

AN EXTRINSIC RIGIDITY THEOREM FOR MINIMAL IMMERSIONS FROM S^2 INTO S^n

JOÃO LUCAS MARQUES BARBOSA

1. Introduction

Let $x: X^2 \rightarrow S^n(1)$ be a generalized minimal immersion, where $S^n(1)$ is the unit sphere of the Euclidean space R^{n+1} , and S^2 is the 2-sphere, which will always be considered as having the induced metric. Let $T_k(x)$ be the real osculating space of order k of x . Define the k -normal space $N_k(x)$ associated to x , by taking at each point the orthogonal complement of $T_k(x)$ in the corresponding tangent space of $S^n(1)$. It was shown by Calabi [2] that if W is the subspace of R^{n+1} spanned by $x(S^2)$, then

$$\dim(W) = 2m + 1, \dim T_{k+1} - \dim T_k = 2 \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq m.$$

This can also be found in Chern [3] and Barbosa [1]. Thus, for $1 \leq k \leq m$, N_k is a map from S^2 into $\Lambda^{n-2k}(R^{n+1})$. We denote by (\cdot, \cdot) the standard inner product in R^{n+1} . This naturally extends to $\Lambda^s(R^{n+1})$. We keep the same notation. The following is the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem. *Let $x: S^2 \rightarrow S^n$ be a generalized minimal immersion. Let m be the integer such that $2m + 1$ is the dimension of the subspace of R^{n+1} spanned by $x(S^2)$. If, for an integer k , $1 \leq k \leq n/2$, there exists a constant decomposable vector $A \in \Lambda^{n-2k}(R^{n+1})$ such that $(A, N_k) > 0$, then $k \geq m$. In particular, if $(A, N_1) > 0$ for $A \in \Lambda^{n-2}(R^{n+1})$, then x is the totally geodesic immersion of S^2 into S^n . This theorem answers, for the particular case of S^2 , a question posed by S. S. Chern in his Kansas notes [4]. Related to this is the following De Giorgi-Simons-Reilly's result [5], [8], [7]:*

Let $x: M^n \rightarrow S^{n+p}(1)$ be an isometric minimal immersion of an n -dimensional compact oriented Riemannian manifold into the unit sphere of R^{n+p+1} , and let $N: M \rightarrow G(p, n + p + 1)$ be the normal map. If there exists a constant decomposable unit p -vector A , such that

$$(N, A) > \sqrt{(2p - 2)/(3p - 2)},$$

then x is totally geodesic.

Recently, K. Kenmotsu [6] improved this result for the case $n = 2$ and

$p > 2$ by assuming only that

$$(N, A) > \sqrt{1/2} .$$

We should point out that our method differs from those of O'Reilly and Kenmotsu.

Blaine Lawson pointed out that the result established in this paper has the following nice corollary.

Corollary. *Let U be an open set of R^3 and $f: U \rightarrow R^{n-3}$ be a Lipschitz function whose graph is a weak solution to the minimal surface system. Then f is real analytic and so defines a classical minimal surface.*

We would like to thank Blaine Lawson for having suggested the question we solved in this paper. Recently, Yau [10] proved a particular version of our main theorem, for the case $k = 1$ and $n = 4$.

2. Preliminaries

Let M be an oriented compact differentiable surface, and $x: M \rightarrow S^n(1)$ a differentiable map into the unit n -sphere of the Euclidean $(n + 1)$ -space. The induced metric on M , together with its orientation, defines a covering of M by isothermal coordinates. Relative to a local isothermal parameter z , the metric on M takes the particular form

$$(2.1) \quad ds^2 = 2F|dz|^2,$$

and the area form can then be represented by

$$(2.2) \quad \omega = iFdz \wedge d\bar{z}.$$

When x is an immersion, F is an everywhere positive valued (real analytic) function. Throughout this paper, we will be working with maps that are (minimal) immersions at all but finite many points of M . These will be called generalized (minimal) immersions. In local terms, this means only that we consider F as having at most finitely many zeros.

All higher order derivatives of x with respect to z and \bar{z} will be considered as functions with values in C^{n+1} . The complex osculating space of order m at a point p of M is the pull back of the subspace of C^{n+1} spanned by all the mixed derivatives $\partial^{j+k}x/\partial^jz\partial^k\bar{z}$ with $0 \leq j + k \leq m$.

In C^{n+1} , the symmetrical product of two vectors $a = (a_0, \dots, a_n)$ and $b = (b_0, \dots, b_n)$ is defined by

$$(a, b) = a_0b_0 + \dots + a_nb_n,$$

and the Hermitian product of a and b is then defined by

$$(a, \bar{b}).$$

If we set $\partial = \partial/\partial z$ and $\bar{\partial} = \partial/\partial \bar{z}$, we have that

(a) z is an isothermal parameter for the metric on M if and only if

$$(2.3) \quad (\partial x, \partial x) = 0;$$

(b) the function F (obtained in the expression of the induced metric in M) is given by

$$(2.4) \quad F = (\partial x, \bar{\partial} x);$$

(c) the Laplacian operator for the induced metric on M is given by

$$(2.5) \quad \Delta = \frac{2}{F} \partial \bar{\partial};$$

(d) the Gauss curvature of M is

$$(2.6) \quad K = -\frac{1}{2} \Delta F = -\frac{1}{F} \partial \bar{\partial} \log F.$$

