

Functional central limit theorem and Strassen's law of the iterated logarithms for weakly multiplicative systems

Dedicated to Prof. N. Ikeda on his 60th birthday

Katusi FUKUYAMA

0. Introduction and Results

The notion of multiplicative systems was first introduced by Alexits [1], [2]. A sequence $\{\xi_n\}$ of random variables is called a uniformly bounded multiplicative system if there exists a constant K such that $|\xi_n| \leq K$ for all n and $E(\xi_{n_1} \cdots \xi_{n_r}) = 0$ for all $r \in \mathbf{N}$ and $n_1 < \cdots < n_r$. Of course sequences of independent random variables and martingale difference sequences are examples of this notion when they are uniformly bounded. But there are other important examples. Those are lacunary trigonometric sequences with Hadamard's gaps i. e. $\{\cos 2\pi n_k x\}$ on $([0, 1], dx)$ when the sequence $\{n_k\}$ of integers satisfies $n_{k+1}/n_k \geq 2$ for all k . Kolmogorov [10] proved that lacunary trigonometric series having l_2 -coefficients converge almost everywhere, and the converse theorem was proved by Zygmund [23]. The central limit theorem for lacunary trigonometric sequences with Hadamard's gaps was hardly studied as Kac [9] summarizes and was completely proved by Salem-Zygmund [20]. These works revealed the weak dependence property of these sequences, and this property was also realized for multiplicative systems by the following studies. Alexits-Sharma [3] proved the law of large numbers for uniformly bounded multiplicative systems (Cf. Preston [16]). The central limit theorem was proved by Révész [17] and the law of the iterated logarithm by Gaposkin [8], Takahashi [22] and Révész [18], [19] under some restrictive condition.

Recently, Móricz [14], [15] extended the notion of multiplicative systems to that of weakly multiplicative systems. Sequence $\{\xi_n\}$ of random variables is called weakly multiplicative system when $E(\xi_{n_1} \cdots \xi_{n_r})$ is nearly 0 in some sense. Mainly we consider weakly multiplicative systems satisfying (0.3). For a sequence $\{\xi_n\}$ of random variables, we define an infinite dimensional vector $B_r = (b_{i_1, \dots, i_r})_{i_1 < \dots < i_r}$ for $r \in \mathbf{N}$ by $b_{i_1, \dots, i_r} = E(\xi_{i_1} \cdots \xi_{i_r})$ and $\|B_r\|_\delta$ indicates its l_δ -norm, i. e. $\|B_r\|_\delta = (\sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_r} |b_{i_1, \dots, i_r}|^\delta)^{1/\delta}$. Móricz [14] proved the following law of the iterated logarithm.

Theorem A. *Let $\{\xi_n\}$ be a sequence of random variables satisfying*

$$(0.1) \quad |\xi_n| \leq K \quad \text{for all } n,$$

$$(0.2) \quad \|B_r\|_2 < \infty \text{ for all } r \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \|B_r\|_2^{1/r} = B < \infty.$$

Then,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2(K^2 + B^2)A_n^2 \log \log A_n^2}} \leq 1 \quad \text{a. s.}$$

where $S_n = a_1 \xi_1 + \dots + a_n \xi_n$ and $A_n^2 = a_1^2 + \dots + a_n^2$ with $A_n^2 \uparrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Berkes [4] also proved Strassen’s law of the iterated logarithms for weakly multiplicative systems satisfying much stronger conditions $\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \|B_r\|_1 < \infty$ and $\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \|B'_r\|_1 < \infty$ where B'_r is a vector defined in the same way as B_r using $\{\xi_j^2 - 1\}$ instead of $\{\xi_j\}$, i. e. $B'_r = (b'_{i_1, \dots, i_r})_{i_1 < \dots < i_r}$ where $b'_{i_1, \dots, i_r} = E((\xi_{i_1}^2 - 1) \dots (\xi_{i_r}^2 - 1))$. There are very important examples of weakly multiplicative systems which do not satisfy Berkes’s conditions. For instance, nonharmonic trigonometric sequences with Hadamard’s gaps, i. e. $\{\cos \lambda_k x\}$ on $([0, 1], dx)$ when the sequence $\{\lambda_k\}$ of real numbers satisfies $\lambda_k \uparrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lambda_{k+1}/\lambda_k \geq 2$ for all k , are weakly multiplicative systems satisfying (0.3) as stated in Section 3.

