

GEOMETRY OF COMPLEX MANIFOLDS SIMILAR TO THE CALABI-ECKMANN MANIFOLDS

DAVID E. BLAIR, GERALD D. LUDDEN & KENTARO YANO

In [4] Calabi and Eckmann showed that the product of two odd-dimensional spheres $S^{2p+1} \times S^{2q+1}$ ($p, q \geq 1$) is a complex manifold. As $S^{2p+1} \times S^{2q+1}$ is not Kaehlerian, the fundamental 2-form Ω of the Hermitian structure is not closed. However, $d\Omega$ does have a special form on $S^{2p+1} \times S^{2q+1}$; in fact, $S^{2p+1} \times S^{2q+1}$ admits two nonvanishing vector fields which are both Killing and analytic, and whose covariant forms determine Ω . Our purpose here is to study complex manifolds whose complex structures are similar to the complex structure on $S^{2p+1} \times S^{2q+1}$.

In § 1 we review the geometry of the Calabi-Eckmann manifolds. In § 2 we give some elementary properties of vector fields on a Hermitian manifold, and introduce the notion of a holomorphic pair of automorphisms and of a bicontact manifold. § 3 continues the author's paper [2] on the differential geometry of principal toroidal bundles for the present case. In § 4 we discuss bicontact manifolds and, in particular, the integrable distributions of a bicontact structure on a Hermitian manifold. Finally in § 5 we give some results on the curvatures of a Hermitian manifold admitting a holomorphic pair of automorphisms.

1. The Hermitian structure on the Calabi-Eckmann manifolds

The construction of the complex structure on $S^{2p+1} \times S^{2q+1}$ which we will give is due to Morimoto [6]. It is well known that an odd-dimensional sphere S^{2p+1} carries a contact structure, i.e., a nonvanishing 1-form η such that $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^p \neq 0$. Let G be the standard metric on S^{2p+1} . Then there exist on S^{2p+1} (see e.g. [8]) a contact form η , a vector field ξ , and a tensor field φ of type (1, 1) such that

$$\begin{aligned}\eta(\xi) &= 1, \quad \varphi\xi = 0, \quad \eta \circ \varphi = 0, \quad \varphi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \\ G(\xi, X) &= \eta(X), \quad G(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = G(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y),\end{aligned}$$

i.e., S^{2p+1} carries an almost contact metric structure. For a contact structure $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^p \neq 0$, φ , ξ and G may be chosen such that $d\eta(X, Y) = G(\varphi X, Y)$,

as happens in the sphere example. Moreover, the contact metric structure on S^{2p+1} is normal, i.e.,

$$[\varphi, \varphi] + d\eta \otimes \xi = 0,$$

where $[\varphi, \varphi]$ is the Nijenhuis torsion of φ . Thus S^{2p+1} carries a normal contact metric or *Sasakian* structure.

Now let (φ, ξ, η, G) and $(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\eta}, \bar{G})$ be Sasakian structures on S^{2p+1} and S^{2q+1} respectively. Then define an almost complex structure J on $S^{2p+1} \times S^{2q+1}$ by

$$J(X, \bar{X}) = (\varphi X - \bar{\eta}(\bar{X})\xi, \bar{\varphi}\bar{X} + \eta(X)\bar{\xi}),$$

and let g be the product metric. Then direct computations show [6] that $J^2 = -I$, $g(J(X, \bar{X}), J(Y, \bar{Y})) = g((X, \bar{X}), (Y, \bar{Y}))$ and, using normality, that $[J, J] = 0$. Thus $S^{2p+1} \times S^{2q+1}$ is a Hermitian manifold.

Defining the fundamental 2-form Ω of the Hermitian structure by

$$\Omega((X, \bar{X}), (Y, \bar{Y})) = g(J(X, \bar{X}), (Y, \bar{Y})),$$

we find that

$$\Omega = d\eta + d\bar{\eta} + \eta \wedge \bar{\eta},$$

where we view η and $\bar{\eta}$ as 1-forms extended to the product. Thus the fundamental 2-form Ω of the Hermitian structure on $S^{2p+1} \times S^{2q+1}$ satisfies

$$d\Omega = d\eta \wedge \bar{\eta} - \eta \wedge d\bar{\eta}.$$

Finally we remark that from the Hopf fibration $\pi': S^{2p+1} \rightarrow PC^p$ of an odd-dimensional sphere as a principal circle bundle over complex projective space, we obtain a natural fibration $\pi: S^{2p+1} \times S^{2q+1} \rightarrow PC^p \times PC^q$ of a Calabi-Eckmann manifold as a principal T^2 (2-dimensional torus) bundle over a product of complex projective spaces. In fact the complex coordinates of $S^{2p+1} \times S^{2q+1}$ are essentially those of $PC^p \times PC^q$ together with a fibre coordinate [4], [5].