It is known that x is a minimal immersion into S^n if and only if x satisfies the equation

$$\Delta x = \lambda x.$$

(See for example [4, p. 31]). According with our notation, this means

$$(2.7) \quad \partial \bar{\partial} x = -F x.$$

(See [2], for details). This equation enables us to write any mixed derivative of x , with respect to z and \bar{z} , of order $\leq k$ in terms of the complex vectors (of C^{n+1})

$$x, \partial x, \dots, \partial^k x, \bar{\partial} x, \bar{\partial}^2 x, \dots, \bar{\partial}^k x.$$

Consequently, the complex osculating space of order k at a point p of M is spanned only by these $2k + 1$ vectors evaluated at p .

Let us now consider the case where $M = S^2$. Using (2.7), the previous observation, and the topology of S^2 , one can prove that

$$(2.8) \quad (\partial^j x, \partial^k x) = 0 \text{ for } j + k > 0,$$

where our notation was extended by identifying x with $\partial^0 x$. (See Calabi [2] or Barbosa [1]). Geometrically, (2.8) means that the subspace $V(p)$ of C^{n+1} spanned by the vectors $\partial x, \partial^2 x, \partial^3 x, \dots$ at a point p of S^2 is totally isotropic (i.e., perpendicular to its own conjugate) and perpendicular to $x(p)$. Furthermore, if $m = \dim V(p)$, then $V(p)$ is spanned by the vectors $\partial x, \partial^2 x, \dots, \partial^m x$. The following theorem due to Calabi can then be easily obtained:

(2.9) Theorem. *Let $x: S^2 \rightarrow S^n$ be a generalized minimal immersion and W be the subspace of R^{n+1} spanned by $x(S^2)$. Then $\dim W = 2m + 1$.*

This theorem can be extended (see [1]) to generalized minimal immersions of compact surfaces M , provided (2.8) is included as an additional hypothesis.

3. An expression for the normal map in terms of the derictrix curve

Let $x: S^2 \rightarrow S^{2m}$ be a minimal immersion. Consider S^2 covered by isothermal coordinates as before, and assume that $x(S^2)$ is not contained in any lower dimensional subspace of R^{2m+1} . Construct, in a coordinate neighborhood, the following local vector valued functions:

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_0 &= x, \\
 G_1 &= \bar{\partial}x, \\
 G_2 &= \bar{\partial}^2x - a_2^1 G_1, \\
 &\dots \dots \dots \\
 G_k &= \bar{\partial}^k x - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} a_k^j G_j, \\
 &\dots \dots \dots
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.1}$$

where a_k^j are chosen in such a way that

$$(G_k, \bar{G}_j) = 0.
 \tag{3.2}$$

Thus we conclude that $G_{m+k} = 0$ for any k , $\{G_1, \dots, G_m\}$ is an orthonormal basis for V , and $(G_k, G_j) = 0$ if $j + k > 0$. Furthermore, the direction of each G_k (where $G_k \neq 0$) is invariant under change of coordinates. We can then use the G_k 's to define functions into the complex projective space CP^{2m} . Those are well defined wherever $G_k \neq 0$. The following lemma, which gives a new proof for [1, 3.12], shows that one can extend them to S^2 .

(3.3) Lemma. *For $0 \leq k \leq m$, each local function G_k is C^∞ and has only isolated zeroes. Furthermore, if z_0 is one such zero, then there exists a positive integer r such that $H_k = (\bar{z} - \bar{z}_0)^{-r} G_k$ is C^∞ and nonzero in a neighborhood of z_0 .*

Proof. The proof will be done by induction on k . The lemma is true for $k = 0$. Assume it is true for $j < k$. Then from the definition of G_k it follows that G_k is C^∞ , $(H_i, H_j) = 0$ for all $i, j < k$ and $(H_i, \bar{H}_j) = 0$ for $i < j < k$. Therefore $H_0, H_1, \bar{H}_1, \dots, H_{k-1}, \bar{H}_{k-1}$ are independent in a neighborhood of z_0 . Let e_{2k+2}, \dots, e_{2m} be sections of $x^*T(S^{2m})$ which are independent and orthogonal to $H_0, H_1, \bar{H}_1, \dots, H_{k-1}, \bar{H}_{k-1}$. Then $G_k = \sum a_i e_i \pmod{H}$'s where the a_i 's are C^∞ . Now

$$\partial e_i = \sum b_{ij} e_j \pmod{H}'s,$$

where the b 's are C^∞ and

$$\partial G_k = 0 \pmod{H}'s,$$

since $\partial G_k = -|G_k|^2 G_{k-1} / |G_{k-1}|^2$. Thus

$$\partial a_i = \sum b_{ij} a_j,$$

which is an elliptic linear system of equations. We claim that either a solution of such system is identically zero, or at an isolated zero z_0 there exists an r such that $(\bar{z} - \bar{z}_0)^{-r}a_i$ are C^∞ and not all zero. This proves the induction hypothesis for k , modulo the claim. It is obviously equivalent to proving the claim for a system of the following type:

$$(3.4) \quad \bar{\partial}W = A(z) \cdot W,$$

where $A(z)$ is a C^∞ $n \times n$ matrix function and W is a column vector in C^n . In [3, p. 32] Chern shows that there is an r such that if W is a solution of (3.4) then either W is identically zero or $(z - z_0)^{-r}W$ is continuous and nonzero. Let $\tilde{W} = (z - z_0)^{-r}W$. Then \tilde{W} satisfies (3.4) as well except at z_0 , and it is easily checked that \tilde{W} is a distribution solution of (3.4) in a neighborhood of z_0 , and thus by elliptic regularity W is C^∞ .

