

On the homology of $BU(2n, \dots, \infty)$

By

Kazumoto KOZIMA

§ 1. Introduction

We denote by $X(n, \dots, \infty)$ the $(n-1)$ -connective fibering over X . The cohomology ring $H^*(BU(2n, \dots, \infty); F_p)$ was determined by the work of Adams [1], of Stong [9] and of Singer [8]. The purpose of this paper is to calculate the image of

$$i_{n*}: H_*(BU(2n, \dots, \infty)) \longrightarrow H_*(BU)$$

(where i_n is the fiber inclusion) in the stable range $* < 4n$. Our method can be outlined as follows. First we construct a map t_n from the n -fold smash product CP^∞ to $BU(2n, \dots, \infty)$. Using this map, we get a system of elements

$$\beta_I \in H_{2|I|}(BU(2n, \dots, \infty))$$

where $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$, $i_j > 0$ and $|I| = \sum i_j$. We shall show that β_I generate $H_*(BU(2n, \dots, \infty))/\text{Torsion}$ in the stable range. To prove this, we will use a result of Adams [2] on $H_*(bu)$.

I'd like to thank Professor A. Kono for his valuable advices.

§ 2. Construction of maps and elements

We write $X^{\wedge n}$ for the n -fold smash product of a space X .

Let $t_n: BU^{\wedge n} \rightarrow BU$ be the n -fold tensor product of virtual bundles of virtual dimension zero. We use the same t_n for its restriction to $(CP^\infty)^{\wedge n}$. Since $(CP^\infty)^{\wedge n}$ is $(2n-1)$ -connected, we have a map

$$\tilde{t}_n: (CP^\infty)^{\wedge n} \longrightarrow BU(2n, \dots, \infty)$$

such that $i_n \circ \tilde{t}_n = t_n$.

Let E be a complex oriented theory. Then $E_*(CP^\infty)$ is a free $E_*(pt)$ -module generated by $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_k, \dots$, where β_k is the dual element of the k -th power of the Euler class $x^E \in E^2(CP^\infty)$. (See Adams [2], part II.) So $E_*((CP^\infty)^{\wedge n})$ is a free $E_*(pt)$ -module generated by

$$\beta_{i_1} \otimes \beta_{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \beta_{i_n} \quad \text{where } i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n > 0.$$

We denote $\tilde{i}_n(\beta_{i_1} \otimes \beta_{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \beta_{i_n}) \in E_*(BU(2n, \dots, \infty))$ as

$$\beta_{\langle i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \rangle}.$$

Remark. In the case of $n=2$, $B\mathcal{U}(4, \dots, \infty)$ is BSU and $\{\beta_{\langle i, j \rangle}\}$ generate $E_*(BSU)$ as algebra. This can be proved by the same way as in Baker [5] or Kozima [7]. (See also Kochman [6].)

§ 3. The proof of the main result

Let $\mu_n: (CP^\infty)^\wedge^n \rightarrow MU(2n)$ be the map induced from the external product of line bundles. Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (CP^\infty)^\wedge^n & \xrightarrow{\mu_n} & MU(2n) \xrightarrow{\iota_n} \Sigma^{2n}MU \\ \tilde{t}_n \downarrow & & \Sigma^{2n}t \downarrow \\ BU(2n, \dots, \infty) & \xrightarrow{\iota_n} & \Sigma^{2n}bu \end{array}$$

commutes up to homotopy where t is the Thom map and ι_n the inclusion as the $2n$ -th term of spectrum.

