

THE WILLMORE FLOW WITH SMALL INITIAL ENERGY

ERNST KUWERT & REINER SCHÄTZLE

Abstract

We consider the L^2 gradient flow for the Willmore functional. In [5] it was proved that the curvature concentrates if a singularity develops. Here we show that a suitable blowup converges to a nonumbilic (compact or noncompact) Willmore surface. Furthermore, an L^∞ estimate is derived for the tracefree part of the curvature of a Willmore surface, assuming that its L^2 norm (the Willmore energy) is locally small. One consequence is that a properly immersed Willmore surface with restricted growth of the curvature at infinity and small total energy must be a plane or a sphere. Combining the results we obtain long time existence and convergence to a round sphere if the total energy is initially small.

1. Introduction

For a closed, immersed surface $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ the Willmore functional (as introduced initially by Thomsen [11]) is

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{W}(f) = \int_{\Sigma} |A^\circ|^2 d\mu,$$

where $A^\circ = A - \frac{1}{2}g \otimes H$ denotes the tracefree part of the second fundamental form $A = D^2 f^\perp$ and μ is the induced area measure. The associated Euler-Lagrange operator is

$$(2) \quad \mathbf{W}(f) = \Delta H + Q(A^\circ)H.$$

Here H is the mean curvature vector and $Q(A^\circ)$ acts linearly on normal vectors along f by the formula (using summation with respect to a g -orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$)

$$(3) \quad Q(A^\circ)\phi = A^\circ(e_i, e_j)\langle A^\circ(e_i, e_j), \phi \rangle.$$

Received October 12, 1999.

In (2) the Laplace operator $\Delta\phi = -\nabla^*\nabla\phi$ is understood with respect to the connection $\nabla_X\phi = (D_X\phi)^\perp$ on normal vector fields along f , where ∇^* denotes the formal adjoint of ∇ .

In this paper we continue our study from [5] of the L^2 gradient flow for (1), briefly called the Willmore flow, which is the fourth order, quasilinear geometric evolution equation

$$(4) \quad \partial_t f = -\mathbf{W}(f).$$

As a main result we have shown in [5] that the existence time is bounded from below in terms of the concentration of the measure $f_\#(\mu_\perp|A|^2)$ in \mathbb{R}^n at time $t = 0$. Here we study the operator (2) and the flow (4) under the assumption that $\mathcal{W}(f)$ is — either locally or globally — small. This condition is natural from the variational point of view and may be interpreted geometrically by saying that the deviation of f from being round is small in an averaged sense. One of our results is:

Theorem 5.1. *There exists $\varepsilon_0(n) > 0$ such that if at time $t = 0$ we have $\mathcal{W}(f_0) < \varepsilon_0$, then the Willmore flow exists smoothly for all times and converges to a round sphere.*

The smallness assumption implies, if ε_0 is not too big, that Σ is topologically a sphere and that f is an embedding (see [13] for the case $n = 3$). Moreover, any sequence f_k with $\mathcal{W}(f_k) \rightarrow 0$ subconverges, after appropriate translation and rescaling, to some round sphere in the sense of both Hausdorff distance and measure [8]. However the f_k need not be graphs over the limit sphere, as can be seen by modifying Example 1 in [12]. At present we do not know an example ruling out the possibility of dropping the smallness condition in Theorem 5.1 entirely; in any case it is desirable to replace the number ε_0 by a more explicit constant.¹

The statement of the theorem was recently proved in [9] under the stronger assumption that f_0 is close to a round sphere in the $C^{2,\alpha}$ -topology, using a center manifold analysis which gives related stability results for a couple of other flows; see [2] for an overview. Our method, which is (and has to be) entirely different, involves deriving a priori estimates from the equation satisfied by the curvature, somewhat analogous to the work of Huisken [3, 4]. However, in our problem the crucial estimates are of integral type and the smallness condition is essential in

¹*Note added in proof:* a numerical example of a singularity was recently contributed by U. Mayer & G. Simonett: <http://www.math.utah.edu/~mayer/math/numerics.html>.

ruling out possible concentrations related to the scale invariance of the functional.

2. Estimates for surfaces with locally small Willmore energy

Here we derive some bounds for immersed surfaces $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ depending on the L^2 norms of their curvature A and of their Willmore gradient $\mathbf{W}(f) = \Delta H + Q(A^\circ)H$, under the assumption that the L^2 norm of A° , the tracefree part of the curvature, is locally small.

Recall the equations of Mainardi-Codazzi, Gauß and Ricci:

$$(5) \quad (\nabla_X A)(Y, Z) = (\nabla_Y A)(X, Z); \quad \nabla H = -\nabla^* A = -2\nabla^* A^\circ,$$

$$(6) \quad K = \frac{1}{4}|H|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|A^\circ|^2,$$

$$(7) \quad R^\perp(X, Y)\phi = A^\circ(e_i, X)\langle A^\circ(e_i, Y), \phi \rangle - A^\circ(e_i, Y)\langle A^\circ(e_i, X), \phi \rangle.$$

Note $\langle R^\perp(X, Y)\phi, \phi \rangle = 0$ and in particular $R^\perp = 0$ for $n = 3$, i.e., codimension one. The Codazzi equations imply that ∇A and $\nabla^2 A$ can be expressed by ∇A° and $\nabla^2 A^\circ$, respectively. In particular one has inequalities

$$(8) \quad |\nabla A| \leq c|\nabla A^\circ|, \quad |\nabla^2 A| \leq c|\nabla^2 A^\circ|.$$

Lemma 2.1. *For any p -linear form ϕ along f we have*

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} & ((\nabla\nabla^* - \nabla^*\nabla)\phi)(X_1, \dots, X_p) \\ &= K\phi(X_1, \dots, X_p) + K \sum_{k=2}^p \phi(X_k, X_2, \dots, X_1, \dots, X_p) \\ &\quad - K \sum_{k=2}^p g(X_1, X_k) \phi(e_i, X_2, \dots, e_i, \dots, X_p) \\ &\quad + R^\perp(e_i, X_1) \phi(e_i, X_2, \dots, X_p) - (\nabla^*T)(X_1, \dots, X_p). \end{aligned}$$

Here the tensor T is given by

$$T(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_p) = (\nabla_{X_0}\phi)(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_p) - (\nabla_{X_1}\phi)(X_0, X_2, \dots, X_p).$$

Proof. From the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [5] we have

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\nabla\nabla^* - \nabla^*\nabla)\phi)(X_1, \dots, X_p) \\ &= (R^p(e_i, X_1)\phi)(e_i, X_2, \dots, X_p) - (\nabla^*T)(X_1, \dots, X_p). \end{aligned}$$

Now the curvature operator R^p is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& (R^p(e_i, X_1)\phi)(e_i, X_2, \dots, X_p) \\
&= R^\perp(e_i, X_1)\phi(e_i, X_2, \dots, X_p) \\
&\quad - \phi(R(e_i, X_1)e_i, X_2, \dots, X_p) \\
&\quad - \sum_{k=2}^p \phi(e_i, X_2, \dots, R(e_i, X_1)X_k, \dots, X_p) \\
&= R^\perp(e_i, X_1)\phi(e_i, X_2, \dots, X_p) \\
&\quad - K\phi(g(X_1, e_i)e_i, X_2, \dots, X_p) + K\phi(g(e_i, e_i)X_1, X_2, \dots, X_p) \\
&\quad - K\sum_{k=2}^p \phi(e_i, X_2, \dots, g(X_1, X_k)e_i, \dots, X_p) \\
&\quad + K\sum_{k=2}^p \phi(g(e_i, X_k)e_i, X_2, \dots, X_1, \dots, X_p).
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting yields the desired formula.

q.e.d.

We will need three different choices for ϕ in (9). Taking first $\phi = A$ yields $T = 0$ and $\nabla^* \phi = -\nabla H$ by (5), and we get Simons' identity ([10])

$$\Delta A = \nabla^2 H + 2KA^\circ + R^\perp(e_i, \cdot)A(e_i, \cdot).$$

To bring this in a more useful form, let us denote by $S^\circ(B)$ the symmetric, tracefree part of any bilinear form with normal values along f . In particular, we have

$$S^\circ(\nabla^2 H) = \nabla^2 H - \frac{1}{2}g(\cdot, \cdot)\Delta H - \frac{1}{2}R^\perp(\cdot, \cdot)H.$$

Now $\Delta(\frac{1}{2}g(\cdot, \cdot)H) = \frac{1}{2}g(\cdot, \cdot)\Delta H$ and $R^\perp(e_i, X)\frac{1}{2}g(e_i, Y)H = -\frac{1}{2}R^\perp(X, Y)H$, which implies, using (6) and (7),

$$(10) \quad \Delta A^\circ = S^\circ(\nabla^2 H) + \frac{1}{2}|H|^2 A^\circ + A^\circ * A^\circ * A^\circ.$$

Here and in the following we denote by $A * B$ any universal, linear combination of tensors obtained by tensor product and contraction from A and B . Our second choice in (9) is $\phi = \nabla H$, where now

$$T(X, Y) = \nabla_{X, Y}^2 H - \nabla_{Y, X}^2 H = R^\perp(X, Y)H.$$

Using again (5), (6) and (7), we infer

$$(11) \quad \nabla^*(\nabla^2 H) = \nabla(\nabla^* \nabla H) - \frac{1}{4}|H|^2 \nabla H + A * A^\circ * \nabla A^\circ.$$

Finally taking $\phi = \nabla A^\circ$ in (9) yields

$$\begin{aligned} T(X, Y, Z, V) &= (R^2(X, Y) A^\circ)(Z, V) = (A * A * A)(X, Y, Z, V), \\ \nabla^* T &= A * A * \nabla A^\circ. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain from (9) and (6), (7)

$$(12) \quad \nabla^*(\nabla^2 A^\circ) = \nabla(\nabla^* \nabla A^\circ) + A * A * \nabla A^\circ.$$

We now convert (10), (11) and (12) into integral estimates.

