# **ISOMETRY TO SPHERES OF RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS ADMITTING A CONFORMAL TRANSFORMATION GROUP**

KRISHNA AMUR & S. S. PUJAR

#### 1. Introduction

Let M be an orientable smooth Riemannian manifold of dimension n with Riemannian metric  $g_{ij}$ . Let  $K_{hijk}$ ,  $K_{ij}$  and K denote the Riemann curvature tensor, the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature of M respectively. Let X be an infinitesimal conformal transformation of M so that

(1.1) 
$$(L_x g)_{ij} = 2\rho g_{ij},$$

where  $\rho$  is a function on M, and  $L_x$  denotes the Lie derivative with respect to X. Recently Yano and Hiramatu [3], [4] have obtained conditions for Mto be isometric to a sphere without assuming any condition on the scalar curvature function. The purpose of the present paper is to extend the study of the above authors. Among the four lemmas which we shall prove, two (Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2) relate to some of the main results of [3] and [4]. Also Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 in this paper generalize some of the results of [3] and [4].

The tensor fields G, Z [2] and W [1] required in our study are given by

$$(1.2) G_{ij} = K_{ij} - \frac{K}{n} g_{ij},$$

(1.3) 
$$Z_{hijk} = K_{hijk} - \frac{K}{n(n-1)} (g_{hk} g_{ij} - g_{hj} g_{ik}) ,$$

(1.4) 
$$W_{hijk} = aZ_{hijk} + b_1g_{hk}G_{ij} - b_2g_{hj}G_{ik} + b_3g_{ij}G_{hk} - b_4g_{ik}G_{hj} + b_5g_{hi}G_{jk} - b_6g_{jk}G_{hi},$$

where  $a, b_1, \dots, b_6$  are constants, and W was first introduced by Hsiung.

As usual  $\nabla$  denotes covariant differentiation on M. We denote  $\nabla_i \rho$  by  $\rho_i$  and  $g^{ij} \nabla_j \rho$  by  $\rho^i$ .  $D\rho$  denotes the vector field on M associated with the differential 1-form  $d\rho$ . The Laplace-Beltrami operator on M is given by  $\Delta = g^{ij} \nabla_i \nabla_j$ .

Received June 17, 1975. The first author was supported partially by Department of Atomic Energy Project No. BRNS/Maths/11/74, and the second author by C.S.I.R. JRF No. 7/101(105)/74-GAU. I.

For the sake of easy reference we list some known formulas (for details see [1] and [2]):

(1.5) 
$$L_X K = -2(n-1)\Delta \rho - 2K\rho$$
,

(1.6)  $[X, D\rho]K = L_{\mathcal{X}}L_{D\rho}K - L_{D\rho}L_{\mathcal{X}}K,$ 

(1.7)  $L_{\mathcal{X}}(W_{hijk}W^{hijk}) = -4\rho W_{hijk}W^{hijk} - 2cG^{ij}\nabla_i \rho_j,$ 

where  $c \ge 0$  is given by

(1.8) 
$$\frac{\frac{c-4a^2}{n-2}}{(n-2)^2} = 2a \sum_{i=1}^4 b_i + \left[\sum_{i=1}^6 (-1)^{i-1} b_i\right]^2 + (n-1) \sum_{i=1}^6 b_i^2 - 2(b_1b_3 + b_2b_4 - b_5b_6) .$$

We prove the following lemmas and theorems.

**Lemma 1.1.** Let M be a compact orientable smooth Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n \ge 2$  admitting an infinitesimal conformal transformation X satisfying (1.1). Then

(1.9) 
$$\int_{M} \rho K L_{X} K dV = (n-1) \int_{M} L_{D\rho} L_{X} K dV - \frac{1}{2} \int_{M} (L_{X} K)^{2} dV .$$

**Lemma 1.2** (Yano and Hiramatu [4]). For a manifold M having the same properties as in Lemma 1.1 we have

(1.10)  
$$\int_{M} K \rho^{i} \rho_{i} dV$$
$$= \frac{1}{4n(n-1)} \int_{M} [4(n-1)[X, D\rho]K + 2(n-1)(n+2)L_{D\rho}L_{X}K + 4nK^{2}\rho^{2} - n(L_{X}K)^{2}]dV.$$

**Lemma 1.3.** For a manifold M having the same properties as in Lemma 1.1 we have

(1.11)  
$$\int_{M} \left[ K_{ij}\rho^{i}\rho^{j} - \frac{1}{4n(n-1)} (2K\rho + L_{X}K)^{2} \right] dV$$
$$= \frac{2}{c} \int_{M} \rho^{2} W_{hijk} W^{hijk} dV - \frac{1}{2nc} \int_{M} L_{X} L_{X} (W_{hijk} W^{hijk}) dV$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{M} \left[ K\rho_{i}\rho^{i} - \frac{1}{2n(n-1)} \{ 2nK^{2}\rho^{2} + (n+2)K\rho L_{X}K + (L_{X}K)^{2} \} \right] dV,$$

248

where c is given by (1.8) and is assumed to be positive.

