

# The comodule structure of $K_*(\Omega Sp(n))$

By

Kazumoto KOZIMA

(Received Feb. 5, 1979)

## §0. Introduction

Let  $Sp(n)$  be the  $n$ -th symplectic group,  $\Omega Sp(n)$  its loop space. F. Clarke determined the Hopf algebra  $K_*(\Omega Sp(n))$  for  $n \leq 3$  where  $K_*(\ )$  is the  $Z_2$ -graded  $K$ -homology theory, following to the corresponding result of the ordinary homology by R. Bott ([6], [9]). Recently, the Hopf algebra  $H^*(\Omega Sp(n))$  was determined by Kono-Kozima [11]. Though our method used in [11] is not applicable for  $K$ -theory directly, we can determine the Hopf algebra  $K_*(\Omega Sp(n))$  by some algebraic devices ([12]).

Using these results, we can fix the generators of  $K_*(\Omega Sp(n))$ . The purpose of this paper is to determine the  $K_*(K)$ -comodule structures of  $K_*(\Omega Sp(n))$ , and to write down the formulas for the above generators.

Under some algebraic notations, the main result of this paper is

### Theorem 3.10.

$$\Psi_K Z(x) = \frac{\left(\frac{d}{dx}Q(x)\right) \cdot Z(Q(x))}{1 \otimes 1 + S(x) \cdot Z(Q(x))}.$$

For details, see §3.

This paper is organized as follows:

In §1, we recall some notations in [11], [12].

The results of [12] are written by the words of  $Z_2$ -graded  $K$ -theory, but we can easily obtain the corresponding ones in  $Z$ -graded  $K$ -theory. So in this paper, we use only  $Z$ -graded theory.

In §2, we quote the results of [2], [3] and [15] for the structures of  $K_*(K)$ ,  $KO_*(KO)$  and the comodule structure of  $K_*(BU)$  where  $BU$  is the classifying space of the infinite unitary group  $U$ .

We also summarize the result of [12] for the structure of  $K_*(\Omega Sp(n))$  where  $K_*(\ )$  is  $Z$ -graded  $K$ -homology theory.

In §3, we introduce some algebraic notations which are needed to state the main result, and prove it.

Notice that the Euler class in  $K$ -theory which is used in this paper is different

from the ordinary one in [2], [15]. So,  $\beta_i$  used in §2, §3 is  $t^i\beta_i$  in [2], or [15] where  $t \in \pi_2(K)$  is the usual generator.

Throughout the paper, the ring of integers is denoted by  $\mathbf{Z}$ , the ring of integers modulo  $n$  by  $\mathbf{Z}_n$ , and the rational numbers by  $\mathbf{Q}$ .

If  $R$  is a ring with unit, then the formal power series ring over  $R$  is denoted by  $R[[x]]$ . If  $f(x) = \sum_i f_i x^i \in R[[x]]$  then the coefficient of  $x^n$  in  $f(x)$  is denoted by  $[f(x)]_n$ .

Then the binomial coefficient  $\binom{n}{m}$  is equal to  $[(1+x)^n]_m$ .

### §1. Notations

First, recall some notations (see [11], [12]).

Let  $U(n)$ ,  $Sp(n)$  be the  $n$ -th unitary and symplectic group, and  $U$ ,  $Sp$  the infinite unitary and symplectic group, respectively.

Let  $\mathbf{C}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{H}$ ) be the field of complex (resp. quaternion) numbers.

Let  $a_{ij} \in \mathbf{H}$  and  $a_{ij} = b_{ij} + jc_{ij}$  for  $b_{ij}, c_{ij} \in \mathbf{C}$  and define a map  $c: Sp(n) \rightarrow U(2n)$  by

$$c((a_{ij})) = \begin{pmatrix} N_{11} \cdots N_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ N_{n1} \cdots N_{nn} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$N_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{ij} & -c_{ij} \\ \bar{c}_{ij} & \bar{b}_{ij} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $BG$  be the classifying space of a topological group  $G$  and  $Bf: BG \rightarrow BH$  the map induced by a continuous homomorphism  $f: G \rightarrow H$ .

