

Truncated path algebras and Betti numbers of polynomial growth Ryan Coopergard and Marju Purin





# Truncated path algebras and Betti numbers of polynomial growth

Ryan Coopergard and Marju Purin

(Communicated by Kenneth S. Berenhaut)

We investigate a class of truncated path algebras in which the Betti numbers of a simple module satisfy a polynomial of arbitrarily large degree. We produce truncated path algebras where the *i*-th Betti number of a simple module *S* is  $\beta_i(S) = i^k$  for  $2 \le k \le 4$  and provide a result of the existence of algebras where  $\beta_i(S)$  is a polynomial of degree 4 or less with nonnegative integer coefficients. In particular, we prove that this class of truncated path algebras produces Betti numbers corresponding to any polynomial in a certain family.

# 1. Introduction

We consider finite-dimensional algebras  $\Lambda$  over an algebraically closed field with rad<sup>2</sup>  $\Lambda = 0$ , where rad  $\Lambda$  denotes the Jacobson radical of the algebra. We work with these algebras by representing them as quotients of path algebras. The motivation behind investigating these algebras lies in the universality of path algebras. Namely, any finite-dimensional algebra over an algebraically closed field is a quotient of a path algebra. We use quivers (directed graphs) to write down these algebras and provide numerous examples along the way.

We study modules by means of their projective resolutions. Betti numbers are of particular interest in examining the projective resolutions of modules as they provide a method of describing the growth of resolutions. Such growth was examined in the groundbreaking paper [Tate 1957] in the setting of commutative rings and in [Alperin and Evens 1981] for group algebras. Since then the growth of resolutions has been shown to be related to many fundamental properties of an algebra such as, for example, the representation type of an algebra [Diveris and Purin 2014; Erdmann et al. 2004] or codimension of a commutative ring [Avramov 1998; Avramov and Buchweitz 2000; Avramov et al. 1997; Eisenbud 1980].

A fundamental question that is driving our work in this paper is to determine which polynomials are eventually realizable as sequences of Betti numbers. To this

MSC2010: primary 16P90; secondary 16P10, 16G20.

Keywords: finite-dimensional algebra, Betti number, path algebra, quiver.

end we introduce a particular class of path algebras, namely those given by quivers of the form



where the  $x_i$  and  $y_{n+1}$  are positive integers and  $y_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$ , are nonnegative integers that represent the number of arrows between vertices. To clarify, the general n = 0 case is of the form



and the general n = 1 case is of the form



From here on, we refer to these algebras as *pyramidal algebras*. Given a pyramidal algebra  $\Lambda$ , we refer to the quotient algebra  $\Lambda/\operatorname{rad}^m \Lambda$  as an *m-pyramidal algebra*. For the majority of the paper, we consider only 2-pyramidal algebras and briefly discuss the more general version at the end.

A key result in the paper is to show that 2-pyramidal algebras have a simple module whose Betti numbers have polynomial growth of arbitrarily high degree. More precisely, the Betti numbers over algebras of pyramidal form satisfy  $\beta_i(S_1) = p_n(i)$ , where  $S_1$  is the simple module at vertex 1 and  $p_n$  is a polynomial of degree *n*. In addition to proving this result, we provide examples of algebras with particularly interesting behavior of Betti numbers. We end with an application of our work to a question about the existence of algebras in which  $\beta_i(S_1)$  is a polynomial of a specific form.

# 2. Preliminaries

A quiver is a set of vertices and arrows (an oriented graph). In this paper we work with finite quivers, that is, quivers with finitely many vertices and arrows. Furthermore, we assume that the quiver is connected, which means that the underlying graph is connected. We concatenate arrows to form paths in the quiver. In addition, there is a trivial path at each vertex, which we denote by  $e_i$  for vertex *i*.

A path algebra over a field k is the k-vector space that has as its basis the set of all paths. The multiplication of paths is given by concatenation of compatible paths. For incompatible paths the product is zero. With this operation the set of paths has a natural structure as a k-algebra. Furthermore, the Jacobson radical of the algebra is simply the ideal generated by the set of all arrows.

**Example 2.1.** We illustrate the above notions with the 2-pyramidal algebra where  $y_1 = 1$ :



The quiver above has two vertices and two arrows. As for paths, there are four nonzero paths: the two trivial paths  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  and two arrows  $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ . Some examples of multiplication are:  $e_1 \cdot \alpha = \alpha$ ,  $\alpha \cdot e_2 = \alpha$ ,  $\alpha \cdot \beta = \alpha\beta = 0$  (as the path lies in rad<sup>2</sup>  $\Lambda$ ), and  $\beta \cdot \alpha = 0$  (as the arrows are incompatible).

In this paper we work with finitely generated right modules over finite-dimensional algebras. Every such module has a projective cover and consequently a minimal projective resolution over the algebra. For a path algebra, the number of indecomposable nonisomorphic projective modules corresponds to the number of vertices in the quiver of the algebra. In particular, there are only finitely many such projective modules, while there can be infinitely many indecomposable nonisomorphic modules over such algebras. Therefore projective modules, by means of resolutions, provide a method of studying any module over a finite-dimensional algebra.

We measure the complexity of an algebra by measuring the complexity of the projective resolutions of the modules over the algebra. We do this by examining the growth of the Betti sequence of the resolutions. For  $m \ge 0$ , the *m*-th term, the *m*-th Betti number, is the number of indecomposable projective modules at the *m*-th step of the resolution. Thus, faster growth of a Betti sequence corresponds to a higher-complexity module.

It suffices to examine the resolutions of the simple modules as the fastest growth rate is always realized by a simple module. The goal in this paper is precisely this — to examine the resolutions of simple modules.

Throughout the paper we use the following notation. We denote by  $S_n$  the simple module at vertex n. For  $i \ge 0$ , the *i*-th term in a projective resolution of a module M is denoted by  $P_i(M)$  and the *i*-th Betti number is  $\beta_i(M)$ .

We also make use of dimension vectors of modules. The dimension vector of a module M represents the element [M] in the Grothendieck group  $K_0(\Lambda)$ corresponding to M, where  $K_0(\Lambda)$  is the free abelian group on a set of isomorphism classes of the simple  $\Lambda$ -modules. As such, dimension vectors record the multiplicity of each composition factor in the composition series of the module. For ease of notation,  $k_i$  copies of  $S_i$  in the composition series of a module M are denoted by  $1^{k_1}2^{k_2}\cdots t^{k_i}$ . In particular, we are not tracking the radical layers in which the composition factors occur.

**Example 2.2.** The 2-pyramidal algebra in Example 2.1 has two nonisomorphic simple modules, one at each vertex, denoted by  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ . The projective covers of the simple modules can be obtained by recording the maximal path starting at the corresponding vertex, keeping in mind that in a 2-pyramidal algebra the composite of any two arrows vanishes. Thus, the projective cover of the simple module  $S_1 = 1$  is  $P_0(S_1) = \frac{1}{2} = 12$ , the projective cover of  $S_2 = 2$  is  $P_0(S_2) = \frac{2}{2} = 2^2$ .

