

## Homological coalgebra

By Yukio DOI

(Received Dec. 13, 1978)

(Revised March 5, 1979)

The purpose of this paper is to give an introduction to the homological theory of comodules over coalgebras and Hopf algebras. Section 1 is a self-contained exposition of basic concepts such as cotensor product, injective comodules and change of coalgebras. Some results analogous to the results (Cline-Parshall-Scott [2], Hochschild [5]) on rational modules over affine algebraic groups are proved. Section 2 deals with the representation theory of co-Frobenius coalgebras and coseparable coalgebras. We reproduce in this section some of Lin's results [7] and Larson's results [6], partly with simplified proof. Section 3 deals with the cohomology theory of coalgebras.

Throughout this paper, the field  $k$  is fixed. Vector spaces over  $k$  are called  $k$ -spaces, and linear maps between  $k$ -spaces are called  $k$ -maps. We freely use the terminology and results of Sweedler [9].

### § 1. Coalgebras and comodules.

A coalgebra over  $k$  is a  $k$ -space  $C$  together with  $k$ -maps  $\Delta: C \rightarrow C \otimes C$  and  $\varepsilon: C \rightarrow k$  such that  $(I \otimes \Delta)\Delta = (\Delta \otimes I)\Delta$  and  $(I \otimes \varepsilon)\Delta = (\varepsilon \otimes I)\Delta = I$ . If  $C$  is a coalgebra, a left  $C$ -comodule is a  $k$ -space  $M$  together with a  $k$ -map  $\rho_M: M \rightarrow C \otimes M$  such that  $(I \otimes \rho_M)\rho_M = (\Delta \otimes I)\rho_M$  and  $(\varepsilon \otimes I)\rho_M = I$ . If  $M$  and  $N$  are left  $C$ -comodules, a comodule map from  $M$  to  $N$  is a  $k$ -map  $f: M \rightarrow N$  such that  $(I \otimes f)\rho_M = \rho_N f$ . The  $k$ -space of all comodule maps from  $M$  to  $N$  is denoted by  $\text{Com}_C(M, N)$  and the category of left  $C$ -comodules is denoted by  ${}^C\mathbf{M}$ . Similarly, we define  $\mathbf{M}^C$ , the category of right  $C$ -comodules.

#### 1.1. Cotensor products and injective comodules.

If  $M$  is a right  $C$ -comodule and  $N$  is a left  $C$ -comodule, the *cotensor product*  $M \square_C N$  is the kernel of the  $k$ -map

$$\rho_M \otimes I - I \otimes \rho_N: M \otimes N \rightarrow M \otimes C \otimes N.$$

Given comodule maps  $f: M \rightarrow M'$  and  $g: N \rightarrow N'$ , the  $k$ -map  $f \otimes g: M \otimes N \rightarrow M' \otimes N'$  induces a  $k$ -map

$$f \square_C g : M \square_C N \rightarrow M' \square_C N'.$$

It is clear that  $-\square_C-$  is an additive covariant bifunctor from  $\mathbf{M}^c \times {}^c\mathbf{M}$  to  $\mathbf{M}_k$ , the category of  $k$ -spaces, and is left exact. The mapping  $m \otimes c \rightarrow \varepsilon(c)m$  and  $c \otimes n \rightarrow \varepsilon(c)n$  yield natural isomorphism  $M \square_C C \cong M$  and  $C \square_C N \cong N$ . We shall usually identify these isomorphic  $k$ -spaces.

Let  $C$  and  $D$  be two coalgebras. Suppose that  $M$  in addition to being a left  $C$ -comodule with structure map  $\rho^- : M \rightarrow C \otimes M$ , is also a right  $D$ -comodule with structure map  $\rho^+ : M \rightarrow M \otimes D$  and that  $(I \otimes \rho^+) \rho^- = (\rho^- \otimes I) \rho^+$ . We then say that  $M$  is a  $(C, D)$ -bicomodule. If  $N$  is a left  $D$ -comodule then the map

$$\rho^- \otimes I : M \otimes N \rightarrow C \otimes M \otimes N$$

gives a left  $C$ -comodule structure to  $M \otimes N$  and  $M \square_D N$  is a  $C$ -subcomodule of  $M \otimes N$ . Similarly if  $L$  is a right  $C$ -comodule then  $L \square_C M$  becomes a right  $D$ -comodule. With the structure described above we have the associativity of cotensor product :

$$(L \square_C M) \square_D N \cong L \square_C (M \square_D N).$$

If  $N$  is a left  $C$ -comodule which is finite dimensional as a  $k$ -space then the dual space  $N^*$  is a right  $C$ -comodule with structure map

$$N^* \rightarrow \text{Hom}(N, C) \cong N^* \otimes C, \quad n^* \rightarrow (I \otimes n^*) \rho_N.$$

If  $M$  is a right  $C$ -comodule we have canonically

$$M \square_C N \cong \text{Com}_C(N^*, M).$$

Since every comodule is the union of its finite dimensional subcomodules, this implies that the functor  $M \square_C -$  from  ${}^c\mathbf{M}$  to  $\mathbf{M}_k$  is exact if and only if so is the functor  $\text{Com}_C(-, M)$  from  $\mathbf{M}^c$  to  $\mathbf{M}_k$  (cf. Takeuchi [11]). A right  $C$ -comodule  $M$  is called *injective* (or *C-injective*) if the functor  $\text{Com}_C(-, M)$  is exact, and is called *projective* (or *C-projective*) if the functor  $\text{Com}_C(M, -)$  is exact.

By the flatness of injective comodules and the associativity of cotensor products we have :

PROPOSITION 1. *Let  $L$  be a right  $C$ -comodule and  $M$  be a  $(C, D)$ -bicomodule. If  $L$  is  $C$ -injective and  $M$  is  $D$ -injective then  $L \square_C M$  is  $D$ -injective.*

We use the opposite coalgebra  $C^{op}$  to convert a left (or right)  $C$ -comodule  $V$  into a right (or left)  $C^{op}$ -comodule. Every  $(C, D)$ -bicomodule  $M$  becomes a left  $C \otimes D^{op}$ -comodule. Similarly  $M$  may be regarded as a left  $D^{op} \otimes C$ -comodule, a right  $C^{op} \otimes D$ -comodule and a right  $D \otimes C^{op}$ -comodule.

Let  $C, D$  and  $E$  be coalgebras. For a  $(D^{op}, C)$ -bicomodule  $L$ , a  $(C, E)$ -bicomodule  $M$  and a  $(E, D^{op})$ -bicomodule  $N$ , we have a natural isomorphism

$$(L \square_C M) \square_{D \otimes E} N \cong L \square_{C \otimes D} (M \square_E N).$$

PROPOSITION 2. (1) *Let  $L$  be a  $(D^{\text{op}}, C)$ -bicomodule. If  $L$  is injective as a right  $C \otimes D$ -comodule then it is injective as a right  $D$ -comodule.*

(2) *Let  $L$  be a right  $D$ -comodule and  $M$  be a right  $E$ -comodule. If  $L$  is  $D$ -injective and  $M$  is  $E$ -injective then  $M \otimes N$  is injective as a right  $D \otimes E$ -comodule.*

PROOF. (1) Setting  $M=C$  and  $E=k$  in the above isomorphism, yields the isomorphism for every left  $D$ -comodule  $N$ ,

$$L \square_D N \cong L \square_{C \otimes D} (C \otimes N).$$

This shows that the functor  $L \square_D -$  is exact.

(2) Setting  $C=k$ , yields the isomorphism for every left  $D \otimes E$ -comodule  $N$ ,

$$(L \otimes M) \square_{D \otimes E} N \cong L \square_D (M \square_E N).$$

This shows that the functor  $(L \otimes M) \square_{D \otimes E} -$  is exact.

Q. E. D.

Let  $W$  be a right  $C$ -comodule. For every  $k$ -space  $X$ ,  $X \otimes W$  is a right  $C$ -comodule with structure map

$$I \otimes \rho_W : X \otimes W \rightarrow X \otimes W \otimes C,$$

which we denote  $(X) \otimes W$ .  $(X) \otimes W$  is a direct sum of copies of  $W$ . The next well-known result is fundamental.

PROPOSITION 3. *Let  $V$  be a right  $C$ -comodule and  $X$  be a  $k$ -space. Then the map*

$$\phi : \text{Com}_C(V, (X) \otimes C) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V, X)$$

*given by  $\phi(F) = (I \otimes \varepsilon)F$  for each  $F \in \text{Com}_C(V, (X) \otimes C)$  is a  $k$ -isomorphism. The inverse  $\psi$  of  $\phi$  is given by  $\psi(f) = (f \otimes I)\rho_V$  for each  $f \in \text{Hom}(V, X)$ .*

PROOF. Straightforward.