Lemma 2.2. *If $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is an immersion with $\mathbf{W}(f) = W$ and $\gamma \in C_c^1(\Sigma)$ satisfies $|\nabla \gamma| \leq \Lambda$, then*

$$(13) \quad \int |\nabla A|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu \leq \frac{c}{\Lambda^2} \int |W|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + c \int |A^\circ|^4 \gamma^2 d\mu + c\Lambda^2 \int_{[\gamma>0]} |A|^2 d\mu.$$

Proof. Multiply (10) by $\gamma^2 A^\circ$ and integrate by parts to obtain, after applying (5),

$$\begin{aligned} &\int |\nabla A^\circ|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu + \frac{1}{2} \int |H|^2 |A^\circ|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla H|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu + c \int |A^\circ|^4 \gamma^2 d\mu + \int \gamma \nabla \gamma * A^\circ * \nabla A^\circ d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Using the equation $\Delta H + Q(A^\circ)H = W$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla H|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu &= -\frac{1}{2} \int \langle H, \Delta H \rangle \gamma^2 d\mu + \int \gamma \nabla \gamma * A * \nabla A^\circ d\mu \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int \langle H, W \rangle \gamma^2 d\mu + \frac{1}{2} \int \langle H, Q(A^\circ)H \rangle \gamma^2 d\mu \\ &\quad + \int \gamma \nabla \gamma * A * \nabla A^\circ d\mu \\ &\leq \frac{c}{\Lambda^2} \int |W|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + c\Lambda^2 \int_{[\gamma>0]} |H|^2 d\mu \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int \langle H, Q(A^\circ)H \rangle \gamma^2 d\mu + \int \gamma \nabla \gamma * A * \nabla A^\circ d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see the inequality

$$(14) \quad 0 \leq \langle Q(A^\circ)H, H \rangle \leq |A^\circ|^2 |H|^2.$$

Furthermore we have

$$\int \gamma \nabla \gamma * A * \nabla A^\circ d\mu \leq \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla A^\circ|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu + c\Lambda^2 \int_{[\gamma>0]} |A|^2 d\mu.$$

Inserting these inequalities, absorbing and recalling (8) proves the claim.
q.e.d.

Lemma 2.3. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 2.2 we have for $\eta = \gamma^4$*

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int |\nabla^2 H|^2 \eta + \int |A|^2 |\nabla A|^2 \eta d\mu + \int |A|^4 |A^\circ|^2 \eta d\mu \\ & \leq c \int |W|^2 \eta d\mu + c \int (|A^\circ|^2 |\nabla A^\circ|^2 + |A^\circ|^6) \eta d\mu \\ & \quad + c\Lambda^4 \int_{[\gamma>0]} |A|^2 d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We start multiplying (11) by $\eta \nabla H$ and integrating by parts. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int |\nabla^2 H|^2 \eta d\mu + \frac{1}{4} \int |H|^2 |\nabla H|^2 \eta d\mu \\ & \leq \int |\Delta H|^2 \eta d\mu + \int A * A^\circ * \nabla A^\circ * \nabla A^\circ \eta d\mu \\ & \quad + \int \gamma^3 \nabla \gamma * \nabla H * \nabla^2 H d\mu \\ & \leq c \int |W|^2 \eta d\mu + c \int |A^\circ|^4 |H|^2 \eta d\mu \\ & \quad + \varepsilon \int |H|^2 |\nabla A^\circ|^2 \eta d\mu + c(\varepsilon) \int |A^\circ|^2 |\nabla A^\circ|^2 \eta d\mu \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla^2 H|^2 \eta d\mu + c\Lambda^2 \int |\nabla H|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Now by (13) we can estimate

$$\int |\nabla H|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu \leq \frac{c}{\Lambda^2} \int |W|^2 \eta d\mu + \frac{c}{\Lambda^2} \int |A^\circ|^6 \eta d\mu + c\Lambda^2 \int_{[\gamma>0]} |A|^2 d\mu.$$

Using the inequality $c|A^\circ|^4|H|^2 \leq \varepsilon|H|^4|A^\circ|^2 + c(\varepsilon)|A^\circ|^6$ and rearranging, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
(16) \quad & \int |\nabla^2 H|^2 \eta \, d\mu + \int |H|^2 |\nabla H|^2 \eta \, d\mu \\
& \leq c \int |W|^2 \eta \, d\mu + c\Lambda^4 \int_{[\gamma>0]} |A|^2 \, d\mu \\
& \quad + c(\varepsilon) \int |A^\circ|^2 |\nabla A^\circ|^2 \eta \, d\mu + c(\varepsilon) \int |A^\circ|^6 \eta \, d\mu \\
& \quad + \varepsilon \int |H|^4 |A^\circ|^2 \eta \, d\mu + \varepsilon \int |H|^2 |\nabla A^\circ|^2 \eta \, d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

Next we use (10) to compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int |H|^2 |\nabla A^\circ|^2 \eta \, d\mu \\
& = - \int |H|^2 \langle A^\circ, \Delta A^\circ \rangle \eta \, d\mu + \int H * \nabla H * A^\circ * \nabla A^\circ \eta \, d\mu \\
& \quad + \int |H|^2 A^\circ * \nabla A^\circ * \nabla \eta \, d\mu \\
& = - \int |H|^2 \langle A^\circ, \nabla^2 H + \frac{1}{2}|H|^2 A^\circ + A^\circ * A^\circ * A^\circ \rangle \eta \, d\mu \\
& \quad + \int H * \nabla H * A^\circ * \nabla A^\circ \eta \, d\mu + \int |H|^2 A^\circ * \nabla A^\circ * \nabla \eta \, d\mu \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \int |H|^2 |\nabla H|^2 \eta \, d\mu + \int H * \nabla H * A^\circ * \nabla A^\circ \eta \, d\mu \\
& \quad + \int |H|^2 A^\circ * \nabla A^\circ * \gamma^3 \nabla \gamma \, d\mu \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{2} \int |H|^4 |A^\circ|^2 \eta \, d\mu + c \int |H|^2 |A^\circ|^4 \eta \, d\mu \\
& \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} + \delta \right) \int |H|^2 |\nabla H|^2 \eta \, d\mu + c(\delta) \int |A^\circ|^2 |\nabla A^\circ|^2 \eta \, d\mu \\
& \quad + \delta \int |H|^2 |\nabla A^\circ|^2 \eta \, d\mu + c(\delta) \Lambda^2 \int |H|^2 |A^\circ|^2 \gamma^2 \, d\mu \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{2} \int |H|^4 |A^\circ|^2 \eta \, d\mu + c \int |H|^2 |A^\circ|^4 \eta \, d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

From the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} c \int |H|^2 |A^\circ|^4 \eta d\mu &\leq \delta \int |H|^4 |A^\circ|^2 \eta d\mu + c(\delta) \int |A^\circ|^6 \eta d\mu, \\ c(\delta) \Lambda^2 \int |H|^2 |A^\circ|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu &\leq \delta \int |H|^4 |A^\circ|^2 \eta d\mu + c(\delta) \Lambda^4 \int_{[\gamma>0]} |A^\circ|^2 d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (17) \quad &(1 - \delta) \int |H|^2 |\nabla A^\circ|^2 \eta d\mu + \left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\delta\right) \int |H|^4 |A^\circ|^2 \eta d\mu \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2} + \delta\right) \int |H|^2 |\nabla H|^2 \eta d\mu \\ &\quad + c(\delta) \left(\int |A^\circ| |\nabla A^\circ|^2 \eta d\mu + \int |A^\circ|^6 \eta d\mu + \Lambda^4 \int_{[\gamma>0]} |A|^2 d\mu \right). \end{aligned}$$

Adding the inequalities (16) and (17) yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\int |\nabla^2 H|^2 \eta d\mu + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \delta\right) \int |H|^2 |\nabla H|^2 \eta d\mu \\ &\quad + (1 - \delta - \varepsilon) \int |H|^2 |\nabla A^\circ|^2 \eta d\mu + \left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\delta - \varepsilon\right) \int |H|^4 |A^\circ|^2 \eta d\mu \\ &\leq c(\delta, \varepsilon) \left(\int |A^\circ|^2 |\nabla A^\circ|^2 \eta d\mu + \int |A^\circ|^6 \eta d\mu + \Lambda^4 \int_{[\gamma>0]} |A|^2 d\mu \right) \\ &\quad + c \int |W|^2 \eta d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

The claim of the Lemma follows by choosing $\varepsilon = \delta = \frac{1}{8}$. q.e.d.

Proposition 2.4. *If $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is an immersion with $\mathbf{W}(f) = W$ and $\eta = \gamma^4$, where $\gamma \in C_c^1(\Sigma)$ satisfies $|\nabla \gamma| \leq \Lambda$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\int |\nabla^2 A|^2 \eta d\mu + \int |A|^2 |\nabla A|^2 \eta d\mu + \int |A|^4 |A^\circ|^2 \eta d\mu \\ &\leq c \int |W|^2 \eta d\mu + c \Lambda^4 \int_{[\gamma>0]} |A|^2 d\mu + c \int (|A^\circ|^2 |\nabla A^\circ|^2 + |A^\circ|^6) \eta d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Multiply (12) by $\eta \nabla A^\circ$, integrate by parts and apply (10) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int |\nabla^2 A^\circ|^2 \eta d\mu &\leq \int |\Delta A^\circ|^2 \eta d\mu + c \int |A|^2 |\nabla A|^2 \eta d\mu \\
&\quad + \int \gamma^3 \nabla \gamma * \nabla A^\circ * \nabla^2 A^\circ d\mu \\
&\leq c \int |\nabla^2 H|^2 \eta d\mu \\
&\quad + c \int |A|^2 |\nabla A|^2 d\mu + c \int |A|^4 |A^\circ|^2 \eta d\mu \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla^2 A^\circ|^2 \eta d\mu + c \Lambda^2 \int |\nabla A^\circ|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

The claim now follows from Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, recalling (8).

q.e.d.