We prove the following functional central limit theorem and Strassen’s law of the iterated logarithms. Kôno [11] and Fukuyama [7] proved these theorems for some type of multiplicative systems. We extend these theorems to the case of weakly multiplicative systems satisfying (0.3).

First we define $C[0, 1]$ -valued random variables X_n by $X_n(A_j^2/A_n^2) = S_j/A_n$ and is linear in $[A_j^2/A_n^2, A_{j+1}^2/A_n^2]$ where $S_n = a_1 \xi_1 + \dots + a_n \xi_n$.

Theorem 1. *Let $\{\xi_n\}$ be a sequence of random variables satisfying (0.1),*

$$(0.3) \quad \sup_r \|B_r\|_2^{1/r} < \infty \quad \text{for some } \delta \in [1, 2)$$

and either

$$(0.4) \quad \lim_{\substack{i+j \rightarrow \infty \\ i \neq j}} E((\xi_i^2 - 1)(\xi_j^2 - 1)) = 0$$

or

$$(0.5) \quad E((\xi_i^2 - 1)(\xi_j^2 - 1)) \leq \beta_{|i-j|} \quad \text{for some sequence } \{\beta_j\} \text{ with } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n < \infty.$$

Let $\{a_n\}$ satisfy

$$(0.6) \quad A_n^2 = a_1^2 + \dots + a_n^2 \uparrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad a_n = o(A_n) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then the distributions of $\{X_n\}$ converges weakly on $C[0, 1]$ to the Wiener measure.

Under the condition on B'_r , we can weaken the condition that $\{\xi_n\}$ is uniformly bounded.

Theorem 2. *Let $\{\xi_n\}$ satisfy (0.3).*

$$(0.7) \quad \sup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \|B'_r\|_1^{1/r} < \infty \quad \text{for some } \delta' \in [1, 2).$$

Let $\{\xi_n\}$ and $\{a_n\}$ satisfy

$$(0.8) \quad A_n \uparrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad a_n \|\xi_n\|_\infty = o(A_n) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then the distributions of $\{S_n/A_n\}$ converges weakly to the standard normal distribution. And moreover, if we suppose

$$(0.9) \quad E\xi_n^4 \leq K \quad \text{for all } n \in N,$$

then the distributions of $\{X_n\}$ converges weakly on $C[0, 1]$ to the Wiener measure.

Theorem 3. (i) Let $\{\xi_n\}$ satisfy (0.1) and (0.3), and $\{a_n\}$ satisfy

$$(0.10) \quad A_n^2 \uparrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad a_n^2 = o\left(\frac{A_n^2}{\log \log A_n^2}\right) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then

$$P(\{X_n/\sqrt{2 \log \log A_n^2}\} \text{ is relatively compact in } C[0, 1]) = 1.$$

(ii) Let $\{\xi_n\}$ satisfy (0.1), (0.3) and

$$(0.11) \quad \|B'_2\|_2 < \infty.$$

Let $\{a_n\}$ satisfy

$$(0.12) \quad A_n^2 \uparrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad a_n^2 = o\left(\frac{A_n^2}{(\log A_n^2)^{1+\epsilon}}\right) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ for some } \epsilon > 0.$$

Then

$$P(\{\text{The cluster of } \{X_n/\sqrt{2 \log \log A_n^2}\} \text{ in } C[0, 1]\} \subset K) = 1.$$

(iii) Let $\{\xi_n\}$ satisfy (0.1), (0.3) and

$$(0.13) \quad \sup_{r \in N} \|B'_r\|_{\delta'}^{r'} < \infty \quad \text{for some } \delta' \in [1, 2].$$

Let $\{a_n\}$ satisfy

$$(0.14) \quad A_n^2 \uparrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad a_n^2 = o\left(\frac{A_n^2}{(\log \log A_n^2)^{\delta'/(2-\delta')}}\right) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then

$$P(\{\text{The cluster of } \{X_n/\sqrt{2 \log \log A_n^2}\} \text{ in } C[0, 1]\} \subset K) = 1.$$

(iv) Moreover if we suppose

$$(0.15) \quad A_n^2 \uparrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad a_n = o(A_n^{1-\epsilon}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ for some } \epsilon > 0,$$

then we have

$$P(\{\text{The cluster of } \{X_n/\sqrt{2 \log \log A_n^2}\} \text{ in } C[0, 1]\} = K) = 1,$$

where $K = \left\{x \in C[0, 1] : x(0) = 0, x \text{ is absolutely continuous and } \int_0^1 \left(\frac{dx}{dt}\right)^2 dt \leq 1\right\}.$

Most important part of proof of these theorems is to prove an estimate in lemma 1.