It follows now from Lemma (3.3) and [1, Lemma (3.7)] that the function G_m can be extended to a function

$$\xi: S^2 \rightarrow CP^{2m}$$

which is holomorphic. Such a function is called the directrix curve of the minimal immersion x . For $0 \leq k \leq m$, its k th derivative is given by

$$\xi^k = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} A_{m-j}^k G_{m-j} + (-1)^k \frac{1}{|G_{m-k}|^2} G_{m-k},$$

where the coefficients A_j^i are functions of z and \bar{z} . From this expression it follows that ξ is totally isotropic, i.e.,

$$(\xi, \xi) = (\xi', \xi') = \dots = (\xi^{m-1}, \xi^{m-1}) = 0.$$

(3.5) Proposition. For $0 < x < m$, let $T_k(x)$ be the real osculating space of order k of x . Then $N_k(x) = T_k(x)^\perp$ can be locally represented in homogeneous coordinates by $\alpha_k \psi_k / |\psi_k|$, when $\alpha_k = \sqrt{(-1)^{m-k}}$, and

$$\psi_k = \xi \wedge \xi^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \xi^{m-k-1} \wedge \bar{\xi} \wedge \bar{\xi}^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\xi}^{m-k-1}.$$

Proof. First let us observe that $\{\xi, \xi^1, \dots, \xi^{s-1}\}$ and $\{G_m, G_{m-1}, \dots, G_{m-s+1}\}$ span the same subspace of C^{2m+1} . Hence ψ_k represents a complex $2(m-k)$ -plane whose orthogonal complement is spanned by $\{G_k, G_{k-1}, \dots, G_1, G_0, \bar{G}_1, \dots, \bar{G}_{k-1}, \bar{G}_k\}$. But the latter is the same as the complex k -osculating space of x , which is nothing more than the complexification of $T_k(x)$. Since α_k is adjusted so that $\alpha_k \psi_k$ is a real vector, $\alpha_k \psi_k / |\psi_k|$ is a unitary real vector field which represents $N_k(x)$ in homogeneous coordinates.

In the next proposition we will prove that N_k defines a global map from S^2

into $S^{n(k)}$, and that in S^2 with the induced metric, the parameters we are using will still be isothermic.

(3.6) Proposition. *The function $N_k = \alpha_k \psi_k / |\psi_k|$ is independent of the particular local coordinates used, and so it defines a global map from S^2 into $S^{n(k)}$, $n(k) = \binom{2m+1}{2m-2k} - 1$. Furthermore, $(\partial N_k, \bar{\partial} N_k) = 0$ for any local parameter z .*

Proof. If z and w are two local isothermal coordinates in S^2 , then

$$\xi^s(w) = \xi^s(z) \left(\frac{dz}{dw} \right)^s + \text{terms in } \xi^j(z) \text{ with } j < s.$$

Thus

$$\psi_k(w) = \psi_k(z) \left| \frac{dz}{dw} \right|^{(1+2+\dots+(m-z-1))}$$

Because $\psi_k(w)$ and $\psi_k(z)$ differ only by a real factor, we have

$$\frac{\psi_k(w)}{|\psi_k(w)|} = \frac{\psi_k(z)}{|\psi_k(z)|}.$$

We also have that $\psi_k / |\psi_k|$ is invariant under change of local representation of ξ . In fact, if $\zeta = \lambda \xi$ is another local representation, then $\psi_\zeta = |\lambda|^{2(m-k)} \psi_\xi$. Consequently $\psi_\zeta / |\psi_\zeta| = \psi_\xi / |\psi_\xi|$. One should notice that ψ_k may have some isolated zeros. But, even at these points, $\psi_k / |\psi_k|$ is well defined. Indeed, if $\psi_k(z_0) = 0$, then $\xi \wedge \xi^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \xi^{m-k-1}$ has a zero of a certain order r at z_0 . We may then factorize ψ_k as

$$\psi_k(z) = |z - z_0|^{2r} \varphi_k(z), \text{ with } \varphi_k(z_0) \neq 0.$$

consequently, the functions $\alpha_k \psi_k(z) / |\psi_k(z)|$ are local expressions for a global function N_k from S^2 into $S^{n(k)}$ where $n(k) = \binom{2m-1}{2m-2k} - 1$.

All that remains to be done to complete the proof of the proposition is to show that $(\partial N_k, \bar{\partial} N_k) = 0$. In fact, we can prove the following more general fact.