We next need a multiplicative Sobolev inequality.

Lemma 2.5. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 2.4 we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
(18) \quad &\int (|A^\circ|^2 |\nabla A^\circ|^2 + |A^\circ|^6) \eta d\mu \\
&\leq c \int_{[\gamma>0]} |A^\circ|^2 d\mu \cdot \int (|\nabla^2 A|^2 + |A|^2 |\nabla A|^2 + |A|^4 |A^\circ|^2) \eta d\mu \\
&\quad + c \Lambda^4 \left(\int_{[\gamma>0]} |A^\circ|^2 d\mu \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Recall the Michael-Simon Sobolev inequality ([7])

$$(19) \quad \left(\int_{\Sigma} u^2 d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c \left(\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u| d\mu + \int_{\Sigma} |H| |u| d\mu \right),$$

with $c = c(n)$. Letting $u = |A^\circ| |\nabla A^\circ| \gamma^2$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int |A^\circ|^2 |\nabla A^\circ|^2 \eta \, d\mu \\
& \leq c \left(\int |A^\circ| |\nabla^2 A^\circ| \gamma^2 \, d\mu \right)^2 + c \left(\int |\nabla A^\circ|^2 \gamma^2 \, d\mu \right)^2 \\
& \quad + c \left(\int |A| |A^\circ| |\nabla A^\circ| \gamma^2 \, d\mu \right)^2 + c \left(\int |A^\circ| |\nabla A^\circ| \gamma |\nabla \gamma| \, d\mu \right)^2 \\
& \leq c \int_{[\gamma>0]} |A^\circ|^2 \, d\mu \int (|\nabla^2 A^\circ|^2 + |A|^2 |\nabla A^\circ|^2) \eta \, d\mu \\
& \quad + c \Lambda^4 \left(\int_{[\gamma>0]} |A^\circ|^2 \, d\mu \right)^2 + c \left(\int |\nabla A^\circ|^2 \gamma^2 \, d\mu \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

In the last term, we integrate by parts to get

$$\begin{aligned}
(20) \quad \int |\nabla A^\circ|^2 \gamma^2 \, d\mu & \leq c \int |A^\circ| |\nabla^2 A^\circ| \gamma^2 \, d\mu + c \Lambda \int |A^\circ| |\nabla A^\circ| \gamma \, d\mu \\
& \leq c \left(\int_{[\gamma>0]} |A^\circ|^2 \, d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(\int |\nabla^2 A^\circ|^2 \eta \, d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla A^\circ|^2 \gamma^2 \, d\mu + c \Lambda^2 \int_{[\gamma>0]} |A^\circ|^2 \, d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

Absorbing and inserting proves the claimed inequality for the first term in (18). For the other term, choose $u = |A^\circ|^3 \gamma^2$ in (19) and compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int |A^\circ|^6 \eta \, d\mu \\
& \leq c \left(\int |A^\circ|^2 |\nabla A^\circ| \gamma^2 \, d\mu + \int |A| |A^\circ|^3 \gamma^2 \, d\mu + c \Lambda \int |A^\circ|^3 \gamma \, d\mu \right)^2 \\
& \leq c \left(\int |\nabla A^\circ|^2 \gamma^2 \, d\mu \right)^2 + c \int_{[\gamma>0]} |A^\circ|^2 \, d\mu \cdot \int |A|^2 |A^\circ|^4 \eta \, d\mu \\
& \quad + c \Lambda^4 \left(\int_{[\gamma>0]} |A^\circ|^2 \, d\mu \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining with (20) proves the estimate for the second term on the left of (18). q.e.d.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be an immersed surface, and let $\Lambda = \|\nabla\gamma\|_{L^\infty}$, where γ has compact support on Σ . There exists a constant $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(n) > 0$ such that if*

$$\int_{[\gamma>0]} |A^\circ|^2 d\mu < \varepsilon_0,$$

then we have for a constant $c = c(n) < \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int (|\nabla^2 A|^2 + |A|^2 |\nabla A|^2 + |A|^4 |A^\circ|^2) \gamma^4 d\mu \\ & \leq c \int |\mathbf{W}(f)|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + c \Lambda^4 \int_{[\gamma>0]} |A|^2 d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

This is an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.4 and Lemma 2.5. As a first application we deduce the following result.

Theorem 2.7 (Gap Lemma). *Let $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a properly immersed (compact or noncompact) Willmore surface, and let $\Sigma_\varrho(0) = f^{-1}(B_\varrho(0))$. If*

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{\varrho \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\varrho^4} \int_{\Sigma_\varrho(0)} |A|^2 d\mu &= 0, \quad \text{and} \\ \int_{\Sigma} |A^\circ|^2 d\mu < \varepsilon_0 &= \varepsilon_0(n), \end{aligned}$$

then f is an embedded plane or sphere.

Proof. We take $\gamma(p) = \varphi(\frac{1}{\varrho}|f(p)|)$, where $\varphi \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies $\varphi(s) = 1$ for $s \leq \frac{1}{2}$, $\varphi(s) = 0$ for $s \geq 1$ and $\varphi \geq 0$. Then we have $\Lambda = c/\varrho$ in Proposition 2.6. Since $\mathbf{W}(f) = 0$ by assumption, we can let $\varrho \rightarrow \infty$ and conclude $A^\circ \equiv 0$. This implies, by a standard result of differential geometry [13], that f maps into a fixed, round 2-sphere or plane $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. As f is complete, it follows that $f : (\Sigma, g) \rightarrow S$ is a global isometry. q.e.d.

We shall now derive an L^∞ bound for A° from Proposition 2.6.

Lemma 2.8. *For $\gamma \in C_c^1(\Sigma)$ with $|\nabla\gamma| \leq \Lambda$ and any normal p -form ϕ along f we have the inequality*

$$\|\gamma^2 \phi\|_{L^\infty}^4 \leq c \|\gamma^2 \phi\|_{L^2}^2 \left[\int (|\nabla^2 \phi|^2 + |H|^4 |\phi|^2) \gamma^4 d\mu + \Lambda^4 \int_{[\gamma>0]} |\phi|^2 d\mu \right].$$

Proof. This is Lemma 4.3 in [5], except that there a bound on the second derivatives of γ was assumed. Letting $\psi = \gamma^2\phi$ we apply Theorem 5.6 in [5] to obtain

(21)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi\|_{L^\infty}^6 &\leq c \|\psi\|_{L^2}^2 (\|\nabla\psi\|_{L^4}^4 + \|H\psi\|_{L^4}^4) \\ &\leq c \|\psi\|_{L^2}^2 \left(\int \gamma^8 |\nabla\phi|^4 d\mu + \Lambda^4 \int \gamma^4 |\phi|^4 d\mu + \int |H|^4 |\psi|^4 d\mu \right). \end{aligned}$$

The three integrals on the right are estimated as follows (starting with the third):

$$(22) \quad \int |H|^4 |\psi|^4 d\mu \leq \|\psi\|_{L^\infty}^2 \int |H|^4 |\phi|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu,$$

$$(23) \quad \Lambda^4 \int \gamma^4 |\phi|^4 d\mu \leq \|\psi\|_{L^\infty}^2 \Lambda^4 \int_{[\gamma>0]} |\phi|^2 d\mu.$$

By partial integration, we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \int |\nabla\phi|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu &\leq c \int |\phi| |\nabla^2\phi| \gamma^2 d\mu + c\Lambda \int |\phi| |\nabla\phi| \gamma d\mu \\ &\leq \frac{c}{\Lambda^2} \int |\nabla^2\phi|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + c\Lambda^2 \int_{[\gamma>0]} |\phi|^2 d\mu + \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla\phi|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Using again integration by parts and Cauchy-Schwarz

$$\begin{aligned} \int |\nabla\phi|^4 \gamma^8 d\mu &\leq c \left(\int |\phi| |\nabla\phi|^2 |\nabla^2\phi| \gamma^8 d\mu + \Lambda \int |\phi| |\nabla\phi|^3 \gamma^7 d\mu \right) \\ &\leq c \|\psi\|_{L^\infty} \left(\int |\nabla\phi|^4 \gamma^8 d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int |\nabla^2\phi|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + c\Lambda \|\psi\|_{L^\infty} \left(\int |\nabla\phi|^4 \gamma^8 d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int |\nabla\phi|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the last two inequalities, we get

$$(24) \quad \int |\nabla\phi|^4 \gamma^8 d\mu \leq c \|\psi\|_{L^\infty}^2 \left(\int |\nabla^2\phi|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + c\Lambda^4 \int_{[\gamma>0]} |\phi|^2 d\mu \right).$$

Inserting (22)–(24) into (21) proves the claim.

q.e.d.

Combining Proposition 2.6 and Lemma 2.8, where $\phi = A^\circ$ and γ is a cutoff function depending on extrinsic distance as in Theorem 2.7, we obtain the following “partial” curvature estimate.

