OPERATORS ON ALMOST HERMITIAN MANIFOLDS

YÔSUKE OGAWA

Introduction

Recently C. C. Hsiung [1] showed, among other results on the realization of the complex Laplace-Beltrami operator $\square$ on an almost Hermitian space, that if for an almost Hermitian structure the relation $\square = \varDelta/2$ holds for all forms of degrees 0 and 1, then the structure is Kaehlerian, where $\varDelta$ denotes the real Laplace-Beltrami operator; this result was a conjecture for some time and is an improvement of a theorem by Kodaira-Spencer [2]. In the present paper, we point out that the two definitions of the operator $\square$ given by Kodaira-Spencer and C. C. Hsiung respectively are different, and extend the above result of Hsiung by showing that for an almost Hermitian structure if $\square$ according to either definition is real on all forms of degrees 0 and 1, then the structure is Kaehlerian[1].

Let $\underset{r,s}{\varPi}$ be the projection mapping onto the subspace composed of elements of type (r, s) (see § 1), and ∂ the skew-derivation of dgree 1, which coincides on functions with $\underset{1,0}{\varPi} d$ and satisfies the relation $\partial d + d\partial = 0$. Then the definition of the integrable condition of the almost complex structure is given by $\partial^2 = 0$, [2]. By investigating the real and imaginary parts of the operator ∂, we express an equivalent condition of $\partial^2 = 0$ in terms of some real operators, and give a condition on a real operator for an almost Hermitian structure to be Kaehlerian.

1. Definitions

Let M^n be a Riemannian space, denote its fundamental metric tensor by $g_{\lambda\mu}$, and put $g = \det|g_{\lambda\mu}|$. (In the following the Greek indices $\lambda, \mu, \nu, \cdots$ run from 1 to n, the dimension of the space.) Let $\varepsilon^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_p}_{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_p}$ be the generalized Kronecker's delta, $\varepsilon_{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n}$ stand for $\varepsilon^{1 \cdots n}_{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n}$, and $\mathscr{F}^p$ be the algebra of differential p-forms on M^n. Then the exterior differentiation $d: \mathscr{F}^p \to \mathscr{F}^{p+1}$ and the adjoint

operator $* \colon \mathscr{F}^p \to \mathscr{F}^{n-p}$ can be written for a p-form $u = (u_{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_p})$ by

$$(1.1) \qquad (du)_{\lambda_0 \cdots \lambda_p} = (1/p!)\varepsilon_{\lambda_0 \cdots \lambda_p}^{\rho \mu_1 \cdots \mu_p}\nabla_\rho u_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_p} ,$$

$$(1.2) \qquad (*u)_{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_{n-p}} = (1/p!)\sqrt{g}\, g^{\rho_1 \mu_1} \cdots g^{\rho_p \mu_p} u_{\rho_1 \cdots \rho_p}\varepsilon_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_p \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_{n-p}} ,$$

where ∇_λ denotes the covariant derivation with respect to the Riemann connection. The exterior co-differentiation $\delta \colon \mathscr{F}^p \to \mathscr{F}^{p-1}$ defined by

$$(1.3) \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \delta = (-1)^{np+n+1}*d* $$

can be expressed locally as

$$(1.4) \qquad\qquad (\delta u)_{\lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_p} = -\nabla^\rho u_{\rho \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_p} .$$

Let Δ be the Laplace-Beltrami operator defined by

$$\Delta = d\delta + \delta d ,$$

then by means of (1.1) and (1.3) it is easy to verify that for a form u of degree p

$$(1.5) \quad (\Delta u)_{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_p} = -\nabla^\rho \nabla_\rho u_{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_p} + \sum_{i=1}^p R_{\lambda_i}{}^\rho u_{\lambda_1 \cdots \overset{i}{\rho} \cdots \lambda_p} + \sum_{i<j} R_{\lambda_i \lambda_j}{}^{\rho \sigma} u_{\lambda_1 \cdots \overset{i}{\rho} \cdots \overset{j}{\sigma} \cdots \lambda_p}$$

holds, where $R_{\lambda \mu \nu \omega}$ (or $R_{\lambda \mu}$) is the curvature (or Ricci) tensor of the Riemann connection, $u_{\lambda_1 \cdots \overset{i}{\rho} \cdots \lambda_p}$ indicates that the subscript ρ replaces the subscript λ_i, and $u_{\lambda_1 \cdots \hat{a} \cdots \lambda_p}$ indicates that the subscript λ_a is deleted.

If a Riemannian space M^n admits an almost complex structure $\varphi_\lambda{}^\mu$ satisfying

$$(1.6) \qquad\qquad\qquad g_{\rho \sigma}\varphi_\lambda{}^\rho \varphi_\mu{}^\sigma = g_{\lambda \mu} ,$$

then it is called an almost Hermitian space. Let $T^c(M)$ be a complexification of the tangent space of M^n, and denote by $\mathscr{F}_c{}^p$ the (complexified) differential p-forms, that is, the complex-valued functions defined on $T^c(M) \wedge \cdots \wedge T^c(M)$. For non-negative integers r, s we define the projection mapping $\underset{r,s}{\Pi} \colon \mathscr{F}_c{}^p \to \mathscr{F}_c{}^p$ where $p = r + s$ as follows. At first

$$(1.7) \qquad\qquad\qquad \underset{1,0}{\Pi}_\lambda{}^\mu = (1/2)(\delta_\lambda{}^\mu - \sqrt{-1}\, \varphi_\lambda{}^\mu) ,$$

and its conjugate

$$(1.8) \qquad\qquad\qquad \underset{0,1}{\Pi}_\lambda{}^\mu = \overline{\underset{1,0}{\Pi}}_\lambda{}^\mu = (1/2)(\delta_\lambda{}^\mu + \sqrt{-1}\, \varphi_\lambda{}^\mu) ,$$

which will be abbreviated to Π and $\bar{\Pi}$ respectively. Then for a p-form u of

$\mathscr{F}_c{}^p$, we define

$$(1.9) \quad \underset{r,s}{(\varPi u)}_{\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_p} = (1/p!)\,\underset{r,s}{\varPi}{}_{\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_p}^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_p}u_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_p}$$

$$= (1/(r!s!))\varepsilon_{\lambda_1\cdots\cdots\lambda_p}^{\rho_1\cdots\rho_r\sigma_1\cdots\sigma_s}\varPi_{\rho_1}{}^{\mu_1}\cdots\varPi_{\rho_r}{}^{\mu_r}\,\bar{\varPi}_{\sigma_1}{}^{\nu_1}\cdots\bar{\varPi}_{\sigma_s}{}^{\nu_s}u_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_r\nu_1\cdots\nu_s}.$$

A p-form u of $\mathscr{F}_c{}^p$ is called of type (r,s) if it satisfies $\underset{r,s}{\varPi}u = u$.

