

Asymptotic stability of small solitary waves to 1D nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potential

By

Tetsu MIZUMACHI

Abstract

We consider asymptotic stability of a small solitary wave to super-critical 1-dimensional nonlinear Schrödinger equations

$$iu_t + u_{xx} = Vu \pm |u|^{p-1}u \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R},$$

in the energy class. This problem was studied by Gustafson-Nakanishi-Tsai [18] in the 3-dimensional case using the endpoint Strichartz estimate.

To prove asymptotic stability of solitary waves, we need to show that a dispersive part $v(t, x)$ of a solution belongs to $L_t^2(0, \infty; X)$ for some space X . In the 1-dimensional case, this property does not follow from the Strichartz estimate alone.

In this paper, we prove that a local smoothing estimate of Kato type holds globally in time and combine the estimate with the Strichartz estimate to show $\|(1+x^2)^{-3/4}v\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} < \infty$, which implies the asymptotic stability of a solitary wave.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider asymptotic stability of solitary wave solutions to

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} iu_t + u_{xx} = Vu + f(u) & \text{for } (x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases}$$

where $V(x)$ is a real potential, $f(u) = \alpha|u|^{p-1}u$ with $\alpha = \pm 1$.

Let

$$H(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|u_x|^2 + V(x)|u|^2 + \frac{2\alpha}{p+1}|u|^{p+1} \right) dx,$$
$$N(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u|^2 dx.$$

Received September 18, 2007

Revised July 14, 2008

Then a solution to (1.1) satisfies

$$(1.2) \quad H(u(t)) = H(u_0), \quad N(u(t)) = N(u_0)$$

during the time interval of existence. Stability of solitary waves to NLS was first studied by Cazenave and Lions [9], Grillakis-Shatah-Strauss [16] and Weinstein [45] (see also Rose-Weinstein [34], Oh [30] and Shatah-Strauss [38]). In the case of integrable equations such as cubic NLS and KdV, the inverse scattering theory tells us that if the initial data decays rapidly as $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$, a solution decomposes into a sum of solitary waves and a radiation part as $t \rightarrow \infty$ (see [37]). Soffer and Weinstein [41], [42] considered NLS with potential

$$(1.3) \quad iu_t + \Delta u = Vu \pm |u|^{p-1}u \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } t > 0,$$

where $n \geq 2$ and $1 < p < (n+2)/(n-2)$. They proved that if $-\Delta + V$ has exactly one negative eigenvalue and initial data is well localized and close to a nonlinear bound state, a solution tends to a sum of a nonlinear bound state nearby and a radiation part which disperses to 0 as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This result was extended by Yau and Tsai [46], [47], [48] and Soffer-Weinstein [43] to the case where $-\Delta + V$ have two bound states. In the 1-dimensional case, Buslaev and Perelman [6], [7] and Buslaev and Sulem [8] studied the asymptotic stability of (1.1) with $V \equiv 0$. Using the Jost functions, they built a local energy decay estimate of solutions to the linearized equation and prove asymptotic stability of solitary waves for super critical nonlinearities (see also [17]). Their results are extended to the higher dimensional case by Cuccagna [12] (see also Perelman [33] and Rodnianski-Schlag-Soffer [35] which study asymptotic stability of multi-solitons).

However, all these results assume that initial data is well localized so that a solution decays like $t^{-3/2}$. Martel and Merle [24], [25] proved the asymptotic stability of solitary waves to generalized KdV equations using the monotonicity of L^2 -mass, which is a variant of the local smoothing effect proved by Kato [19]. They elegantly use the fact that a dispersive remainder part of a solution $v(t, x)$ satisfies

$$(1.4) \quad \int_0^\infty \|v(t, \cdot)\|_{H_{loc}^1}^2 dt < \infty$$

to prove the asymptotic stability of solitary waves in H^1 (see also El-Dika [14] and Mizumachi [27] for BBM equation and Pego-Weinstein [32] and Mizumachi [26] for KdV with localized initial data). Recently, Gustafson-Nakanishi-Tsai [18] has proved asymptotic stability of a small solitary wave of (1.3) in the energy class with $n = 3$. Their idea is to use the endpoint Strichartz estimate instead of (1.4), which tells us that $\|v\|_{L_t^2 W_x^{1,6}}$ remains small globally in time for super critical nonlinearity. However, the Strichartz estimate is not sufficient in the lower dimensional case to obtain some estimate like (1.4) because a dispersive wave decays more slowly than the 3-dimensional case. To overcome

this difficulty, we prove

$$(1.5) \quad \|\langle x \rangle^{-3/2} e^{it(-\partial_x^2 + V)} Qf\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2},$$

$$(1.6) \quad \|\partial_x e^{it(-\partial_x^2 + V)} Qf\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} \leq C\|f\|_{H^{1/2}},$$

where Q is a spectral projection associated to the continuous spectrum of $-\partial_x^2 + V$. The local smoothing estimate of $1/2$ gain derivative has been studied by many authors (see e.g. Constantin and Saut [11], Kato and Yajima [20] and Kenig-Ponce-Vega [21], [22]) to show the local well-posedness of semilinear equations with derivative terms. Most of them are without potential ([11], [39]) or local in time (see [36]).

Ben-Artzi and Klainerman [3] proved a time global local smoothing estimate for the n -dimensional case with $n \geq 3$. See also Barceló-Ruiz-Vega [2] who use a Morawetz type inequality to obtain the result. Recently, Burq and Planchon [5] has proved local smoothing estimates including an estimate similar to (1.6) for $Lu = -\partial_x(a(x)\partial_x u)$ (they use $\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{1/2}$ instead of L^∞). In the present paper, we show (1.5) and (1.6) assuming the non-resonance condition for $L = -\Delta + V$. Another difference between [5] is that L may have negative eigenvalues.

Our proof given in this paper for the 1-dimensional case is different from [3], [5], [2]. We use the Born series (see Artbazar-Yajima [1] and Goldberg-Schlag [15]) for the high frequency part and a theory of Jost functions for the low frequency part.

Finally, we introduce several notations. For complex valued functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$, we denote $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\overline{g(x)}dx$. Let

$$\|u\|_{L_t^q L_x^p} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |u(t, x)|^p dx \right)^{q/p} dt \right)^{1/q},$$

$$\|u\|_{L_x^s L_t^r} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |u(t, x)|^r dt \right)^{s/r} dx \right)^{1/s},$$

and let $H^{1,k}(\mathbb{R})$ be the Hilbert space equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{H^{1,k}} = \left(\sum_{i=0,1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1+x^2)^k |\partial_x^i u(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

For any Banach spaces X, Y , we denote by $B(X, Y)$ the space of bounded linear operators from X to Y . We abbreviate $B(X, X)$ as $B(X)$.

We define the Fourier and transform of $f(x)$ as

$$\mathcal{F}_x f(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-ix\xi} dx,$$

and the inverse Fourier transform of $g(\xi)$ as

$$\mathcal{F}_\xi^{-1} g(x) = \hat{g}(-x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(\xi) e^{ix\xi} d\xi.$$

We define $\mathcal{S}_\otimes(\mathbb{R}^2)$ as a set of functions written as $f(t, x) = \sum_{i=1}^N f_i(t)g_i(x)$ with $f_i, g_i \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ ($1 \leq i \leq N$).

For an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, let $\chi_I(x)$ be a characteristic functions satisfying $\chi_I(x) = 1$ for $x \in I$ and $\chi_I(x) = 0$ for $x \notin I$. We denote $\sqrt{1 + |x|^2}$ by $\langle x \rangle$.

2. The Main result and Preliminaries

In the present paper, we assume that the linear potential $V(x)$ is a continuous function on \mathbb{R} and satisfies the following.

(V1) $(1 + x^2)V(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$.

(V2) $L = -\partial_x^2 + V$ has exactly one negative eigenvalue E_* , and 0 is neither a resonance nor an eigenvalue of L .

Let $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and $e^{-iEt}\phi_E(x)$ be a solitary wave solution of (1.1). Then $\phi_E(x)$ is a solution to

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} \phi_E'' + E\phi_E = V\phi_E + \alpha|\phi_E|^{p-1}\phi_E & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} \phi_E(x) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Using the bifurcation theory, we have the following.

Proposition 2.1. *Assume (V1) and (V2). Then there exist a $\delta > 0$, $E = E(s) \in C^4((-\delta, \delta))$ and $h(s)$ satisfying the following:*

1. $\phi_E = s\phi_* + h(s)$ is a positive solution of (2.1), where ϕ_* is a normalized eigenfunction of H (satisfying $\|\phi_*\|_{L^2} = 1$) belonging to E_* ,
2. for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the function $h(s) \in H^{1,k} \cap \perp \text{span}\{\phi_*\}$ are C^4 in $s \in (-\delta, \delta)$,
3. $E(s) = E_* + \alpha\|\phi_*\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1}(|s|^{p-1} + o(1))$ and $\|h(s)\|_{H^{1,k}} = O(s^p)$ as $s \rightarrow 0$.

Proposition 2.1 follows from a rather standard argument. See for example [29] and [41, pp.123–124].