A right  $C$ -comodule  $M$  is called *free* if there exists a  $k$ -space  $X$  such that  $M \cong (X) \otimes C$  as right  $C$ -comodules.

COROLLARY 1. *Every free comodule is injective.*

Note that an injective comodule need not be free. For example, take  $C = C_1 \oplus C_2$ , the direct sum of coalgebras  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ . Then  $C_1$  is clearly not free, but is injective as a  $C$ -comodule. In [11], Takeuchi showed that if  $C$  is cocommutative and irreducible then every injective comodule is free.

COROLLARY 2. *Every comodule can be embedded in a free comodule.*

PROOF. For every right  $C$ -comodule  $M$ , its structure map  $\rho_M$  is a  $C$ -comodule map from  $M$  to  $(M) \otimes C$ . Since  $(I \otimes \varepsilon)\rho_M = I$ ,  $\rho_M$  is a monomorphism.

Q. E. D.

We note that a  $C$ -comodule  $V$  is injective if and only if it is a direct summand of a free  $C$ -comodule.

If  $C$  is a coalgebra, then  $C^* = \text{Hom}(C, k)$  is an algebra, with multiplication defined by  $\alpha\beta = (\alpha \otimes \beta)\Delta : C \rightarrow k$ , where  $\alpha, \beta \in C^*$ . If  $V$  is a right  $C$ -comodule,

then defining  $c^* \rightarrow v = (I \otimes c^*)\rho_V(v)$  for  $c^* \in C^*$ ,  $v \in V$ , makes  $V$  into a left  $C^*$ -module. In a similar fashion, left  $C$ -comodules have a right  $C^*$ -module structure. For right  $C$ -comodules  $M, N$  we have

$$\text{Com}_C(M, N) = \text{Mod}_{C^*}(M, N).$$

Thus  $\mathbf{M}^C$  may be regarded as a full subcategory of the category of left  $C^*$ -modules. It follows that if a right  $C$ -comodule  $M$  is injective (resp. projective) as a left  $C^*$ -module then it is injective (resp. projective) as a right  $C$ -comodule.

**PROPOSITION 4.** *Let  $M$  be a finite dimensional right  $C$ -comodule. Then  $M$  is injective (resp. projective) as a left  $C^*$ -module if and only if it is injective (resp. projective) as a right  $C$ -comodule.*

**PROOF.** We need to show the "if" part. Suppose that  $M$  is  $C$ -injective. Then the map

$$0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{\rho} (M) \otimes C \cong C \oplus \cdots \oplus C \quad (\text{finite times})$$

splits as right  $C$ -comodules, and so as left  $C^*$ -modules. Taking the dual, the map

$$C^* \oplus \cdots \oplus C^* \longrightarrow M^* \longrightarrow 0$$

splits as right  $C^*$ -modules. This means that  $M^*$  is projective as a right  $C^*$ -module. Therefore  $M = M^{**}$  is injective as a left  $C^*$ -module.

Next we show that if  $M$  is  $C$ -projective then it is projective as a left  $C^*$ -module. Since  $M^*$  is injective as a left  $C$ -comodule, it follows from the above that  $M^*$  is injective as a right  $C^*$ -module. Therefore  $M = M^{**}$  is projective as a right  $C^*$ -module. This completes the proof.

## 1.2. Change of coalgebras.

We shall consider two coalgebras  $C$  and  $D$ , and a coalgebra map  $\pi: C \rightarrow D$ . Every right  $C$ -comodule  $V$  may be treated as a right  $D$ -comodule with structure map

$$(\pi \otimes I)\rho: V \longrightarrow V \otimes C \longrightarrow V \otimes D,$$

which we denote  $V_\pi$ . Similarly for left comodules. In particular  $C$  itself may be regarded as a left or a right  $D$ -comodule. Regarding  $C$  as a  $(D, C)$ -bicomodule, we form the right  $C$ -comodule

$$W^\pi = W \square_D C, \text{ where } W \text{ is a right } D\text{-comodule,}$$

which we call the *induced comodule* for  $W$ .

**PROPOSITION 5.** *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *The functor  $-\square_D C$  from  $\mathbf{M}^D$  to  $\mathbf{M}^C$  is exact.*
- (ii)  *$C$  is injective as a left  $D$ -comodule.*

(iii) Every injective left  $C$ -comodule is injective as a left  $D$ -comodule.

PROOF. The equivalence of (i) and (ii) has already been proved in 1.1. (ii) implies (iii) by Proposition 1, and (iii) implies (ii) since  $C$  is an injective left  $C$ -comodule. Q. E. D.

The next result is a generalization of Proposition 3.

PROPOSITION 6. Let  $V$  be a right  $C$ -comodule and  $W$  be a right  $D$ -comodule. Then the map

$$\phi : \text{Com}_C(V, W^\pi) \longrightarrow \text{Com}_D(V_\pi, W)$$

given by  $\phi(F) = (I \square \pi)F$ , for each  $F \in \text{Com}_C(V, W^\pi)$ , is a  $k$ -isomorphism. The inverse  $\psi$  of  $\phi$  is given by  $\psi(f) = (f \otimes I)\rho_V$  for each  $f \in \text{Com}_D(V_\pi, W)$ .

PROOF. For  $F \in \text{Com}_C(V, W^\pi)$ , the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} V & \xrightarrow{F} & W \square_D C & \xrightarrow{I \square \pi} & W \square_D D & \cong & W \\ \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow I \otimes \Delta & & \downarrow I \otimes \Delta & & \downarrow \rho \\ V \otimes C & \xrightarrow{F \otimes I} & W \square_D C \otimes C & & & & \\ \downarrow I \otimes \pi & & \downarrow I \square I \otimes \pi & & \downarrow I \otimes \Delta & & \\ V \otimes D & \xrightarrow{F \otimes I} & W \square_D C \otimes D & \xrightarrow{I \square \pi \otimes I} & W \square_D D \otimes D & \cong & W \otimes D. \end{array}$$

This implies that  $\phi(F) \in \text{Com}_D(V_\pi, W)$ .

Next we show that  $\psi(f) \in \text{Com}_C(V, W^\pi)$  for  $f \in \text{Com}_D(V_\pi, W)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho_W \otimes I)\psi(f) &= (\rho_W f \otimes I)\rho_V = ((f \otimes I)(I \otimes \pi)\rho_V \otimes I)\rho_V \\ &= (f \otimes I \otimes I)(I \otimes \pi \otimes I)(I \otimes \Delta)\rho_V \\ &= (I \otimes (\pi \otimes I)\Delta)(f \otimes I)\rho_V = (I \otimes (\pi \otimes I)\Delta)\psi(f). \end{aligned}$$

This concludes that the image of the map  $\psi(f)$  is contained in  $W \square_D C$ . So  $\psi(f)$  is clearly a  $C$ -comodule map from  $V$  into  $W^\pi$ . It is easily checked that  $\psi\phi = I$  and  $\phi\psi = I$ . Q. E. D.

COROLLARY. If a right  $D$ -comodule  $W$  is injective then  $W^\pi$  is injective as a right  $C$ -comodule.

A right  $C$ -comodule  $V$  is said to be  $\pi$ -injective if for every exact sequence of  $C$ -comodules

$$0 \longrightarrow M' \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M'' \longrightarrow 0$$

which splits as  $D$ -comodules, the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Com}_C(M'', V) \longrightarrow \text{Com}_C(M, V) \longrightarrow \text{Com}_C(M', V) \longrightarrow 0$$

is also exact. Proposition 6 shows that  $W^\pi$  is  $\pi$ -injective for every right  $D$ -comodule  $W$ . Let  $V=V_1\oplus V_2$ , where  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are subcomodules of  $V$ . Then  $V$  is  $\pi$ -injective if and only if  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are  $\pi$ -injective.

For every right  $C$ -comodule  $V$ , the structure map  $\rho$  may be regarded as a  $C$ -comodule map from  $V$  into  $(V_\pi)^\pi=V\Box_D C$ . The composition

$$V \xrightarrow{\rho} V\Box_D C \xrightarrow{I\Box\pi} V\Box_D D=V$$

is the identity, which shows that  $V$  may be treated as a direct summand of  $(V\Box_D C)_\pi$  as a  $D$ -comodule, since  $I\Box\pi$  is a  $D$ -comodule map. This observation leads us to the following result.