Lemma 1.1. *In an almost complex space, for any set of functions* $u_{\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_p}$ *we have*

$$(1.10) \qquad \sum_{k=0}^{p}\underset{p-k,k}{(\varPi}u)_{\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_p} = u_{\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_p}\,.$$

Proof. We prove by induction. Suppose that (1.10) is true for all sets of functions $u_{\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_r}$ for $r \leq p$; for convenience those functions are said to be of degree $r \leq p$. Now let $u = (u_{\lambda_0\cdots\lambda_p})$ be a set of functions of degree $p+1$. Then we have

$$(1/(p+1)!)\sum_{k=0}^{p+1}\underset{p+1-k,k}{\varPi}{}_{\lambda_0\cdots\lambda_p}^{\mu_0\cdots\mu_p}u_{\mu_0\cdots\mu_p}$$

$$= (1/(p+1)!)\sum_{k=0}^{p+1}{}_{p+1}C_k\,\varepsilon_{\lambda_0}^{\rho_1\cdots\rho_{p+1-k}\,\sigma_1\cdots\sigma_k}\varPi_{\rho_1}{}^{\mu_1}\cdots\varPi_{\rho_{p+1-k}}{}^{\mu_{p+1-k}}$$

$$\cdot\,\bar{\varPi}_{\sigma_1}{}^{\nu_1}\cdots\bar{\varPi}_{\sigma_k}{}^{\nu_k}u_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{p+1-k}\nu_1\cdots\nu_k}$$

$$= (1/(p+1)!)[\varepsilon_{\lambda_0\cdots\lambda_p}^{\rho_0\cdots\rho_p}\varPi_{\rho_0}{}^{\mu_0}\cdots\varPi_{\rho_p}{}^{\mu_p}u_{\mu_0\cdots\mu_p} + \varepsilon_{\lambda_0\cdots\lambda_p}^{\sigma_0\cdots\sigma_p}\bar{\varPi}_{\sigma_0}{}^{\nu_0}\cdots\bar{\varPi}_{\sigma_p}{}^{\nu_p}u_{\nu_0\cdots\nu_p}$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{p}({}_pC_{k}+{}_pC_{k-1})\varepsilon_{\lambda_0}^{\rho_1\cdots\rho_{p+1-k}\,\sigma_1\cdots\sigma_k}\varPi_{\rho_1}{}^{\mu_1}\cdots\varPi_{\rho_{p+1-k}}{}^{\mu_{p+1-k}}\bar{\varPi}_{\sigma_1}{}^{\nu_1}$$

$$\cdots\cdot\,\bar{\varPi}_{\sigma_k}{}^{\nu_k}u_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{p+1-k}\nu_1\cdots\nu_k}]\,,$$

where ${}_{p+1}C_k$ denotes the number of combinations of $p+1$ different things taken p at a time. Considering the assumption of the induction, we get

$$\varepsilon_{\lambda_0\cdots\lambda_p}^{\rho_0\cdots\rho_p}\varPi_{\rho_0}{}^{\mu_0}\cdots\varPi_{\rho_p}{}^{\mu_p}u_{\mu_0\cdots\mu_p}$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{p}{}_pC_k\,\varepsilon_{\lambda_0}^{\rho_0\cdots\rho_{p-k}\,\sigma_1\cdots\sigma_k}\varPi_{\rho_0}{}^{\mu_0}\cdots\varPi_{\rho_{p-k}}{}^{\mu_{p-k}}\bar{\varPi}_{\sigma_1}{}^{\nu_1}\cdots\bar{\varPi}_{\sigma_k}{}^{\nu_k}u_{\mu_0\cdots\mu_{p-k}\nu_1\cdots\nu_k}$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha=0}^{p}(-1)^\alpha p!\,\varPi_{\lambda_\alpha}{}^\rho u_{\rho\lambda_0\cdots\hat{\alpha}\cdots\lambda_p}\,.$$

In a similar way, we have

$$\varepsilon_{\lambda_0\cdots\lambda_p}^{\sigma_0\cdots\sigma_p}\bar{\varPi}_{\sigma_0}{}^{\nu_0}\cdots\bar{\varPi}_{\sigma_p}{}^{\nu_p}u_{\nu_0\cdots\nu_p}$$

$$+ \sum_{k=0}^{p-1}{}_pC_k\,\varepsilon_{\lambda_0}^{\rho_1\cdots\rho_{p-k}\,\sigma_0\cdots\sigma_k}\varPi_{\rho_1}{}^{\mu_1}\cdots\varPi_{\mu_{p-k}}{}^{\mu_{p-k}}\bar{\varPi}_{\sigma_0}{}^{\nu_0}\cdots\bar{\varPi}_{\sigma_k}{}^{\nu_k}u_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{p-k}\nu_0\cdots\nu_k}$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha=0}^{p}(-1)^\alpha p!\,\bar{\varPi}_{\lambda_\alpha}{}^\sigma u_{\sigma\lambda_0\cdots\hat{\alpha}\cdots\lambda_p}\,.$$

Hence we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p+1} \Pi_{p+1-k,\,k} \, {}_{\lambda_0\cdots\lambda_p}^{\mu_0\cdots\mu_p} u_{\mu_0\cdots\mu_p} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{p} (-1)^\alpha p!\,(\Pi_{\lambda_\alpha}{}^\rho + \bar\Pi_{\lambda_\alpha}{}^\rho) u_{\rho\lambda_0\cdots\hat\alpha\cdots\lambda_p}$$
$$= (p+1)!\, u_{\lambda_0\cdots\lambda_p}\,,$$

which shows that (1.10) is true for any set of functions $u_{\lambda_0\cdots\lambda_p}$ of degree $p+1$.

From the proof of the above lemma, we see that in an almost complex space

$$(1.11) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{p} {}_pC_k \varepsilon_{\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_p}^{\rho_1\cdots\rho_p} \Pi_{\rho_1}{}^{\mu_1} \cdots \Pi_{\rho_k}{}^{\mu_k} \bar\Pi_{\rho_{k+1}}{}^{\mu_{k+1}} \cdots \bar\Pi_{\rho_p}{}^{\mu_p} u_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_p} = \varepsilon_{\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_p}^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_p} u_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_p}$$

holds for any p-form $u_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_p}$, $1 \le p \le n$.

Now we define the operators $d_1: \mathscr{F}_c^p \to \mathscr{F}_c^{p+1}$ of type $(1,0)$ and $d_2: \mathscr{F}_c^p \to \mathscr{F}_c^{p+1}$ of type $(2,-1)$ in accordance with [2] by

$$(1.12) \qquad\qquad d_1 = \sum_{r+s=p} \Pi_{r+1,\,s}\, d\, \Pi_{r,\,s}\,,$$

$$(1.13) \qquad\qquad d_2 = \sum_{r+s=p} \Pi_{r+2,\,s-1}\, d\, \Pi_{r,\,s}\,.$$

We denote the conjugate operator of d_1 (or d_2) by $\bar d_1$ (or $\bar d_2$).

Lemma 1.2. *In an almost complex space, on $\mathscr{F}_c^p$ we have*

$$(1.14) \qquad\qquad \Pi_{r+3,\,s-2}\, d\, \Pi_{r,\,s} = 0\,,$$

where $r+s=p$.

Proof. For any p-form u, we have

$$(\Pi_{r+3,\,s-1}\, d\, \Pi_{r,\,s}\, u)_{\lambda_0\cdots\lambda_p}$$
$$= \varepsilon_{\lambda_0\cdots\lambda_p}^{\rho_1\cdots\rho_{r+3}\sigma_1\cdots\sigma_{s-2}} \Pi_{\rho_1}{}^{\mu_1} \cdots \Pi_{\rho_{r+3}}{}^{\mu_{r+3}} \bar\Pi_{\sigma_1}{}^{\nu_1} \cdots \bar\Pi_{\sigma_{s-2}}{}^{\nu_{s-2}} \varepsilon_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{r+3}\nu_1\cdots\nu_{s-2}}^{\tau\omega_1\cdots\omega_p}$$
$$\cdot \nabla_\tau (\varepsilon_{\omega_1\cdots\omega_p}^{\rho_1'\cdots\rho_r'\sigma_1'\cdots\sigma_s'} \Pi_{\rho_1'}{}^{\mu_1'} \cdots \Pi_{\rho_r'}{}^{\mu_r'} \bar\Pi_{\sigma_1'}{}^{\nu_1'} \cdots \bar\Pi_{\sigma_s'}{}^{\nu_s'} u_{\mu_1'\cdots\mu_r'\nu_1'\cdots\nu_s'})\,.$$

On the right hand side, there appear such terms of the types as

(a) $\quad \Pi_{\rho_1}{}^{\mu_1} \cdots \bar\Pi_{\sigma_1}{}^{\nu_1} \cdots \varepsilon_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{r+3}\nu_1\cdots\nu_{s-2}}^{\tau\rho_1'\cdots\rho_r'\sigma_1'\cdots\sigma_s'} \Pi_{\rho_1'}{}^{\mu_1'} \cdots \Pi_{\rho_r'}{}^{\mu_r'} \bar\Pi_{\sigma_1'}{}^{\nu_1'}$
$$\cdots \bar\Pi_{\sigma_s'}{}^{\nu_s'} \nabla_\tau u_{\mu_1'\cdots\mu_r'\nu_1'\cdots\nu_s'}\,,$$