Now, we introduce our main result.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume (V1) and (V2). Let $p \geq 5$ and ε_0 be a sufficiently small positive number. Suppose $\|u_0\|_{H^1} < \varepsilon_0$. Then there exist an $E_+ < 0$, a real-valued function $\theta(t)$ and $v_+ \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} |E_+ - E_*|^{1/(p-1)} + \|v_+\|_{H^1} &= O(\|u_0\|_{H^1}), \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t) - e^{i\theta(t)}\phi_{E_+} - We^{it\partial_x^2}v_+\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $W = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-itL}e^{-it\partial_x^2}$.

Since $\theta(t)$ could be discontinuous at a time $E(t) = E_*$, we introduce following parameters as [18]: $a = (a_1, a_2) = s(\cos \theta, -\sin \theta)$ and

$$\phi(a) = \phi_{E(s)}e^{-i\theta} = \begin{cases} (a_1 + ia_2) \left(\phi_* + \frac{h(|a|)}{|a|} \right) & \text{if } a \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } a = 0. \end{cases}$$

Remark 1. By Proposition 2.1,

$$\|\partial_{a_1}\phi - \phi_*\|_{H^{1,k}(\mathbb{R})} + \|\partial_{a_2}\phi - i\phi_*\|_{H^{1,k}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim |E - E_*| = O(|a(t)|^{p-1}).$$

Remark 2. Let us decompose a solution to (1.1) into a solitary wave part and a radiation part:

$$(2.2) \quad u(t, x) = \phi(a(t))(x) + v(t, x).$$

If we take initial data in the energy class, the dispersive part of the solutions decays more slowly than it does for well localized initial data. So, being different from Soffer-Weinstein [41, 42] or Buslaev-Perelman [6], we cannot expect that $\int_t^\infty \dot{E}(s)ds$ is integrable. Thus in general, we need dispersive estimates for a time-dependent linearized equations to prove asymptotic stability of solitary waves in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. To avoid this difficulty, we assume the smallness of solitary waves so that a generalized kernel of the linearized operator is well approximated by a 1-dimensional subspace $\{\beta\phi_* \mid \beta \in \mathbb{C}\}$.

Substituting (2.2) into (1.1), we obtain

$$(2.3) \quad iv_t = Lv + g_1 + g_2 + g_3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(t) &= -i\dot{a}(t) \cdot \nabla_a \phi(a(t)) + E\phi(a(t)), \\ g_2(t) &= f(\phi(a(t)) + v(t)) - f(\phi(a(t))) - \partial_\varepsilon f(\phi(a(t)) + \varepsilon v(t))|_{\varepsilon=0}, \\ g_3(t) &= \partial_\varepsilon f(\phi(a(t)) + \varepsilon v(t))|_{\varepsilon=0}. \end{aligned}$$

By the gauge covariance of $\phi(a)$, we have

$$(2.4) \quad i\phi(a) = a_1\partial_{a_2}\phi - a_2\partial_{a_1}\phi$$

and g_1 is rewritten as $g_1 = -i(\dot{a}_1 - Ea_2)\partial_{a_1}\phi - i(\dot{a}_2 + Ea_1)\partial_{a_2}\phi$. To fix the decomposition (2.2), we assume a secular term condition

$$(2.5) \quad \Im \langle v(t), \partial_{a_1}\phi(a(t)) \rangle = \Im \langle v(t), \partial_{a_2}\phi(a(t)) \rangle = 0,$$

which is equivalent to $\Re \langle e^{i\theta(t)}v(t), \phi_{E(t)} \rangle = \Im \langle e^{i\theta(t)}v(t), \partial_E\phi_{E(t)} \rangle = 0$ if $a(t) \neq 0$. By Proposition 2.1, we have

$$(2.6) \quad |a(0)| + \|v(0)\|_{H^1} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{H^1}.$$

Since $u \in C(\mathbb{R}; H^1(\mathbb{R}))$, it follows from the implicit function theorem that there exist a $T > 0$ and $a(t) \in C^1([-T, T]; \mathbb{R}^2)$ such that (2.5) holds for $t \in [-T, T]$. See [18] for the proof.

Differentiating (2.5) with respect to t and substituting (2.3) into the resulting equation, we have

$$\Re \langle Lv + g_1 + g_2 + g_3, \partial_{a_i}\phi(a) \rangle = \sum_{j=1,2} \Im \langle v, \dot{a}_j \partial_{a_i a_j}^2 \phi(a) \rangle \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

Using (2.1), (2.4), (2.5) and the fact that E is a real-valued C^1 -function of $|a|^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Re\langle Lv + g_3, \partial_{a_i} \phi \rangle &= \Re \left\langle v, \left(L + \frac{p+1}{2} |\phi|^{p-1} \right) \partial_{a_i} \phi + \frac{p-1}{2} |\phi|^{p-3} \phi^2 \overline{\partial_{a_i} \phi} \right\rangle \\ &= \Re\langle v, E\partial_{a_i} \phi + (\partial_{a_i} E)\phi \rangle \\ &= E\Im\langle v, a_2 \partial_{a_i a_1}^2 \phi - a_1 \partial_{a_i a_2}^2 \phi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$(2.7) \quad \mathcal{A} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{a}_1 - Ea_2 \\ \dot{a}_2 + Ea_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Re\langle g_2, \partial_{a_2} \phi \rangle \\ \Re\langle g_2, \partial_{a_1} \phi \rangle \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &= -\Im \begin{pmatrix} \langle \partial_{a_1} \phi, \partial_{a_2} \phi \rangle - \langle v, \partial_{a_2}^2 \phi \rangle & \langle \partial_{a_2} \phi, \partial_{a_2} \phi \rangle - \langle v, \partial_{a_1 a_2}^2 \phi \rangle \\ \langle \partial_{a_1} \phi, \partial_{a_1} \phi \rangle - \langle v, \partial_{a_1 a_2}^2 \phi \rangle & \langle \partial_{a_2} \phi, \partial_{a_1} \phi \rangle - \langle v, \partial_{a_1}^2 \phi \rangle \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + O(\|v\|_{L^2} + |a|^{p-1}). \end{aligned}$$

To prove our main result, we will use the Strichartz estimate and a time global estimate of Kato type. The Strichartz estimate along with $L^\infty - L^1$ -estimate for 1-dimensional Schrödinger equations with linear potential was obtained by Goldberg and Schlag [15].

Let $Pu = \langle u, \phi_* \rangle \phi_*$, $Qu = (I - P)u$. Then we have the following.

Lemma 2.1 (Strichartz estimate ([15], [23])). *Assume (V1) and (V2).*

(a) *There exists a positive number C such that for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$,*

$$\|e^{-itL} Qf\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty \cap L_t^\infty L_x^2} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2}.$$

(b) *There exists a positive number C such that for any $g(t, x) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$,*

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)L} Qg(s, \cdot) ds \right\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty \cap L_t^\infty L_x^2} \leq C \|g\|_{L_t^{4/3} L_x^1 + L_t^1 L_x^2}.$$

To estimate the the quadratic term of v in g_2 , we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Assume (V1) and (V2).*

(a) *There exists a positive constant C such that for any $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$,*

$$(2.8) \quad \|\langle x \rangle^{-3/2} e^{-itL} Qf\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2},$$

$$(2.9) \quad \|\partial_x e^{-itL} Qf\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} \leq C \|f\|_{H^{1/2}}.$$

(b) *There exists a positive constant C such that for any $g(t, x) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$,*

$$(2.10) \quad \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{isL} Qg(s, \cdot) ds \right\|_{L_x^2} \leq C \|\langle x \rangle^{3/2} g\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2},$$

Lemma 2.3. *There exists a positive constant C such that for any $g(t, x) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$(2.11) \quad \sum_{j=0,1} \left\| \langle x \rangle^{-1} \partial_x^j \int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)L} Qg(s, \cdot) ds \right\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} \leq C \|\langle x \rangle g\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2}.$$

Furthermore, if $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} e^{\alpha|x|} |V(x)| < \infty$ holds for an $\alpha > 0$, there exists a positive number C such that

$$(2.12) \quad \left\| \int_0^t \partial_x e^{-i(t-s)L} Qg(s, \cdot) ds \right\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} \leq C \|g\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2}.$$

Lemma 2.1 is not applicable to a linear term g_3 in (2.3) because we do not have $g_3 \in L_t^{4/3} L_x^1 + L_t^1 L_x^2$. To deal with g_3 , we use a lemma by Christ and Kiselev [10] to combine Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2.

Lemma 2.4. *Assume (V1) and (V2). Then there exists a positive constant C such that for any $g(t, x) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)L} Qg(s, \cdot) ds \right\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty \cap L_t^\infty L_x^2} \leq C \|g\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(\mathbb{R}; \langle x \rangle^5 dx)}.$$

The proof of Lemmas 2.2–2.4 will be given in Section 4.

