

# Faithfully flatness of extensions of a commutative ring

By

Takeo OHI

(Communicated by Prof. Nagata, Nov. 2, 1973)

All rings are assumed to be commutative and contain an identity element. Moreover, when we write " $R \subseteq S$ ", we mean that  $R$  is a subring of a ring  $S$  and that the identity of  $R$  is the identity of  $S$ . Let  $a_1, \dots, a_s$  be elements of a ring  $R$ . Then  $(a_1, \dots, a_s)$  means the ideal of  $R$  generated by  $a_1, \dots, a_s$ . The symbol  $\subset$  means proper inclusion.

Let  $R$  and  $S$  be rings with  $R \subseteq S$ . If  $S$  is generated as a ring by a set of elements in  $S$  over  $R$ , then  $S$  may be best described by an exact sequence of  $R$ -homomorphisms

$$(*) \quad 0 \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow R[X] \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $X$  is a set of variables over  $R$  and  $R[X]$  is the polynomial ring in  $X$  over  $R$ . If  $w = r_0 + r_1X^{(1)} + r_2X^{(2)} + \dots + r_nX^{(n)}$  is an element of  $R[X]$  where  $r_0, r_1, \dots, r_n \in R$  and  $X^{(i)}$  monomials with degree  $\geq 1$  such that  $X^{(i)} \neq X^{(j)}$  if  $i \neq j$ , then we define  $c(w)$  (and  $c'(w)$ ) to be  $(r_0, r_1, \dots, r_n)$  (and  $(r_1, \dots, r_n)$ ).

We say that an  $R$ -module  $M$  is faithfully flat if  $M$  is flat over  $R$  and  $PM \subset M$  for any maximal ideal  $P$  of  $R$ . Let the notations be as above. In this note we seek some conditions which are necessary and sufficient in order that  $S$  is, as an  $R$ -module, faithfully flat. They will be characterized in terms of the  $R$ -module  $I$ , which is also the ideal in  $R[X]$ .

In order to prove the Theorem 1 we need the following two Lemmas. Lemmas  $A$  and  $B$  may be found in [1, Chapter 1, §3,

Prop. 9] and [2, Prop. 2.1], respectively.

**Lemma A.** *Let  $R$  and  $S$  be rings with  $R \subseteq S$ . Then  $S$  is faithfully flat over  $R$  if and only if  $S/R$  is flat over  $R$ .*

**Lemma B.** *Let the notations be as in (\*). Then  $S$  is flat over  $R$  if and only if  $u \in c(u)I$  for each element  $u$  of  $I$ .*

**Theorem 1.** *Let the notations be as in (\*). Then  $S$  is faithfully flat over  $R$  if and only if  $u \in c'(u)I$  for each element  $u$  of  $I$ .*

**Proof.** We remark that  $R+I$  is the internal direct sum of  $R$  and  $I$  in  $R[X]$ . Since the following diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & R & \longrightarrow & S \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & R+I/I & \longrightarrow & R[X]/I \end{array}$$

is commutative, we first observe that  $S/R \simeq R[X]/R+I$  as an  $R$ -module.

Assume that  $S$  is faithfully flat over  $R$ . By Lemma B it is enough to prove that  $c(u) = c'(u)$  for each element  $u$  of  $I$ . We consider the exact sequence of  $R$ -modules,

$$0 \longrightarrow R+I \longrightarrow R[X] \longrightarrow R[X]/R+I \longrightarrow 0.$$

Tensoring it with  $R/c'(u)$  over  $R$  and since  $R[X]/R+I$  is  $R$ -flat by Lemma A we get an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules,

$$0 \longrightarrow R+I \otimes R/c'(u) \longrightarrow R[X] \otimes R/c'(u).$$

If  $u = r_0 + r_1X^{(1)} + \dots + r_nX^{(n)}$  is an element of  $I$  we have that  $-r_0 + u \in R+I$  and  $(-r_0 + u) \otimes (1 + c'(u)) = 0$  in  $R[X] \otimes R/c'(u)$ , which imply  $(-r_0 + u) \otimes (1 + c'(u)) = 0$  in  $R+I \otimes R/c'(u)$ . By the remark above we must have  $-r_0 \otimes (1 + c'(u)) = 0$  in  $R \otimes R/c'(u)$ , because the tensor product commutes with the direct sum. Thus  $r_0 \in c'(u)$  and hence  $c(u) = c'(u)$ .