(b) $\quad \Pi_{\rho_1}{}^{\mu_1} \cdots \bar\Pi_{\sigma_1}{}^{\nu_1} \cdots \varepsilon_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{r+3}\nu_1\cdots\nu_{s-2}}^{\tau\rho_1'\cdots\rho_r'\sigma_1'\cdots\sigma_s'} \nabla_\tau \Pi_{\rho_1'}{}^{\mu_1'} \cdots \Pi_{\rho_r'}{}^{\mu_r'} \bar\Pi_{\sigma_1'}{}^{\nu_1'}$
$$\cdots \bar\Pi_{\sigma_s'}{}^{\nu_s'} u_{\mu_1'\cdots\mu_r'\nu_1'\cdots\nu_s'}\,,$$

(c) $\quad \Pi_{\rho_1}{}^{\mu_1} \cdots \Pi_{\sigma_1}{}^{\nu_1} \cdots \varepsilon_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{r+3}\nu_1\cdots\nu_{s-2}}^{\tau\rho_1'\cdots\rho_r'\sigma_1'\cdots\sigma_s'} \Pi_{\rho_1'}{}^{\mu_1'} \cdots \Pi_{\rho_r'}{}^{\mu_r'} \nabla_\tau \bar\Pi_{\sigma_1'}{}^{\nu_1'}$
$$\cdots \bar\Pi_{\sigma_s'}{}^{\nu_s'} u_{\mu_1'\cdots\mu_r'\nu_1'\cdots\nu_s'}\,.$$

Thus in (a), at least one of terms of the index pairs $(\sigma_1', \cdots, \sigma_s')$ must coincides

with that of $(\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_{r+3})$, and from the fact that

$$\Pi_\rho{}^\mu \bar{\Pi}_\mu{}^{\nu'} = 0 \; ,$$

we can conclude that all terms in (a) are zero. For the same reason all terms in (b) and (c) are also zero. Hence the lemma is proved.

Taking account of Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2., we see that

$$(1.15) \qquad\qquad d = d_1 + d_2 + \bar{d}_1 + \bar{d}_2$$

holds [2].

Next the definitions of complex analogues of the real operators d and δ in the sense of Kodaira-Spencer [2] are as follows:

$$(1.16) \qquad\qquad \partial = 2d_2 + d_1 - \bar{d}_2 \; ,$$

$$(1.17) \qquad\qquad \mathfrak{D} = -*\partial* \; .$$

On the other hand, C. C. Hsiung defined them by the following operators

$$(1.18) \qquad (\partial u)_{\lambda_0 \cdots \lambda_p} = (1/p!) \sum_{r+s=p} \Pi_{\lambda_0 \cdots \lambda_p}{}^{\rho \mu_1 \cdots \mu_p}{}_{r+1,s} \Pi_\rho{}^\sigma \nabla_\sigma u_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_p} \; ,$$

$$(1.19) \qquad (\mathfrak{D} u)_{\lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_p} = -\sum_{r+s=p} \Pi_{\rho \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_p}{}^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_p}{}_{r,s} \bar{\Pi}_\sigma{}^\rho \nabla^\sigma u_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_p}$$

for a p-form $u = (u_{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_p})$. Later we shall show that the relation

$$(1.20) \qquad\qquad \mathfrak{D} = -*\partial*$$

is valid.

In order to make clear the real and imaginary parts of the operators ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$ and the others, we define the following more operators in an almost Hermitian space M^n for a p-form $u = (u_{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_p})$:

$$(1.21) \qquad (\Gamma u)_{\lambda_0 \cdots \lambda_p} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{p} (-1)^\alpha \varphi_{\lambda_\alpha}{}^\rho \nabla_\rho u_{\lambda_0 \cdots \hat{\alpha} \cdots \lambda_p} \qquad (p \geq 0) \; ,$$

$$(1.22) \qquad (\gamma u)_{\lambda_0 \cdots \lambda_p} = \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} (-1)^\alpha \nabla_{\lambda_\alpha} \varphi_{\lambda_\beta}{}^\rho u_{\lambda_0 \cdots \hat{\alpha} \cdots \overset{\beta}{\lambda} \cdots \lambda_p} \qquad (p \geq 1)$$

from $\mathscr{F}^p$ to $\mathscr{F}^{p+1}$;

$$(1.23) \qquad (Du)_{\lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_p} = \varphi^{\rho \sigma} \nabla_\rho u_{\sigma \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_p} \qquad (p \geq 1) \; ,$$

$$(1.24) \qquad (\vartheta u)_{\lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_p} = \sum_{\alpha=2}^{p} (-1)^\alpha \nabla^\rho \varphi^\sigma{}_{\lambda_\alpha} u_{\rho \sigma \lambda_2 \cdots \hat{\alpha} \cdots \lambda_p} \qquad (p \geq 2)$$

from $\mathscr{F}^p$ to $\mathscr{F}^{p-1}$ with

$$\gamma f = Df = \vartheta f = 0 , \qquad f \in \mathscr{F}^0 ,$$
$$\vartheta u = 0 , \qquad\qquad u \in \mathscr{F}^1$$

for forms of lower degrees; finally

(1.25) $$(\varPhi u)_{\lambda_1 \ldots \lambda_p} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p} \varphi_{\lambda_\alpha}{}^\rho u_{\lambda_1 \ldots \overset{\alpha}{\hat{\rho}} \ldots \lambda_p} \qquad\qquad (p \geq 1) ,$$

(1.26) $$(\varPsi u)_{\lambda_1 \ldots \lambda_p} = \varphi_{\lambda_1}{}^{\rho_1} \cdots \varphi_{\lambda_p}{}^{\rho_p} u_{\rho_1 \ldots \rho_p} \qquad (p \geq 1)$$

from $\mathscr{F}^p$ to $\mathscr{F}^p$.

2.   Lemmas

We study the properties of the operators defined in § 1.

Lemma 2.1.   *In an almost Hermitian space, the operator $\varGamma$ is a skew-derivation and satisfies*

(2.1) $$*\varGamma* = -D .$$

Proof.   The same calculation as in the proof of Lemma 2.2 in [4] shows that $\varGamma$ is a skew-derivation, and that, for any p-form $u = (u_{\lambda_1 \ldots \lambda_p})$,

$$(*\varGamma* u)_{\lambda_2 \ldots \lambda_p} = (-1)^{np+n+1} (Du)_{\lambda_2 \ldots \lambda_p}$$

holds, where n is the dimension of the space. Since n is even, we have (2.1) immediately.

Lemma 2.2.   *In an almost Hermitian space, the operator $\varPhi$ is a derivation and satisfies, for any p-form u_p,*

(2.2) $$*\varPhi* u_p = (-1)^p \varPhi u_p ,$$

(2.3) $$d\varPhi - \varPhi d = -\varGamma + \gamma .$$

Proof.   By a directive calculation with respect to an orthonormal local coordinate system for any p-form $u = (u_{\lambda_1 \ldots \lambda_p})$ we have

$$(*\varPhi* u)_{\lambda_1 \ldots \lambda_p} = (1/(n-p)! \, p!) g^{\mu_1 \rho_1} \cdots g^{\mu_{n-p} \rho_{n-p}} g^{\nu_1 \tau_1} \cdots g^{\nu_p \tau_p} u_{\nu_1 \ldots \nu_p}$$

$$\cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n-p} \varphi_{\mu_i}{}^\sigma \varepsilon_{\tau_1 \ldots \tau_p \mu_1 \ldots \overset{i}{\hat{\sigma}} \ldots \mu_{n-p}} \varepsilon_{\rho_1 \ldots \rho_{n-p} \lambda_1 \ldots \lambda_p}$$

$$= [(-1)^{p(n-p)}/(p!(n-p)!)] \sum_{i=1}^{p} \varepsilon_{\rho_i \lambda_1 \ldots \lambda_p}^{\sigma \nu_1 \ldots \nu_p} u_{\nu_1 \ldots \nu_p} \varphi_\sigma{}^{\rho_i}$$

$$= ((-1)^{p(n-p)}/p!) \sum_{i=1}^{p} \varepsilon_{\lambda_1 \ldots \hat{\rho} \ldots \lambda_p}^{\nu_1 \; \cdots \; \nu_p} \varphi_{\lambda_i}{}^\rho u_{\nu_1 \ldots \nu_p}$$

$$= (-1)^{p(n-p)} (\varPhi u)_{\lambda_1 \ldots \lambda_p}.$$

Since n is even, we have $(-1)^{p(n-p)} = (-1)^p$, and thus (2.2) is proved.