It is very meaningful to prove these theorems in functional form, because the usual central limit theorem and the law of the iterated logarithms follow from these and

moreover, other limit theorems can be derived out. (Cf. Billingsley [5] and Strassen [21].)

The author would like to express his hearty thanks to Prof. N. Kono and Prof. S. Watanabe for their advice and encouragement.

1. Proof of Theorem 1 and 2

We use the following lemma due to Móricz [14].

Lemma B. *Under the conditions (0.1) and (0.2), for all $\gamma > 0$ and $\{a_n\}$*

$$P(|S_n| \geq \gamma) \leq C_\gamma \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma^2}{2(K^2 + B^2 + \gamma)A_n^2}\right).$$

Using this lemma, we can prove

$$(1.1) \quad P(|S_n| \geq \gamma) \leq C \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma^2}{C'A_n^2}\right)$$

under the conditions (0.1) and (0.3) for all $\{a_n\}$ for some constants C and C' , because $\sup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \|B_r\|_p^{1/r} < \infty$ implies $\sup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \|B_r\|_2^{1/r} < \infty$. We say that sequence of random variables satisfying (1.1) is sub-gaussian. Discussion here asserts that uniformly bounded weakly multiplicative systems are sub-gaussian.

Using (1.1), tightness of sequence $\{X_n\}$ is easily proved. (Cf. Fukuyama [7].) Thus we only have to prove the weak convergence of finite dimensional distributions of $\{X_n\}$. We prove 1-dimensional case using next theorem due to McLeish [13]. After that, multidimensional case becomes trivial because of the well known Cramér-Wold theorem (Cf. Billingsley [5]).

Theorem C. *Let $\{\zeta_{n,j}; 1 \leq j \leq k_n\}$ be a given triangular array of random variables and put $T_n = \prod_{j=1}^{k_n} (1 + it\zeta_{n,j})$. Suppose for all real t ,*

$$(a) \quad E(T_n) \rightarrow 1, \quad (b) \quad \{T_n\} \text{ is uniformly integrable,}$$

$$(c) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \zeta_{n,j}^2 \xrightarrow{p} 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (d) \quad \max_{j=1}^{k_n} |\zeta_{n,j}| \xrightarrow{p} 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then the distribution of $\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \zeta_{n,j}$ converges weakly to the standard normal distribution.

Now we put $k_n = n$ and $\zeta_{n,j} = (a_j/A_n)\xi_j$. Then we have

$$|T_n| \leq e^{t^2 K^2/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{j=1}^n |\zeta_{n,j}| \leq \frac{K}{A_n} \max_{j=1}^n |a_j| \rightarrow 0.$$

We prove (a) in the following generalized form for the convenience of the later use.

Lemma 1. *Let $\{\xi_n\}$ satisfy (0.3) and $\{a_n\}$ satisfy (0.6). Then*

$$\left| E \prod_{j=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{\lambda_{n,j} a_j \xi_j}{A_n}\right) - 1 \right| \leq \frac{A_n T e^{1/\varepsilon}}{G_n^{1/2-1/\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{1}{2^\delta - 1}\right)^{1/\delta}$$

for large enough n , where $\{G_n\}$ is a real sequence satisfying

$$G_n \max_{j \leq n} a_j^2 \leq A_n^2 \quad \text{and} \quad G_n \uparrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

ε is the dual of δ i.e. $1/\delta + 1/\varepsilon = 1$ (in case $\delta=1, 1/\varepsilon=0$). $T=2 \sup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \|B_r\|_1^{1/r}$, $\{A_n\}$ is a real sequence satisfying $A_n = o(G_n^{1/2-1/\varepsilon})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\{\lambda_{n,j}\}$ is a triangular array of numbers satisfying $|\lambda_{n,j}| \leq A_n$.