Note that the zeroth Betti number, corresponding to the zeroth step in the projective resolution, is 1. This will always be the case, and for this reason we will ignore the zeroth Betti number and consider only  $\beta_k$  with  $k \ge 1$  for the remainder of this paper. The first syzygy, denoted by  $\Omega^1(S_1)$ , in the projective resolution of  $S_1$  is the kernel of the epimorphism  $P_0(S_1) \rightarrow S_1$ . It has dimension vector  $\Omega^1(S_1) = 2$ . A projective resolution of  $S_1$  is obtained by iterating the process and finding a projective cover, denoted by  $P_1(S_1)$ , for the syzygy  $\Omega^1(S_1) = 2$ . We obtain the resolution

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{2}{_2} \xrightarrow{2}{_2} \xrightarrow{2}{_2} \xrightarrow{2}{_2} \xrightarrow{1}{_2} \xrightarrow{1}{_2}$$

In other words, we have  $P_i(S_1) = \frac{2}{2}$  and syzygies  $\Omega^i(S_1) = 2$  for i > 0. The Betti sequence is the constant sequence  $\beta_i(S_1) = 1$  for  $i \ge 0$ .

For more background on modules over path algebras we refer the reader to [Auslander et al. 1995; Assem et al. 2006].

We make frequent use of difference tables of polynomials. Given a polynomial p(n) of degree n, the *difference table* of p(n) is a table of rows and columns,  $D = \{d_{i,j}\}, i \ge 1, j \ge 0$  such that  $\{d_{i,0}\} = p(i)$  and the other entries are defined recursively as  $d_{i,j} = d_{i+1,j-1} - d_{i,j-1}$ . That is, the *j*-th column in the difference table of p(n) is the difference between the elements in the (j-1)-th column. We then refer to the *j*-th column as the *j*-th difference of p(n).

**Example 2.3.** The difference table for the polynomial  $p(n) = n^2$  is

1	3	2	0	
4	5	2	0	
9	7	2	0	
16	9	2	0	
÷	÷	:	:	·

Note that each column produces a sequence that is polynomial of degree one less than the previous column, until we reach a column of zeros.

The difference tables of polynomials eventually reach a column of zeros. Thus, we will refer to the tables only up to, but not including, the first column of zeros.

# 3. Pyramidal algebras

In this section we examine the behaviour of projective resolutions over pyramidal algebras. We begin with a key observation that describes the syzygies of a projective resolution of a simple module.

Before proceeding with the results, we remark that for 2-pyramidal algebras all syzygies are semisimple. This is because these algebras have radical squared zero. Hence it is sufficient to work with dimension vectors when calculating the syzygies in a resolution.

Lemma 3.1. In a 2-pyramidal algebra of the form,



the multiplicity of  $S_k$  as a direct summand in the syzygy  $\Omega^i(S_1)$ ,  $i \ge k-1$ , is

$$\binom{i-1}{k-2}x_1x_2\cdots x_{k-1}$$

if  $2 \le k \le n+1$  and

$$y_1 + \sum_{j=1}^n {\binom{i-1}{j}} x_1 x_2 \cdots x_j y_{j+1}$$

if k = n + 2 and  $i \ge 1$ .

In the case where  $k \neq n+2$  and  $i \leq k-2$ , or k = n+2 and  $i \leq 1$ , the multiplicity of  $S_k$  in  $\Omega^i(S_1)$  is zero.

*Proof.* Note that  $S_k$  appears as a summand of  $\Omega^i(S_1)$  if and only if there is a walk of length *i* from vertex 1 to vertex *k* in the underlying quiver. The final statement in the lemma is an immediate corollary of this fact.

We will prove the first case where  $2 \le k \le n+1$  by double induction on the statement "the multiplicity of  $S_k$  as a direct summand in the syzygy  $\Omega^i(S_1)$ ,  $i \ge k-1$ , is

$$\binom{i-1}{k-2}x_1x_2\cdots x_{k-1}$$

We will induct on *i* and *k*, in that order. When inducting on *i*, the base case is k = 2, i = 1, as this is the first syzygy in which  $S_2$  appears. We then proceed by varying *i* 

and fixing k = 2 to complete the induction on *i*. When inducting on *k*, we must start with the base case i = k - 1, as this is the smallest value of *i* in which  $S_k$  appears as a summand of  $\Omega^i(S_1)$ . Finally, we induct on *k* given an arbitrary fixed  $i \ge k - 1$ . For k = 2 and  $i \ge 1$  arbitrary, we see that the multiplicity of  $S_2$  in  $\Omega^i(S_1)$  is  $x_1$ 

For k = 2 and  $i \ge 1$  arbitrary, we see that the multiplicity of  $S_2$  in  $\Omega^2(S_1)$  is  $x_1$  always. This is equal to  $\binom{i-1}{0}x_1$ , so this concludes the first part of the induction.

Assume the statement holds for i = k - 1 and consider the multiplicity of  $S_k$ as a direct summand in  $\Omega^{k-1}(S_1)$ . Because there is no  $S_k$  in  $\Omega^{k-2}(S_1)$ , only the multiplicity of  $S_{k-1}$  in  $\Omega^{k-1}(S_1)$  contributes to the multiplicity of  $S_k$  in  $\Omega^{k-1}(S_1)$ . By the induction hypothesis, there are

$$\binom{k-3}{k-3}x_1x_2\cdots x_{k-3}x_{k-2} = x_1x_2\cdots x_{k-3}x_{k-2}$$

copies of  $S_{k-1}$  in the (k-2)-th syzygy. Thus the multiplicity of  $S_k$  in the (k-1)-th syzygy is

$$x_1x_2\cdots x_{k-2}x_{k-1} = \binom{k-2}{k-2}x_1x_2\cdots x_{k-2}x_{k-1}.$$

Now assume the statement holds up to k - 1 and i - 1. By induction, the multiplicity of  $S_{k-1}$  in the (i-1)-th syzygy is given by

$$\binom{i-2}{k-3}x_1x_2\cdots x_{k-3}x_{k-2}.$$

Similarly the multiplicity of  $S_k$  in the (i-1)-th syzygy is

$$\binom{i-2}{k-2}x_1x_2\cdots x_{k-1}.$$

Therefore, the multiplicity of  $S_k$  in  $\Omega^i(S_1)$  is

$$x_{k-1}\binom{i-2}{k-3}x_1x_2\cdots x_{k-2} + \binom{i-2}{k-2}x_1x_2\cdots x_{k-1} = \binom{i-2}{k-3} + \binom{i-2}{k-2}x_1x_2\cdots x_{k-1} = \binom{i-1}{k-2}x_1x_2\cdots x_{k-1},$$

and the induction is complete. A similar argument can be made for the multiplicity of  $S_{n+2}$  as a direct summand of the *i*-th syzygy.