Let  $\Omega X$  be the space of loops on a space  $X$  and  $\Omega f: \Omega X \rightarrow \Omega Y$  the map induced by a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ .

Let  $SU(n)$ ,  $SU$  be the  $n$ -th and the infinite special unitary group.

Let  $g: BU \rightarrow \Omega SU$  be the Bott map. Since  $\Omega SU = \Omega_0^2 BU$ , we may regard  $g$  as a map to  $\Omega_0^2 BU$ .

Let  $i_n: Sp(n) \rightarrow Sp$  be the natural inclusion (see [11]).

Let  $\gamma \rightarrow BU(1)$  be the Hopf line bundle. Though we usually use  $[\gamma-1] \in K^0(BU(1))$  as the Euler class in  $K$ -theory ([2], [15]), we use  $t^{-1}[\gamma-1] \in K^2(BU(1))$  as the Euler class in  $K$ -theory where  $t \in \pi_2(K) = K^{-2}(pt)$  throughout this paper.

### §2. $K_*(K)$ -comodules

In this section, we quote some theorems in [2], [15], and state the main results.

Let  $K$  be  $BU$ -spectrum and  $KO$   $BO$ -spectrum. In general, we have next theorem [15].

**Theorem 2.1.** Suppose  $E$  is a commutative ring spectrum such that  $E_*(E) = C$  is flat as a right module over  $E_*(pt) = R$ . Then there are homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \phi: C \otimes_R C &\longrightarrow C & \varepsilon: C &\longrightarrow R \\ \eta_L: R &\longrightarrow C & \eta_R: R &\longrightarrow C \\ c: C &\longrightarrow C & \Psi_E: C &\longrightarrow C \otimes_R C \end{aligned}$$

and  $\Psi_X: E_*(X) \rightarrow C \otimes_R E_*(X)$  for all spectra  $X$ , with the following properties:

- i)  $C$  is a commutative Hopf algebra with product  $\phi$  having left and right units  $\eta_L, \eta_R$  and associative coproduct  $\Psi_E$  having augmentation  $\varepsilon$ ;
- ii) if  $\lambda \in R$  and  $x \in C$ , then  $\lambda x = \phi(\eta_L(\lambda) \otimes x)$ ,  $x\lambda = \phi(x \otimes \eta_R(\lambda))$ ;
- iii)  $\varepsilon\eta_L = 1 = \varepsilon\eta_R$ ,  $c\eta_L = \eta_R$ ,  $c\eta_R = \eta_L$ ,  $\varepsilon c = \varepsilon$ ,  $c^2 = 1$ ;
- iv)  $\Psi_E(1) = 1 \otimes 1$  and hence  $\Psi_E\eta_L(\lambda) = \eta_L(\lambda) \otimes 1$  and  $\Psi_E\eta_R(\lambda) = 1 \otimes \eta_R(\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda \in R$ ;
- v)  $\Psi_X$  is natural with respect to maps of  $X$ ;
- vi)  $\Psi_X$  is a coaction map;
- vii) if  $\Psi_X(x) = \sum_i e'_i \otimes x_i$  and  $\Psi_Y(y) = \sum_j e''_j \otimes y_j$  for  $x, x_i \in E_*(X)$ ,  $y, y_j \in E_*(Y)$ ,  $e'_i, e''_j \in C$  then  $\Psi_{X \wedge Y}(x \wedge y) = \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{|x_i| \cdot |e''_j|} e'_i e''_j \otimes (x_i \wedge y_j)$ ;
- viii)  $\Psi_{S^0}: R \rightarrow C \otimes_R R = C$  is just  $\eta_L$ .

