

On Tanaka's imbeddings of Siegel domains

By

Kazufumi NAKAJIMA

(Received Nov. 2. 1973)

Introduction

Let D be a Siegel domain of the second kind in \mathbf{C}^N . In the case where D is homogeneous, Tanaka [7] showed that there exists an imbedding h of \mathbf{C}^N onto an open subset of a certain complex homogeneous space G_c/B such that every holomorphic transformation of D can be extended to a holomorphic transformation of G_c/B . One of the purposes of this paper is to obtain the same results as Tanaka's without the assumption of homogeneity of D , which is discussed in §2 and §3.

By using the imbedding h , we shall prove in §4 that every holomorphic transformation of D which leaves the Silov boundary of D invariant is an affine automorphism of D . This fact is stated in Pyatetski-Shapiro [5] in the case where D is of the first kind.

Finally, in §5, we shall see that D is a symmetric homogeneous domain if and only if the space G_c/B is compact.

The author expresses his thanks to Prof. N. Tanaka for valuable advices.

§1. The automorphisms of Siegel domains

1.1. Let R (resp. W) be a real (resp. complex) vector space of finite dimension. Denote by R_c the complexification of R . For every vector $z \in R_c$, we denote by $\operatorname{Re} z$ the real part of z and by $\operatorname{Im} z$ the imagi-

nary part of z . Let D be the Siegel domain of the second kind in $R_c \times W$ associated with a convex cone V in R and a V -hermitian form F on W ([5]). Denote by $Aff(D)$ (resp. by $GL(D)$) the closed subgroup of the complex affine transformation group $Aff(R_c \times W)$ (resp. of the general linear group $GL(R_c \times W)$) of $R_c \times W$ which consists of all elements of $Aff(R_c \times W)$ (resp. of $GL(R_c \times W)$) leaving D invariant. Then $GL(D)$ is a closed subgroup of $Aff(D)$. An element f of $GL(R_c \times W)$ belongs to $GL(D)$ if and only if f has the form: $f(z, w) = (Az, Bw)$, where $A \in GL(R)$, $B \in GL(W)$, $AV = V$ and $AF(w, w') = F(Bw, Bw')$ for all $w, w' \in W$ ([5]). We denote by ρ (resp. by σ) the correspondence: $f \rightarrow \rho(f) = A$ (resp. $f \rightarrow \sigma(f) = B$). Then the mapping ρ (resp. σ) is a homomorphism of $GL(D)$ into $GL(R)$ (resp. into $GL(W)$). Let \mathfrak{g}^a be the Lie algebra of $Aff(D)$. For every $a \in R$ (resp. for every $c \in W$) we denote by $s(a)$ (resp. by $s(c)$) the element of \mathfrak{g}^a induced by the following one parameter group (with parameter t):

$$(z, w) \longrightarrow (z + ta, w)$$

$$\text{(resp. } (z, w) \longrightarrow (z + 2\sqrt{-1}F(w, tc) + \sqrt{-1}F(tc, tc), w + tc)).$$

Then the correspondence: $a + c \rightarrow s(a) + s(c)$ gives an injective linear mapping s of $R + W$ into \mathfrak{g}^a and the following equalities are easily verified:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} 1) \quad & [s(a), s(b+c)] = 0 \quad (a, b \in R, c \in W). \\ 2) \quad & [s(c), s(c')] = 4s(\text{Im } F(c, c')) \quad (c, c' \in W). \end{aligned}$$

We denote by \mathfrak{g}^0 the subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}^a corresponding to the subgroup $GL(D)$ of $Aff(D)$. Then the following equality holds:

$$(1.2) \quad [g, s(a+c)] = s(\rho_*(g)a + \sigma_*(g)c) \quad (g \in \mathfrak{g}^0, a \in R, c \in W),$$

where ρ_* (resp. σ_*) is the homomorphism of \mathfrak{g}^0 to $\mathfrak{gl}(R)$ (resp. to $\mathfrak{gl}(W)$) induced by ρ (resp. σ). Let E (resp. I) be the element of \mathfrak{g}^0 induced by the following one parameter group in $GL(D)$:

$$(z, w) \longrightarrow (e^{-2t}z, e^{-t}w)$$

$$\text{(resp. } (z, w) \longrightarrow (z, e^{\sqrt{-1}t}w)\text{)}.$$

Clearly E and I are in the center of \mathfrak{g}^0 and the following equalities hold:

$$(1.3) \quad [E, s(a) + s(c)] = -2s(a) - s(c)$$

$$[I, s(a) + s(c)] = s(\sqrt{-1}c) \quad (a \in R, c \in W).$$

1.2. We denote by $Aut(D)$ the automorphism group of D , i.e., the group of all holomorphic transformations of D . Let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of $Aut(D)$. Then $\mathfrak{g}^0 = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}; [E, X] = 0\}$. Moreover the following theorem is known:

Theorem 1.1 (Kaup-Matsushima-Ochiai [2]).

(1) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^{-2} + \mathfrak{g}^{-1} + \mathfrak{g}^0 + \mathfrak{g}^1 + \mathfrak{g}^2$ as a graded Lie algebra where $\mathfrak{g}^\lambda = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}; [E, X] = \lambda X\}$.

(2) $\mathfrak{g}^a = \mathfrak{g}^{-2} + \mathfrak{g}^{-1} + \mathfrak{g}^0$, $\mathfrak{g}^{-2} = \{s(a); a \in R\}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{-1} = \{s(c); c \in W\}$.