Proof. Since $bu^*((CP^\infty)^\wedge^n) \rightarrow K^*((CP^\infty)^\wedge^n)$ and $K^*((CP^\infty)^\wedge^n) \rightarrow K^*((CP^\infty)^n)$ are monic, we show it in $K^*((CP^\infty)^n)$. Let $\pi_i: (CP^\infty)^n \rightarrow CP^\infty$ be the i -th projection and ξ the canonical line bundle. Clearly $\iota_n \circ \tilde{t}_n$ represents

$$u^{-n} \cdot (\xi_1 - 1) \cdot (\xi_2 - 1) \cdots (\xi_n - 1)$$

Where $u \in K_2(pt)$ is the generator and $\xi_i = \pi_i^* \xi$. On the other hand, by the multiplicativity of Thom class, we have

$$\begin{aligned} t(\xi_1 \times \xi_2 \times \cdots \times \xi_n) &= t(\xi_1) \cdot t(\xi_2) \cdots t(\xi_n) \\ &= u^{-n} \cdot (\xi_1 - 1) \cdot (\xi_2 - 1) \cdots (\xi_n - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.2. *The image of the composition*

$$H_*(MU) \xrightarrow{t_*} H_*(bu) \longrightarrow H_*(bu)/\text{Torsion}$$

is epic.

Proof. Let \mathcal{Q}_p be the localization of \mathcal{Z} at a prime p . Since $H_*(bu)/\text{Torsion} \subset H\mathcal{Q}_*(bu)$, it is sufficient to show

$$\text{Im } \rho \circ t_* = \text{Im } \rho$$

where $\rho: H_*(bu) \rightarrow H\mathcal{Q}_{p^*}(bu)$ for all prime p . By the result of Adams ([2], part III, proof of 16.5.), $H\mathcal{Q}_{p^*}(bu)$ is the \mathcal{Q}_p -subalgebra of $\mathcal{Q}_p[u]$ generated by u and u^{p-1}/p . Since $H_*(MU) = \mathcal{Z}[b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k, \dots]$ where $b_k = \sigma^{-2}(\iota_{2*} \beta_{k+1})$ and an easy calculation shows $t_* b_1 = u/2$ and $t_* b_{p-1} = u^{p-1}/p!$, the result follows.

By (3.1), we have

$$\sigma^{-2n} t_{n*} \beta_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)} = t_*(b_{i_1-1} b_{i_2-1} \dots b_{i_n-1})$$

where $i_k > 0$ and $b_0 = 1$. Since

$$t_{n*}: H_*(BU(2n, \dots, \infty)) \longrightarrow H_*(\Sigma^{2n} \mathbf{b}u)$$

is isomorphic for $* < 4n$, the proof of the following theorem is clear.

Theorem 3.3. $\{\beta_I\}$ generate

$$H_*(BU(2n, \dots, \infty))/\text{Torsion} \quad \text{for } * > 4n.$$

Corollary 3.4. $\{i_{n*} \beta_I\}$ generate $\text{Im } i_{n*}$ for $* < 4n$.

Remark. The condition on the degree is needed in these cases. The first problem is $H_{12}(BU(6, \dots, \infty))/\text{Torsion}$. Using an easy argument on the Serre spectral sequence, one can prove that $H_{12}(BU(6, \dots, \infty))/\text{Torsion}$ generated $\beta_{(4,1,1)}$ and $\frac{1}{4} \cdot \beta_{(1,1,1)}^2$, (thus $H_*(BU(2n, \dots, \infty))/\text{Torsion}$ is not a polynomial algebra). On the other hand, $\text{Im}(t_{3*})$ is generated by $\beta_{(4,1,1)}$ and $\beta_{(2,2,2)} - 3 \cdot \beta_{(4,1,1)} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \beta_{(1,1,1)}^2$ at this degree.

§ 4 Relations between $i_{n*} \beta_I$

In this section we will give some relations of $i_{n*} \beta_I$ in $E_*(BU)$. We write β_I for $i_{n*} \beta_I$ in this section. Let

$$\beta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

be the formal power series

$$1 + \sum_{l(I)=n} \beta_I x^I \in E_*(BU)[[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]]$$

where $l(I)$ is the length of I and $x^I = x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \dots x_n^{i_n}$ if $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$.

Let $+_E$ be the sum defined by the formal group of E . Then we have the following formulae.

Theorem 4.1.

- (1) $\beta(x_{\tau(1)}, x_{\tau(2)}, \dots, x_{\tau(n)}) = \beta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ for any permutation τ .
- (2) $\beta(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_k +_E x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}, \dots, x_n) = \beta(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot \beta(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)$ for all k .