For the converse, it suffices to prove that  $PS \subset S$  if  $P$  is a proper ideal of  $R$ . Since  $R[X]/I$  is isomorphic to  $S$  as an  $R$ -module we may use  $R[X]/I$  instead of  $S$  and suppose that  $P(R[X]/I) = R[X]/I$ , that is,

$P[X]+I=R[X]$ . Then there exist  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m \in P$  such that  $1+p_0+p_1X^{(1)}+\dots+p_mX^{(m)}$  belongs to  $I$ . Since  $P$  is proper,  $1+p_0$  does not belong to  $P$ . It gives a contradiction to the primitive converse hypothesis. **q.e.d.**

**Corollary.** *Let the notations be as in (\*). If  $I \subseteq XR[X]$  where  $XR[X]$  is the ideal of  $R[X]$  generated by  $X$ , then  $S$  is faithfully flat over  $R$  if and only if  $S$  is flat over  $R$ .*

**Remark.** Let the notations be as in (\*). If  $S$  is a faithfully flat ring extension of  $R$ , then  $c'(u)=c(u)$  for any  $u$  of  $I$  by Theorem 1. Therefore if there is an element  $u$  of  $I$  such that  $c'(u) \subset c(u)$ , then  $S$  is not faithfully flat over  $R$ . Let  $S$  be a ring such that  $R \subseteq S \subseteq K$ , where  $K$  is the total quotient ring of  $R$ . Then it follows by the above that  $S$  is faithfully flat over  $R$  only when  $S=R$ . But it is not convenient to prove that  $S$  is faithfully flat over  $R$ . Later we have a complete result (Theorem 2) in case that  $I$  is a principal ideal of  $R[X]$ .

In order to prove Theorem 2, we need the following ring-theoretic proposition.

**Proposition.** *Let  $u=r_0+r_1x+r_2x^2+\dots+r_nx^n$  be an element of  $R[x]$ , the polynomial ring in one variable over a ring  $R$ . We assume that  $c'(u)=(r_1, \dots, r_n)$  is a direct summand of  $R$ . Then  $c'(uw)=c'(u)c(w)+c(u)c'(w)$  for any element  $w$  of  $R[x]$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $w=a_0+a_1x+a_2x^2+\dots+a_mx^m$  and we prove this by induction on  $m$ . In case  $m=0$  its proof is trivial. Let  $m \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 1$  (if  $n=0$ , obvious). We first show the following assertions  $P(i)$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n$ ,

$$P(i): \quad c'(uw) \supseteq (r_{n-i}, r_{n-i+1}, \dots, r_n)a_m.$$

$P(0)$  is clearly true. Suppose that  $i < n$  and  $P(i)$  is true. Then it follows that  $c'(uw) \supseteq r_j c'(uw) = c'(u(r_j w))$  and  $c'(uw) \supseteq c'(u(r_j w - r_j a_m x^m))$  for  $j = n-i, n-i+1, \dots, n$ .

On the other hand,  $r_j w - r_j a_m x^m = r_j a_0 + r_j a_1 x + \dots + r_j a_{m-1} x^{m-1}$ ,

therefore by induction on  $m$ , we obtain that  $c'(uw) \cong c'(u(r_j w - r_j a_m x^m)) \cong c'(u)c(r_j w - r_j a_m x^m) = r_j(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{m-1})$ , for,  $c'(u)$  is a direct summand of  $R$  and  $j \geq 1$ . Consequently we have that  $c'(uw)$  includes  $r_j a_k$  for  $n-i \leq j \leq n$  and  $0 \leq k \leq m$ . Investigating the coefficient of degree  $n+m-i-1$  of the polynomial  $uw$ , we immediately can see that  $r_{n-i-1} a_m \in c'(uw)$ . Thus  $P(i+1)$  is true and hence  $P(n)$  is true by induction on  $i$ . The above argument gives  $c'(uw) = c'(u)c(w) + c(u)c'(w)$ . **q.e.d.**

**Corollary.** *The above Proposition is also valid for  $R[X]$ , the polynomial ring in a set of variables  $X$  over a ring  $R$ .*

