

## A note on stable $G$ -cohomotopy Groups

By

Kouyemon IRIYE and Akira KONO

(Received July 2, 1980)

Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $X$  and  $Y$  pointed  $G$ -spaces and  $\alpha$  be an element of the real representation ring  $RO(G)$  of  $G$ . By  $\{X, Y\}_\alpha^G$  we denote the abelian group of stable  $G$ -homotopy classes of pointed  $G$ -maps of degree  $\alpha$  from  $X$  to  $Y$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class of abelian groups. It is natural to conjecture that "if  $\{X^H, Y^H\}_k \in \mathcal{C}$  for all subgroups  $H$  of  $G$  and all integers  $k$ , then  $\{X, Y\}_\alpha^G \in \mathcal{C}$  for all  $\alpha \in RO(G)$ ".

The purpose of this paper is to prove the above conjecture under a suitable condition (cf. Theorem 2.2).

### 1. Stable $G$ -cohomotopy groups

Let  $G$  be a finite group. By a  $G$ -module  $V$  we mean a real representation space of  $G$ . Let  $\{V_1, \dots, V_{n(G)}\}$  be the set of representatives of irreducible  $G$ -modules and fix it throughout this paper. Identify a  $G$ -module  $V$  with the  $G$ -module

$$k_1 V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus k_{n(G)} V_{n(G)}$$

if the former is isomorphic to the latter.

For a  $G$ -module  $V$  we denote by  $S(V)$  and  $B(V)$  the unit sphere and the unit ball in  $V$  with respect to a  $G$ -invariant inner product, respectively. Put  $\Sigma^V = B(V)/S(V)$  and  $\Sigma^V X = \Sigma^V \wedge X$  for a pointed  $G$ -space  $X$ . An effective  $G$ -module  $V$  is a  $G$ -module such that  $S(V)$  is an effective  $G$ -space which is equivalent to  $V^G = \{0\}$ .

In this section  $V$  and  $W$  denote  $G$ -modules,  $\alpha \in RO(G)$  and  $X$  and  $Y$  denote pointed  $G$ -spaces. If  $[\cdot, \cdot]_G$  denotes the set of  $G$ -homotopy classes of pointed  $G$ -maps, then define the  $\alpha$ -th stable  $G$ -cohomotopy group  $\{, \}_\alpha^G$  by

$$\{X, Y\}_\alpha^G = \text{Colim}[\Sigma^{2n\omega - \alpha} X, \Sigma^{2n\omega} Y]_G$$

where  $\omega = \omega(G)$  is the real regular representation of  $G$ . When  $G$  is a trivial group, we write simply  $\{, \}_n$  for  $\{, \}_n^G$ . The suspension isomorphism for  $G$ -module  $V$

$$\sigma^V : \{X, Y\}_\alpha^G \rightarrow \{\Sigma^V X, Y\}_{\alpha+V}^G$$

is defined in the obvious way.

Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$ . By  $Top_0^G$  we denote the category of pointed  $G$ -spaces and pointed  $G$ -maps. Since any pointed  $G$ -space may be considered as a pointed  $H$ -space, there is a functor  $\phi_H: Top_0^G \rightarrow Top_0^H$  and we have a natural transformation (up to sign)

$$(1.1) \quad \phi_H: \{X, Y\}_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \{\phi_H(X), \phi_H(Y)\}_{\mathcal{H}^H},$$

where  $\alpha|_H$  denotes  $i_H^*(\alpha)$  where  $i_H^*: RO(G) \rightarrow RO(H)$  is the restriction homomorphism. The restriction (1.1) may be considered as follows. It is easy to check that  $G$ -maps  $(G/H)_+ \wedge X \rightarrow Y$  correspond precisely to  $H$ -maps  $\phi_H(X) \rightarrow \phi_H(Y)$  and  $G$ -homotopic maps to  $H$ -homotopic maps. Thus we have an isomorphism

$$(1.2) \quad \{(G/H)_+ \wedge X, Y\}_{\mathcal{G}} \approx \{\phi_H(X), \phi_H(Y)\}_{\mathcal{H}^H}.$$

Let

$$(1.3) \quad \tilde{\beta}_H: \{X, Y\}_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \{(G/H)_+ \wedge X, Y\}_{\mathcal{G}}$$

be the homomorphism induced by the map  $(G/H)_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^0 = \{0\}_+$  which collapses  $G/H$  to a point 0. Then the restriction (1.1) is identified with  $\tilde{\beta}_H$  through the isomorphism (1.2).