For the proof of (a), $\lambda_{n,j} = it$ for all n, j and $A_n = t$ for all n . Now we prove Lemma 1. In case $\delta=1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| E \prod_{j=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{\lambda_{n,j} a_j \xi_j}{A_n} \right) - 1 \right| &= \left| \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_r \leq n} \lambda_{n,j_1} \dots \lambda_{n,j_r} \frac{a_{j_1} \dots a_{j_r}}{A_n^r} b_{j_1 \dots j_r} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{r=1}^n A_n^r \frac{1}{G_n^{r/2}} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_r \leq n} |b_{j_1 \dots j_r}| \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^n \left(\frac{TA_n}{G_n^{1/2}} \right)^r \left(\frac{\|B_r\|_1^{1/r}}{T} \right)^r \end{aligned}$$

Since $TA_n/G_n^{1/2} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for large enough n , $TA_n/G_n^{1/2} \leq 1$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{TA_n}{G_n^{1/2}} \sum_{r=1}^n 2^{-r} \\ &\leq \frac{TA_n}{G_n^{1/2}} \end{aligned}$$

In case $\delta \in (1, 2)$.

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| E \prod_{j=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{\lambda_{n,j} a_j \xi_j}{A_n} \right) - 1 \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_r \leq n} T^r \lambda_{n,j_1} \dots \lambda_{n,j_r} \frac{a_{j_1} \dots a_{j_r}}{A_n^r} \frac{1}{T^r} b_{j_1 \dots j_r} \right| \\ &= \left(\sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_r \leq n} \left| \lambda_{n,j_1} \dots \lambda_{n,j_r} T^r \frac{a_{j_1} \dots a_{j_r}}{A_n^r} \right|^\varepsilon \left(\sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_r \leq n} \frac{1}{T^{\delta r}} |b_{j_1 \dots j_r}|^\delta \right)^{1/\delta} \right)^{1/\varepsilon} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_r \leq n} (A_n T)^{r\varepsilon} \left| \frac{a_{j_1} \dots a_{j_r}}{A_n^r} \right|^{\varepsilon-2} \left(\frac{a_{j_1}}{A_n} \right)^2 \dots \left(\frac{a_{j_r}}{A_n} \right)^2 \right)^{1/\varepsilon} \left(\sum_{r=1}^n \left(\frac{\|B_r\|_1^{1/r}}{T} \right)^{r\delta} \right)^{1/\delta} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{r=1}^n \left(\frac{A_n T}{G_n^{1/2-1/\varepsilon}} \right)^{\varepsilon r} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_r \leq n} \left(\frac{a_{j_1}}{A_n} \right)^2 \dots \left(\frac{a_{j_r}}{A_n} \right)^2 \right)^{1/\varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{2^\delta - 1} \right)^{1/\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(TA_n/G_n^{1/2-1/\varepsilon}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for large enough n ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{A_n T}{G_n^{1/2-1/\varepsilon}} \left(\sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_r \leq n} \left(\frac{a_{j_1}}{A_n} \right)^2 \dots \left(\frac{a_{j_r}}{A_n} \right)^2 \right)^{1/\varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{2^\delta - 1} \right)^{1/\delta} \\ &\leq \frac{A_n T}{G_n^{1/2-1/\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{1}{2^\delta - 1} \right)^{1/\delta} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{a_j^2}{A_n^2} \right) \right)^{1/\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Making use of $1+x \leq e$, $\prod_{j=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{a_j^2}{A_n^2} \right) \leq \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a_j^2}{A_n^2} \right) = e$. Thus we have,

$$\leq \frac{A_n T}{G_n^{1/2-1/\epsilon}} \left(\frac{1}{2^\delta-1}\right)^{1/\delta} e^{1/\epsilon}.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 1. Next we prove (c). We prove

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{1}{A_n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^2 \xi_j^2 \xrightarrow{L^2} 1 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

when either (0.4) or (0.5) is assumed. From (0.4),

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(\frac{1}{A_n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^2 \xi_j^2 - 1\right)^2 &= \frac{1}{A_n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^2 E((\xi_j^2 - 1)^2) + \frac{2}{A_n^4} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i^2 a_j^2 E((\xi_i^2 - 1)(\xi_j^2 - 1)) \\ &= \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2, \\ \Sigma_1 &\leq \frac{(K^2 + 1)^2}{A_n^4} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^4 \leq \frac{(K^2 + 1)^2}{G_n A_n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^2 = \frac{(K^2 + 1)^2}{G_n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By (0.4), for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists N such that $i + j \geq N$ implies $|E(\xi_i^2 - 1)(\xi_j^2 - 1)| < \epsilon$, and

$$\left| \frac{1}{A_n^4} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq n \\ i+j \geq N}} a_i^2 a_j^2 E((\xi_i^2 - 1)(\xi_j^2 - 1)) \right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{A_n^4} \sum_{1 \leq i < j < n} a_i^2 a_j^2 \leq \epsilon.$$