**PROPOSITION 7.** *The following statements concerning a right  $C$ -comodule  $V$  are equivalent:*

- (i)  $V$  is  $\pi$ -injective.
- (ii) Every exact sequence of  $C$ -comodules

$$0 \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0$$

which splits as  $D$ -comodules, also splits as  $C$ -comodules.

- (iii) There exists a  $C$ -comodule map  $g: (V_\pi)^\pi \rightarrow V$  such that  $\rho g=I$ , that is,  $V$  is a direct summand of  $(V_\pi)^\pi$  as a  $C$ -comodule.

**PROPOSITION 8.** *Let  $V$  be a right  $C$ -comodule. If  $V$  is  $\pi$ -injective and  $D$ -injective, then it is  $C$ -injective.*

**PROOF.** By Corollary of Proposition 6,  $V\Box_D C$  is  $C$ -injective. Since  $V$  is  $\pi$ -injective,  $V$  is a direct summand of  $V\Box_D C$  as a  $C$ -comodule. Therefore  $V$  is  $C$ -injective. Q. E. D.

### 1.3. Comodules over Hopf algebras.

A Hopf algebra over  $k$  is a  $k$ -space  $H$  together with  $k$ -maps  $\Delta: H \rightarrow H \otimes H$ ,  $\varepsilon: H \rightarrow k$ ,  $m: H \otimes H \rightarrow H$ ,  $u: k \rightarrow H$  and  $S: H \rightarrow H$  such that  $(H, \Delta, \varepsilon)$  is a coalgebra over  $k$ ,  $(H, m, u)$  is an algebra over  $k$ ,  $m$  and  $u$  are coalgebra maps and  $m(I \otimes S)\Delta = u\varepsilon = m(S \otimes I)\Delta$ . The map  $S$  is called the *antipode* of the Hopf algebra. Let  $V_i$  ( $i=1, 2$ ) be right  $H$ -comodules with the structure map  $\rho_i: V_i \rightarrow V_i \otimes H$  ( $i=1, 2$ ). Then the composition

$$\rho = (I \otimes I \otimes m)(I \otimes t \otimes I)(\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2): V_1 \otimes V_2 \rightarrow V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes H$$

gives  $V_1 \otimes V_2$  the structure of a right  $H$ -comodule, where  $t$  denotes the twist map, which we call the *tensor product comodule* of  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ .

Now we shall consider two Hopf algebras  $H$  and  $L$ , and a Hopf algebra map  $\pi: H \rightarrow L$  (i. e.  $\pi$  is both a coalgebra map and algebra map, and  $\pi S_L = S_H \pi$ ). Using the fact that the antipode is an anti-coalgebra map and an anti-algebra

map, we have the next result.

PROPOSITION 9. *Let  $V$  be a right  $H$ -comodule and  $W$  be a right  $L$ -comodule. Then the map*

$$\phi: V \otimes W^\pi \rightarrow (V_\pi \otimes W)^\pi$$

given by  $\phi(\sum v \otimes w \otimes h) = \sum v_{(0)} \otimes w \otimes v_{(1)} h$  (we write  $\rho_V(v) = \sum v_{(0)} \otimes v_{(1)}$ ) is an isomorphism of  $H$ -comodules. The inverse  $\psi$  of  $\phi$  is given by  $\psi(\sum v \otimes w \otimes h) = \sum v_{(0)} \otimes w \otimes S(v_{(1)})h$ .

Taking  $L = k$ ,  $\pi = \varepsilon_H$  and  $W = k$ , we have:

COROLLARY 1. *Let  $V$  be a right  $H$ -comodule. Then there exists an isomorphism*

$$V \otimes H \cong (V) \otimes H$$

as  $H$ -comodules.

COROLLARY 2. *Let  $V$  be a right  $H$ -comodule and  $W$  be an injective right  $H$ -comodule. Then the tensor product comodule  $V \otimes W$  is  $H$ -injective.*

PROOF. Since  $W$  is injective,  $W$  is a direct summand of  $(W_\varepsilon)^\varepsilon = (W) \otimes H$ . Hence  $V \otimes W$  is a direct summand of  $V \otimes (W_\varepsilon)^\varepsilon$ . By the above Proposition,  $V \otimes (W_\varepsilon)^\varepsilon \cong (V_\varepsilon \otimes W_\varepsilon)^\varepsilon$ , and this implies that  $V \otimes W$  is  $H$ -injective. Q. E. D.

An algebra map  $\omega: L \rightarrow H$  is called a (right) *cross-section* of  $\pi: H \rightarrow L$  if it is a right  $L$ -comodule map, that is,  $(I \otimes \pi)\Delta_H \omega = (\omega \otimes I)\Delta_L$ . Assume that there exists a cross-section. Then, defining  $h \leftarrow l = h\omega(l)$  for  $h \in H$ ,  $l \in L$ ,  $H$  makes into a right  $L$ -module. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} (I \otimes \pi)\Delta(h \leftarrow l) &= (I \otimes \pi)\Delta(h) \cdot (I \otimes \pi)\Delta(\omega(l)) \\ &= (\sum h_{(1)} \otimes \pi(h_{(2)})) \cdot (\sum \omega(l_{(1)}) \otimes l_{(2)}) \\ &= \sum h_{(1)} \leftarrow l_{(1)} \otimes \pi(h_{(2)})l_{(2)}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $H$  is a Hopf module. So we can apply the structure Theorem of Hopf modules (Sweedler [9], p. 84) to obtain an isomorphism of  $H$  to  $(H') \otimes L$  as  $L$ -comodules, where  $H' = \{h \in H \mid (I \otimes \pi)\Delta(h) = h \otimes 1\}$ . Thus we have proved:

PROPOSITION 10. *Let  $\pi: H \rightarrow L$  be a Hopf algebra map. If there exists a right cross-section of  $\pi$ , then  $H$  is free as a right  $L$ -comodule.*

## §2. A bilinear form for coalgebras.

### 2.1. Co-Frobenius coalgebras.

We shall consider a coalgebra  $C$  and a bilinear form  $b: C \times C \rightarrow k$ . Then  $b$  induces two  $k$ -maps  $\tau: C \otimes C \rightarrow k$  and  $\theta: C \rightarrow C^*$  by setting  $\tau(c \otimes d) = b(c, d)$  and  $\theta(d)(c) = b(c, d)$ , for  $c, d \in C$ . The next Lemma is clear.

LEMMA 1. *In the above situation, the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\sum c_{(1)}b(c_{(2)}, d) = \sum b(c, d_{(1)})d_{(2)}$ , for all  $c, d \in C$ .
- (ii)  $b(c \leftarrow c^*, d) = b(c, c^* \rightarrow d)$ , for all  $c, d \in C, c^* \in C^*$ .
- (iii)  $(I \otimes \tau)(\Delta \otimes I) = (\tau \otimes I)(I \otimes \Delta)$ .
- (iv)  $\theta: C \rightarrow C^*$  is a left  $C^*$ -module map.

A bilinear form  $b: C \times C \rightarrow k$  is called  $C$ -balanced if the above conditions hold.

LEMMA 2. Let  $b: C \times C \rightarrow k$  be a  $C$ -balanced bilinear form and  $X$  be a subspace of  $C$ . Then we have:

- (1) If  $X$  is a left coideal (i. e.  $\Delta(X) \subset C \otimes X$ ), then  $X^\perp = \{d \in C \mid b(x, d) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in X\}$  is a right coideal.
- (2) If  $X$  is a right coideal of  $C$ , then  ${}^\perp X = \{c \in C \mid b(c, x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in X\}$  is a left coideal.

PROOF. Let  $X$  be a left coideal. Note that  $\Delta(X) \subset C \otimes X$  and  $X \leftarrow C^* \subset X$  are equivalent. Now we have

$$b(X, C^* \rightarrow X^\perp) = b(X \leftarrow C^*, X^\perp) \subset b(X, X^\perp) = 0.$$

Hence  $C^* \rightarrow X^\perp \subset X^\perp$ , and so  $X^\perp$  is a right coideal. This completes the proof of (1). In the similar way we have the proof of (2). Q. E. D.

