

PROLONGATION OF CONNECTIONS TO BUNDLES OF INFINITELY NEAR POINTS

AKIHIKO MORIMOTO

Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to generalize the results of [5] to the bundles of infinitely near points of A -kinds in the sense of A. Weil [7], which generalizes the notions of p^r -jets in the sense of C. Ehresmann [1], [2]. Our results naturally generalizes the results of several authors, e.g., [4], [8], [10]. In fact, we have treated the same problem in the author's lecture notes (cf. [6, Part V]). However, in [6] we fully used the basis and structure constants of the local algebra A , and were obliged to consider (λ) -lifts of vector fields, 1-forms or tensor fields of type (p, q) with $p = 0$ or 1 , where $\lambda = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$ and $N + 1 = \dim A$. Moreover, the geometric meaning of (λ) -lifts for $\lambda = 1, 2, \dots, N$ are not so clear as that of (0) -lifts. In this paper, we shall essentially not use the basis and structure constants of the algebra A , and shall show that there exists essentially only one lift, which has a significant geometric meaning, and other (λ) -lifts can be derived naturally from that lift. Further, the proofs in [6] are much simplified, and some of results are somewhat sharpened (cf. [6, Theorem 6.6]).

In § 1, we explain the notion of local algebras and the infinitely near points of A -kind which will be simply called A -points. The covariant functor, which assigns to each manifold M its bundle M^A of infinitely near points, has many nice properties similar to the functor which assigns to M its tangent bundle $T(M)$. In particular, if G is a Lie group (acting on a manifold M), then G^A is also a Lie group (acting on M^A).

In § 2, by means of two different methods we define two A -module structures on the tangent space to M^A at each point of M^A , and we shall in fact show that these two A -module structures are essentially the same.

In § 3, we shall define the lift of vector fields and establish some relations between the lift of functions and the bracket of vector fields.

In § 4, § 5, we shall consider the lifting of covariant tensor fields and $(1, 1)$ -tensor fields respectively. We shall prove that the lifting J^A of an almost complex structure J is integrable if and only if J is integrable.

In § 6, we shall first construct the prolongation of affine connections (Theorem 6.1), and next show that the prolonged affine connection ∇^A is locally

affine symmetric if and only if V is.

In § 7, we shall give a proof for the fact that if M is an affine symmetric space then M^A is also so. In such a manner, we obtain a method to construct a large number of affine symmetric spaces (resp. complex manifolds) from a given affine symmetric space (resp. complex manifold), (cf. [10, Introduction]).

In this paper, all manifolds and mappings (functions) are assumed to be differentiable of class C^∞ , unless otherwise stated.

The author wishes to thank Professor J. L. Koszul who gave him an opportunity to stay at the University of Grenoble and suggested the idea of A -module structure in § 2, which has essentially improved [6, Part V].

1. Infinitely near points of A -kind

In this section we shall recall the notion of local algebras and infinitely near points of A -kind in the sense of A. Weil [7].

Definition 1.1. Let A be an associative algebra over the field \mathbf{R} of real numbers with a unit (denoted by 1). We call A a *local algebra* if A is commutative and of finite dimension over \mathbf{R} , admitting a unique maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} such that A/\mathfrak{m} is of dimension 1 over \mathbf{R} and that $\mathfrak{m}^{h+1} = (0)$ for a nonnegative integer h . The smallest h such that $\mathfrak{m}^{h+1} = (0)$ will be called the height of A . We shall identify the field \mathbf{R} with the subspace of A consisting of all scalar multiples of the unit 1. Clearly A is the direct sum of \mathbf{R} and \mathfrak{m} as a vector space. If $a \in A$, the scalar $a_0 \in \mathbf{R}$, defined by $a \equiv a_0 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$, will be called the finite part of a . If A/\mathfrak{m} is identified with \mathbf{R} , the map $a \rightarrow a_0$ is a homomorphism of A onto \mathbf{R} .

Let $\mathbf{R}[p] = \mathbf{R}[[X_1, \dots, X_p]]$ be the algebra of all formal power series of p indeterminates X_1, \dots, X_p , and let \mathfrak{m}_p be the maximal ideal of $\mathbf{R}[p]$ consisting of all formal power series without constant terms. Let α be an ideal of $\mathbf{R}[p]$ such that $\dim \mathbf{R}[p]/\alpha < +\infty$. We see that $A = \mathbf{R}[p]/\alpha$ is a local algebra with the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_p/\alpha$. Conversely, we know that every local algebra is isomorphic to such a local algebra (cf. [7, p. 112]).

Let M be a manifold of dimension n , and let $C^\infty(M)$ be the algebra of all differentiable functions on M . Take a point $x \in M$.

Definition 1.2. Let A be a local algebra with the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . An algebra homomorphism $x': C^\infty(M) \rightarrow A$ will be called an *A -point* of M near to x (or *infinitely near point* to x on M of A -kind) if the finite part of $x'(f)$ is equal to $f(x)$, i.e.,

$$(1.1) \quad x'(f) \equiv f(x) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$$

for every $f \in C^\infty(M)$. We denote by M_x^A the set of all A -points of M near to x and $M^A = \bigcup_{x \in M} M_x^A$, and define $\pi_A: M^A \rightarrow M$ by $\pi_A(M_x^A) = x$ for $x \in M$.

Remark 1.3. If $x' \in M_x^A$, and $f \in C^\infty(M)$ vanishes identically on a neighborhood of x , then we see that $x'(f) = 0$.

This remark shows that we can consider $x'(f)$ for any differentiable function f defined on a neighborhood of x if $x' \in M_x^A$.

Remark 1.4. If we take $\alpha = (\mathfrak{m}_p)^{r-1}$, and $A = \mathbf{R}[p]/\alpha$, then we see that the notion of A -points is nothing but the notion of p^r -jets (cf. [1], [2], [7]). In particular, if $\alpha = (\mathfrak{m}_1)^2$, $D = \mathbf{R}[1]/\alpha$, then the notion of D -points is nothing but the notion of tangent vectors on M . We denote by $\tau = \pi(X_1)$, where X_1 is the indeterminate in $\mathbf{R}[1]$ and $\pi: \mathbf{R}[1] \rightarrow D$ is the natural projection.

Let U be a coordinate neighborhood of x_0 in M with coordinate system $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Take a basis $\{1 = B^0, B^1, \dots, B^N\}$ of a local algebra A , where B^1, \dots, B^N span the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of A . We define $x_{i,\lambda}: \pi_A^{-1}(U) \rightarrow R$ by

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{\lambda=0}^N x_{i,\lambda}(x')B^\lambda = x'(x_i) ,$$

for any $x' \in \pi_A^{-1}(U)$, where we have used Remark 1.3 for $f = x_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). We see readily that the set M^A becomes a differentiable manifold of dimension $n(N + 1)$ by the coordinate neighborhoods $\pi_A^{-1}(U)$ with coordinate system $\{x_{i,\lambda} | i = 1, \dots, n; \lambda = 0, 1, \dots, N\}$ induced by the coordinate system $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ on U . Clearly this differentiable structure on M^A does not depend on the choice of the basis $\{B^0, \dots, B^N\}$ of A .

Definition 1.5. The differentiable manifold M^A defined above with the projection $\pi_A: M^A \rightarrow M$ will be called the *bundle of A -points* of M (or *bundle of infinitely near points* of M of A -kind).

Remark 1.6. The notion of bundle of D -kind is the same as that of tangent bundles. A tangent vector $X \in T_x M$ at x is identified with $x' \in M_x^D$ defined by $x'(f) = f(x) + (Xf) \cdot \tau$ for $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

Let $\Phi: M \rightarrow M'$ be a map of a manifold M into a manifold M' . Then the map $\Phi^A: M^A \rightarrow M'^A$ is defined by

$$(1.3) \quad (\Phi^A(x'))g = x'(g \circ \Phi)$$

for $x' \in M^A$ and $g \in C^\infty(M')$. Clearly Φ^A is differentiable.

Lemma 1.7. Let $\pi_i: M_1 \times M_2 \rightarrow M_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) be the projections. Then $(M_1 \times M_2)^A$ can be identified with $M_1^A \times M_2^A$ by the following identification

$$(1.4) \quad x' = (\pi_1^A(x'), \pi_2^A(x'))$$

for $x' \in (M_1 \times M_2)^A$.

Proof. Straightforward verification.