Example 3.2. To see an example of this lemma, consider the 2-pyramidal algebra



with  $y_1 = 0$  and  $x_1 = x_2 = y_2 = y_3 = 1$ , i.e., there is one arrow from vertex 2 to 3, one from vertex 2 to 4, and one from vertex 3 to 4.

The projective resolution of  $S_1$  is

$$\cdots P_2 \oplus P_3 \oplus P_4 \to P_2 \to P_1 \to S_1,$$

with syzygies

$$\Omega^1(S_1) = 2, \quad \Omega^2(S_1) = 234, \quad \Omega^3(S_1) = 23^2 4^3, \quad \Omega^4(S_1) = 23^3 4^6,$$

etc. The multiplicity of  $S_3$  in the dimension vector of  $\Omega^4(S_1)$  is 3, while the multiplicity of  $S_4$  in  $\Omega^4(S_1)$  is 6.

Using our formula to calculate the multiplicity of  $S_3$  and  $S_4$  in the dimension vector  $\Omega^4(S_1)$  gives the following.

First, for k = 3 and i = 4 we obtain the multiplicity of  $S_3$  as

$$\binom{3}{1} \cdot 1 \cdot 1 = 3$$

Similarly for k = 4 and i = 4, we get the multiplicity of  $S_4$  as

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2} \binom{3}{j} \cdot 1 = 3 + 3 = 6.$$

Note that we interpret  $x_j = 0$  for  $j \ge 3$  because their corresponding edges in the quiver are not present, so further sums do not appear.

**Theorem 3.3.** Every 2-pyramidal algebra with n + 2 vertices in the underlying *quiver has Betti numbers* 

$$\beta_i(S_1) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } i = 0, \\ p_n(i) & \text{for } i \ge 1, \end{cases}$$

where  $p_n$  is a polynomial of degree n.

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on n. If n = 0, then we have an algebra of the form

$$1 \xrightarrow{y_1} 2$$

Because  $\Omega^i(S_1) = 2^{y_1}$  for all *i*, it follows that  $\beta_i(S_1) = y_1$  for all *i*, so  $\beta_i(S_1)$  is constant, and thus is a polynomial of degree 0.

Suppose the statement holds for all values less than n, and consider an algebra of this form with n + 2 vertices. The Betti numbers are calculated by adding the multiplicities of the various  $S_k$  together. These multiplicities were calculated in Lemma 3.1, so we see that the *i*-th Betti number is given by

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} {\binom{i-1}{j}} x_1 \cdots x_{j+1} + y_1 + \sum_{j=1}^n {\binom{i-1}{j}} x_1 x_2 \cdots x_j y_{j+1}.$$

Now taking the (i+1)-th Betti number and subtracting the *i*-th Betti number yields

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} {i \choose j} x_1 \cdots x_{j+1} + y_1 + \sum_{j=1}^n {i \choose j} x_1 x_2 \cdots x_j y_{j+1} \\ - \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} {i-1 \choose j} x_1 \cdots x_{j+1} + y_1 + \sum_{j=1}^n {i-1 \choose j} x_1 x_2 \cdots x_j y_{j+1}\right) \\ = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left( {i \choose j} - {i-1 \choose j} \right) x_1 \cdots x_{j+1} + \sum_{j=1}^n \left( {i \choose j} - {i-1 \choose j} \right) x_1 x_2 \cdots x_j y_{j+1} \\ = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} {i-1 \choose j-1} x_1 \cdots x_{j+1} + \sum_{j=1}^n {i-1 \choose j-1} x_1 x_2 \cdots x_j y_{j+1}.$$

Observe that this is the *i*-th Betti number of the following algebra:



By the induction hypotheses, this 2-pyramidal algebra satisfies  $\beta_i(S_1) = p_{n-1}(i)$ , where  $p_{n-1}$  is a polynomial of degree n-1. Thus we see that the difference between the terms of the original algebra's Betti numbers is a polynomial of degree n-1, so the Betti numbers follow a polynomial of degree n, as desired.

It is interesting to mention an alternative approach to the above result, as was suggested by one of the referees. Namely, we may also analyze the Betti sequence by means of the action of the syzygy operator  $\Omega$ . Because the syzygies of a module over a radical square zero algebra are semisimple,  $\Omega$  acts as an endomorphism on the Grothendieck group  $K_0(\Lambda)$ . The action of  $\Omega$  on  $S_i$  is evidently the dimension vector of  $\Omega(S_i)$ . Considering these vectors over all n+2 simple modules, the action of  $\Omega$  is given by the matrix

$$\Omega = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ x_1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ y_1 & y_2 & y_3 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus,  $\Omega^m(S_1)$  is the first column of the *m*-th power of this matrix. Moreover, this matrix is the transpose of the adjacency matrix of the quiver, so the first column of  $\Omega^m$  gives the number of paths starting at vertex 1 that have length *m*.

While we have only considered the Betti numbers for the projective resolution of  $S_1$ , we may also consider them for projective resolutions of any other simple module, say  $S_j$ . In this case, by restricting our quiver to the vertices j, j + 1, ..., n + 2, we get another algebra. The Betti numbers of the projective resolution of  $S_j$  are evidently the same as that of the 2-pyramidal algebra below:



By previous work, we see that the Betti numbers of  $S_j$  agree with a polynomial of degree n + 2 - j.

Theorem 3.3 is quite useful for the theorems in this paper due to the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a 2-pyramidal algebra as in Lemma 3.1. If the first n + 1 Betti numbers are known to fit a polynomial p of degree n, then  $\beta_i(S_1) = p(i)$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.3, we know that  $\beta_i(S_1) = p_n(i)$  for some polynomial  $p_n$  of degree *n*. It is well known that given n + 1 pairs of points  $\{(x_j, y_j)\}_{j=1}^{n+1}$ , there is a unique polynomial *p* of degree *n* such that  $p(x_j) = y_j$  for  $1 \le j \le n+1$ . Because  $\beta_i(S_1) = p(i)$  for  $1 \le i \le n+1$ , it follows that  $\beta_i(S_1) = p(i)$  for all  $i \ge 1$ .  $\Box$ 

This theorem and its corollary will help us find algebras with Betti numbers of growth given by  $\beta_i(S_1) = i^2$ ,  $\beta_i(S_1) = i^3$  and  $\beta_i(S_1) = i^4$ . From this, we show that given any polynomial p(i) of degree 4 or less with nonnegative integer coefficients, there exists an algebra such that  $\beta_i(S_1) = p(i)$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** In the following 2-pyramidal algebra,  $\beta_i(S_1) = i^2$  for  $i \ge 1$ :



*Proof.* By Corollary 3.4, we need only show that the first three terms agree with  $\beta_i(S_1) = i^2$ . Indeed, we can calculate these quite easily:

$$\Omega^1(S_1) = 2, \quad \Omega^2(S_1) = 23^24, \quad \Omega^3(S_1) = 23^44^4.$$