Theorem 2.9 (Tracefree Curvature Estimate). *Let $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be an immersed surface with $\Sigma_\varrho = f^{-1}(B_\varrho(x_0)) \subset\subset \Sigma$, and suppose that*

$$\int_{\Sigma_\varrho} |A^\circ|^2 d\mu < \varepsilon_0,$$

where $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(n) > 0$ is a fixed constant. Then

$$(25) \quad \|A^\circ\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_{\varrho/2})}^2 \leq c \left(\|\mathbf{W}(f)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_\varrho)} + \frac{1}{\varrho^2} \|A\|_{L^2(\Sigma_\varrho)} \right) \|A^\circ\|_{L^2(\Sigma_\varrho)}.$$

Assuming smallness of the full second fundamental form A , one easily adapts the arguments above to also prove the following:

Theorem 2.10 (Curvature Estimate). *Let $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be an immersed surface, $\Sigma_\varrho = f^{-1}(B_\varrho(x_0)) \subset\subset \Sigma$ and suppose*

$$\int_{\Sigma_\varrho} |A|^2 d\mu < \varepsilon_0,$$

where $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(n)$ is a fixed constant. Then

$$(26) \quad \|A\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_{\varrho/2})}^2 \leq c \left(\|\mathbf{W}(f)\|_{L^2(\Sigma_\varrho)} + \frac{1}{\varrho^2} \|A\|_{L^2(\Sigma_\varrho)} \right) \|A\|_{L^2(\Sigma_\varrho)}.$$

Remark 2.11. The statements of the Theorems 2.7, 2.9 and 2.10 clearly also hold with the extrinsic distance sets $\Sigma_\varrho(x_0)$ replaced by distance sets with respect to the *intrinsic* distance function, since only a bound on the first derivatives of the cutoff function was needed.

3. Local estimates for the flow

We now consider solutions $f : \Sigma \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ to the gradient flow for the Willmore integral,

$$\partial_t f = -\mathbf{W}(f).$$

We abbreviate $\mathbf{W}(f) =: W$ in the following and compute first a precise

formula for the evolution of the energy density. Recall from [5]:

$$(27) \quad \partial_t(d\mu) = \langle H, W \rangle d\mu,$$

$$(28) \quad \partial_t^\perp H = - \left(\Delta W + Q(A^\circ)W + \frac{1}{2}H\langle H, W \rangle \right),$$

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t^\perp A(X, Y) = & -\nabla_{X, Y}^2 W + \frac{1}{2}g(X, Y) \left[Q(A^\circ)W + \frac{1}{2}H\langle H, W \rangle \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{2}H\langle A^\circ(X, Y), W \rangle + \frac{1}{2}A^\circ(X, Y)\langle H, W \rangle \\ & + \frac{1}{2}R^\perp(X, Y)W. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used (2.18), (2.6) and (2.3) from [5]. Furthermore, using (2.15) in [5] we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t^\perp \left(\frac{1}{2}g(X, Y)H \right) = & -\frac{1}{2}g(X, Y) \left(\Delta W + Q(A^\circ)W + \frac{1}{2}H\langle H, W \rangle \right) \\ & + \langle A^\circ(X, Y), W \rangle H + \frac{1}{2}g(X, Y)H\langle H, W \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and subtracting this from (29) yields

$$(30) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t^\perp A^\circ(X, Y) = & -S^\circ(\nabla^2 W) + g(X, Y)Q(A^\circ)W \\ & + \frac{1}{2}A^\circ(X, Y)\langle H, W \rangle - \frac{1}{2}H\langle A^\circ(X, Y), W \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $S^\circ(\dots)$ denotes the symmetric, tracefree component. We compute separately for H and A° . By (27) and (28)

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t \left(\frac{1}{2}|H|^2 d\mu \right) \\ & = - \left\langle \Delta W + Q(A^\circ)W + \frac{1}{2}H\langle H, W \rangle, H \right\rangle d\mu + \frac{1}{2}|H|^2 \langle H, W \rangle d\mu \\ & = -\langle \Delta H + Q(A^\circ)H, W \rangle d\mu + (\langle \Delta H, W \rangle - \langle H, \Delta W \rangle) d\mu \\ & = -|W|^2 d\mu + \nabla_{e_i}(\langle \nabla_{e_i} H, W \rangle - \langle H, \nabla_{e_i} W \rangle) d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$(31) \quad \partial_t \left(\frac{1}{2}|H|^2 d\mu \right) + |W|^2 d\mu = (\nabla^* \alpha) d\mu,$$

where α is the 1-form given by

$$(32) \quad \alpha(X) = \nabla_X \langle H, W \rangle - 2\langle \nabla_X H, W \rangle.$$

In order to compute for A° , we first have (using again (2.15) in [5]) for a g -orthonormal basis

$$\begin{aligned} g(\partial_t e_i, e_j) + g(e_i, \partial_t e_j) &= \partial_t (g(e_i, e_j)) - (\partial_t g)(e_i, e_j) \\ &= -2\langle A(e_i, e_j), W \rangle \\ &= -2\langle A^\circ(e_i, e_j), W \rangle - \delta_{ij}\langle H, W \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This implies further

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle A^\circ(\partial_t e_i, e_k), A^\circ(e_i, e_k) \rangle \\ &= g(\partial_t e_i, e_j)\langle A^\circ(e_j, e_k), A^\circ(e_i, e_k) \rangle \\ &= -\left(\langle A^\circ(e_i, e_j), W \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij}\langle H, W \rangle \right) \langle A^\circ(e_i, e_k), A^\circ(e_j, e_k) \rangle \\ &= -\langle A^\circ(e_i, e_k)\langle A^\circ(e_i, e_j), W \rangle, A^\circ(e_j, e_k) \rangle - \frac{1}{2} |A^\circ|^2 \langle H, W \rangle \\ &= -\left\langle \frac{1}{2} g(e_j, e_k) Q(A^\circ) W, A^\circ(e_j, e_k) \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2} |A^\circ|^2 \langle H, W \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} |A^\circ|^2 \langle H, W \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (2.5) from [5]. We use this and (30) to compute

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t (|A^\circ|^2 d\mu) \\ &= 2\langle (\partial_t A^\circ)(e_i, e_k), A^\circ(e_i, e_k) \rangle d\mu \\ &\quad + 2\langle A^\circ(\partial_t e_i, e_k) + A^\circ(e_i, \partial_t e_k), A^\circ(e_i, e_k) \rangle d\mu + |A^\circ|^2 \langle H, W \rangle d\mu \\ &= -2\langle \nabla^2 W, A^\circ \rangle d\mu + |A^\circ|^2 \langle H, W \rangle d\mu \\ &\quad - \langle A^\circ(e_i, e_k), W \rangle \langle A^\circ(e_i, e_k), H \rangle d\mu \\ &\quad - 2|A^\circ|^2 \langle H, W \rangle d\mu + |A^\circ|^2 \langle H, W \rangle d\mu \\ &= -2\langle \nabla^2 W, A^\circ \rangle d\mu - \langle Q(A^\circ)H, W \rangle d\mu \\ &= (-2 \nabla_{e_i} \langle \nabla_{e_j} W, A^\circ(e_i, e_j) \rangle + \langle \nabla_{e_j} W, \nabla_{e_j} H \rangle) d\mu \\ &\quad - \langle Q(A^\circ)H, W \rangle d\mu \\ &= (-2 \nabla_{e_i} \langle \nabla_{e_j} W, A^\circ(e_i, e_j) \rangle + \nabla_{e_i} \langle W, \nabla_{e_i} H \rangle) d\mu \\ &\quad - \langle W, \Delta H \rangle d\mu - \langle Q(A^\circ)H, W \rangle d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have shown

$$(33) \quad \partial_t (|A^\circ|^2 d\mu) + |W|^2 d\mu = (\nabla^* \beta) d\mu,$$

where β is the 1-form defined by

$$(34) \quad \beta(X) = 2\langle \nabla_{e_j} W, A^\circ(X, e_j) \rangle - \langle \nabla_X H, W \rangle.$$

Lemma 3.1. *If f is a Willmore flow, then for any function η and $W = \mathbf{W}(f)$ we have:*

$$(35) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t \int \frac{1}{2} |H|^2 \eta \, d\mu + \int |W|^2 \eta \, d\mu \\ = \int \left(\frac{1}{2} |H|^2 \partial_t \eta - \langle H, W \rangle \Delta \eta - 2 \langle \nabla_{\text{grad } \eta} H, W \rangle \right) d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

$$(36) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t \int |A^\circ|^2 \eta \, d\mu + \int |W|^2 \eta \, d\mu \\ = \int \left(|A^\circ|^2 \partial_t \eta - 2 \langle A^\circ(e_i, e_j), W \rangle \nabla_{e_i, e_j}^2 \eta - 2 \langle \nabla_{\text{grad } \eta} H, W \rangle \right) d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Formula (35) is immediate from (31) and (32). For (36) we compute for β as in (34):

$$\begin{aligned} \int \eta \nabla^* \beta \, d\mu &= \int \left(2 \langle \nabla_{e_j} W, A^\circ(\text{grad } \eta, e_j) \rangle - \langle \nabla_{\text{grad } \eta} H, W \rangle \right) d\mu \\ &= - \int 2 \langle (\nabla_{e_j} A^\circ)(e_j, \text{grad } \eta), W \rangle d\mu \\ &\quad - \int 2 \langle A^\circ(\nabla_{e_j} \text{grad } \eta, e_j), W \rangle d\mu - \int \langle \nabla_{\text{grad } \eta} H, W \rangle d\mu \\ &= - \int 2 \nabla_{e_i, e_j}^2 \eta \langle A^\circ(e_i, e_j), W \rangle d\mu - \int 2 \langle \nabla_{\text{grad } \eta} H, W \rangle d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (33), proves (36). q.e.d.