**Lemma 1.4.** For a manifold M having the same properties as in Lemma 1.1 we have

(1.12) 
$$\int_{M} \left[ K_{ij}\rho^{i}\rho^{j} - \frac{1}{4n(n-1)} (2K\rho + L_{X}K)^{2} \right] dV$$
$$= \frac{2}{c} \int_{M} \rho^{2} W_{hijk} W^{hijk} dV - \frac{1}{2nc} \int_{M} L_{X} L_{X} (W_{hijk} W^{hijk}) dV$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2n} \int_{M} [X, D\rho] K dV ,$$

where c is given by (1.8) and is assumed to be positive.

**Theorem 1.1.** If a compact orientable smooth Riemannian manifold M of dimension n > 2 admits an infinitesimal nonhomothetic conformal transformation X satisfying (1.1) such that

(1.13)  
$$\int_{M} L_{X} L_{X} (W_{hijk} W^{hijk}) dV - nc \int_{M} \left[ K \rho_{i} \rho^{i} - \frac{1}{2n(n-1)} \{ 2nK^{2} \rho^{2} + (n+2)K \rho L_{X} K + (L_{X} K)^{2} \} \right] dV \leq 0$$

where c > 0, then M is isometric to a sphere.

**Theorem 1.2.** For a manifold M having the same properties as in Lemma 1.1 with c > 0 we have

(1.14) 
$$\int_{M} [L_{X} L_{X} (W_{hijk} W^{hijk}) - c[X, D\rho] K] dV \ge 0 \qquad (c \ge 0) ,$$

where the equality holds if and only if M is isometric to a sphere.

**Remark.** Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are equivalent and generalize [3, Proposition 12] and [4, Proposition 3] respectively.

We need the following known lemmas and theorem.

**Lemma A** (Yano and Sawaki [5]). If a compact orientable smooth Riemannian manifold M of dimension n admits an infinitesimal conformal transformation X satisfying (1.1), then for any smooth function f on M we have

$$\int_{M} \rho f dV = -\frac{1}{n} \int_{M} L_{X} f dV \; .$$

**Lemma B** (Yano and Hiramatu [4]). For a manifold M having the same properties as in Lemma A we have

(1.15) 
$$-n\int_{\mathcal{M}}\rho\rho^{i}\nabla_{i}KdV = \frac{n}{2}\int_{\mathcal{M}}\rho^{2}\Delta KdV = \int_{\mathcal{M}}L_{X}L_{D\rho}KdV ,$$

(1.16) 
$$-\int_{\mathcal{M}} (\Delta \rho) L_X K dV = \int_{\mathcal{M}} L_{D\rho} L_X K dV .$$

**Lemma C** (Yano and Hiramatu [4]). For a manifold M having the same properties as in Lemma A we have

(1.17) 
$$-\int_{M} (\Delta \rho)^{2} dV = \int_{M} \rho^{i} \nabla_{i} (\Delta \rho) dV .$$

**Theorem A** (Yano and Hiramatu [3]). If a compact orientable Riemannian manifold M of dimension n > 2 admits an infinitesimal nonhomothetic conformal transformation X satisfying (1.1), then

(1.18) 
$$\int_{M} K_{ij} \rho^{i} \rho^{j} dV \leq \frac{1}{4n(n-1)} \int_{M} (2K\rho + L_{X}K)^{2} dV ,$$

equality holding if and only if M is isometric to a sphere.

## 2. Proofs of lemmas and theorems

Proof of Lemma 1.1. Multiplying (1.5) by  $L_X K$ , integrating over M and using (1.16) we obtain (1.9).