Thus  $\beta_i(S_1) = i^2$  for  $1 \le i \le 3$ . Therefore,  $\beta_i(S_1) = i^2$  for all  $i \ge 1$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** In the following 2-pyramidal algebra,  $\beta_i(S_1) = i^3$  for  $i \ge 1$ :



*Proof.* Again by Corollary 3.4, we need only show that  $\beta_i(S_1) = i^3$  for  $1 \le i \le 4$ . We compute the syzygies directly as before. Here we obtain

 $\Omega^1(S_1) = 2, \quad \Omega^2(S_1) = 23^3 5^4, \quad \Omega^3(S_1) = 23^6 4^6 5^{14}, \quad \Omega^4(S_1) = 23^9 4^{18} 5^{36}.$ 

We see that  $\beta_i(S_1) = i^3$  for  $1 \le i \le 4$ . Therefore,  $\beta_i(S_1) = i^3$  for all  $i \ge 1$ .  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 3.7.** In the following 2-pyramidal algebra,  $\beta_i(S_1) = i^4$  for  $i \ge 1$ :



*Proof.* We find the *i*-th syzygy of  $S_1$  for  $1 \le i \le 5$  to show that  $\beta_i(S_1) = i^4$  for these values of *i*. Indeed, the syzygies are as follows:

$$\Omega^{1}(S_{1}) = 2, \quad \Omega^{2}(S_{1}) = 2 \, 3^{2} \, 6^{13}, \quad \Omega^{3}(S_{1}) = 2 \, 3^{4} \, 4^{2} \, 6^{7} 4,$$
  
$$\Omega^{4}(S_{1}) = 2 \, 3^{6} \, 4^{6} \, 5^{4} \, 6^{239}, \quad \Omega^{5}(S_{1}) = 2 \, 3^{8} \, 4^{12} \, 5^{16} \, 6^{588}.$$

By examining the size of these syzygies, we find

$$\beta_1(S_1) = 1, \quad \beta_2(S_1) = 1 + 2 + 13 = 16 = 2^4, \quad \beta_3(S_1) = 1 + 4 + 2 + 74 = 81 = 3^4, \\ \beta_4(S_1) = 1 + 6 + 6 + 4 + 239 = 256 = 4^4, \quad \beta_5(S_1) = 1 + 8 + 12 + 16 + 588 = 625 = 5^4.$$

 $\square$ 

By Corollary 3.4, it follows that  $\beta_i(S_1) = i^4$  for all  $i \ge 1$ .

The next lemma will give us a method of constructing algebras with specific Betti numbers for a simple module.

**Lemma 3.8.** Let  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  be truncated path algebras such that the projective resolution of the simple module at vertex k in  $\Lambda_1$  follows  $\beta_i(S_k) = f(i)$  and the projective resolution of the simple module at vertex m in  $\Lambda_2$  follows  $\beta_i(S_m) = g(i)$  for some functions f and g. Then there exists an algebra with Betti numbers given by  $\beta_i(S) = f(i) + g(i)$  for some simple module S and all  $i \ge 1$ .

*Proof.* Begin with the algebras  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  with underlying quivers  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ . Let  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  be the set of relations in  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  respectively. Create a new algebra,  $\Lambda_3$ , whose underlying quiver,  $\Gamma_3$ , is obtained by taking the disjoint union of  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  and adding a new vertex  $\tilde{1}$ . Additionally, for each arrow  $k \xrightarrow{\alpha} n$  in  $\Lambda_1$ , there is an arrow  $\tilde{1} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} n$  in  $\Gamma_3$ , and for each arrow  $m \xrightarrow{\gamma} l$  in  $\Lambda_2$ , there is an arrow  $\tilde{1} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\gamma}} l$  in  $\Gamma_3$ . The set of relations of  $\Lambda_3$ , denoted by  $R_3$ , is defined as

$$R_3 := R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{ \tilde{\alpha} w_1 \mid \alpha w_1 \in R_1 \} \cup \{ \tilde{\gamma} w_2 \mid \gamma w_2 \in R_2 \},$$

where  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  could be paths of any length. Note that the elements in the last two sets of this union are nonzero because the target of  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is the same as that of  $\alpha$ , and the target of  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is the same as that of  $\gamma$ . The addition of these relations ensures, for example, that if  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  are radical square zero algebras, then  $\Lambda_3$  is as well.

By construction, there are bijections

```
{vertices in \Gamma_1} \cup {vertices in \Gamma_2} \iff {vertices in \Gamma_3} \ {\tilde{1}},
```

{paths in  $\Gamma_1$ }  $\cup$  {paths in  $\Gamma_2$ }  $\iff$  {paths in  $\Gamma_3$  not involving  $\tilde{1}$ },

both induced by inclusion of quivers. Moreover, the bijection of paths is compatible with the bijection of vertices. This, along with the choice of relations in  $\Lambda_3$ , gives a bijection

{projective  $\Lambda_1$  – modules}  $\cup$  {projective  $\Lambda_2$  – modules}

 $\iff \{\text{projective } \Lambda_3 - \text{modules}\} \setminus \{P_{\tilde{1}}\},\$ 

where  $P_{\tilde{1}}$  is the indecomposable projective  $\Lambda_3$ -module at vertex  $\tilde{1}$ . This correspondence takes radical layers to radical layers bijectively in a manner compatible with the first two bijections. Let

$$\cdots \to Q_1 \to Q_0 \to S_k \to 0,$$
  
$$\cdots \to R_1 \to R_0 \to S_m \to 0,$$
  
$$\cdots \to F_1 \to F_0 \to S_{\tilde{1}} \to 0$$

be minimal projective resolutions of  $S_k$ ,  $S_m$ , and  $S_{\tilde{1}}$  respectively as  $\Lambda_3$ -modules. We will now show that for  $i \ge 1$ , we have  $F_i \cong Q_i \oplus R_i$  and  $\Omega^i(S_{\tilde{1}}) \cong \Omega^i(S_k) \oplus \Omega^i(S_m)$ . Note that the bijections above imply that the minimal projective resolutions of  $S_k$  and  $S_m$  in  $\Lambda_3$  correspond to those in  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$ , so proving this will yield the lemma.

We proceed by induction on *i*. We compute  $\operatorname{rad}(F_0) = \operatorname{rad}(P_{\tilde{1}}) = \Omega^1(S_{\tilde{1}})$ . The simple modules in the *k*-th radical layer of  $P_{\tilde{1}}$  correspond to the vertices at the end of paths of length *k* from  $\tilde{1}$  which do not lie in  $R_3$ . By the construction of  $\Lambda_3$ , this is precisely the union of the simple modules in the *k*-th radical layer of  $P_k$  and  $P_m$ . Also by construction, we in fact get  $\operatorname{rad}(P_{\tilde{1}}) \cong \operatorname{rad}(P_k) \oplus \operatorname{rad}(P_m)$ , and so

 $\Omega^1(S_{\tilde{1}}) \cong \Omega^1(S_k) \oplus \Omega^1(S_m)$ . Moreover, the projective cover of this syzygy is the direct sum of the covers of its summands, so  $F_1 \cong Q_1 \oplus R_1$ . Note that  $F_i$  does not have  $P_{\tilde{1}}$  as a summand for any i > 0.

