Equilibrium Points of Stochastic Non-Cooperative n-Person Games

Masayuki TAKAHASHI (Received March 20, 1964)

A non-cooperative *n*-person game is originated by J. F. Nash [7]. It is a game in which each player acts independently without collaboration or communication with any of the others, thus it admits no coalitions [8] formed by the players of the game. He has introduced the notion of equilibrium points in an *n*-person game [6] which yields a generalization of the concept of the solution of a two-person zero-sum game, and has proved that any finite non-cooperative game has an equilibrium point. The purpose of this paper is to show the existence of an equilibrium point of a stochastic game, defined below, in which each component game is an infinite non-cooperative *n*-person game. The proof will be carried out by making use of a fixed point theorem due to K. Fan [2] and I. L. Glicksberg [4] which is a generalization of a theorem of Kakutani [5] to a locally convex space. This proof given here is closely related to that of A. M. Fink [3].

We shall concern ourselves with a stochastic non-cooperative n-person game. First we begin with its definition. Let $I = \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$ be a finite set of states. There is assumed to be associated with each state i and Player h a compact space $\sum_{k=1}^{i}$ called a strategic space. Let us denote by \mathfrak{M}_{k}^{i} the set of regular probability measures in \sum_{h}^{i} which is referred to as the space of mixed strategies of Player h at the state i. We put on $\sum_{h=1}^{i}$ the vague topology so that it is a compact space [1]. Let us denote by $g_h^i(\vec{\sigma}^i) (=g_h^i(\sigma_1^i,\dots,\sigma_n^i))$ the gain of Player h when each player k chooses a pure strategy σ_k^i ($\epsilon \sum_{k}^i$) at the state *i*. Here we assume that the function g_h^i is continuous in $\sum_{1}^{i} \times \cdots \times \sum_{n}^{i}$ so that there exists a positive number N independent of i, h such that $|g_h^i| \leq$ N. The set $\Gamma^i = (\sum_{1}^{i}, \dots, \sum_{n}^{i}, g_1^{i}, \dots, g_n^{i}, \mathfrak{M}_1^{i}, \dots, \mathfrak{M}_n^{i})$ will be referred to as an *i*-th component game of the stochastic non-cooperative *n*-person game which will be defined below. At the state i, each player chooses a pure strategy $\sigma_h^i \in \sum_h^i$ independently of the others, where Player h is assumed to use a mixed strategy μ_h^i ($\epsilon \mathfrak{M}_h^i$). Once the choice has been made, the game proceeds to a next state j with transition probability $p^{ij}(\vec{\sigma}^i)$ assumed to be continuous in $\lim_{h=1} \sum_{k=1}^{i}$, or stops with probability $p^{i0}(\vec{\sigma}^i)$ assumed to satisfy the condition

$$\inf_{i,\vec{\sigma}^{i}} p^{i0}(\vec{\sigma}^{i}) = p^{0} > 0.$$

Let us denote by $\vec{\mu}^i$ an *n*-dimensional vector $(\mu_1^i, \dots, \mu_n^i) \in \prod_{h=1}^n \mathfrak{M}_h^i$, by $(\vec{\mu}^i; \rho_h^i)$ an *n*-dimensional vector $(\mu_1^i, \ldots, \mu_{h-1}^i, \rho_h^i, \mu_{h+1}^i, \ldots, \mu_n^i)$, and by μ_h an *s*-dimensional vector $(\mu_h^1, \dots, \mu_h^s) \in \prod_{i=1}^s \mathfrak{M}_h^i$. A stochastic non-cooperative *n*-person game $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ is defined as a collection of all Γ^i , p^{ij} , and p^{i0} for i, j=1, ..., s, where the payments accumulate throughout the course of the play (cf. [9], [10]). There we note that each player uses the stationary strategies.

Now we consider the infinite game Γ^i which starts at the state *i*. Then the expected value $G_h^i(\vec{\mu}_1, \dots, \vec{\mu}_n)$ of the gains of Player h is given by

(1)

$$G_{h}^{i}(\vec{\mu}_{1}, ..., \vec{\mu}_{n}) = g_{h}^{i}(\vec{\mu}^{i}) + \sum_{j=1}^{s} p^{ij}(\vec{\mu}^{i})g_{h}^{j}(\vec{\mu}^{j}) + \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{k=1}^{s} p^{ij}(\vec{\mu}^{i})p^{jk}(\vec{\mu}^{j})g_{h}^{k}(\vec{\mu}^{k}) + ...,$$

$$i = 1, ..., s; h = 1, ..., n.$$

The right hand series of (1) is clearly absolutely convergent.

DEFINITION 1. We say that $(\vec{\mu}_1, \dots, \vec{\mu}_n)$ is an equilibrium point of the infinite game $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^i$ when

(2)
$$G_h^i(\vec{\mu}_1, ..., \vec{\mu}_{h-1}, \vec{\rho}_h, \vec{\mu}_{h+1}, ..., \vec{\mu}_n) \leq G_h^i(\vec{\mu}_1, ..., \vec{\mu}_n)$$

for any $\vec{\rho}_h \in \prod_{i=1}^s \mathfrak{M}_h^i$ and for every h.