Remark 1. In the earlier paper [4], the author showed that \mathfrak{g}^1 and \mathfrak{g}^2 are determined algebraically from \mathfrak{g}^a .

§2. Tanaka's imbeddings

2.1. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \sum_{\lambda=-2}^2 \mathfrak{g}^\lambda$ be the graded Lie algebra given in Theorem 1.1 and let \mathfrak{g}_c be the complexification of \mathfrak{g} . We denote by G_c the adjoint group of \mathfrak{g}_c . Since \mathfrak{g} is centerless ([2]), we identify the Lie algebra of G_c with \mathfrak{g}_c . Define linear endomorphisms P and \bar{P} of \mathfrak{g}_c^{-1} by

$$(2.1) \quad P(X) = \frac{1}{2}(X - \sqrt{-1}[I, X]) \text{ for } X \in \mathfrak{g}_c^{-1},$$

$$\bar{P}(X) = \frac{1}{2}(X + \sqrt{-1}[I, X]) \text{ for } X \in \mathfrak{g}_c^{-1}.$$

It is easy to see the following equalities hold:

$$(2.2) \quad P([I, X]) = \sqrt{-1}P(X),$$

$$\bar{P}([I, X]) = -\sqrt{-1}\bar{P}(X).$$

Therefore $P(\mathfrak{g}_c^{-1})=P(\mathfrak{g}^{-1})$ and $\bar{P}(\mathfrak{g}_c^{-1})=\bar{P}(\mathfrak{g}^{-1})$. Both $P(\mathfrak{g}^{-1})$ and $\bar{P}(\mathfrak{g}^{-1})$ are complex subspaces of \mathfrak{g}_c^{-1} and $\mathfrak{g}_c^{-1}=P(\mathfrak{g}^{-1})+\bar{P}(\mathfrak{g}^{-1})$. We put

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{n} &= \mathfrak{g}_c^{-2} + P(\mathfrak{g}^{-1}) \\ \mathfrak{b} &= \bar{P}(\mathfrak{g}^{-1}) + \mathfrak{g}_c^0 + \mathfrak{g}_c^1 + \mathfrak{g}_c^2. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1 (cf. [7]).

- (1) $\mathfrak{g}_c = \mathfrak{n} + \mathfrak{b}$ (direct sum).
- (2) \mathfrak{n} is an abelian subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}_c .
- (3) \mathfrak{b} is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}_c .

Proof. (1) is clear. Proof of (2) is the same as in [7]. We can also verify $\bar{P}(\mathfrak{g}^{-1})$ is abelian. Since I is in the center of \mathfrak{g}_c^0 , $\bar{P}(\mathfrak{g}^{-1})$ is invariant by $ad\mathfrak{g}_c^0$. Thus we obtain (3). q. e. d.

Define a closed subgroup B of G_c by $B = \{a \in G_c; ab = \mathfrak{b}\}$. Since \mathfrak{b} is a complex subalgebra, B is a complex Lie subgroup. It is not difficult to see that the Lie algebra of B coincides with \mathfrak{b} (cf. [7]). We denote by π the projection of G_c onto the homogeneous space G_c/B . Let us define a mapping h' of \mathfrak{n} to G_c/B by

$$h'(X) = \pi \exp X \quad (X \in \mathfrak{n}).$$

Then h' is a holomorphic imbedding of the complex vector space \mathfrak{n} onto an open set of the complex homogeneous space G_c/B ([7]).

2.2. Let h'' be the mapping of $R_c \times W$ onto \mathfrak{n} defined by

$$h''(z, w) = s(z) + P(s(w)) \quad (z \in R_c, w \in W),$$

where s is the mapping of $R+W$ onto $\mathfrak{g}^{-2} + \mathfrak{g}^{-1}$ given in §1 and is extended to a mapping of $R_c + W$ onto $\mathfrak{g}_c^{-2} + \mathfrak{g}^{-1}$ in a natural fashion. Since $P(s(\sqrt{-1}w)) = P([I, s(w)]) = \sqrt{-1}P(s(w))$ by (1.3) and (2.2), h'' is a biholomorphic mapping (linear isomorphism) of $R_c \times W$ onto \mathfrak{n} . Then the mapping $h = h' \circ h''$ is a holomorphic imbedding of $R_c \times W$ onto an open set of G_c/B . The imbedding h was first introduced by Tanaka [7] in the case where D is homogeneous. We call it Tanaka's imbedding.

We denote by G (resp. by G_a) the identity component of $Aut(D)$ (resp. of $Aff(D)$). For every $a \in G$, there exists a unique element $\tau(a)$ of G_c such that $AdaX = \tau(a)X$ ($X \in \mathfrak{g}$). Since G is centerless ([2]), the mapping τ is an injective homomorphism of G into G_c . Let $v \in V$ and let K be the isotropy subgroup of G at $(\sqrt{-1}v, 0)$. We denote by K^0 the identity component of K and by \mathfrak{k} the Lie algebra of K .

Lemma 2.2 (cf. [7]).

- (1) $\tau(k)h(\sqrt{-1}v, 0) = h(\sqrt{-1}v, 0) \quad (k \in K^0)$
- (2) $\tau(t)h(\sqrt{-1}v, 0) = h(t(\sqrt{-1}v), 0) \quad (t \in G_a)$.