2. Some elementary properties of vector fields on a Hermitian manifold

Let M^{2n} be a Hermitian manifold with complex structure J and Hermitian metric g . Let U be an analytic vector field¹ on M^{2n} , i.e., $\mathfrak{L}_U J = 0$ where \mathfrak{L} denotes Lie differentiation.

¹ More generally on an almost complex manifold a vector field U is said to be almost analytic if $\mathfrak{L}_U J = 0$ and $[J, J](U, X) = 0$ for all vector fields X .

Proposition 2.1. *If U is an analytic vector field on M^{2n} , then so is $V = JU$.
*Proof.**

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [J, J](U, X) = -[U, X] + [V, JX] - J[V, X] - J[U, JX] \\ &= -J(\mathcal{L}_V J)X + (\mathcal{L}_V J)X = (\mathcal{L}_V J)X . \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if U is an infinitesimal automorphism of J , so is JU ; but if U is Killing (an automorphism of g), JU is not in general Killing. We therefore make the following definition.

Definition. By a holomorphic pair of automorphisms we mean a unit vector field U such that U and $V = JU$ are infinitesimal automorphisms of the Hermitian structure.

Let u and v denote the covariant forms of U and V respectively. We begin with some elementary properties of a holomorphic pair of automorphisms ($U, V = JU$).

Lemma 2.2. $[U, V] = 0$.

Proof. $0 = (\mathcal{L}_V J)U = [U, JU] - J[U, U] = [U, V]$.

Lemma 2.3. $du(U, X) = 0, du(V, X) = 0, dv(U, X) = 0, dv(V, X) = 0$.

Proof. We give the proof for du , the proof for dv being similar. Since U is Killing and unit, we have

$$\begin{aligned} du(U, X) &= (\nabla_U u)(X) - (\nabla_X u)(U) = g(\nabla_U U, X) - g(\nabla_X U, U) \\ &= -2g(\nabla_X U, U) = 0 , \end{aligned}$$

where ∇ denotes the Riemannian connection of g . Similarly since $[U, V] = 0$ and V is also Killing, we have

$$du(V, X) = g(\nabla_V U, X) - g(\nabla_X U, V) = g(\nabla_V V, X) + g(\nabla_X V, U) = 0 .$$

Proposition 2.4. *At each point of M^{2n} , u and v have odd rank, i.e., there exist nonnegative integers p and q such that $u \wedge (du)^p \neq 0, v \wedge (dv)^q \neq 0, (du)^{p+1} = 0, (dv)^{q+1} = 0$.*

Proof. First note that $(du)^n = 0$; for evaluating $(du)^n$ on a J -basis containing U and V each term in

$$(du)^n(U, V, X_3, \dots, X_{2n})$$

vanishes by Lemma 2.3; here we have set $X_1 = U, X_2 = JU = V$ and $\{X_i\}$ a J -basis. Suppose now that at $m \in M^{2n}$, $(du)^p \neq 0$ and $(du)^{p+1} = 0$. Then evaluating $(u \wedge (du)^p)(U, Y_1, \dots, Y_{2p})$ where Y_1, \dots, Y_{2p} are vector fields such that $du(Y_i, Y_j) \neq 0$, we have that $u \wedge (du)^p \neq 0$. Similarly v has rank $2q + 1$.

Definition. We say that a differentiable manifold M^{2n} is bicontact if it admits 1-forms u and v such that $u \wedge v \wedge (du)^p \wedge (dv)^q \neq 0, (du)^{p+1} = 0$

and $(dv)^{q+1} = 0$ with $p + q + 1 = n$. M^{2n} is called a Hermitian bicontact manifold if M^{2n} is both Hermitian and bicontact, and the 1-forms u and v are the covariant forms of a holomorphic pair of automorphisms.