3. Proof of Theorem 2.1

In this section, we will prove Theorem 2.1. Let us translate (2.3) into an integral equation

$$(3.1) \quad v(t) = e^{-itL} v(0) - i \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 3} \int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)L} g_j(s) ds.$$

All nonlinear terms in (3.1) can be estimated in terms of the following.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{M}_1(T) &= \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |E(t) - E_*|, & \mathbb{M}_2(T) &= \|\langle x \rangle^{-3/2} Qw\|_{L_x^\infty L^2(0,T)}, \\ \mathbb{M}_3(T) &= \|Pv\|_{L_x^\infty L^2(0,T)} + \|\partial_x Pv\|_{L_x^\infty L^2(0,T)}, \\ \mathbb{M}_4(T) &= \|Qv\|_{L^q(0,T; W_x^{1,2p}) \cap L^\infty(0,T; H_x^1)} + \|Qv\|_{L^4(0,T; L_x^\infty)}, \\ \mathbb{M}_5(T) &= \|Pv\|_{L^4(0,T; W_x^{1,\infty}) \cap L^\infty(0,T; H_x^1)}, & \mathbb{M}_6(T) &= \|\partial_x Qv\|_{L_x^\infty L^2(0,T)}. \end{aligned}$$

where $4/q = 1 - 1/p$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. By (2.7), we have

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} |\dot{a}_1 - Ea_2| + |\dot{a}_2 + Ea_1| &\lesssim \sum_{i=1,2} |\langle g_2, \partial_{a_i} \phi \rangle| \\ &\lesssim \sum_{i=1,2} (\|\partial_{a_i} \phi v^2\|_{L^1} + \|\partial_{a_i} \phi f(v)\|_{L^1}). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that the decomposition (2.2) with (2.5) persists for $0 \leq t \leq T$ and that $\mathbb{M}_i(T)$ ($1 \leq i \leq 8$) are bounded. Eq. (3.2) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|\dot{a}_1 - Ea_2\|_{L^1(0,T)} + \|\dot{a}_2 + Ea_1\|_{L^1(0,T)} \\
 & \leq C(\mathbb{M}) (\|\partial_{a_1} \phi v^2\|_{L^1(0,T;L_x^1)} + \|\partial_{a_2} \phi v^2\|_{L^1(0,T;L_x^1)}) \\
 (3.3) \quad & \leq C(\mathbb{M}) \left(\sum_{i=1,2} \|\langle x \rangle^3 \partial_{a_i} \phi\|_{L_x^1 L^\infty(0,T)} \right) \|\langle x \rangle^{-3/2} v\|_{L_x^\infty L^2(0,T)}^2 \\
 & \leq C(\mathbb{M}) \left(\sum_{i=1,2} \|\langle x \rangle^5 \partial_{a_i} \phi\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L_x^\infty)} \right) \|\langle x \rangle^{-3/2} v\|_{L_x^\infty L^2(0,T)}^2 \\
 & \leq C(\mathbb{M}) (\mathbb{M}_2(T) + \mathbb{M}_3(T))^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.4) \quad & \|\dot{a}_1 - Ea_2\|_{L^\infty(0,T)} + \|\dot{a}_2 + Ea_1\|_{L^\infty(0,T)} \\
 & \lesssim \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|v\|_{H^1}^2 + \|v\|_{H^1}^p) \leq C(\mathbb{M}) (\mathbb{M}_4(T) + \mathbb{M}_5(T))^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hereafter we denote by $C(\mathbb{M})$ various functions of $\mathbb{M}_1, \dots, \mathbb{M}_4$ that are bounded in a finite neighborhood of 0. By (3.3) and (2.6),

$$(3.5) \quad \mathbb{M}_1(T) \lesssim \|u_0\|_{H^1} + C(\mathbb{M}) (\mathbb{M}_2 + \mathbb{M}_3)^2.$$

By Remark 1 and (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\langle v(t), \phi_* \rangle| \\
 & \leq |\Re \langle v(t), \phi_* \rangle| + |\Im \langle v(t), \phi_* \rangle| \\
 & \leq |\Im \langle v(t), \partial_{a_2} \phi - i\phi_* \rangle| + |\Im \langle v(t), \partial_{a_1} \phi - \phi_* \rangle| \\
 & \leq \|\langle x \rangle^{-5/2} v\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R})} \left(\|\langle x \rangle^{5/2} (\partial_{a_1} \phi - \phi_*)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|\langle x \rangle^{5/2} (\partial_{a_2} \phi - i\phi_*)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \right) \\
 & \lesssim |a|^{p-1} \|\langle x \rangle^{-5/2} v\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R})},
 \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.6) \quad \mathbb{M}_3(T) & \leq \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |a(t)|^{p-1} \|\langle x \rangle^{-5/2} w\|_{L^2(0,T;L_x^2)} \\
 & \leq C(\mathbb{M}) \mathbb{M}_1(T) (\mathbb{M}_2(T) + \mathbb{M}_3(T)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$(3.7) \quad \mathbb{M}_5(T) \leq C(\mathbb{M}) \mathbb{M}_1(T) (\mathbb{M}_4(T) + \mathbb{M}_5(T)).$$

Next, we will estimate $\mathbb{M}_2(T)$. By (3.1),

$$\mathbb{M}_2(T) \leq I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4,$$

where

$$I_1 = \|\langle x \rangle^{-3/2} e^{-itL} Qw(0)\|_{L_x^\infty L^2(0,T)} \lesssim \|w(0)\|_{L^2},$$

and

$$I_j = \left\| \langle x \rangle^{-3/2} \int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)L} Qg_{j-1}(s) ds \right\|_{L_x^\infty L^2(0,T)} \quad \text{for } 2 \leq j \leq 4.$$

By Lemma 2.3, Remark 1, (3.3) and (3.4),

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\lesssim \|\langle x \rangle Qg_1\|_{L_x^1 L^2(0,T)} \\ &\leq \|\langle x \rangle Q\partial_{a_1} \phi(a(t))\|_{L_x^1 L^\infty(0,T)} \|\dot{a}_1 - Ea_2\|_{L^2(0,T)} \\ &\quad + \|\langle x \rangle Q\partial_{a_2} \phi(a(t))\|_{L_x^1 L^\infty(0,T)} \|\dot{a}_2 + Ea_1\|_{L^2(0,T)} \\ &\leq C(\mathbb{M})(\mathbb{M}_2(T) + \mathbb{M}_3(T) + \mathbb{M}_4(T) + \mathbb{M}_5(T))^2. \end{aligned}$$

Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 yield

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &\lesssim \|\langle x \rangle |\phi(a(t))|^{p-2} v^2\|_{L_x^1 L^2(0,T)} + \int_0^T \| |v|^p \|_{L_x^2} ds \\ &\lesssim \left\| \langle x \rangle^{5/2} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |\phi(a(t))|^{p-2} \right\|_{L_x^1} \|\langle x \rangle^{-3/2} v\|_{L_x^\infty L^2(0,T)} \|v\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L_x^\infty)} \\ &\quad + \|v(t)\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L_x^\infty)}^{p-q} \|v(t)\|_{L^q(0,T;L_x^{2p})}^q \\ &\leq C(\mathbb{M}) \sum_{2 \leq i \leq 5} \mathbb{M}_i(T)^2. \end{aligned}$$

where $4/q = 1 - 1/p$. By Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &\lesssim \|\langle x \rangle g_3\|_{L_x^1 L^2(0,T)} \lesssim \left\| \langle x \rangle^{5/2} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |\phi(a(t))|^{p-1} \right\|_{L_x^1} \|\langle x \rangle^{-3/2} v\|_{L_x^\infty L^2(0,T)} \\ &\leq C(\mathbb{M}) \mathbb{M}_1(T) (\mathbb{M}_2(T) + \mathbb{M}_3(T)). \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above, we see that

$$(3.8) \quad \mathbb{M}_2(T) \leq \|u_0\|_{H^1} + C(\mathbb{M}) \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 5} \mathbb{M}_i(T)^2.$$

Likewise, we have

$$(3.9) \quad \mathbb{M}_6(T) \leq \|u_0\|_{H^1} + C(\mathbb{M}) \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 5} \mathbb{M}_i(T)^2.$$

Finally, we will estimate $\mathbb{M}_4(T)$. In view of (3.1),

$$\mathbb{M}_4(T) \leq J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4,$$

where

$$J_1 = \left\| e^{-itL} Qv(0) \right\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H_x^1) \cap L^q(0,T;W_x^{1,2p}) \cap L^4(0,T;L_x^\infty)}$$

$$J_j = \left\| \int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)L} Qg_{j-1}(s) ds \right\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H_x^1) \cap L^q(0,T;W_x^{1,2p}) \cap L^4(0,T;L_x^\infty)}$$

for $2 \leq j \leq 4$, where $4/q = 1 - 1/p$. By Lemma 2.1 and (3.3),

$$J_1 \lesssim \|v(0)\|_{H^1},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &\lesssim \|Qg_1(s)\|_{L^1(0,T;H_x^1)} \\ &\lesssim \|\dot{a}_1 - Ea_2\|_{L^1(0,T)} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|Q\partial_{a_1}\phi(a(t))\|_{H_x^1} \\ &\quad + \|\dot{a}_2 + Ea_2\|_{L^1(0,T)} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|Q\partial_{a_2}\phi(a(t))\|_{H_x^1} \\ &\leq C(\mathbb{M})(\mathbb{M}_2(T)^2 + \mathbb{M}_3(T)^2). \end{aligned}$$