Proof. (1) Let X be an element of \mathfrak{k} . We write $X = X^{-2} + X^{-1} + X^0 + X^1 + X^2$, $X^\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^\lambda$ (cf. Theorem 1.1). Then from [2] we know $X^{-2} = -\frac{1}{2}(ad s(v))^2 X^2$, $X^{-1} = ad I ad s(v)X^1$ and $ad s(v)X^0 = 0$. It follows

$$\begin{aligned} & Ad(\exp(-\sqrt{-1}s(v)))X \\ &= X^{-2} + X^{-1} + X^0 + X^1 + X^2 - \sqrt{-1}([s(v), X^1] + [s(v), X^2]) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}(ad s(v))^2 X^2 \\ &\equiv X^{-1} - \sqrt{-1}[s(v), X^1] \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{b}}, \end{aligned}$$

because $P(X^{-1}) = P(\sqrt{-1}[s(v), X^1])$ holds. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau(\exp X)h(\sqrt{-1}v, 0) \\ &= \pi \exp X \cdot \exp(\sqrt{-1}s(v)) \\ &= \pi \exp(\sqrt{-1}s(v)) \cdot \exp[Ad(\exp(-\sqrt{-1}s(v)))X] \\ &= \pi \exp(\sqrt{-1}s(v)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get Assertion (1). Proof of (2) is the same as [7]. q.e.d.

If we put $K_a = K \cap G_a$, then we have

Lemma 2.3. $G/K = G_a/K_a$.

Proof. It is known ([2]) that $\dim G - \dim K = \dim G_a - \dim K_a$. Therefore G_a/K_a is an open set of G/K . Being a submanifold of D , G/K has a Riemannian metric invariant by G and hence by G_a . As a result, the open orbit G_a/K_a of G_a coincides with G/K . q.e.d.

Next we verify the following

Lemma 2.4 (cf. [7]). *For every $f \in G$ and for every $p \in D$, $h(fp) = \tau(f)h(p)$.*

Proof. For every $p \in D$, there exist $t \in G_a$ and $v \in V$ such that $t(\sqrt{-1}v, 0) = p$. Then by Lemma 2.2 $h(p) = \tau(t)h(\sqrt{-1}v, 0)$. We can choose a neighbourhood \mathcal{U} of e (=the unit element) in G having the property that every element of $\mathcal{U} \cap K$ can be expressed as $\exp X$, $X \in \mathfrak{k}$. There exists a neighbourhood \mathcal{U}_1 of e in G such that $t^{-1}\mathcal{U}_1^{-1}\mathcal{U}_1 t \subset \mathcal{U}$. We put $\mathcal{U}_a = \mathcal{U}_1 \cap G_a$, which is an open set of G_a . Then the subset \mathcal{U}_2 of G defined by $\mathcal{U}_2 = \{g \in \mathcal{U}_1; gp \in \mathcal{U}_a\}$ is open in G by Lemma 2.3. For every $f \in \mathcal{U}_2$, there exists $g \in \mathcal{U}_a$ such that $fp = gp$. Then $t^{-1}g^{-1}ft \in \mathcal{U} \cap K$ and by Lemma 2.2,

$$\tau(t^{-1}g^{-1}ft)h(\sqrt{-1}v, 0) = h(\sqrt{-1}v, 0).$$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(f)h(p) &= \tau(gp)h(\sqrt{-1}v, 0) \\ &= h(gt(\sqrt{-1}v, 0)) = h(fp), \end{aligned}$$

because $gt \in G_a$. Thus the mapping: $f \rightarrow \tau(f)h(p)$ of G to G_c/B is real analytic and coincides on \mathcal{U}_2 with the mapping: $f \rightarrow h(fp)$. Therefore we conclude $h(fp) = \tau(f)h(p)$ for all $f \in G$. q.e.d.

In what follows we identify the space $R_c \times W$ with an open submanifold of G_c/B by the imbedding h . We also identify the group G with a closed subgroup of G_c by the injective homomorphism τ .

2.3. We denote by o the origin of $R_c \times W$. Then the space $R_c \times W$ is the orbit of the group $\exp(\mathfrak{g}_c^{-2} + P(\mathfrak{g}^{-1}))$ through o . Let T be the union of all singular orbits of $\exp(\mathfrak{g}_c^{-2} + P(\mathfrak{g}^{-1}))$. Then T is a proper

analytic set of G_c/B which is locally defined by a single equation and $G_c/B - T = R_c \times W$ ([7]). For $X \in \mathfrak{g}_c$, denote by \tilde{X} the holomorphic vector field on G_c/B generated by X . It is easy to see that the correspondence: $X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ of \mathfrak{g}_c into the space of all holomorphic vector fields on G_c/B is injective. We sometimes identify X with \tilde{X} .¹⁾

Lemma 2.5. *Let $p \in G_c/B$ and f be a holomorphic function defined on a connected neighbourhood U of p . Assume that there exists a neighbourhood \mathcal{U} of e in G such that f is constant on $U \cap \mathcal{U}p$. Then f is constant on the whole U .*

Proof. We put $U_1 = U \cap \mathcal{U}p$. Then $Xf = 0$ on U_1 for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. Since f is holomorphic, $Xf = 0$ on U_1 for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}_c$.²⁾ Therefore the first derivative of f is zero on U_1 . The same argument shows that all derivatives of f vanish on U_1 and hence f is constant on U . q.e.d.

Let S be the real submanifold of $R_c \times W$ defined by

$$S = \{(z, w) \in R_c \times W; \operatorname{Im} z - F(w, w) = 0\},$$

which is a subset of the boundary of D and is called the Silov boundary of D . It is easy to see that each element of $\operatorname{Aff}(D)$ leaves S invariant and that the group $\exp(\mathfrak{g}^{-2} + \mathfrak{g}^{-1})$ acts simply transitively on S .