As for (3.3), we get

$$(d\Phi u)_{\lambda_0\cdots\lambda_p} = \nabla_{\lambda_0}\varphi_{\lambda_i}{}^\rho u_{\lambda_1\ldots\overset{i}{\hat{\rho}}\ldots\lambda_p} - \nabla_{\lambda_i}\varphi_{\lambda_0}{}^\rho u_{\lambda_1\ldots\overset{i}{\hat{\rho}}\ldots\lambda_p} - \sum_{i\neq j}\nabla_{\lambda_i}\varphi_{\lambda_j}{}^\rho u_{\lambda_1\ldots\overset{i}{\hat{\lambda}_0}\ldots\overset{j}{\hat{\rho}}\ldots\lambda_p}$$

$$+ \varphi_{\lambda_i}{}^\rho \nabla_{\lambda_0} u_{\lambda_1\ldots\overset{i}{\hat{\rho}}\ldots\lambda_p} - \varphi_{\lambda_0}{}^\rho \nabla_{\lambda_i} u_{\lambda_1\ldots\overset{i}{\hat{\rho}}\ldots\lambda_p} - \sum_{i\neq j}\varphi_{\lambda_j}{}^\rho \nabla_{\lambda_i} u_{\lambda_1\ldots\overset{i}{\hat{\lambda}_0}\ldots\overset{j}{\hat{\rho}}\ldots\lambda_p} ,$$

$$(\Phi du)_{\lambda_0\cdots\lambda_p} = \varphi_{\lambda_0}{}^\rho \nabla_\rho u_{\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_p} - \varphi_{\lambda_j}{}^\rho \nabla_\rho u_{\lambda_1\ldots\overset{j}{\hat{\lambda}_0}\ldots\lambda_p} + \varphi_{\lambda_i}{}^\rho \nabla_{\lambda_0} u_{\lambda_1\ldots\overset{i}{\hat{\rho}}\ldots\lambda_p}$$

$$- \varphi_{\lambda_0}{}^\rho \nabla_{\lambda_i} u_{\lambda_1\ldots\overset{i}{\hat{\rho}}\ldots\lambda_p} - \sum_{i\neq j}\varphi_{\lambda_j}{}^\rho \nabla_{\lambda_i} u_{\lambda_0\ldots\overset{j}{\hat{\rho}}\ldots\overset{i}{\hat{\lambda}_0}\ldots\lambda_p} .$$

Hence it follows that

$$(d\Phi u - \Phi du)_{\lambda_0\cdots\lambda_p} = (\nabla_{\lambda_0}\varphi_{\lambda_i}{}^\rho - \nabla_{\lambda_i}\varphi_{\lambda_0}{}^\rho)u_{\lambda_1\ldots\overset{i}{\hat{\rho}}\ldots\lambda_p} - \sum_\alpha (-1)^\alpha\varphi_{\lambda_\alpha}{}^\rho \nabla_\rho u_{\lambda_0\ldots\hat{\alpha}\ldots\lambda_p}$$

$$+ \sum_{i<j}(-1)^i(\nabla_{\lambda_i}\varphi_{\lambda_j}{}^\rho - \nabla_{\lambda_j}\varphi_{\lambda_i}{}^\rho)u_{\lambda_0\lambda_1\ldots\overset{i}{\hat{}}\ldots\overset{j}{\hat{\rho}}\ldots\lambda_p}$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha<\beta}(-1)^\alpha(\nabla_{\lambda_\alpha}\varphi_{\lambda_\beta}{}^\rho - \nabla_{\lambda_\beta}\varphi_{\lambda_\alpha}{}^\rho)u_{\lambda_0\ldots\hat{\alpha}\ldots\overset{\beta}{\hat{\rho}}\ldots\lambda_p} - \sum_\alpha (-1)^\alpha\varphi_{\lambda_\alpha}{}^\rho \nabla_\rho u_{\lambda_0\ldots\hat{\alpha}\ldots\lambda_p}$$

$$= (\gamma u - \Gamma u)_{\lambda_0\cdots\lambda_p} .$$

Next considering the following relation

$$\sum_{j=1}^p \varepsilon_{\lambda_1\ldots\overset{j}{\hat{\rho}}\ldots\mu_p\mu_{p+1}\cdots\mu_{p+q}}^{\mu_1\cdots\phantom{\hat{}}\cdots\phantom{\hat{}}}\varphi_\rho{}^{\mu_j} + \sum_{j'=p+1}^{p+q} \varepsilon_{\lambda_1\cdots\mu_p\mu_{p+1}\ldots\overset{j'}{\hat{\rho}}\ldots\lambda_{p+q}}^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_p\mu_{p+1}\cdots}\varphi_\rho{}^{\mu_{j'}}$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p+q} \varphi_{\lambda_\alpha}{}^\rho \varepsilon_{\lambda_1\ldots\overset{\alpha}{\hat{\rho}}\ldots\lambda_{p+q}}^{\mu_1\ \cdots\ \mu_{p+q}} ,$$

we have

$$(\Phi u \wedge v)_{\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_{p+q}} + (u \wedge \Phi v)_{\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_{p+q}}$$

$$= (1/(p!q!))\Bigg[\sum_{i=1}^p \varepsilon_{\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_{p+q}}^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{p+q}}\varphi_{\mu_i}{}^\rho u_{\mu_1\ldots\overset{i}{\hat{\rho}}\ldots\mu_p} v_{\mu_{p+1}\cdots\mu_{p+q}}$$

$$+ \sum_{j'=p+1}^{p+q} \varepsilon_{\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_{p+q}}^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{p+q}}\varphi_{\mu_{j'}}{}^\rho u_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_p} v_{\mu_{p+1}\ldots\overset{j'}{\hat{\rho}}\ldots\mu_{p+q}}\Bigg]$$

$$= (1/(p!q!))\sum_{\alpha=1}^{p+q} \varphi_{\lambda_\alpha}{}^\rho \varepsilon_{\lambda_1\ldots\overset{\alpha}{\hat{\rho}}\ldots\lambda_{p+q}}^{\mu_1\ \cdots\ \mu_{p+q}} u_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_p} v_{\mu_{p+1}\cdots\mu_{p+q}} = \Phi(u \wedge v)_{\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_{p+q}} .$$

Thus the operator Φ is a derivation.

Corollary 2.3. *In an almost Hermitian space, the operator γ is a skew-derivation.*

Proof. Since Φ is a derivation, $\Phi d - d\Phi$ is a skew-derivation. By virtue of Lemma 2.1 and equation (2.3), γ is a skew-derivation.

Corollary 2.4. *In an almost Hermitian space, the relation*

(2.4) $$d\Gamma + \Gamma d = d\gamma + \gamma d$$

holds.

Proof. (2.3) implies

$$-d\Phi d = d(-\Gamma + \gamma) , \qquad d\Phi d = (-\Gamma + \gamma)d ,$$

from which follows (2.4) immediately.

Lemma 2.5. *In an almost Hermitian space, we have*

(2.5) $$*\gamma* = -\vartheta - i(\delta\varphi)$$

where $i(\delta\varphi)$ denotes the inner product with respect to a 1-form $\delta\varphi$ ($\varphi = \varphi_{\lambda\mu}$).