Put  $W(H) = N(H)/H$ , where  $N(H)$  is the normalizer of  $H$  in  $G$ . For a pointed  $G$ -space  $X$ ,  $X^H$  (the fixed point set of  $H$ ) may be considered as a pointed  $W(H)$ -space. Thus there is a fixed-point functor  $\phi_H: Top_0^G \rightarrow Top_0^{W(H)}$ . Since  $(X \wedge Y)^H = X^H \wedge Y^H$  and  $\omega(G)^H = |G/N(H)|\omega(W(H))$  as  $W(H)$ -module, we have a natural transformation (up to sign)

$$(1.4) \quad \phi_H: \{X, Y\}_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \{X^H, Y^H\}_{W(H)^H},$$

where  $\alpha^H = V^H - W^H$  for  $\alpha = V - W \in RO(G)$ .

If  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , we may regard the fixed-point homomorphism (1.4) as follows. Let

$$\chi_V: \{X, Y\}_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \{X, Y\}_{\mathcal{G}^{+V}}$$

be the composition of the following sequence:

$$\{X, Y\}_{\mathcal{G}} \xrightarrow{\sigma^V} \{\Sigma^V X, Y\}_{\mathcal{G}^{+V}} \xrightarrow{(i_V \wedge 1)^*} \{X, Y\}_{\mathcal{G}^{+V}}$$

where  $i_V: \Sigma^0 \subset \Sigma^V$  is the inclusion. Obviously  $\chi_V \chi_W = \chi_W \chi_V = \chi_{V \oplus W}$ . By  $I(H)$  we denote the set of  $G$ -modules such that  $V^H = \{0\}$ . Since  $(V \oplus W)^H = V^H \oplus W^H$ ,  $I(H)$  is an abelian semi-group. For fixed  $\alpha \in RO(G)$ ,  $\{\{X, Y\}_{\mathcal{G}^{+V}}, \chi_W | V, W \in I(H)\}$  forms a direct system of abelian groups. Write its colimit as

$$\text{Colim}_{I(H)} \{X, Y\}_{\mathcal{G}}$$

and denote the canonical homomorphism by

$$l_H: \{X, Y\}_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \text{Colim}_{I(H)} \{X, Y\}_{\mathcal{G}}.$$

For an irreducible  $G$ -module  $V$ ,  $V^H = \{0\}$  if and only if  $H$  does not act trivially. If  $H$  acts on  $X$  trivially, there are following isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Colim}_{I(H)} \{X, Y\}_G &= \operatorname{Colim}_{V \in I(H), n} [\Sigma^{2n\omega - \alpha^V} X, \Sigma^{2n\omega} Y]_G \\ &\approx \operatorname{Colim}_n [\Sigma^{2n\omega^H - \alpha^H} X, \Sigma^{2n\omega} Y]_G \\ &\approx \operatorname{Colim}_n [\Sigma^{2n\omega(G/H) - \alpha^H} X, \Sigma^{2n\omega(G/H)} Y]_G \\ &\approx \{X, Y^H\}_{G/H}^{\alpha^H}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $j^H : X^H \subset X$  be the inclusion, then the composition of a sequence

$$\{X, Y\}_G \xrightarrow{j_H^*} \{X^H, Y\}_G \xrightarrow{l_H} \operatorname{Colim}_{I(H)} \{X^H, Y\}_G = \{X^H, Y^H\}_{G/H}^{\alpha^H}$$

equals  $\phi_H$  defined by (1.4).

## 2. Fixed-point isomorphism

For a pointed  $G$ -space  $Y$  we denote by  $N(Y) \geq -1$  an integer such that  $\{\Sigma^0, \phi(Y)\}^k = 0$  for  $k \geq -N(Y)$ .

We will prove the following theorems by induction on the order of  $G$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\alpha \in RO(G)$ ,  $X$  be a finite dimensional pointed  $G$ -complex ([2], [4]) and  $Y$  be a pointed  $G$ -space. If  $|\alpha^H| \geq \dim X^H - N(Y^H)$  for all subgroups  $H$  of  $G$  then*

$$\{X, Y\}_G = 0.$$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $\alpha \in RO(G)$ ,  $X$  be a finite dimensional pointed  $G$ -complex,  $Y$  be a pointed  $G$ -space and  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class of abelian groups. Denote by  $\{k_H\}_{H \subset G}$  the given set of integers. If  $\{X^H, Y^H\}^k \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $k \geq k_H$ , and  $|\alpha^H| \geq k_H$  for all subgroups  $H$  of  $G$  then*

$$\{X, Y\}_G \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Theorem 2.1 is a corollary of Theorem 2.2.