Lemma 2.6 ([7]). *Let $a \in G$ and $p \in S$. If $ap \in R_c \times W$, then $ap \in S$.*

Let M be the orbit of G through o , i.e., $M = G/G \cap B$. Since $\dim M = \dim \mathfrak{g}^{-2} + \dim \mathfrak{g}^{-1}$, S is an open submanifold of M . Moreover we obtain

-
- 1) We consider \mathfrak{g}_c as the Lie algebra of left invariant vector fields on G_c . Thus $[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}] = -[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}]$.
 - 2) Considering $\mathfrak{g}_c = \mathfrak{g} + J(\mathfrak{g})$, where J is the complex structure of G_c/B , we have only to show that $Xf = 0$ implies $(JX)f = 0$ ($X \in \mathfrak{g}$). Let z_1, \dots, z_n be a local coordinate system ($z_j = x_j + \sqrt{-1}y_j$). For any vector $X = \sum_j a^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + \sum_j b^j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}$ ($a^j, b^j \in \mathbf{R}$), $JX = \sum_j a^j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} - \sum_j b^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$. Then $Xf = 0$ ($f = u + \sqrt{-1}v$) implies $\sum_j a^j \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} + \sum_j b^j \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_j} = 0$ and $\sum_j a^j \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} + \sum_j b^j \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_j} = 0$. Since f is holomorphic, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_j}$ and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_j} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j}$. Thus by a direct calculation we have $(JX)f = 0$.

Proposition 2.7. *M is a closed submanifold of G_c/B and $M = \bar{S}$, where \bar{S} is the closure of S in G_c/B .*

Proof. We shall show that for any point p of G_c/B there exists an R -valued real analytic function f defined on a neighbourhood U of p such that $M \cap U = \{q \in U; f(q) = 0\}$. If $p \in R_c \times W$. Then we can take $\text{Im } z - F(w, w)$ as f , because $M \cap R_c \times W = S$ by Lemma 2.6. Next we consider the case where $p \in T$. We assert that there exists an $a \in G$ such that $ap \in R_c \times W$. In fact, suppose $Gp \subset T$. Let $f' = 0$ be a local equation of T at p . Then by Lemma 2.5 $f' = 0$ on a neighbourhood of p . This contradicts the fact that T is a proper analytic set, proving our assertion. We choose a neighbourhood U of p such that $aU \subset R_c \times W$. Then the function $(\text{Im } z - F(w, w)) \circ a$ defined on U has the desired property by Lemma 2.6. As a consequence M is closed. By Lemma 2.6 $M - S \subset T$. Let $f' = 0$ be a local equation of T . Then the restriction of the equation $f' = 0$ to M defines the subset $M - S$. Clearly there is no open set U' of M such that f' vanishes on U' by Lemma 2.5. It follows immediately that S is an open dense subset of M .

q. e. d.

§3. Equivalence of Siegel domains

3.1. Let D be the Siegel domain of the second kind in $R_c \times W$ associated with a convex cone V in R and a V -hermitian form F on W . We use the notations given in §1 and §2.

Let D' be another Siegel domain of the second kind. For an object A such as a space, a group, etc., with respect to the domain D , we denote by A' the corresponding object with respect to the domain D' . We now assume that the two domains D and D' are holomorphically equivalent, i.e., there exists a biholomorphic mapping φ of D onto D' . The mapping φ induces an isomorphism Φ of G_c onto G'_c in a natural manner. Clearly

$$\Phi(a) = \varphi a \varphi^{-1} \quad (a \in G).$$

For each $q \in G_c/B$, we put

$$\mathfrak{h}_q = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}_c; \tilde{X}_q = 0\}.$$

Let $p \in D$ and $p' = \varphi(p) \in D'$. We assert that the following equality holds:

$$\mathfrak{h}_{p'} = \Phi_* \mathfrak{h}_p.$$

Indeed, $(\Phi_* X)_{p'} = \varphi_* X_p$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. (We can regard $\varphi_* X$ as a holomorphic vector field on G'_c/B' .) Since the mapping Φ_* and φ_* are complex linear, our assertion is clear. We can choose $a \in G_c$ (resp. $a' \in G'_c$) such that $ao = p$ (resp. $a'o' = p'$). We put $\hat{\Phi}(c) = a'^{-1} \Phi(aca^{-1})a'$ for each $c \in G_c$. Then the mapping $\hat{\Phi}$ is an isomorphism of G_c onto G'_c . Since $Ada \mathfrak{b} = Ada \mathfrak{h}_o = \mathfrak{h}_p$ and $Ada' \mathfrak{b}' = Ada' \mathfrak{h}'_o = \mathfrak{h}_{p'}$, we get $\hat{\Phi}_* \mathfrak{b} = Ada'^{-1} \Phi_* Ada \mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}'$. Therefore $\hat{\Phi}(B)$ is a closed subgroup of G'_c with Lie algebra \mathfrak{b}' , and hence $\hat{\Phi}(B) \subset B'$. By considering the inverse of φ we conclude $\hat{\Phi}(B) = B'$. As a result, there exists a biholomorphic mapping $\hat{\varphi}$ of G_c/B onto G'_c/B' such that $\hat{\varphi} \circ \pi = \pi' \circ \hat{\Phi}$. For any $x = cp$ ($c \in G$), we get $\varphi(x) = \Phi(c)\varphi(o) = a' \hat{\Phi}(a^{-1}ca) o' = \pi' a' \hat{\Phi}(a^{-1}) \hat{\Phi}(ca) = a' \hat{\Phi}(a^{-1}) \hat{\varphi}(x)$. Thus the biholomorphic mapping $\tilde{\varphi} = a' \circ \hat{\Phi}(a^{-1}) \circ \hat{\varphi}$ of G_c/B onto G'_c/B' coincides with φ on the orbit of G through p . By using Lemma 2.5, we can easily verify that $\tilde{\varphi}$ coincides with φ on the whole D . Thus we have proved