Proof. From the definition of γ, we have, for a p-form u,

$$(\gamma u)_{\lambda_0\cdots\lambda_p} = \sum_{\alpha<\beta} (-1)^\alpha A_{\lambda_\alpha\lambda_\beta}{}^\rho u_{\lambda_0\cdots\overset{\alpha}{\cdots}\overset{\beta}{\underset{\rho}{\cdots}}\cdots\lambda_p} ,$$

where we put $A_{\lambda\mu}{}^\rho = \nabla_\lambda\varphi_\mu{}^\rho - \nabla_\mu\varphi_\lambda{}^\rho$. Therefore we have

$$(*\gamma*u)_{\lambda_2\cdots\lambda_p}$$
$$= [g/((n-p+1)!\,p!)] \sum_{1\le i<j\le n-p+1} (-1)^{i-1} A_{\mu_i\mu_j}{}^\sigma g^{\rho_1\mu_1}\cdots g^{\rho_{n-p+1}\mu_{n-p+1}}$$

$$\cdot g^{\sigma_1\nu_1}\cdots g^{\sigma_p\nu_p} u_{\nu_1\cdots\nu_p}\varepsilon_{\sigma_1\cdots\sigma_p\mu_1\cdots\hat{i}\cdots\overset{j}{\hat{\sigma}}\cdots\mu_{n-p+1}}\varepsilon_{\rho_1\cdots\rho_{n-p+1}\lambda_2\cdots\lambda_p}$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^{(p-1)(n-p+1)}}{(n-p+1)(n-p)p!} \sum_{i<j} A^{\rho_i\rho_j}{}_\tau\varepsilon_{\lambda_2\cdots\lambda_p\rho_i\rho_j}^{\nu_1\cdots\nu_p\tau} u_{\nu_1\cdots\nu_p}$$

$$= -\nabla^\tau\varphi^\rho{}_\tau u_{\rho\lambda_2\cdots\lambda_p} - \sum_{\alpha=2}^p (-1)^\alpha \nabla^\rho\varphi^\sigma{}_{\lambda_\alpha} u_{\rho\sigma\lambda_2\cdots\hat{\alpha}\cdots\lambda_p}$$

$$= -(i(\delta\varphi)u - \vartheta u)_{\lambda_2\cdots\lambda_p} .$$

Theorem 2.6. *In an almost Hermitian space, we have the following relations:*

(2.6) $$\partial = (d - \sqrt{-1}\,\Gamma)/2 ,$$

(2.7) $$\mathfrak{D} = (\delta - \sqrt{-1}\,D)/2 .$$

Proof. Using

$$\Pi_\lambda{}^\rho\Pi_\rho{}^\mu = \Pi_\lambda{}^\mu , \quad \Pi_\lambda{}^\rho\bar\Pi_\rho{}^\mu = 0 , \quad \bar\Pi_\lambda{}^\rho\Pi_\rho{}^\mu = 0 , \quad \bar\Pi_\lambda{}^\rho\bar\Pi_\rho{}^\mu = \bar\Pi_\lambda{}^\mu ,$$

we have

$(\partial u)_{\lambda_0 \ldots \lambda_p}$

$$= [1/(p!(r+1)!s!)]\varepsilon_{\lambda_0}^{\mu\mu_1\cdots\mu_r\nu_1\cdots\nu_s}\Pi_\mu{}^\rho\Pi_{\mu_1}{}^{\rho_1}\cdots\Pi_{\mu_r}{}^{\rho_r}\bar\Pi_{\nu_1}{}^{\sigma_1}\cdots\bar\Pi_{\nu_s}{}^{\sigma_s}$$
$$\cdot\varepsilon_{\rho\rho_1\cdots\rho_r\sigma_1\cdots\sigma_s}^{\tau\omega_1\cdots\omega_p}\Pi_\tau{}^\alpha\nabla_\alpha u_{\omega_1\cdots\omega_p}$$

$$= (1/(r!s!))\varepsilon_{\lambda_0\cdots\lambda_p}^{\mu\mu_1\cdots\mu_r\nu_1\cdots\nu_s}\Pi_{\mu_1}{}^{\rho_1}\cdots\Pi_{\mu_r}{}^{\rho_r}\bar\Pi_{\nu_1}{}^{\sigma_1}\cdots\bar\Pi_{\nu_s}{}^{\sigma_s}\Pi_\mu{}^\tau\nabla_\tau u_{\rho_1\cdots\rho_r\sigma_1\cdots\sigma_s}$$

$$= \sum_{r=1}^p (1/(r!s!))\varepsilon_{\lambda_0\cdots\lambda_p}^{\mu\mu_1\cdots\mu_p}\Pi_{\mu_1}{}^{\rho_1}\cdots\Pi_{\mu_r}{}^{\rho_r}\bar\Pi_{\mu_{r+1}}{}^{\rho_{r+1}}\cdots\bar\Pi_{\mu_p}{}^{\rho_p}\Pi_\mu{}^\tau\nabla_\tau u_{\rho_1\cdots\rho_p}$$

$$= (1/p!)\sum_{k=0}^p {}_pC_k\Pi_{\mu_1}{}^{\rho_1}\cdots\Pi_{\mu_k}{}^{\rho_k}\bar\Pi_{\mu_{k+1}}{}^{\rho_{k+1}}\cdots\bar\Pi_{\mu_p}{}^{\rho_p}\varepsilon_{\lambda_0\cdots\lambda_p}^{\mu\mu_1\cdots\mu_p}\Pi_\mu{}^\tau\nabla_\tau u_{\rho_1\cdots\rho_p}\,,$$

which is reduced to, in consequence of (1.11),

$$(\partial u)_{\lambda_0\cdots\lambda_p} = (1/p!)\varepsilon_{\lambda_0\cdots\lambda_p}^{\mu\rho_1\cdots\rho_p}(\Pi_\mu{}^\tau\nabla_\tau u_{\rho_1\cdots\rho_p})$$
$$= (1/2)(1/p!)(\varepsilon_{\lambda_0\cdots\lambda_p}^{\mu\rho_1\cdots\rho_p}\nabla_\mu - \sqrt{-1}\,\varepsilon_{\lambda_0\cdots\lambda_p}^{\rho\rho_1\cdots\rho_p}\varphi_\rho{}^\sigma\nabla_\sigma)u_{\rho_1\cdots\rho_p}\,,$$

giving (2.6). As for (2.7), from the definition we have

$(\mathfrak{D}u)_{\lambda_2\cdots\lambda_p}$

$$= -\sum_{r+s=p}\frac{1}{r!s!}\varepsilon_{\rho\lambda_2\cdots\lambda_p}^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_r\nu_1\cdots\nu_s}\Pi_{\mu_1}{}^{\rho_1}\cdots\Pi_{\mu_r}{}^{\rho_r}\bar\Pi_{\nu_1}{}^{\sigma_1}\cdots\bar\Pi_{\nu_s}{}^{\sigma_s}\bar\Pi_\tau{}^\rho\nabla^\tau u_{\rho_1\cdots\rho_r\sigma_1\cdots\sigma_s}$$

$$= -\sum_{r+s=p}\frac{1}{r!(s-1)!}\varepsilon_{\lambda_2\cdots\lambda_p}^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_r\nu_1\cdots\nu_{s-1}}\Pi_{\mu_1}{}^{\rho_1}\cdots\Pi_{\mu_r}{}^{\rho_r}\bar\Pi_{\nu_1}{}^{\sigma_1}\cdots\bar\Pi_{\nu_{s-1}}{}^{\sigma_{s-1}}\bar\Pi_\tau{}^\rho$$
$$\cdot\nabla^\tau u_{\rho\rho_1\cdots\rho_r\sigma_1\cdots\sigma_{s-1}}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{(p-1)!}\sum_{r=1}^{p-1}\frac{(p-1)!}{r!(p-r-1)!}\varepsilon_{\lambda_2\cdots\lambda_p}^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{p-1}}\Pi_{\mu_1}{}^{\rho_1}\cdots\Pi_{\mu_r}{}^{\rho_r}$$
$$\cdot\bar\Pi_{\mu_{r+1}}{}^{\rho_{r+1}}\cdots\bar\Pi_{\mu_{p-1}}{}^{\rho_{p-1}}\bar\Pi_\tau{}^\rho\nabla^\tau u_{\rho\rho_1\cdots\rho_{p-1}}\,,$$

which becomes, due to (1.11),

$$(\mathfrak{D}u)_{\lambda_2\cdots\lambda_p} = (-1/(p-1)!)\varepsilon_{\lambda_2\cdots\lambda_p}^{\rho_1\cdots\rho_{p-1}}(\bar\Pi_\tau{}^\rho\nabla^\tau u_{\rho\rho_1\cdots\rho_{p-1}})$$
$$= -\bar\Pi_\tau{}^\rho\nabla^\tau u_{\rho\lambda_2\cdots\lambda_p} = (-1/2)(\nabla^\rho + \sqrt{-1}\,\varphi_\tau{}^\rho\nabla^\tau)u_{\rho\lambda_2\cdots\lambda_p}\,,$$

giving (2.7).