First we prepare three lemmas and a theorem by using the assumption of the induction. Hence, fix a finite group  $G$  and assume that Theorem 2.1 and 2.2 are valid for all proper subgroups of  $G$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $V$  be an effective  $G$ -module. If  $\{X^H, Y^H\}^k \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $k \geq k_H$ , and  $|\alpha^H| \geq k_H + (|V^H| - 1)$  for all proper subgroups  $H$  of  $G$  then*

$$\{S(V)_+ \wedge X, Y\}_G \in \mathcal{C}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $C = S(V)_+$  is a  $(|V| - 1)$ -dimensional  $G$ -complex, by  $C_k$  we denote the  $k$ -skeleton of  $C$  ( $C_{-1}$  = base point).

We prove  $\{C_k \wedge X, Y\}_{\mathcal{G}} \in \mathcal{C}$  by induction on  $k \leq |V| - 1$ . Since  $C_{-1}$  = base point, it is clear. Next suppose that  $0 \leq k \leq |V| - 1$ , then

$$C_k / C_{k-1} \approx \vee_{\beta} (G/H_{\beta})_+ \wedge S^k, \quad H_{\beta} \neq G, \quad k \leq |V^{H_{\beta}}| - 1,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \{C_k / C_{k-1} \wedge X, Y\}_{\mathcal{G}} &\approx \prod_{\beta} \{(G/H_{\beta})_+ \wedge S^k \wedge X, Y\}_{\mathcal{G}} \\ &\approx \prod_{\beta} \{\psi_{H_{\beta}}(X), \psi_{H_{\beta}}(Y)\}_{H_{\beta}^{H_{\beta}}}^{\alpha|H_{\beta}} \in \mathcal{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume  $\{C_{k-1} \wedge X, Y\}_{\mathcal{G}} \in \mathcal{C}$ , then by the exact sequence

$$\{C_k / C_{k-1} \wedge X, Y\}_{\mathcal{G}} \longrightarrow \{C_k \wedge X, Y\}_{\mathcal{G}} \longrightarrow \{C_{k-1} \wedge X, Y\}_{\mathcal{G}},$$

we see that  $\{C_k \wedge X, Y\}_{\mathcal{G}} \in \mathcal{C}$ , which completes the proof.

Q. E. D.

$G$ -cofibration  $S(V)_+ \rightarrow B(V)_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^V$  induces an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \longrightarrow \{S(V)_+ \wedge X, Y\}_{\mathcal{G}^{+V-1}} &\longrightarrow \{X, Y\}_{\mathcal{G}} \xrightarrow{\chi_V} \{X, Y\}_{\mathcal{G}^{+V}} \\ &\longrightarrow \{S(V)_+ \wedge X, Y\}_{\mathcal{G}^{+V}} \longrightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

This exact sequence and Lemma 2.3 imply

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $V$  be an effective  $G$ -module. If  $\{X^H, Y^H\}^k \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $k \geq k_H$ , and  $|\alpha^H| \geq k_H$  for all proper subgroups  $H$  of  $G$  then*

$$\chi_V : \{X, Y\}_{\mathcal{G}} \longrightarrow \{X, Y\}_{\mathcal{G}^{+V}}$$

is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -isomorphism.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $X$  be a finite dimensional pointed  $G$ -complex such that  $X^G$  = base point. If  $|\alpha^H| \geq \dim X^H - N(Y^H)$  for all proper subgroups  $H$  of  $G$  then*

$$\{X, Y\}_{\mathcal{G}} = 0.$$

*Proof.* By induction on  $\dim X$ . If  $\dim X = -1$ , then  $X$  = base point and it is clear. Next, suppose  $\dim X = n \geq 0$  and put  $A = X_{n-1}$ . Note that  $A^G$  = base point. Then

$$X/A \approx \vee_{\beta} (G/H_{\beta})_+ \wedge S^n, \quad H_{\beta} \neq G,$$

and so  $\dim X^{H_{\beta}} \geq n$ . Hence  $|\alpha^{H_{\beta}}| \geq n - N(Y^{H_{\beta}})$  and so