A bilinear form  $b: C \times C \rightarrow k$  is called *left non-degenerated* if  $C^\perp = \{0\}$ , equivalently  $\theta: C \rightarrow C^*$  is injective. A coalgebra  $C$  is called *left co-Frobenius* if there exists a bilinear form  $b: C \times C \rightarrow k$  which is left non-degenerated and  $C$ -balanced, i. e. if there exists a *left  $C^*$ -monomorphism* from  $C$  to  $C^*$ . We note that if a coalgebra  $C$  is co-semi-simple then it is left (and right) co-Frobenius. For we let  $C = \bigoplus_\lambda C_\lambda$ , where  $C_\lambda$  are simple subcoalgebras of  $C$ . Since  $A_\lambda = C_\lambda^*$  is a simple algebra, we have  $A_\lambda \cong A_\lambda^*$  as left  $A_\lambda$ -modules. Hence we have  $C_\lambda \cong C_\lambda^*$  as left  $C_\lambda^*$ -modules, and so as left  $C^*$ -modules. Thus we have

$$C = \bigoplus_\lambda C_\lambda \cong \bigoplus_\lambda C_\lambda^* \hookrightarrow \prod_\lambda C_\lambda^* = C^*$$

as left  $C^*$ -modules.

THEOREM 1 (I-p. Lin). Let  $C$  be a left co-Frobenius coalgebra. Then we have:

- (1) An injective cover of every finite dimensional right  $C$ -comodule is finite dimensional.
- (2) Every injective right  $C$ -comodule is  $C$ -projective.

PROOF. (1) Let  $M$  be a finite dimensional right  $C$ -comodule and let  $\sigma(M) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n S_i$  be the socle of  $M$  (i. e. the sum of all simple right  $C$ -subcomodules of  $M$ ). For the notion of socles and injective covers, we refer to Green [4]. It is easy to see that an injective cover  $J(M)$  of  $M$  is isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n J(S_i)$ , where  $J(S_i)$  denotes an injective cover of  $S_i$ . Therefore in order to prove (1) it suffices to prove that  $J(S)$  is finite dimensional for each simple right  $C$ -sub-



comodules  $S$  of  $M$ . We may assume that  $S$  is a minimal right coideal of  $C$  and  $J(S) \subset C$ . Let  $x$  be a non-zero element in  $S$ . Then we have  $S = C^* \rightarrow x$ . Since  $C$  is left co-Frobenius, there exists an element  $c$  in  $C$  such that  $b(c, x) \neq 0$ . We claim that  $(c \leftarrow C^*)^\perp \cap S = \{0\}$ . Suppose that there exists a non-zero element  $y$  in  $S$  such that  $y$  lies in  $(c \leftarrow C^*)^\perp$ . Since  $S = C^* \rightarrow x = C^* \rightarrow y$  there exists an element  $c^*$  in  $C^*$  such that  $c^* \rightarrow y = x$ . Then

$$b(c \leftarrow c^*, y) = b(c, c^* \rightarrow y) = b(c, x) \neq 0.$$

But  $y \in (c \leftarrow C^*)^\perp$  implies  $b(c \leftarrow c^*, y) = 0$ . This is a contradiction.

Since  $c \leftarrow C^*$  is a left coideal,  $(c \leftarrow C^*)^\perp$  is a right coideal, by Lemma 2. It follows that  $(c \leftarrow C^*)^\perp \cap J(S) = \{0\}$ . In generally, if  $X$  is a finite dimensional subspace of  $C$ ,  $X^\perp$  is cofinite dimensional since  $X^\perp$  is the kernel of the map  $C \rightarrow X^*$  defined by  $c \rightarrow \theta(c)|X$ . Thus we have that  $(c \leftarrow C^*)^\perp$  is cofinite dimensional. It follows that  $J(S)$  is finite dimensional. Thus (1) is proved.

(2) Let  $V$  be an injective right  $C$ -comodule and let  $\sigma(V) = \bigoplus_\lambda S_\lambda$  be the socle of  $V$ . Then we have  $V \cong \bigoplus_\lambda J(S_\lambda)$ . Since  $J(S_\lambda)$  is finite dimensional it follows from Proposition 4 that  $J(S_\lambda)$  is an injective left  $C^*$ -module. The embedding

$$J(S_\lambda) \subset C \xrightarrow{\theta} C^*$$

yields that  $J(S_\lambda)$  is a direct summand of  $C^*$  as a left  $C^*$ -module. Therefore  $J(S_\lambda)$  is a projective left  $C^*$ -module, and so is  $V$ . Thus  $V$  is a projective right  $C$ -comodule. This completes the proof.

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $C$  is a left co-Frobenius coalgebra then  $C$  is projective as a right  $C$ -comodule.*

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $C$  be a left co-Frobenius coalgebra. Then the category of left  $C$ -comodules has enough projectives.*

**PROOF.** We have to show that for each left  $C$ -comodule  $N$  there exists an epimorphism  $P \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$  with  $P$  projective. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $N$  is finite dimensional. Then we consider a monomorphism of finite dimensional right  $C$ -comodules  $0 \rightarrow N^* \rightarrow J(N^*)$ . Taking the dual, we have an epimorphism of left  $C$ -comodules  $J(N^*)^* \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ . Q. E. D.

## 2.2. Integrals.

An *augmented coalgebra* is a coalgebra  $C$  together with a coalgebra map  $u: k \rightarrow C$ . Clearly  $u(1)$  is a grouplike element of  $C$ . Using  $u: k \rightarrow C$  we may convert any  $k$ -space  $X$  into a left (or right)  $C$ -comodule  ${}_u X$  (or  $X_u$ ) by setting  $\rho(x) = u(1) \otimes x$  (or  $\rho(x) = x \otimes u(1)$ ). In particular  $k$  has a left (or right)  $C$ -comodule structure. Every Hopf algebra  $H$  may be regarded as an augmented coalgebra with unit map  $u: k \rightarrow H$ .

$x \in C^*$  is called a *left integral* if  $x$  is a left  $C$ -comodule map from  $C$  to  $k$ , i. e.  $\sum c_{(1)} \langle x, c_{(2)} \rangle = \langle x, c \rangle u(1)$  for all  $c \in C$ . We note that  $x \in C^*$  is a left integral if and only if  $c^* \cdot x = \langle c^*, u(1) \rangle x$  for all  $c^* \in C^*$ . An augmented coalgebra need not have a non-zero integral. However, if  $C$  is left co-Frobenius then  $C$  has a non-zero left integral. In fact, it is easily checked that  $b(-, u(1)) = \theta(u(1))$  is a non-zero left integral.

PROPOSITION 11. *Let  $C$  be an augmented coalgebra. If  $C$  is finite dimensional and left co-Frobenius then the  $k$ -space of left integrals is one dimensional.*

PROOF. We have that  $C \cong C^*$  as right  $C$ -comodules. Therefore

$$\text{Com}_C(C, k) \cong C^* \square_C k \cong C \square_C k \cong k. \quad \text{Q. E. D.}$$

LEMMA 3. *Let  $H$  be a Hopf algebra. If  $J$  is a non-zero right ideal and a right coideal, then  $J$  is equal to  $H$ .*

PROOF. If  $\varepsilon(J) = \{0\}$  then for all  $h \in J$ ,  $h = \sum \varepsilon(h_{(1)}) h_{(2)} = 0$  (since  $\Delta(J) \subset J \otimes H$ ). Hence we must have  $\varepsilon(J) \neq \{0\}$ . Thus there exists an element  $h$  in  $J$  such that  $\varepsilon(h) = 1$ . Since  $1 = \varepsilon(h) = \sum h_{(1)} S(h_{(2)})$  and  $J \cdot H \subset J$ , we have  $1 \in J$ . Q. E. D.

THEOREM 2 (Lin-Larson-Sweedler-Sullivan). *The following statements concerning a Hopf algebra  $H$  are equivalent:*

- (i)  $H$  has a non-zero left integral.
- (ii)  $H$  is left co-Frobenius.
- (iii)  $H$  has a non-zero right integral.
- (iv)  $H$  is right co-Frobenius.

PROOF. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $x$  be a non-zero left integral. We define a bilinear form  $b: H \times H \rightarrow k$  as follows;

$$b(c, d) = \langle x, cS(d) \rangle, \quad \text{for all } c, d \in H.$$

Then we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \sum b(c, d_{(1)}) d_{(2)} &= \sum \langle x, cS(d_{(1)}) \rangle d_{(2)} \\ &= \sum c_{(1)} S(d_{(2)}) \langle x, c_{(2)} S(d_{(1)}) \rangle d_{(3)} \\ &= \sum c_{(1)} \varepsilon(d_{(2)}) \langle x, c_{(2)} S(d_{(1)}) \rangle \\ &= \sum c_{(1)} \langle x, c_{(2)} S(d) \rangle = \sum c_{(1)} b(c_{(2)}, d). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $b: H \times H \rightarrow k$  is  $C$ -balanced. Next we show that  $H^\perp (= \{d \in H \mid b(c, d) = 0 \text{ for all } c \in H\})$  is zero. Let  $d \in H^\perp$  and  $h \in H$ . For all  $c \in H$ , we have

$$b(c, dh) = \langle x, cS(dh) \rangle = \langle x, cS(h)S(d) \rangle = b(cS(h), d) = 0.$$

Hence  $dh \in H$ , so  $H^\perp$  is a right ideal of  $H$ . Since  $x \neq 0$ ,  $H^\perp$  is a proper right ideal. Also  $H^\perp$  is a right coideal, by Lemma 2. Therefore we have  $H^\perp = \{0\}$ , by Lemma 3.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). In the proof of Theorem 1, (1), we obtained that  $H$  contains a proper right coideal of finite codimension. Therefore, by (2.14) in Sweedler [10],  $H$  has a non-zero right integral.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). The proof is the same as (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii).

