

## EXTREME POSITIVE OPERATORS ON $l^p$

BY

RYSZARD GRZĄŚLEWICZ

### 1. Introduction

The problem of the characterization of the extreme operators was first investigated by A. Ionescu Tulcea and C. Ionescu Tulcea [13]. They considered extreme positive contractions on the space of continuous functions. Next many authors extended this result, and now we have a quite good knowledge about extreme operators on  $C(K)$  (see for example [4], [5]). Thus it is natural to consider the possible extension of this problem to other classical Banach spaces. Using the results for  $C(K)$  we can get characterizations of extreme  $l^\infty$ -operators and  $l^1$ -operators (see [18], [14]). Note that for a Hilbert space case the set of extreme contractions coincides with the set of all isometries and coisometries (see [15], [8]). The other cases of  $l^p$ -spaces are more complicated. Some partial results on extreme  $l^p$ -contractions for  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $p \neq 2$ , are given in [6], [7], [16], [17], [12].

The purpose of this paper is to characterize the extreme points of the positive part of the unit ball of the space of operators acting on infinite dimensional  $l^p$ -spaces  $1 < p < \infty$ . This result extends an earlier one for the finite dimensional case [9]. Generally speaking the structure of extreme positive contractions is similar to the structure of extreme infinite doubly stochastic matrices with respect to arbitrary positive sequences (not necessarily elements of  $l^1$ ). This description turns out to be more complicated compared with the finite dimensional case.

Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $q = p/(p - 1)$ . As usual we denote by  $l^p$  the Banach lattice of all  $p$ -summable real sequences with the norm

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_p = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad \mathbf{x} = (x_i) \in l^p$$

---

Received March 19, 1990.

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). Primary 46B20; Secondary 52A05, 47B55.

© 1992 by the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois  
Manufactured in the United States of America

and standard order ( $\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{y}$  if and only if  $x_i \leq y_i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ). We put  $\mathbf{e}_{i_0} = (\delta_{ii_0})$  ( $\delta_{ij}$  denotes the Kronecker's delta). Obviously  $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}$  forms the canonical basis of  $l^p$ . The adjoint space  $(l^p)'$  is identified with the space  $l^q$ . For  $0 \leq \mathbf{x} = (x_i) \in l^p$  we let  $\mathbf{x}^{p-1} = (x_i^{p-1}) \in l^q$ . Note that  $\mathbf{x}^{p-1}$  as a functional attains its norm at  $\mathbf{x}$  and is the unique functional with this property. Moreover we have  $\|\mathbf{x}^{p-1}\|_q^q = \|\mathbf{x}\|_p^p$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{L}(l^p)$  the Banach space of all linear bounded operators from  $l^p$  into  $l^p$ . An operator  $T$  is said to be positive  $T \geq 0$  if  $T\mathbf{x} \geq 0$  whenever  $\mathbf{x} \geq 0$ . The positive part of the unit ball of  $\mathcal{L}(l^p)$  (the set of positive contraction on  $l^p$ ) is denoted by  $\mathcal{P}$ .

To every operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(l^p)$  corresponds a unique matrix  $(t_{ji})$  with real entries, such that  $(T\mathbf{x})_j = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_{ji}x_i$ . We have  $T \geq 0$  if and only if  $t_{ji} \geq 0$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . The operators on  $l^p$  will be identified with their corresponding matrices. Thus for instance  $(\delta_{j_0} \delta_{ii_0})$  denotes the one dimensional operator in  $\mathcal{L}(l^p)$  which maps  $\mathbf{e}_{i_0}$  onto  $\mathbf{e}_{j_0}$ . Clearly the adjoint operator  $T^* \in \mathcal{L}(l^q)$  is determined in the same manner by the transposed matrix.