For details, see [15]. Notice that  $K_*(K)$  and  $KO_*(KO)$  are right flat over  $K^*(pt)$  and  $KO^*(pt)$ , respectively. Then (2.1) is applicable to the cases  $E = K, KO$ .

We prefer to write  $\Psi_K$  in place of  $\Psi_X$  in (2.1) because we want to clarify that we work in  $K$ -theory.

Let  $t \in K_2(pt)$  be a generator and  $h_i \in K_{2i}(BU(1))$  be the dual element of  $i$ -th power of the Euler class in  $K$ -theory. Let  $\beta_i \in K_{2i}(BU)$  be the image of  $h_i$  by the homomorphism induced by the natural map  $Bi: BU(1) \rightarrow BU$  (see [2], [11]).

Let  $u = \eta_L(t)$ ,  $v = \eta_R(t)$ .

If we consider  $BU$  as the  $2n$ -th term of  $K$ -spectrum, then we have a homomorphism

$$\iota_n: K_{2q}(BU) \longrightarrow K_{2q-2n}(K).$$

Let  $c: KO \rightarrow K$  be the complexification map. Then we have

$$(c \wedge c)^*: KO_*(KO) \longrightarrow K_*(K).$$

**Proposition 2.2.**

- i)  $K_*(K) \rightarrow K_*(K) \otimes Q = Q[u, u^{-1}, v, v^{-1}]$  is monic.
- ii) the composition

$$\bigoplus_n KO_{4n}(KO) \xrightarrow{(c \wedge c)^*} K_*(K) \longrightarrow K_*(K) \otimes Q = Q[u, u^{-1}, v, v^{-1}]$$

is monic.

**Theorem 2.3.** In  $K_*(K) \otimes Q$

$$\iota_1(\beta_n) = p_n(u, v) = \frac{1}{n!} (v-u)(v-2u) \cdots (v-(n-1)u), \quad \text{for } n > 1.$$

**Theorem 2.4.**

- i)  $K_*(K)$  is spanned by  $p_n(u, v)$  over  $Z[u, u^{-1}, v^{-1}]$  in  $K_*(K) \otimes Q$ .
- ii) The image of  $\bigoplus_n KO_{4n}(KO)$  in  $K_*(K) \otimes Q$  is spanned by

$$q_n(u, v) = \frac{2}{(2n+2)!} (v^2-u^2)(v^2-2u^2) \cdots (v^2-n^2u^2),$$

for  $n > 0$  over  $Z[u^4, 2u^2, u^{-4}, v^{-4}]$ .

In [15], Switzer also determined  $\Psi_K(p_n(u, v))$  and  $\Psi_K(q_n(u, v))$ .

We abbreviate  $p_n(u, v), q_n(u, v)$  to  $p_n, q_n$ .

Put  $p_0 = q_0 = 1$ .

Put

$$P(x) = \sum_{i \geq 0} p_i x^{i+1},$$

$$Q(x) = \sum_{i \geq 0} q_i x^{i+1} \in K_*(K)[[x]].$$

**Theorem 2.5.**

- i)  $\Psi_K(u) = u \otimes 1, \quad \Psi_K(v) = 1 \otimes v$  and
 
$$\Psi_K(p_n) = \sum_{j \geq 0} [(P(x))^{j+1}]_{n+1} \otimes p_j, \quad n \geq 0,$$
- ii)  $\Psi_K(q_n) = \sum_{j \geq 0} [(Q(x))^{j+1}]_{n+1} \otimes q_j, \quad n \geq 0.$

For the proofs of (2.2)–(2.5), see [2], [3] and [15]. The formulas of (2.5) are slightly different from the original ones in [15], but one can easily show that they are essentially equivalent.

