  $L^p(\sigma)$  for every  $p>1$ .*

PROOF. Suppose that  $(K^*+(1/2)I)f=0$   $\sigma$ -a. e. for  $f \in L^q(\sigma)$ . Set

$$u(X) := - \int F(X, Q) f(Q) d\sigma(Q).$$

As in the proof of Lemma 6.1 we see that  $u$  is continuous everywhere. Noting that

$$\langle A(P)N_P, \nabla u(X) \rangle = - \int \langle A(P)N_P, \nabla_Q F(Q, P) \rangle d\sigma(Q),$$

we deduce from Lemma 4.5

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d \setminus \bar{D}} |\nabla u(X)|^2 dX &\leq \lambda \int_{\mathbf{R}^d \setminus \bar{D}} \sum_{j,k=1}^d a_{jk}(X) \frac{\partial u(X)}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u(X)}{\partial x_k} dX \\ &= \lambda \int_{\partial D} (K^*+(1/2)I)f(Q)u(Q) d\sigma(Q) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $u$  is constant on  $\mathbf{R}^d \setminus \bar{D}$ . Since  $\lim_{|X| \rightarrow \infty} u(X)=0$ , we see that  $u=0$  on  $\mathbf{R}^d \setminus \bar{D}$  and hence  $u=0$  on  $\partial D$ . By the aid of the maximum principle  $u$  is also equal to 0 on  $D$ . Noting that

$$(K^*-(1/2)I)f(P) = \lim_{X \rightarrow P, X \in \Gamma_\gamma(P)} \langle A(P)N_P, \nabla u(X) \rangle = 0 \quad \sigma\text{-a. e.}$$

and  $(K^*+(1/2)I)f(P)=0$   $\sigma$ -a. e., we conclude that  $f=0$   $\sigma$ -a. e. on  $\partial D$  and hence  $K^*+(1/2)I$  is injective on  $L^q(\sigma)$ .

Let  $p$  be the positive real number such that  $1/p+1/q=1$ . Since  $K$  (resp.  $K^*$ ) is compact on  $L^p(\sigma)$  (resp.  $L^q(\sigma)$ ) and  $K^*+(1/2)I$  is an adjoint operator of  $K+(1/2)I$ , the operator  $K+(1/2)I$  is also injective on  $L^p(\sigma)$ . □

We have also the following lemma in the space  $L(\gamma_\beta, C(\partial D))$ .

LEMMA 7.2. *Let  $0<\beta<d-1$  and assume that  $\mathbf{R}^d \setminus \bar{D}$  is connected. Then  $K+(1/2)I$  is invertible on  $L(\gamma_\beta, C(\partial D))$ .*

PROOF. It suffices to show that  $K+(1/2)I$  is injective on  $L(\gamma_\beta, C(\partial D))$  because it is a compact operator by Lemma 3.1. Assume that  $(K+(1/2)I)f=0$

$\gamma_\beta$ -q. e. on  $\partial D$ . Since  $f \in L^p(\sigma)$  for  $p=(d-1)/\beta$ , we see by Lemma 7.1 that  $f=0$   $\sigma$ -a. e. and hence  $Kf=0$  on  $\partial D$ . Therefore it must be concluded that  $f=0$   $\gamma_\beta$ -q. e. on  $\partial D$ .  $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Let  $f$  be a function in  $\mathcal{L}(\gamma_\beta, C(\partial D))$ . Using Lemma 7.1, we can choose a function  $g \in \mathcal{L}(\gamma_\beta, C(\partial D))$  such that  $(K+(1/2)I)g=f$   $\gamma_\beta$ -q. e. on  $\partial D$ . By the aid of Lemma 5.2 we see that the function  $\Psi_g$  defined in §5 is the desired function.  $\square$

### References

- [1] P. Ahern and A. Nagel, Strong  $L^p$  estimates for maximal functions with respect to singular measures: with applications to exceptional sets, *Duke Math. J.*, **53** (1986), 359-393.
- [2] B.E.J. Dahlberg and C.E. Kenig, Hardy spaces and the Neumann problem in  $L^p$  for Laplace's equation in Lipschitz domains, *Ann. Math.*, **125** (1987), 437-465.
- [3] E.B. Fabes, M. Jodeit JR. and N.M. Rivière, Potential techniques for boundary value problems on  $C^1$ -domains, *Acta Math.*, **141** (1978), 165-186.
- [4] D.S. Jerison and C.E. Kenig, The Neumann problem on Lipschitz domains, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **4** (1981), 203-207.
- [5] C. Miranda, *Partial differential equations of elliptic type*, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York-Tokyo, 1970.
- [6] H. Watanabe, Countably sublinear functionals and Hausdorff measures, *Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena*, **39** (1991), 447-456.
- [7] H. Watanabe, The Neumann problem and Hausdorff measures, *Proceedings of the International Conference of Potential Theory*, Nagoya 1990, 345-356.
- [8] H. Watanabe, Boundary behavior of harmonic functions in Lipschitz and  $C^1$ -domains, *Natur. Sci. Rep. Ochanomizu Univ.*, **42** (1991), 1-13.

Hisako WATANABE  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Ochanomizu University  
 Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112  
 Japan