In controlling the energy density in time, difficulties arise because of the dependence of $\partial_t \eta$ and $\nabla^2 \eta$ on f , and since $\mathbf{W}(f)$ differs from ΔH by the term $Q(A^\circ)H$. For a ball $B_\varrho = B_\varrho(x_0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ we adopt as in Section 2 the notation

$$\Sigma_\varrho(x_0) = f^{-1}(B_\varrho(x_0))$$

and consider a cutoff function $\tilde{\gamma} \in C_c^1(B_\varrho)$, $\tilde{\gamma} \geq 0$, such that

$$(37) \quad |D \tilde{\gamma}| \leq \frac{c}{\varrho}, \quad |D^2 \tilde{\gamma}| \leq \frac{c}{\varrho^2}.$$

We put $\gamma = \tilde{\gamma} \circ f$ and observe

$$(38) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla \gamma &= (D \tilde{\gamma} \circ f) \cdot Df \\ \nabla^2 \gamma &= (D^2 \tilde{\gamma} \circ f)(Df, Df) + (D \tilde{\gamma} \circ f) \cdot A(\cdot, \cdot). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.2. *If $f : \Sigma \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a Willmore flow and $\eta = \gamma^4$ for $\gamma = \tilde{\gamma} \circ f$ with $\tilde{\gamma}$ as in (37), then we have for $W = \mathbf{W}(f)$*

$$(39) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t \int |A^\circ|^2 \eta \, d\mu + \frac{1}{2} \int |W|^2 \eta \, d\mu \\ \leq \frac{c}{\varrho^2} \int |A|^2 |A^\circ|^2 \gamma^2 \, d\mu + \frac{c}{\varrho^4} \int_{[\gamma>0]} |A|^2 \, d\mu \end{aligned}$$

$$(40) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t \int |A|^2 \eta \, d\mu + \int |W|^2 \eta \, d\mu \\ \leq \frac{c}{\varrho^2} \int |A|^4 \gamma^2 \, d\mu + \frac{c}{\varrho^4} \int_{[\gamma>0]} |A|^2 \, d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We estimate the terms in (36) and (35). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int \gamma^2 |\nabla H|^2 \, d\mu &= - \int \gamma^2 \langle H, \Delta H \rangle \, d\mu + \frac{c}{\varrho} \int \gamma |H| |\nabla H| \, d\mu \\ &\leq - \int \gamma^2 \langle H, W \rangle \, d\mu + c \int \gamma^2 |A^\circ|^2 |H|^2 \, d\mu \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int \gamma^2 |\nabla H|^2 \, d\mu + \frac{c}{\varrho^2} \int_{[\gamma>0]} |H|^2 \, d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

As

$$\int |\nabla \eta| |\nabla H| |W| \, d\mu \leq \varepsilon \int |W|^2 \eta \, d\mu + \frac{c(\varepsilon)}{\varrho^2} \int \gamma^2 |\nabla H|^2 \, d\mu,$$

we obtain by combining

$$\begin{aligned} - \int 2 \langle \nabla_{\text{grad } \eta} H, W \rangle \, d\mu &\leq \varepsilon \int |W|^2 \eta \, d\mu + \frac{c(\varepsilon)}{\varrho^2} \int \gamma^2 |A^\circ|^2 |H|^2 \, d\mu \\ &\quad + \frac{c(\varepsilon)}{\varrho^4} \int_{[\gamma>0]} |H|^2 \, d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Next using (38)

$$\begin{aligned} - \int 2 \langle A^\circ(e_i, e_j), W \rangle \nabla_{e_i, e_j}^2 \eta \, d\mu &\leq c \int |A^\circ| |W| \left(\frac{1}{\varrho^2} \gamma^2 + \frac{1}{\varrho} \gamma^3 |A^\circ| \right) \, d\mu \\ &\leq \varepsilon \int |W|^2 \eta \, d\mu + \frac{c(\varepsilon)}{\varrho^2} \int |A^\circ|^4 \gamma^2 \, d\mu \\ &\quad + \frac{c(\varepsilon)}{\varrho^4} \int_{[\gamma>0]} |A^\circ|^2 \, d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} \int |A^\circ|^2 \partial_t \eta \, d\mu &\leq \frac{c}{\varrho} \int |A^\circ|^2 |W| \gamma^3 \, d\mu \\ &\leq \varepsilon \int |W|^2 \gamma^4 \, d\mu + \frac{c(\varepsilon)}{\varrho^2} \int |A^\circ|^4 \gamma^2 \, d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the three estimates and absorbing for $\varepsilon > 0$ small, we obtain (39). The estimate (40) follows analogously from (35). q.e.d.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $f : \Sigma \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a Willmore flow. If*

$$(41) \quad \int_{\Sigma_\varrho(x_0)} |A^\circ|^2 \, d\mu < \varepsilon_0 \quad \text{at some time } t \in [0, T),$$

then for a constant $c_0 > 0$ we have at time t

$$(42) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t \int |A^\circ|^2 \gamma^4 \, d\mu + c_0 \int (|\nabla^2 A|^2 + |A|^2 |\nabla A|^2 + |A|^4 |A^\circ|^2) \gamma^4 \, d\mu \\ \leq \frac{c}{\varrho^4} \int_{\Sigma_\varrho(x_0)} |A|^2 \, d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(43) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t \int |H|^2 \gamma^4 \, d\mu + c_0 \int (|\nabla^2 A|^2 + |A|^2 |\nabla A|^2 + |A|^4 |A^\circ|^2) \gamma^4 \, d\mu \\ \leq \frac{c}{\varrho^4} \int_{\Sigma_\varrho(x_0)} |A|^2 \, d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (42) follows by combining (39) with Proposition 2.6, after estimating

$$\frac{c}{\varrho^2} \int |A|^2 |A^\circ|^2 \gamma^2 \, d\mu \leq \varepsilon \int |A|^4 |A^\circ|^2 \gamma^4 \, d\mu + \frac{c(\varepsilon)}{\varrho^4} \int_{[\gamma > 0]} |A^\circ|^2 \, d\mu.$$

For the other bound we must go back to (35), estimating the three terms on the right hand side. We have

$$\int \frac{1}{2} |H|^2 \partial_t \eta \, d\mu = - \int \frac{1}{2} |H|^2 D \tilde{\eta} \circ f \cdot (\Delta H + Q(A^\circ) H) \, d\mu.$$

By Young's inequality with 4 and 4/3, we have

$$(44) \quad \frac{1}{\varrho} \int |A^\circ|^2 |A|^3 \gamma^3 d\mu \leq \varepsilon \int |A^\circ|^{8/3} |A|^{10/3} \gamma^4 d\mu + \frac{c(\varepsilon)}{\varrho^4} \int_{[\gamma>0]} |A|^2 d\mu.$$

Using integration by parts, we infer

$$\begin{aligned} & \int H * H \langle D\tilde{\eta} \circ f, \Delta H \rangle d\mu \\ & \leq \frac{c}{\varrho} \int |H| |\nabla H|^2 \gamma^3 d\mu + \frac{c}{\varrho^2} \int |H|^2 |\nabla H| \gamma^2 d\mu \\ & \leq \varepsilon \int |H|^2 |\nabla H|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + \frac{c(\varepsilon)}{\varrho^2} \int |\nabla H|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu \\ & \quad + \frac{c(\varepsilon)}{\varrho^4} \int_{[\gamma>0]} |H|^2 d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

In the proof of Lemma 3.2, we have already shown by partial integration that

$$\begin{aligned} \int \gamma^2 |\nabla H|^2 d\mu & \leq \delta \varrho^2 \int |W|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + \frac{c(\delta)}{\varrho^2} \int_{[\gamma>0]} |A|^2 d\mu \\ & \quad + \delta \varrho^2 \int |A|^2 |A^\circ|^4 \gamma^4 d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

so that by combining we obtain

$$(45) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int H * H \langle D\tilde{\eta} \circ f, \Delta H \rangle d\mu \\ & \leq \varepsilon \int (|W|^2 + |A|^2 |\nabla A|^2 + |A|^2 |A^\circ|^4) \gamma^4 d\mu \\ & \quad + \frac{c(\varepsilon)}{\varrho^4} \int_{[\gamma>0]} |A|^2 d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (44) and (45) estimate the first of the three terms on the right hand side of (35). For the second we use

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int \langle H, W \rangle \Delta \eta d\mu \\ & \leq - \int \langle H, \Delta H \rangle \langle D\tilde{\eta} \circ f, H \rangle d\mu + \frac{c}{\varrho^2} \int |H| |\Delta H| \gamma^2 d\mu \\ & \quad + \frac{c}{\varrho} \int |A^\circ|^2 |A|^3 \gamma^3 d\mu + \frac{c}{\varrho^2} \int |A^\circ|^2 |A|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

The first integral is estimated by (45), the third integral by (44). Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c}{\varrho^2} \int |H| |\Delta H| \gamma^2 d\mu &\leq \varepsilon \int |\nabla^2 A|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + \frac{c(\varepsilon)}{\varrho^4} \int_{[\gamma>0]} |A|^2 d\mu \\ \frac{c}{\varrho^2} \int |A^\circ|^2 |A|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu &\leq \varepsilon \int |A^\circ|^4 |A|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + \frac{c(\varepsilon)}{\varrho^4} \int_{[\gamma>0]} |A|^2 d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

The third integral on the right of (35) satisfies

$$\int |\nabla \eta| |\nabla H| |W| d\mu \leq \varepsilon \int |W|^2 \gamma^4 d\mu + \frac{c(\varepsilon)}{\varrho^2} \int |\nabla H|^2 \gamma^2 d\mu$$

and the right hand side is already estimated. Thus putting things together we have shown

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \left(\int \frac{1}{2} |H|^2 \eta d\mu \right) + \frac{3}{4} \int |W|^2 \eta d\mu \\ \leq \varepsilon \int (|\nabla^2 A|^2 + |A|^2 |\nabla A|^2 + |A|^4 |A^\circ|^2) \eta d\mu + \frac{c(\varepsilon)}{\varrho^4} \int_{[\gamma>0]} |A|^2 d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Now (43) follows from Proposition 2.6.