(3.7) Lemma. For each $r > 0$ we have

$$(\partial^r N_k, \bar{\partial}^r N_k) = 0.$$

Proof. Observe that

$$(\partial^r N_k, \bar{\partial}^r N_k) = (-1)^{m-k} \sum_{i,j=0}^r \binom{r}{i} \binom{r}{j} \partial^{r-i} \left(\frac{1}{|\psi_k|} \right) \bar{\partial}^{r-j} \left(\frac{1}{|\psi_k|} \right) (\partial^i \psi_k, \bar{\partial}^j \psi_k),$$

and, if we set $T = \xi \wedge \xi^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \xi^{m-k-1}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial^i \psi_k, \bar{\partial}^j \psi_k) &= (-1)^{m-k} (\partial^i T, \bar{T}) (\partial^j T, \bar{T}) \\ (3.8) \qquad &= (-1)^{m-k} \partial^i |T|^2 \bar{\partial}^j |T|^2 = (-1)^{m-k} \partial^i |\psi_k| \bar{\partial}^j |\psi_k|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(\partial^r N_k, \partial^r N_k) = \left(\sum_{i=0}^r \binom{r}{i} \partial^{r-i} \left(\frac{1}{|\psi_k|} \right) \partial^i |\psi_k| \right)^2 = \left(\partial^r \left(\frac{|\psi_k|}{|\psi_k|} \right) \right)^2.$$

Therefore

$$(\partial^r N_k, \partial^r N_k) = 0.$$

(3.9) Corollary. *The complex subspace of $C^{n(k)+1}$ spanned by $\partial N_k, \partial^2 N_k, \dots, \partial^j N_k, \dots$ at any fixed point of S^2 is totally isotropic and perpendicular to N .*

Proof. To prove this corollary, we have to show that, for each $r + s > 0$,

$$(g, {}^r N_k, \partial^s N_k) = 0.$$

But this can be easily proven using induction on $r + s$. (It helps to make a matrix of products $(\partial^r N_k, \partial^s N_k)$, and indicate the ones we are assuming to be zero in each step.) The geometrical consequence of this lemma is that if V is the space generated by the derivatives $\partial N_k, \partial^2 N_k, \dots$ then V is perpendicular to its own conjugate and also perpendicular to N_k .

We have in mind to compute the mean curvature of $N_k: S^2 \rightarrow S^{n(k)}$. To do this, we first set up some machinery. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k &= \xi \wedge \dots \wedge \xi^{m-k-1} \wedge \bar{\xi} \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\xi}^{m-k-1}, \\ (3.10) \quad \partial \psi_k &= \xi \wedge \dots \wedge \xi^{m-k-2} \wedge \xi^{m-k} \wedge \bar{\xi} \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\xi}^{m-k-1}, \\ \bar{\partial} \psi_k &= \xi \wedge \dots \wedge \xi^{m-k-1} \wedge \bar{\xi} \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\xi}^{m-k-2} \wedge \bar{\xi}^{m-k}, \end{aligned}$$

by setting $T = \xi \wedge \dots \wedge \xi^{m-k-1}$, we have the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} (3.11) \quad (T, T) &= 0, \\ (\psi_k, \psi_k) &= (-1)^{m-k} |T|^4, \\ (\psi_k, \bar{\psi}_k) &= |\psi_k|^2 = |T|^4, \\ (\psi_k, \partial^j \psi_k) &= (-1)^{m-k} |T|^2 \partial^j |T|^2, \\ (\psi_k, \bar{\partial}^j \psi_k) &= (-1)^{m-k} |T|^2 \bar{\partial}^j |T|^2, \\ (\partial \psi_k, \partial^j \psi_k) &= (-1)^{m-k} \partial |T|^2 \partial^j |T|^2, \\ (\bar{\partial} \psi_k, \partial^j \psi_k) &= (-1)^{m-k} |T|^2 \bar{\partial} \partial^j |T|^2, \\ (\partial \bar{\partial} \psi_k, \partial^j \psi_k) &= (-1)^{m-k} \partial |T|^2 \bar{\partial} \partial^j |T|^2, \\ (\partial \bar{\partial} \psi_k, \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^j \psi_k) &= (-1)^{m-k} \bar{\partial} \partial |T|^2 \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^j |T|^2, \quad k > 0. \end{aligned}$$

The next proposition gives a criterion for the regularity of the map $N_k: S^2 \rightarrow S^{n(k)}$.

(3.12) Proposition. *Let ξ_{m-k-1} be the holomorphic $(m-k-1)$ -associated curve to ξ . Then*

$$(\partial N_k, \bar{\partial} N_k) = \frac{|\xi_{m-k-1} \wedge \xi'_{m-k-1}|^2}{|\xi_{m-k-1}|^4}.$$

Proof. Since $N_k = \alpha_k \psi_k |\psi_k|^{-1}$ when $\alpha_k = \sqrt{(-1)^{m-k}}$, we have that

$$(3.13) \quad \partial N_k = \alpha_k \{ \psi_k \partial |\psi_k|^{-1} + |\psi_k|^{-1} \partial \psi_k \}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial N_k, \bar{\partial} N_k) &= (-1)^{m-k} \{ \partial |\psi_k|^{-1} \bar{\partial} |\psi_k|^{-1} (\psi_k, \psi_k) + |\psi_k|^{-1} \partial |\psi_k|^{-1} (\psi_k, \bar{\partial} \psi_k) \\ &\quad + |\psi_k|^{-1} \bar{\partial} |\psi_k|^{-1} (\psi_k, \partial \psi_k) + |\psi_k|^{-1} (\partial \psi_k, \bar{\partial} \psi_k) \}. \end{aligned}$$

By applying this identity to the formulas obtained in (3.11) we see that

$$(3.14) \quad (\partial N_k, \bar{\partial} N_k) = |T|^{-4} \{ |T|^2 |\partial T|^2 - |(\partial T, \bar{T})|^2 \}.$$

Using the definition of T , we obtain the desired result.