It is our main purpose to prove that the infinite games Γ^i (i=1,...,s)have equilibrium points. Now it is obvious that $\{G_h^i(\vec{\mu}_1, \dots, \vec{\mu}_n)\}$ is a unique solution of the simultaneous system of linear equations with unknowns v_h^i :

(3)
$$v_h^i = g_h^i(\vec{\mu}^i) + \sum_{j=1}^s p^{ij}(\vec{\mu}^j) v_h^j, \, i = 1, \, \dots, \, s; \, h = 1, \, \dots, \, n.$$

For $\vec{v} = \{v_h^i\}$, $i = 1, \dots, s$; $h = 1, \dots, n$, we use the notations $\vec{v}_h = (v_h^1, \dots, v_h^s)$ and $\vec{v}^i = (v_1^i, \dots, v_n^i).$

DEFINITION 2. We say that $(\vec{\mu}_1, \dots, \vec{\mu}_n)$ is an equilibrium point of the stochastic game Γ when

$$G_h^i(\vec{\mu}_1, \ldots, \vec{\mu}_{h-1}, \vec{\rho}_h, \vec{\mu}_{h+1}, \ldots, \vec{\mu}_n) \leq G_h^i(\vec{\mu}_1, \ldots, \vec{\mu}_n)$$

for any $\vec{\rho}_h \in \prod_{i=1}^s \mathfrak{M}_h^i$ and for every h and i. We shall show the following

THEOREM. Any stochastic game Γ has an equilibrium point.

Proof. Let *I* be an interval [-A, A] such that $N/p^{\circ} \leq A$. Let us denote by \vec{v}, \vec{w} ns-dimensional vectors $\epsilon \underbrace{I \times \cdots \times I}_{ns}$, and by $\vec{\mu}, \vec{\nu}, ns$ -dimensional vectors $\epsilon \mathfrak{M}_1^1 \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{M}_n^s$. Put $K = I \times \cdots \times I \times \mathfrak{M}_1^1 \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{M}_n^s$. It is a compact convex set of a locally convex space. Consider a point to set mapping

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi}: (\vec{v}, \, \vec{\mu}) \, (\, \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \, K) \!\rightarrow\! \big(\, \vec{w}, \, \boldsymbol{\phi}(\vec{v}, \, \vec{\mu}) \big),$$

where \vec{w} and $\phi(\vec{v}, \vec{\mu})$ are defined as follows:

(4)
$$w_h^i = \sup_{\rho^i_h \in \mathcal{M}_h^i} \left[g_h^i(\vec{\mu}^i; \rho_h^i) + \sum_j p^{ij}(\vec{\mu}^i; \rho_h^i) v_h^j \right]$$

and $\vec{\nu} \in \phi(\vec{v}, \vec{\mu})$ if and only if

(5)
$$w_{h}^{i} = g_{h}^{i}(\vec{\mu}^{i}; \nu_{h}^{i}) + \sum_{j=1}^{s} p^{ij}(\vec{\mu}^{i}; \nu_{h}^{i})v_{h}^{j}.$$

According to our choice of A, it is clear that $\vec{w} \in I \times \cdots \times I$ and that $\phi(\vec{v}, \vec{\mu})$ is a compact convex subset of $\mathfrak{M}_1^1 \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{M}_n^s$. If we can show that the mapping $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ is upper semi-continuous, or the graph of the mapping is closed, then we can apply a theorem of Ky Fan [2] to conclude that there exists a $(\vec{v}, \vec{\mu})$ such that $(\vec{v}, \vec{\mu}) \in \boldsymbol{\varphi}(\vec{v}, \vec{\mu})$, that is, by (4),

(6)
$$v_h^i = \sup_{\rho^i_h \in \mathfrak{W}^i_h} \left[g_h^i(\vec{\mu}^i; \rho_h^i) + \sum_j p^{ij}(\vec{\mu}^i; \rho_h^i) v_h^j \right]$$

and by (5), we have

(7)
$$v_h^i = g_h^i(\mu_1^i, \dots, \mu_n^i) + \sum_{j=1}^s p^{ij}(\mu_1^i, \dots, \mu_n^i) v_h^j$$

Now we proceed to the proof of the upper semi-continuity of our mapping $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$. In terms of nets, it will be sufficient to show that

(8) if
$$\vec{v}_{\delta} \to \vec{v}, \vec{w}_{\delta} \to \vec{w}, \vec{\mu}_{\delta} \to \vec{\mu}, \text{ and } \vec{\nu}_{\delta} (\epsilon \phi(\vec{v}_{\delta}, \vec{\mu}_{\delta})) \to \vec{\nu},$$

then $(\vec{w}, \vec{\nu}) \in \mathbf{\Phi}(\vec{v}, \vec{\mu})$. In fact we have

$$w^i_{\delta h} \ge g^i_h(\vec{\mu}^i_{\delta}; \theta^i_h) + \sum_{j=1}^s p^{ij}(\vec{\mu}^i_{\delta}; \theta^j_h) v^j_{\delta h}$$

and

Masayuki Takahashi

$$w^i_{\delta h} = g^i_h(\vec{\mu}^i_{\delta}; \nu^i_{\delta h}) + \sum_{j=1}^s p^{ij}(\vec{\mu}^i_{\delta}; \nu^i_{\delta h}) v^j_{\delta h}.$$