Let  $0 \leq T \in \mathcal{P}$ . We say that entries of  $T = (t_{ji})$  are maximal if

$$\|(t_{ji} + \gamma \delta_{j_0} \delta_{ii_0})\| > 1$$

for every  $\gamma > 0$  and all  $i_0, j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $t_{j_0i_0} > 0$ . Obviously, if some entry of the operator  $T$  is maximal then  $\|T\| = 1$  and if  $T$  is an extreme positive contraction then all entries of  $T$  are maximal. Note that there exists  $T \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $\|T\| = 1$  and the entries of  $T$  are not maximal (a suitable example is given in the paper).

We define the support of an operator  $T = (t_{ji}) \in \mathcal{L}(l^p)$  by

$$\text{supp } T = \{i: \text{there exists } j_0 \text{ such that } t_{j_0i} \neq 0\}.$$

For a positive operator  $T = (t_{ji}) \in \mathcal{L}(l^p)$  we denote by  $\mathcal{M}(T)$  the set of all non-negative sequences  $(x_i)$  such that

$$(1) \quad 0 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_{jk}x_k = y_j < \infty,$$

$$(2) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_{ki}y_k^{p-1} = x_i^{p-1}$$

for all  $i, j \in \mathbf{N}$ , and

(3)  $x_i > 0$  if and only if  $i \in \text{supp } T$ . That is

$$\mathcal{M}(T) = \left\{ (x_i) \geq 0: \text{supp } (x_i) = \text{supp } T \text{ and for every } i \in \mathbf{N}, \right. \\ \left. \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_{ji} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_{jk} x_k \right)^{p-1} = x_i^{p-1} \right\}.$$

Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_i)$ ,  $\mathbf{b} = (b_j)$  be non-negative sequences. A matrix  $P = (p_{ji})$ ,  $i, j \in \mathbf{N}$ , is said to be doubly stochastic with respect to  $((a_i), (b_j))$  if  $p_{ji} \geq 0$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p_{ji} = a_i$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_{ji} = b_j$ . The set of all doubly stochastic matrices with respect to  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ .

To complete a characterization of extreme positive  $l^p$ -contractions we need a description of extreme points of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$  for arbitrary non-negative sequences  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ . This problem was investigated under various assumption on  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$  by many authors (see [20], [21], [3]). Note that the first result of this kind was given by G.D. Birkhoff [1] (see also [22], I, §5). The characterization of ext  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$  for arbitrary non-negative sequences  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$  is given in [10].

The main aim of this paper is to prove the following characterization of extreme positive  $l^p$ -contraction.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ , and let  $0 \neq T = (t_{ji}) \in \mathcal{P}$ . Then  $T$  is an extreme positive contraction if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- (i) *the entries of  $T$  are maximal;*
- (ii) *the matrix  $P = (t_{ji} x_i y_j^{p-1})$  is extreme in  $\mathcal{D}((x_i^p), (y_j^p))$ , where  $(x_i) \in \mathcal{M}(T)$  and  $y_j = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_{ji} x_i$ .*

## 2. Proof of the theorem

We will use the following fact, which is a generalized version of the Schur's test [23] (see [11], §5, Th. 5.2.).

**PROPOSITION 1.** *For a positive operator  $T = (t_{ji}) \in \mathcal{L}(l^p)$  let there exist positive sequences  $(x_i), (y_j)$  such that*

$$y_j = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_{ji} x_i$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_{ji} y_j^{p-1} \leq x_i^{p-1}$$

for all  $i, j \in \mathbf{N}$ . Then  $\|T\| \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* Using the convexity of  $f(t) = t^p$  for an arbitrary non-negative vector  $\mathbf{u} = (u_i) \in l^p$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\mathbf{u}\|_p^p &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_{ji} u_i \right)^p \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} y_j^p \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{t_{ji} x_i}{y_j} \frac{u_i}{x_i} \right)^p \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} y_j^p \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{t_{ji} x_i}{y_i} \frac{u_i^p}{x_i^p} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{u_i^p}{x_i^{p-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_{ji} y_j^{p-1} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i^p = \|\mathbf{u}\|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

**COROLLARY 1.** *If for a positive operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(l^p)$  the set  $\mathcal{M}(T)$  is non-empty then  $\|T\| \leq 1$ .*

For every matrix  $(t_{ji})$  define a graph  $G((t_{ji}))$  by the following formula. To the  $j$ -th row there corresponds a (row) node  $j, j \in \mathbf{N}$ , and to  $i$ -th column there corresponds a (column) node  $i, i \in \mathbf{N}$ . There is an edge joining a node  $i$  and a node  $j$  if and only if  $t_{ji} \neq 0$ . There are no other edges.