q.e.d.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $f : \Sigma \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a Willmore flow with $\int_\Sigma |A|^2 d\mu \leq \varkappa$. There exist constants $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(n) > 0$ and $c_1 = c(n)/\varkappa > 0$, such that if $\varrho > 0$ is chosen with*

$$(46) \quad \int_{\Sigma_\varrho} |A^\circ|^2 d\mu \leq \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1 \quad \text{at time } t = 0 \quad \text{for all } \Sigma_\varrho = \Sigma_\varrho(x_0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n,$$

then for any time $0 \leq t < t_1 = \min\{c_1 \varrho^4, T\}$ we have

$$(47) \quad \int_{\Sigma_\varrho} |A^\circ|^2 d\mu + \int_0^t \int_{\Sigma_\varrho} (|\nabla^2 A|^2 + |A|^2 |\nabla A|^2 + |A|^4 |A^\circ|^2) d\mu d\tau \\ \leq c(\varepsilon + \varkappa \varrho^{-4} t),$$

$$(48) \quad \int_0^t \|A^\circ\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_\varrho)}^4 d\tau \leq c(\varepsilon + \varkappa \varrho^{-4} t).$$

Moreover, for $0 < \sigma \leq \varrho$ and $\tau < \min\{c_1 \sigma^4, T\}$ we then also have

$$(49) \quad \int_{\Sigma_{\sigma/2}(x)} |A|^2 d\mu \Big|_{t=\tau} \leq \int_{\Sigma_{\sigma}(x)} |A|^2 d\mu \Big|_{t=0} + c \varkappa \sigma^{-4} \tau \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Proof. Let $N = N(n)$ be the number of balls $B_{\varrho/2} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ needed to cover $B_{\varrho} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and choose $\varepsilon_1 \leq \frac{\varepsilon_0}{4N}$, where $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ is as in Lemma 3.3. Assume (41) is satisfied on $[0, t]$ for all $B_{\varrho} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and integrate (42) to obtain using (46)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_{\varrho/2}} |A^\circ|^2 d\mu + c_0 \int_0^t \int_{\Sigma_{\varrho/2}} (|\nabla^2 A|^2 + |A|^2 |\nabla A|^2 + |A|^4 |A^\circ|^2) d\mu ds \\ \leq \varepsilon + c \varkappa \varrho^{-4} t. \end{aligned}$$

Assuming $t \leq c_1 \varrho^4$ where c_1 is chosen with $0 < c_1 \leq \frac{\varepsilon_0}{4N c \varkappa}$, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_{\varrho}} |A^\circ|^2 d\mu + c_0 \int_0^t \int_{\Sigma_{\varrho}} (|\nabla^2 A|^2 + |A|^2 |\nabla A|^2 + |A|^4 |A^\circ|^2) d\mu ds \\ \leq N(\varepsilon + c \varkappa \varrho^{-4} t) \\ \leq N(\varepsilon_1 + c \varkappa c_1) \\ \leq \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that (41) holds up to time $t = t_1$ for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and (47) follows. In particular $\int_{\Sigma_{\varrho}} |A^\circ|^2 d\mu \leq c(\varepsilon_1 + \varkappa c_1)$, whence a covering argument with possibly smaller ε_1, c_1 implies the smallness hypothesis in Theorem 2.9 for any ball $B_{2\varrho} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and any $t \in [0, t_1]$. Inequality (48) now follows from combining (25) with (47), again using a covering. Finally (49) is obtained by integrating (43) and (42) on $[0, t]$. q.e.d.

We next state a version of the higher order estimates obtained in [5] which is localized in time.

Theorem 3.5 (Interior Estimates). *Let $f : \Sigma \times (0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a Willmore flow satisfying the condition*

$$(50) \quad \sup_{0 < t \leq T} \int_{\Sigma_{\varrho}(0)} |A|^2 d\mu \leq \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0(n),$$

where $T \leq c(n) \varrho^4$. Then for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have at time $t \in (0, T]$ the estimates

$$(51) \quad \|\nabla^k A\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_{\varrho/2}(0))} \leq c(k) \sqrt{\varepsilon} t^{-\frac{k+1}{4}}$$

$$(52) \quad \|\nabla^k A\|_{L^2(\Sigma_{\varrho/2}(0))} \leq c(k) \sqrt{\varepsilon} t^{-\frac{k}{4}}.$$

Proof. By scaling $f_\varrho(p, t) = \frac{1}{\varrho} f(p, \varrho^4 t)$ we may assume $\varrho = 1$. Using (4.13) and (4.9) from [5], see also Proposition 4.6 in [5], we obtain on $B = B_{3/4}(0)$ the inequalities

$$(53) \quad \int_0^T \int_{\Sigma_{3/4}} (|\nabla^2 A|^2 + |A|^6) d\mu dt \leq c\varepsilon,$$

$$(54) \quad \int_0^T \|A\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_{3/4})}^4 dt \leq c\varepsilon.$$

Fix a cutoff function $\tilde{\gamma} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\chi_{B_{1/2}} \leq \tilde{\gamma} \leq \chi_B$ and $\|D\tilde{\gamma}\|_{L^\infty} + \|D^2\tilde{\gamma}\|_{L^\infty} \leq c$. Also define cutoff functions in time by

$$\chi_j(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } t \leq (j-1) \frac{T}{m} \\ \frac{m}{T} (t - (j-1) \frac{T}{m}) & \text{in between} \\ 1 & \text{for } t \geq j \frac{T}{m}, \end{cases}$$

where $0 \leq j \leq m$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Note $\chi_0 \equiv 1$ on $[0, T]$, $\chi_m(T) = 1$ and

$$(55) \quad 0 \leq \dot{\chi}_j \leq \frac{m}{T} \chi_{j-1}.$$

Introducing the notation $\alpha(t) = \|A\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_{3/4})}^4$, $E_j(t) = \int |\nabla^{2j} A|^2 \gamma^{4j+4} d\mu$ (where $\gamma = \tilde{\gamma} \circ f$), we have by (4.14) in [5]

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_j(t) + \frac{1}{2} E_{j+1}(t) \leq c\alpha(t) E_j(t) + c(1 + \alpha(t))\varepsilon.$$

Letting $e_j(t) = \chi_j(t) E_j(t)$ this implies, using also (55),

$$(56) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} e_j(t) &\leq c\alpha(t) e_j(t) - \frac{1}{2} \chi_j(t) E_{j+1}(t) \\ &\quad + c(1 + \alpha(t))\varepsilon + \frac{m}{T} \chi_{j-1}(t) E_j(t). \end{aligned}$$

We now prove by induction for $0 \leq j \leq m$ and all $t \in (0, T]$ the inequality

$$e_j(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \chi_j(s) E_{j+1}(s) ds \leq \frac{c(m)\varepsilon}{T^j}.$$

For $j = 0$ this follows from assumption (50) and estimate (53). Integrating (56) on $[0, T]$ yields, for $j \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} e_j(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \chi_j(s) E_{j+1}(s) ds \\ \leq c \int_0^t \alpha(s) e_j(s) ds + c\varepsilon \int_0^t (1 + \alpha(s)) ds \\ + \frac{m}{T} \int_0^t \chi_{j-1}(s) E_j(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Now since $\int_0^T \alpha(t) dt \leq c\varepsilon$ by (54), we may apply Gronwall's inequality to get

$$\begin{aligned} e_j(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \chi_j(s) E_{j+1}(s) ds &\leq c\varepsilon + \frac{cm}{T} \frac{c(m)\varepsilon}{T^{j-1}} \\ &\leq \frac{c(m)\varepsilon}{T^j}, \end{aligned}$$

as $T \leq c(n)$ by assumption. Thus we have at time $t = T$

$$\int |\nabla^{2m} A|^2 \gamma^{4m+4} d\mu \leq \frac{c(m)\varepsilon}{T^m}.$$

The estimate for $\nabla^{2m+1} A$ follows by interpolation as in Lemma 5.1 of [5], taking $r = 1, p = q = 2, \alpha = 1, \beta = 0, s = 4m + 6$ and $t = \frac{1}{s} \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ there and using again $T \leq c(n)$. Renaming T into t , the L^2 -estimate (52) is proved. Using (4.9) and (4.7) in [5], the L^∞ -estimate (51) follows. q.e.d.

4. Construction of the blowup

In this section we rescale the Willmore flow at an assumed singularity at finite or infinite time, thereby constructing a static Willmore surface as a limit. We shall need the following local area bound due to L. Simon [8].

Lemma 4.1. *Let $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a properly immersed surface. Then for $0 < \sigma \leq \varrho < \infty$ and $\Sigma_\varrho = \Sigma_\varrho(x_0)$ one has*

$$\frac{\mu(\Sigma_\sigma)}{\sigma^2} \leq c \left(\frac{\mu(\Sigma_\varrho)}{\varrho^2} + \int_{\Sigma_\varrho} |H|^2 d\mu \right).$$

In particular if Σ is compact without boundary

$$\frac{\mu(\Sigma_\sigma)}{\sigma^2} \leq c (\mathcal{W}(f) + 4\pi\chi(\Sigma)).$$

The following compactness theorem, whose proof is omitted, is a localized version of the result of J. Langer [6].