The consequence of this proposition is that N_k and ξ_{m-k-1} are isometric, and therefore N_k will be regular in all points where ξ_{m-k-1} is. Hence N_k will be regular in all but finitely many points.

(3.16) Lemma. $(\partial \bar{\partial} N_k, \partial^j \psi_k) = |\psi_k|^{-1} \partial^j |\psi_k| (\partial \bar{\partial} N_k, \psi_k)$, $J > 0$.

Proof. Computing $\bar{\partial}$ of (3.13), we obtain

$$(3.17) \quad \partial \bar{\partial} N_k = \alpha_k \{ \psi_k \partial \bar{\partial} |\psi_k|^{-1} + \bar{\partial} |\psi_k|^{-1} \partial \psi_k + \partial |\psi_k|^{-1} \bar{\partial} \psi_k + |\psi_k|^{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi_k \}.$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial \bar{\partial} N_k, \partial^j \psi_k) &= \alpha_k \{ \partial \bar{\partial} |\psi_k|^{-1} (\psi_k, \partial^j \psi_k) + \bar{\partial} |\psi_k|^{-1} (\partial \psi_k, \partial^j \psi_k) \\ &\quad + \partial |\psi_k|^{-1} (\bar{\partial} \psi_k, \partial^j \psi_k) + |\psi_k|^{-1} (\partial \bar{\partial} \psi_k, \partial^j \psi_k) \}. \end{aligned}$$

The substitution of (3.11) in this expression yields

$$(\partial \bar{\partial} N_k, \partial^j \psi_k) = \alpha_k^3 T^{-4} (-|T|^2 |\partial T|^2 + |(\partial T, \bar{T})|^2) \partial^j |\psi_k|.$$

Using (3.14) and the fact that $(N_k, N_k) = 1$, we obtain

$$(3.18) \quad (\partial \bar{\partial} N_k, \partial^j \psi_k) = \alpha_k^3 (\partial \bar{\partial} N_k, N_k) \partial^j |\psi_k|.$$

But this is the desired result if we replace N_k by its local expression $\alpha_k \psi_k |\psi_k|^{-1}$.

(3.19) Proposition. The Laplacian of N_k is perpendicular to the subspace of $C^{n(k)+1}$ spanned by $\partial N_k, \partial^2 N_k, \dots$, and forms a fixed angle of $\pi/4$ with N_k for $k \geq 1$.

Proof. Since

$$(3.20) \quad \partial^s N_k = \alpha_k \sum_{j=0}^s \binom{s}{j} \partial^{s-j} |\psi_k|^{-1} \partial^j \psi_k,$$

we have

$$(\partial \bar{\partial} N_k, \partial^s N_k) = \alpha_k \sum_{j=0}^s \binom{s}{j} \partial^{s-j} |\psi_k|^{-1} (\partial \bar{\partial} N_k, \partial^j \psi_k).$$

Using the previous lemma we obtain, for $s > 0$,

$$(\partial \bar{\partial} N_k, \partial^s N_k) = (\partial \bar{\partial} N_k, N_k) \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^s \binom{s}{j} \partial^{s-j} |\psi_k|^{-1} \partial^j |\psi_k| \right\}.$$

The expression inside the braces is just $\partial^s(1)$ and therefore zero. Since $\Delta = (2/F_k) \partial \bar{\partial}$, where $F_k = (\partial N_k, \bar{\partial} N_k)$, we conclude that $(\Delta N_k, \partial^s N_k) = 0$ for each $s > 0$.

The second part of the proposition follows from the next lemma.

(3.21) Lemma. $(\partial \bar{\partial} N_k, \partial \bar{\partial} N_k) = 2(\partial \bar{\partial} N_k, N_k)^2, k > 0.$

Proof. From (3.17) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial \bar{\partial} N_k, \partial \bar{\partial} N_k) &= \alpha_k \{ \partial \bar{\partial} |\psi_k|^{-1} (\partial \bar{\partial} N_k, \psi_k) + \partial |\psi_k|^{-1} (\partial \bar{\partial} N_k, \bar{\partial} \psi_k) \\ &\quad + \bar{\partial} |\psi_k|^{-1} (\partial \bar{\partial} N_k, \partial \psi_k) + |\psi_k|^{-1} (\partial \bar{\partial} N_k, \partial \bar{\partial} \psi_k) \}, \end{aligned}$$

which can be simplified, in consequence of (3.6), to

$$(3.22) \quad (\partial \bar{\partial} N_k, \partial \bar{\partial} N_k) = -|\psi_k|^{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} |\psi_k| (\partial \bar{\partial} N_k, N_k) + \alpha_k |\psi_k|^{-1} (\partial \bar{\partial} N_k, \partial \bar{\partial} \psi_k).$$