We say that an operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(l^p)$  is elementary provided there are no non-zero operators  $T = T_1 + T_2$  and

$$\text{supp } T_1 \cap \text{supp } T_2 = \text{supp } T_1^* \cap \text{supp } T_2^* = \emptyset.$$

Note that  $T$  is elementary if and only if the graph  $G(T)$  is connected. Each operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(l^p)$  can be represented as a countable sum of elementary operators  $T_k, T = \sum T_k$  with  $\text{supp } T_k$  disjoint and  $\text{supp } T_k^*$  disjoint. Then  $\|T\| = \sup_k \|T_k\|$  and  $T \geq 0$  if and only if  $T_k \geq 0$  for all  $k$ . Therefore  $T$  is an extreme positive contraction if and only if the  $T_k$ 's are extreme positive contractions. The above decomposition shows us that for our purpose it is enough to consider elementary operators. Therefore without any loss of

generality all operators in  $\mathcal{L}(l^p)$  considered in the remainder of the paper will be assumed to be elementary operators.

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Let  $T \in \text{ext } \mathcal{P}$ . Then the graph  $G(T)$  has no cycle.*

*Proof.* Suppose, to get a contradiction, that the graph  $G(T)$  has a simple cycle  $C$ . Let  $F_n \in \mathcal{L}(l^p)$  denote the projection defined by

$$F_n \mathbf{e}_i = \begin{cases} \mathbf{e}_i & \text{if } i \leq n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

For  $n$  sufficiently large the graph of  $T_n = TF_n$  contains the cycle  $C$ . Note that the  $T_n$ 's are finite dimensional operators and they are not extreme. Recall here that the finite dimensional case if the graph of a positive contraction has the cycle then it is not extreme (see [9, Th.3]), so for each  $T_n$  there exists  $R_n = (r_{ji}^{(n)}) \neq 0$  such that  $\|T_n \pm R_n\| \leq \|T_n\| \leq 1$  and  $T_n \pm R_n \geq 0$ , the graph  $G(R_n) = C$  and  $t_{j_0 i_0} = |r_{j_0 i_0}^{(n)}|$  for some  $(i_0, j_0) \in C$  (not necessarily the same for all  $n$ ). Choose a subsequence  $n_k$  of  $\mathbf{N}$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r_{ji}^{(n_k)} = r'_{ji}$  exists for all  $(i, j)$ . Note that  $r'_{ji} \neq 0$  for some  $(i, j)$ , i.e.,  $R' = (r'_{ji}) \neq 0$ . Obviously  $T \pm R \geq 0$  and  $\|T \pm R\| \leq 1$ . This contradiction ends the proof.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let the graph  $G(T)$  of  $T \in \mathcal{P}$  be a tree. If all entries of  $T$  are maximal then  $\mathcal{M}(T)$  is non-empty.*

**LEMMA 2.** *Let all the entries of  $T \in \mathcal{P}$  be maximal. Let  $(x_i) \in \mathcal{M}(T)$  and  $j_1 \in \text{supp } T^*$ . Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $N_0$  such that for all  $N > N_0$  there exists  $\mathbf{u}^{(N)} \in l^p$  such that*

$$\|\mathbf{u}^{(N)}\|^p - \|T\mathbf{u}^{(N)}\|^p < \varepsilon,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u_i^{(N)} &= x_i & \text{for } i \in \{k \leq N: t_{j_1 k} \neq 0\}, \\ u_i^{(N)} &= 0 & \text{for } i \in \{k > N: t_{j_1 k} \neq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

The proofs of Lemmas 1 and 2 will be presented in Section 4.