Lemma 1.8. Let $\Phi_1: M_1 \rightarrow M'_1$, $\Phi_2: M_2 \rightarrow M'_2$, $\Psi_1: M_1 \rightarrow M''_1$ and $\Phi'_1: M'_1 \rightarrow M$ be differentiable maps, $M_1, M'_1, M_2, M'_2, M''_1, M$ being manifolds. Then we have the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi'_1 \circ \Phi_1)^A &= \Phi'^A_1 \circ \Phi^A_1, & (\Phi_1 \times \Phi_2)^A &= \Phi^A_1 \times \Phi^A_2, \\ (\Phi_1, \Psi_1)^A &= (\Phi^A_1, \Psi^A_1), & (1_M)^A &= 1_{M^A}, \end{aligned}$$

where 1_M stands for the identity map of M . Further, if we denote by π_i (resp. $\tilde{\pi}_i$) the projection of $M_1 \times M_2$ (resp. $M_1^A \times M_2^A$) onto M_i (resp. M_i^A) for $i = 1, 2$, then we have $\pi_i^A = \tilde{\pi}_i$ ($i = 1, 2$).

Proof. Straightforward verification by using (1.3) and (1.4).

Lemma 1.9. \mathbf{R}^A can be identified with A by $\mathbf{R}^A \ni x' \rightarrow x'(t) \in A$, where t is the natural coordinates on \mathbf{R} .

Proof. Straightforward verification (cf. [7]).

Lemma 1.10. Let A and B be two local algebras. Then we can identify A^B with $A \otimes B$ (cf. [7]).

Lemma 1.11. A, B being as above, we can define canonically a diffeomorphism $\psi: (M^A)^B \rightarrow M^{A \otimes B}$.

Proof. Take $x'' \in (M^A)^B$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$. Since $f: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a C^∞ -map, we can consider the map $f^A: M^A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^A = A$ (cf. Lemma 1.9). Hence using the map $(f^A)^B: (M^A)^B \rightarrow A^B = A \otimes B$ we can consider the map $x': C^\infty(M) \rightarrow A \otimes B$ defined by $x'(f) = (f^A)^B(x'') \in A \otimes B$, which is easily seen to be an $A \otimes B$ -point on M . Thus we get a map $x'' \rightarrow x'$ from $(M^A)^B$ to $M^{A \otimes B}$, which can be verified to be a diffeomorphism (for detail, see [7]).

Corollary 1.12. A and B being as above, we can identify $x'' \in (M^A)^B$ with $x'_1 \in (M^B)^A$ for elements x'' and x'_1 characterized by

$$(f^A)^B(x'') = (f^B)^A(x'_1)$$

for every $f \in C^\infty(M)$, where we have identified $A \otimes B$ with $B \otimes A$.

Proof. Clear from the proof of Lemma 1.11.

Lemma 1.13. Let G be a Lie group with group multiplication μ . Then G^A becomes a Lie group with group multiplication $\mu^A: (G \times G)^A = G^A \times G^A \rightarrow G^A$.

Proof. Omitted (cf. [7]).

2. A -module structures on the tangent spaces of M^A

In this section, we define canonically an A -module structure on the tangent space of M^A at every point of M^A .

Let $\mu: \mathbf{R} \times M^D \rightarrow M^D$ be the scalar multiplication of the tangent vectors of M , i.e., $\mu(t, X) = t \cdot X$ for $t \in \mathbf{R}$, $X \in M^D$. Since $\mathbf{R}^A = A$ and $(M^D)^A = (M^A)^D$ by our identification (cf. Corollary 1.12), the map $\mu^A: (\mathbf{R} \times M^D)^A = \mathbf{R}^A \times (M^D)^A \rightarrow (M^D)^A$ can be considered as the map $\mu^A: A \times (M^A)^D \rightarrow (M^A)^D$.

Definition 2.1. Put $\mu^A(a, x'') = a \cdot x''$ for $a \in A$, $x'' \in (M^A)^D$. We denote by π_D (resp. $\tilde{\pi}_D$) the projection $M^D \rightarrow M$ (resp. $(M^A)^D \rightarrow M^A$).

Lemma 2.2. The notation being as above, we have

- (i) $\tilde{\pi}_D(a \cdot x'') = \tilde{\pi}_D(x'')$ for every $a \in A$ and $x'' \in (M^A)^D$,
- (ii) for any $x' \in M^A$, the tangent space $(M^A)^D_x$ becomes an A -module by the multiplication $(a, x'') \rightarrow a \cdot x''$ for $(a, x'') \in A \times (M^A)^D_x$.

Remark 2.3. In fact, in the next section (cf. Corollary 3.10) we shall show

that $(M^A)_x^D$ is a free A -module for any $x' \in M^A$.

Proof of Lemma 2.2. (i) Consider the following diagram :

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbf{R}^A \times (M^A)^D & \xrightarrow{1 \times j} & \mathbf{R}^A \times (M^D)^A & \xrightarrow{\mu^A} & (M^D)^A & \xrightarrow{i} & (M^A)^D \\ \tilde{\pi}_D \circ \tilde{\pi}_2 \downarrow & & (\pi_D \circ \pi_2)^A \downarrow & & (\pi_D)^A \downarrow & & \tilde{\pi}_D \downarrow \\ M^A & \longrightarrow & M^A & \longrightarrow & M^A & \longrightarrow & M^A \end{array}$$

where $\tilde{\pi}_2: \mathbf{R}^A \times (M^A)^D \rightarrow (M^A)^D$ (resp. $\pi_2: \mathbf{R} \times M^D \rightarrow M^D$) is the projection and $j^{-1} = i: (M^D)^A \rightarrow (M^A)^D$ is the identification map (cf. Corollary 1.12). Since $\pi_D \circ \mu = \pi_D \circ \pi_2$, the middle rectangle of (2.1) is commutative. It is now sufficient to verify the commutativity of the right rectangle of (2.1), because $a \cdot x'' = (i \circ \mu^A \circ (1 \times j))(a, x'')$ for $(a, x'') \in A \times (M^A)^D$, and the commutativity of the left rectangle is implied by that of the right one.

Take $x'' \in (M^D)^A$ and put $x_1'' = i(x'') \in (M^A)^D$. Then for any $f \in C^\infty(M)$, we have

$$(2.2) \quad (f^D)^A(x'') = (f^A)^D(x_1'') ,$$

(cf. Corollary 1.12), where we have identified: $A \otimes D = D \otimes A$. To show that $(\pi_D)^A(x'') = \tilde{\pi}_D(x_1'')$, it suffices to show

$$(2.3) \quad ((\pi_D)^A(x''))(f) = (\tilde{\pi}_D(x_1''))(f)$$

for $f \in C^\infty(M^A)$. (2.3) is equivalent to

$$(2.4) \quad x''(f \circ \pi_D) = f^A(\tilde{\pi}_D(x_1'')) .$$

Now, we know that $f^D \equiv f \circ \pi_D \pmod{\mathbf{R} \cdot \tau}$, where $D = \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{R}\tau$. Therefore we have $(f^A)^D \equiv f^A \circ \tilde{\pi}_D \pmod{A \otimes \mathbf{R}\tau}$. Considering the A -components of (2.2) in $A \otimes D = A \oplus A \otimes \mathbf{R}\tau$, we obtain (2.4), since $(f^D)^A(x'') = x''(f^D) \equiv x''(f \circ \pi_D) \pmod{A \otimes \mathbf{R} \cdot \tau}$. Thus (i) is proved.

(ii) Let $\mu_0: \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ and $\mu_A: A \times A \rightarrow A$ be the multiplication in \mathbf{R} and A respectively. We see easily that $(\mu_0)^A = \mu_A$. The equality $(t \cdot s) \cdot X = t \cdot (s \cdot X)$ for $t, s \in \mathbf{R}$ and $X \in M^D$ can be written as $\mu \circ (\mu_0 \circ \pi_{12}, \pi_3) = \mu \circ (\pi_1, \mu \circ \pi_{23})$, where $\pi_{12}: \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \times M \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$, $\pi_3: \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \times M \rightarrow M$ etc. denote the natural projection. Then by the functoriality of $\mu \rightarrow \mu^A$, etc. (cf. Lemma 1.8) it follows that $\mu^A \circ (\mu_A \circ \tilde{\pi}_{12}, \tilde{\pi}_3) = \mu^A \circ (\tilde{\pi}_1, \mu^A \circ \tilde{\pi}_{23})$, where $\tilde{\pi}_{12}: A \times A \times M^A \rightarrow A \times A$ etc. denote the natural projection similar to π_{12} etc. Thus we get the associativity: $(a \cdot b) \cdot x'' = a \cdot (b \cdot x'')$ for $a, b \in A$, $x'' \in M^A$.

The distributivity $(a + b) \cdot x'' = a \cdot x'' + b \cdot x''$, $a \cdot (x' + x'') = a \cdot x' + a \cdot x''$ are similarly proved by using the addition $\alpha: M^D \oplus M^D \rightarrow M^D$ of tangent vectors, where $M^D \oplus M^D$ denotes the Whitney sum of the tangent bundles M^D with itself.