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i). The proof is the same as (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii).

### 2.3. Coseparable coalgebras.

Let  $C$  be a coalgebra. For every right  $C$ -comodule  $V$ , we have  $\text{Com}_c(V, C) \cong V^*$ , by Proposition 3. If in addition  $V$  is a  $(C, C)$ -bicomodule then we have an isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Com}_{c,c}(V, C) \cong \{\gamma \in V^* \mid (I \otimes \gamma)\rho^- = (\gamma \otimes I)\rho^+\}, & & \\ \tau \longrightarrow \varepsilon\tau & & \\ (I \otimes \gamma)\rho^- \longleftarrow \gamma. & & \end{array}$$

A coalgebra  $C$  is called *coseparable* if there exists a  $k$ -map  $\tau: C \otimes C \rightarrow k$  such that  $(I \otimes \tau)(\Delta \otimes I) = (\tau \otimes I)(I \otimes \Delta)$  and  $\tau\Delta = \varepsilon$ . We have immediately from the above isomorphism that  $C$  is coseparable if and only if there exists a  $(C, C)$ -bicomodule map  $\pi: C \otimes C \rightarrow C$  such that  $\pi\Delta = I$ . We note that  $\Delta$  may be viewed as a  $(C, C)$ -bicomodule map from  $C$  to  $C \otimes C$ . Thus we may conclude that  $C$  is coseparable if and only if  $C$  is injective as a  $C \otimes C^{op}$ -comodule.

Let  $C$  and  $D$  be coalgebras and let  $\tau: C \otimes C \rightarrow k$  be a  $k$ -map such that  $(I \otimes \tau)(\Delta \otimes I) = (\tau \otimes I)(I \otimes \Delta)$ . For any  $(C, D)$ -bicomodule  $M, N$  and for each  $f \in \text{Com}_p(M, N)$ , we define a  $k$ -map

$$f_c: M \rightarrow N$$

by setting  $f_c = (\tau \otimes I)(I \otimes \rho_N)(I \otimes f)\rho_M^-$ .

LEMMA 4. In the above situation,  $f_c$  is a  $(C, D)$ -bicomodule map.

PROOF. We can construct the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} M & \xrightarrow{\rho} & C \otimes M & \xrightarrow{I \otimes f} & C \otimes N & \xrightarrow{I \otimes \rho} & C \otimes C \otimes N & \xrightarrow{\tau \otimes I} & N \\ \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow I \otimes \rho & & \downarrow I \otimes \rho & & \downarrow I \otimes I \otimes \rho & & \downarrow \rho \\ M \otimes D & \xrightarrow{\rho \otimes I} & C \otimes M \otimes D & \xrightarrow{I \otimes f \otimes I} & C \otimes N \otimes D & \xrightarrow{I \otimes \rho \otimes I} & C \otimes C \otimes N \otimes D & \xrightarrow{\tau \otimes I \otimes I} & N \otimes D \end{array} .$$

This shows that  $f_c$  is a right  $D$ -comodule map. We also have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} M & \xrightarrow{\rho} & C \otimes M & \xrightarrow{I \otimes f} & C \otimes N & \xrightarrow{I \otimes \rho} & C \otimes C \otimes N & \xrightarrow{\tau \otimes I} & N \\ \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow \Delta \otimes I & & \downarrow \Delta \otimes I & & \downarrow I \otimes I \otimes \rho & & \downarrow \rho \\ C \otimes M & \xrightarrow{I \otimes \rho} & C \otimes C \otimes M & \xrightarrow{I \otimes I \otimes f} & C \otimes C \otimes N & \xrightarrow{I \otimes I \otimes \rho} & C \otimes C \otimes C \otimes N & \xrightarrow{I \otimes \tau \otimes I} & C \otimes N \end{array} .$$

This shows that  $f_C$  is a left  $C$ -comodule. Q. E. D.

LEMMA 5. *Let  $L, M, N$  and  $P$  be  $(C, D)$ -bicomodules. For each  $g \in \text{Com}_{C, D}(L, M)$ ,  $f \in \text{Com}_D(M, N)$  and  $h \in \text{Com}_{C, D}(N, P)$ , we have  $(hfg)_C = hf_Cg$ .*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PROOF. } (hfg)_C &= (\tau \otimes I)(I \otimes \rho_{\bar{P}})(I \otimes hfg)\rho_{\bar{L}} \\ &= (\tau \otimes I)(I \otimes I \otimes h)(I \otimes \rho_{\bar{N}}f)(I \otimes g)\rho_{\bar{L}} \\ &= h(\tau \otimes I)(I \otimes \rho_{\bar{N}}f)\rho_{\bar{M}}g = hf_Cg. \end{aligned}$$

Q. E. D.

LEMMA 6. *Let  $C$  be a coseparable coalgebra. Let  $M$  and  $N$  be  $(C, D)$ -bicomodules. If  $f: M \rightarrow N$  is a  $(C, D)$ -bicomodule map, then  $f_C = f$ .*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PROOF. } f_C &= (\tau \otimes I)(I \otimes \rho_{\bar{N}}f)\rho_{\bar{M}} \\ &= (\tau \otimes I)(I \otimes I \otimes f)(I \otimes \rho_{\bar{M}})\rho_{\bar{M}} \\ &= f(\tau \otimes I)(\Delta \otimes I)\rho_{\bar{M}} \\ &= f(\varepsilon \otimes I)\rho_{\bar{M}} = f. \end{aligned}$$

Q. E. D.

PROPOSITION 12. *If  $C$  is a coseparable coalgebra and  $D$  is a co-semi-simple coalgebra then  $C \otimes D$  is a co-semi-simple coalgebra.*

PROOF. It suffices to prove that every  $(C, D)$ -bicomodule  $M$  is completely reducible. Let  $N$  be a  $(C, D)$ -subcomodule of  $M$ . Since  $D$  is co-semi-simple, there exists a  $D$ -comodule map  $f: M \rightarrow N$  such that  $fi = I$ , where  $i: N \rightarrow M$  is the inclusion. We then have  $f_Ci = I$ , by Lemma 4 and 5. Since  $f_C$  is a  $(C, D)$ -bicomodule map, it follows that  $N$  is a direct summand of  $M$  as a  $(C, D)$ -bicomodule. Q. E. D.

COROLLARY. *If a coalgebra  $C$  is coseparable then it is co-semi-simple.*

### § 3. Cohomology.

Since  ${}^C\mathbf{M}$  is an abelian category and has enough injectives, we can define the functor  $\text{Ext}_C^n(M, N)$  as the  $n$ -th right derived functor of the functor  $\text{Com}_C(-, N)$ . Explicitly, we take an injective resolution  $\mathbf{X}$  of a left  $C$ -comodule  $N$ :

$$0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow X_0 \longrightarrow X_1 \longrightarrow X_2 \longrightarrow \dots$$

Then  $\text{Ext}_C^n(M, N)$  is defined as the  $n$ -th cohomology group of the complex  $\text{Com}_C(M, \mathbf{X})$ .

#### 3.1. Cohomology of coalgebras.

Let  $C$  be a coalgebra and  $N$  be a  $(C, C)$ -bicomodule. Let  $C^e = C \otimes C^{op}$  be the enveloping coalgebra of  $C$ . Then we regard  $N$  as a left (or right)  $C^e$ -comodule. In particular we regard  $C$  as a left  $C^e$ -comodule. Now we define the  $n$ -th

cohomology group of  $C$  with coefficients in  $N$  as

$$H^n(N, C) = \text{Ext}_{C^e}^n(N, C).$$

Thus we have  $H^n(N, C) = H^n(\text{Com}_{C,C}(N, \mathbf{X}))$ , where  $\mathbf{X}$  is an injective resolution of  $C$  as a left  $C^e$ -comodule. On the other hand, consider the complex  $N \square_{C^e} \mathbf{X}$  and we define another  $n$ -th cohomology group as

$$\text{Hoch}^n(N, C) = H^n(N \square_{C^e} \mathbf{X}).$$

We note that if  $N$  is finite dimensional then  $H^n(N, C) \cong \text{Hoch}^n(N^*, C)$ .