Let  $B: S^2BU \rightarrow BU$  be an adjoint map of the Bott map  $g: BU \rightarrow \Omega_0^2BU$ . Define  $\underline{B}: \tilde{K}_m(BU) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_{m+2}(BU)$  by the composition

$$\tilde{K}_m(BU) \cong \tilde{K}_{m+2}(S^2BU) \xrightarrow{B_*} \tilde{K}_{m+2}(BU).$$

Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{K}_m(BU) & \xrightarrow{\iota_n} & K_{m-2n}(K) \\ \downarrow \underline{B} & & \downarrow v \cdot \\ \tilde{K}_{m+2}(BU) & \xrightarrow{\iota_n} & K_{m-2n+2}(K) \end{array} \quad \text{commutes (see [15]).}$$

Since  $v \cdot$  is an isomorphism and  $\underline{B}$  kills the decomposable elements in  $K_*(BU)$ ,  $\iota_n$  also vanishes on the decomposable elements. As a corollary of (2.2) and (2.3), we have

**Proposition 2.6.**  $\iota_n: Q(K_*(BU)) \rightarrow K_{*-2n}(K)$  is a monomorphism where  $Q$  is indecomposable functor.

*Proof.* One can easily show that  $\{v^{-n+1}p_i(=\iota_n(\beta_i))\}_{i>0}$  are linearly independent over  $Z[u, u^{-1}]$ . Since  $Q(K_*(BU))$  is a free  $K_*(pt)$ -module generated by  $\{\beta_i\}_{i>0}$ , (2.6) is clear.

Let  $I$  be the composition

$$\tilde{K}_m(BU(1)) \longrightarrow \tilde{K}_m(BU) \xrightarrow{\iota_1} K_{m-2}(K).$$

Then (2.6) says

**Proposition 2.7.**  *$I$  is a monomorphism.*

Next we rewrite the results of [12] in the words of  $Z$ -graded  $K$ -theory. We will use the same notation both in  $Z_2$ - and  $Z$ -graded theories.

So, put  $b_{2n-1} = \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{n-1}{i} t^i \beta_{2n-1-i} \in K_{4n-2}(BU)$  and

$$b_{2n} = \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{n-1}{i} t^i \beta_{2n-i} \in K_{4n}(BU) \quad \text{for } n > 0.$$

We define also  $b_{od}(x)$  and  $b_{ev}(x) \in K_*(BU)[[x]]$  to be  $\sum_{i>0} b_{2i-1}x^{2i-1}$  and  $1 + \sum_{i>0} b_{2i}x^{2i}$ . Put  $r(x) = \sum_{i>0} r_{2i-1}x^{2i-1} = b_{od}(x)/b_{ev}(x)$ . Let  $g, c$  and  $i_n$  be the maps as in § 1.

**Theorem 2.8.** *There are  $z_{2k-1} \in K_{4k-2}(\Omega Sp)$  such that*

- i)  $K_*(\Omega Sp) = K_*(pt)[z_1, z_3, \dots, z_{2k-1}, \dots]$  as an algebra
- ii)  $g_*^{-1} \circ (\Omega c)_* : K_*(\Omega Sp) \rightarrow K_*(BU)$  is monic. Moreover  $g_*^{-1} \circ (\Omega c)_* z_{2k-1} = r_{2k-1}$ , for  $k > 0$ ,
- iii)  $(\Omega i_n)_* : K_*(\Omega Sp(n)) \rightarrow K_*(\Omega Sp)$  is monic, and  $\text{Im}(\Omega i_n)_*$  is generated by  $z_1, z_3, \dots, z_{2n-1}$  as a subalgebra of  $K_*(\Omega Sp)$ .

For the proofs of i)–iii), one has only to modify those of the corresponding theorems of [12].

Since the coactions are natural, and since  $\Psi_K(\beta_n)$  is known, we can know the comodule structure of  $K_*(\Omega Sp(n))$  or  $K_*(\Omega Sp)$  by virtue of the above theorem.

In the next section, we will obtain ‘internal’ formulas, that is, we will write down  $\Psi_K(z_n)$  by  $z_i, q_j$  and the new element  $s_k \in K_*(K)$ .