Proposition 3.1 (cf. [7]). *Every biholomorphic mapping of D onto D' can be extended to a biholomorphic mapping of G_c/B onto G'_c/B' .*

Let φ be a biholomorphic mapping of D onto D' . Denote by the same letter φ the extended biholomorphic mapping of G_c/B onto G'_c/B' . It is easy to see that $\varphi(cx) = \Phi(c)\varphi(x)$ for all $c \in G_c$ and $x \in G_c/B$. We now verify the following theorem. The proof is almost similar to the one in [7].

Theorem 3.2 (cf. [2], [7]). *Let D (resp. D') be the Siegel domain of the second kind associated with a convex cone V (resp. V') in R (resp. in R') and a V - (resp. V' -) hermitian form F (resp. F') on W (resp. on W'). Assume that there exists a biholomorphic mapping φ of D onto D' . Then*

(1) $\dim R = \dim R'$ and $\dim W = \dim W'$.

(2) φ can be written as $\varphi = c'\psi c$, where $c \in G_a$, $c' \in G'$ and ψ is a complex linear isomorphism of $R_c \times W$ to $R'_c \times W'$ satisfying the followings: $\psi(R) = R'$, $\psi(W) = W'$, $\psi(V) = V'$ and $\psi(F(u, w)) = F'(\psi(u), \psi(w))$ for all $u, w \in W$.

Proof. We can easily verify that there exists a point $p \in S$ such that $\varphi(p) \in S'$ ([7]). Choose $c \in G_a$ and $c' \in G'_a$ such that $co = p$ and $c'o' = \varphi(p)$. By considering $c'^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ c$ instead of φ , we may assume $\varphi(o) = o'$. Since $\varphi(ao) = \Phi(a)\varphi(o) = \Phi(a)o'$ for any $a \in G_c$, we have $\varphi(M) = M'$. Furthermore $\Phi(B) = B'$, because $\Phi(b)o' = \Phi(b)\varphi(o) = \varphi(bo) = o'$ for every $b \in B$. Therefore we get $\varphi \circ \pi = \pi' \circ \Phi$. Clearly $\mathfrak{g}^0 + \mathfrak{g}^1 + \mathfrak{g}^2$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g}'^0 + \mathfrak{g}'^1 + \mathfrak{g}'^2$) is the Lie algebra of $G \cap B$ (resp. of $G' \cap B'$). It follows

$$1) \quad \Phi_*(\mathfrak{g}^0 + \mathfrak{g}^1 + \mathfrak{g}^2) = \mathfrak{g}'^0 + \mathfrak{g}'^1 + \mathfrak{g}'^2.$$

Since $\pi_*(\mathfrak{g}^{-1})_e = T_o(M) \cap JT_o(M)$, where J is the complex structure of G_c/B , and $\pi'_*(\mathfrak{g}'^{-1})_{e'} = T_{o'}(M') \cap J'T_{o'}(M')$, we have

$$2) \quad \Phi_*\mathfrak{g}^{-1} \equiv \mathfrak{g}'^{-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{g}'^0 + \mathfrak{g}'^1 + \mathfrak{g}'^2}.$$

For any $s(c) \in \mathfrak{g}^{-1}$ ($c \in W$), $\varphi_*\pi_*[I, s(c)]_e = \varphi_*\pi_*s(\sqrt{-1}c)_e = J'\varphi_*\pi_*s(c)_e = J'\pi'_*(\Phi_*s(c))_{e'} = \pi'_*([I', \Phi_*s(c)])_{e'}$. On the other hand, $\varphi_*\pi_*[I, s(c)]_e = \pi'_*(\Phi_*[I, s(c)])_{e'}$. Thus we get

$$3) \quad \Phi_*[I, X] \equiv [I', \Phi_*X] \pmod{\mathfrak{g}'^0 + \mathfrak{g}'^1 + \mathfrak{g}'^2}$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}^{-1}$.

From 1) and 2), we obtain $\Phi_*E \equiv E' \pmod{\mathfrak{g}'^1 + \mathfrak{g}'^2}$ ([7]). Hence we can write $\Phi_*E \equiv E' + X^1 \pmod{\mathfrak{g}'^2}$, $X^1 \in \mathfrak{g}'^1$. Then $Ad(\exp X^1)\Phi_*E = E' \pmod{\mathfrak{g}'^2}$. Therefore there exists $X^2 \in \mathfrak{g}'^2$ such that $Ad(\exp X^1)\Phi_*E = E' + 2X^2$. It follows $Ad(\exp X^2)Ad(\exp X^1)\Phi_*E = E'$. We put $\psi = \exp X^2 \circ \exp X^1 \circ \varphi$. And denote by Ψ the induced isomorphism of G_c onto G'_c . Then it is clear $\Psi_*E = E'$ and hence $\Psi_*\mathfrak{g}^\lambda = \mathfrak{g}'^\lambda$ ($-2 \leq \lambda \leq 2$). Therefore $\dim R = \dim R'$ and $\dim W = \dim W'$. By considering the equality: $\Psi_*\mathfrak{g}^{-1} = \mathfrak{g}'^{-1}$, we get from 3)

$$\Psi_*[I, X] = [I', \Psi_*X] \quad \text{for } X \in \mathfrak{g}^{-1}.$$

As a result, $\Psi_*P(X) = P'(\Psi_*X)$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}^{-1}$. Recalling the definition of Tanaka's imbeddings, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(z, w) &= \psi(\exp[s(z) + P(s(w))]o) \\ &= \Psi(\exp[s(z) + P(s(w))]o') \\ &= \exp[\Psi_*s(z) + P(\Psi_*s(w))]o'. \end{aligned}$$