Thus we have $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\Sigma_2| \leq \epsilon$. Since ϵ is arbitrary, we have proved (1.2) from (0.4). From (0.5)

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(\frac{1}{A_n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^2 \xi_j^2 - 1\right)^2 &\leq \frac{2}{A_n^4} \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \beta_r \sum_{i=1}^{n-r} a_i^2 a_{i+r}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{2}{G_n A_n^2} \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \beta_r \sum_{i=1}^{n-r} a_i^2 \\ &\leq \frac{2}{G_n} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \beta_r \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of theorem 1.

Next we prove theorem 2. First we check the conditions of Theorem C. (a) and (c) are trivial because (0.11) implies (0.4). To prove (b), it is sufficient to show that $\sup_n E|T_n|^2 < \infty$.

$$\begin{aligned} |T_n|^2 &= \prod_{j=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{\lambda^2 a_j^2 \xi_j^2}{A_n^2}\right) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{\lambda^2 a_j^2}{A_n^2}\right) \prod_{j=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{\lambda_{n,j} a_j^2 (\xi_j^2 - 1)}{D_n}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where $D_n^2 = a_1^2 + \dots + a_n^2$ and $\lambda_{n,j} = D_n / (\lambda^2 a_j^2 + A_n^2)$. Since $|\lambda_{n,j}|^2 \leq D_n^2 / A_n^4 \leq 1 / G_n$, we can apply Lemma 1 and prove that $E|T_n|^2$ is bounded. (d) is a direct consequence of (0.8). Tightness is proved by $E(S_n^4) \leq C A_n^2$, but it is a consequence of (0.7) and (0.9) using the Theorem 1 of Móricz [14].

2. Proof of Theorem 3

The proof of (i) is the same as that of Theorem 7 (1) in Fukuyama [7], because it uses only the sub-gaussian property (1.1) of $\{\xi_n\}$. For the proofs of (ii), (iii) and (iv), we use the following theorem due to Kuelbs [12].

Theorem D. Assume that

$$P(\{X_n/\sqrt{2 \log \log A_n^2}\} \text{ is relatively compact in } C[0, 1])=1$$

and for all signed measure ν with bounded variation on $[0, 1]$,

$$P\left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^1 X_n(t) d\nu}{\sqrt{2 \log \log A_n^2}} \leq K_{\nu,1}\right)=1$$

holds. Then we have

$$P(\{\text{The cluster of } \{X_n/\sqrt{2 \log \log A_n^2}\} \text{ in } C[0, 1]\} \subset K)=1.$$

Further more suppose that

$$P\left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^1 X_n(t) d\nu}{\sqrt{2 \log \log A_n^2}} = K_{\nu,1}\right)=1.$$

then we have

$$P(\{\text{The cluster of } \{X_n/\sqrt{2 \log \log A_n^2}\} \text{ in } C[0, 1]\} = K)=1,$$

where

$$K_{\nu,\theta}^2 = E\left[\left(\int_0^1 W(t \wedge \theta^{-1}) d\nu(t)\right)^2\right] = \int_0^{\theta^{-1}} (\nu[x, 1])^2 dx.$$

($W(t)$ denotes the standard Brownian motion.)

First we prepare some notations.

Put $N = |\nu|([0, 1])$,

$$\phi_{n,j}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } t \in \left[0, \frac{A_{j-1}^2}{A_n^2}\right] \\ \frac{A_n^2}{a_j^2} \left(t - \frac{A_{j-1}^2}{A_n^2}\right) & \text{for } t \in \left[\frac{A_{j-1}^2}{A_n^2}, \frac{A_j^2}{A_n^2}\right] \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ and}$$

$$c_{n,j} = \int_0^1 \phi_{n,j}(t) d\nu(t) \text{ and } A_{\nu,n}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n (a_j c_{n,j})^2$$

We have

$$X_n(t) = \frac{1}{A_n} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \phi_{n,j}(t) \xi_j \text{ and } \int_0^1 X_n(t) d\nu(t) = \frac{1}{A_n} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j c_{n,j} \xi_j.$$

The order of $A_{\nu,n}^2$ is calculated as follows.