Let the graph  $G(T)$  of  $T \in \mathcal{L}(l^p)$  be a tree (i.e.,  $G(T)$  has no cycles). Let  $i_1 \in \text{supp } T$ . Note that  $G(T)$  is a connected tree since  $T$  is elementary. We define inductively two families  $\{I_n\}$  and  $\{J_n\}$  of disjoint subsets of  $\mathbf{N}$  and a family  $\{E_n\}$  of disjoint subsets of  $\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N}$ . Put

$$I_1 = \{i_1\}, \quad J_1 = \{j: t_{j i_1} \neq 0\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_{n+1} &= \{i \notin I_n : t_{ji} \neq 0 \text{ for some } j \in J_n\} \\ J_{n+1} &= \{j \notin J_n : t_{ji} \neq 0 \text{ for some } i \in I_{n+1}\} \\ E_{2n-1} &= \{(i, j) : i \in I_n, j \in J_n\} \\ E_{2n} &= \{(i, j) : i \in I_{n+1}, j \in J_n\}, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3. *Let all the entries of  $T \in \mathcal{P}$  be maximal. Let  $(x_i) \in \mathcal{M}(T)$  and  $y_j = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_{ji} x_i$ . If  $T \pm R \in \mathcal{P}$  for some  $R = (r_{ji})$  then*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r_{ji} x_i = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r_{ji} y_j^{p-1}.$$

*Proof.* The graph  $G(R)$  is included in the graph  $G(T)$  and  $|r_{ji}| \leq t_{ji}$ , since  $T \pm R \geq 0$ . Fix  $j_1 \in \text{supp } T^*$ . Because in the construction of the sets  $I_1, J_1, I_2, \dots$  the index  $i_1$  is arbitrary we may and do assume that  $j_1 \in J_1$ .

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We need to show that there exists  $N_0$  such that

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^N r_{j_1 i} x_i \right| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } N > N_0.$$

By Lemma 2 we can find  $N_0 \in \mathbf{N}$  such that for every  $N > N_0$  there exists  $\mathbf{u}^{(N)} \in l^p$  such that

$$\|\mathbf{u}^{(N)}\| - \|T\mathbf{u}^{(N)}\| < \varepsilon$$

and

$$(R\mathbf{u}^{(N)})_{j_1} = \sum_{i=1}^N r_{j_1 i} x_i.$$

First consider the case when  $p \geq 2$ . Using the Clarkson inequality [2] (see also [19], Corollary 2.1) we have

$$2\|R\mathbf{u}^{(N)}\|_p^p + 2\|T\mathbf{u}^{(N)}\|_p^p \leq \|(T + R)\mathbf{u}^{(N)}\|_p^p + \|(T - R)\mathbf{u}^{(N)}\|_p^p \leq 2\|\mathbf{u}^{(N)}\|_p^p$$

Hence we have

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^N r_{j_1 i} x_i \right| = |(R\mathbf{u}^{(N)})_{j_1}| \leq \|R\mathbf{u}^{(N)}\|_p \neq (\|\mathbf{u}^{(N)}\|_p^p - \|T\mathbf{u}^{(N)}\|_p^p)^{1/p} < \varepsilon^{1/p}.$$

Therefore  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r_{j_1 i} x_i = 0$  for all  $j \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $p \geq 2$ .