Using Minkowski's inequality and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_3 &\lesssim \|\phi(a(t))\|_{L^{6/5}(0,T;W_x^{1,6/5})}^{p-2} \|v\|_{L^1(0,T;H_x^1)} + \|f(v)\|_{L^1(0,T;H_x^1)} \\ &\lesssim \|\langle x \rangle^2 \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |\phi(a(t))|^{p-2}\|_{W_x^{1,6/5}} \|v\|_{L^4(0,T;L_x^\infty)}^{2/3} \left(\sum_{i=0,1} \|\langle x \rangle^{-3/2} \partial_x^i v\|_{L_x^\infty L^2(0,T)} \right)^{4/3} \\ &\quad + \|v(t)\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H_x^1)}^{p-q} \|v(t)\|_{L^q(0,T;W_x^{1,2p})}^q \\ &\leq C(\mathbb{M}) \sum_{2 \leq i \leq 6} \mathbb{M}_i(T)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $4/q = 1 - 1/p$. By Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} J_4 &\lesssim \|\langle x \rangle^{5/2} g_3\|_{L^2(0,T;L_x^2)} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \langle x \rangle^4 |\phi(a(t))|^{p-1} \right\|_{L_x^2} \left(\|\langle x \rangle^{-3/2} v\|_{L_x^\infty L^2(0,T)} + \|\partial_x v\|_{L_x^\infty L^2(0,T)} \right) \\ &\lesssim \mathbb{M}_1(T)(\mathbb{M}_2(T) + \mathbb{M}_3(T) + \mathbb{M}_6(T)). \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above, we have

$$(3.10) \quad \mathbb{M}_4(T) \leq \|u_0\|_{H^1} + C(\mathbb{M}) \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 6} \mathbb{M}_i(T)^2.$$

It follows from (3.5)–(3.10) that if ε_0 is sufficiently small,

$$(3.11) \quad \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 6} \mathbb{M}_i(T) \lesssim \|u_0\|_{H^1}.$$

Thus by continuation argument, we may let $T \rightarrow \infty$.

By (3.3) and the fact that

$$d|a(t)|^2/dt = 2a_1(\dot{a}_1 - Ea_2) + 2a_2(\dot{a}_2 + Ea_1) \in L^1(0, \infty),$$

there exists an $E_+ < 0$ satisfying $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E(t) = E_+$ and $|E_+ - E_*|^{1/(p-1)} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{H^1}$. In view of (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &:= -i \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 3} \int_0^\infty e^{isL} Qg_j(s) ds \in H^1(\mathbb{R}), \\ \|v_1\|_{H^1} &\lesssim \|g_1(s)\|_{L_t^1 H_x^1} + \|g_2\|_{L_t^{6/5} W_x^{1,6/5} + L_t^1 H_x^1} + \|\langle x \rangle^{5/2} g_3\|_{L_t^2 H_x^1} \\ &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{H^1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|Qv(t) - e^{-itL}(Qv(0) + v_1)\|_{H^1} = 0.$$

By [15], we have $\|e^{-itL}Qf\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim t^{-1/2}\|f\|_{L^1}$. Since $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is dense in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$, it follows that $\|e^{-itL}(Qv(0) + v_1)\|_{L^\infty} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|Qv(t)\|_{L^\infty} \\ (3.12) \quad &\leq \|Qv(t) - e^{-itL}(Qv(0) + v_1)\|_{H^1} + \|Qe^{-itL}(Qv(0) + v_1)\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously to (3.6), we have

$$(3.13) \quad \|Pv(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim |a(t)|^{p-1} \|Qv(t)\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Combining (3.12) and (3.13), we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|Pv(t)\|_{H^1} = 0$. Thus by (2.2),

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t) - \phi(a(t)) - e^{-itL}Q(v(0) + v_1)\|_{H^1} = 0.$$

From [1] and [44], we see that there exists a $v_+ \in H^1$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|e^{-itL}Q(v(0) + v_1) - We^{it\partial_x^2}v_+\|_{H^1} = 0,$$

where $W = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-itL}e^{-it\partial_x^2}$. Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

4. Linear estimates

Let $R(\lambda) = (\lambda - L)^{-1}$ and let $dE_{ac}(\lambda)$ be the absolute continuous part of the spectral measure of L . We have $R(\lambda - i0) = R(\lambda + i0)$ for $\lambda < 0$ and it follows from the spectral decomposition theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} Qe^{-itL}f &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-it\lambda} dE_{ac}(\lambda)f \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-it\lambda} Q(R(\lambda - i0) - R(\lambda + i0))f d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

To prove Lemmas 2.2–2.4, we will apply Plancherel’s theorem to the above formula.

4.1. High energy estimate

To begin with, we will estimate the high frequency part of the resolvent operators $R(\lambda \pm i0)$. Let $\chi(x)$ be a smooth function satisfying $0 \leq \chi(x) \leq 1$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\chi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \geq 2, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

and let $\chi_M(x)$ be an even function satisfying $\chi_M(x) = \chi(x - M)$ for $x \geq 0$.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume (V1) and (V2). Then there exist positive numbers M and C such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_x \|\chi_M(\sqrt{\lambda})R(\lambda \pm i0)u\|_{L^2_\lambda(0,\infty)} &\leq C\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, \\ \sup_x \|\chi_M(\sqrt{\lambda})\partial_x(R(\lambda - i0) - R(\lambda + i0))u\|_{L^2_\lambda(0,\infty)} &\leq C\|u\|_{H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})} \end{aligned}$$

for every $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 4.2. *Assume (V1) and (V2). Then there exist positive numbers M and C such that*

$$\sum_{i=0,1} \|\chi_M(\sqrt{\lambda})\partial_x^i R(\lambda \pm i0)u\|_{L^\infty_{x,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C\|u\|_{L^1_x(\mathbb{R})}$$

for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof of Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2. Let $R_0(\lambda) = (\lambda + \partial_x^2)^{-1}$ and

$$G_1(x, k) = \frac{e^{ik|x|}}{2ik}, \quad G_2(x, k) = \frac{e^{-k|x|}}{-2k}.$$

We remark that $R_0(\lambda \mp i0)\delta = G_1(x, \pm k)$ for $\lambda = k^2$ with $k \geq 0$ and $R_0(\lambda)\delta = G_2(x, k)$ for $\lambda = -k^2$ with $k > 0$. If M is sufficiently large, we have

$$(4.1) \quad R(\lambda \pm i0)u = \sum_{j=0}^\infty R_0(\lambda \pm i0)(VR_0(\lambda \pm i0))^j u$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|\lambda| \geq M$ and $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ since

$$\|\langle \cdot \rangle^{-1}R_0(\lambda \pm i0)\langle \cdot \rangle^{-1}\|_{B(L^2(\mathbb{R}))} \lesssim \langle \lambda \rangle^{-1/2}.$$

By the definition of $G_1(x, k)$ and Plancherel’s theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_x \int_{\mathbb{R}} dk \langle k \rangle |\chi_M(k)(G_1(\cdot, k) * u)(x)|^2 \\ (4.2) \quad &\lesssim \sup_x \int_{\mathbb{R}} dk \langle k \rangle^{-1} \left(\left| \int_x^\infty u(y)e^{-iky} dy \right|^2 + \left| \int_{-\infty}^x u(y)e^{iky} dy \right|^2 \right) dk \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{L^2_x}^2. \end{aligned}$$

For $k = \sqrt{\lambda} \geq 0$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.3) \quad & F_{1,n}(x, \pm k) := R_0(\lambda \mp i0)(VR_0(\lambda \mp i0))^n u(x) \\
 & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} G_1(x - x_1, \pm k) \prod_{j=1}^n (V(x_j)G_1(x_j - x_{j+1}, \pm k)) u(x_{n+1}) dx_1 \cdots dx_{n+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining Minkowski's inequality with (4.2), we have for $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.4) \quad & \|\chi_M(\sqrt{\lambda})F_{1,n}(x, \pm\sqrt{\lambda})\|_{L^2_\lambda(0,\infty)} \\
 & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} dx_1 \cdots dx_n \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \{ |V(x_{j+1})| \sup_{|k| \geq M} (|kG_1(x_j - x_{j+1}, k)|) \} \\
 & \quad \times \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} dk \chi_M(k)^2 \langle k \rangle^{-2n+1} |(G_1(\cdot, k) * u)(x_n)|^2 \right\}^{1/2} \\
 & \lesssim \|V\|_{L^1}^n \sup_{x_n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} dk k^{-2n+1} \chi_M(k)^2 |(G_1(\cdot, k) * u)(x_n)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
 & \lesssim M^{-n+1/2} \|V\|_{L^1}^n \|u\|_{L^2},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $x_0 = x$. Similarly, we have

$$(4.5) \quad \|\chi_M(\sqrt{\lambda})\partial_x F_{1,n}(x, \pm\sqrt{\lambda})\|_{L^2_\lambda(0,\infty)} \lesssim M^{-n+3/2} \|V\|_{L^1}^n \|u\|_{L^2}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 \partial_x(R_0(\lambda - i0) - R_0(\lambda + i0))u &= \frac{1}{2ik} \partial_x \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy u(y) (e^{-ik(x-y)} + e^{ik(x-y)}) dy \\
 &= \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} (e^{ikx} \hat{u}(k) - e^{-ikx} \hat{u}(-k)),
 \end{aligned}$$

it follows from Plancherel's identity

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.6) \quad & \|\partial_x(R_0(\lambda - i0) - R_0(\lambda + i0))u\|_{L^2_\lambda} \lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} dk \langle k \rangle |\hat{u}(k)|^2 dk \right)^{1/2} \\
 & \lesssim \|u\|_{H^{1/2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.1), (4.4)–(4.6), we obtain Lemma 4.1.