Suppose that  $F_i \cong Q_i \oplus R_i$  and  $\Omega^i(S_{\tilde{1}}) \cong \Omega^i(S_k) \oplus \Omega^i(S_m)$  for i-1, i > 1. The hypothesis implies that at the (i-1)-th step of the projective resolution for  $S_{\tilde{1}}$ , we have a projective cover  $Q_{i-1} \oplus R_{i-1} \to \Omega^{i-1}(S_k) \oplus \Omega^{i-1}(S_m)$ . By the bijection of projective modules and the fact that the radical layers are preserved under this bijection, we get

$$\ker[Q_{i-1} \oplus R_{i-1} \to \Omega^{i-1}(S_k) \oplus \Omega^{i-1}(S_m)] \cong \Omega^i(S_k) \oplus \Omega^i(S_m),$$

so  $\Omega^i(S_{\tilde{1}}) \cong \Omega^i(S_k) \oplus \Omega^i(S_m)$ . From this it also follows that  $F_i \cong Q_i \oplus R_i$ , and the induction is complete. Thus  $\beta_i(S_{\tilde{1}}) = f(i) + g(i)$  for all  $i \ge 1$ .

We apply this lemma to 2-pyramidal algebras to construct Betti sequences that realize desired polynomials.

**Example 3.9.** Let  $p(i) = ai^4 + bi^3 + ci^2 + di + e$  for some nonnegative integers a, b, c, d, e. Then there exists an algebra  $\Lambda$ , where  $\beta_i(S) = p(i)$  for a simple module *S*.

*Proof.* Begin by choosing algebras  $\Lambda_4$ ,  $\Lambda_3$ ,  $\Lambda_2$ ,  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_0$  and simple modules  $S_4$ ,  $S_3$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_1$ , and  $S_0$  satisfying

$$\beta_i^{\Lambda_4}(S_4) = i^4, \quad \beta_i^{\Lambda_3}(S_3) = i^3, \quad \beta_i^{\Lambda_2}(S_2) = i^2, \quad \beta_i^{\Lambda_1}(S_1) = i, \quad \beta_i^{\Lambda_0}(S_0) = 1,$$

respectively. Next, take *a*, *b*, *c*, *d*, and *e* copies of the algebras  $\Lambda_4$ ,  $\Lambda_3$ ,  $\Lambda_2$ ,  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_0$ , respectively, and apply Lemma 3.8 to these algebras to obtain a new algebra  $\Lambda$  with

$$\beta_i(S) = ai^4 + bi^3 + ci^2 + di + e$$

 $\square$ 

for a simple  $\Lambda$ -module *S*.

We will see in the following section that these polynomials can be realized as the Betti numbers of some 2-pyramidal algebra.

# 4. Characterizations

In this section we characterize Betti numbers over 2-pyramidal algebras. We start with some general statements and proceed to provide a characterization of the polynomials that give the growth of Betti sequences over these algebras.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let p be a polynomial such that  $p(1) \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , and let p' be the polynomial generating the first differences in the difference table of p. Then there exists a 2-pyramidal algebra in which  $\beta_i(S_1) = p(i)$  if and only if there exists a 2-pyramidal algebra such that  $\beta_i(S_1) = p'(i)$ .

*Proof.* The forward direction of this proof is made trivial by a fact in the proof of Theorem 3.3. In this proof, we saw that the *n*-th element of the first difference of the Betti numbers are the Betti numbers of  $S_1$  over the algebra



This concludes the first part of the proof.

For the reverse direction, let  $p(1) = k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and let p' correspond to the Betti numbers of



We now consider the algebra



Now this algebra has the property that  $\beta_1(S_1) = k$  and the differences are the Betti numbers of (4-1). Because the Betti numbers of (4-1) are given by p', the differences are given by p', as desired.

We can now use this result to provide some necessary and sufficient conditions that a polynomial must meet in order to represent the Betti numbers of some 2-pyramidal algebra.

**Theorem 4.2.** A polynomial p is such that  $\beta_i(S_1) = p(i)$  for some 2-pyramidal algebra if and only if the difference table of p consists of only positive integers.

*Proof.* We will prove the forward direction by induction on the columns of the difference table of p. Let p be a polynomial of degree n and let  $\Lambda$  be a 2-pyramidal

algebra such that  $\beta_i(S_1) = p(i)$ . We first show that the zeroth difference, that is p, has all positive entries. Because  $x_1$  is positive and there is an arrow from 2 to itself, it follows that  $p(1) = \beta_1(S_1) \ge x_1$  and in fact,  $p(i) \ge x_1$  for all i.

Suppose the statement holds for the *k*-th difference, and consider the (k+1)-th difference. The *k*-th difference is given by a polynomial of degree n - k and gives the Betti numbers of some algebra. Because the first entry of the *k*-th column is positive, it follows from the forward direction of Lemma 4.1 that the (k+1)-th difference is also a polynomial of this form. By the first step, it follows that all entries for this polynomial are positive, and the induction is complete.

We now prove that every difference gives the Betti numbers over some 2pyramidal algebra. We proceed by reverse induction on the columns of the difference table of p. Suppose p is a polynomial whose difference table contains only positive integers. In particular, the column of constants is some positive integer m. This polynomial represents  $\beta_i(S_1)$  of the 2-pyramidal algebra,



so the base case holds.

Assume that the statement holds for the (n-k)-th column, and consider the (n-(k+1))-th column. Because the first entry of the (n-(k+1))-th column is positive, it follows from the reverse direction of Lemma 4.1 that this column gives the Betti numbers of some 2-pyramidal algebra. This completes the induction, and thus p gives the Betti numbers of some 2-pyramidal algebra.

Note that in this proof, we only used the fact that the first entry in every column must be a positive integer. Indeed, this leads to a slightly stronger formulation of the theorem.

**Corollary 4.3.** A polynomial p is such that  $\beta_i(S_1) = p(i)$  for some 2-pyramidal algebra if and only if the first row of the difference table of p contains only positive integers.

# 5. Producing pyramidal algebras given a polynomial

So far we have examined the types of polynomial growth possible for the Betti numbers of 2-pyramidal algebras. Another question that arises is: given a polynomial described in Corollary 4.3, can we produce a 2-pyramidal algebra whose Betti numbers follow this polynomial? Moreover, can we produce *all* algebras of this form that correspond to this polynomial?