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_{v,n}^2}{A_n^2} = K_{v,1}^2.$$

This formula is easily proved as an application of the functional central limit theorem for the Rademacher sequence $\{r_n\}$. Let Y_n be a $C[0, 1]$ -valued random variable defined in the same way as X_n using $\{r_n\}$ instead of $\{\xi_n\}$. Functional central limit theorem and uniform integrability imply

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \left[\left(\int_0^1 X_n(t \wedge \theta^{-1}) d\nu(t) \right)^2 \right] = E \left[\left(\int_0^1 B(t \wedge \theta^{-1}) d\nu(t) \right)^2 \right].$$

Putting $\theta=1$ and calculating the expectations, we have (2.1). Now we take $\theta > 1$ and take $p(r)$ satisfying $A_{p(r)}^2 \leq \theta^r < A_{p(r)+1}^2$. We derive the conclusion of (ii) and (iii) from (0.1),

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{1}{A_{p(r)}^2} \sum_{j=1}^{p(r)} (a_j c_{p(r),j} \xi_j)^2 \rightarrow K_{v,1}^2 \quad \text{a. s. as } r \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad \left| E \prod_{j=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{c_{n,j} a_j \xi_j}{A_n} \sqrt{2 \log \log A_n^2} \right) \right| \leq L \quad \text{for all } n \in N, \text{ for some } L > 0.$$

Thus we first prove (2.3) and (2.4) under the condition of (ii) or (iii). First we assume the condition of (2). Since $|c_{n,j}| \leq N$, (0.12) and (2.1) implies

$$(a_j c_{n,j})^2 = o \left(\frac{A_{v,n}^2}{(\log A_n^2)^{1+\epsilon}} \right) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using this estimate,

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left(\frac{1}{A_{v,p(r)}^2} \sum_{j=1}^{p(r)} (a_j c_{p(r),j})^2 (\xi_j^2 - 1)^2 \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{A_{v,p(r)}^4} \sum_{j=1}^{p(r)} (a_j c_{p(r),j})^4 E((\xi_j^2 - 1)^2) \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{A_{v,p(r)}^4} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p(r)} (a_i c_{p(r),i})^2 (a_j c_{p(r),j})^2 b'_{i,j} \\ &\leq \frac{((K^2 + 1)^2 + 2 \|B'_2\|_2)}{A_{v,p(r)}^2} \max_{i \leq p(r)} (a_i c_{p(r),i})^2 = O \left(\frac{1}{(\log A_{p(r)}^2)^{1+\epsilon}} \right) = O(r^{-1-\epsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

Since this is a term of a convergent series, by the Beppo-Levi theorem, (2.3) is proved.

Next we prove (2.3) under the conditions of (iii). Since $|c_{n,j}| \leq N$, (0.14) and (2.1) implies

$$(a_j c_{n,j})^2 = o \left(\frac{A_{v,n}^2}{(\log \log A_n^2)^{\delta/(2-\delta)}} \right) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since $\{\xi^2 - 1\}$ is sub-gaussian, putting

$$H_n = \frac{A_{v,n}^2}{\left(\max_{j \leq n} |a_j c_{n,j}|^2 \right) \log \log A_n^2} \uparrow \infty,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\left|\frac{1}{A_{v, p(r)}^2} \sum_{j=1}^{p(r)} (a_j c_{p(r), j})^2 (\xi_j^2 - 1)\right| \geq \sqrt{2C'/H_{p(r)}}\right) \\ \leq C \exp\left(-\frac{4A_{v, n}^4}{H_{p(r)} A_{v, p(r)}^2 \left(\max_{j \leq p(r)} (a_j c_{p(r), j})^2\right)}\right) \\ \leq C \exp(-4 \log \log \theta^r). \end{aligned}$$

Since this is a term of a convergent series, by the Borel-Cantel lemma, we have

$$\left|\frac{1}{A_{v, p(r)}^2} \sum_{j=1}^{p(r)} (a_j c_{p(r), j})^2 (\xi_j^2 - 1)\right| \leq \sqrt{2C'/H_{p(r)}} \quad \text{f. e. a. s.}$$

Thus (2.3) is proved.

Next we derive (2.4) from (0.3) and (0.14). Put

$$c_{n, j} \sqrt{2 \log \log A_n^2} = \lambda_{n, j} \quad \text{and} \quad A_n = N \sqrt{2 \log \log A_n^2}.$$

By (0.14), we can take $1/G_n = o((\log \log A_n^2)^{-\delta/(2-\delta)})$ and this implies $(TA_n/G_n^{1/2-1/\varepsilon}) = o(1)$. Thus we can apply Lemma 1 and prove (2.4).