Next, we will prove Lemma 4.2. In view of (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.7) \quad & \sup_{x,k} (|\chi_M(k)F_{1,n}(x, k)| + |\chi_M(k)\partial_x F_{1,n}(x, k)|) \\
 & \lesssim \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}, |k| \geq M} \langle k \rangle^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \prod_{j=1}^n |V(x_j)| |u(x_{n+1})| dx_1 \cdots dx_{n+1} \\
 & \lesssim M^{-n} \|V\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}^n \|u\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For $\lambda = -k^2$ with $k > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{2,n}(x, k) &:= R_0(\lambda)VR_0(\lambda)^n u(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} G_2(x - x_1, k) \prod_{j=1}^n (V(x_j)G_2(x_j - x_{j+1}, k)) u(x_{n+1}) dx_1 \cdots dx_{n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} &\sup_{x,k} (|\chi_M(k)F_{2,n}(x, k)| + |\chi_M(k)\partial_x F_{2,n}(x, k)|) \\ &\lesssim M^{-n} \|V\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}^n \|u\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.7) and (4.8), we obtain Lemma 4.2. □

4.2. Low energy estimate

Next, we will estimate the low frequency part of $R(\lambda \pm i0)$. Let $\tilde{\chi}_M(x) = 1 - \chi_M(x)$.

Lemma 4.3. *Assume (V1) and (V2). Let M be a positive number given in Lemma 4.1. Then there exists a positive number C such that for every $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_x \|\langle x \rangle^{-3/2} \tilde{\chi}_M(\sqrt{\lambda}) R(\lambda \pm i0) u\|_{L^2_\lambda(0, \infty)} &\leq C \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, \\ \sup_x \|\tilde{\chi}_M(\sqrt{\lambda}) \partial_x R(\lambda \pm i0) u\|_{L^2_\lambda(0, \infty)} &\leq C \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.4. *Assume (V1) and (V2). Let M be a positive number given in Lemma 4.2. Then there exists a positive number C such that*

$$\sum_{j=0,1} \sup_{\lambda \in [-M, M]} \|\langle x \rangle^{-1} \partial_x^j R(\lambda \pm i0) u\|_{L^\infty_x} \leq C \|\langle x \rangle u\|_{L^1_x(\mathbb{R})}$$

for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$.

Furthermore, if $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} e^{\alpha|x|} |V(x)| < \infty$ holds for an $\alpha > 0$, there exists a positive number C such that

$$\sup_{\lambda \in [-M, M]} \|\partial_x R(\lambda \pm i0) u\|_{L^\infty_x} \leq C \|u\|_{L^1_x(\mathbb{R})}$$

for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$.

Before we start to prove Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4, we recall some properties of the Jost functions. We refer the readers to Deift-Trubowitz [13] for the details. Let $f_1(x, k)$ and $f_2(x, k)$ be the solutions to $Lu = k^2u$ satisfying

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} |e^{-ikx} f_1(x, k) - 1| = 0, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} |e^{ikx} f_2(x, k) - 1| = 0,$$

and let $m_1(x, k) = e^{-ikx} f_1(x, k)$ and $m_2(x, k) = e^{ikx} f_2(x, k)$. For each x , $m_1(x, k)$ and $m_2(x, k)$ are analytic in k with $\Im k > 0$, continuous in k with $\Im k \geq 0$, and satisfy

$$m_1(x, k) = 1 + \int_x^\infty \frac{e^{2ik(y-x)} - 1}{2ik} V(y) m_1(y, k) dy,$$

$$m_2(x, k) = 1 + \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{e^{2ik(x-y)} - 1}{2ik} V(y) m_2(y, k) dy.$$

Deift-Trubowitz [13] tells us that for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Im k \geq 0$,

$$(4.9) \quad |m_1(x, k) - 1| \lesssim \langle k \rangle^{-1} (1 + \max(-x, 0)) \int_x^\infty dy \langle y \rangle |V(y)|,$$

$$(4.10) \quad |m_2(x, k) - 1| \lesssim \langle k \rangle^{-1} (1 + \max(x, 0)) \int_{-\infty}^x dy \langle y \rangle |V(y)|,$$

$$(4.11) \quad |\partial_x m_1(x, k)| \lesssim \langle k \rangle^{-1} \int_x^\infty dy \langle y \rangle |V(y)|,$$

$$(4.12) \quad |\partial_x m_2(x, k)| \lesssim \langle k \rangle^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^x dy \langle y \rangle |V(y)|.$$

For every $\delta > 0$, there exists a $C_\delta > 0$ such that for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Im k \geq 0$ and $|k| \geq \delta$,

$$(4.13) \quad |m_1(x, k) - 1| \leq C_\delta \int_x^\infty dy |V(y)|,$$

$$(4.14) \quad |m_2(x, k) - 1| \leq C_\delta \int_{-\infty}^x dy |V(y)|.$$

There exist continuous functions $T(k)$, $R_1(k)$ and $R_2(k)$ on \mathbb{R} satisfying

$$(4.15) \quad f_2(x, k) = \frac{R_1(k)}{T(k)} f_1(x, k) + \frac{1}{T(k)} f_1(x, -k),$$

$$(4.16) \quad f_1(x, k) = \frac{R_2(k)}{T(k)} f_2(x, k) + \frac{1}{T(k)} f_2(x, -k)$$

for $k \in \mathbb{R}$ with $k \neq 0$. Let $[f(x), g(x)] = f'(x)g(x) - f(x)g'(x)$ and let $W(k) = [f_1(x, k), f_2(x, k)]$. As is well known, the Wronskian $W(k)$ does not depend on x and $W(k) = 2ik/T(k) \neq 0$ for $k \neq 0$. Moreover, the assumption (V2) implies $W(0) \neq 0$.

Proof of Lemma 4.3. For $\lambda = k^2$ with $k \geq 0$, the resolvent operator $R(\lambda \pm i0)$ has the kernel

$$(4.17) \quad K_\pm(x, y, k) = \begin{cases} -\frac{f_1(x, \pm k) f_2(y, \pm k)}{W(\pm k)} & \text{for } x > y, \\ -\frac{f_2(x, \pm k) f_1(y, \pm k)}{W(\pm k)} & \text{for } x < y. \end{cases}$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(\lambda \pm i0)u &= -\frac{f_1(x, \pm k)}{W(\pm k)} \int_{-\infty}^x dy f_2(y, \pm k)u(y) \\
 &\quad - \frac{f_2(x, \pm k)}{W(\pm k)} \int_x^{\infty} dy f_1(y, \pm k)u(y) \\
 &=: I(\pm k) + II(\pm k).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.18}$$

We will estimate L^2_λ -norm of the right-hand side of (4.18). We may assume $x > 0$. Let

$$I = -\frac{f_1(x, k)}{W(k)}(I_1 + I_2 + I_3),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 &= \int_0^x dy f_2(y, k)u(y), \\
 I_2 &= \int_{-\infty}^0 dy e^{-iky}u(y) = \sqrt{2\pi}\mathcal{F}_y(\chi_{(-\infty, 0]}u)(k), \\
 I_3 &= \int_{-\infty}^0 dy e^{-iky}(m_2(y, k) - 1)u(y).
 \end{aligned}$$

By (4.9) and (4.10), we see

$$\sup_{x>0} (|f_1(x, k)| + \langle x \rangle^{-1}|f_2(x, k)|) < \infty, \tag{4.19}$$

$$|I_1| \lesssim \int_0^x dy \langle y \rangle |u(y)| \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{3/2} \|u\|_{L^2}, \tag{4.20}$$

and

$$\sup_{x>0} |I_3| \lesssim \left\| \int_{-\infty}^x dy V(y) \right\|_{L^2_x(-\infty, 0)} \|u\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2}. \tag{4.21}$$

Similarly, we have $II = -\frac{f_2(x, k)}{W(k)}(II_1 + II_2)$ with

$$\begin{aligned}
 II_1 &= \int_x^{\infty} dy e^{iky}u(y) = \sqrt{2\pi}\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi_{[x, \infty)}u)(k), \\
 II_2 &= \int_x^{\infty} dy e^{iky}(m_1(y, k) - 1)u(y),
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sup_x |II_2| \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2}. \tag{4.22}$$

Obviously,

$$\sup_{x>0} (\|I_2\|_{L^2_k} + \|II_1\|_{L^2_k}) \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2}. \tag{4.23}$$

Since $W(k) \neq 0$ for every $k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\tilde{\chi}_M(k)$ is compactly supported, it follows from (4.19)–(4.23) that

$$\sup_x \int_{\mathbb{R}} dk |k| \left| \tilde{\chi}_M(k) \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy K_{\pm}(x, y, k) u(y) \right|^2 \lesssim \langle x \rangle^3 \|u\|_{L^2}^2.$$

By (4.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x R(\lambda \pm i0)u &= -\frac{\partial_x f_1(x, \pm k)}{W(\pm k)} \int_{-\infty}^x dy f_2(y, \pm k) u(y) \\ &\quad - \frac{\partial_x f_2(x, \pm k)}{W(\pm k)} \int_x^{\infty} dy f_1(y, \pm k) u(y) \\ &= III(\pm k) + IV(\pm k). \end{aligned}$$