Lemma 2.5. *If du is of bidegree $(1, 1)$ with respect to the complex structure J , then so is dv .*

Proof. Recall that the Nijenhuis torsion of a vector-valued 1-form h is given by its action on a 1-form θ . This action is

$$[h, h]\theta = -h^{(2)}d\theta + h^{(1)}d(\theta \circ h) - d(\theta \circ h^2),$$

where for a 2-form θ ,

$$(h^{(1)}\theta)(X, Y) = \theta(hX, Y) + \theta(X, hY), \quad (h^{(2)}\theta)(X, Y) = \theta(hX, hY).$$

$h^{(1)}\theta$ is often denoted by $\theta \frown h$. Now since $v = -u \circ J$ and du is of bidegree $(1, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= ([J, J]u)(X, Y) \\ &= -du(JX, JY) - dv(JX, Y) - dv(X, JY) + du(X, Y) \\ &= -dv(JX, Y) - dv(X, JY), \end{aligned}$$

and hence dv is of bidegree $(1, 1)$.

Remark. The above proof also shows that if $du = dv$, then $[J, J] = 0$ implies that $du (= dv)$ is of bidegree $(1, 1)$. The authors have studied certain manifolds admitting independent 1-forms u and v with $du = dv$, [1], [2].

Proposition 2.6. *If M^{2n} is Kaehlerian, then $du = dv = 0$.*

Proof. First since V is analytic, we have

$$0 = (\mathfrak{L}_V J)X = \nabla_V JX - \nabla_{JX} V - J\nabla_V X + J\nabla_X V = -\nabla_{JX} V + J\nabla_X V.$$

Now since V is Killing,

$$\begin{aligned} du(X, Y) &= g(\nabla_X U, Y) - g(\nabla_Y U, X) = g(-\nabla_X J V, Y) - g(-\nabla_Y J V, X) \\ &= g(\nabla_X V, JY) + g(J\nabla_Y V, X) = -g(\nabla_{JY} V, X) + g(J\nabla_Y V, X) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly one can show that $dv = 0$.

In [9] one of the authors introduced the notion of an f -structure on a differentiable manifold, i.e., the manifold admits a tensor field $f \neq 0$ of type $(1, 1)$ satisfying $f^3 + f = 0$ (see also [1], [7]).

Proposition 2.7. *Let (M^{2n}, J, g) be an almost Hermitian manifold admitting a nonvanishing vector field U , then $U, V = JU, u, v$ (the covariant forms of U and V) and $f = J + v \otimes U - u \otimes V$ define an f -structure with complemented frames and rank $(f) = 2n - 2$ on M^{2n} , i.e., we have*

$$f^2 = -I + u \otimes U + v \otimes V, \quad fU = fV = 0, \quad u \circ f = v \circ f = 0, \\ u(U) = v(V) = 1, \quad u(V) = v(U) = 0.$$

The proof of this proposition is a straightforward computation and will be omitted.

An f -structure with complemented frames (f, U, V, u, v) is said to be *normal* if the tensor S defined by

$$S(X, Y) = [f, f](X, Y) + du(X, Y)U + dv(X, Y)V$$

vanishes. Computing S in our case gives

$$S(X, Y) = [J, J](X, Y) - (du \frown J)(X, Y) - (dv \frown J)(X, Y) \\ + u(X)(\mathfrak{L}_V J)Y - u(Y)(\mathfrak{L}_V J)X + v(X)(\mathfrak{L}_U J)Y - v(Y)(\mathfrak{L}_U J)X.$$

Thus we have the following result.

Proposition 2.8. *On a Hermitian manifold with a nonvanishing analytic vector field U , if du is of bidegree $(1, 1)$, then the f -structure (f, U, V, u, v) is normal.*

It is well known (see e.g. [7]) that for a normal f -structure with complemented frames, we have

$$\mathfrak{L}_U f = 0, \quad \mathfrak{L}_U u = 0, \quad \mathfrak{L}_U v = 0, \quad \mathfrak{L}_V f = 0, \quad \mathfrak{L}_V u = 0, \quad \mathfrak{L}_V v = 0, \\ du \frown f = 0, \quad dv \frown f = 0, \quad [U, V] = 0.$$

Thus a straightforward computation shows that $S = 0$ implies $[J, J] = 0$.

Now if g is the Hermitian metric on M^{2n} , then

$$g(fX, fY) = g(X, Y) - u(X)u(Y) - v(X)v(Y), \\ u(X) = g(U, X), \quad v(X) = g(V, X),$$

that is, (f, g, u, v) defines a metric f -structure with complemented frames.

Finally we define the fundamental 2-forms Ω and F of the structures by

$$\Omega(X, Y) = g(JX, Y), \quad F(X, Y) = g(fX, Y).$$

Then a short computation gives

$$F = \Omega - u \wedge v.$$

3. Fibering by a holomorphic pair of automorphisms

In [2] the authors proved the following result.