Now we derive the final conclusion from (0.1), (2.3) and (2.4), using the method due to S. Takahashi. (Takahashi [22]) Put $\lambda_n = K_{v, 1}^{-1} \sqrt{2 \log \log A_n^2}$. Making use of $e^x \leq (1+x) \exp(x^2/2 + |x|^3)$ ($|x| \leq 1$), (2.3), (2.4) and uniform boundedness and taking large enough r , we can prove that

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[\exp\left(\frac{\lambda_{p(r)}}{A_{p(r)}} \sum_{j=1}^{p(r)} c_{p(r), j} a_j \xi_j - \frac{\lambda_{p(r)}^2}{2A_{p(r)}^2} \sum_{j=1}^{p(r)} \{c_{p(r), j} a_j \xi_j\}^2 - (1+2\varepsilon) \frac{K_{v, 1}^2 \lambda_{p(r)}^2}{2}\right)\right] \\ \leq E \prod_{j=1}^{p(r)} \left(1 + \frac{c_{p(r), j} a_j \xi_j}{A_{p(r)}} \sqrt{2 \log \log A_{p(r)}^2}\right) \\ \times \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_{p(r)}^3 K^3}{A_{p(r)}^3} \sum_{j=1}^{p(r)} |c_{p(r), j} a_j|^3 - (1+2\varepsilon) \frac{K_{v, 1}^2 \lambda_{p(r)}^2}{2}\right) \\ \leq L \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_{p(r)}^3 K^3}{A_{p(r)}^3} N^3 \max_{j \leq p(r)} |a_j| - (1+2\varepsilon) \frac{K_{v, 1}^2 \lambda_{p(r)}^2}{2}\right) \\ \leq L \exp(\log \log A_{p(r)}^2 o(1) - (1+2\varepsilon) \log \log A_{p(r)}^2) \\ \leq K' r^{-1-\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Since this is a term of convergent series, by Beppo-Levi's theorem, we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{p(r)}^2 \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{p(r)}} \int_0^1 X_{p(r)} d\nu - (1+\varepsilon) K_{v, 1}^2\right) = -\infty$$

to conclude

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^1 X_{p(r)} d\nu}{\sqrt{2 \log \log A_{p(r)}^2}} \leq K_{v, 1} \quad \text{a. s. .}$$

For given n , take r as $p(r-1) < n \leq p(r)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \frac{X_n(t)}{\sqrt{2 \log \log A_n^2}} \nu(dt) - \int_0^1 \frac{X_{p(r)}(t)}{\sqrt{2 \log \log A_{p(r)}^2}} \nu(dt) \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{X_n(t) - X_{p(r)}(t)}{\sqrt{2 \log \log A_p^2}} \nu(dt) + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \log \log A_n^2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \log \log A_{p(r)}^2}} \right) \int_0^1 X_{p(r)} \nu(dt). \\ &= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

$I_2 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ is trivial.

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| \leq & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \log \log A_{p(r-1)}^2}} \left(\frac{A_{p(r)}}{A_n} \left| \int_0^1 \left\{ X_{p(r)} \left(\frac{A_n^2}{A_{p(r)}^2} t \right) - X_{p(r)}(t) \right\} \nu(dt) \right| \right. \\ & \left. + \left(\frac{A_{p(r)}}{A_n} - 1 \right) \left| \int_0^1 X_{p(r)} \nu(dt) \right| \right). \end{aligned}$$

The first part tends to 0 a.s. as $\theta \downarrow 1$ by equi-continuity and the second part is trivial. Thus we have proved

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^1 X_n d\nu}{\sqrt{2 \log \log A_n^2}} \leq K_{\nu,1} \quad \text{a. s.}$$

Here we end the proof of (2).

The proof of

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^1 X_n d\nu}{\sqrt{2 \log \log A_n^2}} \geq K_{\nu,1} \quad \text{a. s.}$$

is the same as that in Fukuyama [7], because it use only the sub-gaussian property of $\{\xi_j^2 - 1\}$.