By symmetry, it suffices to consider the case where $x > 0$. Let us rewrite III as

$$III = -\frac{e^{ikx}}{W(k)}(III_1 + III_2 + III_3),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} III_1 &= (ikm_1(x, k) + \partial_x m_1(x, k)) \int_{-\infty}^0 dy f_2(y, k) u(y), \\ III_2 &= ikm_1(x, k) \int_0^x dy f_2(y, k) u(y), \\ III_3 &= \partial_x m_1(x, k) \int_0^x dy f_2(y, k) u(y). \end{aligned}$$

By (4.9) and (4.11),

$$\sup_{k \in [-M, M]} \sup_{x \geq 0} (|km_1(x, k)| + |\partial_x m_1(x, k)|) < \infty.$$

Thus we have

$$(4.24) \quad \|III_1\|_{L_k^2(-M, M) + L_k^\infty(-M, M)} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2}$$

in the same way as (4.21) and (4.23). Under the assumption (V1) and (V2), we have $1/T(k) \simeq k^{-1}$ as $k \rightarrow 0$ and $R_1(k)$ and $R_2(k)$ are continuous in $k \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence by using (4.15), we see that

$$(4.25) \quad \|III_2\|_{L_k^2(-M, M) + L_k^\infty(-M, M)} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2}$$

follows in the same way as (4.21) and (4.23). By (V1), (4.11) and Schwarz's inequality, we have

$$(4.26) \quad \begin{aligned} |III_3| &\lesssim \left(\int_x^\infty dy |V(y)| \right) \int_0^x dy \langle y \rangle u(y) \\ &\lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-1/2} \|\langle x \rangle^2 V\|_{L^1} \|u\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$(4.27) \quad \sup_{x>0} \|IV\|_{L^2_k(-M,M)+L^\infty_k(-M,M)} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2}.$$

Combining (4.25)–(4.27), we obtain

$$\sup_{x>0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dk |k| \left| \tilde{\chi}_M(k) \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \partial_x K_{\pm}(x, y, k) u(y) \right|^2 \lesssim \|u\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Thus we complete the proof of Lemma 4.3. □

Proof of Lemma 4.4. Since $W(k)$ is continuous and $W(k) \neq 0$ on \mathbb{R} , it follows from (4.9)–(4.12) and (4.17) that

$$(4.28) \quad \sup_{k \in [-M, M]} \sup_{x, y \in \mathbb{R}} \langle x \rangle^{-1} |\partial_x^j K_{\pm}(x, y, k)| \langle y \rangle^{-1} < \infty \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1.$$

Thus we have

$$(4.29) \quad \sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \|\langle x \rangle^{-1} \tilde{\chi}_M(\sqrt{\lambda}) \partial_x^j R(\lambda \pm i0) u\|_{L^\infty_x} \leq C \|\langle x \rangle u\|_{L^1_x(\mathbb{R})} \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1.$$

For $\lambda < 0$, the resolvent operator $R(\lambda)$ has the kernel

$$(4.30) \quad K(x, y, \lambda) = \begin{cases} -\frac{f_1(x, ik)f_2(y, ik)}{W(ik)} & \text{for } x > y, \\ -\frac{f_2(x, ik)f_1(y, ik)}{W(ik)} & \text{for } x < y, \end{cases}$$

where $k = \sqrt{-\lambda}$. We have $W(ik) = 0$ for a $k > 0$ if and only if $\lambda = -k^2$ is an eigenvalue of L . Thus the assumption (V2) yields that $W(ik)$ has a simple pole at $k = \sqrt{|E_*|}$ and $W(ik) \neq 0$ for $k \in [0, \sqrt{|E_*|}) \cup (\sqrt{|E_*|}, \infty)$. Thus by (4.9)–(4.12), we have

$$\sup_{\lambda < 0} \left(\|\langle x \rangle^{-1} R(\lambda) Qu\|_{L^\infty_x} + \|\langle x \rangle^{-1} \partial_x R(\lambda) Qu\|_{L^\infty_x} \right) \lesssim \|\langle x \rangle u\|_{L^1_x}.$$

Combining the above, we obtain the former part of Lemma 4.4.

Next, we will estimate $\partial_x K_{\pm}(x, y, k)$ and $\partial_x K(x, y, \lambda)$ assuming that $V(x)$ decays like $e^{-\alpha|x|}$. In view of (4.9)–(4.12), we have

$$(4.31) \quad \sup_{k \in [-M, M]} \left(\sup_{x>0>y} |\partial_x K_{\pm}(x, y, k)| + \sup_{x<0<y} |\partial_x K_{\pm}(x, y, k)| \right) < \infty.$$

Suppose x and y has the same sign. By symmetry, we may assume $x > y > 0$. By (4.9)–(4.11), we have $\sup_{k \in [-M, M]} |\partial_x m_2(y, k)| \lesssim \langle y \rangle \lesssim \langle x \rangle$, and

$$|\partial_x m_1(x, k) m_2(y, k)| \lesssim \langle x \rangle \int_x^\infty dy \langle y \rangle |V(y)| \lesssim \langle x \rangle e^{-\alpha|x|}.$$

As in the proof of Lemma 4.3, it follows from (4.15) that

$$\sup_{k \in [-M, M]} \sup_{y > 0} |km_2(y, k)| < \infty.$$

Combining the above, we see that

$$\partial_x K_{\pm}(x, y, k) = \frac{-e^{\pm ik(x-y)}}{W(\pm k)} \{\pm ikm_1(x, \pm k) + \partial_x m_1(x, \pm k)\}m_2(y, \pm k).$$

are uniformly bounded with respect to $x > y > 0$ and $k \in [-M, M]$.

By (4.9)–(4.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\lambda \leq -\alpha^2/16} \sup_{x, y \in \mathbb{R}} |(\lambda - E_*)\partial_x K(x, y, \lambda)| < \infty, \\ & \sup_{\lambda < 0} |\lambda - E_*| \left(\sup_{x < 0 < y} |\partial_x K(x, y, \lambda)| + \sup_{y < 0 < x} |\partial_x K(x, y, \lambda)| \right) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Now we will prove the remaining case by using (4.15) and (4.16). We may assume $x > y > 0$ by symmetry. Under the assumption $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} e^{\alpha|x|}|V(x)| < \infty$, $m_1(x, k)$ and $m_2(x, k)$ are analytic in k with $\Im k > -\alpha/2$ and there exists a $C_a > 0$ for every $a > 0$ such that

$$(4.32) \quad |m_1(x, k) - 1| \leq C_a \int_x^\infty dy \langle y \rangle e^{-2\Im ky} |V(y)|$$

for $x > -a$ and $-\alpha/2 < \Im k < 0$, and

$$(4.33) \quad |m_2(x, k) - 1| \leq C_a \int_{-\infty}^x dy \langle y \rangle e^{2\Im ky} |V(y)|$$

for $x < a$ and $-\alpha/2 < \Im k < 0$. Furthermore, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{T(k)} &= \frac{1}{2ik} [f_1(x, k), f_2(x, k)], \\ \frac{R_1(k)}{T(k)} &= \frac{1}{2ik} [f_2(x, k), f_1(x, -k)], \quad \frac{R_2(k)}{T(k)} = \frac{1}{2ik} [f_2(x, -k), f_1(x, k)], \end{aligned}$$

are meromorphic in k with $|\Im k| < \alpha/2$ and have a pole of order 1 at the origin. Hence it follows from (4.15), (4.9) and (4.32) that

$$\sup_{0 < k \leq \alpha/4} \sup_{y > 0} k|m_2(y, ik)| < \infty.$$

By (4.10) and (4.11),

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 < k \leq \alpha/4} |m_2(y, ik)| \lesssim \langle y \rangle \lesssim \langle x \rangle, \\ & |\partial_x m_1(x, ik)| \lesssim \int_x^\infty dy \langle y \rangle |V(y)| \lesssim \langle x \rangle e^{-\alpha x}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 < k \leq \alpha/4} \sup_{x > y > 0} |\partial_x f_1(x, ik) f_2(y, ik)| \\ &= \sup_{0 < k \leq \alpha/4} \sup_{x > y > 0} e^{-kx} |(-km_1(x, ik) + \partial_x m_1(x, ik))m_2(y, ik)| < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above, we obtain $\sup_{\lambda < 0} \|\partial_x R(\lambda)Q\|_{B(L^1, L^\infty)} < \infty$. Thus we prove the latter part of Lemma 4.4. \square

4.3. Proof of Lemmas 2.2–2.4

Now, we are in position to prove Lemmas 2.2–2.4.

Proof of Lemma 2.2. By the spectral decomposition theorem, we have

$$Qe^{-itL}f = e^{-itL}\chi_M(L)f + Qe^{-itL}\tilde{\chi}_M(L)f,$$

and

$$(4.34) \quad \chi_M(L)e^{-itL}f = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-it\lambda} \chi_M(\lambda)(R(\lambda - i0) - R(\lambda + i0))f d\lambda,$$

$$(4.35) \quad Qe^{-itL}\tilde{\chi}_M(L)f = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-it\lambda} \tilde{\chi}_M(\lambda)Q(R(\lambda - i0) - R(\lambda + i0))f d\lambda.$$

Integrating (4.34) by part, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi_M(L)e^{-itL}f \\ &= \frac{(it)^{-j}}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\lambda e^{-it\lambda} \partial_\lambda^j \{(R(\lambda + i0) - R(\lambda - i0))\chi_M(\lambda)\}f \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}'_x(\mathbb{R}) \end{aligned}$$

for any $t \neq 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}_x(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Since

$$\|\partial_\lambda^j QR(\lambda \pm i0)\|_{B(L^{2, (j+1)/2+0}, L^{2, -(j+1)/2-0})} \lesssim \langle \lambda \rangle^{-(j+1)/2},$$

the above integral absolutely converges in $L_x^{2, -(j+1)/2-0}$ for $j \geq 2$.