Now assume that  $1 < p < 2$ . As an immediate consequence of differential calculus we obtain

$$(t + \tau)^p + (t - \tau)^p \geq 2t^p + p(p - 1)\tau^2 t^{p-1} \geq 2t^p + p(p - 1)\tau^2$$

where  $|\tau| < t < 1$ . By this, putting  $T\mathbf{u}^{(N)} = (f_j)$  and  $R\mathbf{u}^{(N)} = (g_j)$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |f_j|^p + p(p - 1)g_{j_1}^2 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |f_j + g_j|^p + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |f_j - g_j|^p \\ &= \|(T + R)\mathbf{u}^{(N)}\|_p^p + \|(T - R)\mathbf{u}^{(N)}\|_p^p \\ &\leq 2\|\mathbf{u}^{(N)}\|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$p(p - 1)g_{j_1}^2 \leq 2(\|\mathbf{u}^{(N)}\|_p^p - \|T\mathbf{u}^{(N)}\|_p^p) < 2\varepsilon.$$

Thus we prove that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r_{ji}x_i = 0$  for all  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . To prove that  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r_{ji}y_j^{p-1} = 0$  we apply the same arguments for the adjoint operators  $T^*$  and  $R^*$ .

*Proof of the theorem.* Suppose that  $T \in \text{ext } \mathcal{P}$ . Then obviously the condition (i) holds. From Lemma 1 there exists  $(x_i) \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ . Put  $y_j = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_{ji}x_i$ .

Suppose that  $P = (t_{ji}x_i y_j^{p-1}) \notin \text{ext } \mathcal{D}((x_i^p), (y_j^p))$ . Then there exist  $P' = (p'_{ji})$  and  $P'' = (p''_{ji})$  in  $\mathcal{D}((x_i^p), (y_j^p))$  such that  $P' \neq P''$  and  $P = (P' + P'')/2$ . In view of Proposition 1,  $T' = (t'_{ji})$  and  $T'' = (t''_{ji})$  are positive contractions, where  $t'_{ji} = p'_{ji}/x_i y_j^{p-1}$  and  $t''_{ji} = p''_{ji}/x_i y_j^{p-1}$  (we admit  $0/0 = 0$ ). We have  $(T' + T'')/2 = T$ , so  $T$  is not extreme. Thus the condition (ii) also holds.

Now suppose that the conditions (i) and (ii) hold. Let  $R = (r_{ji})$  be such that  $T \pm R \in \mathcal{P}$ . Obviously the graph  $G(R)$  is a subgraph of  $G(T)$ . By Lemma 3,  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r_{ji}x_i = 0$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r_{ji}y_j^{p-1} = 0$ . Thus

$$(t_{ji}x_i y_j^{p-1}) \pm (r_{ji}x_i y_j^{p-1}) \in \mathcal{D}((x_i^p), (y_j^p)).$$

Because  $(t_{ji}x_i y_j^{p-1}) \in \text{ext } \mathcal{D}((x_i^p), (y_j^p))$  we get  $r_{ji}x_i y_j^{p-1} = 0$ . Hence  $r_{ji} = 0$ , i.e.,  $T \in \text{ext } \mathcal{P}$ .

### 3. Operators with a graph of finite height

Let the graph of  $T \in \mathcal{L}(l^p)$  be a tree. The family  $I_n$  is a partition of  $\text{supp } T$  and the family  $J_n$  is a partition of  $\text{supp } T^*$ . Moreover

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = \{(i, j) : t_{ji} \neq 0\}.$$

If in the sequence  $E_1, E_2, E_3, \dots$  some  $E_{n_0}$  is empty then the subsequent sets  $E_n, n > n_0$ , are also empty. The number  $h(T)$  of the non-empty sets in the sequence  $\{E_n\}$  will be called the height of the graph  $G(T)$ . We say that the matrix  $T$  has the FHG (Finite Height Graph) property if  $h(T)$  is finite.

LEMMA 4. Let  $0 \leq T \in \mathcal{L}(l^p)$  have the FHG property, and let  $(x_i) \in \mathcal{M}(T), y_j = \sum_i t_{ji}x_i$ . Then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a finite subset  $I$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\|T^*(T\mathbf{u})^{p-1}\|_q^q > \|\mathbf{u}\|_q^q - \varepsilon,$$

$$\{i_i\} = I_1 \subset I, \quad (T^*((T\mathbf{u})^{p-1}))_{i_1} > x_{i_1}^{p-1}/2,$$