### § 3. Comodule structure of $K_*(\Omega Sp(n))$

First, we determine  $\Psi_K(b_{2n-1})$  and  $\Psi_K(b_{2n})$ .

Let  $H_{2n-1} = \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{n-1}{i} t^i h_{2n-1-i}$  and

$$H_{2n} = \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{n-1}{i} t^i h_{2n-i} \in K_*(BU(1)).$$

By the naturality of  $\Psi_K$ , we have only to determine  $\Psi_K(H_{2n-1})$  and  $\Psi_K(H_{2n})$ . We

need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.**

- i)  $I(H_{2n-1}) = \iota_1(b_{2n-1}) = nq_{n-1}$  and,  
 ii)  $I(H_{2n}) = \iota_1(b_{2n}) = q_{n-1} \left( \frac{v-nu}{2} \right)$ .

*Proof.* For i), we need to show that

$$\sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{n-1}{i} u^i p_{2n-1-i} = nq_{n-1}.$$

Put  $\tilde{p}_k(x) = \frac{1}{(k+1)!} (x-1)(x-2)\cdots(x-k)$  and

$$\tilde{q}_k(x) = \frac{2}{(2k+2)!} (x^2-1)(x^2-2^2)\cdots(x^2-k^2).$$

Then  $p_{k+1} = \tilde{p}_k \left( \frac{v}{u} \right) u^k$  and  $q_k = \tilde{q}_k \left( \frac{v}{u} \right) u^{2k}$ .

So we have only to prove the equation

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{n-1}{i} \tilde{p}_{2n-2-i}(x) = n\tilde{q}_{n-1}(x).$$

For ii), we need to prove

$$(3.3) \quad 2 \cdot \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{n-1}{i} \tilde{p}_{2n-1-i}(x) = (x-n)\tilde{q}_{n-1}(x).$$

Clearly the both sides of (3.2) (respectively (3.3)) are the polynomials of degree  $2n-2$  (respectively  $2n-1$ ), and have the same coefficients at the maximal degree. Since  $\binom{n-1}{i} = 0$  for  $i > n-1$ , the both sides of (3.2) (respectively (3.3)) have common roots  $1, 2, \dots, n-1$  (respectively  $1, 2, \dots, n$ ). So we may show that

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{n-1}{i} \tilde{p}_{2n-2-i+\varepsilon}(-k) = 0 \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$$

where  $\varepsilon = 1$  or  $0$ .

If  $k > 0$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{p}_m(-k) &= \frac{1}{(m+1)!} (-k-1)(-k-2)\cdots(-k-m) \\ &= (-1)^m \cdot \frac{1}{k} \cdot \binom{m+k}{m+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$k \cdot \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{n-1}{i} \tilde{p}_{2n-2-i+\varepsilon}(-k)$$

$$= \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{n-1}{i} (-1)^i [(1+x)^{2n-2+\varepsilon-i+k}]_{2n-1-i+\varepsilon}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{n-1}{i} (-1)^i [(1+x)^{2n-2+\varepsilon-i+k}]_{2n-1-i+\varepsilon} \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{n-1}{i} (-1)^i [x^i (1+x)^{2n-2+\varepsilon-i+k}]_{2n-1+\varepsilon} \\ &= \left[ \left\{ \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{n-1}{i} (-x)^i (1+x)^{n-i-1} \right\} (1+x)^{n+k-1+\varepsilon} \right]_{2n-1+\varepsilon} \\ &= [ \{ (1+x) - x \}^{n-1} (1+x)^{n+k-1+\varepsilon} ]_{2n-1+\varepsilon} \\ &= [(1+x)^{n+k-1+\varepsilon}]_{2n-1+\varepsilon} = 0 \quad \text{for } k=1, 2, \dots, n-1, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

holds and (3.1) does.