Suppose $g(t, x) = g_1(t)g_2(x)$, $g_1 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$ and $g_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. We define $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_x$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{t,x}$ as

$$\langle u_1, u_2 \rangle_x := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_1(x)u_2(x)dx, \quad \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle_{t,x} := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v_1(t, x)v_2(t, x)dxdt.$$

Making use of Fubini's theorem and integration by parts, we have for $j \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \chi_M(L)e^{-itL}f, g \rangle_{t,x} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt (it)^{-j} g_1(t) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\lambda e^{-it\lambda} \partial_\lambda^j \langle \chi_M(\lambda)(R(\lambda + i0) - R(\lambda - i0))f, g_2 \rangle_x \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\lambda \partial_\lambda^j \langle \chi_M(\lambda)(R(\lambda + i0) - R(\lambda - i0))f, g_2 \rangle_x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt (it)^{-j} g_1(t) e^{-it\lambda} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi i}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\lambda (\mathcal{F}_t g_1)(\lambda) \langle \chi_M(\lambda)(R(\lambda + i0) - R(\lambda - i0))f, g_2 \rangle_x. \end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows from the above and Fubini's theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \chi_M(L)e^{-itL}f, g \rangle_{t,x} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi i}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\lambda (\chi_M(\lambda)(R(\lambda + i0) - R(\lambda - i0))f) \mathcal{F}_t g(\lambda, x) \end{aligned}$$

for every $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_t \setminus \{0\}) \otimes \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_x)$. Using Plancherel's theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle \chi_M(L)e^{-itL}f, g \rangle_{t,x}| \\ (4.36) \quad & \leq (2\pi)^{-1/2} \|\chi_M(\lambda)(R(\lambda + i0) - R(\lambda - i0))f\|_{L_x^\infty L_\lambda^2} \|\mathcal{F}_t g(\lambda, \cdot)\|_{L_x^1 L_\lambda^2} \\ & = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \|\chi_M(\lambda)(R(\lambda + i0) - R(\lambda - i0))f\|_{L_x^\infty L_\lambda^2(0,\infty)} \|g\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle Qe^{-itL}\tilde{\chi}_M(L)f, g \rangle_{t,x}| \\ (4.37) \quad & \leq (2\pi)^{-1/2} \|\langle x \rangle^{-3/2} \tilde{\chi}_M(\lambda)Q(R(\lambda - i0) - R(\lambda + i0))f\|_{L_x^\infty L_\lambda^2} \|\langle x \rangle^{3/2} g\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle \partial_x e^{-itL}Qf, g \rangle_{t,x}| \\ (4.38) \quad & \leq (2\pi)^{-1/2} (\|\chi_M(\lambda)\partial_x(R(\lambda - i0) - R(\lambda + i0))f\|_{L_x^\infty L_\lambda^2} \\ & \quad + \|\tilde{\chi}_M(\lambda)\partial_x(R(\lambda - i0) - R(\lambda + i0))Qf\|_{L_x^\infty L_\lambda^2}) \|g\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_t \setminus \{0\}) \otimes \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_x)$ is dense in $L_x^1 L_t^2$, Eqs. (2.8) and (2.9) follow from (4.36)–(4.38) and Lemmas 4.1 and 4.3. By using the duality argument, we see that (2.10) follows from (2.8). Thus we complete the proof of Lemma 2.2. \square

To prove Lemma 2.3, we need the following.

Lemma 4.5. *Assume (V1) and (V2). Let $g(t, x) \in \mathcal{S}_\otimes(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and*

$$U(t, x) = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\lambda e^{-it\lambda} \{R(\lambda - i0) + R(\lambda + i0)\} Q(\mathcal{F}_t^{-1}g)(\lambda, \cdot).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} U(t, x) &= 2 \int_0^t ds e^{-i(t-s)L} Qg(s, \cdot) + \int_{-\infty}^0 ds e^{-i(t-s)L} Qg(s, \cdot) \\ &\quad - \int_0^\infty ds e^{-i(t-s)L} Qg(s, \cdot). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We may assume that $g(t, x)$ is written as $g(t, x) = g_1(t)g_2(x)$ with $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. Let $h \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda) &= \langle Q\{R(\lambda - i0) + R(\lambda + i0)\}g_2, h \rangle, \\ f_\varepsilon(\lambda) &= \langle Q\{R(\lambda - i\varepsilon) + R(\lambda + i\varepsilon)\}g_2, h \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Then $f(\lambda)$ and $f_\varepsilon(\lambda)$ are smooth functions satisfying

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \varepsilon > 0} \langle \lambda \rangle^{k+1/2} (|\partial_\lambda^k f(\lambda)| + |\partial_\lambda^k f_\varepsilon(\lambda)|) < \infty$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ (see e.g [28]), and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} U(t, x) \overline{h(x)} dx &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\lambda e^{-it\lambda} f(\lambda) (\mathcal{F}^{-1}g_1)(\lambda) \\ (4.39) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} ds \hat{f}(t-s) g_1(s). \end{aligned}$$

By the spectral decomposition theorem,

$$(4.40) \qquad f_\varepsilon(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{2(\lambda - \mu)}{(\lambda - \mu)^2 + \varepsilon^2} d\langle E_{ac}(\mu)g_2, h \rangle.$$

Taking the Fourier transform of (4.40) and using Fubini's theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}_\varepsilon(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\langle E_{ac}(\mu)g_2, h \rangle \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\lambda e^{-it\lambda} \frac{2(\lambda - \mu)}{(\lambda - \mu)^2 + \varepsilon^2} \\ &= \sqrt{2\pi}i \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\langle E_{ac}(\mu)g_2, h \rangle e^{-it\mu - \varepsilon|t|} \operatorname{sgn} t. \end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows that

$$(4.41) \qquad \hat{f}(t) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \hat{f}_\varepsilon(t) = \sqrt{2\pi}i \operatorname{sgn} t \langle Qe^{-itL}g_2, h \rangle.$$

Substituting (4.41) into (4.39), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U(t, \cdot), h \rangle &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} ds g_1(s) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{sgn}(t-s) e^{-i(t-s)\mu} d\langle E_{ac}(\mu)g_2, h \rangle \right) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^t ds g_1(s) \langle e^{-i(t-s)L}g_2, h \rangle - \int_t^\infty ds g_1(s) \langle e^{-i(t-s)L}g_2, h \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we complete the proof of Lemma 4.5. □

Proof of Lemma 2.3. Since $\mathcal{S}_\otimes(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is dense in $L_x^1 L_t^2$, it suffices to prove (2.11) for $g \in \mathcal{S}_\otimes(\mathbb{R}^2)$. As in the proof of Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-1} \partial_x^j U(\cdot, x)\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} \leq \|\langle x \rangle^{-1} \partial_x^j \{R(\lambda - i0) + R(\lambda + i0)\} Q \mathcal{F}_t^{-1} g(\lambda, \cdot)\|_{L_x^\infty L_\lambda^2}.$$

Applying Plancherel's theorem and Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\langle x \rangle^{-1} \partial_x^j U(\cdot, x)\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} \\ &\leq \left\| \|\langle \cdot \rangle^{-1} \partial_x^j \{R(\lambda - i0) + R(\lambda + i0)\} Q \langle \cdot \rangle^{-1}\|_{B(L_x^1, L_x^\infty)} \|\langle \cdot \rangle \mathcal{F}_t^{-1} g(\lambda, \cdot)\|_{L_x^1} \right\|_{L_\lambda^2} \\ &\leq \sup_\lambda \|\langle \cdot \rangle^{-1} \partial_x^j \{R(\lambda - i0) + R(\lambda + i0)\} Q \langle \cdot \rangle^{-1}\|_{B(L_x^1, L_x^\infty)} \|\langle x \rangle g\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2} \end{aligned}$$

for $j = 0, 1$. Hence it follows from Lemmas 4.2 and 4.4 that

$$(4.42) \quad \|\langle x \rangle^{-1}U\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} + \|\langle x \rangle^{-1}\partial_x U\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} \lesssim \|\langle x \rangle g\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2}.$$

For $I = [0, \infty)$ and $I = (-\infty, 0]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_I e^{-i(t-s)L} Qg(s) ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} ds \chi_I(s) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i(t-s)\lambda} dE_{ac}(\lambda) g(s, \cdot) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\lambda ds e^{-i(t-s)\lambda} \{R(\lambda - i0) - R(\lambda + i0)\} Q\chi_I(s)g(s, \cdot) \\ &= -i\mathcal{F}_\lambda \{(R(\lambda - i0) - R(\lambda + i0))Q\mathcal{F}_s^{-1}(\chi_I(s)g)(\lambda, \cdot)\}(t). \end{aligned}$$

By Plancherel’s identity and Minkowski’s inequality, we have

$$(4.43) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| \langle x \rangle^{-1} \partial_x^j \int_I e^{-i(t-s)L} Qg(s) ds \right\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2} \\ & \leq \left\| \langle x \rangle^{-1} \partial_x^j (R(\lambda - i0) - R(\lambda + i0)) Q\mathcal{F}_s^{-1}(\chi_I(s)g)(\lambda, \cdot) \right\|_{L_x^\infty L_\lambda^2} \\ & \leq \sup_\lambda \|\langle \cdot \rangle^{-1} \partial_x^j \{R(\lambda - i0) - R(\lambda + i0)\} Q\langle \cdot \rangle^{-1}\|_{B(L_x^1, L_x^\infty)} \|\langle x \rangle g\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2} \end{aligned}$$

for $j = 0, 1$. Combining (4.42)–(4.43) with Lemma 4.5, we obtain (2.11). Since (2.12) can be obtained in exactly the same way, we omit the proof. \square

Finally, we will prove Lemma 2.4. To prove Lemma 2.4, we will use a lemma of Christ and Kiselev [10].