and for fixed  $j_1 \in J_1$  we have  $(T\mathbf{u})_{j_1} > y_{j_1}/2$  where

$$u_i = \begin{cases} x_i & \text{if } i \in I \\ 0 & \text{if } i \notin I. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let  $(x_i) \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ . Let  $y_i = \sum_j t_{ji}x_j$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $i_1 \in \text{supp } T$ . Fix  $j_1 \in J_1$ . Let  $\varepsilon_i > 0$  be such that

$$(x_i^{p-1} - 2\varepsilon_i)^q > x_i^p - \varepsilon/2^i$$

and

$$\varepsilon_{i_1} < x_{i_1}^{p-1}/4.$$

Let  $I'_1 = I_1 = \{i_1\}$ . We choose a finite subset  $J'_1$  of  $J_1$  such that  $j_1 \in J'_1$  and

$$\sum_{j \in J'_1} t_{j i_1} y_j^{p-1} > x_{i_1}^{p-1} - \varepsilon_{i_1}.$$

We find  $\delta_j > 0$  ( $j \in J'_1$ ) such that  $\delta_{j_1} < y_{j_1}/2$  and

$$\sum_{j \in J'_1} t_{j i_1} (y_j - \delta_j)^{p-1} > x_{i_1}^{p-1} - 2\varepsilon_{i_1}.$$

We choose a finite subset  $I'_2$  of  $I_2$  such that

$$\sum_{i \in I'_1 \cup I'_2} t_{ji} x_i > y_j - \delta_j$$

for  $j \in J'_1$ . We choose a finite subset  $J'_2$  of  $J_2$  such that

$$\sum_{j \in J'_1 \cup J'_2} t_{ji} y_j^{p-1} > x_i^{p-1} - \varepsilon_i$$

for  $i \in I'_2$ . We find  $\delta_j > 0$  ( $j \in J'_2$ ) such that

$$\sum_{j \in J'_1 \cup J'_2} t_{ji} (y_j - \delta_j)^{p-1} > x_i^{p-1} - 2\varepsilon_i$$

$i \in I'_2$ . We continue the above process to get (after  $h(T)$  steps) a finite sequence  $I'_1, J'_1, I'_2, \dots, J'_{n_0}$ . Let  $I = \bigcup_{n=1}^{n_0} I'_n$  and  $J = \bigcup_{n=1}^{n_0} J'_n$ . We define

$$u_i = \begin{cases} x_i & \text{if } i \in I \\ 0 & \text{if } i \notin I \end{cases}$$

Put  $\mathbf{v} = (v_j) = T\mathbf{u}$ . For  $j \in J$  we have

$$v_j = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_{ji} u_i = \sum_{i \in I} t_{ji} x_i > y_j - \delta_j.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_{ji} v_j^{p-1} > \sum_{j \in J} t_{ji} (y_j - \delta_j)^{p-1} > x_i^{p-1} - 2\varepsilon_i \quad \text{for } i \in I.$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^*(T\mathbf{u})^{p-1}\|_q^q &= \|T^*\mathbf{v}^{p-1}\|_q^q \geq \sum_{i \in I} \left[ \sum_{j \in J} t_{ji} v_j^{p-1} \right]^q \\ &> \sum_{i \in I} (x_i^{p-1} - 2\varepsilon_i)^q \\ &> \sum_{i \in I} \left( x_i^p - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i} \right) \\ &> \|\mathbf{u}\|_p^p - \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover we have

$$\left( T^*((T\mathbf{u})^{p-1}) \right)_{i_1} \geq \sum_{j \in J'_1} y_j t_{ji_1} (y_j - \delta_j)^{p-1} > x_{i_1}^{p-1} - \varepsilon \geq x_{i_1}^{p-1} / 2$$

and

$$(T\mathbf{u})_{j_1} \geq \sum_{i \in I'_1 \cup I'_2} t_{j_1 i} x_i > y_{j_1} - \delta_{j_1} > y_{j_1}/2.$$