**Proof.** As to the proof we may assume that  $X$  is a finite set. Let  $X = \{X_1, \dots, X_s\}$  and let  $u = r_0 + r_1 X^{(1)} + \dots + r_n X^{(n)}$  and  $w = a_0 + a_1 X^{(1)} + \dots + a_m X^{(m)}$  where  $X^{(i)}$  monomials in  $X_1, \dots, X_s$  with degree  $\geq 1$  such that  $X^{(i)} \neq X^{(j)}$  if  $i \neq j$ . Of course we are moreover assuming that  $c'(u)$  is a direct summand of  $R$ . If  $M(X) = \pi_{i=1}^s X_i^{e(i)}$  then let us call the integer  $\sum_{i=1}^s e(i)d(i)$  the weight of the monomial  $M(X)$  with respect to  $d(1), \dots, d(s)$ . Obviously by a suitable choice of  $d(1), \dots, d(s)$  ( $\geq 1$ ) we can see to it that no two of the monomials  $X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(p)}$  ( $p = \text{Max}(m, n)$ ) have the same weight.

Put  $X_i = x^{d(i)}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ . Then  $u$  and  $w$  become to  $U = r_0 + r_1 x^{t(1)} + \dots + r_n x^{t(n)}$  and  $W = a_0 + a_1 x^{t(1)} + \dots + a_m x^{t(m)}$ , where  $t(i) = \text{weight } X^{(i)} \geq 1$  and  $t(i) \neq t(j)$  if  $i \neq j$ . Then it easily follows that  $c'(uw) \cong c'(UW)$ . By the previous Proposition we have that  $c'(UW) = c'(U)c(W) + c(U)c'(W) = c'(u)(w) + c(u)c'(w)$ . Thus  $c'(uw) = c'(u)c(w) + c(u)c'(w)$ . **q.e.d.**

**Remark 1.** By the Corollary to Proposition it follows that  $c'(uw) = c(uw)$  for every element  $w$  of  $R[X]$  if  $c'(u) = c(u)$  and  $c'(u)$  is a direct summand of  $R$ .

**Remark 2.** If  $c(u)$  is a direct summand of  $R$  then  $c(uw) = c(u)c(w)$  for any element  $w$  of  $R[X]$  (use induction on  $\text{deg. } w$ ,  $w \in R[x]$  and generalize it to  $R[X]$ ). In general Dedekind [4, Satz 7] proved that  $c(u)^{m+1}c(w) = c(u)^m c(uw)$  and  $c(u)c(w)^{n+1} = c(uw)c(w)^n$  for arbitrary elements  $u, w$  of  $R[x]$ , where  $n = \text{deg. } u$  and  $m = \text{deg. } w$ . Remark 2 is

easily follows from Satz 7 of Dedekind. The technique of the proof in Satz 7 also gives the proof of Remark 1 above.

Professor Nagata proved the following result. We give here a simple proof.

**Application.** *Let the notations be as in (\*). If  $I=(u)$  is a principal ideal of  $R[X]$ , then  $S$  is flat over  $R$  if and only if  $c(u)$  is a direct summand of  $R$ .*

**Proof.** The only if part is well known and its proof is easy being  $c(u)$  finitely generated. For the if part, it suffices to prove that  $uw \in c(uw)I$  for each element  $w$  of  $R[X]$  by Lemma B. By Remark 2 it follows that  $c(uw)I = c(u)c(w)I = c(w)I \ni uw$ . **q. e. d.**

By virtue of Theorem 1, Remark 1 and Application we have

**Theorem 2.** *Let the notations be as in (\*). If  $I=(u)$  is a principal ideal of  $R[X]$ , then  $S$  is faithfully flat over  $R$  if and only if  $c'(u)=c(u)$  and  $c'(u)$  is a direct summand of  $R$ .*

The author is indebted to Professor Y. Kawahara for many helpful suggestions.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
SCIENCE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO

### References

- [ 1 ] Bourbaki, N., *Algèbre Commutative*, Chaps. 1 and 2.
- [ 2 ] S. U. Chase, Direct products of modules, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 97 (1960), 457-473.
- [ 3 ] M. Nagata, Flatness of an extension of a commutative ring, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 9-3 (1969), 439-448.
- [ 4 ] Dedekind, Über einen arithemischen Satz von Gauss, *Mitteilungen der Deutschen mathematischen Gesellschaft in Prag*, Jahrgang 1892, S. 1-11.
- [ 5 ] H. Matsumura, *Commutative Algebra*, W. A. Benjamin, INC, (1970).