Theorem 4.2. *Let $f_j : \Sigma_j \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a sequence of proper immersions, where Σ_j is a two-dimensional manifold without boundary. Let*

$$\Sigma_j(R) = \{p \in \Sigma_j : |f_j(p)| < R\}$$

and assume the bounds

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_j(\Sigma_j(R)) &\leq c(R) \quad \text{for any } R > 0, \\ \|\nabla^k A_j\|_{L^\infty} &\leq c(k) \quad \text{for any } k \in \mathbb{N}_0. \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists a proper immersion $\hat{f} : \hat{\Sigma} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, where $\hat{\Sigma}$ is again a two-manifold without boundary, such that after passing to a subsequence we have a representation

$$(57) \quad f_j \circ \varphi_j = \hat{f} + u_j \quad \text{on } \hat{\Sigma}(j) = \{p \in \hat{\Sigma} : |\hat{f}(p)| < j\}$$

with the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_j : \hat{\Sigma}(j) &\rightarrow U_j \subset \Sigma_j \quad \text{is diffeomorphic,} \\ \Sigma_j(R) &\subset U_j \quad \text{if } j \geq j(R), \\ u_j &\in C^\infty(\hat{\Sigma}(j), \mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{is normal along } \hat{f}, \\ \|\hat{\nabla}^k u_j\|_{L^\infty(\hat{\Sigma}(j))} &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for any } k \in \mathbb{N}_0. \end{aligned}$$

Roughly speaking, the theorem says that on any ball $B_R(0)$ the immersion f_j can be written as a normal graph $\hat{f} + u_j$ with small norm for j large over a limit immersion \hat{f} , after suitably reparametrizing with φ_j .

Now let $f : \Sigma \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a smooth Willmore flow defined on a closed surface Σ , where $0 < T \leq \infty$. Define

$$\varkappa(r, t) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\Sigma_r(x)} |A(t)|^2 d\mu_t.$$

Choose an arbitrary sequence $r_j \searrow 0$ and assume concentration in the sense that for all j

$$(58) \quad t_j = \inf\{t \geq 0 : \varkappa(r_j, t) > \varepsilon_1\} < T,$$

where $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_0/c$ and ε_0, c are the constants from Theorem 1.2 in [5]. Clearly

$$\int_{\Sigma_{r_j}(x)} |A(t_j)|^2 d\mu_{t_j} \leq \varepsilon_1 \quad \text{for any } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

On the other hand, choosing an appropriate sequence of balls at times $\tau_\nu \searrow t_j$, we find a point $x_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying

$$\int_{f^{-1}(\overline{B_{r_j}(x_j)})} |A(t_j)|^2 d\mu_{t_j} \geq \varepsilon_1.$$

Now we rescale by considering

$$(59) \quad \begin{aligned} f_j &: \Sigma \times [-r_j^{-4}t_j, r_j^{-4}(T - t_j)] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \\ f_j(p, t) &= \frac{1}{r_j}(f(p, t_j + r_j^4 t) - x_j). \end{aligned}$$

By the above we have $\varkappa_j(1, t) \leq \varepsilon_1$ for $t \leq 0$ and

$$(60) \quad \int_{f^{-1}(\overline{B_1(0)})} |A_j(0)|^2 d\mu_j \geq \varepsilon_1.$$

Furthermore Theorem 1.2 of [5] yields $r_j^{-4}(T - t_j) \geq c_0$ and in fact

$$\varkappa_j(1, t) \leq \varepsilon_0 \quad \text{for } 0 < t \leq c_0.$$

We may now apply Theorem 3.5 on parabolic cylinders $B_1(x) \times (t-1, t]$ to obtain

$$(61) \quad \|\nabla^k A_j(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq c(k) \quad \text{for } -r_j^{-4}t_j + 1 \leq t \leq c_0.$$

Furthermore Lemma 4.1 yields

$$\frac{\mu_j(t)(\Sigma_R(0))}{R^2} \leq c(\mathcal{W}(f_0) + 4\pi \chi(\Sigma)) < \infty.$$

We apply Theorem 4.2 to the sequence $f_j = f_j(\cdot, 0) : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, thus obtaining a limit immersion $\hat{f}_0 : \hat{\Sigma} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\varphi_j : \hat{\Sigma}(j) \rightarrow U_j \subset \Sigma$ be as in (57). Then the reparametrization

$$(62) \quad f_j(\varphi_j, \cdot) : \hat{\Sigma}(j) \times [0, c_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$$

is a Willmore flow with initial data

$$(63) \quad f_j(\varphi_j, 0) = \hat{f}_0 + u_j : \hat{\Sigma}(j) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The flows (62) satisfy the curvature bounds (61) and have initial data converging locally in C^k to the immersion $\hat{f}_0 : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. By standard estimates for geometric evolution equations, see (4.24)–(4.28) in [5], we deduce the locally smooth convergence

$$(64) \quad f_j(\varphi_j, \cdot) \rightarrow \hat{f},$$

where $\hat{f} : \hat{\Sigma} \times [0, c_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a Willmore flow with initial data f_0 . But on the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{c_0} \int_{\hat{\Sigma}(j)} |\mathbf{W}(f_j(\varphi_j, t))|^2 d\mu_{f_j(\varphi_j, \cdot)} dt \\ &= \int_0^{c_0} \int_{U_j} |\mathbf{W}(f_j(\cdot, t))|^2 d\mu_j dt \\ &\leq \int_{\Sigma} |A_j(c_0)|^2 d\mu_j - \int_{\Sigma} |A_j(0)|^2 d\mu \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} |A(t_j + r_j^4 c_0)|^2 d\mu - \int_{\Sigma} |A(t_j)|^2 d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

which converges to zero as $j \rightarrow \infty$. This implies that $\mathbf{W}(\hat{f}) \equiv 0$ which means that $\hat{f}(\cdot, t) \equiv \hat{f}_0$ is an immersed Willmore surface, which is independent of time. Furthermore (60) implies, because of the smooth convergence in (64),

$$(65) \quad \int_{\hat{f}^{-1}(\overline{B_1(0)})} |\hat{A}|^2 d\hat{\mu} \geq \varepsilon_1 > 0.$$

Thus \hat{f} is not a union of planes.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\hat{f} : \hat{\Sigma} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be the blowup constructed above. If $\hat{\Sigma}$ contains a compact component C , then in fact $\hat{\Sigma} = C$ and Σ is diffeomorphic to C .*

Proof. For j sufficiently large, $\varphi_j(C)$ is open and closed in Σ . Hence by connectedness of Σ we have $\Sigma = \varphi_j(C)$ and thus $\hat{\Sigma} = C$. q.e.d.

Theorem 4.4 (Nontriviality of the Blowup). *Let $\hat{f} : \hat{\Sigma} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be the blowup of a Willmore flow as constructed above. Then none of*

the components of \hat{f} parametrizes a round sphere. In particular, the blowup has a component which is a nonumbilic (compact or noncompact) Willmore surface.

Proof. Otherwise, Lemma 4.3 implies that the blowup surface $\hat{f} : \hat{\Sigma} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is an embedded round sphere, i.e., has no further components. It follows that, up to the diffeomorphism $\varphi_j : \hat{\Sigma} \rightarrow \Sigma$, the map $f_j(\cdot, 0)$ is C^k -close to a round sphere and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} |A^\circ(t_j)|^2 d\mu &= \int_{\Sigma} |A_j^\circ(0)|^2 d\mu_j \rightarrow 0, \\ \mu(t_j)(\Sigma) &= r_j^2 \mu_j(0)(\Sigma) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts the lower area bound which will be proved in Theorem 5.2. q.e.d.

5. Small initial energy

In this section we finally prove our main result:

Theorem 5.1 (Global Existence and Convergence for Small Initial Energy). *There exists an $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(n) > 0$ such that if at time $t = 0$ there holds*

$$\mathcal{W}(f_0) = \int_{\Sigma} |A^\circ|^2 d\mu < \varepsilon_0,$$

then the Willmore flow exists smoothly for all times and converges exponentially to a round sphere as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

We split the proof into several steps. The first step was already used in Theorem 4.4 and is of independent interest.

Theorem 5.2 (Area Estimate). *Let $f : \Sigma \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a Willmore flow with $\mathbf{W}(f) = \int_{\Sigma} |A^\circ|^2 d\mu \leq \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$, where $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(n)$ is as in Proposition 3.4. Then*

$$(66) \quad (1 - c\varepsilon) \mu_0(\Sigma) \leq \mu(\Sigma) \leq (1 + c\varepsilon) \mu_0(\Sigma)$$

$$(67) \quad \int_0^t \int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla A|^2 + |A|^2 |A^\circ|^2) d\mu ds \leq c\varepsilon \mu_0(\Sigma).$$

Proof. We have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mu(\Sigma) = - \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla H|^2 d\mu + \int_{\Sigma} \langle Q(A^\circ)H, H \rangle d\mu.$$

Multiplying Simons' identity (10) by A° and integrating yields (cf. Lemma 2.2):

$$(68) \quad \begin{aligned} 2 \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla A^\circ|^2 d\mu + \int_{\Sigma} |H|^2 |A^\circ|^2 d\mu \\ = \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla H|^2 d\mu + \int_{\Sigma} A^\circ * A^\circ * A^\circ * A^\circ d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

As $\langle Q(A^\circ)H, H \rangle \leq |A^\circ|^2 |H|^2$ by (14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mu(\Sigma) + 2 \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla A^\circ|^2 d\mu &\leq c \int_{\Sigma} |A^\circ|^4 d\mu \\ &\leq c \|A^\circ\|_{L^\infty}^4 \mu(\Sigma). \end{aligned}$$

From (48) with $\varrho = \infty$ we have

$$\int_0^t \|A^\circ\|_{L^\infty}^4 ds \leq c\varepsilon,$$

and the Gronwall inequality yields

$$\mu(\Sigma) + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla A^\circ|^2 d\mu ds \leq (1 + c\varepsilon) \mu_0(\Sigma).$$

On the other hand, by Michael-Simon

$$\begin{aligned} \int |\nabla H|^2 d\mu &\leq c \left(\int (|\nabla^2 H| + |H| |\nabla H|) d\mu \right)^2 \\ &\leq c \mu(\Sigma) \int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla^2 H|^2 + |H|^2 |\nabla H|^2) d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

As $\langle Q(A^\circ)H, H \rangle \geq 0$ we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mu(\Sigma) \geq -c \mu(\Sigma) \int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla^2 H|^2 + |H|^2 |\nabla H|^2) d\mu.$$

Using (47) with $\varrho = \infty$ implies the remaining inequality in (66). In particular we obtain

$$\int_0^t \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla A^\circ|^2 d\mu ds \leq c\varepsilon \mu_0(\Sigma),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \int_{\Sigma} |A^\circ|^4 d\mu ds &\leq (1 + c\varepsilon) \mu_0(\Sigma) \int_0^t \|A^\circ\|_{L^\infty}^4 ds \\ &\leq c\varepsilon \mu_0(\Sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Finally from (68) and Codazzi (5)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \int_{\Sigma} |H|^2 |A^\circ|^2 d\mu ds &\leq c \int_0^t \int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla A^\circ|^2 + |A^\circ|^4) d\mu ds \\ &\leq c\varepsilon \mu_0(\Sigma). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the theorem.

q.e.d.