Passing to the limit, we have

$$w_h^i \geq g_h^i(\vec{\mu}^i; \theta_h^i) + \sum_{j=1}^s p^{ij}(\vec{\mu}^i; \theta_h^i) v_h^j,$$

and

$$w_{h}^{i} = g_{h}^{i}(\vec{\mu}^{i}; \nu_{h}^{i}) + \sum_{j=1}^{s} p^{ij}(\vec{\mu}^{i}; \nu_{h}^{i})v_{h}^{j},$$

which prove that $(\vec{w}, \vec{\nu}) \in \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\vec{v}, \vec{\mu})$.

Let us consider a $(\vec{v}, \vec{\mu}) \in \mathcal{O}(\vec{v}, \vec{\mu})$, whose existence has been proved above. We shall show that $(\vec{\mu}_1, \dots, \vec{\mu}_n)$ is the equilibrium point of the stochastic game $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$. By (6) we have

$$v_h^i \geq g_h^i(\vec{\mu}^i; \, \theta_h^i) + \sum_{j=1}^s p^{ij}(\vec{\mu}^i; \, \theta_h^i) v_h^j.$$

Put

(9)
$$u_h^i = g_h^i(\vec{\mu}^i; \rho_h^i) + \sum_{j=1}^s p^{ij}(\vec{\mu}^i; \rho_h^i) v_h^j.$$

Then $u_h^i \leq v_h^i$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$. We have

(10)
$$g_h^i(\vec{\mu}^i; \rho_h^i) + \sum_{j=1}^s p^{ij}(\vec{\mu}^i; \rho_h^i) u_h^j \leq v_h^i$$

By (9) and (10) we have

(11)
$$v_h^i \ge g_h^i(\vec{\mu}^i; \rho_h^i) + \sum_{j=1}^s p^{ij}(\vec{\mu}^i; \rho_h^i) \{g_h^j(\vec{\mu}^j; \rho_h^j) + \sum_{k=1}^s p^{jk}(\vec{\mu}^j; \rho_h^j)v_h^k\}$$

$$\geq g_{h}^{i}(\vec{\mu}^{i}; \theta_{h}^{i}) + \sum_{j=1}^{s} p^{ij}(\vec{\mu}^{i}; \theta_{h}^{i})g_{h}^{j}(\vec{\mu}^{j}; \theta_{h}^{j}) + \sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{k=1}^{s} p^{ij}(\vec{\mu}^{i}; \theta_{h}^{i})p^{jk}(\vec{\mu}^{j}; \theta_{h}^{j})g_{h}^{k}(\vec{\mu}^{k}; \theta_{h}^{k}) + \dots \\ = G_{h}^{i}(\vec{\mu}_{1}, \dots, \vec{\mu}_{h-1}, \vec{\rho}_{h}, \vec{\mu}_{h+1}, \dots, \vec{\mu}_{n}).$$

On the other hand, $\{v_h^i\}$ is a solution of (3), whence $v_h^i = G_h^i(\vec{\mu}_1, \dots, \vec{\mu}_n)$ as already remarked. Then the inequalities yield

$$G_{h}^{i}(\vec{\mu}_{1}, ..., \vec{\mu}_{h-1}, \vec{\rho}_{h}, \vec{\mu}_{h+1}, ..., \vec{\mu}_{n}) \leq G_{h}^{i}(\vec{\mu}_{1}, ..., \vec{\mu}_{n})$$

98

for any $\vec{\rho}_h \in \prod_{i=1}^s \mathfrak{M}_h^i$. Thus our theorem is proved.

References

- [1] N. Bourbaki, Intégration. Hermann, Paris, 1952.
- [2] K. Fan, Fixed-Point and Minimax Theorems in Locally Convex Topological Linear Spaces. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., U. S. A., 38 (1952), pp. 121-26.
- [3] A. M. Fink, Equilibrium in a Stochastic n-Person Game. This Journal, Series A, 28 (1964).
- [4] I. L. Glicksberg, A Further Generalization of the Kakutani Fixed Point Theorem, with Application to Nash Equilibrium Points. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 3 (1952), pp. 170-74.
- [5] S. Kakutani, A Generalization of Brower's Fixed Point Theorem. Duke Math. J., 8 (1941), pp. 457-59.
- [6] J. F. Nash, Equilibrium Points in n-Person Games. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., U. S. A., 36 (1950), pp. 48-49.
- [7] ------, Non-Cooperative Games. Ann. of Math., 54 (1951), pp. 286-95.
- [8] von Neumann, J., and O. Morgenstern, Theory of Games and Economic Behavior. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1944.
- [9] M. Takahashi, Stochastic Games with Infinitely Many Strategies. This Journal, Series A, 26 (1962), pp. 123-34.
- [10] ——, Recursive Games with Infinitely Many Strategies. This Journal, Series A, 27 (1963), pp. 51-59.

Faculty of General Education, Hiroshima University