In order to compute the value of $(\partial \bar{\partial} N_k, \partial \bar{\partial} \psi_k)$, we use (3.17) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial \bar{\partial} N_k, \partial \bar{\partial} \psi_k) &= \alpha_k \{ \partial \bar{\partial} |\psi_k|^{-1} (\psi_k, \partial \bar{\partial} \psi_k) + \partial |\psi_k|^{-1} (\bar{\partial} \psi_k, \partial \bar{\partial} \psi_k) \\ &\quad + \bar{\partial} |\psi_k|^{-1} (\partial \psi_k, \partial \bar{\partial} \psi_k) + |\psi_k|^{-1} (\partial \bar{\partial} \psi_k, \partial \bar{\partial} \psi_k) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.16) we may simplify this to

$$(3.23) \quad \begin{aligned} (\partial \bar{\partial} N_k, \partial \bar{\partial} \psi_k) &= \alpha_k^3 \{ -3|\psi_k|^{-2} \partial |\psi_k| \bar{\partial} |\psi_k| \partial \bar{\partial} |\psi_k| \\ &\quad + 2|\psi_k|^{-3} (\partial |\psi_k|)^2 (\bar{\partial} |\psi_k|)^2 + |\psi_k|^{-1} (\partial \bar{\partial} |\psi_k|)^2 \}. \end{aligned}$$

Now substitution of (3.23) and (3.14) in (3.22) yields, after simplification,

$$(\partial \bar{\partial} N_k, \partial \bar{\partial} N_k) = 2|\psi_k|^{-4} (|\psi_k| \partial \bar{\partial} |\psi_k| - \partial |\psi_k| \bar{\partial} |\psi_k|)^2 = 2(\partial \bar{\partial} \log |\psi_k|)^2.$$

Since $|\psi_k| = |T|^2$, using (3.14) we obtain

$$(\partial \bar{\partial} N_k, \partial \bar{\partial} N_k) = 2(\partial N_k, \bar{\partial} N_k)^2 = 2(\partial \bar{\partial} N_k, N_k)^2. \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

The following proposition due to Kenmotsu [6] is now obtained as a consequence of the previous proposition.

(3.24) Proposition. *Let $x: S^2 \rightarrow S^{2m}$ be a minimal immersion. If there exist a fixed vector $A \in S^{n(k)}(1)$ such that $(N_k, A) > \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}$, then x is totally geodesic.*

Proof. If $(N_k, A) > \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}$, then the angle between A and N is less than $\pi/4$, and so is the angle between ΔN_k and A from Proposition (3.19). Hence $(\Delta N_k, A) > 0$, and so (N_k, A) is a subharmonic function globally defined on S^2 and is therefore constant. To show that N_k itself is constant just notice that the same reasoning can be carried out for all points A' in a neighborhood of A on $S^{n(k)}$. N_k is constant, x is a totally geodesic immersion.

(3.25) Proposition. *For each $k > 0$, $N_k: S^2 \rightarrow S^{n(k)}$ has mean curvature with constant length.*

Proof. Propositions (3.6) and (3.12) show that the metric induced on S^2 by N_k is given by

$$ds_k^2 = 2 F_k |dz|^2,$$

where $F_k = (\partial N_k, \bar{\partial} N_k) = \partial \bar{\partial} \log |\xi_{m-k-1}|^2$, so that its mean curvature in $R^{n(k)+1}$ is given by

$$\tilde{H}_k = \frac{2}{F_k} \partial \bar{\partial} N_k.$$

Therefore by (3.21), $|\tilde{H}_k|^2 = 8$, and the mean curvature of N_k in $S^{n(k)}$ is

$$H_k = \frac{2}{F_k} \partial \bar{\partial} N_k - \left(\frac{2}{F_k} \partial \bar{\partial} N_k, N_k \right) N_k,$$

whose length is 2.

4. The main theorem

Let $x: S^2 \rightarrow S^n(1)$ be a generalized minimal immersion, and W be the subspace of R^{n+1} spanned by $x(S^2)$. From (2.9) we know that W has dimension $2m + 1$, and so x can be considered as a minimal immersion of S^2 into $S^{2m} = W \cap S^n$.

Let $N_k(x)$ and $N'_k(x)$ be the k -normal maps associated with x when it's image is considered in S^n and $S^{2m} \subset W$ respectively.