Corollary 2.6. *In an almost Hermitian space, we have*

$$(2.8) \qquad\qquad \mathfrak{D} = -*\partial* .$$

Proof. Since the dimension n of the space is even, from (1.3) we have $\delta = -*d*$ for any p-form. Hence (2.8) is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.6 and Lemma 2.1.

Corollary 2.7. *In an almost Hermitian space, we have*

$$(2.9) \qquad\qquad \bar\partial = (d + \sqrt{-1}\,\Gamma)/2 ,$$

$$(2.10) \qquad\qquad \mathfrak{D} = (\delta + \sqrt{-1}\,D)/2 .$$

Next we study the complex operators ∂ and $\mathfrak{D}$. Since ∂ is a skew-derivation, we first show how ∂ is expressed on $\mathscr{F}^0$ and $\mathscr{F}^1$. Let f be a 0-form. Then we have

$$(\partial f)_\lambda = \underset{1,0}{\varPi_\lambda{}^\rho}\nabla_\rho f = (1/2)(df - \sqrt{-1}\,\varGamma f) = (\partial f)_\lambda\ ,$$

and therefore

(2.11) $\partial f = \boldsymbol{\partial} f = (df - \sqrt{-1}\,\varGamma f)/2\ .$

Let u be a 1-form. Then we shall show that the relation

(2.12) $\partial u = (1/2)(du - \sqrt{-1}\,(\varGamma - \gamma)u)$

is satisfied. For this purpose, we need the following formulas:

$$\underset{2,0}{\varPi}w = (1/4)(w - \varPsi w - \sqrt{-1}\,\varPhi w)\ ,$$

(2.13) $\underset{1,1}{\varPi}w = (1/2)(w + \varPsi w)\ ,$

$$\underset{0,2}{\varPi}w = (1/4)(w - \varPsi w + \sqrt{-1}\,\varPhi w)\ ,$$

where w is any 2-form. By decomposing the 1-form u as $u = u_1 + u_2$, where u_1 (resp. u_2) denotes the part of type $(1, 0)$ (resp. type $(0, 1)$), we have

$$d_1 u_1 = \underset{2,0}{\varPi}du_1 = (1/4)(du_1 - \varPsi du_1 - \sqrt{-1}\,(\varPhi du_1))\ ,$$

$$d_2 u_1 = 0\ ,$$

$$\bar{d}_2 u_1 = \underset{0,2}{\varPi}du_1 = (1/4)(du_1 - \varPsi du_1 + \sqrt{-1}\,(\varPhi du_1))\ ,$$

$$d_1 u_2 = \underset{1,1}{\varPi}du_2 = (1/2)(du_2 + \varPsi(du_2))\ ,$$

$$d_2 u_2 = \underset{2,0}{\varPi}du_2 = (1/4)(du_2 - \varPsi du_2 - \sqrt{-1}\,(\varPhi du_2))\ ,$$

$$\bar{d}_2 u_2 = 0\ .$$

and therefore, by virtue of (1.16),

$$\partial u_1 = -\sqrt{-1}\,(\varPhi du_1)/2\ ,$$
$$\partial u_2 = du_2 - \sqrt{-1}\,(\varPhi du_2)/2\ ,$$

which imply

$$\partial u = d\underset{0,1}{\varPi}u - \sqrt{-1}\,(\varPhi du)/2$$
$$= (1/2)(du + \sqrt{-1}\,(d\varPhi u - \varPhi du))\ .$$

Making use of (2.3), we hence arrive at (2.12).

Now we consider an operator

$$\partial' = (1/2)(d - \sqrt{-1}\,(\Gamma - \gamma))$$

from $\mathscr{F}_c{}^p$ to $\mathscr{F}_c{}^{p+1}$. Since γf vanishes for any 0-form f, (2.11) and (2.12) show that ∂ and ∂' coincide on any form of degrees 0 and 1. Owing to Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 2.3, the operator ∂' is a skew-derivation. Therefore ∂ coincides with ∂' on all forms of any degree. By applying the adjoint operator $*$ and using Lemmas 2.1 and 2.5, we obtain

$$-*\partial* = (1/2)(\delta - \sqrt{-1}\,(D - \vartheta - i(\delta\varphi)))\ .$$

Since $\mathfrak{D}$ is defined by $-*\partial*$, we have proved

Theorem 2.8. *In an almost Hermitian space, we have*

(2.14) $$\partial = (1/2)(d - \sqrt{-1}\,(\Gamma - \gamma))\ ,$$

(2.15) $$\mathfrak{D} = (1/2)(\delta - \sqrt{-1}\,(D - \vartheta - i(\delta\varphi))\ .$$

Remark. As is easily seen, ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$ coincide if and only if the operator γ vanishes. This condition is equivalent to

(2.16) $$\nabla_\lambda \varphi_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_\mu \varphi_{\lambda\nu}\ .$$

As the tensor $\nabla_\lambda \varphi_{\mu\nu}$ is skew-symmetric in the indices μ, ν, from (2.16) we can easily see that $\nabla_\lambda \varphi_{\mu\nu}$ vanishes, and therefore that the structure is Kaehlerian. Hence the operator ∂ coincides with $\bar{\partial}$ if and only if the structure is Kaehlerian.

3. Theorems

In [2], the integrability condition of the almost complex structure is defined by $\partial^2 = 0$. Since, from Theorem 2.8,

$$\partial^2 = (1/4)(-(\Gamma - \gamma)^2 + \sqrt{-1}\,(d\Gamma + \Gamma d - d\gamma - \gamma d))\ ,$$

of which the imaginary part vanishes because of Corollary 2.4, we obtain

$$\partial^2 = (-1/4)(\Gamma - \gamma)^2\ ,$$

which is a real operator. Hence we reach

Theorem 3.1. *In an almost Hermitian space, in order that the structure be integrable it is necessary and sufficient that*

$$(\Gamma - \gamma)^2 = 0\ .$$

We shall use the operator Γ to characterize a Kaehlerian structure by showing that an almost Hermitian structure is Kaehlerian if and only if the operator

Γ^2 vanishes. As Γ is a skew-derivation, Γ^2 is a derivation. Therefore if Γ^2 vanishes for all forms of degrees 0 and 1, then it vanishes for all forms of any degree. If we take a 0-form f and a 1-form $u = (u_\lambda)$, then we have

$$(\Gamma^2 f)_{\lambda\mu} = (\varphi_\lambda{}^\rho \nabla_\rho \varphi_\mu{}^\sigma - \varphi_\mu{}^\rho \nabla_\rho \varphi_\lambda{}^\sigma) \nabla_\sigma f \,,$$

$$(\Gamma^2 u)_{\lambda\mu\nu} = \underset{\lambda,\mu,\nu}{\mathfrak{S}} (\varphi_\lambda{}^\rho \nabla_\rho \varphi_\mu{}^\sigma - \varphi_\mu{}^\rho \nabla_\rho \varphi_\lambda{}^\sigma) \nabla_\sigma u_\nu + \underset{\lambda,\mu,\nu}{\mathfrak{S}} (\varphi_\lambda{}^\rho \varphi_\mu{}^\sigma R_{\rho\sigma\nu}{}^\tau) u_\tau \,,$$

where $\underset{\nu,\mu,\nu}{\mathfrak{S}}$ indicates that the terms are summed cyclicly with respect to λ, μ, ν. Thus the condition $\Gamma^2 = 0$ is equivalent to the relations