We answer both of these questions in the affirmative. First, we need to define some notation. Let p be a polynomial. We then define  $D_k(p)$  to be the k-th entry of the first row of the difference table for p. As before, we denote the columns starting at 0 and ending at n.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let p be a polynomial of degree n such that  $\beta_i(S_1) = p(i)$  for some 2-pyramidal algebra. Then

$$D_i(p) = \begin{cases} x_1 + y_1 & \text{if } i = 0, \\ x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{i-1} x_i (x_{i+1} + y_{i+1}) & \text{if } 1 \le i \le n-1 \\ x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{i-1} x_i y_{i+1} & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* The first case is immediate. We prove the second case by induction on i by looking at the algebras associated with the differences of p. For i = 1, we know that the first difference of p gives the Betti numbers for the 2-pyramidal algebra



Hence,  $D_1 = x_1x_2 + x_1y_2 = x_1(x_2 + y_2)$ . For the induction step, we assume that the *k*-th difference of *p* produces the Betti numbers over  $\Lambda_k$ , shown below:



Then  $D_k(p) = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k (x_{k+1} + y_{k+1})$ . By previous work, the first difference of the Betti numbers of the simple module  $S_1$  over  $\Lambda_k$  are the Betti numbers of the simple module  $S_1$  over



Note that this is also the algebra with the simple module  $S_1$  whose Betti numbers are the (k+1)-th difference of p, and thus  $D_{k+1} = x_1x_2 \cdots x_kx_{k+1}(x_{k+2} + y_{k+2})$ . This completes the induction for the second case.

For the last case, we know by the previous case that the (n-1)-th difference is given by the Betti numbers of the simple module  $S_1$  over the 2-pyramidal algebra



The difference of the Betti numbers of  $S_1$  is given by the Betti numbers of the simple module  $S_1$  over

 $1 \xrightarrow{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n y_{n+1}} 2$ 

which is clearly the constant  $x_1x_2 \cdots x_n y_{n+1}$ .

This theorem provides a way to determine restrictions on the  $x_i$  in order to produce a pyramidal algebra with a simple module  $S_1$  whose Betti numbers follow a given polynomial. We now reformulate the previous theorem with added emphasis on the values of the  $x_i$ .

**Corollary 5.2.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a 2-pyramidal algebra such that  $\beta_i(S_1) = p(i)$  for some polynomial p. Then  $x_1x_2 \cdots x_k | D_k(p)$  and  $x_k \leq D_{k-1}(p)/(x_1x_2 \cdots x_{k-1})$  for all  $k \leq n$ .

**Theorem 5.3.** Let p be a polynomial of degree n and  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$  be positive integers such that  $x_1x_2 \cdots x_k | D_k(p)$  and  $x_k \le D_{k-1}(p)/(x_1x_2 \cdots x_{k-1})$  for all  $k \le n$ . Then there exists a unique 2-pyramidal algebra such that  $\beta_i(S_1) = p(i)$ , and, for  $1 \le k \le n$ , the number of arrows between vertex k and vertex k + 1 is  $x_k$ .

*Proof.* We need only show that given these restrictions, we can choose the appropriate  $y_k$  such that  $D_k(p)$  is the required value. For k = 1, simply choose  $y_1 = D_1(p) - x_1$ . Because  $D_1(p)$  and  $x_1$  are positive integers with  $D_1(p) > x_1$ , we know  $y_1$  is a nonnegative integer as required.

Suppose that  $2 \le k \le n-1$ . Then choose  $y_k = D_{k-1}(p)/(x_1x_2\cdots x_{k-1}) - x_k$ . This value is a nonnegative integer by assumption.

Finally, choose  $y_{n+1} = D_n/(x_1x_2\cdots x_{n-1}x_n)$  to ensure that we have the equality  $x_1x_2\cdots x_{n-1}x_ny_{n+1} = D_n$ .

At each step in this process, there is only one choice for the value of  $y_k$ . Thus the 2-pyramidal algebra exists and is unique.

Given a polynomial p of degree n with  $D_k(p) \in \mathbb{Z}$ , this theorem allows us to construct a 2-pyramidal algebra with  $\beta_i(S_1) = p(i)$ . Simply choose the 2-pyramidal algebra on n + 2 vertices with  $x_k = 1$  and  $y_k = D_{k-1}(p) - 1$  for all k. The existence and uniqueness of these algebras given the appropriate choice of  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$  also provides a method of finding the number of algebras of this form whose Betti numbers correspond to a given polynomial.

**Corollary 5.4.** Let p be a polynomial of degree n. Then the number of 2pyramidal algebras such that  $\beta_i(S_1) = p(i)$  is equal to the number of n-tuples  $\{(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)\}$  such that  $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  for all i and  $x_1x_2 \cdots x_k | D_k(p)$  for all k and  $x_k \leq D_{k-1}(p)/(x_1x_2 \cdots x_{k-1})$  for all  $k \leq n$ .

# 6. Generalizing by changing the ideal

Up until now, we have been examining algebras with  $\operatorname{rad}^2 \Lambda = 0$ . We will now consider algebras with  $\operatorname{rad}^m \Lambda = 0$  for m > 2 and provide results analogous to the m = 2 case.

We use the following notation throughout this section. Given an algebra  $\Lambda$  with rad<sup>*m*</sup>  $\Lambda = 0$  for some m > 2, let  $\Lambda'$  be the algebra that has the same underlying quiver as  $\Lambda$  with the relations rad<sup>2</sup>  $\Lambda' = 0$ . Denote by  $S'_1$  the simple  $\Lambda'$ -module at vertex 1, by  $\beta_k(S'_1)$  the *i*-th Betti number and by  $\Omega^i(S'_1)$  the *i*-th syzygy of the simple module  $S'_1$  over the algebra  $\Lambda'$ .

**Lemma 6.1.** Let  $\Lambda$  be an *m*-pyramidal algebra with  $m \ge 2$ . Let

$$Q: \dots \to Q_2 \to Q_1 \to Q_0 \to S_1 \to 0$$

be a minimal projective resolution of  $S_1$ , and let

$$Q': \dots \to Q'_2 \to Q'_1 \to Q'_0 \to S'_1 \to 0$$

be a minimal projective resolution of  $S'_1$  over  $\Lambda'$ . Then the number of indecomposable projective summands of  $Q_i$  is equal to the number of projective summands of  $Q'_{(i/2)m}$  if *i* is even, and  $Q'_{((i-1)/2)m+1}$  if *i* is odd. Hence, the Betti numbers of the  $\Lambda$ -module  $S_1$  are given by

$$\beta_i(S_1) = \begin{cases} \beta_{(i/2)m}(S'_1) & i \text{ is even} \\ \beta_{((i-1)/2)m+1}(S'_1) & i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Note that for m = 2, the number of indecomposable projective modules in  $Q_i$  and  $Q'_i$  are equal, and  $\beta_i(S_1) = \beta_i(S'_1)$  for all *i*.