Q. E. D.

Now we consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_*(BU(1)) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_k} & K_*(K) \otimes_{K_*(pt)} K_*(BU(1)) \\ \downarrow I & & \downarrow id \otimes I \\ K_{*-2}(K) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_k} & K_*(K) \otimes_{K_*(pt)} K_*(K) \end{array}$$

As remarked in § 2,  $K_*(K)$  is a right flat module over  $K_*(pt)$ . So (2.7) says also that  $id \otimes I$  is monic. Thus, to determine  $\Psi_K(H_n)$ , we have only to calculate  $\Psi_K(nq_{n-1})$  and  $\Psi_K\left(q_{n-1}\left(\frac{v-nu}{2}\right)\right)$ .

**proposition 3.5.**

$$\Psi_K(nq_{n-1}) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \left[ \left( \frac{d}{dx} Q(x) \right) \cdot (Q(x))^j \right]_{n-1} \otimes (j+1)q_j.$$

*Proof.* By (2.5) ii),

$$\Psi_K n(q_{n-1}) = n \sum_{j \geq 0} [(Q(x))^{j+1}]_n \otimes q_j.$$

So we have to prove that

$$(3.6) \quad n[(Q(x))^{j+1}]_n = \left[ (j+1)(Q(x))^j \left( \frac{d}{dx} Q(x) \right) \right]_{n-1}.$$

This is clear, because  $(j+1)(Q(x))^j \left( \frac{d}{dx} Q(x) \right) = \frac{d}{dx} \{ (Q(x))^{j+1} \}$ .

For brevity, we put  $s_n = q_{n-1}\left(\frac{v-nu}{2}\right)$ ,  $n > 0$ . Also we put  $S(x) = \sum_{i > 0} s_i x^i \in K_*(K)[[x]]$ . Then

**Proposition 3.7.**

$$\Psi_K s_n = \sum_{j > 0} [(Q(x))^j]_n \otimes s_j + \sum_{j \geq 0} [S(x) \cdot (Q(x))^j]_n \otimes (j+1)q_j.$$

*Proof.* As in (3.5), we have

$$\Psi_K\left(q_{n-1}\cdot\left(\frac{v-nu}{2}\right)\right) = (\sum_{j>0} [(Q(x))^j]_n \otimes q_{j-1}) \cdot \left(\frac{1 \otimes v - nu \otimes 1}{2}\right)$$

by (2.5). On the other hand, since  $v \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes u$  in  $K_*(K) \otimes_{K_*(pt)} K_*(K)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j>0} (Q(x))^j \otimes q_{j-1} (v - ju) \\ & + ((v-u)x + q_1(v-2u)x^2 + \dots) \otimes 1 \cdot \sum_{j \geq 0} (Q(x))^j \otimes (j+1)q_j \\ & = \sum_{j>0} (Q(x))^j \otimes q_{j-1} \cdot v - \sum_{j>0} (Q(x))^j \cdot jv \otimes q_{j-1} \\ & + \sum_{j \geq 0} vQ(x) \cdot (Q(x))^j \otimes (j+1)q_j - \sum_{j \geq 0} u(x + 2q_1x^2 + \dots) \cdot (Q(x))^j \otimes (j+1)q_j \\ & = \sum_{j>0} (Q(x))^j \otimes q_{j-1}v - \sum_{j \geq 0} u(x + 2q_1x^2 + \dots) (Q(x))^j \otimes (j+1)q_j. \end{aligned}$$

So we have to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j>0} nu [(Q(x))^j]_n \otimes q_{j-1} \\ & = \sum_{j \geq 0} u [(x + 2q_1x^2 + \dots) \cdot (Q(x))^j]_n \otimes (j+1)q_j. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have only to show that

$$(3.8) \quad n[(Q(x))^{j+1}]_n = [(j+1)(Q(x))^j(x + 2q_1x^2 + \dots)]_n.$$

Since the right side of (3.8) is  $[(j+1)(Q(x))^j\left(\frac{d}{dx}Q(x)\right)]_{n-1}$ , (3.8) is equivalent to (3.6). Q. E. D.