Theorem. *Let M^{2m+s} be a compact connected manifold with a regular normal f -structure of rank $2m$. Then M^{2m+s} is the bundle space of a principal toroidal bundle over a complex manifold N^{2m} .*

Now if a complex manifold M^{2n} admits a regular analytic vector field U (i.e., every point $m \in M^{2n}$ has a neighborhood such that the integral curve of U through m passes through the neighborhood only once), the vector field $V = JU$ is not necessarily regular. Thus we say that a holomorphic pair of automorphisms is regular if both U and V are regular vector fields. Then using the above theorem and Proposition 2.8 we can prove the following result.

Theorem 3.1. *If a compact Hermitian manifold (M^{2n}, J, g) admits a regular holomorphic pair of automorphisms $(U, V = JU)$ with du of bidegree $(1, 1)$, then M^{2n} is a principal T^2 bundle over a Hermitian manifold N^{2n-2} .*

Proof. From the above theorem and Proposition 2.8 we obtain the desired fibration. Thus we shall only exhibit the Hermitian structure on N^{2n-2} . As U and V are analytic, J is projectable and we define J' on N^{2n-2} by

$$J'X = \pi_* J \tilde{\pi} X,$$

where $\tilde{\pi}$ denotes the horizontal lift with respect to the Riemannian connection of g (in the nonmetric case one can use the pair (u, v) as a Lie algebra valued connection form to determine $\tilde{\pi}$ [2]). Then it is easy to check that $J'^2 = -I$. Moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned} [J', J'](X, Y) &= -[\pi_* \tilde{\pi} X, \pi_* \tilde{\pi} Y] + [\pi_* J \tilde{\pi} X, \pi_* J \tilde{\pi} Y] \\ &\quad - \pi_* J \tilde{\pi} [\pi_* J \tilde{\pi} X, \pi_* \tilde{\pi} Y] - \pi_* J \tilde{\pi} [\pi_* \tilde{\pi} X, \pi_* J \tilde{\pi} Y] \\ &= \pi_* [J, J](\tilde{\pi} X, \tilde{\pi} Y) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Finally as U and V are Killing, the metric g is projectable to a metric g' on N^{2n-2} given by $g'(X, Y) \circ \pi = g(\tilde{\pi} X, \tilde{\pi} Y)$. Then

$$g'(J'X, J'Y) \circ \pi = g(J \tilde{\pi} X, J \tilde{\pi} Y) = g(\tilde{\pi} X, \tilde{\pi} Y) = g'(X, Y) \circ \pi,$$

and hence the structure on N^{2n-2} is Hermitian.

We now compute the fundamental 2-form F of the f -structure (f, U, V, u, v) on M^{2n} . First of all it is clear that $F(U, X) = 0$ and $F(V, X) = 0$. Thus it is enough to compute F on vector fields of the form $\tilde{\pi} X, \tilde{\pi} Y$ where X and Y are vector fields on N^{2n-2} .

$$\begin{aligned} F(\tilde{\pi} X, \tilde{\pi} Y) &= g(f \tilde{\pi} X, \tilde{\pi} Y) = g(J \tilde{\pi} X, \tilde{\pi} Y) = g(\tilde{\pi} J' X, \tilde{\pi} Y) \\ &= g'(J' X, Y) \circ \pi = \mathcal{O}'(X, Y) \circ \pi, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{O}' is the fundamental 2-form on N^{2n-2} . Hence we have $F = \pi^* \mathcal{O}'$. Now $dF = d\pi^* \mathcal{O}' = \pi^* d\mathcal{O}'$ and $dF = d\mathcal{O} - du \wedge v + u \wedge dv$, from which we get the following result.

Theorem 3.2. *The base manifold (N^{2n-2}, J', g') of the above fibration is Kaehlerian if and only if*

$$d\Omega = du \wedge v - u \wedge dv$$

on M^{2n} .

Note also that by Proposition 2.6, $d\Omega = 0$ implies $du = dv = 0$ and hence $dF = 0$. Thus we have the following result.

Proposition 3.3. *If M^{2n} is Kaehlerian, then the base manifold N^{2n-2} is also Kaehlerian.*

4. Hermitian bicontact manifolds

We begin with the following elementary result on the topology of a compact bicontact manifold.