The above equality shows that there exist linear isomorphisms A_1 of R onto R' and A_2 of W onto W' such that $\psi(z, w) = (A_1z, A_2w)$. Clearly $A_1V = V'$. And by a simple calculation, we get $A_1F(u, w) = F'(A_2u, A_2w)$. q. e. d.

Applying Theorem 3.2 to the special case where $D' = D$, we get

Corollary 3.3. $Aut(D) = G \cdot GL(D)$.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in Aut(D)$. Then by Theorem 3.2, we can write $\varphi = c'\psi c$, where $c, c' \in G$ and $\psi \in GL(D)$. It follows $\varphi = c'\psi c\psi^{-1}\psi$ and clearly $\psi c\psi^{-1} \in G$. q. e. d.

§4. The Silov boundary S and the group $Aff(D)$

4.1. Let D be the Siegel domain of the second kind associated with a convex cone V in R and a V -hermitian form F on W . And let D_1 be the associated Siegel domain of the first kind, i.e., $D_1 = \{x \in R_c; \text{Im } z \in V\}$. We denote by \mathfrak{g} (resp. by \mathfrak{t}) the Lie algebra of $Aut(D)$ (resp. $Aut(D_1)$). Then by Theorem 1.1, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^{-2} + \mathfrak{g}^{-1} + \mathfrak{g}^0 + \mathfrak{g}^1 + \mathfrak{g}^2$ and $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{t}^{-2} + \mathfrak{t}^0 + \mathfrak{t}^2$. Since $\mathfrak{g}^{-2} + \mathfrak{g}^0 + \mathfrak{g}^2$ is the subalgebra corresponding to the subgroup $\{f \in Aut(D); f \text{ leaves } D_1 \times (0) \text{ invariant}\}$ ([2]), there exists a homomorphism $\alpha: \mathfrak{g}^{-2} + \mathfrak{g}^0 + \mathfrak{g}^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^{-2} + \mathfrak{t}^0 + \mathfrak{t}^2$ (as graded Lie algebras).

Lemma 4.1. *The homomorphism α is injective on $\mathfrak{g}^{-2} + \mathfrak{g}^2$.*

Proof. Clearly α is an isomorphism on \mathfrak{g}^{-2} . Let $X \in \mathfrak{g}^2$ be such

that $\alpha(X)=0$. Then for any $Y \in \mathfrak{g}^{-2}$, $\alpha([Y, [Y, X]])=0$. Since $[Y, [Y, X]] \in \mathfrak{g}^{-2}$, we get $[Y, [Y, X]]=0$. This implies $X=0$ ([4], [8]),
q.e.d.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{g}^1$. If $[[I, X], X]=0$. Then $X=0$.*

Proof. By a direct calculation we have

$$0 = [Y, [Y, [[I, X], X]]] = 2[[I, [Y, X]], [Y, X]]$$

$$\text{for } Y \in \mathfrak{g}^{-2}.$$

Since $[Y, X] \in \mathfrak{g}^{-1}$, we have $[Y, X]=0$ for all $Y \in \mathfrak{g}^{-2}$.³⁾ Therefore we get $X=0$ ([4], [8]).
q.e.d.

4.2. Let H be the subgroup of $Aut(D)$ which consists of all elements f of $Aut(D)$ leaving the Silov boundary S invariant, where f should be regarded as a holomorphic transformation of G_c/B . Clearly H contains $Aff(D)$. Since each element of $Aut(D)$ leaves M invariant (cf. Proof of Theorem 3.2) and since S is open dense subset of M , H is a closed subgroup of $Aut(D)$.

Lemma 4.3. *The Lie algebra of H coincides with the Lie algebra of $Aff(D)$.*

Proof. Denote by \mathfrak{h} the Lie algebra of H . Since \mathfrak{h} contains the element E , \mathfrak{h} is a graded subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} , i.e.,

$$\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}^{-2} + \mathfrak{h}^{-1} + \mathfrak{h}^0 + \mathfrak{h}^1 + \mathfrak{h}^2, \quad \mathfrak{h}^\lambda = \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{g}^\lambda.$$

Let $X \in \mathfrak{h}^2$. Then $\exp \alpha(X)$ leaves the Silov boundary of D_1 invariant. It is known in [5] that a holomorphic transformation of a Siegel domain of the first kind which leaves the Silov boundary invariant is an affine transformation. Therefore we get $\alpha(X)=0$ and hence $X=0$ by Lemma 4.1. It follows $\mathfrak{h}^2=0$ and hence $\mathfrak{h}^1=0$ by Lemma 4.2.
q.e.d.

3) Identifying $\mathfrak{g}_c^{-2} + \mathfrak{g}^{-1}$ with $R_c \times W$ by the map s , we have from (1.1) and (1.3)
 $[[I, Z], Z] = 4F(Z, Z)$ for $Z \in \mathfrak{g}^{-1}$.