$$\{X/A, Y\}_{\mathcal{G}} \approx \prod_{\beta} \{S^n, \psi_{H_{\beta}}(Y)\}_{H_{\beta}^{H_{\beta}}}^{\alpha|H_{\beta}} = 0$$

since Theorem 2.1 is valid for  $H_{\beta} \neq G$ . Assume the lemma holds for  $A$ . By the exact sequence

$$\{X/A, Y\}_{\mathcal{G}} \longrightarrow \{X, Y\}_{\mathcal{G}} \longrightarrow \{A, Y\}_{\mathcal{G}}$$

we see the lemma holds for  $X$ .

Q. E. D.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $X$  be a finite dimensional pointed  $G$ -complex. If  $|\alpha^H| \geq \dim X^H - N(Y^H)$  for all proper subgroups  $H$  of  $G$  then the homomorphism*

$$\phi_G : \{X, Y\}_G^{\mathcal{C}} \longrightarrow \{X^G, Y^G\}^{a^G}$$

is isomorphic.

*Proof.* Observe the exact sequence of the pair  $(X, X^G) : \{X/X^G, Y\}_G^{\mathcal{C}} \longrightarrow \{X, Y\}_G^{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{j_G^*} \{X^G, Y\}_G^{\mathcal{C}} \longrightarrow \{X/X^G, Y\}_G^{\mathcal{C}+1}$ , where  $j_G : X^G \subset X$  is the inclusion. By Lemma 2.5 we have  $\{X/X^G, Y\}_G^{\mathcal{C}}=0$  and  $\{X/X^G, Y\}_G^{\mathcal{C}+1}=0$ , hence

$$j_G^* : \{X, Y\}_G^{\mathcal{C}} \longrightarrow \{X^G, Y\}_G^{\mathcal{C}}$$

is isomorphic.

Let  $V$  be a non-trivial irreducible  $G$ -module. Then by Lemma 2.4 we know that  $\chi_V : \{X^G, Y\}_G^{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \{X^G, Y\}_G^{\mathcal{C}+V}$  is isomorphic, which in turn implies that the canonical homomorphism

$$l_G : \{X^G, Y\}_G^{\mathcal{C}} \longrightarrow \text{Colim} \{X^G, Y\}_G^{\mathcal{C}} \approx \{X^G, Y^G\}^{a^G}$$

is isomorphic.

Since  $\phi_G = l_G \circ j_G^*$ , we get the theorem.

Q. E. D.

*Proof of Theorem 2.2.* Since  $|\omega(G)^H| - |\omega(G)^G| = |G/H| - 1$ , there is a  $G$ -module  $V$  such that  $V^H \neq \{0\}$  for all proper subgroups  $H$  of  $G$  and  $V^G = \{0\}$ . By Lemma 2.4  $\chi_{rV} : \{X, Y\}_G^{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \{X, Y\}_G^{\mathcal{C}+rV}$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -isomorphic for any positive integer  $r$ . Choose  $r$  so that  $|(\alpha+rV)^H| \geq \dim X^H - N(Y^H)$  for all proper subgroups  $H$  of  $G$ . Then by Theorem 2.6  $\phi_G : \{X, Y\}_G^{\mathcal{C}+rV} \rightarrow \{X^G, Y^G\}^{a^G}$  is isomorphic. Hence we see that  $\{X, Y\}_G^{\mathcal{C}}$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -isomorphic to  $\{X^G, Y^G\}^{a^G}$ , which completes the proof.

Q. E. D.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
OSAKA CITY UNIVERSITY

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
KYOTO UNIVERSITY

### References

- [ 1 ] S. Araki and M. Murayama,  $\tau$ -cohomology theories, Japan. J. Math., 4 (1976), 363-416.
- [ 2 ] G.E. Bredon, Equivariant cohomology theories, Lecture Notes in Math., 34, Springer-Verlag, 1967.
- [ 3 ] G. Kosniowski, Equivariant cohomology and stable cohomotopy, Math. Ann., 210 (1974), 83-104.
- [ 4 ] T. Matsumoto, On  $G$ -CW complexes and a theorem of J.H.C. Whitehead, J. Fac. Sci. Tokyo, 18 (1971-72), 363-374.