Theorem 4.1. *Let M^{2n} be a compact bicontact manifold, and let $2p + 1$ and $2q + 1$ denote the ranks of the bicontact forms u and v . Then the betti numbers b_{2p+1} and b_{2q+1} are nonzero.*

Proof. As $(2p + 1) + (2q + 1) = 2n$ it suffices to show that b_{2p+1} is nonzero. We shall show that $u \wedge (du)^p$ has nonzero harmonic part. Suppose $u \wedge (du)^p$ has no harmonic part, then as $(du)^{p+1} = 0$, $u \wedge (du)^p$ is exact, say $d\alpha$. Now on a bicontact manifold $u \wedge (du)^p \wedge v \wedge (dv)^q$ is a volume element, hence, since $(dv)^{q+1} = 0$, we have

$$0 \neq \int_M u \wedge (du)^p \wedge v \wedge (dv)^q = \int_M d\alpha \wedge v \wedge (dv)^q = \int_M d(\alpha \wedge v \wedge (dv)^q) = 0,$$

a contradiction.

We shall now digress briefly to introduce the notion of a semi-invariant submanifold [3]. Let M^{2n} be an almost complex manifold with a vector field U and a 1-form u with $u(U) = 1$, and set $V = JU$, $v = -u \circ J$. Let $\bar{M} \rightarrow M^{2n}$ be a submanifold of M^{2n} such that 1) the transform of a vector tangent to \bar{M} by J is in the space spanned by the tangent space of \bar{M} and the vector U , 2) V is tangent to \bar{M} , and 3) $u \circ \iota_* = 0$; we then say that \bar{M} is *semi-invariant with respect to U* . Note that U is never tangent to \bar{M} , for if it were, then $U = \iota_* \bar{U}$, and $1 = u(U) = u(\iota_* \bar{U}) = 0$, a contradiction.

Now define a tensor field φ of type $(1, 1)$, a vector field ξ , and a 1-form η on \bar{M} by

$$J\iota_*X = \iota_*\varphi X - \eta(X)U, \quad V = \iota_*\xi.$$

We then have

$$-\iota_*X = \iota_*\varphi^2X - \eta(\varphi X)U - \eta(X)\iota_*\xi,$$

from which it follows that

$$\varphi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \eta \circ \varphi = 0.$$

Also

$$-U = JV = J\iota_*\xi = \iota_*\varphi\xi - \eta(\xi)U ,$$

giving

$$\varphi\xi = 0 , \quad \eta(\xi) = 1 .$$

Thus we have the following result.

Proposition 4.2. *A submanifold of M^{2n} , which is semi-invariant with respect to U , admits an almost contact structure.*

Now computing $[J, J](\iota_*X, \iota_*Y)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} [J, J](\iota_*X, \iota_*Y) &= \iota_*[\varphi, \varphi](X, Y) + d\eta(X, Y)\iota_*\xi - \eta(X)(\mathfrak{L}_U J)\iota_*Y \\ &\quad + \eta(Y)(\mathfrak{L}_U J)\iota_*X - ((\mathfrak{L}_{\varphi X}\eta)(Y) - (\mathfrak{L}_{\varphi Y}\eta)(X))U , \end{aligned}$$

from which we obtain the following result.

Proposition 4.3. *If a submanifold is semi-invariant with respect to an analytic vector field U on a complex manifold M^{2n} , then its almost contact structure is normal.*

Returning to the bicontact case, we assume for the remainder of this section that M^{2n} is a Hermitian bicontact manifold as defined in § 2. We define a distribution \mathcal{U} of dimension $2q + 1$ by

$$\mathcal{U} = \{X \mid i(X)u = 0, i(X)du = 0\} ,$$

where i denotes the interior product operator. We shall show that \mathcal{U} is integrable. Let X and Y be vector fields belonging to \mathcal{U} . Then

$$0 = du(X, Y) = Xu(Y) - Yu(X) - u([X, Y]) = -u([X, Y]) .$$

Also for any Z

$$0 = du(X, Z) = Xu(Z) - u([X, Z]) = (\mathfrak{L}_X u)(Z) ,$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} du([X, Y], Z) &= [X, Y]u(Z) - Zu([X, Y]) - u([[X, Y], Z]) \\ &= (\mathfrak{L}_{[X, Y]}u)(Z) = ((\mathfrak{L}_X\mathfrak{L}_Y - \mathfrak{L}_Y\mathfrak{L}_X)u)(Z) = 0 . \end{aligned}$$

Similarly the complementary distribution $\mathcal{V} = \{X \mid i(X)v = 0, i(X)dv = 0\}$ of dimension $2p + 1$ is integrable.