(3.1) $$\varphi_\lambda{}^\rho \nabla_\rho \varphi_\mu{}^\sigma - \varphi_\mu{}^\rho \nabla_\rho \varphi_\lambda{}^\sigma = 0 \,,$$

(3.2) $$\underset{\lambda,\mu,\nu}{\mathfrak{S}} \varphi_\lambda{}^\rho \varphi_\mu{}^\sigma R_{\rho\sigma\nu}{}^\tau = 0 \,.$$

Theorem 3.2. *In a Kaehlerian space, the operator Γ^2 always vanishes.*

Proof. Since the complex structure $\varphi_\lambda{}^\mu$ is a covariant constant in a Kaehlerian space, (3.1) follows obviously. Moreover we have (see, for instance, [1, (4.13)])

$$\varphi_\lambda{}^\rho R_{\rho\mu\nu}{}^\omega = \varphi_\mu{}^\rho R_{\rho\lambda\nu}{}^\omega \,,$$

and therefore

$$\varphi_\lambda{}^\rho \varphi_\mu{}^\sigma R_{\rho\sigma\nu}{}^\omega = R_{\lambda\mu\nu}{}^\omega \,,$$

which easily gives (3.2).

In order to show the validity of the converse of Theorem 3.2 we need the following lemmas. The almost Hermitian structure is said to be almost semi-Kaehlerian if the fundamental 2-form is co-closed, that is, if the relation $\nabla^\lambda \varphi_{\lambda\mu} = 0$ holds.

Lemma 3.3. *If $\Gamma^2 = 0$ in an almost Hermitian space, then the structure is almost semi-Kaehlerian.*

Proof. Transvecting (3.1) with $\varphi_\tau{}^\lambda$, we have

$$\nabla_\tau \varphi_\mu{}^\sigma + \varphi_\tau{}^\lambda \varphi_\mu{}^\rho \nabla_\rho \varphi_\lambda{}^\sigma = 0 \,.$$

Contracting τ and σ and noting $\varphi^{\lambda\sigma} \nabla_\rho \varphi_{\lambda\sigma} = 0$, we thus prove our lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *If $\Gamma^2 = 0$ in an almost Hermitian space, then we have*

(3.3) $$\underset{\lambda,\mu,\nu}{\mathfrak{S}} \varphi_\lambda{}^\rho R_{\mu\nu\rho}{}^\omega = 0 \,,$$

(3.4) $$(1/2)\varphi^{\rho\sigma} R_{\rho\sigma\lambda}{}^\mu + \varphi_\lambda{}^\rho R_\rho{}^\mu = 0 \,,$$

(3.5) $$\varphi_\lambda{}^\rho R_{\rho\mu} + \varphi_\mu{}^\rho R_{\rho\lambda} = 0 \,.$$

Proof. From (3.2), we get

$$(3.6) \qquad \varphi_\lambda{}^\rho \varphi_\mu{}^\sigma \varphi_\nu{}^\tau R_{\rho\sigma\tau}{}^\omega = \varphi_\lambda{}^\rho R_{\nu\rho\mu}{}^\omega - \varphi_\mu{}^\rho R_{\nu\rho\lambda}{}^\omega \ .$$

Taking the sum of the terms of (3.6) cyclicly with respect to the indices λ, μ, ν, we have

$$0 = \underset{\lambda,\mu,\nu}{\mathfrak{S}} \, \varphi_\lambda{}^\rho \varphi_\mu{}^\sigma \varphi_\nu{}^\tau R_{\rho\sigma\tau}{}^\omega = \underset{\lambda,\mu,\nu}{\mathfrak{S}} \, \varphi_\lambda{}^\rho R_{\mu\nu\rho}{}^\omega \ ,$$

giving (3.3). Contraction of λ and ω in (3.3) yields

$$(3.7) \qquad \varphi^{\lambda\rho} R_{\lambda\rho\mu\nu} + \varphi_\mu{}^\rho R_{\rho\nu} - \varphi_\nu{}^\rho R_{\rho\mu} = 0 \ .$$

On the other hand, from (3.6) we get

$$-\varphi_\nu{}^\rho \varphi_\lambda{}^\sigma \varphi_\mu{}^\tau R_{\rho\sigma\tau}{}^\omega = \varphi_\lambda{}^\rho R_{\nu\rho\mu}{}^\omega - \varphi_\nu{}^\rho R_{\lambda\rho\mu}{}^\omega - \varphi_\mu{}^\rho R_{\nu\lambda\rho}{}^\omega \ ,$$

which can easily be reduced to (3.4) by contracting with $g^{\lambda\mu}$. Finally, the relation (3.5) follows readily from (3.7) and (3.4).

Lemma 3.5. *If $\Gamma^2 = 0$ in an almost Hermitian space, then*

$$(3.8) \qquad \nabla^\lambda \varphi^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\nu \varphi_{\lambda\omega} = 0 \ .$$

Proof. Since the structure is co-closed by Lemma 3.3, differentiating (3.1) by ∇_λ, we have

$$\varphi^{\lambda\rho} \nabla_\lambda \nabla_\rho \varphi_\mu{}^\sigma = \nabla^\lambda \varphi_\mu{}^\rho \nabla_\rho \varphi_\lambda{}^\sigma + \varphi_\mu{}^\rho (R_{\lambda\rho\varepsilon}{}^\lambda \varphi^{\varepsilon\sigma} + R_{\lambda\rho\varepsilon}{}^\sigma \varphi^{\lambda\varepsilon}) \ .$$

Owing to (3.4) and (3.5) the left hand side becomes

$$(1/2)\varphi^{\lambda\rho}(\nabla_\lambda \nabla_\rho \varphi_\mu{}^\sigma - \nabla_\rho \nabla_\lambda \varphi_\mu{}^\sigma) = (-1/2)\varphi^{\lambda\rho} R_{\lambda\rho\mu}{}^\varepsilon \varphi_\varepsilon{}^\sigma + (1/2)\varphi^{\lambda\rho} R_{\lambda\rho\varepsilon}{}^\sigma \varphi_\mu{}^\varepsilon$$
$$= -R^\sigma{}_\mu + R^\sigma{}_\mu = 0 \ .$$

In the same way, the second and third terms on the right hand side are reduced to $-R^\sigma{}_\mu$ and $R_\mu{}^\sigma$, respectively and thus we have (3.8).

Theorem 3.6. *In an almost Hermitian space, if the operator Γ^2 vanishes everywhere, then the space is Kaehlerian.*

Proof. At first we show that

$$(3.9) \qquad \varphi^{\mu\nu} \nabla^\rho \nabla_\rho \varphi_{\mu\nu} = 0 \ .$$

In fact, by virtue of (3.1) we have

$$\nabla_\lambda \varphi_\mu{}^\sigma = \varphi_\mu{}^\rho \varphi_\tau{}^\rho \nabla_\rho \varphi_\lambda{}^\tau \ ,$$

which and (3.8), (3.5) imply

$$\nabla^\lambda \nabla_\lambda \varphi_\mu{}^\sigma = \varphi_\mu{}^\rho \nabla^\lambda \varphi_\tau{}^\rho \nabla_\rho \varphi_\lambda{}^\tau \ .$$

contracting with $\varphi^\mu{}_\sigma$ and applying Lemma 3.5 we hence obtain (3.9).