Next we shall describe a construction of a standard complex. For each integer  $n \geq -1$ , let  $S^n(C)$  denote the  $(n+2)$ -fold tensor product of  $C$ . We convert  $S^n(C)$  into a  $(C, C)$ -bicomodule by setting  $\rho^-(c_0 \otimes c_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n+1}) = \Delta(c_0) \otimes c_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n+1}$  and  $\rho^+(c_0 \otimes c_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n+1}) = c_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes c_n \otimes \Delta(c_{n+1})$ . Clearly  $S^n(C)$  is injective as a left  $C^e$ -comodule. We now define for each  $n \geq 0$  a  $C^e$ -comodule map

$$d^n : S^n(C) \rightarrow S^{n+1}(C)$$

by  $d^n(c_0 \otimes c_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (-1)^i c_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta(c_i) \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n+1}$ . We define for each  $n \geq 1$  a right  $C$ -comodule map

$$s^n : S^n(C) \rightarrow S^{n-1}(C)$$

by  $s^n(c_0 \otimes c_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n+1}) = \varepsilon(c_0) c_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{n+1}$ . One verifies directly that

$$s^{n+1} d^n + d^{n-1} s^n = I \quad (n \geq 1).$$

This shows that

$$C = S^{-1}(C) \xrightarrow{\Delta} S^0(C) \xrightarrow{d^0} S^1(C) \xrightarrow{d^1} \cdots$$

is an injective resolution of  $C$  as a left  $C^e$ -comodule. We observe that  $S^0(C) = C \otimes C$  coincides with  $C^e = C \otimes C^{op}$  as a  $C^e$ -comodule. More generally we have  $S^n(C) \cong C^e \otimes C^{[n]}$  as a  $C^e$ -comodule, where  $C^{[n]}$  is the  $n$ -fold tensor product of  $C$  for each  $n > 0$ , and  $C^{[0]} = k$ .

In computing the cohomology groups we use the identifications:

$$\text{Com}_{C^e}(N, S^n(C)) = \text{Com}_{C^e}(N, C^e \otimes C^{[n]}) = \text{Hom}(N, C^{[n]})$$

$$N \square_{C^e} S^n(C) = N \square_{C^e} (C^e \otimes C^{[n]}) = N \otimes C^{[n]}.$$

Thus  $H^n(N, C)$  are the cohomology groups of the complex  $\{\text{Hom}(N, C^{[n]})\}_{n \geq 0}$  with differentiation

$$\delta^n : \text{Hom}(N, C^{[n]}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(N, C^{[n+1]})$$

by

$$\delta^n(f) = (I \otimes f) \rho_N^- - (\Delta \otimes I \otimes \cdots) f + (I \otimes \Delta \otimes \cdots) f \\ - \cdots \pm (I \otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta) f \mp (f \otimes I) \rho_N^+.$$

And  $\text{Hoch}^n(N, C)$  are the cohomology groups of the complex  $\{N \otimes C^{[n]}\}_{n \geq 0}$  with differentiation

$$D^n : N \otimes C^{[n]} \rightarrow N \otimes C^{[n+1]}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{by } D^n(v \otimes c_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes c_n) &= \rho^+(v) \otimes c_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes c_n \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i v \otimes c_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta(c_i) \otimes \cdots \otimes c_n \\ &+ (-1)^{n+1} \sum v_{(0)} \otimes c_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes c_n \otimes v_{(-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we write  $\rho^-(v) = \sum v_{(-1)} \otimes v_{(0)} \in C \otimes N$ . We obtain that

$$\text{H}^0(N, C) = \{\gamma \in N^* \mid (I \otimes \gamma)\rho^- = (\gamma \otimes I)\rho^+\} \cong \text{Com}_{C, C}(N, C)$$

$$\text{and } \text{Hoch}^0(N, C) = \{n \in N \mid t\rho^-(n) = \rho^+(n)\}.$$

A  $k$ -map  $f: N \rightarrow C$  from a  $(C, C)$ -bicomodule  $N$  into  $C$  with the property  $\Delta f = (I \otimes f)\rho^- + (f \otimes I)\rho^+$  is called a *coderivation* from  $N$  into  $C$ . The coderivation  $f$  is called an *inner* coderivation provided that there exists a  $\gamma \in N^*$  such that  $f = (I \otimes \gamma)\rho^- - (\gamma \otimes I)\rho^+$ . Thus we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{H}^0(N, C) \longrightarrow N^* \longrightarrow \text{Coder}(N, C) \longrightarrow \text{H}^1(N, C) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $\text{Coder}(N, C)$  denotes the  $k$ -space of all coderivations from  $N$  into  $C$ .

We now introduce a universal coderivation. Let  $L$  be the cokernel of  $\Delta: C \rightarrow C \otimes C$ . Then we have an exact sequence of  $(C, C)$ -bicomodules

$$0 \longrightarrow C \xrightarrow{\Delta} C \otimes C \xrightarrow{\omega} L \longrightarrow 0.$$

We denote  $c \circ c' = \omega(c \otimes c')$  and we define a map

$$\lambda: L \rightarrow C$$

by  $\lambda(c \circ c') = c\varepsilon(c') - \varepsilon(c)c'$ . It is easily checked that  $\lambda$  is a coderivation from  $L$  into  $C$ . Moreover  $\lambda$  is a universal coderivation in the following sense:

PROPOSITION 13. *For any  $(C, C)$ -bicomodule  $N$ , the map*

$$\text{Com}_{C, C}(N, L) \longrightarrow \text{Coder}(N, C)$$

*sending  $\sigma$  to  $\lambda\sigma$ , is a  $k$ -isomorphism.*

PROOF. Let  $f \in \text{Coder}(N, C)$ . Then  $\omega(f \otimes I)\rho_N^+ \in \text{Com}_{C, C}(N, L)$ . For any  $n \in N$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda\omega(f \otimes I)\rho_N^+(n) &= \sum \lambda\omega(f(n_{(0)}) \otimes n_{(1)}) \\ &= \sum f(n_{(0)})\varepsilon(n_{(1)}) - \sum \varepsilon(f(n_{(0)}))n_{(1)} = f(n), \end{aligned}$$

since  $\varepsilon f = 0$  for any coderivation  $f$ . Hence we have  $\lambda\omega(f \otimes I)\rho_N^+ = f$ .

Conversely, let  $\sigma \in \text{Com}_{C,C}(N, L)$ . Then we have  $\omega(\lambda\sigma \otimes I)\rho_N^+ = \omega(\lambda \otimes I)\rho_L^+\sigma = \sigma$ , since  $\omega(\lambda \otimes I)\rho_L^+ = I$ . Thus the correspondence  $\sigma \rightarrow \lambda\sigma$  gives a  $k$ -isomorphism, and this completes the proof.

**THEOREM 3.** *The following statements concerning a coalgebra  $C$  are equivalent:*

- (i)  $C$  is coseparable.
- (ii) For every  $(C, C)$ -bicomodule  $N$ , we have  $H^n(N, C) = \{0\}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .
- (iii) Every coderivation from any  $(C, C)$ -bicomodule into  $C$  is an inner coderivation.
- (iv)  $\lambda: L \rightarrow C$  is an inner coderivation.

**PROOF.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) is immediate from the fact that a coseparable coalgebra  $C$  is injective as a  $C^e$ -comodule. (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) and (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) are obvious. Now we prove (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Suppose that  $\lambda$  is inner. Then there exists a  $\gamma \in L^*$  such that  $\lambda = (I \otimes \gamma)\rho_L^- - (\gamma \otimes I)\rho_L^+$ . We define a  $(C, C)$ -bicomodule map  $\xi: L \rightarrow C \otimes C$  by  $\xi = (I \otimes \gamma \otimes I)(\rho_L^- \otimes I)\rho_L^+$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= ((\lambda + (\gamma \otimes I)\rho_L^+) \otimes I)\rho_L^+ \\ &= (\lambda \otimes I)\rho_L^+ + (\gamma \otimes I \otimes I)(I \otimes \Delta)\rho_L^+ \\ &= (\lambda \otimes I)\rho_L^+ + \Delta(\gamma \otimes I)\rho_L^+. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have  $\omega\xi = \omega(\lambda \otimes I)\rho_L^+ = I$ . This means that the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow C \xrightarrow{\Delta} C \otimes C \xrightarrow{\omega} L \longrightarrow 0,$$

splits as a  $(C, C)$ -bicomodule. Therefore we have that  $C$  is coseparable, and the theorem is completely proved.