Theorem 4.4. *A Hermitian bicontact manifold M^{2n} with du of bidegree $(1, 1)$ is locally the product of two normal contact manifolds M^{2p+1} and M^{2q+1} .*

Proof. As noted above the distributions \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} are complementary and integrable. Thus M^{2n} is locally the product of the respective maximal integral

submanifolds M^{2q+1} and M^{2p+1} . We shall show that the integral submanifold M^{2q+1} of \mathcal{U} is semi-invariant with respect to U . Let $\iota: M^{2q+1} \rightarrow M^{2n}$ denote the immersion, and let X be tangent to M^{2q+1} , i.e., $\iota_*X \in \mathcal{U}$. Set $Y = J\iota_*X + v(\iota_*X)U$. Then

$$u(Y) = u(J\iota_*X) + v(\iota_*X) = -v(\iota_*X) + v(\iota_*X) = 0 ,$$

and

$$du(Y, Z) = du(J\iota_*X + v(\iota_*X)U, Z) = du(J\iota_*X, Z) = -du(\iota_*X, JZ) = 0$$

since du is of bidegree $(1, 1)$. Thus $Y \in \mathcal{U}$ so that M^{2q+1} is semi-invariant with respect to U , and hence by Proposition 4.3 its almost contact structure is normal. Finally as

$$\eta(X) = -g(J\iota_*X, U) = g(\iota_*X, V) = v(\iota_*X) ,$$

we have that $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^q \neq 0$ on M^{2q+1} . Similarly, M^{2p+1} is semi-invariant with respect to V , and is thus a normal contact manifold completing the proof.

Now let P and Q denote the projection maps to the tangent spaces of M^{2p+1} and M^{2q+1} respectively. We note for later use that $J(P - u \otimes U) = (P - u \otimes U)J$ as is easily verified, and hence that

$$JP = PJ + u \otimes V + v \otimes U .$$

We now compute the Lie derivative of P with respect to U and V . First note that

$$(\mathcal{L}_U P)X = [U, PX] - P[U, X] .$$

Thus, if X is U or V , we clearly have $(\mathcal{L}_U P)X = 0$. If X is orthogonal to U but also tangent to M^{2p+1} , then $PX = X$ and $[U, X]$ is again tangent to M^{2p+1} so that

$$(\mathcal{L}_U P)X = [U, X] - [U, X] = 0 .$$

Finally, if X is orthogonal to V and tangent to M^{2q+1} , then $PX = 0$. Let Y be arbitrary. Then as U is Killing and P symmetric, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g((\mathcal{L}_U P)X, Y) &= -g(P[U, X], Y) = -g(\nabla_U X, PY) + g(\nabla_X U, PY) \\ &= g(X, \nabla_U PY) - g(X, \nabla_{PY} U) = g(X, [U, PY]) = 0 . \end{aligned}$$

Similarly $\mathcal{L}_V P = 0$, and thus P and $Q = I - P$ are projectable by the fibration of § 3.

On the base manifold N^{2n-2} of the fibration we define an almost product structure as follows.

$$P'X = \pi_* P \bar{\pi} X, \quad Q'X = \pi_* Q \bar{\pi} X.$$

Then a direct computation shows that

$$P'^2 = P', \quad Q'^2 = Q', \quad P'Q' = Q'P' = 0, \quad P' + Q' = I.$$

Moreover as both the distributions \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} are integrable, $[P, P] = 0$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} [P', P'](X, Y) &= \pi_* P^2 \bar{\pi} [\pi_* \bar{\pi} X, \pi_* \bar{\pi} Y] + [\pi_* P \bar{\pi} X, \pi_* P \bar{\pi} Y] \\ &\quad - \pi_* P \bar{\pi} [\pi_* P \bar{\pi} X, \pi_* \bar{\pi} Y] - \pi_* P \bar{\pi} [\pi_* \bar{\pi} X, \pi_* P \bar{\pi} Y] \\ &= \pi_* [P, P](\bar{\pi} X, \bar{\pi} Y) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the induced almost product structure on N^{2n-2} is integrable, and so N^{2n-2} is locally the product of two manifolds N^{2p} and N^{2q} .