From (3.9) follows immediately

$$\nabla^\lambda\varphi^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\lambda\varphi_{\mu\nu} = (1/2)\nabla^\lambda\nabla_\lambda(\varphi^{\mu\nu}\varphi_{\mu\nu}) - \varphi^{\mu\nu}\nabla^\lambda\nabla_\lambda\varphi_{\mu\nu} = 0\,,$$

which means $\nabla_\lambda\varphi_{\mu\nu} = 0$, showing the structure to be Kaehlerian.

Now we define the complex Laplace-Beltrami operators $\square$ and $\blacksquare$ by

$$\square = \mathfrak{D}\bar\partial + \bar\partial\mathfrak{D}\,,$$

$$\blacksquare = \mathfrak{D}\bar\partial + \bar\partial\mathfrak{D}\,.$$

Then using Theorems 2.6 and 2.8, we can calculate the real and imaginary parts of the operators $\square$ and $\blacksquare$. First, for the operator $\square$ we have

Theorem 3.8. *If the operator $\square$ in an almost Hermitian space is real on all forms of degrees 0 and 1, then the space is Kaehlerian* (cf. [1]).

Proof. From Theorem 2.6 and Corollary 2.7 it follows that

$$4\square = \Delta + (D\Gamma + \Gamma D) + \sqrt{-1}\,(\delta\Gamma + \Gamma\delta - dD - Dd)\,.$$

Therefore by the assumption we have, on $\mathscr{F}^0$ and $\mathscr{F}^1$,

(3.10) $\delta\Gamma + \Gamma\delta - dD - Dd = 0\,,$

which implies, for a 0-form f, $\delta\Gamma f - Ddf = \delta\Gamma f = 0$, since $\delta f = Df = 0$. Thus $\nabla^\rho\varphi_\rho{}^\sigma\nabla_\sigma f = 0$ for all 0-form f, due to $\nabla_\rho\nabla_\sigma f = \nabla_\sigma\nabla_\rho f$. By choosing orthogonal geodesic local coordinates $x^1, \cdots, x^n$ at a point P and putting $f = x^\sigma$, we can easily see that $\nabla^\rho\varphi_\rho{}^\sigma = 0$ at every point P, which means that the space is almost semi-Kaehlerian. Application of (3.10) to a 1-form $u = (u_\lambda)$ then gives

$$-\nabla^\rho\varphi_\rho{}^\sigma\nabla_\sigma u_\lambda + (\nabla^\sigma\varphi_\lambda{}^\rho + \nabla_\lambda\varphi^{\sigma\rho})\nabla_\rho u_\sigma + (\tfrac{1}{2}\varphi^{\rho\sigma}R_{\rho\sigma\lambda}{}^\tau + \varphi_\lambda{}^\rho R_\rho{}^\tau)u_\tau = 0\,,$$

where the first term is zero. Now to the above equation we first apply $u = \delta^\lambda_\mu dx^\mu$ for a fixed λ, for which $(\nabla_\rho u_\sigma)_p = 0$, and then apply $u = x^\rho dx^\mu$ for any fixed distinct ρ and μ, for which $(\nabla_\sigma u_\tau)_p = \delta^\rho_\sigma\delta^\mu_\tau$.

(3.11) $\nabla_\lambda\varphi_\mu{}^\sigma + \nabla_\mu\varphi_\lambda{}^\sigma = 0\,,$

(3.12) $(1/2)\varphi^{\rho\sigma}R_{\rho\sigma\lambda\mu} + \varphi_\lambda{}^\rho R_{\rho\mu} = 0\,.$

(3.11) shows that the space is almost Tachibana. From Kotô's theorem (see K. Yano [5, p. 180, Theorem 2.5]) if (3.12) is satisfied in an almost Tachibana space, then the space is Kaehlerian. Thus Theorem 3.8 is proved.

Next we consider the operator $\blacksquare$. From Theorem 2.8, we have

$$4\blacksquare = \Delta + (\Gamma - \gamma)(D - \vartheta - i(\delta\varphi)) + (D - \vartheta - i(\delta\varphi))(\Gamma - \gamma)$$

(3.13) $- \sqrt{-1}\,[(D - \vartheta - i(\delta\varphi))d + d(D - \vartheta - i(\delta\varphi))$

$$- \delta(\Gamma - \gamma) - (\Gamma - \gamma)\delta]\,.$$

Theorem 3.9.   *If the complex Laplace-Beltrami operator $\square$ for an almost Hermitian structure is real on all forms of degree* 0, *then the structure is almost semi-Kaehler.*

Proof.   For any 0-form f, since $\delta f = Df = 0$ and $\vartheta f = \gamma f = 0$, by our assumption from (3.13) follows immediately

$$(D - \vartheta - i(\delta\varphi))df - \delta\Gamma f = 0 \; ,$$

which further reduces to, because of $Ddf = 0$ and $\vartheta df = 0$,

$$i(\delta\varphi)df - \delta\Gamma f = 0 \; ,$$

implying that $\nabla^\rho\varphi_\rho{}^\sigma\nabla_\sigma f = 0$ for any 0-form f. Thus $\nabla^\rho\varphi_\rho{}^\sigma = 0$, and the theorem is proved.

Theorem 3.10.   *If the operator $\square$ for an almost Hermitian structure is real on all forms of degrees* 0 *and* 1, *then the structure is Kaehlerian.*

Proof.   By definition, for a 1-form u we have $\vartheta u = 0$, and

$$(\vartheta du)_\lambda = \nabla^\rho\varphi^\sigma{}_\lambda\nabla_\rho u_\sigma - \nabla^\rho\varphi^\sigma{}_\lambda\nabla_\sigma u_\rho \; ,$$

$$(\delta\gamma u)_\lambda = \nabla^\rho\nabla_\lambda\varphi_\rho{}^\sigma u_\sigma - \nabla^\rho\nabla_\rho\varphi_\lambda{}^\sigma u_\sigma + \nabla_\lambda\varphi_\rho{}^\sigma\nabla^\rho u_\sigma - \nabla_\rho\varphi_\lambda{}^\sigma\nabla^\rho u_\sigma \; .$$

As the structure is almost semi-Kaehlerian due to Theorem 3.9, from the proof of Theorem 3.8 we obtain

$$2(\nabla_\lambda\varphi^{\rho\sigma} - \nabla^\sigma\varphi_\lambda{}^\rho)\nabla_\rho u_\sigma + (-\nabla^\rho\nabla_\rho\varphi_\lambda{}^\tau - \varphi_\lambda{}^\rho R_\rho{}^\tau + R_\lambda{}^\rho\varphi_\rho{}^\tau)u_\tau = 0 \; ,$$

which implies

$$\nabla_\lambda\varphi_\mu{}^\rho + \nabla_\mu\varphi_\lambda{}^\rho = 0 \; , \qquad \nabla^\rho\nabla_\rho\varphi_\lambda{}^\tau + \varphi_\lambda{}^\rho R_\rho{}^\tau - R_\lambda{}^\rho\varphi_\rho{}^\tau = 0 \; ,$$

by an argument similar to that in the proof of Theorem 3.9. Contracting with $\varphi^\lambda{}_\tau$ in the last equation gives (3.9) and therefore $\nabla_\lambda\varphi_{\mu\nu} = 0$ in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 3.6. Hence Theorem 3.10 is proved.

Bibliography

[1]   C. C. Hsiung, *Structures and operators on almost-Hermitian manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **122** (1966) 136–152.

[2]   K. Kodaira & D. C. Spencer, *On the variation of almost-complex structure*, A Sympos. in Honor of S. Lefschetz, Princeton University Press, Princeton 1957, 139–150.

[3]   A. Lichnerowicz, *Théorie globale des connexions et des groupes d'holonomie*, Cremonese, Rome, 1955.

[4]   Y. Ogawa, *On C-harmonic forms in a compact Sasakian space*, Tôhoku Math. J. **19** (1967) 267–296.

[5]   K. Yano, *Differential geometry on complex and almost complex spaces*, Pergamon, New York, 1965.

OCHANOMIZU UNIVERSITY, TOKYO