(4.1) Lemma. *If there exists a decomposable vector A belonging to $\Lambda^{n-2k}(R^{n+1})$ such that $(A, N_k) > 0$, then there also exists a decomposable vector $A' \in \Lambda^{2m-2k}(W)$ such that $(A', N'_k) > 0$.*

Proof. Choose an orthonormal basis a_1, \dots, a_{n-2k} for A . Let d be such that $a_1, \dots, a_d \in W$ and $a_{d+1}, \dots, a_{n-2k} \in W^\perp$, where W^\perp stands for

the orthogonal complement of W in R^{n+1} . We then have

$$(4.2) \quad A = a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_{n-2k}, \quad 2m - 2k \leq d \leq 2m + 1.$$

Let x, e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n be an orthonormal frame field for S^2 around the point x chosen in such a way that

$$e_1, \dots, e_{n-2k} \in N_k(x),$$

and $e_{2m-2k+1}, \dots, e_{n-2k}$ are constant vectors belonging to W^\perp . We then have $N_k = e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n-k}$ and, by hypothesis,

$$(4.3) \quad \det((e_i, a_j)) = (N_k, A) > 0 \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n - 2k).$$

Under these choices, the maximal possible value for the rank of the above matrix is $(n - 2k) - (d - 2m + 2k)$. From (4.3) this rank must be $n - 2k$. Therefore $d = 2m - 2k$ and

$$(e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{2m-2k}, a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_{2m-2k}) \neq 0.$$

By changing the sign of some a_j , if necessary, we may assume this product to be positive, and if

$$A' = a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_{2m-2k},$$

we have

$$(4.4) \quad (N'_k, A') > 0.$$

(4.5) Theorem. *Let $x: S^2 \rightarrow S^n$ be a generalized minimal immersion, and m the integer such that $2m + 1$ is the dimension of the subspace W of R^{n+1} spanned by $x(S^2)$. If for an integer $k, 1 \leq k \leq n/2$, there exists a constant decomposable vector $A \in \wedge^{n-2k}(R^{n+1})$ such that $(A, N_k) > 0$, then $k \geq m$. In particular, if $(A, N_1) > 0$ for $A \in \wedge^{n-2}(R^{n+1})$, then x is the totally geodesic immersion of S^2 into S^n .*

Proof. We will show that for each $k, 1 \leq k < m$, and any $A \in \wedge^{n-2k}(R^{n+1})$, the function (N_k, A) has zeros. By the previous lemma it is enough to prove this for the case $W = R^{n+1}$, that is, when $n = 2m$ and $X(S^2)$ is not contained in any lower dimensional subspace of R^{2m+1} . Under such hypothesis we are in a position to apply the results obtained in the previous chapter. The proof will depend on the following lemma.

(4.6) Lemma. *The function $\log(N_k, A)$ is superharmonic whenever (N_k, A) is nonzero.*

Let us postpone the proof of the lemma and proceed with the proof of the theorem. If (N_k, A) is positive over all of S^2 , then the function $\log(N_k, A)$ is globally defined, superharmonic in S^2 , and therefore constant. Hence (N_k, A) is also constant. We wish to conclude that N_k itself is constant. To this end we start by observing that either $N_k = A$ or $(N_k, A) = c$ with $0 < c < 1$. In

the last case there is a neighborhood ν of A such that, for any B belonging to ν we have $(B, N_k) > 0$. Since $A \in G(2m - 2k, 2m + 1)$, $u = \nu \cap G(2m - 2k, 2m + 1)$ is a neighborhood of A in $G(2m - 2k, 2m + 1)$. We may always choose $n(k) + 1$ linearly independent vectors $A^1, \dots, A^{n(k)}$ of $R^{n(k)+1}$ belonging to u . Such choices are possible because $G(2m - 2k, 2m + 1)$ is real analytic and does not lie in any lower dimensional subspace of $R^{n(k)+1}$. For each one of the A^j , we can repeat the previous argument and conclude that (N_k, A^j) is constant. Therefore N_k is constant.

Now if N_k is constant, it follows that $F_k = 0$, and, by (3.7), ξ^{m-k} must be a linear combination of ξ, \dots, ξ^{m-k-1} . Thus the subspace generated by ξ, \dots, ξ^{m-1} in C^{2m+1} has at most dimension $m - k$. Hence the subspace spanned by G_1, \dots, G_m has also dimension less than or equal to $m - k$. But this is a contradiction, since the dimension of this subspace is m and $k \geq 1$.

Proof (of Lemma 4.6). Let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2m-2n}$ be a basis for A . We may form the complex vectors $b_{j-1} = 1/\sqrt{2} (a_j + ia_{j+m-k})$, $1 \leq j \leq m - k$. Now $b_0, \dots, b_{m-k-1}, \bar{b}_0, \dots, \bar{b}_{m-k-1}$ is a basis for the complex subspace B of C^{2m+1} generated by A . Then A can be represented by

$$B = b_0 \wedge \dots \wedge b_{m-k-1} \wedge \bar{b}_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{b}_{m-k-1} = \alpha_k A,$$

and, locally, $(N_k, A) = |\psi_k|^{-1}(\psi_k, B)$. Hence

$$(4.7) \quad \partial\bar{\partial} \log(N_k, A) = -\partial\bar{\partial} \log|\psi_k| + \partial\bar{\partial} \log(\psi_k, B).$$