As a collorary, we have

**Theorem 3.9.**

- i)  $\Psi_K b_{2n-1} = \sum_{j \geq 0} \left[ \left( \frac{d}{dx} Q(x) \right) \cdot (Q(x))^j \right]_{n-1} \otimes b_{2j+1}$  and
- ii)  $\Psi_K b_{2n} = \sum_{j>0} [(Q(x))^j]_n \otimes b_{2j} + \sum_{j \geq 0} [S(x) \cdot (Q(x))^j]_n \otimes b_{2j+1}$

To determine  $\Psi_K z_{2n-1}$  or  $\Psi_K r_{2n-1}$ , some algebraic notations are necessary.

Let  $R$  be a ring with unit.

If  $A$  and  $B$  are  $R$ -algebras, then we define  $i_A: A \rightarrow A \otimes_R B$  and  $i_B: B \rightarrow A \otimes_R B$  by

$$\begin{aligned} i_A(a) &= a \otimes 1 \quad \text{and} \\ i_B(b) &= 1 \otimes b \quad \text{where } a \in A, b \in B. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we regard  $A$  and  $B$  as the subalgebras of  $A \otimes_R B$ .

If  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is an algebra homomorphism, we can define an algebra homomorphism  $f: A[[x]] \rightarrow B[[x]]$  by

$$f(\sum_i a_i x^i) = \sum_i f(a_i) x^i \quad \text{where } a_i \in A.$$

So, we can regard  $A[[x]]$  and  $B[[x]]$  as the subalgebras of  $(A \otimes_R B)[[x]]$ .



Now we can state our main result. Let  $Q(x)$ ,  $S(x)$  be as before, and put  $Z(x) = \sum_{i \geq 0} z_{2i+1} x^i$  where  $z_{2i+1}$  is the element in (2.8).

To determine  $\Psi_{K^*} Z(x)$ , we have only to determine  $\Psi_K Z(x)$ .

**Theorem 3.10.**

$$\Psi_K Z(x) = \frac{\left(\frac{d}{dx} Q(x)\right) \cdot Z(Q(x))}{1 \otimes 1 + S(x) \cdot Z(Q(x))}.$$

*Proof.* By virtue of (2.8), we may identify  $Z(x)$  and

$$\bar{r}(x) = \sum_{i \geq 0} r_{2i+1} x^i. \quad \text{Put}$$

$$\bar{b}_{od}(x) = \sum_{i \geq 0} b_{2i+1} x^i \quad \text{and}$$

$$\bar{b}_{ev}(x) = 1 + \sum_{i > 0} b_{2i} x^i.$$

Then formally

$$\bar{b}_{od}(x) / \bar{b}_{ev}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \cdot b_{od}(\sqrt{x}) / b_{ev}(\sqrt{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \cdot r(\sqrt{x}) = \bar{r}(x).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_K \bar{r}(x) &= \Psi_K (\bar{b}_{od}(x) / \bar{b}_{ev}(x)) \\ &= \Psi_K \bar{b}_{od}(x) / \Psi_K \bar{b}_{ev}(x). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_K \bar{b}_{od}(x) &= \left(\frac{d}{dx} Q(x)\right) \cdot \bar{b}_{od}(Q(x)) \quad \text{and} \\ \Psi_K \bar{b}_{ev}(x) &= \bar{b}_{ev}(Q(x)) + S(x) \cdot \bar{b}_{od}(Q(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\Psi_K \bar{r}(x) = \frac{\left(\frac{d}{dx} Q(x)\right) \cdot \bar{b}_{od}(Q(x))}{\bar{b}_{ev}(Q(x)) + S(x) \cdot \bar{b}_{od}(Q(x))}.$$

So, we have the equation

$$\Psi_K \bar{r}(x) = \frac{\left(\frac{d}{dx} Q(x)\right) \cdot \bar{r}(Q(x))}{1 \otimes 1 + S(x) \cdot \bar{r}(Q(x))}.$$

Q. E. D.

As a corollary, we can obtain the comodule structure of  $K_*(\Omega Sp(n))$  by (2.8).