### 3.2. Extensions of coalgebras.

Let  $C$  be a coalgebra. An *extension* of  $C$  is any coalgebra  $D$  which contains  $C$  as a subcoalgebra.

Now we consider an extension  $D$  of  $C$  with  $D = C \wedge C$ , i. e.  $\Delta(D) \subset D \otimes C + C \otimes D$  (see Sweedler [9], p. 179). In this case we may regard the quotient space  $\bar{D} = D/C$  as a  $(C, C)$ -bicomodule by

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \rho^+ : D & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & D \otimes D & \xrightarrow{p \otimes I} & \bar{D} \otimes D \\ \rho^- : D & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & D \otimes D & \xrightarrow{I \otimes p} & D \otimes \bar{D} \end{array}$$

where  $p: D \rightarrow \bar{D}$  denotes the natural projection, since we have  $\text{Im } \rho^+ \subset \bar{D} \otimes C$  and  $\text{Im } \rho^- \subset C \otimes \bar{D}$ .

Let  $\phi$  be a  $k$ -map of  $D \rightarrow C$  such that  $\phi|_C = \text{identity}$ . We then have that the

following diagrams are commutative :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & D \otimes D \\ \downarrow p & & \downarrow p \otimes \phi \\ \bar{D} & \xrightarrow{\rho^+} & \bar{D} \otimes C \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} D & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & D \otimes D \\ \downarrow p & & \downarrow \phi \otimes p \\ \bar{D} & \xrightarrow{\rho^-} & C \otimes \bar{D} . \end{array}$$

Define a map  $f: D \rightarrow C \otimes C$  by setting

$$f = (\phi \otimes \phi) \Delta - \Delta \phi .$$

Then  $f(C) = 0$  and thus  $f$  induces a  $k$ -map  $\bar{f}: \bar{D} \rightarrow C \otimes C$  with  $\bar{f}p = f$ .

LEMMA 6.  $\bar{f}$  is a 2-cocycle in  $\text{Hom}(\bar{D}, C^{\otimes 2})$ .

PROOF. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^2(\bar{f})p &= (I \otimes \bar{f})\rho^- p - (\Delta \otimes I)\bar{f}p + (I \otimes \Delta)\bar{f}p - (\bar{f} \otimes I)\rho^+ p \\ &= (\phi \otimes f)\Delta - (\Delta \otimes I)f + (I \otimes \Delta)f - (f \otimes \phi)\Delta \\ &= \{(\phi \otimes \phi \otimes \phi)(I \otimes \Delta)\Delta - (\phi \otimes \Delta\phi)\Delta\} - \{(\Delta\phi \otimes \phi)\Delta - (\Delta \otimes I)\Delta\phi\} \\ &\quad + \{(\phi \otimes \Delta\phi)\Delta - (I \otimes \Delta)\Delta\phi\} - \{(\phi \otimes \phi \otimes \phi)(\Delta \otimes I)\Delta + (\Delta\phi \otimes \phi)\Delta\} \\ &= 0 . \end{aligned}$$

Since  $p$  is surjective we have  $\delta^2(\bar{f}) = 0$ .

Q. E. D.

Let  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  be  $k$ -maps of  $D \rightarrow C$  such that  $\phi_1|_C = \phi_2|_C = \text{identity}$ . Construct the maps  $\bar{f}_1$  and  $\bar{f}_2$  as above.

LEMMA 7.  $\bar{f}_1$  and  $\bar{f}_2$  are cohomologous.

PROOF. Let  $g = \phi_1 - \phi_2$ . Since  $g(C) = 0$ ,  $g$  induces a  $k$ -maps  $\bar{g}: \bar{D} \rightarrow C$  with  $\bar{g}p = g$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^1(\bar{g})p &= (I \otimes \bar{g})\rho^- p - \Delta \bar{g}p + (\bar{g} \otimes I)\rho^+ p \\ &= (\phi_1 \otimes g)\Delta - \Delta g + (g \otimes \phi_1)\Delta . \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}_2 p &= (\phi_2 \otimes \phi_2)\Delta - \Delta \phi_2 \\ &= ((\phi_1 - g) \otimes (\phi_1 - g))\Delta - \Delta(\phi_1 - g) \\ &= \{(\phi_1 \otimes \phi_1)\Delta - \Delta\phi_1\} - \{(\phi_1 \otimes g) - \Delta g - (g \otimes \phi_1)\Delta\} \\ &= \bar{f}_1 p - \delta^1(\bar{g})p . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\bar{f}_2 = \bar{f}_1 - \delta^1(\bar{g})$ , and this shows that  $\bar{f}_1$  and  $\bar{f}_2$  are cohomologous.

Q. E. D.

Summarizing, we find that an extension  $D$  of  $C$  with  $D = C \wedge C$  defines uniquely an element  $[\bar{f}] = \text{class of } \bar{f}$ , in  $H^2(\bar{D}, C)$ .



**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $D$  be an extension of a coalgebra  $C$  with  $D=C\wedge C$ . Then we have that  $[\bar{f}]=0$  in  $H^2(\bar{D}, C)$  if and only if there exists a coalgebra map  $\phi: D\rightarrow C$  such that  $\phi|_C=I$ .*

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $[\bar{f}]=0$ . Let  $\phi$  be a  $k$ -map of  $D\rightarrow C$  such that  $\phi|_C=I$ .  $\bar{f}$  can be viewed as the 2-cocycle associated with  $\phi$ . Since  $[\bar{f}]=0$  there exists a  $\bar{g}\in\text{Hom}(\bar{D}, C)$  such that  $\bar{f}=\delta^1(\bar{g})$ . Set  $\phi'=\phi-\bar{g}p$ . Then  $\phi'$  is a  $k$ -map of  $D\rightarrow C$  such that  $\phi'|_C=I$ . Let  $\bar{f}'$  be the 2-cocycle associated with  $\phi'$ . The proof of Lemma 7 then implies that

$$\bar{f}'=\bar{f}-\delta^1(\bar{g})=\bar{f}-\bar{f}=0,$$

that is,  $\phi'$  is a coalgebra map.

The "if" part of the assertion is clear.

Q. E. D.

**REMARK.** More generally we can show that the second cohomology group  $H^2(M, C)$  for a  $(C, C)$ -bicomodule  $M$  is in one-to-one correspondence with the set of equivalence classes of extensions over  $C$  with cokernel  $M$

$$C \xrightarrow{i} D \xrightarrow{p} M$$

(that is,  $D$  is a coalgebra,  $i$  is an injective coalgebra map,  $i(C)\wedge i(C)=D$ ,  $p$  is a surjective  $k$ -map which induces  $D/i(C)\cong M$  as a  $(C, C)$ -bicomodule.). Two extensions

$C \xrightarrow{i} D \xrightarrow{p} M$  and  $C \xrightarrow{i'} D' \xrightarrow{p'} M$  over  $C$  with cokernel  $M$  are *equivalent* if there exists a coalgebra isomorphism  $f: D\rightarrow D'$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C & \xrightarrow{i} & D & \xrightarrow{p} & M \\ & \searrow i' & \downarrow f & \searrow p' & \\ & & D' & \xrightarrow{p'} & M \end{array}$$

is commutative.

**THEOREM 5** (Sullivan [8]). *For  $C$  a coalgebra with coseparable coradical  $R$ , there exists a coalgebra map  $\phi: C\rightarrow R$  such that  $\phi|_R=I$ .*

**PROOF.**  $C$  has a filtration by subcoalgebras  $R=C_0\subset C_1\subset\cdots$  where  $C_i=\bigwedge^{i+1}R$  ( $i=0, 1, 2, \dots$ ). Thus it is enough to construct a sequence  $\phi_0, \phi_1, \dots$  such that  $\phi_i$  is a coalgebra map of  $C_i\rightarrow R$  and  $\phi_i|_{C_{i-1}}=\phi_{i-1}$ , for all  $i\geq 1$ . For since  $C=\bigcup C_i$  there is a unique coalgebra map  $\phi: C\rightarrow R$  which extends all the  $\phi_i$ . It is clear  $\phi|_R=I$ , therefore all is good.