Remark 2.4. We can prove (ii) of Lemma 2.1 more quickly by using the local coordinate system around $x'' \in (M^D)^A$ and the local expression of μ^A by coordinates. In fact, taking a coordinate system $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ around x , we see that

$$x_{i,\varepsilon,\lambda}(a \cdot x'') = \begin{cases} \sum a_\nu x_{i,\varepsilon,\nu}(x'') C_\lambda^{\varepsilon,\nu} & (\varepsilon = 1), \\ x_{i,\varepsilon,\lambda}(x'') & (\varepsilon = 0) \end{cases}$$

for $a = \sum a_\nu B^\nu$, $B^\varepsilon \cdot B^\nu = \sum C_\lambda^{\varepsilon,\nu} B^\lambda$ (cf. (1.2)).

We want to give another interpretation of the A -module structure on the tangent space $T_x(M^A)$ with $x' \in M^A$. Let $L \in T_x(M^A)$ be a tangent vector at $x' \in M^A$. Then there exists a curve $t \rightarrow x'_t$ on M^A such that $x'_0 = x'$ and that

$$(2.5) \quad L\bar{f} = \left. \frac{d\bar{f}(x'_t)}{dt} \right|_{t=0}$$

for $\bar{f} \in C^\infty(M^A)$. We define $L' : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow A$ by

$$(2.6) \quad L'f = \left. \frac{d(x'_t(f))}{dt} \right|_{t=0}.$$

Lemma 2.5. *The map $L' : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow A$ is well-defined and linear, and has the property*

$$(2.7) \quad L'(f \cdot g) = L'f \cdot x'(g) + x'(f) \cdot L'g$$

for $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$.

Proof. Since $x'_t(f) = f^A(x'_t)$, $x'_t(f)$ is differentiable with respect to t and $\left. \frac{dx'_t(f)}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = L(f^A)$, (cf. (2.5)). If another curve x''_t on M^A satisfies $x''_0 = x'$ and $L\bar{f} = \left. \frac{d\bar{f}(x''_t)}{dt} \right|_{t=0}$, then we have $\left. \frac{dx'_t(f)}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = \left. \frac{dx''_t(f)}{dt} \right|_{t=0}$. Thus L' is well-defined.

(2.7) can be verified directly.

Definition 2.6. We denote by $T'_x(M^A)$ the set of all linear map $L' : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow A$ such that (2.7) holds for every $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$.

Remark 2.7. For $L' \in T'_x M^A$, we can define $L'h$ for any C^∞ -function h around x .

Thus we have obtained a map $j : T_x M^A \rightarrow T'_x M^A$ by $j(L) = L'$, (cf. (2.6)).

Lemma 2.8. *The map j is a bijective linear map.*

Proof. Let $L_1, L_2 \in T_x M^A$. For $f \in C^\infty(M)$ we have $(L_1 + L_2)'f = (L_1 + L_2)f^A = L_1 f^A + L_2 f^A = L'_1 f + L'_2 f = (L'_1 + L'_2)f$. Similarly $(\alpha L_1)'f = (\alpha L_1)f^A = \alpha(L_1 f^A) = \alpha(L'_1 f) = (\alpha L'_1)f$ for $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$. Thus j is linear.

To prove the bijectivity of j , we first prove

$$(2.7) \quad \dim T'_x M^A \leq \dim M^A .$$

In fact, take a coordinate system $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ around x , and consider the linear map $g: T'_x M^A \rightarrow A^n$ by $g(L') = (L'x_1, \dots, L'x_n)$. We show first that g is injective. Take L'_1 and $L'_2 \in T'_x M^A$ and assume $L'_1 x_i = L'_2 x_i$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$. For any $f \in C^\infty(M)$ we can find a polynomials P, Q of x_1, \dots, x_n and $g \in C^\infty(M)$ such that

$$f = P + g \cdot Q$$

holds on some neighborhood of x , where Q is homogeneous and of degree \geq height of A . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} L'_1 f &= L'_1(P) + L'_1(g)x'(Q) + x'(g)L'_1(Q) \\ &= L'_1(P) + x'(g)L'_1(Q) = L'_2(P) + x'(g)L'_2(Q) = L'_2 f , \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $x'(f_1 \dots f_n) = 0$ for $f_i \in C^\infty(M)$ with $f_i(x) = 0$. Thus $L'_1 = L'_2$, which proves the injectivity of g . Therefore we get (2.7).

To prove the injectivity of j , it suffices to show that $L'f = 0$ for every $f \in C^\infty(M)$ implies $L = 0$. Now $\sum L(x_{i,\lambda}) \cdot B^\lambda = L(x_i^A) = L'x_i = 0$, which implies $L(x_{i,\lambda}) = 0$ for any $i = 1, \dots, n; \lambda = 0, \dots, N$. Thus $L = 0$. The injectivity and the inequality (2.7) imply the bijectivity of j .

Remark 2.9. $T'_x M^A$ becomes canonically an A -module, i.e., for $a \in A$ and $L' \in T'_x(M^A)$ we define $a \cdot L' \in T'_x(M^A)$ by

$$(a \cdot L')f = a \cdot (L'f)$$

for $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

Lemma 2.10. For any $a \in A$ and $L \in T_x(M^A)$, we have

$$(2.8) \quad (a \cdot L)' = a \cdot L' .$$

(Cf. Definition 2.1 for $a \cdot L$).

Proof. To make the several identifications more clear, we introduce the following notation. For $L \in T_x M^A$ the identified element in $(M^A)_x^D$ will be denoted by L^* , and conversely for $K \in (M^A)_x^D$ the corresponding element in $T_x M^A$ will be denoted by $*K$. Similarly for $S \in T'_x M^A$, we denote $'S = j^{-1}(S)$. Further for $L^* \in (M^A)^D$ the corresponding element in $(M^D)^A$ will be denoted by L_1^* . Then (2.8) means more precisely

$$(2.8)' \quad (*(a \cdot L^*))' = a \cdot L' .$$

Now (2.8)' is equivalent to

$$(2.8)'' \quad \mu^A(a, L_1^*) = (('aL')_1^*) ,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(2.8)''' \quad (f^D)^A(\mu^A(a, L_1^*)) = (f^A)^D((aL')^*)$$

for $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

The left hand side of (2.8)''' is equal to

$$(f^D \circ \mu)^A(a, L_1^*) = (a, L_1^*)(f^D \circ \mu),$$

while the right hand side of (2.8)''' is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} ((aL')^*)^A(f^A) &= f^A(x') + '(aL')f^A \cdot \tau \\ &= f^A(x') + (a \cdot L')f \cdot \tau = f^A(x') + a \cdot L'f \cdot \tau. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore it remains to verify

$$(2.9) \quad (a, L_1^*)(f^D \circ \mu) = f^A(x') + a \cdot L'f \cdot \tau$$

for $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

Now, since L^* and L_1^* are corresponding elements in $(M^A)^D$ and $(M^D)^A$, we have

$$(2.10) \quad (f^D)^A(L_1^*) = (f^A)^D(L^*)$$

for $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

Put $K = (a, L_1^*)$. Then we have $K(g \circ \pi_1) = a(g)$, $K(g' \circ \pi_2) = L_1^*(g')$ for $g \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$, $g' \in C^\infty(M^D)$. Next, we have, for $(t, X) \in \mathbf{R} \times M^D$,

$$\begin{aligned} (f^D \circ \mu)(t, X) &= f^D(tX) = f(\pi X) + (tX)f \cdot \tau \\ &= (f \circ \pi) \circ \pi_2(t, X) + (1 \circ \pi_1(t, X)) \cdot \pi_2(t, X)f \cdot \tau \\ &= (f \circ \pi) \circ \pi_2(t, X) + (1 \circ \pi_1) \cdot (f' \circ \pi_2)(t, X) \cdot \tau, \end{aligned}$$

where $f' \in C^\infty(M^D)$ is defined by $f'(X) = Xf$ for $X \in M^D$. Hence we have

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} K(f^D \circ \mu) &= K((f \circ \pi) \circ \pi_2) + K(1 \circ \pi_1) \cdot K(f' \circ \pi_2) \cdot \tau \\ &= L_1^*(f \circ \pi) + a \cdot L_1^*f' \cdot \tau. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from (2.10) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (f^D)^A(L_1^*) &= L_1^*(f^D) = L_1^*(f \circ \pi + f' \cdot \tau) = L_1^*(f \circ \pi) + L_1^*f' \cdot \tau, \\ (f^A)^D(L^*) &= L^*(f^A) = f^A(x') + Lf^A \cdot \tau = f^A(x') + L'f \cdot \tau, \end{aligned}$$

which imply

$$(2.12) \quad L_1^*(f \circ \pi) = f^A(x'), \quad L_1^*f' = L'f.$$

Combining (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12), we get (2.9).

3. Lifting of vector fields

We denote by $\mathcal{F}_0^1(M)$ the set of all vector fields on M . Take $X \in \mathcal{F}_0^1(M)$. The corresponding $X' : M \rightarrow M^D$ is defined by

$$(3.1) \quad X'(x)f = f(x) + (X(x)f) \cdot \tau \in D$$

for $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $x \in M$. The map X' induces a map $X'^A : M^A \rightarrow (M^D)^A$. Consider the map $\tilde{X} = i \circ X'^A : M^A \rightarrow (M^A)^D$, where $i : (M^D)^A \rightarrow (M^A)^D$ is the identification map. The commutativity of the right triangle of the diagram (2.1) implies that $\tilde{X}(x') \in (M^A)^D_{x'}$ for every $x' \in M^A$. Hence by Remark 1.6 we obtain a tangent vector in $T_{x'}(M^A)$ corresponding to $\tilde{X}(x')$, which we denote by $X^A(x')$.

Thus we obtain a vector field $X^A \in \mathcal{F}_0^1(M^A)$.

Definition 3.1. The vector field X^A is called the *lift* of X to M^A .

Remark 3.2. Any $X \in \mathcal{F}_0^1(M^A)$ can be extended to a derivation of $C^\infty(M^A, A)$ by

$$X\tilde{f} = X(\sum f_i B^i) = \sum (Xf_i) \cdot B^i ,$$

where $\{1, B^1, \dots, B^N\}$ is a basis of A , and $\tilde{f} = \sum f_i B^i$ with $f_i \in C^\infty(M^A)$.

Lemma 3.3. For any $X \in \mathcal{F}_0^1(M)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$, we have

$$(3.2) \quad (Xf)^A = X^A f^A .$$

Proof. We have to show

$$(3.3) \quad (Xf)^A(x') = (X^A f^A)(x')$$

for $x' \in M^A$. Put $x'' = X'^A(x') \in (M^D)^A$. Then $x_1'' = (X^A(x'))'$ is the element corresponding to x'' in $(M^A)^D$ (for the notation ()' see Remark 1.6). Using Corollary 1.12 we have

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} (f^D)^A(x'') &= (f^A)^D(x_1'') = x_1''(f^A) = (X^A(x'))' f^A \\ &= f^A(x') + X^A(x') f^A \cdot \tau . \end{aligned}$$

The left hand side of (3.3) is equal to

$$(3.5) \quad ((f^D)^A \circ X'^A)(x') = (f^D \circ X')^A(x') = x'(f^D \circ X') .$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} (f^D \circ X')(x) &= f^D(X'(x)) = X'(x)f = f(x) + (Xf)(x) \cdot \tau \\ &= (f + Xf \cdot \tau)(x) , \end{aligned}$$

(3.5) is equal to

$$(3.6) \quad x'(f) + x'(Xf) \cdot \tau = f^A(x') + (Xf)^A(x') \cdot \tau .$$

Comparing (3.4) and (3.6), we obtain (3.3).

Lemma 3.4. *The map $X \rightarrow X^A$ is linear.*

Proof. Take $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $X, Y \in \mathcal{T}_0^1(M)$. Then by Lemma 3.3 we have $(X + Y)^A f^A = ((X + Y)f)^A = (Xf + Yf)^A = (Xf)^A + (Yf)^A = X^A f^A + Y^A f^A = (X^A + Y^A)f^A$, and therefore $((X + Y)^A)'f = (X + Y)^A f^A = (X^A + Y^A)f^A = (X^A + Y^A)'f$, which implies $((X + Y)^A)' = (X^A + Y^A)'$ (for the notation $(\)'$ see Lemme 2.8). Hence we get $(X + Y)^A = X^A + Y^A$. Similarly, $(\alpha \cdot X)^A = \alpha \cdot X^A$ for $\alpha \in R$.

Lemma 3.5. *For any $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $X \in \mathcal{T}_0^1(M)$, we have*

$$(3.7) \quad (f \cdot X)^A = f^A \cdot X^A,$$

equivalently,

$$(fX)^A(x') = f^A(x')X^A(x')$$

for every $x' \in M^A$ (cf. Definition 2.1).

Proof. Let $\mu: \mathbf{R} \times M^D \rightarrow M^D$ be the scalar multiplication of tangent vectors. Identifying X with its corresponding $X': M \rightarrow M^D$ (cf. Definition 3.1), we have

$$(f \cdot X)^A = (\mu \circ (f, X))^A = \mu^A \circ (f, X)^A = \mu^A \circ (f^A, X^A) = f^A \cdot X^A.$$

Definition 3.6. For $\tilde{X} \in \mathcal{T}_0^1(M^A)$, we define a map $\tilde{X}': C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A)$ by

$$(\tilde{X}'f)(x') = (\tilde{X}(x'))'f = (j(\tilde{X}(x')))'f$$

for $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $x' \in M^A$ (cf. Remark 2.7).

Remark 3.7. By Lemma 2.8, we have

$$(g \cdot \tilde{X})' = g \cdot \tilde{X}'$$

for $g \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$, $\tilde{X} \in \mathcal{T}_0^1(M^A)$.

Lemma 3.8. *For $a \in A$, $X \in \mathcal{T}_0^1(M)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$, we have*

$$(3.8) \quad (a \cdot X^A)f^A = a \cdot (Xf)^A.$$

Proof. We have

$$(a \cdot X^A)f^A = (a \cdot X^A)'f = a \cdot ((X^A)')f = a \cdot (X^A f^A) = a \cdot (Xf)^A,$$

where we have used Lemma 2.5, Lemma 3.3 and Remark 3.7.

Lemma 3.9. *Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a coordinate system on some neighborhood of M . Then we have*

$$(3.9) \quad B^\lambda \cdot (\partial/\partial x_i)^A = \partial/\partial x_{i,\lambda}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n; \lambda = 0, \dots, N$.

Proof. We have $(B^\lambda(\partial/\partial x_i)^A)(x_j)^A = B^\lambda(\partial x_j/\partial x_i)^A = B^\lambda(\delta_{ij})^A = B^\lambda \cdot \delta_{ij}$. On the other hand we have

$$(\partial/\partial x_{i,\lambda})(x_j)^A = (\partial/\partial x_{i,\lambda})\left(\sum_\nu x_{j,\nu} B^\nu\right) = \delta_{ij} B^\lambda .$$

Hence we get (3.9).

Corollary 3.10. For any $x' \in M^A$, the A -module $T_{x'}M^A$, is a free A -module.

Proof. Take $X_i = (\partial/\partial x_i)_{x'}^A$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Then $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ is a free A -basis of $T_{x'}M^A$.

Lemma 3.11. For any $X, Y \in \mathcal{F}_0^1(M)$ we have

$$[X^A, Y^A] = [X, Y]^A .$$

Proof. For any $f \in C^\infty(M)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [X^A, Y^A]f^A &= X^A Y^A f^A - Y^A X^A f^A = (XYf - YXf)^A \\ &= ([X, Y]f)^A = [X, Y]^A f^A . \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$[X^A, Y^A]'f = [X^A, Y^A]f^A = [X, Y]^A f^A = ([X, Y]^A)'f ,$$

which implies $[X^A, Y^A]' = ([X, Y]^A)'$ and hence we get $[X^A, Y^A] = [X, Y]^A$.

Lemma 3.12. For any $a, b \in A$ and $X, Y \in \mathcal{F}_0^1(M)$ we have

$$(3.10) \quad [aX^A, bY^A] = (a \cdot b) \cdot [X, Y]^A .$$

Proof. We calculate as follows: for any $f \in C^\infty(M)$

$$\begin{aligned} [aX^A, bY^A]f^A &= (aX^A)(bY^A)f^A - (bY^A)(aX^A)f^A \\ &= (a \cdot X^A)(b \cdot (Yf)^A) - bY^A(a \cdot (Xf)^A) \\ &= b \cdot (aX^A(Yf)^A) - a \cdot (bY^A \cdot (Xf)^A) \\ &= b \cdot a \cdot (XYf)^A - a \cdot b(YXf)^A \\ &= (a \cdot b) \cdot ([X, Y]f)^A = (a \cdot b)([X, Y]^A f^A) \\ &= ((ab)[X, Y]^A)f^A . \end{aligned}$$

By the same argument as in Lemma 3.10 we get (3.10).

Remark 3.13. We can verify that if $\{\Phi^t\}$ is a one-parameter group of diffeomorphisms on M generated by a vector field X , then the one-parameter group $\{(\Phi^t)^A\}$ induces the vector field X^A .

4. Lifting of covariant tensor fields

Take $f \in C^\infty(M)$. Since $f^A: M^A \rightarrow A$ is an A -valued function, we can consider $df^A: T(M^A) \rightarrow A$. On the other hand, since $df: M^D \rightarrow R$ is a function, we can consider $(df)^A: (M^D)^A \rightarrow R^A = A$.

Lemma 4.1. *Identifying $T(M^A) = (M^A)^D$ with $(M^D)^A$, we have*

$$(4.1) \quad (df)^A = df^A .$$

Proof. Let π (resp. $\tilde{\pi}$) be the projection $\pi: R^D = R \oplus R \cdot \tau \rightarrow R \cdot \tau = R$ (resp. $\tilde{\pi}: A^D = A \oplus A \cdot \tau \rightarrow A \cdot \tau = A$). Then we have, by definition, $df = \pi \circ f^D$, $df^A = \tilde{\pi} \circ (f^A)^D$. Hence $(df)^A = \pi^A \circ (f^D)^A$. Then the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (M^A)^D & \xrightarrow{(f^A)^D} & A^D & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} & A \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow 1 \\ (M^D)^A & \xrightarrow{(f^D)^A} & D^A & \xrightarrow{\pi^A} & A \end{array}$$

proves (4.1). q.e.d.

Take a 1-form $\theta \in \mathcal{F}_1^0(M)$. Then θ can be considered as a function $\theta: M^D \rightarrow R$. Hence $\theta^A: (M^D)^A \rightarrow A$ is an A -valued function on $(M^D)^A = (M^A)^D$. To prove that θ^A is in fact a 1-form on M^A , we shall first prove

Lemma 4.2. *Take $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathcal{F}_1^0(M)$. Then we have*

$$(4.2) \quad (\theta_1 + \theta_2)^A = \theta_1^A + \theta_2^A .$$

Proof. Let $\alpha: R \times R \rightarrow R$ (resp. $\alpha_A: A \times A \rightarrow A$) be the addition in R (resp. A). Then we know that $\alpha_A = \alpha^A$, and therefore that

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta_1 + \theta_2)^A &= (\alpha \circ (\theta_1, \theta_2))^A = \alpha^A \circ (\theta_1^A, \theta_2^A) \\ &= \alpha_A(\theta_1^A, \theta_2^A) = \theta_1^A + \theta_2^A . \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.3. *For $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $\theta \in \mathcal{F}_1^0(M)$, we have*

$$(4.3) \quad (f \cdot \theta)^A = f^A \cdot \theta^A .$$

Proof. Let $\mu_0: R \times R \rightarrow R$ (resp. $\mu_A: A \times A \rightarrow A$) be the multiplication in R (resp. in A). Then we know $(\mu_0)^A = \mu_A$, and therefore $(f \cdot \theta)^A = (\mu_0 \circ (f, \theta))^A = (\mu_0)^A \circ (f^A, \theta^A) = \mu_A \circ (f^A, \theta^A) = f^A \cdot \theta^A$.

Lemma 4.4. *For any $\theta \in \mathcal{F}_1^0(M)$, we have $\theta^A \in \mathcal{F}_1^0(M^A)$.*

Proof. Since the problem is local, we can assume that $\theta = \sum g_i df_i$ with $g_i, f_i \in C^\infty(M)$. By (4.1), (4.2), (4.3) we have $\theta^A = \sum g_i^A df_i^A$, which is a 1-form on M^A .

Lemma 4.5. *For $\theta \in \mathcal{F}_1^0(M)$ and $X \in \mathcal{F}_0^1(M)$, we have*

$$(4.4) \quad (\theta(X))^A = \theta^A(X^A) .$$

Proof. The function $\theta(X): M \rightarrow R$ can be written as $\theta(X) = \theta \circ X$, where $X: M \rightarrow M^D$ and $\theta: M^D \rightarrow R$. Hence we have $(\theta(X))^A = (\theta \circ X)^A = \theta^A \circ X^A = \theta^A(X^A)$.

Lemma 4.6. For $\theta \in \mathcal{T}_1^0(M)$, $a \in A$ and $\tilde{X} \in T_x(M^A)$, we have

$$(4.5) \quad \theta^A(a \cdot \tilde{X}) = a \cdot \theta^A(\tilde{X}) .$$

Proof. Since $\theta(t \cdot X) = t\theta(X)$ for $t \in R$ and $X \in T(M)$ we have $(\theta \circ \mu)(t, X) = \theta(tX) = \mu_0(t, \theta(X)) = \mu_0(1 \times \theta)(t, X)$. Hence $(\theta \circ \mu)^A = (\mu_0)^A \circ (1 \times \theta^A) = \mu_A \circ (1 \times \theta^A)$, which implies (4.5).

Since θ^A is an A -valued 1-form on M^A , we can consider it as $\theta^A \in \mathcal{T}_1^0(M^A) \otimes A$. We can easily verify

Lemma 4.7. $\mathcal{T}_*^0(M^A) \otimes A$ becomes an associative graded algebra over A with the multiplication:

$$(K_1 \otimes a_1) \otimes (K_2 \otimes a_2) = K_1 \otimes K_2 \otimes (a_1 a_2)$$

for $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{T}_*^0(M^A) = \sum_q \mathcal{T}_q^0(M^A)$ and $a_1, a_2 \in A$.

Lemma 4.8. The map $L: \mathcal{T}_*^0(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_*^0(M^A) \otimes A$ defined by $L(\theta_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \theta_q) = \theta_1^A \otimes \dots \otimes \theta_q^A$ for $\theta_i \in \mathcal{T}_1^0(M)$ is an algebra homomorphism.

Proof. Let $L: (\mathcal{T}_1^0(M))^q \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_q^0(M^A) \otimes A$ be defined by $L(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_q) = \theta_1^A \otimes \dots \otimes \theta_q^A$. It is easily checked that $L(f_1 \theta_1, \dots, f_q \theta_q) = (f_1 \dots f_q)^A L(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_q)$ for $f_i \in \mathcal{T}_0^0(M)$, $\theta_i \in \mathcal{T}_1^0(M)$. Hence there exists a map $L: \mathcal{T}_q^0(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_q^0(M^A) \otimes A$ such that $L(\theta_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \theta_q) = L(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_q)$. Now it is easy to see that L is an algebra homomorphism.

5. Lifting of (1, 1)-tensor fields

Let $K \in \mathcal{T}_1^1(M)$ be a (1, 1)-tensor field on M . Then K can be considered as a map $K: M^D \rightarrow M^D$ such that $\pi \circ K = \pi$. Then $K^A: (M^D)^A \rightarrow (M^D)^A$ can be considered as $K^A: (M^A)^D \rightarrow (M^A)^D$.

Lemma 5.1. K^A is a (1, 1)-tensor field on M^A .

Proof. Since the problem is local, we assume $K = \sum \theta_i \otimes Y^i$ with $\theta_i \in \mathcal{T}_1^0(M)$ and $Y^i \in \mathcal{T}_1^1(M)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} K(X) &= \sum \theta_i(X) Y^i = \sum \mu(\theta_i(X), (Y^i \circ \pi)(X)) \\ &= (\alpha_r \circ (\mu \circ (\theta_1, Y^1 \circ \pi), \dots, \mu \circ (\theta_r, Y^r \circ \pi)))(X) , \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_r: R^r \rightarrow R$ is the addition $\alpha_r(a_1, \dots, a_r) = a_1 + \dots + a_r$ for $a_i \in R$. Hence we have

$$K^A = (\alpha_r)^A \circ (\mu^A \circ (\theta_1^A, (Y^1)^A \circ \pi^A), \dots, \mu^A \circ (\theta_r^A, (Y^r)^A \circ \pi^A)) ,$$

which implies

$$K^A(\tilde{X}) = \sum \theta_i^A(\tilde{X}) \cdot (Y^i)^A$$

for $\tilde{X} \in (M^A)^D$. Thus $K^A \in \mathcal{F}_1^1(M^A)$.

Lemma 5.2. For $K \in \mathcal{F}_1^1(M)$, $X \in \mathcal{F}_0^1(M)$ and $a \in A$, we have

$$K^A(a \cdot X^A) = a \cdot (K(X))^A .$$

Proof. As before, we can assume $K = \sum \theta_i \otimes Y_i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} K^A(a \cdot X^A) &= \sum \theta_i^A(aX^A) \cdot (Y^i)^A = \sum a \cdot \theta_i^A(X^A)(Y^i)^A \\ &= a \cdot \sum (\theta_i(X))^A (Y^i)^A = a \cdot (\sum \theta_i(X)Y^i)^A = a \cdot (K(X))^A . \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.3. Let $J \in \mathcal{F}_1^1(M)$ be an almost complex structure on M . Then J^A is an almost complex structure on M^A . Moreover, J^A is integrable if and only if J is.

Proof. Let I be the (1, 1)-tensor field of identity maps of $T_x M$ for $x \in M$. Since $I = \sum dx_i \otimes \partial/\partial x_i$ locally, we get, for $\tilde{X} \in (M^A)^D$,

$$\begin{aligned} I^A(\tilde{X}) &= \sum (dx_i)^A(\tilde{X}) \otimes \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right)^A = \sum dx_i^A(\tilde{X}) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i,0}} \\ &= \sum dx_{i,\lambda}(\tilde{X}) B^\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i,0}} = \sum dx_{i,\lambda}(\tilde{X}) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i,\lambda}} = \tilde{X} , \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (3.9) and (4.5). Thus we have $J^A \circ J^A = (J \circ J)^A = (-I)^A = -I^A = -\tilde{I}$, where \tilde{I} is the (1, 1)-tensor field of identity maps of M^A . Hence J^A is an almost complex structure on M^A .

Next, J is integrable if and only if

$$(5.1) \quad J[X, Y] = [JX, Y] + [X, JY] + J[JX, JY]$$

for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{F}_0^1(M)$. Using Lemmas 3.12 and 5.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} J^A[ax^A, bY^A] &= J^A(ab[X, Y]^A) = (ab)(J[X, Y])^A \\ &= (ab)\{[J^A X^A, Y^A] + [X^A, J^A Y^A] + J^A[J^A X^A, J^A Y^A]\} \\ &= [J^A(ax^A), bY^A] + [aX^A, J^A(bY^A)] + J^A[J^A(ax^A), J^A(bY^A)] \end{aligned}$$

for $a, b \in A$. Since $T_x M^A$ is a free A -module (Corollary 3.10), we conclude that J^A is integrable. Conversely, if J^A is integrable, we get

$$(J[X, Y])^A = ([JX, Y] + [X, JY] + J[JX, JY])^A$$

for $X, Y \in \mathcal{F}_0^1(M)$, which implies (5.1), and hence J is integrable.

6. Prolongations of affine connections

Let ∇ be the covariant differentiation defined by an affine connection of M . In the sequel, for the sake of convenience of notation, we shall denote by $\nabla(X, K)$ the covariant differentiation of a tensor field K on M with respect to $X \in \mathcal{F}_0^1(M)$, i.e.,

$$\nabla(X, K) = \nabla_X K .$$

Theorem 6.1. *There exists one and only one affine connection on M^A whose covariant differentiation $\tilde{\nabla}$ satisfies the following condition*

$$(6.1) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{aX^A} bY^A = (ab)(\nabla_X Y)^A$$

for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{F}_0^1(M)$ and $a, b \in A$.

Proof. Take a coordinate neighborhood U with coordinate system $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and let Γ_{ij}^k be the connection components of ∇ with respect to $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, i.e.,

$$(6.2) \quad \nabla\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right) = \sum \Gamma_{ij}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}$$

for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. Let Γ'_{ij}^k be the connection components of ∇ with respect to another coordinate system $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ on U . Then we have the following equalities:

$$(6.3) \quad \Gamma'_{ij}^k = \sum \frac{\partial x_b}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial x_c}{\partial y_j} \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial x_a} \Gamma_b{}^a{}_c + \sum \frac{\partial^2 x_a}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial x_a}$$

for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ (cf. for instance [3, p. 27]). Let $\{x_{i,\lambda} | i = 1, \dots, n; \lambda = 0, 1, \dots, N\}$ (resp. $\{y_{i,\lambda}\}$) be the induced coordinate system on $\pi_A^{-1}(U)$. Define $\tilde{\Gamma}'_{(i,\lambda)}{}^{(k,\nu)}{}_{(j,\mu)}$ by

$$(6.4) \quad \sum \tilde{\Gamma}'_{(i,\lambda)}{}^{(k,\nu)}{}_{(j,\mu)} B^\nu = B^\lambda B^\mu (\Gamma_{ij}^k)^A$$

for $i, j, k = 1, \dots, n; \lambda, \mu, \nu = 0, 1, \dots, N$, where $\{B^0 = 1, B^1, \dots, B^N\}$ is a basis of A as in § 1.

We shall now prove that there exists a connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ whose connection components with respect to $\{x_{i,\lambda}\}$ are given by (6.4). To prove this we have to prove the following equalities (6.5) similar to (6.3):

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\Gamma}'_{(i,\nu)}{}^{(k,\lambda)}{}_{(j,\mu)} &= \sum \frac{\partial x_{b,\beta}}{\partial y_{i,\nu}} \frac{\partial x_{c,\tau}}{\partial y_{j,\mu}} \frac{\partial y_{k,\lambda}}{\partial x_{a,\alpha}} \tilde{\Gamma}'_{(b,\beta)}{}^{(a,\alpha)}{}_{(c,\tau)} \\ &+ \sum \frac{\partial^2 x_{a,\alpha}}{\partial y_{i,\nu} \partial y_{j,\mu}} \frac{\partial y_{k,\lambda}}{\partial x_{a,\alpha}} \end{aligned}$$

for $i, j, k = 1, \dots, n$; $\lambda, \mu, \nu = 0, 1, \dots, N$, where $\tilde{\Gamma}'_{(i,\nu)(j,\mu)}^{(k,\lambda)}$ denote the connection components of $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ with respect to the coordinate system $\{y_{i,\lambda}\}$. Denoting the right hand side of (6.5) by $\tilde{\Gamma}^*_{(i,\nu)(j,\mu)}^{(k,\lambda)}$ and using Lemmas 3.8 and 3.9, we calculate as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum \tilde{\Gamma}^*_{(i,\nu)(j,\mu)}^{(k,\lambda)} B^\lambda \\ &= \frac{\partial x_{b,\beta}}{\partial y_{i,\nu}} \frac{\partial x_{c,\tau}}{\partial y_{j,\mu}} \left(\frac{\partial y_k}{\partial x_a} \right)^A B^\alpha \tilde{\Gamma}'_{(b,\beta)(c,\tau)}^{(a,\alpha)} + \frac{\partial^2 x_{a,\alpha}}{\partial y_{i,\nu} \partial y_{j,\mu}} \left(\frac{\partial y_k}{\partial x_a} \right)^A B^\alpha \\ &= \frac{\partial x_{b,\beta}}{\partial y_{i,\nu}} \frac{\partial x_{c,\tau}}{\partial y_{j,\mu}} \left(\frac{\partial y_k}{\partial x_a} \right)^A B^\beta B^\tau (\Gamma_{b^a c}^a)^A + \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i,\nu}} \left(\frac{\partial x_{a,\alpha}}{\partial y_{j,\mu}} B^\alpha \right) \left(\frac{\partial y_k}{\partial x_a} \right)^A \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial x_b}{\partial y_i} \right)^A B^\nu \left(\frac{\partial x_c}{\partial y_j} \right)^A B^\mu \left(\frac{\partial y_k}{\partial x_a} \right)^A (\Gamma_{b^a c}^a)^A + \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i,\nu}} \left(\frac{\partial x_a}{\partial y_j} \right)^A B^\mu \left(\frac{\partial y_k}{\partial x_a} \right)^A \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial x_b}{\partial y_i} \right)^A B^\nu \left(\frac{\partial x_c}{\partial y_j} \right)^A B^\mu \left(\frac{\partial y_k}{\partial x_a} \right)^A (\Gamma_{b^a c}^a)^A + \left(\frac{\partial^2 x_a}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} \right)^A B^\nu B^\mu \left(\frac{\partial y_k}{\partial x_a} \right)^A \\ &= B^\nu B^\mu (\Gamma_i^{\nu k j})^A = \tilde{\Gamma}'_{(i,\nu)(j,\mu)}^{(k,\lambda)} B^\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (6.5).

Thus we have proved the existence of $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ whose connection components with respect to $\{x_{i,\lambda}\}$ are given by (6.4).

Next, we shall prove (6.1) for $X = \partial/\partial x_i$, $Y = \partial/\partial x_j$, and $a = B^\lambda$, $b = B^\mu$. We calculate as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left(B^\lambda \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right)^A, B^\mu \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right)^A \right) &= \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i,\lambda}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j,\mu}} \right) = \tilde{\Gamma}'_{(i,\lambda)(j,\mu)}^{(k,\nu)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k,\nu}} \\ &= \tilde{\Gamma}'_{(i,\lambda)(j,\mu)}^{(k,\nu)} B^\nu \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \right)^A = B^\lambda B^\mu (\Gamma_i^{\lambda k j})^A \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \right)^A \\ &= B^\lambda B^\mu \left(\Gamma_i^{\lambda k j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \right)^A = B^\lambda B^\mu \left(\mathcal{V} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) \right)^A, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (6.1) for $X = \partial/\partial x_i$, $Y = \partial/\partial x_j$ and $a = B^\lambda$, $b = B^\mu$ and hence for arbitrary $a, b \in A$.

Now put $X_i = \partial/\partial x_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. We shall prove (6.1) for $X = fX_i$, $Y = X_j$ with $f \in \mathcal{F}_0^0(U)$. We calculate as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{V}}(aX^A, bY^A) &= \tilde{\mathcal{V}}(a(f \cdot X_i)^A, b \cdot X_j^A) \tilde{\mathcal{V}}(af^A \cdot X_i^A, b \cdot X_j^A) \\ &= af^A \tilde{\mathcal{V}}(X_i^A, bX_j^A) = abf^A (\mathcal{V}(X_i, X_j))^A \\ &= ab(f\mathcal{V}(X_i, X_j))^A = ab(\mathcal{V}(fX_i, X_j))^A = ab(\mathcal{V}(X, Y))^A, \end{aligned}$$

which proves our assertion. Therefore we see that (6.1) holds for $X \in \mathcal{F}_0^1(M)$ and $Y = X_j$ with $j = 1, \dots, n$. Next we prove that (6.1) holds for $X \in \mathcal{F}_0^1(M)$ and $Y = f \cdot X_j$ with $f \in \mathcal{F}_0^0(U)$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$. We calculate as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}(a \cdot X^A, b \cdot Y^A) &= \tilde{\nabla}(a \cdot X^A, b(f \cdot X_j)^A) = \tilde{\nabla}(aX^A, bf^A \cdot X_j^A) \\ &= f^A \nabla_{aX^A} bX_j^A + aX^A (bf^A) X_j^A = f^A ab(\nabla_X Y_j)^A + ab(Xf)^A X_j^A \\ &= ab(f\nabla_X Y_j + Xf \cdot X_j)^A = ab(\nabla_{Xf} Y_j)^A = ab(\nabla(X, Y))^A, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemmas 3.5 and 3.8.

Thus we have proved (6.1) for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{T}_0^1(M)$ and $a, b \in A$. The uniqueness of such $\tilde{\nabla}$ follows from Lemma 3.9.

Definition 6.2. The unique affine connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ in Theorem 6.1 will be called the *prolongation* of ∇ to M^A and will be denoted by $\tilde{\nabla} = \nabla^A$.

Theorem 6.3. Let T and R (resp. \tilde{T} and \tilde{R}) be the torsion and curvature tensor fields of ∇ (resp. $\tilde{\nabla} = \nabla^A$). Then according as $T = 0, \nabla T = 0, R = 0$ or $\nabla R = 0$, we have $\tilde{T} = 0, \tilde{\nabla}\tilde{T} = 0, \tilde{R} = 0$ or $\tilde{\nabla}\tilde{R} = 0$ and vice versa. In particular, if M is locally affine symmetric with respect to ∇ , so is M^A with respect to $\tilde{\nabla} = \nabla^A$.

Proof. First we prove

$$(6.6) \quad \tilde{T}(aX^A, bY^A) = ab(T(X, Y))^A$$

for $X, Y \in \mathcal{T}_0^1(M)$ and $a, b \in A$.

In fact, by the definition of \tilde{T} , Lemma 3.12 and (6.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}(aX^A, bY^A) &= \tilde{\nabla}_{aX^A} bY^A - \tilde{\nabla}_{bY^A} aX^A - [aX^A, bY^A] \\ &= (ab)(\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X - [X, Y])^A = ab(T(X, Y))^A. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we see that $T = 0$ if and only if $\tilde{T} = 0$ (cf. Corollary 3.10).

Similarly we know that $\tilde{R}(aX^A, bY^A, cZ^A) = (abc)(R(X, Y, Z))^A$ for $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{T}_0^1(M)$ and $a, b, c \in A$, from which we see that $R = 0$ if and only if $\tilde{R} = 0$. The proof for the case ∇T and ∇R is similar.

7. Affine symmetric spaces

Lemma 7.1. Let Φ be a diffeomorphism of M onto M' , and let $X \in \mathcal{T}_0^1(M)$ and $a \in A$. Then we have

$$(7.1) \quad (T\Phi^A)(aX^A) = a((T\Phi)X)^A.$$

Proof. Take $f \in C^\infty(M)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi^A)^D(aX^A)f^A &= (aX^A)(f^A \circ \Phi^A) = (aX^A)(f \circ \Phi)^A = a \cdot X^A(f \circ \Phi)^A \\ &= a \cdot (X(f \circ \Phi))^A = a \cdot ((\Phi^D X)f)^A = a \cdot ((\Phi^D X)^A f^A) \\ &= (a(\Phi^D X)^A)f^A, \end{aligned}$$

from which follows (7.1).

Lemma 7.2. Let ∇ (resp. ∇') be an affine connection on M (resp. on M')

and let Φ be a diffeomorphism of M onto M' transforming ∇ onto ∇' . Then Φ^A transforms ∇^A onto ∇'^A .

Proof. Take $X, Y \in \mathcal{S}_0^1(M)$. Then we have, for $a, b \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} T\Phi^A(\nabla_{aX^A}^A bY^A) &= T\Phi^A(ab\nabla_X Y)^A = ab(T\Phi(\nabla_X Y))^A = ab(\nabla'_{T\Phi X} T\Phi Y)^A \\ &= \nabla'_{a(T\Phi X)^A} b(T\Phi Y)^A = \nabla'_{T\Phi^A(aX^A)} T\Phi^A(bY^A), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 7.1. Since X, Y, a, b are arbitrary, Lemma 7.2 follows.

Lemma 7.3. *Let $X \in \mathcal{S}_0^1(M)$, and $x_0 \in M$. Assume $X_{x_0} = 0$. Then $(X^A)_{\tilde{x}_0} = 0$, where $\tilde{x}_0 \in M^A$ is defined by $\tilde{x}_0(f) = f(x_0)$ for $f \in C^\infty(M)$.*

Proof. Let Φ^t be a local one-parameter group of local diffeomorphisms around x_0 generated by X . Then X^A generates the local group $(\Phi^t)^A$ around \tilde{x}_0 (cf. Remark 3.13). Since $\Phi^t(x_0) = x_0$, we get $(\Phi^t)^A(\tilde{x}_0) = \tilde{x}_0$ and therefore $(X^A)_{\tilde{x}_0} = 0$.

Lemma 7.4. *Let $\Phi: M \rightarrow M$ be a diffeomorphism such that there exist $x_0 \in M$ and $\alpha \in R$ with $\Phi(x_0) = x_0$ and $T_{x_0}\Phi = \alpha \cdot 1_{T_{x_0}M}$. Then $T_{\tilde{x}_0}\Phi^A = \alpha \cdot 1_{T_{\tilde{x}_0}M^A}$.*

Proof. Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a local coordinate system around x_0 . By Lemma 7.1 we have $T\Phi^A(\partial/\partial x_i)^A = (T\Phi(\partial/\partial x_i))^A$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Hence we get

$$T\Phi^A((\partial/\partial x_i)_{\tilde{x}_0}^A) = (T\Phi(\partial/\partial x_i)_{x_0})^A = (T\Phi(\partial/\partial x_i)_{x_0})_{\tilde{x}_0}^A.$$

Put $X = T\Phi(\partial/\partial x_i) - \alpha(\partial/\partial x_i)$. Then X is a vector field around x_0 on M with $X_{x_0} = 0$. Therefore by Lemma 7.3 we get $(X^A)_{\tilde{x}_0} = 0$, which implies

$$(T\Phi(\partial/\partial x_i)_{x_0})_{\tilde{x}_0}^A = (\alpha(\partial/\partial x_i)_{x_0})_{\tilde{x}_0}^A = \alpha \cdot (\partial/\partial x_i)_{\tilde{x}_0}^A.$$

Take an arbitrary $a \in A$. Then we have

$$T\Phi^A(a(\partial/\partial x_i)_{x_0}^A) = a \cdot (T\Phi(\partial/\partial x_i)_{x_0})_{\tilde{x}_0}^A = a \cdot \alpha(\partial/\partial x_i)_{x_0}^A = \alpha \cdot (a(\partial/\partial x_i)_{x_0}^A).$$

Since $\{a(\partial/\partial x_i)^A \mid a \in A\}$ span the tangent space $T_{\tilde{x}_0}M^A$ (cf. Lemma 3.9), we get $T_{\tilde{x}_0}\Phi^A = \alpha \cdot 1_{T_{\tilde{x}_0}M^A}$.