As another corollary, we can obtain the comodule structures of  $K_*(Sp(n))$  and  $K_*(Sp)$ .

By the result of [4], the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence

$$H_*(Sp(n); K_*(pt)) \Rightarrow K_*(Sp(n)) \quad \text{collapses.}$$

So, if  $\sigma: QK_m(\Omega Sp(n)) \rightarrow PK_{m+1}(Sp(n))$  is the homomorphism induced by the  $K$ -homology suspension where  $P$  and  $Q$  denote the primitive and indecomposable modules, we have an isomorphism

$$K_*(Sp(n)) = \Lambda_K(\sigma z_1, \sigma z_3, \dots, \sigma z_{2n-1})$$

where  $\Lambda_K$  represents an exterior algebra over  $K_*(pt)$  (see [9] Proposition (6.6)).

Put  $w_{4k-1} = \sigma z_{2k-1}$ , for  $k > 0$ . Since the homology suspension kills decomposable elements and commutes with coaction, that is, if  $\Psi_K x = \sum_i a_i \otimes x_i$ , then

$$\Psi_K(\sigma x) = \sum_i (-1)^{|a_i|} a_i \otimes \sigma x_i$$

where  $x, x_i \in K_*(X)$ , and  $a_i \in K_*(K)$ , we have

**Theorem 3.11.** *There are  $w_{4k-1} \in K_{4k-1}(Sp(n))$  for  $0 < k \leq n$  such that*

$$K_*(Sp(n)) = \Lambda_K(w_3, w_7, \dots, w_{4n-1}) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\Psi_K(w_{4k-1}) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \left[ \left( \frac{d}{dx} Q(x) \right) \cdot (q(x))^j \right]_{k-1} \otimes w_{4j+3}.$$

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
KYOTO UNIVERSITY

### References

- [1] J. F. Adams, Lectures on generalized cohomology, Lecture Notes in Math., 99 (1969).
- [2] ———, Quillen's work on the formal groups and complex cobordism, Mathematics Lecture Notes, University of Chicago, Chicago, 1970.
- [3] ———, A. S. Harris and R. M. Switzer, Hopf algebras of cooperations for real and complex  $K$ -theory, Proc. London Math. Soc., (3) 23, (1971), 385–408.
- [4] M. Atiyah-F. Hirzebruch, Vector bundles and homogeneous spaces, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. A.M.S., 3 (1961), 7–38.
- [5] R. Bott, An application of the Morse theory to the topology of Lie groups, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 84 (1956), 251–281.
- [6] ———, The space of loops on a Lie group, Michigan Math. J., 5 (1958), 35–61.
- [7] ———, The stable homotopy of classical groups, Ann. Math., 70 (1959), 313–337.
- [8] ——— and H. Samelson, Application of the theory of Morse to symmetric spaces, Amer. J. Math., 80 (1958), 964–1029.
- [9] F. Clarke, On the  $K$ -theory of the loop space on a Lie group, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 76 (1974), 1–20.
- [10] P. E. Conner-E. E. Floyd, The relation of cobordism to  $K$ -theories, Lecture Notes in Math., 28 (1966).
- [11] A. Kono-K. Kozima, The space of loops on a symplectic group, to appear in Japanese J. Math.
- [12] K. Kozima, The Hopf algebra structure of  $K_*(\Omega Sp(n))$ , to appear in J. Math. Kyoto Univ.

- [13] J. Milnor-J. Moore, On the structure of Hopf algebras, *Ann. Math.*, 81 (1965), 211–264.
- [14] T. Petrie, The weak complex bordism of Lie groups, *Ann. Math.*, 88 (1968), 371–402.
- [15] R. M. Switzer, *Algebraic Topology-Homotopy and Hcmology*, Springer-Verlag (1975).