Theorem 4.4. *The group H coincides with $Aff(D)$.*

Proof. Let $f \in H$. Then $fo \in S$ and hence there exists $c \in G_a$ such that $cfo = o$. We put $\varphi = cf$ and denote by Φ the induced isomorphism of G_c by φ . Then $\Phi_*(\mathfrak{g}^0 + \mathfrak{g}^1 + \mathfrak{g}^2) = \mathfrak{g}^0 + \mathfrak{g}^1 + \mathfrak{g}^2$. We recall that $\Phi(a) = \varphi a \varphi^{-1}$. Since $\varphi \in H$, we get $\Phi_*(\mathfrak{g}^{-2} + \mathfrak{g}^{-1} + \mathfrak{g}^0) = Ad\varphi(\mathfrak{g}^{-2} + \mathfrak{g}^{-1} + \mathfrak{g}^0) = \mathfrak{g}^{-2} + \mathfrak{g}^{-1} + \mathfrak{g}^0$ by Lemma 4.3. It follows $\Phi_*\mathfrak{g}^0 = \mathfrak{g}^0$. By considering the equation $\Phi_*E \equiv E \pmod{\mathfrak{g}^1 + \mathfrak{g}^2}$, we conclude $\Phi_*E = E$ and hence $\Phi_*\mathfrak{g}^\lambda = \mathfrak{g}^\lambda$. Now from the Proof of Theorem 3.2, it is clear that φ is an element of $GL(D)$. q.e.d.

Corollary 4.5. *Let $f \in Aut(D)$. Assume that f and f^{-1} are continuous in \bar{D} , where \bar{D} is the closure of D in $R_c \times W$. Then $f \in Aff(D)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.6 and by Corollary 3.3, we know $f \in H$. q.e.d.

§5. The compactness of C_c/B

5.1. Let D be the Siegel domain of the second kind as in §1. Assume that D is a symmetric homogeneous domain. Then it is well known that the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is semi-simple. Therefore \mathfrak{g}_c is semi-simple. We also define linear endomorphisms P and \bar{P} of $\mathfrak{g}_c^!$ in the same way as in (2.1), i.e.,

$$P(X) = -\frac{1}{2}(X - \sqrt{-1}[I, X]),$$

$$\bar{P}(X) = -\frac{1}{2}(X + \sqrt{-1}[I, X]) \quad \text{for } X \in \mathfrak{g}_c^!.$$

We put $\mathfrak{s}^{-1} = \mathfrak{g}_c^{-2} + P(\mathfrak{g}^{-1})$, $\mathfrak{s}^0 = \bar{P}(\mathfrak{g}^{-1}) + \mathfrak{g}_c^0 + P(\mathfrak{g}^1)$ and $\mathfrak{s}^1 = \bar{P}(\mathfrak{g}^1) + \mathfrak{g}_c^2$. It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{g}_c = \mathfrak{s}^{-1} + \mathfrak{s}^0 + \mathfrak{s}^1$ is a graded Lie algebra. According to [6] there exists an involutive automorphism θ of \mathfrak{g}_c (as a real Lie algebra) associated with a certain Cartan decomposition of \mathfrak{g}_c such that $\theta(\mathfrak{s}^{-1}) = \mathfrak{s}^1$ and $\theta(\mathfrak{s}^0) = \mathfrak{s}^0$. Let G_θ be the Lie subgroup of G_c corresponding to the subalgebra $\{X \in \mathfrak{g}_c; \theta(X) = X\}$. Clearly the orbit of

G_θ through the origin o is open and compact in G_c/B and hence coincides with G_c/B . As a result G_c/B is compact.

The purpose of this section is to prove the following

Theorem 5.1. *Let D be a Siegel domain of the second and let G_c/B and $G/G \cap B$ be homogeneous spaces constructed in §2. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) D is symmetric.
- (2) G_c/B is compact.
- (3) $G/G \cap B$ is compact.

5.2. We put $\tilde{\mathfrak{b}} = \mathfrak{g}^0 + \mathfrak{g}^1 + \mathfrak{g}^2$. Let $m = \dim \mathfrak{g}$ and $n = \dim \tilde{\mathfrak{b}}$. Denote by $Q(m, n; \mathbf{R})$ the grassmann manifold of all n -dimensional subspaces of the m -dimensional real vector space \mathfrak{g} . Since the subgroup $G \cap B$ of G leaves $\tilde{\mathfrak{b}}$ invariant, we can define a mapping η of $Go = G/G \cap B$ to $Q(m, n; \mathbf{R})$ by

$$\eta(ao) = Ada\tilde{\mathfrak{b}} \quad (a \in G).$$

Lemma 5.2. *Let $v \in V$ and let $\mathfrak{f}_v^0 = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}^0; [s(v), X] = 0\}$. Then we have*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \eta(\exp ts(v)o) = \mathfrak{f}_v^0 + [s(v), \tilde{\mathfrak{b}}] \quad (\text{in } Q(m, n; \mathbf{R})).$$

Proof. We put $n_1 = \dim \mathfrak{g}^1$ and $n_2 = \dim \mathfrak{g}^0 + \mathfrak{g}^2$. Let $X^1 \in \mathfrak{g}^1$. Then

$$Ad(\exp ts(v))X^1 = X^1 + t[s(v), X^1].$$

Since the mapping: $X^1 \rightarrow [s(v), X^1]$ of \mathfrak{g}^1 to \mathfrak{g}^{-1} is injective (cf. [8]), we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Ad(\exp ts(v))\mathfrak{g}^1 = [s(v), \mathfrak{g}^1] \quad (\text{in } Q(m, n_1; \mathbf{R})).^{4)}$$

4) Let X_1, \dots, X_{n_1} be a base of \mathfrak{g}^1 . Put $Y_j = [s(v), X_j]$. Then Y_1, \dots, Y_{n_1} are linear-independent. Thus the subspace $Ad(\exp ts(v))\mathfrak{g}^1$ is generated by $\frac{1}{t}X_1 + Y_1, \dots, \frac{1}{t}X_{n_1} + Y_{n_1}$.