*Proof.* The m = 2 case is trivial. Let m > 2 be fixed and let  $\Lambda$  be an *m*-pyramidal algebra. We construct a list representing simple modules as follows. For each walk of length *j* starting at vertex 1 in the underlying quiver of  $\Lambda$ , record the vertex at the end of the walk in row *j* of the list. We use the convention that the trivial walk

from a vertex to itself along no edges is a walk of length 0, and the first written row, which is always a 1, is row 0.

For example, the 2-pyramidal algebra



Observe that the projective module appearing in step j of a minimal projective resolution of  $S'_1$  is precisely

$$\bigoplus_{k\in \operatorname{row} j} P'_k$$

With this in mind, we will prove the first statement by proving the following: for even i

$$Q_i = \bigoplus_{k \in \operatorname{row}(i/2)m} P_k$$

$$Q_i = \bigoplus_{k \in \operatorname{row}((i-1)/2)m+1} P_k$$

We will prove this by induction on *i*. For i = 0 we have  $Q_0 = P_1$ . For i = 1, note that  $Q_1$  is the projective cover of rad  $P_1$ . This is equal to the projective cover of its top radical layer, which is precisely  $\bigoplus_{k \in \text{row } 1} S_k$ , and this has projective cover  $\bigoplus_{k \in \text{row } 1} P_k$ .

We examine the syzygies of Q. Note that for any projective  $\Lambda$ -module A,

$$\operatorname{soc} A \cong P(\operatorname{soc} A)/\operatorname{rad} P(\operatorname{soc} A),$$
  
rad  $A \cong P(\operatorname{rad} A)/\operatorname{soc} P(\operatorname{rad} A).$ 

We will show by induction that for even *i* 

$$\Omega^i(Q) = \operatorname{soc} Q_{i-1}$$

and for odd *i* 

$$\Omega^i(Q) = \operatorname{rad} Q_{i-1}.$$

For i = 1, we have

$$\Omega^1(Q) = \ker(Q_0 \to S_1) = \operatorname{rad} Q_0.$$

936

generates the list

and for odd *i* 

For i = 2

$$\Omega^2(Q) = \ker(Q_1 \to \operatorname{rad} Q_0) = \operatorname{soc} Q_1,$$

because  $Q_1 = P(\operatorname{rad} Q_0)$  and

$$\operatorname{rad} Q_0 = P(\operatorname{rad} Q_0) / \operatorname{soc} P(\operatorname{rad} Q_0) = Q_1 / \operatorname{soc} Q_1.$$

Assuming *i* is even and  $\Omega^i(Q) = \operatorname{soc} Q_{i-1}$ , we have

$$\Omega^{i+1}(Q) = \ker(Q_i \to \Omega^i(Q))$$
  
=  $\ker(Q_i \to \operatorname{soc} Q_{i-1})$   
=  $\ker[P(\operatorname{soc} Q_{i-1}) \to P(\operatorname{soc} Q_{i-1})/\operatorname{rad} P(\operatorname{soc} Q_{i-1})]$   
=  $\operatorname{rad} P(\operatorname{soc} Q_{i-1}) = \operatorname{rad} Q_i.$ 

Assuming *i* is odd and  $\Omega^i(Q) = \operatorname{rad} Q_{i-1}$ , we have

$$\Omega^{i+1}(Q) = \ker(Q_i \to \Omega^i(Q))$$
  
=  $\ker(Q_i \to \operatorname{rad} Q_{i-1})$   
=  $\ker[P(\operatorname{rad} Q_{i-1}) \to P(\operatorname{rad} Q_{i-1}) / \operatorname{soc} P(\operatorname{rad} Q_{i-1})]$   
=  $\operatorname{soc} P(\operatorname{rad} Q_{i-1}) = \operatorname{soc} Q_i.$ 

We now return to the proof of the structure of the  $Q_i$ . Assume *i* is even. Then

$$Q_i = P(\Omega^i(Q)) = P(\operatorname{soc} Q_{i-1}).$$

By hypothesis,

$$\operatorname{soc} Q_{i-1} = \operatorname{soc} \bigoplus_{k \in \operatorname{row}((i-2)/2)m+1} P_k = \bigoplus_{k \in \operatorname{row}(i/2)m} S_k.$$

Because  $Q_i$  is the projective cover of soc  $Q_{i-1}$ , it follows that

$$Q_i \cong \bigoplus_{k \in \operatorname{row}(i/2)m} P_k.$$

Assuming *i* is odd, we have

$$Q_i = P(\Omega^i(Q)) = P(\operatorname{rad} Q_{i-1}).$$

By hypothesis,

rad 
$$Q_{i-1} = \operatorname{rad} \bigoplus_{k \in \operatorname{row}((i-1)/2)m} P_k$$

Now  $Q_i$  is the projective cover of rad  $Q_{i-1}$ , so it is the projective cover of rad  $Q_{i-1}/\operatorname{rad}^2 Q_{i-1}$  as well. Because the radical quotient of rad  $\bigoplus_{k \in \operatorname{row}((i-1)/2)m} P_k$ 

is  $\bigoplus_{k \in \text{row}((i-1)/2)m+1} S_k$ , it follows that

$$Q_i \cong \bigoplus_{k \in \operatorname{row}((i-1)/2)m+1} P_k.$$

The next theorem gives us asymptotic information about the Betti numbers for m-pyramidal algebras for  $m \ge 3$ . We will be using the following notation.

**Definition 6.2.** For a function f(x), we write  $f(x) = \Theta(g)$  if there exist positive constants *M* and *N*,  $M \le N$  and a real number  $x_0$  such that

$$Mg(x) \le f(x) \le Ng(x)$$

for all  $x \ge x_0$ .

**Theorem 6.3.** For all  $m \ge 3$  and  $n \ge 1$ , there exists an *m*-pyramidal algebra such that  $\beta_i(S_1) = \Theta(i^n)$ .

*Proof.* Let *n* be a fixed positive integer. Let  $\Lambda$  be the algebra



with rad<sup>*m*</sup>  $\Lambda = 0$ . It suffices to show that  $\beta_i(S_1)$  is bounded above and below by polynomials of degree *n*. Using Lemma 6.1 and the fact that the Betti numbers are strictly increasing for all  $m \ge 2$ , we obtain the inequalities

$$\beta_i(S'_1) \le \beta_i(S_1) \le \beta_{mi}(S'_1).$$

By previous work,  $\beta_i(S'_1) = p(i)$  and  $\beta_{mi}(S'_1) = p(mi)$ , where *p* is a polynomial of degree *n*. Because both p(i) and p(mi) are polynomials in *i* of degree *n*, we have  $\beta_i(S_1) = \Theta(i^n)$ .