Remark. The extrinsic diameter is bounded above and below by the diameter of the initial surface, cf. [8].

Lemma 5.3. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 5.1 there exists a radius $r_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{\Sigma_{r_0}(x)} |A(t)|^2 d\mu \leq \varepsilon_1 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \in [0, \infty),$$

where $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ is as in (58).

Proof. Otherwise, the blowup construction of Section 4 yields an immersed Willmore surface $\hat{f} : \hat{\Sigma} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with

$$\int_{\hat{f}^{-1}(\overline{B_1(0)})} |\hat{A}|^2 d\hat{\mu} \geq \varepsilon_1,$$

whereas

$$\int_{\hat{\Sigma}} |\hat{A}^\circ|^2 d\hat{\mu} < \varepsilon_0.$$

By Theorem 2.7 the surface \hat{f} must be a union of embedded planes and round spheres, which however contradicts the nontriviality of the blowup, Theorem 4.4. q.e.d.

Lemma 5.4. *For any sequence $t_j \rightarrow \infty$ there exist $x_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\varphi_j \in \text{Diff}(\Sigma)$ such that, after passing to a subsequence, the immersions $f(\varphi_j, t_j) - x_j$ converge smoothly to an embedded round sphere.*

Proof. Let $x_j = f(p, t_j)$ where $p \in \Sigma$ is arbitrary. By the previous lemma and the interior curvature estimates from Theorem 3.5, we have for $t_j \geq 1$

$$(69) \quad \|\nabla^k A(\cdot, t_j)\|_{L^\infty} \leq c(k).$$

Furthermore, Lemma 4.1 yields the area bound

$$\frac{\mu(t_j)(B_R(x_j))}{R^2} \leq c(\mathcal{W}(f(\cdot, t_j)) + 4\pi\chi(\Sigma)).$$

According to Theorem 4.2, there exist a properly immersed surface $\hat{f} : \hat{\Sigma} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and diffeomorphisms $\varphi_j : \hat{\Sigma}(j) \rightarrow U_j \subset \Sigma$, such that (after selection of a subsequence)

$$f(\varphi_j, t_j) - x_j \rightarrow \hat{f}$$

locally in C^k on $\hat{\Sigma}$. On $\hat{\Sigma}(j)$ we consider the Willmore flows

$$g_j(p, t) = f(\varphi_j(p), t_j + t) - x_j \quad (t \geq -t_j).$$

These satisfy the curvature estimates (69), and the initial data (at $t = 0$) converge to \hat{f} . Arguing as in (64), we obtain that g_j converges locally smoothly on $\hat{\Sigma} \times [0, \infty)$ to a Willmore flow $g : \hat{\Sigma} \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with initial data \hat{f} . But now

$$\int_0^1 \int_{\hat{\Sigma}(j)} |\mathbf{W}(g_j)|^2 d\mu_{g_j} dt \leq \int_{t_j}^{t_j+1} \int_{\Sigma} |\mathbf{W}(f)|^2 d\mu dt \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore we have $\mathbf{W}(g) \equiv 0$ which proves that \hat{f} is a Willmore surface, and Theorem 2.7 implies that \hat{f} is a union of embedded planes and round spheres. Using the upper area bound in (66) and excluding several components as in Lemma 4.3 we conclude that \hat{f} must be a round sphere, and that the subconvergence is smooth. q.e.d.

As a consequence of the above, we obtain that

$$(70) \quad \mathcal{W}(f) = \int_{\Sigma} |A^\circ|^2 d\mu \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Moreover, Theorem 5.2 implies the existence of the nonzero limit

$$(71) \quad \omega = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(t)(\Sigma) \in (0, \infty).$$

Finally we now prove exponential decay of the curvature; from this one obtains smooth convergence of f to a round sphere \hat{f} and thus Theorem 5.1 in a standard way.

Lemma 5.5. *As $t \nearrow \infty$, the following asymptotic statements hold, where $\lambda > 0$ is a constant:*

$$(72) \quad \|\nabla^k A(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq c_k e^{-\lambda t} \quad \text{for } k \geq 1,$$

$$(73) \quad \|A^\circ\|_{L^\infty} \leq c_0 e^{-\lambda t}.$$

Proof. For ω as in (71), the previous Lemma implies that the sectional curvature and the mean curvature of $f(\cdot, t)$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| K(\cdot, t) - \frac{4\pi}{\omega} \right\|_{L^\infty} &\longrightarrow 0, \\ \left\| |H|^2 - \frac{16\pi}{\omega} \right\|_{L^\infty} &\longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we may assume after a fixed time translation that

$$|H|^2 \geq c > 0 \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

By Lemma 3.3, we then have for all t

$$\partial_t \int_{\Sigma} |A^\circ|^2 d\mu + c \int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla^2 A|^2 + |\nabla A|^2 + |A^\circ|^2) d\mu \leq 0,$$

which implies

$$(74) \quad \int_{\Sigma} |A^\circ|^2 d\mu + \int_t^\infty \int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla^2 A|^2 + |\nabla A|^2) d\mu ds \leq c e^{-2\lambda t},$$

for a constant $\lambda = \lambda(n) > 0$. From here we easily derive exponential decay of all derivatives of A . Namely, letting $\varrho \rightarrow \infty$ in Corollary 3.4 of [5], we have for $\phi = \nabla^m A$ ($m \geq 1$)

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int |\phi|^2 d\mu + \frac{3}{4} \int |\nabla^2 \phi|^2 d\mu \leq \int (P_3^{m+2}(A) + P_5^m(A)) * \phi d\mu.$$

Using that A and all its derivatives remain bounded as $t \rightarrow \infty$, we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int P_2^0(A) * \nabla^{m+2} A * \phi d\mu &\leq \varepsilon \int |\nabla^2 \phi|^2 d\mu + c(\varepsilon) \int |\nabla^m A|^2 d\mu, \\ \int (\hat{P}_3^{m+2}(A) + P_5^m(A)) * \phi d\mu &\leq c \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \int |\nabla^j A|^2 d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\hat{P}_3^{m+2}(A)$ denotes all terms of type $P_3^{m+2}(A)$ that do not contain the $(m+2)$ -th derivative, and of course c is not a universal constant here. We obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int |\phi|^2 d\mu + \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla^2 \phi|^2 d\mu \leq c \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \int |\nabla^j A|^2 d\mu,$$

and now by induction using (74)

$$\|\nabla^m A\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_t^\infty \|\nabla^{m+2} A\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq c e^{-2\lambda t}.$$

By a Sobolev inequality, e.g., the Michael-Simon inequality, we deduce

$$(75) \quad \|A^\circ\|_{L^\infty} \leq c_0 e^{-\lambda t},$$

$$(76) \quad \|\nabla^k A\|_{L^\infty} \leq c_k e^{-\lambda t},$$

which ends the proof of Lemma 5.5 and of Theorem 5.1. q.e.d.

References

- [1] R. Bryant, *A duality theorem for Willmore surfaces*, J. Differential Geom. **20** (1984) 23–53.
- [2] J. Escher & G. Simonett, *Moving surfaces and abstract parabolic evolution equations*, Topics in Nonlinear Analysis 183–212, Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. **35**, Birkhäuser Basel, 1999.
- [3] G. Huisken, *Flow by mean curvature of convex surfaces into spheres*, J. Differential Geom. **20** (1984) 237–266.
- [4] ———, *The volume-preserving mean curvature flow*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **382** (1987) 35–48.
- [5] E. Kuwert & R. Schätzle, *Gradient flow for the Willmore functional*, preprint Math. Fak. Univ. Freiburg Nr. 99-30 (1999) (to appear in Commun. Analysis Geom.).
- [6] J. Langer, *A compactness theorem for surfaces with L_p -bounded second fundamental form*, Math. Ann. **270** (1985) 223–234.
- [7] J.H. Michael & L. Simon, *Sobolev and mean-value inequalities on generalized submanifolds of \mathbb{R}^n* , Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **26** (1973) 361–379.
- [8] L. Simon, *Existence of surfaces minimizing the Willmore functional*, Commun. Analysis Geom. **1(2)** (1993) 281–326.

- [9] G. Simonett, *The Willmore flow near spheres*, preprint, 1999.
- [10] J. Simons, *Minimal varieties in Riemannian manifolds*, *Annals of Math.* **88** (1968) 62–105.
- [11] G. Thomsen, *Grundlagen der konformen Flächentheorie*, *Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg* **3** (1924) 31–56.
- [12] T. Toro, *Surfaces with generalized second fundamental form in L^2 are Lipschitz manifolds*, *J. Differential Geom.* **39** (1994) 65–101.
- [13] T. Willmore, *Riemannian geometry* (Chapter 7), Oxford University Press, New York, 1993.

ALBERT-LUDWIGS-UNIVERSITÄT FREIBURG
UNIVERSITÄT BONN