Since $\partial\bar{\partial} \log|\psi_k| = |\xi_{m-k-1} \wedge \bar{\xi}_{m-k-1}|^2 / |\xi_{m-k-1}|^4$, we can reduce the proof of the lemma to showing that $\partial\bar{\partial} \log(\psi_k, B) \leq 0$. We have that

$$(4.8) \quad \partial\bar{\partial} \log(\psi_k, B) = \frac{1}{(\psi, B)^2} \{ (\psi_k, B)(\partial\bar{\partial}\psi_k, B) - (\partial\psi_k, B)(\bar{\partial}\psi_k, B) \},$$

where

$$(4.9) \quad (\psi_k, B) = (\xi \wedge \bar{\xi} \wedge \xi^1 \wedge \bar{\xi}^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \xi^{m-k-1} \wedge \bar{\xi}^{m-k-1}, b_0 \wedge \bar{b}_0 \wedge \dots \wedge b_{m-k-1} \wedge \bar{b}_{m-k-1}).$$

Let $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{2m-2k+1}$ be vectors in C^{2m-2k} defined by

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} v_{2j} &= ((\xi^j, b_0), (\xi^j, \bar{b}_0), \dots, (\xi^j, b_{m-k-1}), (\xi^j, \bar{b}_{m-k-1})), \\ v_{2j+1} &= ((\bar{\xi}^j, b_0), (\bar{\xi}^j, \bar{b}_0), \dots, (\bar{\xi}^j, b_{m-k-1}), (\bar{\xi}^j, \bar{b}_{m-k-1})). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\psi_k, B)(\partial\bar{\partial}\psi_k, B) - (\partial\psi_k, B)(\bar{\partial}\psi_k, B) \\
 &= (v_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{2m-2k-1}, v_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{2m-2k-3} \wedge v_{2m-2k} \wedge v_{2m-2k+1}) \\
 (4.11) \quad & - (v_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{2m-2k-3} \wedge v_{2m-2k} \wedge v_{2m-2k-1}, \\
 & v_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{2m-2k-3} \wedge v_{2m-2k-2} \wedge v_{2m-2k+1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Sylvester's theorem for determinants (see [9, p. 78]) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \partial\bar{\partial} \log(\psi_k, B) \\
 (4.12) \quad &= \frac{(-1)}{(\psi_k, B)^2} (v_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{2m-2k-3} \wedge v_{2m-2k-1} \wedge v_{2m-2k+1}, \\
 & v_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{2m-2k-3} \wedge v_{2m-2k} \wedge v_{2m-2k-2}).
 \end{aligned}$$

To simplify this expression, we consider the linear map $J: C^{2m-2k} \rightarrow C^{2m-2k}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 & J(z_0, w_0, z_1, w_1, \dots, z_{m-k-1}, w_{m-k-1}) \\
 &= (w_0, z_0, w_1, z_1, \dots, w_{m-k-1}, z_{m-k-1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

We have $Jv_{2j} = \bar{v}_{2j+1}$ and $Jv_{2j+1} = \bar{v}_{2j}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 & v_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{2m-2k-3} \wedge v_{2m-2k-1} \wedge v_{2m-2k+1} \\
 (4.13) \quad &= (-1)^{m-k-1} \overline{J(v_0)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \overline{J(v_{2m-2k-3})} \\
 & \wedge \overline{J(v_{2m-2k-2})} \wedge \overline{J(v_{2m-2k})} \\
 &= (-1)^{m-k-1} (\det J) \bar{v}_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{v}_{2m-2k-3} \wedge \bar{v}_{2m-2k-2} \wedge \bar{v}_{2m-2k}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\det J = (-1)^{m-k}$, (4.12) and (4.14) give

$$\partial\bar{\partial} \log(\psi_k, B) = \frac{(-1)}{(\psi_k, B)^2} |v_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{2m-2k-2} \wedge v_{2m-2k}|^2.$$

Therefore $\partial\bar{\partial} \log(\psi_k, B) \leq 0$, and the proof of the lemma is complete.

References

- [1] J. L. M. Barbosa, *On minimal immersions of S^2 into S^{2m}* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **210** (1975) 75–106.
- [2] E. Calabi, *Minimal immersions of surfaces in Euclidean spheres*, J. Differential Geometry **1** (1967) 111–125.
- [3] S. S. Chern, *On the minimal immersions of the two sphere in a space of constant curvature*, Problems in Analysis, Princeton, 1970, 27–40.

- [4] _____, *Minimal submanifolds in a Riemannian manifold*, Technical Report 19, University of Kansas, Lawrence, 1968.
- [5] E. de Giorgi, *Una estensione del teorema di Bernstein*, Ann. Scuola Norm. sup. Pisa **19** (1965) 79–85.
- [6] K. Kenmotsu, *On compact surfaces with non-negative Gaussian curvature in a space of constant curvature. II*, Tôhoku Math. J. **27** (1975) 291–301.
- [7] R. Reilly, *Extrinsic rigidity theorems for compact submanifolds of the sphere*, J. Differential Geometry **4** (1970) 487–497.
- [8] J. Simons, *Minimal varieties in Riemannian manifolds*, Ann. of Math. **88** (1968), 62–105.
- [9] H. H. Wu, *the equidistribution theory of holomorphic curves*, Annals of Math. Studies, No. 64, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1970.
- [10] S. T. Yau, *Submanifolds with constant mean curvature. II*, Amer. J. Math. **97** (1975) 77–100.

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO CEARÁ, BRASIL