# **Future work**

This work prompts some natural questions. We currently have a class of algebras whose quotients have Betti numbers asymptotic to polynomials of arbitrarily high degree. When does there exist a path algebra  $\Lambda$  such that, for some  $m \ge 3$ , the quotient  $\Lambda/\operatorname{rad}^m \Lambda$  has a simple module whose Betti numbers follow a polynomial exactly, not just asymptotically? Based on the proof of Lemma 6.1, it seems unlikely that there exists an algebra that satisfies this property for multiple *m*, but perhaps there exists such a path algebra for each *m*.

We showed that for polynomials of a certain type, we can construct an algebra whose Betti numbers at the simple module at vertex 1 satisfy that polynomial. However, the description of the number of such 2-pyramidal algebras, offered in Corollary 5.4, is complex. Perhaps there is a simpler description of the number of these algebras.

The Betti numbers of simple modules for a 2-pyramidal algebras are different at each vertex. A natural question is whether there exists an algebra where one of its quotients has the same polynomial Betti numbers at all of its simple modules. We can produce an algebra in which two simple modules have the same syzygies: starting with a 2-pyramidal path algebra, add a copy of vertex 1 called  $\tilde{1}$ , copy all of its arrows, and consider the new algebra modulo its radical squared. Then  $S_1$ and  $S_{\tilde{1}}$  have the same syzygies, and by repeating this process we can produce an algebra with arbitrarily many such simple modules. However, this process does not create a path algebra in which *all* simple modules have the same Betti numbers.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was conducted while Coopergard was a student at St. Olaf College. The authors would like to thank the college for supporting this project.

# References

- [Alperin and Evens 1981] J. L. Alperin and L. Evens, "Representations, resolutions and Quillen's dimension theorem", *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 22:1 (1981), 1–9. MR Zbl
- [Assem et al. 2006] I. Assem, D. Simson, and A. Skowroński, *Elements of the representation theory of associative algebras, I: Techniques of representation theory*, London Math. Soc. Student Texts **65**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2006. MR Zbl
- [Auslander et al. 1995] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, and S. O. Smalø, *Representation theory of Artin algebras*, Cambridge Studies in Adv. Math. **36**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995. MR Zbl
- [Avramov 1998] L. L. Avramov, "Infinite free resolutions", pp. 1–118 in *Six lectures on commutative algebra* (Bellaterra, Spain, 1996), edited by J. M. Giral et al., Progr. Math. **166**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998. MR Zbl
- [Avramov and Buchweitz 2000] L. L. Avramov and R.-O. Buchweitz, "Support varieties and cohomology over complete intersections", *Invent. Math.* **142**:2 (2000), 285–318. MR Zbl
- [Avramov et al. 1997] L. L. Avramov, V. N. Gasharov, and I. V. Peeva, "Complete intersection dimension", *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **86** (1997), 67–114. MR Zbl
- [Diveris and Purin 2014] K. Diveris and M. Purin, "Vanishing of self-extensions over symmetric algebras", *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **218**:5 (2014), 962–971. MR Zbl
- [Eisenbud 1980] D. Eisenbud, "Homological algebra on a complete intersection, with an application to group representations", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **260**:1 (1980), 35–64. MR Zbl
- [Erdmann et al. 2004] K. Erdmann, M. Holloway, R. Taillefer, N. Snashall, and Ø. Solberg, "Support varieties for selfinjective algebras", *K*-Theory **33**:1 (2004), 67–87. MR Zbl
- [Tate 1957] J. Tate, "Homology of Noetherian rings and local rings", *Illinois J. Math.* **1** (1957), 14–27. MR Zbl

Received: 2016-12-23	Revised: 2018-05-24	Accepted: 2019-01-31
coope786@umn.edu	Department of I Twin Cities, Min	Mathematics, University of Minnesota - neapolis, MN, United States
purin@stolaf.edu	Department of l Science, St. Olai	Mathematics, Statistics, and Computer <sup>f</sup> College, Northfield, MN, United States



# INVOLVE YOUR STUDENTS IN RESEARCH

*Involve* showcases and encourages high-quality mathematical research involving students from all academic levels. The editorial board consists of mathematical scientists committed to nurturing student participation in research. Bridging the gap between the extremes of purely undergraduate research journals and mainstream research journals, *Involve* provides a venue to mathematicians wishing to encourage the creative involvement of students.

#### MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut Wake Forest University, USA

#### BOARD OF EDITORS

Colin Adams	Williams College, USA	Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology, U	SA Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand
Nigel Boston	University of Wisconsin, USA	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of N Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA
Pietro Cerone	La Trobe University, Australia	Johammad Sal Moslehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA
Joshua N. Cooper	University of South Carolina, USA	Ken Ono	Univ. of Virginia, Charlottesville
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA
Toka Diagana	Howard University, USA	YF. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA	Jonathon Peterson	Purdue University, USA
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA
Joel Foisy	SUNY Potsdam, USA	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA
Errin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA	Józeph H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA
Anant Godbole	East Tennessee State University, USA	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA	Javier Rojo	Oregon State University, USA
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA	Hari Mohan Srivastava	University of Victoria, Canada
Glenn H. Hurlbert	Virginia Commonwealth University, USA	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA	Antonia Vecchio	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy
David Larson	Texas A&M University, USA	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA
Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA	Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA

#### PRODUCTION Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Cover: Alex Scorpan

See inside back cover or msp.org/involve for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2019 is US \$195/year for the electronic version, and \$260/year (+\$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY



nonprofit scientific publishing

http://msp.org/

© 2019 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# 2019 vol. 12 no. 6

Occurrence graphs of patterns in permutations	901		
BJARNI JENS KRISTINSSON AND HENNING ULFARSSON			
Truncated path algebras and Betti numbers of polynomial growth			
Ryan Coopergard and Marju Purin			
Orbit spaces of linear circle actions			
SUZANNE CRAIG, NAICHE DOWNEY, LUCAS GOAD,			
MICHAEL J. MAHONEY AND JORDAN WATTS			
On a theorem of Besicovitch and a problem in ergodic theory	961		
Ethan Gwaltney, Paul Hagelstein, Daniel Herden			
AND BRIAN KING			
Algorithms for classifying points in a 2-adic Mandelbrot set	969		
BRANDON BATE, KYLE CRAFT AND JONATHON YULY			
Sidon sets and 2-caps in $\mathbb{F}_3^n$	995		
YIXUAN HUANG, MICHAEL TAIT AND ROBERT WON			
Covering numbers of upper triangular matrix rings over finite fields	1005		
MERRICK CAI AND NICHOLAS J. WERNER			
Nonstandard existence proofs for reaction diffusion equations	1015		
CONNOR OLSON, MARSHALL MUELLER AND SIGURD B.			
Angenent			
Improving multilabel classification via heterogeneous ensemble	1035		
methods			
YUJUE WU AND QING WANG			
The number of fixed points of AND-OR networks with chain topology	1051		
Alan Veliz-Cuba and Lauren Geiser			
Positive solutions to singular second-order boundary value problems	1069		
for dynamic equations			
CURTIS KUNKEL AND ALEX LANCASTER			