Let $X^2 \in \mathfrak{g}^2$ and $X^0 \in \mathfrak{g}^0$. Then

$$Ad(\exp t s(v))X^2 = X^2 + t[s(v), X^2] + \frac{t^2}{2}[s(v), [s(v), X^2]]$$

$$Ad(\exp t s(v))X^0 = X^0 + t[s(v), X^0].$$

If we put $Y^0 = \frac{t}{2}[s(v), X^2]$, then

$$Ad(\exp t s(v))X^2 = X^2 + \frac{t}{2}[s(v), X^2] + Ad(\exp t s(v))Y^0.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & Ad(\exp t s(v))(\mathfrak{g}^2 + \mathfrak{g}^0) \\ &= \left\{ X^2 + \frac{t}{2}[s(v), X^2]; X^2 \in \mathfrak{g}^2 \right\} \\ &+ \{ X^0 + t[s(v), X^0]; X^0 \in \mathfrak{g}^0 \}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the mapping: $X^2 \rightarrow [s(v), [s(v), X^2]]$ of \mathfrak{g}^2 to \mathfrak{g}^{-2} is injective (cf. [8]), we know $[s(v), \mathfrak{g}^2] \cap \mathfrak{f}_v^0 = (0)$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Ad(\exp t s(v))(\mathfrak{g}^2 + \mathfrak{g}^0) \\ &= [s(v), \mathfrak{g}^2 + \mathfrak{g}^0] + \mathfrak{f}_v^0 \quad (\text{in } Q(m, n_2; \mathbf{R})). \end{aligned}$$

q. e. d.

We can now prove Theorem 5.1. Suppose that G_c/B is compact. Then by Proposition 2.7, $G/G \cap B$ is compact. Thus we have only to verify that (3) implies (1). Suppose that $G/G \cap B$ is compact. Then $\eta(G/G \cap B)$ is compact. Therefore by Lemma 5.2, there exists $a \in G$ such that $Ad a \tilde{b} = [s(v), \tilde{b}] + \mathfrak{f}_v^0$. Clearly $[E, Ad a \tilde{b}] \subset Ad a \tilde{b}$. Then $[Ad a^{-1} E, \tilde{b}] \subset \tilde{b}$. If we write $Ad a^{-1} E \equiv X^{-2} + X^{-1} \pmod{\tilde{b}}$, $X^{-2} \in \mathfrak{g}^{-2}$ and $X^{-1} \in \mathfrak{g}^{-1}$. Then $[Ad a^{-1} E, E] \equiv 2X^{-2} + X^{-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{\tilde{b}}$. Therefore $X^{-2} = X^{-1} = 0$ and hence $Ad a^{-1} E \in \tilde{b}$. As a result $E \in Ad a \tilde{b}$. Thus we can write $E = Y + Z$ ($Y \in \mathfrak{f}_v^0$, $Z \in [s(v), \tilde{b}]$). We denote by $Tr ad X$ ($X \in \mathfrak{g}$)

the trace of the linear endomorphism adX of \mathfrak{g} . Since $\mathfrak{f}_\mathfrak{g}^0$ is compact, $TradY=0$. Clearly $TradZ=0$. And hence $TradE=0$. This implies $\dim \mathfrak{g}^{-2} = \dim \mathfrak{g}^2$ and $\dim \mathfrak{g}^{-1} = \dim \mathfrak{g}^1$. Therefore $\mathfrak{g}^{-2} = (ads(v))^2 \mathfrak{g}^2$ and hence the domain D is homogeneous (cf. [8]). On the other hand, from [2] we know \mathfrak{g} is semi-simple. Then by Borel [1] or Koszul [3] we can conclude that D is symmetric.

KYOTO UNIVERSITY

References

- [1] A. Borel, Kaehlerian coset spaces of semi-simple Lie groups, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., **40** (1954) 1147–1151.
- [2] W. Kaup, Y. Matsushima and T. Ochiai, On the automorphisms and equivalences of generalized Siegel domains, Amer. J. Math., **92** (1970) 475–497.
- [3] J. L. Koszul, Sur la forme hermitienne canonique des espaces homogènes complexes, Canad. J. Math., **7** (1955) 562–576.
- [4] K. Nakajima, Some studies on Siegel domains, to appear in J. Math. Soc. Japan, 27 (1975).
- [5] I. I. Pyatetski-Shapiro, Geometry of classical domains and theory of automorphic functions, Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1961. French translation, Paris, 1966.
- [6] N. Tanaka, On the equivalence problems associated with a certain class of homogeneous spaces, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **17** (1965) 103–139.
- [7] N. Tanaka, On infinitesimal automorphisms of Siegel domains, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **22** (1970) 180–212.
- [8] J. Vey, Sur la division des domaines de Siegel, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup., 4 Série, **3** (1971) 479–506.