3. Examples

We consider on the sequence $\xi_n = \sqrt{2} \cos \lambda_n x$ on the probability space $([0, 1], dx)$ when the sequence $\{\lambda_k\}$ of real numbers satisfies $\lambda_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lambda_{k+1}/\lambda_k \geq 2$ for all k . $\{\xi_n\}$ is a uniformly bounded weakly multiplicative system such that (0.1), (0.3) and (0.7) hold with $\delta = \delta' = 1$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} |E(\xi_{n_1} \cdots \xi_{n_r})| &= \frac{\sqrt{2^r}}{2^{r-1}} \sum_{\pm_1, \dots, \pm_r} \left| \int \cos(\lambda_{n_r} \pm \cdots \pm \lambda_{n_1}) x dx \right| \leq \sqrt{2}^r (\lambda_{n_r} - \cdots - \lambda_{n_1})^{-1}. \\ \sum_{n_1 < \dots < n_r} |E(\xi_{n_1} \cdots \xi_{n_r})| &\leq \sum_{0 \leq n_1 < \dots < n_r} \sqrt{2}^r (2^{n_r} - \cdots - 2^{n_1})^{-1} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2}^r \sum_{n_1=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n_1} \sum_{1 \leq n_2 < \dots < n_r} (2^{n_r} - \cdots - 2^{n_2} - 1)^{-1} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2}^r \sum_{0 \leq n_2 < \dots < n_r} (2^{n_r} - \cdots - 2^{n_2})^{-1} \\ &\leq \dots \leq \sqrt{2}^r. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $\|B_r\|_1^{1/r} \leq \sqrt{2}$ for all r . Similarly, we have $\|B_r'\|_1^{1/r} \leq \sqrt{2}$.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS KYOTO UNIVERSITY	Current Address INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA
---	--

References

- [1] G. Alexits, Convergence problem of orthogonal series, *Académiai Kiadó/Pergamon Press*, 1961.
- [2] G. Alexits, Sur la sommabilité des séries orthogonales, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.*, 4 (1953), 181-188.
- [3] G. Alexits-A. Sharma, On the convergence of multiplicatively orthogonal series, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.*, 22 (1971), 257-266.
- [4] I. Berkes, On Strassen's version of the log log law for multiplicative systems, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, 8 (1973), 425-431.
- [5] P. Billingsley, *Convergence of probability measures*, J. Wiley, 1968.
- [6] E. Dudley and P. Hall, The gaussian law and lacunary sets of characters, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 27 (1979), 91-107.
- [7] K. Fukuyama, Some limit theorem of almost periodic function systems under the relative measure, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 28 (1988), 557-577.
- [8] V.F. Gaposkin, On the law of and iterated logarithms for strongly multiplicative systems, *Theory Prob. Appl.*, 6 (1969), 493-496.
- [9] M. Kac, Probability methods in some problems of analysis and number theory, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 55 (1949), 641-665.
- [10] A. Kolmogorov, Une contribution à l'étude de la convergence des séries de Fourier, *Fund. Math.*, 5 (1924), 26-27.
- [11] N. Kőno, Functional central limit theorem and log log law for multiplicative systems, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 52 (1988), 233-288.
- [12] J. Kuelbs, A strong convergence theorem for Banach space valued random variables, *Ann. Probab.*, 4 (1976), 744-771.
- [13] D.L. McLeish, Dependent central limit theorem and invariance principles, *Ann. Probab.*, 2 (1974), 620-628.
- [14] F. Móricz, On the law of the iterated logarithms and related results for weakly multiplicative systems, *Analysis Math.*, 2 (1976), 211-229.
- [15] F. Móricz, On the convergence properties of weakly multiplicative systems, *Acta Sci. Math. Szeged*, 38 (1976), 127-144.
- [16] C.J. Preston, On the convergence of multiplicatively orthogonal series, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 28 (1971), 453-455.
- [17] P. Révész, Some remarks on strongly multiplicative systems, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.*, 16 (1965), 441-446.
- [18] P. Révész, The law of the iterated logarithm for multiplicative systems, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 21 (1972), 557-564.
- [19] P. Révész, A new law of the iterated logarithm for multiplicative systems, *Acta Sci. Math. Szeged*, 34 (1973), 349-358.
- [20] R. Salem-A. Zygmund, On lacunary trigonometric series, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* 33 (1947), 333-338.
- [21] V. Strassen, An invariance principle for the law of the iterated logarithm, *Z. Wahrsh. Verw. Geb.*, 3 (1964), 211-226.
- [22] S. Takahashi, Notes on the law of the iterated logarithm, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, 7 (1972), 21-24.
- [23] A. Zygmund, On the convergence of lacunary trigonometric series, *Fund. Math.*, 16 (1930), 90-97, Correction, *ibid.*, 18 (1932), 312.