To construct the sequence, assume inductively that we have  $\phi_0, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n$  for some fixed  $n\geq 1$ . Let  $J_n$  denote the kernel of  $\phi_n$ .  $C_{n+1}/J_n$  can be viewed as an extension coalgebra of  $C_n/J_n$ . Then it is easily checked that  $C_{n+1}/J_n=C_n/J_n\wedge C_n/J_n$  and  $C_n/J_n\cong R$ . It follows from Theorem 3 and Theorem 4 that there exists a coalgebra map  $f: C_{n+1}/J_n\rightarrow C_n/J_n$  with  $f|(C_n/J_n)=I$ . Now we define a coalgebra map  $\phi_{n+1}: C_{n+1}\rightarrow R$  by the composite

$$C_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\text{proj.}} C_{n+1}/J_n \xrightarrow{f} C_n/J_n \cong R.$$

Then we have  $\phi_{n+1}|_{C_n} = \phi_n$ , and this completes the proof.

REMARK. Given two coalgebra maps  $\phi$  and  $\phi'$  with  $\phi|R = \phi'|R = \text{identity}$ , we can find a relation between  $\phi$  and  $\phi'$ . In fact,  $C$  becomes a  $(R, R)$ -bicomodule by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^- : C &\xrightarrow{\Delta} C \otimes C \xrightarrow{\phi \otimes I} R \otimes C \\ \rho^+ : C &\xrightarrow{\Delta} C \otimes C \xrightarrow{I \otimes \phi'} C \otimes R. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $R$  is a  $(R, R)$ -subcomodule of  $C$ ,  $C/R$  is an  $(R, R)$ -bicomodule. Since  $\phi|R = \phi'|R$ ,  $\phi - \phi' : C \rightarrow R$  induces a  $k$ -map  $\overline{\phi - \phi'} : C/R \rightarrow R$ . Then it is easy to show that  $\overline{\phi - \phi'}$  is a coderivation from a  $(R, R)$ -bicomodule  $C/R$  into  $R$ . It follows from Theorem 3 that there exists an element  $\gamma$  in  $(C/R)^*$  such that  $\delta^0(\gamma) = \overline{\phi - \phi'}$ . Rewriting this equation, we have

$$(\phi \otimes \gamma p) \Delta - (\gamma p \otimes \phi') \Delta = \phi - \phi'$$

where  $p : C \rightarrow C/R$  denotes the natural projection. Set  $d^* = \varepsilon - \gamma p$  (in  $C^*$ ). Then we obtain

$$\phi(d^* \rightarrow c) = \phi'(c \leftarrow d^*) \quad \text{for all } c \in C.$$

### 3.3. Cohomology of augmented coalgebras.

Let  $(C, u)$  be an augmented coalgebra (see 2.2). Then  $k$  has a left  $C$ -comodule structure, and cohomology groups  $\text{Ext}_C^n(N, k)$  are defined for every left  $C$ -comodule  $N$ .

THEOREM 6. *For every left  $C$ -comodule  $N$ , we have*

$$\text{Ext}_C^n(N, k) \cong H^n(N_u, C).$$

PROOF. We apply Proposition 6 to obtain that for every  $(C, C)$ -bicomodule  $V$ ,

$$\text{Com}_C(N, V \square_C k) \cong \text{Com}_{C, C}(N_u, V).$$

Therefore it suffices to show that the complex  $\{X^n \square_C k\}$  is an injective resolution of  $k$  as a left  $C$ -comodule, for each injective resolution of  $C$  as a  $C^e$ -comodule;

$$C \longrightarrow X^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} X^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} X^2 \xrightarrow{d^2} \dots$$

Taking  $V = X^n$  in the above isomorphism, we obtain that  $X^n \square_C k$  is injective as a left  $C$ -comodule. Now let  $Z^n = \text{Ker } d^n = \text{Im } d^{n-1}$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). Then we have the exact sequences of  $(C, C)$ -bicomodules;

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow C \longrightarrow X^0 \longrightarrow Z^1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ 0 &\longrightarrow Z^n \longrightarrow X^n \longrightarrow Z^{n+1} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (n \geq 1). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $C$  and  $X^0$  are injective as a left  $C$ -comodule, so is clearly  $Z^1$ . It follows by induction that  $Z^n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) is injective as a left  $C$ -comodule, since from Proposition 2, (1)  $X^n$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) is injective as a left  $C$ -comodule. Therefore we have the exact sequences;

$$0 \longrightarrow Z^n \square_C k \longrightarrow X^n \square_C k \longrightarrow Z^{n+1} \square_C k \longrightarrow 0.$$

This shows that the complex  $\{X^n \square_C k\}$  is an injective resolution of  $k$  as a left  $C$ -comodule, and completes the proof.

REMARK. Similarly, we can show for every right  $C$ -comodule  $M$  that  $\text{Hoch}^n({}_u M, C)$  coincides with the  $n$ -th cohomology group  $H^n(M \square_C X)$ , where  $X$  is an injective resolution of  $k$  as a left  $C$ -comodule, since we have that for every  $(C, C)$ -bicomodule  $V$ ,

$$M \square_C (V \square_C k) \cong {}_u M \square_{C^e} V.$$

Now consider the particular case when  $C$  is a Hopf algebra. We define a  $k$ -map

$$\mathcal{V} : C^e = C \otimes C^{op} \longrightarrow C$$

by setting  $\mathcal{V}(c \otimes d^{op}) = cS(d)$ , where  $S$  is the antipode of  $C$ . It is easily verified that  $\mathcal{V}$  is a coalgebra map. Given a  $(C, C)$ -bicomodule  $N$  we shall denote by  ${}_r N$  (or  $N_r$ ) the  $k$ -space  $N$  regarded as a left (or right)  $C$ -comodule by means of the map  $\mathcal{V}$ . In particular  $(C^e)_r$  is a  $(C^e, C)$ -bicomodule. Assume that  $C$  is involutory, i. e.  $S^2 = \text{identity}$ . Then the map

$$\alpha : (C^e)_r \longrightarrow C \otimes C$$

defined by setting  $\alpha(c \otimes d^{op}) = \sum c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)} S(d)$  is a right  $C$ -comodule isomorphism,

where  $C \otimes C$  regarded as a right  $C$ -comodule by  $\rho : C \otimes C \xrightarrow{I \otimes \mathcal{A}} C \otimes C \otimes C$ . The inverse of  $\alpha$  is given by  $x \otimes y \rightarrow \sum x_{(1)} \otimes (S(y)x_{(2)})^{op}$ . Therefore  $(C^e)_r$  is free as a right  $C$ -comodule. It follows that for each injective resolution of  $k$  as a left  $C$ -comodule,  $k \rightarrow X^0 \rightarrow X^1 \rightarrow \dots$ , we have an exact sequence

$$(C^e)_r \square_C k \longrightarrow (C^e)_r \square_C X^0 \longrightarrow \dots \quad (*)$$

Moreover  $(C^e)_r \square_C X^n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) is injective as a left  $C^e$ -comodule, by Corollary of Proposition 5. Since  $(C^e)_r \square_C k \cong C$ , it follows that the sequence (\*) is an injective resolution of  $C$  as a left  $C^e$ -comodule. Thus we have:

**THEOREM 7.** *Let  $C$  be an involutory Hopf algebra. For every  $(C, C)$ -bicomodule  $N$ , we have*

$$\text{Ext}_{(C^e)_r}^n(N, k) \cong H^n(N, C).$$

**References**

- [1] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological Algebra*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1956.
- [2] E. Cline, B. Parshall and L. Scott, Induced modules and affine quotients, *Math. Ann.*, **230** (1977), 1-14.
- [3] C.W. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Associative Algebras*, Interscience, New York, 1962.
- [4] J. A. Green, Locally finite representations, *J. Algebra*, **41** (1976), 137-171.
- [5] G. Hochschild, Cohomology of algebraic linear groups, III, *J. Math.*, **5** (1961), 492-579.
- [6] R.G. Larson, Coseparable Hopf algebras, *J. Pure & Applied Algebra*, **3** (1973), 261-267.
- [7] I-p. Lin, Semiperfect coalgebras, *J. Algebra*, **49** (1977), 357-373.
- [8] J.B. Sullivan, The uniqueness of integrals for Hopf algebras and some existence theorems of integrals for commutative Hopf algebras, *J. Algebra*, **19** (1971), 426-440.
- [9] M.E. Sweedler, *Hopf Algebras*, Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [10] M.E. Sweedler, Integrals for Hopf algebras, *Ann. of Math.*, **89** (1969), 323-335.
- [11] M. Takeuchi, Formal schemes over fields, *Comm. Algebra*, **5** (1977), 1483-1528.

Yukio DOI

Department of Mathematics  
Fukui University  
Fukui 910  
Japan