We have already seen that J is projectable since U and V are analytic, and that $(J' = \pi_* J \bar{\pi}, g')$ is a Hermitian structure on N^{2n-2} . Now let $\iota' : N^{2p} \rightarrow N^{2n-2}$ denote the immersion of N^{2p} in N^{2n-2} , and let X be a vector field on N^{2p} . Then using $J'P = PJ + u \otimes V + v \otimes U$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J' \iota'_* X &= \pi_* J \bar{\pi} P' \iota'_* X = \pi_* J P \bar{\pi} \iota'_* X = \pi_* P J \bar{\pi} \iota'_* X \\ &= \pi_* P \bar{\pi} J' \iota'_* X = P' J' \iota'_* X, \end{aligned}$$

and hence N^{2p} is an invariant submanifold of N^{2n-2} and consequently is a Hermitian submanifold of N^{2n-2} . Moreover, if N^{2n-2} is Kaehlerian, so is N^{2p} and similarly N^{2q} . Also, if each of the induced structures on N^{2p} and N^{2q} are Kaehlerian, so is the structure on N^{2n-2} . Thus using Theorems 3.1 and 4.4 and Proposition 3.2 we have

Theorem 4.5. *Let M^{2n} be a regular Hermitian bicontact manifold with du of bidegree (1, 1). Then the base manifold N^{2n-2} of the induced fibration is locally the product of two Hermitian manifolds. Moreover, N^{2n-2} is locally the product of two Kaehler manifolds if and only if the fundamental 2-form Ω on M^{2n} satisfies $d\Omega = du \wedge v - u \wedge dv$.*

5. Curvature

In this section we give some results on the curvature of a Hermitian manifold admitting a holomorphic pair of automorphisms.

Proposition 5.1. *Let (M^{2n}, J, g) be a Hermitian manifold admitting a holomorphic pair of automorphisms $(U, V = JU)$. Then the sectional curvature of a section spanned by U and V vanishes.*

Proof. Since U is Killing, from $g(\nabla_V U, X) - g(\nabla_X U, V) = 0$ which was derived in the proof of Lemma 2.3 it follows that $2g(\nabla_V U, X) = 0$ and hence that $\nabla_V U = 0$. Moreover as U is a unit vector field, we have $0 = g(\nabla_X U, U) = -g(\nabla_U U, X)$ giving $\nabla_U U = 0$. Thus $g(R_{UV} U, V) = 0$, where R is the

curvature tensor of g , and hence the sectional curvature of a section spanned by U and V vanishes.

Theorem 5.2. *If the Hermitian manifold M^{2n} of Theorem 3.1 has non-negative sectional curvature, then the base manifold N^{2n-2} also has nonnegative curvature.*

Proof. First we note some relations.

$$[\tilde{\pi}X, \tilde{\pi}Y] = \tilde{\pi}[X, Y] + u([\tilde{\pi}X, \tilde{\pi}Y])U + v([\tilde{\pi}X, \tilde{\pi}Y])V .$$

Since U and V are Killing, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_{\tilde{\pi}X}\tilde{\pi}Y, U) &= -g(\tilde{\pi}Y, \nabla_{\tilde{\pi}X}U) = -\frac{1}{2}du(\tilde{\pi}X, \tilde{\pi}Y) , \\ g(\nabla_{\tilde{\pi}X}\tilde{\pi}Y, V) &= -g(\tilde{\pi}Y, \nabla_{\tilde{\pi}X}V) = -\frac{1}{2}dv(\tilde{\pi}X, \tilde{\pi}Y) , \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\nabla_{\tilde{\pi}X}\tilde{\pi}Y = \tilde{\pi}\nabla'_X Y - \frac{1}{2}du(\tilde{\pi}X, \tilde{\pi}Y)U - \frac{1}{2}dv(\tilde{\pi}X, \tilde{\pi}Y)V ,$$

where ∇' is the Riemannian connection of g' . Also, since $[U, \tilde{\pi}X]$ is vertical, $g(\nabla_U\tilde{\pi}X, \tilde{\pi}Y) = g(\nabla_{\tilde{\pi}X}U + [U, \tilde{\pi}X], \tilde{\pi}Y) = \frac{1}{2}du(\tilde{\pi}X, \tilde{\pi}Y)$, and similarly $g(\nabla_V\tilde{\pi}X, \tilde{\pi}Y) = \frac{1}{2}dv(\tilde{\pi}X, \tilde{\pi}Y)$.