Proof. We use the same notations as is the proof of (3.1) and put $\eta_i = \xi_{i-1}$. Then t_n classifies $\eta_1 \eta_2 \dots \eta_n$ and the Boardman image of t_n is $\beta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. Since $\eta_{\tau(1)} \eta_{\tau(2)} \dots \eta_{\tau(n)} = \eta_1 \eta_2 \dots \eta_n$, (1) is clear. (2) follows from the fact that $\beta(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_k +_E x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}, \dots, x_n)$ is the image of the classifying map of

$$\begin{aligned} & \eta_1 \dots \eta_{k-1} (\xi_k \xi_{k+1} - 1) \eta_{k+2} \dots \eta_n \\ &= \eta_1 \dots \eta_{k-1} \eta_k \eta_{k+1} \eta_{k+2} \dots \eta_n + \eta_1 \dots \eta_{k-1} \eta_k \eta_{k+2} \dots \eta_n + \eta_1 \dots \eta_{k-1} \eta_{k+1} \eta_{k+2} \dots \eta_n \end{aligned}$$

and the Whitney sum of bundles corresponds to the product in $E_*(BU)[[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]]$. (See Ravenel-Wilson [8] and Kozima [7].)

Example 4.2. For example, in H_* , we have

$$\beta(x_1, x_2 + x_3) = \beta(x_1, x_2, x_3) \cdot \beta(x_1, x_2) \cdot \beta(x_1, x_3) \quad \text{by (4.1)(2)}.$$

So one can easily obtain

$$\beta_{(1, i, j)} = \binom{i+j}{i} \cdot \beta_{(1, i+j)} \quad \text{for } i, j > 0$$

and

$$\beta_{(2, 2, 2)} = 6 \cdot \beta_{(2, 4)} - 6 \cdot \beta_{(1, 3)} \beta_{(1, 1)} - \beta_{(1, 2)}^2.$$

We have also

$$\beta(x_3, x_1 + x_2) = \beta(x_3, x_1, x_2) \cdot \beta(x_3, x_1) \cdot \beta(x_3, x_2)$$

and, by (4.1)(1)

$$\beta(x_1, x_2 + x_3) \cdot \beta(x_2, x_3) = \beta(x_1 + x_2, x_3) \cdot \beta(x_1, \beta_2).$$

This last equation gives the relations between $\beta_{(i, j)}$.

KYOTO UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION

References

- [1] J. F. Adams, On Chern characters and the structure of the unitary group, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, **57** (1961), 189-199.
- [2] J. F. Adams, *Stable homotopy and generalized homology*, Chicago University Press, 1974.
- [3] J. F. Adams and S. B. Priddy, Uniqueness of BSO, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, **80** (1976), 457-509.
- [4] R. D. Arthan and S. R. Bullet, The homology of $MO(1)^{A_\infty}$ and $MU(1)^{A_\infty}$, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **26** (1982), 229-234.
- [5] A. Baker, More homology generators for BSO and BSU, *Current trends in algebraic topology, Part 1, CMC Conf. Proc.*, 2, Amer. Math., Providence, R. I., 1982, 329-435.
- [6] S. O. Kochman, Polynomial generators for $H_*(BSU)$ and $H_*(BSO; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **84** (1982), 149-154.
- [7] K. Kozima, On polynomial generators for the generalized homology of BSU, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **27** (1987), 563-568.
- [8] D. C. Ravenel and W. S. Wilson, The Hopf ring for complex cobordism, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **9** (1977), 241-280.
- [9] W. M. Singer, Connective fiberings over BU and U, *Topology*, **7** (1968), 271-303.
- [10] R. E. Stong, Determination of $H^*(BO(k, \dots, \infty), \mathbb{Z}_2)$ and $H^*(BU(k, \dots, \infty), \mathbb{Z}_2)$, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **107** (1963), 526-544.
- [11] W. S. Wilson, Brown-Peterson homology: an introduction and sampler, *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*, 48. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1982.