Proof of Lemma 1.2. Using (1.5) and (1.6) we have

$$[X, D\rho]K = L_X L_{D\rho}K + 2(n-1)\rho^i \nabla_i (\Delta \rho) + 2\rho \rho^i \nabla_i K + 2K\rho_i \rho^i .$$

Integrating over M and using (1.15) and (1.17) we get

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} K\rho_i \rho^i dV = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{M}} [X, D\rho] K dV - \frac{n-2}{2n} \int_{\mathcal{M}} L_{\mathcal{X}} L_{D\rho} K dV + (n-1) \int_{\mathcal{M}} (\Delta \rho)^2 dV ,$$

which in view of (1.5) and (1.6) takes the form

(2.1)  
$$\int_{M} K\rho_{i}\rho^{i}dV = \frac{1}{n} \int_{M} [X, D\rho]KdV - \frac{n-2}{2n} \int_{M} L_{D\rho}L_{X}KdV + \frac{1}{4(n-1)} \int_{M} (2K\rho + L_{X}K)^{2}dV.$$

Now by Lemma 1.1 we have

250

(2.2) 
$$\int_{M} (2K\rho + L_{X}K)^{2} dV = \int_{M} [4K^{2}\rho^{2} + 4(n-1)L_{D\rho}L_{X}K - (L_{X}K)^{2}] dV.$$

Substituting (2.2) in (2.1) we obtain (1.10).

Proof of Lemma 1.3. From (1.7) it follows that

(2.3) 
$$K_{ij}\nabla^i\rho^j = -\frac{2}{c}\rho W_{kjih}W^{kjih} - \frac{1}{2c}L_X(W_{kjih}W^{kjih}) + \frac{K}{n}\Delta\rho,$$

On the other hand, using  $\nabla^{j}K_{ji} = \frac{1}{2}\nabla_{i}K$  we have

(2.4) 
$$\nabla^{j}(K_{ij}\rho\rho^{i}) = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_{i}K)\rho\rho^{i} + K_{ij}\rho^{i}\rho^{j} + \rho K_{ij}\nabla^{i}\rho^{j}.$$

Also

(2.5) 
$$\nabla_i (K\rho\rho^i) = (\nabla_i K)\rho\rho^i + K\rho_i\rho^i + K\rho\Delta\rho .$$

Eliminating  $K_{ij} \nabla^i \rho^j$  and  $(\nabla_i K) \rho \rho^i$  from (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5), integrating over M and using (1.5) and Lemma A we obtain

(2.6)  

$$\int_{M} K_{ij}\rho^{i}\rho^{j}dV = \frac{2}{c} \int_{M} \rho^{2} W_{kjih} W^{kjih} dV$$

$$- \frac{1}{2nc} \int_{M} L_{X} L_{X} (W_{kjih} W^{kjih}) dV + \frac{1}{2} \int_{M} K \rho_{i} \rho^{i} dV$$

$$- \frac{n-2}{4n(n-1)} \int_{M} K \rho(2K\rho + L_{X}K) dV.$$

Subtracting  $\frac{1}{4n(n-1)} \int_{M} (2K\rho + L_x K)^2 dV$  from both sides of (2.6) we obtain (1.11).

Proof of Lemma 1.4. Eliminating  $\int_{M} K \rho_i \rho^i dV$  from (1.10) and (1.11) and using (1.9) we obtain (1.12).

*Proof of Theorem* 1.1. Assumption (1.13) of the theorem and Lemma 1.3 lead to the inequality

$$\int_{M} \left[ K_{ij} \rho^{i} \rho^{j} - \frac{1}{4n(n-1)} (2K\rho + L_{X}K)^{2} \right] dV \geq 0 ,$$

which by Theorem A implies that M is isometric to a sphere.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. From (1.12) we have

(2.7) 
$$\frac{2}{c} \int_{M} \rho^{2} W_{hijk} W^{hijk} dV + \int_{M} \left[ \frac{1}{4n(n-1)} (2K\rho + L_{X}K)^{2} - K_{ij}\rho^{i}\rho^{j} \right] dV$$
$$= \frac{1}{2nc} \left[ \int_{M} \left\{ L_{X}L_{X}(W_{hijk}W^{hijk}) - c[X, D\rho]K \right\} dV \right].$$

### Theorem 1.2 follows from (2.7), Theorem A and the assumption that c > 0.

#### References

- C. C. Hsiung & L. W. Stern, Conformality and isometry of Riemannian manifolds to spheres, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 163 (1972) 65-73.
- [2] K. Yano, Integral formulas in Riemannian geometry, Marcel Dekkar, New York, 1970.
- [3] K. Yano & H. Hiramatu, Riemannian manifolds admitting an infinitesimal conformal transformation. J. Differential Geometry 10 (1975) 23-38.
- [4] —, Isometry of Riemannian manifolds to spheres, to appear in J. Differential Geometry.
- [5] K. Yano & S. Sawaki, On Riemannian manifolds admitting a conformal transformation group. J. Differential Geometry 2 (1968) 161–184.

KARNATAK UNIVERSITY, DHARWAR, INDIA