We now compute the curvature.

$$\begin{aligned} g(R_{\tilde{\pi}X\tilde{\pi}Y}\tilde{\pi}X, \tilde{\pi}Y) &= g(\nabla_{\tilde{\pi}X}\nabla_{\tilde{\pi}Y}\tilde{\pi}X - \nabla_{\tilde{\pi}Y}\nabla_{\tilde{\pi}X}\tilde{\pi}X - \nabla_{[\tilde{\pi}X, \tilde{\pi}Y]}\tilde{\pi}X, \tilde{\pi}Y) \\ &= g(\nabla_{\tilde{\pi}X}(\tilde{\pi}\nabla'_Y X - \frac{1}{2}du(\tilde{\pi}Y, \tilde{\pi}X)U - \frac{1}{2}dv(\tilde{\pi}Y, \tilde{\pi}X)V) \\ &\quad - \nabla_{\tilde{\pi}Y}\tilde{\pi}\nabla'_X X - \nabla_{[\tilde{\pi}X, \tilde{\pi}Y]}\tilde{\pi}X, \tilde{\pi}Y) \\ &= g(\tilde{\pi}\nabla'_X\nabla'_Y X, \tilde{\pi}Y) - \frac{1}{2}du(\tilde{\pi}Y, \tilde{\pi}X)g(\nabla_{\tilde{\pi}X}U, \tilde{\pi}Y) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}dv(\tilde{\pi}Y, \tilde{\pi}X)g(\nabla_{\tilde{\pi}X}V, \tilde{\pi}Y) - g(\tilde{\pi}\nabla'_Y\nabla'_X X, \tilde{\pi}Y) \\ &\quad - g(\tilde{\pi}\nabla'_{[X, Y]}X, \tilde{\pi}Y) - u([\tilde{\pi}X, \tilde{\pi}Y])g(\nabla_U\tilde{\pi}X, \tilde{\pi}Y) \\ &\quad - v([\tilde{\pi}X, \tilde{\pi}Y])g(\nabla_V\tilde{\pi}X, \tilde{\pi}Y) \\ &= g'(R'_{XY}X, Y) \circ \pi + \frac{3}{4}du(\tilde{\pi}X, \tilde{\pi}Y)^2 + \frac{3}{4}dv(\tilde{\pi}X, \tilde{\pi}Y)^2 \end{aligned}$$

since $du(\tilde{\pi}X, \tilde{\pi}Y) = \tilde{\pi}Xu(\tilde{\pi}Y) - \tilde{\pi}Yu(\tilde{\pi}X) - u([\tilde{\pi}X, \tilde{\pi}Y]) = -u([\tilde{\pi}X, \tilde{\pi}Y])$. Now for the sectional curvature K we have

$$K(\tilde{\pi}X, \tilde{\pi}Y) = \frac{-g(R_{\tilde{\pi}X\tilde{\pi}Y}\tilde{\pi}X, \tilde{\pi}Y)}{g(\tilde{\pi}X, \tilde{\pi}X)g(\tilde{\pi}Y, \tilde{\pi}Y) - g(\tilde{\pi}X, \tilde{\pi}Y)^2} .$$

Thus, if $K \geq 0$, then $g(R_{\tilde{\pi}X\tilde{\pi}Y}\tilde{\pi}X, \tilde{\pi}Y) \leq 0$ and hence

$$-g'(R'_{XY}X, Y) \circ \pi \geq \frac{3}{4}(du(\tilde{\pi}X, \tilde{\pi}Y)^2 + dv(\tilde{\pi}X, \tilde{\pi}Y)^2) ,$$

from which it follows that the sectional curvature $K'(X, Y) \geq 0$.

References

- [1] D. E. Blair, *Geometry of manifolds with structural group $\mathcal{U}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(s)$* , J. Differential Geometry **4** (1970) 155–167.
- [2] D. E. Blair, G. D. Ludden & K. Yano, *Differential geometric structures on principal toroidal bundles*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **181** (1973) 175–184.
- [3] ———, *Semi-invariant immersions*, to appear in Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.
- [4] E. Calabi & B. Eckmann, *A class of compact complex manifolds which are not algebraic*, Ann. of Math. **58** (1953) 494–500.
- [5] S. S. Chern, *Complex manifolds without potential theory*, Math. Studies No. 15, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1967.
- [6] A. Morimoto, *On normal almost contact structures*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **15** (1963) 420–436.
- [7] H. Nakagawa, *f-structures induced on submanifolds in spaces, almost Hermitian or almost Kaehlerian*, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. **18** (1966) 161–183.
- [8] S. Sasaki & Y. Hatakeyama, *On differentiable manifolds with contact metric structures*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **14** (1962) 249–271.
- [9] K. Yano, *On a structure defined by a tensor field f of type $(1, 1)$ satisfying $f^3 + f = 0$* , Tensor **15** (1963) 99–109.

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY