

# ON $D$ -ALGEBRAS BETWEEN $D[X]$ AND $\text{Int}(D)$

JEAN-LUC CHABERT AND ALI TAMOUSSIT

**ABSTRACT.** The aim of this paper is to study conditions on an integral domain  $D$  such that any  $D$ -algebra between the polynomial ring  $D[X]$  and the ring of integer-valued polynomials  $\text{Int}(D)$  is (locally) free. These results are then extended to several indeterminates.

## INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, we let  $D$  be an integral domain with quotient field  $K$ . The ring of integer-valued polynomials on  $D$  is defined as follows:

$$\text{Int}(D) := \{f \in K[X] \mid f(D) \subseteq D\}.$$

The ring  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  and, more generally, the rings  $\text{Int}(\mathcal{O}_K)$  where  $\mathcal{O}_K$  denotes the ring of integers of a number field  $K$ , were first studied by A. Ostrowski [16] and G. Pólya [17] in 1919 and, after a century of research, has become a classical object in commutative ring theory, number theory, and further areas of active research in mathematics. Particularly, Cahen *et al.* in [6] asked whether  $\text{Int}(D)$  is always (locally) free, or at least flat, as a  $D$ -module.

In a chronological overview of contributions concerning the module structure of integer-valued polynomial rings, Pólya [17] established in 1919 that  $\text{Int}(D)$  is a free  $D$ -module for all principal ideal domains  $D$ . Later, in 1971, Cahen & Chabert showed in [4, consequence of Corollaires (3), page 303] that  $\text{Int}(D)$  is a faithfully flat  $D$ -module for all Dedekind domains  $D$ . A year after, Cahen [3] proved that  $\text{Int}(D)$  is projective for all Dedekind domains  $D$ , while the first author [7] established that  $\text{Int}(D)$  is a free  $D$ -module with a regular basis, that is, a basis with exactly one polynomial for each degree, for all unique factorization domains  $D$ . In 1996, Cahen & Chabert noted in [5, Remark II.3.7] that the  $D$ -module  $\text{Int}(D)$  is free for all Dedekind domains  $D$ . In 2009, Elliott [11] showed that  $\text{Int}(D)$  is locally free if  $D$  is a PvMD such that  $\text{Int}(D)_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{Int}(D_{\mathfrak{p}})$  for every prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $D$ . That includes the case where  $D$  is a Krull domain or, more generally, a Krull-type domain. Finally, the

---

*Date:* October 10, 2023.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13F20, 16D40, 13F05.

*Key words and phrases.* Integer-valued polynomials, regular basis, locally free modules, locally essential domains.

second author showed in [15] that, for any locally essential domain  $D$ , the  $D$ -module  $\text{Int}(D)$  is always flat, and it is locally free under a hypothesis of good behavior under localization. Notably, the hypothesis of good behavior under localization plays a crucial role in investigating the local freeness of  $\text{Int}(D)$  as a  $D$ -module. The reader may consult the survey [19] for more information about the module structure of the integer-valued polynomial rings.

The main goal of this paper is to investigate the (local) freeness of  $D$ -algebras between  $D[X]$  and  $\text{Int}(D)$ . In particular, we show that every algebra  $\mathbb{B}$  over a locally essential domain  $D$  such that  $D[X] \subseteq \mathbb{B} \subseteq \text{Int}(D)$  is locally free without any hypothesis of good behavior under localization.

Before starting the next section, it seems convenient to introduce some remarkable  $D$ -algebras that lie between  $D[X]$  and  $\text{Int}(D)$ .

- For every overring  $R$  of  $D$ , the ring  $\text{Int}_R(D)$  of  $D$ -valued  $R$ -polynomials

$$\text{Int}_R(D) := \{f \in R[X] \mid f(D) \subseteq D\}. \quad [1]$$

- The rings  $\text{Int}^{\{k\}}(D)$  of polynomials that are integer-valued together with their *divided differences* up to the order  $k$  [2].

- The rings  $\text{Int}^{(k)}(D)$  (resp.,  $\text{Int}^{[k]}(D)$ ) of polynomials that are integer-valued on  $D$  together with their *derivatives* (resp., *finite differences*) of order up to  $k$  [5]. Also, the rings  $\text{Int}^{(\infty)}(D)$  (resp.,  $\text{Int}^{[\infty]}(D)$ ) of polynomials which are integer-valued together with their derivatives (resp., finite differences) of all orders.

- The *Bhargava ring* over  $D$  at  $x$  where  $x$  is any element of  $D$

$$\mathbb{B}_x(D) := \{f \in K[X] \mid \forall a \in D, f(xX + a) \in D[X]\} \quad [20].$$

- The ring of integer-valued polynomials on a torsion-free  $D$ -algebra  $A$  such that  $A \cap K = D$  with coefficients in  $K$  defined by

$$\text{Int}_K(A) := \{f \in K[X] \mid f(A) \subseteq A\} \quad [14].$$

- In particular, the rings  $\text{Int}_K(\mathcal{M}_n(D))$  and  $\text{Int}_K(T_n(D))$  defined by

$$\text{Int}_K(\mathcal{M}_n(D)) := \{f \in K[X] \mid f(\mathcal{M}_n(D)) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_n(D)\}$$

$$\text{and } \text{Int}_K(T_n(D)) := \{f \in K[X] \mid f(T_n(D)) \subseteq T_n(D)\}$$

where  $\mathcal{M}_n(D)$  denotes the ring of  $n \times n$  matrices with coefficients in  $D$  and  $T_n(D)$  the subring of  $\mathcal{M}_n(D)$  formed by triangular matrices [13].

## 1. LOCAL STUDY

We start by recalling some concepts and facts. So, let  $D$  be an integral domain with quotient field  $K$  and let  $\mathbb{B}$  be a  $D$ -algebra such that  $D[X] \subseteq \mathbb{B} \subseteq K[X]$ . To avoid the trivial case, we will assume that  $D \neq K$ .

Following [17], a basis of the  $D$ -module  $\mathbb{B}$  is said to be a *regular basis* if it is formed by exactly one polynomial of each degree.

Recall that the *characteristic ideal* of index  $n$  of the  $D$ -algebra  $\mathbb{B}$ , denoted by  $\mathfrak{J}_n(\mathbb{B})$ , is defined to be the set formed by 0 and the leading coefficients of the polynomials in  $\mathbb{B}$  of degree  $n$ . Note that  $D \subseteq \mathfrak{J}_n(\mathbb{B}) \subseteq K$ , and  $\mathfrak{J}_n(\mathbb{B}_1) \subseteq \mathfrak{J}_n(\mathbb{B}_2)$  for any two  $D$ -algebras  $\mathbb{B}_1$  and  $\mathbb{B}_2$  such that  $\mathbb{B}_1 \subseteq \mathbb{B}_2$ . Moreover, it is known that  $\mathbb{B}$  admits a regular basis if and only if the  $D$ -modules  $\mathfrak{J}_n(\mathbb{B})$  are principal fractional ideals of  $D$  (cf. [5, Proposition II.1.4]). In particular, for any principal ideal domain  $D$ , the  $D$ -algebra  $\mathbb{B}$  has a regular basis. More details on these concepts can be found in [9].

Based on the observation made in [5, Remark II.2.14], we can state the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $D$  be a local domain whose maximal ideal is principal generated by  $\pi$  and whose residue field is finite with cardinality  $q$ . Let  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{q-1}$  be a set of representatives of  $D$  modulo  $\pi D$ , and consider the sequence  $\underline{a} = \{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  defined by*

$$a_n = a_{n_0} + a_{n_1}\pi + \dots + a_{n_r}\pi^r$$

when

$$n = n_0 + n_1q + \dots + n_rq^r \text{ where } 0 \leq n_i < q.$$

Then,

- (1) for every  $x \in D$ ,  $\pi^{w_q(n)}$  divides  $\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (x - a_k)$  where  $w_q(n) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{q^k} \right\rfloor$ ,
- (2) the polynomials  $\binom{X}{0}_{\underline{a}} = 1$  and, for  $n > 0$ ,  $\binom{X}{n}_{\underline{a}} = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{X - a_k}{a_n - a_k}$  form a regular basis of  $\text{Int}(D)$ ,
- (3) for every  $n \geq 0$ ,  $\mathfrak{J}_n(\text{Int}(D)) = \frac{1}{\pi^{w_q(n)}} D$ ,
- (4) for every  $f \in K[X]$  of degree  $n$ , we have:

$$f \in \text{Int}(D) \Leftrightarrow f(a_0), f(a_1), \dots, f(a_n) \in D.$$

We state now our first main result.

**Theorem 1.2.** *If  $D$  is a local domain whose maximal ideal is principal generated by  $\pi$ , then every  $D$ -algebra  $\mathbb{B}$  such that  $D[X] \subseteq \mathbb{B} \subseteq \text{Int}(D)$  admits a regular basis. More precisely, for every  $n$ , the ideal  $\mathfrak{J}_n(\mathbb{B})$  is of the form  $\pi^{-s_n} D$  where  $0 \leq s_n \leq w_q(n)$  when the residue field of  $D$  is finite with cardinality  $q$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbb{B}$  be a  $D$ -algebra such that  $D[X] \subseteq \mathbb{B} \subseteq \text{Int}(D)$ . If the residue field of  $D$  is infinite, then  $\mathbb{B} = D[X] = \text{Int}(D)$ , and the conclusion is trivial. Thus, we may assume that the residue field of  $D$  is finite with cardinality  $q$ .

From the obvious containments  $D \subseteq \mathfrak{J}_n(\mathbb{B}) \subseteq \frac{1}{\pi^{w_q(n)}}D$ , we deduce that

$$\pi^{w_q(n)}D \subseteq \pi^{w_q(n)}\mathfrak{J}_n(\mathbb{B}) \subseteq D.$$

Let us prove that the entire ideal  $\mathfrak{a} = \pi^{w_q(n)}\mathfrak{J}_n(\mathbb{B})$  which contains  $\pi^{w_q(n)}$  is of the form  $\pi^r D$  where  $0 \leq r \leq w_q(n)$ . Clearly, if an integer  $s$  is such that  $\pi^{w_q(n)} \in \pi^s D$ , then  $s \leq w_q(n)$ , and hence, there is a greatest non-negative integer  $r$  such that  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \pi^r D$ . Assume that  $\mathfrak{a} \neq \pi^r D$  and let  $x \in \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a} \cap \pi^r D$ , then  $x = a\pi^r$  for some  $a \in D$ . If  $a$  is invertible, then  $\pi^r \in \mathfrak{a}$ , and this implies  $\mathfrak{a} = \pi^r D$ , contradicting our assumption. Thus, for all  $x \in \mathfrak{a}$ ,  $x = a\pi^r$  with  $a \in \pi D$ , which means that  $x \in \pi^{r+1}D$ , this is a contradiction since  $\mathfrak{a} \not\subseteq \pi^{r+1}D$ . Therefore,  $\mathfrak{a} = \pi^r D$ .

Consequently, we have  $\mathfrak{J}_n(\mathbb{B}) = \frac{1}{\pi^{w_q(n)-r}}D$  and so  $\mathfrak{J}_n(\mathbb{B})$  is a principal fractional ideal of  $D$  for all  $n$ . By [5, Proposition II.1.4], we deduce that  $\mathbb{B}$  admits a regular basis.  $\square$

In [5, Remark II.2.14], it is pointed out that: for any valuation domain  $V$ , the  $V$ -module  $\text{Int}(V)$  has a regular basis. This result has been recently generalized by the second author in [18] to the case of  $\text{Int}_R(V)$ , where  $R$  is an overring of  $V$ . As an application of Theorem 1.2, we can now extend this result to any  $V$ -algebra  $\mathbb{B}$  satisfying  $V[X] \subseteq \mathbb{B} \subseteq \text{Int}(V)$ .

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $V$  be a valuation domain. Then every  $V$ -algebra  $\mathbb{B}$  such that  $V[X] \subseteq \mathbb{B} \subseteq \text{Int}(V)$  admits a regular basis.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the maximal ideal of  $V$  and let  $\mathbb{B}$  be a  $V$ -algebra between  $V[X]$  and  $\text{Int}(V)$ . If  $\mathfrak{m}$  is not principal or its residue field is infinite, then  $\text{Int}(V)$  is just  $V[X]$  by [5, Proposition I.3.16]. In this case,  $\mathbb{B} = V[X]$  has a regular basis. On the other hand, if  $\mathfrak{m}$  is principal and its residue field is finite, then Theorem 1.2 implies that  $\mathbb{B}$  has a regular basis.  $\square$

**Remark 1.4.** We could wonder whether the previous study in the local case, where  $\mathfrak{m} = \pi D$ , may be extended, or not, to  $D$ -algebras contained in rings larger than  $\text{Int}(D)$ , such as rings of the form  $\text{Int}(E, D) = \{f \in K[X] \mid f(E) \subseteq D\}$  where  $E$  is a subset of  $D$ . Obviously, a necessary condition is that  $\text{Int}(E, D)$  itself admits a regular basis. In particular,  $E$  has to be infinite since else, if  $E = \{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$  then, for every  $g \in K[X]$ ,  $g(X) \prod_{j=1}^r (X - a_j)$  belongs to  $\text{Int}(E, D)$ , which implies that  $\mathfrak{J}_n(\text{Int}(E, D)) = K$  for all  $n \geq r$ . On the other hand, the following assertion gives a sufficient condition that allows to extend Theorem 1.2.

**Proposition 1.5.** *If  $D$  is a local domain whose maximal  $\mathfrak{m}$  is principal and if  $E$  is a subset of  $D$  which meets infinitely many distinct residue classes of  $D$  modulo*

$\mathfrak{p} = \cap_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{m}^n$ , then every  $D$ -algebra  $\mathbb{B}$  such that  $D[X] \subseteq \mathbb{B} \subseteq \text{Int}(E, D)$  admits a regular basis.

*Proof.* Let  $\pi \in D$  be such that  $\mathfrak{m} = \pi D$ . The fact that  $E$  meets infinitely many distinct residue classes of  $D$  modulo the prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} = \cap_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{m}^n$  implies that  $\text{Int}(E, D) \subseteq D_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]$ , but also that the characteristic subsets  $\mathfrak{J}_n(\text{Int}(E, D))$  are all of the form  $\frac{1}{\pi^s} D$  for some non-negative integer  $s$ . Indeed, let  $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  be an infinite sequence of elements of  $E$  that are in distinct classes modulo  $\mathfrak{p}$  and, for each  $n$ , consider the Vandermonde determinant  $V(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n) = \prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} (a_j - a_i)$ . As  $V(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n) \notin \mathfrak{p}$ , there exists an integer  $r$  such that  $V(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathfrak{m}^r \setminus \mathfrak{m}^{r+1}$ , and hence,  $\pi^r \mathfrak{J}_n(\text{Int}(E, D)) \subseteq D$  by [5, Proposition I.3.1]. Thus,  $\mathfrak{J}_n(\text{Int}(E, D)) = \frac{1}{\pi^s} D$  and we may conclude as in Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

In the case where  $\cap_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{m}^n = (0)$ , the previous sufficient condition on  $E$  just means that  $E$  is infinite which is a necessary condition by Remark 1.4. The next assertion shows such an example.

**Proposition 1.6** ([5, Corollary II.1.6]). *Let  $D$  be a principal ideal domain and let  $E$  be a subset of  $D$ . Every  $D$ -algebra  $\mathbb{B}$  such that  $D[X] \subseteq \mathbb{B} \subseteq \text{Int}(E, D)$  has a regular basis if and only if  $E$  is infinite.*

This is a global result that naturally leads us to our next section.

## 2. GLOBALIZATION

Let us first recall some concepts.

A prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $D$  is called *int prime* if  $\text{Int}(D) \not\subseteq D_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]$  and it is called *polynomial prime* if  $\text{Int}(D) \subseteq D_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]$ . If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a polynomial prime we also have that  $\text{Int}(D)_{\mathfrak{p}} = D_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]$ .

For a  $D$ -module  $\mathbb{B}$ , we say that  $\mathbb{B}$  is *locally free* if, for each maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $D$ , the  $D_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -module  $\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is free. Moreover, if  $D[X] \subseteq \mathbb{B} \subseteq K[X]$ , we say that  $\mathbb{B}$  has *locally a regular basis* if, for each maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $D$ , the  $D_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -algebra  $\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{m}}$  has a regular basis. From these definitions, we deduce immediately the following implications:

$\mathbb{B}$  has locally a regular basis  $\Rightarrow \mathbb{B}$  is locally free  $\Rightarrow \mathbb{B}$  is (faithfully) flat.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $D$  be an integral domain such that  $\mathfrak{m}D_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is principal for every int prime ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $D$ . Then every  $D$ -algebra  $\mathbb{B}$  such that  $D[X] \subseteq \mathbb{B} \subseteq \text{Int}(D)$  has locally a regular basis and so it is locally free.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be a maximal ideal of  $D$  and let  $\mathbb{B}$  be a  $D$ -algebra between  $D[X]$  and  $\text{Int}(D)$ . We have then two possible cases:

*Case 1:*  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a polynomial prime of  $D$ . Since  $\text{Int}(D)_{\mathfrak{m}} = D_{\mathfrak{m}}[X]$  and  $D_{\mathfrak{m}}[X] \subseteq \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{m}} \subseteq \text{Int}(D)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ , we deduce that  $\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{m}} = D_{\mathfrak{m}}[X]$ , and then  $\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{m}}$  has a regular basis.

*Case 2:*  $\mathfrak{m}$  is an int prime of  $D$ . By assumption, the maximal ideal of  $D_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is principal and then it follows from Theorem 1.2 that  $\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{m}}$  has a regular basis because  $D_{\mathfrak{m}}[X] \subseteq \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{m}} \subseteq \text{Int}(D)_{\mathfrak{m}} \subseteq \text{Int}(D_{\mathfrak{m}})$ .

Therefore, in both cases,  $\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{m}}$  has a regular basis, and thus the  $D$ -algebra  $\mathbb{B}$  has locally a regular basis, as wanted.  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.** Let  $D = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$  be the ring of integers of the number field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$ . In [5, Exercise II.30], it is shown that  $\text{Int}(D)$  is free as a  $D$ -module but has no regular basis. However, Proposition 2.1 implies that  $\text{Int}(D)$  has locally a regular basis.

We say that  $D$  is an *essential domain* if there exists a set  $\mathcal{P}$  consisting of prime ideals of  $D$  such that the following two properties hold:

- (1)  $D = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}} D_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and
- (2)  $D_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a valuation domain for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}$ ,

in which case we say that  $D$  is *essential with defining family*  $\mathcal{P}$ . In addition,  $D$  is called *locally essential* if the localization of  $D$  at any prime ideal is essential. Relevant examples of locally essential domains include PvMDs and almost Krull domains (Recall that an *almost Krull domain* is an integral domain whose localizations at maximal ideals are Krull domains, and a *PvMD* is an integral domain whose localizations at maximal  $t$ -ideals are valuation domains).

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $D$  be a locally essential domain. Then every  $D$ -algebra  $\mathbb{B}$  such that  $D[X] \subseteq \mathbb{B} \subseteq \text{Int}(D)$  has locally a regular basis and hence it is locally free.*

The assertion is an easy consequence of the following lemma together with Corollary 1.3.

**Lemma 2.4.** *If  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a maximal ideal of a locally essential domain  $D$  then, either  $D_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is a valuation domain, or  $\text{Int}(D_{\mathfrak{m}}) = D_{\mathfrak{m}}[X]$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $D_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is not a valuation domain, then  $D_{\mathfrak{m}} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(D), \mathfrak{p} \subsetneq \mathfrak{m}} D_{\mathfrak{p}}$  since  $D_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is an essential domain. Consequently,

$$\text{Int}(D_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \text{Int}(D, D_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq \mathfrak{m}} \text{Int}(D, D_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq \mathfrak{m}} \text{Int}(D_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq \mathfrak{m}} D_{\mathfrak{p}}[X] = D_{\mathfrak{m}}[X].$$

The two first equalities follows from [5, Corollary I.2.6] and the penultimate equality follows from the fact that  $\text{Int}(D)_{\mathfrak{p}} = D_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]$  for all primes  $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{m}$  since being non-maximal these ideals have infinite residue rings.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.3.* Once more, for every maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $D$ , we have the containments  $D_{\mathfrak{m}}[X] \subseteq \mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{m}} \subseteq \text{Int}(D)_{\mathfrak{m}} \subseteq \text{Int}(D_{\mathfrak{m}})$ . By Lemma 2.4, either  $D_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is a valuation domain and we conclude with Corollary 1.3, or  $\text{Int}(D_{\mathfrak{m}}) = D_{\mathfrak{m}}[X]$  and  $\mathbb{B}_{\mathfrak{m}} = D_{\mathfrak{m}}[X]$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.5.** In [11, Proposition 3.5], [12, Theorem 1.2(a)], [15, Theorem 1], and [18, Theorem 18(3)], the authors assumed that  $\text{Int}(D)$  behaves well under localization, that is,  $\text{Int}(D)_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{Int}(D_{\mathfrak{p}})$  for  $\mathfrak{p}$  in a specified subset of  $\text{Spec}(D)$ , when dealing with the local freeness of  $\text{Int}(D)$ , or more generally  $\text{Int}_R(D)$ . However, Examples 6.2 and 6.5 of [8] provide almost Dedekind domains  $D$  with finite residue fields such that  $\text{Int}(D)$  does not behave well under localization, i.e.,  $\text{Int}(D_{\mathfrak{m}}) \neq \text{Int}(D)_{\mathfrak{m}}$  for some maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $D$ . Nonetheless, we see easily that the assumption of good behavior under localization is not necessary for the cited results at the beginning of this remark.

We next provide some illustrative examples.

**Example 2.6.** Let  $D = \mathbb{Z} + T\mathbb{Q}[T]$ , where  $T$  is an indeterminate over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and let  $\mathbb{B}$  be a  $D$ -algebra between  $D[X]$  and  $\text{Int}(D)$ . It is known that the integral domain  $D$  is Prüfer, thus it follows from Theorem 2.3 that  $\mathbb{B}$  is a locally free  $D$ -module.

**Example 2.7.** Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be the ring of entire functions and set  $D := \mathcal{E} + T\mathcal{E}_S[T]$ , where  $T$  is an indeterminate over  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $S$  is the multiplicative subset generated by the prime elements of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Let  $\mathbb{B}$  be a  $D$ -algebra between  $D[X]$  and  $\text{Int}(D)$ .

According to [21, Example 2.6],  $D$  is a locally essential domain which is neither PvMD nor almost Krull. By Theorem 2.3,  $\mathbb{B}$  is locally free as a  $D$ -module.

### 3. SEVERAL INDETERMINATES

The previous results may be extended to several indeterminates. Let  $n$  be a fix positive integer and consider the ring of integer-valued polynomials on  $D$  in  $n$  variables:

$$\text{Int}(D^n, D) = \{f \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n] \mid f(D^n) \subseteq D\}.$$

More generally, for every subset  $\underline{E}$  of  $D^n$ , we consider the ring

$$\text{Int}(\underline{E}, D) = \{f \in K[X_1, \dots, X_n] \mid f(\underline{E}) \subseteq D\}.$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\underline{E}$  be a subset of  $D^n$  of the form  $\prod_{j=1}^n E_j$  where  $E_j \subseteq D$ . Assume that, for  $0 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $\text{Int}(E_j, D)$  admits a regular basis  $\{f_{j,k}\}_{k \geq 0}$ . Then, the  $D$ -module  $\text{Int}(\underline{E}, D)$  admits the regular basis  $\{\prod_{j=1}^n f_{j,k_j}\}_{\underline{k}=(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n}$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is very similar to that of [5, Proposition XI.1.13]. Since there is one and only one polynomial of each multi-degree  $\underline{k}$  in  $\{\prod_{j=1}^n f_{j,k_j}\}_{(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n}$ , this is a basis of the  $K$ -vector space  $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ . Let  $h \in \text{Int}(\underline{E}, D)$  and write  $h(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{\underline{k}=(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n} c_{\underline{k}} f_{1,k_1}(X_1) \cdots f_{n,k_n}(X_n)$  with  $c_{\underline{k}}$ 's belonging to  $K$ . For simplicity we prove that the  $c_{\underline{k}}$ 's, that are uniquely determined, belong to  $D$  in the case  $n = 2$ .



Thus, with obvious notation, let  $h(X, Y) = \sum_{k,l} c_{k,l} f_k(X) g_l(Y) \in \text{Int}(E \times F, D)$ . For every element  $a \in E$ ,  $h(a, Y) = \sum_{k,l} c_{k,l} f_k(a) g_l(Y) \in \text{Int}(F, Y)$ , and hence, for each  $l$ ,  $\sum_k c_{k,l} f_k(a) \in D$ , that is,  $\sum_k c_{k,l} f_k(X) \in \text{Int}(E, D)$ . Consequently,  $c_{k,l} \in D$  for all  $k$  and  $l$ .  $\square$

To study the case of subsets  $\underline{E}$  of  $D^n$  that are not of the previous form, we consider a total order on the monomials of  $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ , for instance, the lexicographic order on  $\mathbb{N}^n$ , that is,  $\underline{k} < \underline{h}$  if and only if there exists a smallest  $j$  such that  $k_j \neq h_j$  and, for this  $j$ ,  $k_j < h_j$ .

**Notation.** For every  $D$ -algebra  $\mathbb{B}$  such that  $D[X_1, \dots, X_n] \subseteq \mathbb{B} \subseteq \text{Int}(D^n, D)$  and every  $\underline{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ , we denote by  $\mathfrak{J}_{\underline{k}}(\mathbb{B})$  the fractional ideal formed by the leading coefficients, with respect to the lexicographic order, of the polynomials of  $\mathbb{B}$  of multi-degree  $\underline{k}$ .

For  $\mathbb{B} = \text{Int}(D^n, D)$ , we write  $\mathfrak{J}_{\underline{k}}$  instead of  $\mathfrak{J}_{\underline{k}}(\text{Int}(D^n, D))$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\mathbb{B}$  be a  $D$ -algebra such that  $D[X_1, \dots, X_n] \subseteq \mathbb{B} \subseteq K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ . The  $D$ -module  $\mathbb{B}$  admits a regular basis if and only if  $\mathfrak{J}_{\underline{k}}(\mathbb{B})$  is a principal fractional ideal of  $D$  for all  $\underline{k} = \{k_1, \dots, k_n\} \in \mathbb{N}^n$ .*

*Proof.* The necessary condition is obvious. Let us prove that it is enough. For each  $\underline{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ , let  $f_{\underline{k}} \in \mathbb{B}$  whose leading coefficient generates the principal fractional ideal  $\mathfrak{J}_{\underline{k}}(\mathbb{B})$ . The  $f_{\underline{k}}$ 's form a basis of  $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ . Thus, if  $h \in \mathbb{B}$ , we may write  $h = \sum_{\underline{k}} c_{\underline{k}} f_{\underline{k}}$  where the  $c_{\underline{k}}$ 's  $\in K$  are uniquely determined. Let  $\underline{k}_0$  be the largest multi-degree such that  $c_{\underline{k}_0} \neq 0$ . By definition of  $\mathfrak{J}_{\underline{k}_0}(\mathbb{B})$ ,  $c_{\underline{k}_0} \in D$ . Hence,  $c_{\underline{k}_0} f_{\underline{k}_0} \in \mathbb{B}$  and  $f_1 = h - c_{\underline{k}_0} f_{\underline{k}_0} \in \mathbb{B}$  also. Then,  $\deg f_1 < \deg h$ . If  $f_1 \neq 0$ , we consider the largest multi-degree of  $f_1$  such that  $c_{\underline{k}_1} \neq 0$ . And so on, until we obtain 0. Then, we have proved that all the  $c_{\underline{k}}$ 's are in  $D$ .  $\square$

The analog of Theorem 1.2 becomes:

**Theorem 3.3.** *If  $D$  is a local domain whose maximal ideal is principal generated by  $\pi$  with finite residue field of cardinality  $q$ , then*

- (1)  *$\text{Int}(D^n, D)$  admits a regular basis. More precisely, for every  $\underline{k} = \{k_1, \dots, k_n\}$ , the ideal  $\mathfrak{J}_{\underline{k}}$  is equal to  $\prod_{j=1}^n \mathfrak{J}_{k_j} = \pi^{-\sum_{j=1}^n w_q(k_j)} D$ , and hence, is principal.*
- (2) *Every  $D$ -algebra  $\mathbb{B}$  such that  $D[X_1, \dots, X_n] \subseteq \mathbb{B} \subseteq \text{Int}(D^n, D)$  admits a regular basis. More precisely, for every  $\underline{k} = \{k_1, \dots, k_n\}$ , the ideal  $\mathfrak{J}_{\underline{k}}(\mathbb{B})$  is of the form  $\pi^{-\sum_{j=1}^n s_j} D$  where  $0 \leq s_j \leq w_q(k_j)$ , and hence, is principal.*

*Proof.* The first assertion follow from Lemmas 1.1 and 3.1. For the second assertion note first that  $D \subseteq \mathfrak{J}_{\underline{k}}(\mathbb{B}) \subseteq \mathfrak{J}_{\underline{k}}$ , and hence, the last sentence of Theorem 3.3 follows from the proof of Theorem 1.2. We may end with Lemma 3.2.  $\square$



In the case where the residue field of the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of a local domain  $D$  is infinite, we use the following result.

**Proposition 3.4** ([5, Proposition XI.1.10]). *If  $\text{Int}(D)$  is trivial, then  $\text{Int}(D^n, D)$  is trivial for every  $n$ .*

**Corollary 3.5.** *If  $D$  is a local domain whose maximal ideal is principal, then every  $D$ -algebra  $\mathbb{B}$  such that  $D[X_1, \dots, X_n] \subseteq \mathbb{B} \subseteq \text{Int}(D^n, D)$  admits a regular basis.*

*Proof.* This is a consequence of Theorem 3.3 and Proposition 3.4.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $V$  be a valuation domain. Then every  $V$ -algebra  $\mathbb{B}$  such that  $V[X_1, \dots, X_n] \subseteq \mathbb{B} \subseteq \text{Int}(V^n, V)$  admits a regular basis.*

*Proof.* This is a consequence of Corollary 3.5 if the maximal ideal of  $V$  is principal. If not, we know that  $\text{Int}(D) = D[X]$ , and hence, by Proposition 3.4,  $\text{Int}(D^n, D) = D[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ . Thus, for each maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $D$ , we have:

$$D_{\mathfrak{m}}[X_1, \dots, X_n] \subseteq \mathbb{B} \subseteq \text{Int}(D^n, D)_{\mathfrak{m}} \subseteq \text{Int}(D^n, D_{\mathfrak{m}}) = D_{\mathfrak{m}}[X_1, \dots, X_n]. \quad \square$$

Thanks to Lemma 2.4, Corollary 3.6 leads by globalization to the following.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $D$  be a locally essential domain. Then every  $D$ -algebra  $\mathbb{B}$  such that  $D[X_1, \dots, X_n] \subseteq \mathbb{B} \subseteq \text{Int}(D^n, D)$  has locally a regular basis, and hence, is locally free.*

**Remark 3.8.** For any set of variables  $\underline{X}$ , let:

$$\text{Int}(D^{\underline{X}}, D) := \{f \in K[\underline{X}] \mid f(D^{\underline{X}}) \subseteq D\}.$$

As any fixed polynomial contains only finitely many variables, we can write:

$$\text{Int}(D^{\underline{X}}, D) = \bigcup_{\substack{\underline{Y} \subseteq \underline{X} \\ \underline{Y} \text{ finite}}} \text{Int}(D^{\underline{Y}}, D) \quad (\text{See [10, Lemma 2.3]}).$$

Thus, assertions obtained for finitely many variables may be easily extended to infinitely many. For instance, for any set  $\underline{X}$  of variables, if  $D$  is a local domain whose maximal ideal is principal,  $\text{Int}(D^{\underline{X}}, D)$  admits a regular basis.

## REFERENCES

- [1] D.D. Anderson, D.F. Anderson and M. Zafrullah, Rings between  $D[X]$  and  $K[X]$ , Houston J. Math. **17** (1991), 109–129. (cited on page 2)
- [2] M. Bhargava,  $P$ -orderings and polynomial functions on arbitrary subsets of Dedekind rings, J. reine angew. Math. **490** (1997), 101–127. (cited on page 2)
- [3] P.-J. Cahen, Polynômes à valeurs entières, Canad. J. Math. **24** (1972), 747–754. (cited on page 1)
- [4] P.-J. Cahen and J.-L. Chabert, Coefficients et valeurs d'un polynôme, Bull. SC. Math. Série 2, **95** (1971), 295–304. (cited on page 1)

- [5] P.-J. Cahen and J.-L. Chabert, *Integer-Valued Polynomials*, Math. Surveys Monogr., vol. 48, Amer. Math. Soc., (1997). (cited on pages 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 9)
- [6] P.-J. Cahen, M. Fontana, S. Frisch and S. Glaz, Open problems in commutative ring theory, *Commutative Algebra: Recent Advances in Commutative Rings, Integer-Valued Polynomials, and Polynomial Functions*, M. Fontana, S. Frisch and S. Glaz (editors), Springer (2014), 293–305. (cited on page 1)
- [7] J.-L. Chabert, Anneaux de Fatou. Anneaux de polynômes à valeurs entières, Publications mathématiques et informatique de Rennes, no. 4 (1972), Exposé no. 8, 13 p. (cited on page 1)
- [8] J.-L. Chabert, Integer-valued polynomials, Prüfer domains and localization, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **118** (4) (1993), 1061–1073. (cited on page 7)
- [9] J.-L. Chabert, Integer-valued polynomials: looking for regular bases (a survey), Commutative algebra, Springer, New York, 2014, 83–111. (cited on page 3)
- [10] J. Elliott, Universal properties of integer-valued polynomial rings, J. Algebra **318** (2007), 68–92. (cited on page 9)
- [11] J. Elliott, Some new approaches to integer-valued polynomial rings, in: *Commutative Algebra and its Applications*, Proceedings of the Fifth International Fez Conference on Commutative Algebra and Applications, Eds. Fontana, Kabbaj, Olberding, and Swanson, de Gruyter, New York, (2009), 223–237. (cited on pages 1 and 7)
- [12] J. Elliott, Integer-valued polynomial rings,  $t$ -closure, and associated primes, Comm. Algebra **39** (11) (2011), 4128–4147. (cited on page 7)
- [13] S. Evrard, Y. Fares and K. Johnson, Integer-valued polynomials on lower triangular integer matrices, Monatsh. Math. **170** (2013), 147–160. (cited on page 2)
- [14] S. Frisch, Integer-valued polynomials on algebras, J. Algebra **373** (2013), 414–425. (cited on page 2)
- [15] L. Izelgue, A. Mimouni and A. Tamoussit, On the module structure of the integer-valued polynomial rings, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **43** (2020), 2687–2699. (cited on pages 2 and 7)
- [16] A. Ostrowski, Über ganzwertige Polynome in algebraischen Zahlkörpern, J. Reine Angew. Math. **149** (1919), 117–124. (cited on page 1)
- [17] G. Pólya, Über Ganzwertige Polynome in algebraischen Zahlkörpern, J. Reine Angew. Math. **149**(1919), 79–116. (cited on pages 1 and 3)
- [18] A. Tamoussit, On the ring of  $D$ -valued  $R$ -polynomials over  $E$ , J. Algebra Appl. **21** (5) (2022), 2250087, <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219498822500876> (cited on pages 4 and 7)
- [19] A. Tamoussit, A survey on flatness in integer-valued polynomial rings, in: Algebraic, Number Theoretic, and Topological Aspects of Ring Theory, Jean-Luc Chabert, Marco Fontana, Sophie Frisch, Sarah Glaz, and Keith Johnson (eds.), Springer (2023), [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-28847-0\\_23](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-28847-0_23) (cited on page 2)
- [20] J. Yeramian, Anneaux de Bhargava, Comm. Algebra **32** (8) (2004), 3043–3069. (cited on page 2)
- [21] M. Zafrullah, The  $D + XD_S[X]$  construction from GCD-domains, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **50** (1988), 93–107. (cited on page 7)

(CHABERT) LAMFA CNRS-UMR 7352, UNIVERSITÉ DE PICARDIE, 80039 AMIENS, FRANCE.  
*Email address:* `jean-luc.chabert@u-picardie.fr`

(TAMOUSSIT) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE REGIONAL CENTER FOR EDUCATION AND TRAINING PROFESSIONS SOUSS MASSA, INEZGANE, MOROCCO.

LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS AND APPLICATIONS (LMA), FACULTY OF SCIENCES, IBN ZOHR UNIVERSITY, AGADIR, MOROCCO.

*Email address:* `a.tamoussit@crme fsm.ac.ma`, `tamoussit2009@gmail.com`