Corollary 7.5. *Let Φ be the affine symmetry at a point $x_0 \in M$ with respect to an affine connection ∇ on M . Then Φ^A is the affine symmetry of M^A at \tilde{x}_0 with respect to ∇^A .*

Proof. Since Φ leaves ∇ invariant, Φ^A leaves ∇^A invariant by Lemma 7.2. Next, since Φ is the affine symmetry we see that $T_{x_0}\Phi = -1_{T_{x_0}M}$. Thus by Lemma 7.4 we get $T_{\tilde{x}_0}\Phi^A = -1_{T_{\tilde{x}_0}M^A}$, which means that Φ^A is the affine symmetry at \tilde{x}_0 .

Proposition 7.6. *Let ∇ be an affine connection on M and let $X \in T_0^1(M)$ be an infinitesimal affine transformation of ∇ . Then, for any $a \in A$, aX^A is also an infinitesimal affine transformation of ∇^A .*

Proof. A necessary and sufficient condition for X to be an infinitesimal affine transformation of M is that

$$L_X \circ \nabla_Y - \nabla_Y \circ L_X = \nabla_{[X, Y]}$$

for every $Y \in \mathcal{T}_0^1(M)$, where L_X (or $L(X)$) denotes the Lie derivation with respect to X . Therefore we have to prove

$$(7.2) \quad L(a \cdot X^A)(\nabla^A(\tilde{Y}, K)) - \nabla^A(\tilde{Y}, L(aX^A)K) = \nabla^A([aX^A, \tilde{Y}], K)$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{T}(M^A)$ and $\tilde{Y} \in \mathcal{T}_0^1(M^A)$. To prove (7.2) it suffices to prove (7.2) for the special cases, where $\tilde{Y} = bY^A$ with $Y \in \mathcal{T}_0^1(M)$, $b \in A$, and $K = c \cdot Z^A$ or θ^A with $Z \in \mathcal{T}_0^1(M)$, $\theta \in \mathcal{T}_1^0(M)$ and $c \in A$. Moreover, to prove (7.2) for $K = \theta^A$, it suffices to prove it for $\theta = df$ with $f \in \mathcal{T}_0^0(M)$.

If $K = cZ^A$, we calculate as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{aX^A} \tilde{\nabla}_{bY^A} cZ^A - \tilde{\nabla}_{bY^A} L_{aX^A} cZ^A &= [aX^A, bc(\nabla_Y Z)^A] - \tilde{\nabla}_{bY^A}[aX^A, cZ^A] \\ &= abc[X, \nabla_Y Z]^A - bac(\nabla_Y[X, Z])^A \\ &= abc((L_X \nabla_Y - \nabla_Y L_X)Z)^A = abc(\nabla_{[X, Y]}Z)^A \\ &= \tilde{\nabla}_{[aX^A, bY^A]} cZ^A . \end{aligned}$$

If $K = df^A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_{aX^A} \tilde{\nabla}_{bY^A}(df^A) - \tilde{\nabla}_{bY^A} L_{aX^A}(df^A)(cZ^A) &= (aX^A)(\tilde{\nabla}_{bY^A} df^A)(cZ^A) - (\tilde{\nabla}_{bY^A} df^A)(aX^A, cZ^A) - (\tilde{\nabla}_{bY^A} d(aX^A f^A))(cZ^A) \\ &= (aX^A)\{(bY^A)(cZ^A)(f^A) - (\tilde{\nabla}_{bY^A} cZ^A)f^A\} \\ &\quad - \{bY^A[aX^A, cZ^A]f^A - (\tilde{\nabla}_{bY^A}[aX^A, cZ^A])f^A\} \\ &\quad - \{bY^A(cZ^A)(aX^A)f^A - (\tilde{\nabla}_{bY^A} cZ^A)(aX^A)f^A\} \\ &= abc(\{L_X \circ \nabla_Y - \nabla_Y \circ L_X\}(df)(Z))^A = abc((\nabla_{[X, Y]}(df))Z)^A \\ &= abc([X, Y]Zf - (\nabla_{[X, Y]}Z)f)^A \\ &= [aX^A, bY^A](cZ^A)f^A - (\tilde{\nabla}_{[aX^A, bY^A]} cZ^A)f^A \\ &= (\tilde{\nabla}_{[aX^A, bY^A]}(df)^A)(cZ^A) . \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 7.7. *Let M be an affine symmetric space with connection ∇ . Then M^A is also an affine symmetric space with connection ∇^A .*

Proof. Let G be the connected component of the group of all affine transformations of M . Then G operates transitively on M . Let X_1, \dots, X_m be a basis of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G . We denote by $X^* \in \mathcal{T}_0^1(M)$ the vector field induced by the one-parameter group of affine transformations generated by $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. Now we can show that aX^A is a left invariant vector field on the Lie group G^A and that $(a \cdot X^A)^* = a \cdot (X^*)^A$ holds for $a \in A$ (the detail will be omitted), which implies that $a \cdot (X^*)^A$ is complete, i.e., generates a global one-parameter group of affine transformations of M^A (cf. Proposition 7.6). Hence

we see that any element of G^A is an affine transformation of M^A . The transitivity of G shows that $\dim (\{X_x^* | X \in g\}) = \dim M$ for any $x \in M$, which implies

$$\dim (\{(a \cdot (X^*)^A)_{x'} | a \in A, X \in g\}) = \dim M^A$$

for any $x' \in M^A$ and hence the transitivity of G^A on M^A follows. On the other hand, by Corollary 7.5 we have an affine symmetry at \tilde{x}_0 of M^A for $x_0 \in M$. Hence M^A is affinely symmetric.

Proposition 7.8. *Let ∇ be an affine connection on M . If M^A is affinely symmetric with respect to ∇^A , then M is also so with respect to ∇ .*

Proof. Consider the map $\zeta: M \rightarrow M^A$ defined by $(\zeta(x))f = f(x)$ for $x \in M$, $f \in C^\infty(M)$. Let $\gamma: I \rightarrow M$ be a curve on M , where I is an open interval in R . Put $\tilde{\gamma} = \zeta \circ \gamma$. From (6.4), we see that

$$\tilde{I}_{(j,0)}^{(i,\lambda)}{}_{(k,0)}(\zeta(x)) = \delta_0^\lambda \Gamma_j^i{}_k(x)$$

for $i, j, k = 1, \dots, n; \lambda = 0, 1, \dots, N$, from which we can verify that γ is a geodesic on M if and only if $\tilde{\gamma}$ is so on M^A . Further, we can conclude that the submanifold $\tilde{M} = \zeta(M)$ is a totally geodesic submanifold of M^A with respect to ∇^A and that the induced affine connection ∇' on M is isomorphic with ∇ by the diffeomorphism $\zeta: M \rightarrow \tilde{M}$.

Now, take an arbitrary point $x \in M$ and consider $\tilde{x} = \zeta(x) \in M^A$. Since M^A is affinely symmetric, there exists an affine symmetry Φ of M^A at \tilde{x} . Since $T_{\tilde{x}}\Phi = -1_{T_{\tilde{x}}M^A}$, and \tilde{M} is totally geodesic, we see that $\Phi(\tilde{M}) = \tilde{M}$ and that $\Phi|_{\tilde{M}}: \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ is an affine transformation of ∇' . Then $\Phi|_{\tilde{M}}$ induces the affine symmetry $\Psi: M \rightarrow M$ of M at x .

References

- [1] C. Ehresmann, *Les prolongements d'une variété différentiable. I, Calcul des jets, prolongement principal*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **233** (1951) 598–600.
- [2] —, *Les prolongements d'une variété différentiable. II, L'espace des jets d'ordre r de V_n dans V_m* , C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **233** (1951) 777–779.
- [3] S. Helgason, *Differential geometry and symmetric spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1962.
- [4] A. J. Ledger & K. Yano, *The tangent bundle of a locally symmetric space*, J. London Math. Soc. **40** (1965) 487–492.
- [5] A. Morimoto, *Liftings of some types of tensor fields and connections to tangent bundles of p^r -velocities*, Nagoya Math. J. **40** (1970) 13–31.
- [6] —, *Prolongations of geometric structures*, Lecture Notes, Nagoya University, 1969.
- [7] A. Weil, *Théorie des points proches sur les variété différentiables*, Colloq. Topologie Géométrie Différentielle, Strasbourg, 1953, 111–117.
- [8] K. Yano & S. Ishihara, *Differential geometry of tangent bundles of order 2*, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. **20** (1968) 318–354.
- [9] —, *Tangent and cotangent bundles*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1973.
- [10] K. Yano & S. Kobayashi, *Prolongations of tensor fields and connections to tangent bundles. I*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **18** (1966) 194–210.