Proof of Lemma 2.4. Let $(q, p) = (4, \infty)$ or $(q, p) = (\infty, 2)$ and let

$$Tg(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} ds e^{-i(t-s)L} Qg(s).$$

Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 imply $f := \int_{\mathbb{R}} ds e^{isL} Qg(s) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$\|Tg(t)\|_{L_t^q L_x^p} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \|\langle x \rangle^{3/2} g\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2}.$$

Thus by Schwarz’s inequality, we see that there exists a $C > 0$ such that for every $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$,

$$(4.44) \quad \|Tg(t)\|_{L_t^q L_x^p} \leq C \|g\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(\mathbb{R}, \langle x \rangle^5 dx)}.$$

Since $q > 2$, it follows from Lemma 3.1 in [40] and (4.44) that

$$(4.45) \quad \left\| \int_{s < t} ds e^{-i(t-s)L} Qg(s) \right\|_{L_t^q L_x^p} \lesssim \|g\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2(\mathbb{R}, \langle x \rangle^5 dx)}.$$

Thus we prove Lemma 2.4. \square

Acknowledgements. The author would like to express his gratitude to Professor Kenji Nakanishi for telling the author the paper by Christ and Kiselev [10]. This research is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 17740079).

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS
 KYUSHU UNIVERSITY
 6-10-1 HAKOZAKI
 FUKUOKA 812-8581, JAPAN
 e-mail: mizumati@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

References

- [1] G. Artbazar and K. Yajima, *The L^p -continuity of wave operators for one dimensional Schrodinger operators*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **7** (2000), 221–240.
- [2] J. A. Barceló, A. Ruiz and L. Vega, *Some dispersive estimates for Schrödinger equations with potentials*, preprint.
- [3] M. Ben-Artzi and S. Klainerman, *Decay and regularity for the Schrodinger equation*, J. Anal. Math. **58** (1992), 25–37.
- [4] J. L. Bona and A. Soyeur, *On the stability of solitary-wave solutions of model equations for long waves*, J. Nonlinear Sci. **4** (1994), 449–470.
- [5] N. Burq and F. Planchon, *Smoothing and dispersive estimates for 1D Schrodinger equations with BV coefficients and applications*, J. Funct. Anal. **236** (2006), 265–298.
- [6] V. S. Buslaev and G. S. Perelman, *Scattering for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation: States close to a soliton*, St. Petersburg Math. J. **4** (1993), 1111–1142.
- [7] ———, *On the stability of solitary waves for nonlinear Schrodinger equations*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. **164** (1995), 75–98.
- [8] V. S. Buslaev and C. Sulem, *On asymptotic stability of solitary waves for nonlinear Schrodinger equations*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **20** (2003), 419–475.
- [9] T. Cazenave and P. L. Lions, *Orbital stability of standing waves for some nonlinear Schrodinger equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **85** (1982), 549–561.
- [10] M. Christ and A. Kiselev, *Maximal functions associated with filtrations*, J. Funct. Anal. **179** (2001), 409–425.
- [11] P. Constantin and J. C. Saut, *Local smoothing properties of dispersive equations*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **1** (1988), 413–439.

- [12] S. Cuccagna, *Stabilization of solutions to nonlinear Schrodinger equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **54** (2001), 1110–1145.
- [13] P. Deift and E. Trubowitz, *Inverse scattering on the line*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **32** (1979), 121–251.
- [14] K. El Dika, *Asymptotic stability of solitary waves for the Benjamin-Bona-Mahony equation*, Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems **13** (2005), 583–622.
- [15] M. Goldberg and W. Schlag, *Dispersive estimates for Schrodinger operators in dimensions one and three*, Comm. Math. Phys. **251** (2004), 157–178.
- [16] M. Grillakis, J. Shatah and W. Strauss, *Stability theory of solitary waves in the presence of symmetry*, J. Funct. Anal. **74** (1987), 160–197.
- [17] Z. Gang and I. M. Sigal, *Asymptotic stability of nonlinear Schrodinger equations with potential*, Rev. Math. Phys. **17** (2005), 1143–1207.
- [18] S. Gustafson, K. Nakanishi and T. P. Tsai, *Asymptotic stability and completeness in the energy space for nonlinear Schrodinger equations with small solitary waves*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices **66** (2004), 3559–3584.
- [19] T. Kato, *On the Cauchy problem for the (generalized) Korteweg-de Vries equation*, Studies in applied mathematics, 93–128, Adv. Math. Suppl. Stud. **8** (1983).
- [20] T. Kato and K. Yajima, *Some examples of smooth operators and the associated smoothing effect*, Rev. Math. Phys. **1** (1989), 481–496.
- [21] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega, *Oscillatory integrals and regularity of dispersive equations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **40** (1991), 33–69.
- [22] ———, *Small solutions to nonlinear Schrodinger equations*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **10** (1993), 255–288.
- [23] J. Krieger and W. Schlag, *Stable manifolds for all monic supercritical focusing nonlinear Schrodinger equations in one dimension*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
- [24] Y. Martel and F. Merle, *A Liouville theorem for the critical generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **79** (2000), 339–425.
- [25] ———, *Asymptotic stability of solitons of the subcritical gKdV equations revisited*, Nonlinearity **18** (2005), 55–80.
- [26] T. Mizumachi, *Large time asymptotics of solutions around solitary waves to the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **32** (2001), 1050–1080.
- [27] ———, *Asymptotic stability of solitary wave solutions to the regularized long-wave equation*, J. Differential Equations **200** (2004), 312–341.

- [28] M. Murata, *Asymptotic expansions in time for solutions of Schrödinger-type equations*, J. Funct. Anal. **49** (1982), 10–56.
- [29] L. Nirenberg, *Topics in nonlinear functional analysis, Revised reprint of the 1974 original*, Courant Lecture Notes in Math. **6**, American Mathematical Society, 2001.
- [30] Y. G. Oh, *Stability of semiclassical bound states of nonlinear Schrodinger equations with potentials*, Comm. Math. Phys. **121** (1989), 11–33.
- [31] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations*, Appl. Math. Sci. **44**, Springer.
- [32] R. L. Pego and M. I. Weinstein, *Asymptotic stability of solitary waves*, Comm. Math. Phys. **164** (1994), 305–349.
- [33] G. Perelman, *Asymptotic stability of multi-soliton solutions for nonlinear Schrodinger equations*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **29** (2004), 1051–1095.
- [34] H. A. Rose and M. I. Weinstein, *On the bound states of the nonlinear Schrodinger equation with a linear potential*, Phys. D **30** (1988), 207–218.
- [35] I. Rodnianski, W. Schlag and A. Soffer, *Asymptotic stability of N -soliton states of NLS*, preprint.
- [36] A. Ruiz and L. Vega, *On local regularity of Schrodinger equations*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1993), 13–27.
- [37] P. C. Schuur, *Asymptotic Analysis of Soliton Problems*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1232**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1986.
- [38] J. Shatah and W. A. Strauss, *Instability of nonlinear bound states*, Comm. Math. Phys. **100** (1985), 173–190.
- [39] P. Sjölin, *Regularity of solutions to the Schrodinger equation*, Duke Math. J. **55** (1987), 699–715.
- [40] H. F. Smith and C. D. Sogge, *Global Strichartz estimates for nontrapping perturbations of the Laplacian*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **25** (2000), 2171–2183.
- [41] A. Soffer and M. I. Weinstein, *Multichannel nonlinear scattering theory for nonintegrable equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **133** (1990), 119–146.
- [42] ———, *Multichannel nonlinear scattering theory for nonintegrable equations II: The case of anisotropic potentials and data*, J. Differential Equations **98** (1992), 376–390.
- [43] ———, *Selection of the ground state for nonlinear Schrodinger equations*, Rev. Math. Phys. **16** (2004), 977–1071.

- [44] R. Weder, *The $W_{k,p}$ -continuity of the Schrodinger wave operators on the line*, Comm. Math. Phys. **208** (1999), 507–520.
- [45] M. I. Weinstein, *Lyapunov stability of ground states of nonlinear dispersive evolution equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **39** (1986), 51–68.
- [46] H. T. Yau and T. P. Tsai, *Asymptotic dynamics of nonlinear Schrodinger equations: resonance dominated and radiation dominated solutions*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **55** (2002), 1–64.
- [47] ———, *Stable directions for excited states of nonlinear Schrodinger equations*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **27** (2002), 2363–2402.
- [48] ———, *Relaxation of excited states in nonlinear Schrodinger equations*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (2002), 1629–1673.