LEMMA 5. *Let  $0 \leq T \in \mathcal{L}(l^p)$  have the FHG property and let  $\mathcal{M}(T)$  be non-empty. Then  $\|T\| = 1$  and all the entries of  $T$  are maximal.*

*Proof.* By Corollary 1 we have  $\|T\| \leq 1$ . Let  $T = (t_{ji})$  have the FHG property and let  $(x_i) \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ . Suppose, to get a contradiction, that there exists an entry of  $T$  which is not maximal. Since the construction of the sequences  $I_1, J_1, J_2 \dots$  can start from every positive entry, we may and do assume that  $t_{j_1 i_1}$  ( $i_1 \in I_1 = I'_1, j_1 \in J_1$ ) is not maximal. Let  $\gamma > 0$  be such that  $\|S\| \leq 1$ , where  $S = (s_{ji}) = (t_{ji} + \gamma \delta_{ii} \delta_{jj})$ . Let

$$\beta = \frac{y_{j_1}^{p-1}}{2^{p-1}} \left[ \left( 1 + \gamma \frac{x_{i_1}}{y_{j_1}} \right)^{p-1} - 1 \right] > 0$$

and

$$\varepsilon = t_{j_1 i_1} \beta q x_{i_1} / 2^q > 0.$$

In view of Lemma 1 there exists  $\mathbf{u} = (u_i) \in l^p$  such that if  $\mathbf{v} = (v_j) = T\mathbf{u}$ ,  $\mathbf{z} = (z_i) = T^*(\mathbf{v}^{p-1})$  then  $\|\mathbf{z}\|_q^q > \|\mathbf{u}\|_p^p - \varepsilon$ , and  $u_{i_1} = x_{i_1}$ ,  $z_{i_1} > x_{i_1}^{p-1}$ ,  $v_{j_1} > y_{j_1}/2$ . We have

$$\left[ (v_{j_1} + \gamma x_{i_1})^{p-1} - v_{j_1}^{p-1} \right] \geq v_{j_1}^{p-1} \left[ \left( 1 + \gamma \frac{x_{i_1}}{y_{j_1}} \right)^{p-1} - 1 \right] \geq 1.$$

Using the mean value theorem we get

$$\left[ z_{i_1} + t_{j_1 i_1} \beta \right]^q - z_{i_1}^q \geq t_{j_1 i_1} \beta q z_{i_1}^{q-1} > 2\varepsilon.$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|S^*(S\mathbf{u})^{p-1}\|_q^q &\geq \|T^*[(T + \gamma \delta_{ii} \delta_{jj})\mathbf{u}]^{p-1}\|_q^q \\ &= \|T^*(T\mathbf{u}) + T^*[(v_{j_1} + \gamma x_{i_1})^{p-1} - v_{j_1}^{p-1}] \mathbf{e}_{j_1}\|_q^q \\ &\geq \|\mathbf{z} + t_{j_1 i_1} \beta \mathbf{e}_{i_1}\|_q^q \\ &= \|\mathbf{z}\|_q^q + (z_{i_1} + t_{j_1 i_1} \beta)^q - z_{i_1}^q \\ &\geq \|\mathbf{u}\|_p^p - \varepsilon + 2\varepsilon \geq \|\mathbf{u}\|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts the fact that for arbitrary  $R \in \mathcal{P}$  we have

$$\|R^*(R\mathbf{u})^{p-1}\|_q^q \leq \|(R\mathbf{u})^{p-1}\|_q^q = \|R\mathbf{u}\|_p^p \leq \|\mathbf{u}\|_p^p.$$

This shows us that all the entries of  $T$  are maximal. Moreover, since  $\|S\| > 1$  for each  $\gamma > 0$  we have  $\|T\| = 1$ .

Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . We define the following maps from the set of all positive contractions which the graph is a tree into the set of matrices having the FHG property by

$$\mathcal{S}_m((t_{ji})) = \begin{cases} t_{ji} & \text{if } (i, j) \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{2m-1} E_n \\ t_{ji} \left[ 1 - \sum_{k \in I_{m+1}} t_{ki}^p \right]^{-1/p} & \text{if } (i, j) \in E_{2m} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{S}'_m((t_{ji})) = \begin{cases} t_{ji} & \text{if } (i, j) \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{2m-2} E_n \\ t_{ji} \left[ 1 - \sum_{k \in I_{m+1}} t_{jk}^q \right]^{-1/q} & \text{if } (i, j) \in E_{2m-1} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_m(T) = \mathcal{S}'_m \mathcal{S}_m(T),$$

$$\mathcal{R}_m((t_{ji})) = \begin{cases} t_{ji} & \text{if } (i, j) \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{2m-1} E_n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that  $h(\mathcal{S}_m(T)) \leq 2m$ ,  $h(\mathcal{S}'_m(T)) \leq 2m - 1$ ,  $h(\mathcal{T}_m(T)) \leq 2m - 1$  and  $h(\mathcal{R}_m(T)) \leq 2m - 1$ . And  $\mathcal{R}_m(T) \leq \mathcal{T}_m(T)$ .

**LEMMA 6.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{P}$  have the FHG property, and let all the entries of  $T$  be maximal. Then there exists unique (up to a multiplicative constant) sequence  $(x_i) \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ .*

*Moreover, if  $h(T) \leq 2m + 1$  then all the entries of  $\mathcal{S}_m(T)$  are maximal, and if  $h(T) \leq 2m$  then all the entries of  $\mathcal{S}'_m T$  are maximal.*

*Proof.* Let  $T$  satisfy the assumption of the lemma. Our proof is inductive with respect to  $h(T)$ . First let  $h(T) = 1$  i.e.,  $Te_{i_1} \neq 0$  and  $Te_i = 0$  for  $i \neq i_1$ . Since  $\|T\| = 1$  we have  $\|Te_{i_1}\| = 1$ . It is easy to see that  $(\delta_{ii_1})$  is a unique (up to a multiplicative constant) element of  $\mathcal{M}(T)$ .

Assume that the thesis of the lemma is true for all  $T$  with  $h(T) \leq N$ . Assume that  $h(T) = N + 1$ . We need to prove that the lemma holds for  $T$ . First consider the case when  $N = 2m$  is even. Put  $(u_{ji}) = \mathcal{S}_m(T)$ . For  $(i, j) \in E_{2m}$ . We define

$$\eta_{ji} = \frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{1 - \sum_{k \neq j} t_{ki}^p}}$$

Note that  $1 - \sum_{k \neq j} t_{ki}^p = 1 - \|Te_i\|^p + t_{ji}^p > 0$  since  $T \in \mathcal{P}$ . We have  $h((u_{ji})) = 2N$ .

We claim that all the entries of  $(u_{ji})$  are maximal. Indeed, suppose first, to get a contradiction, that the entries of  $(u_{ji})$  are not maximal. We find  $\alpha_{ji} \geq 1$  such that all the entries of the matrix  $(\alpha_{ji}u_{ji})$  are maximal. Put  $\alpha_{ji} = 1$  for  $(i, j) \in E_{2m+1}$ . By the inductive assumption there exists  $(x') \in \mathcal{M}((\alpha_{ji}u_{ji}))$ . For every  $i \in I_{m+1}$  we denote by  $j_i$  the unique element of  $J_m$  such that  $t_{j_i i} \neq 0$ . Now let

$$x'' = \begin{cases} x'_i \eta_{j_i i} & \text{if } i \in I_{m+1} \\ x'_i & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that

$$(x''_i) \in \mathcal{M}((\alpha_{ji}t_{ji}))$$

By Lemma 5,  $\|(\alpha_{ji}t_{ji})\| = 1$ . Since  $t_{ji} \leq \alpha_{ji}t_{ji}$  and all entries of  $(t_{ji})$  are maximal we obtain  $\alpha_{ji} = 1$ . Now suppose that  $\|(u_{ji})\| > 1$ . We find  $\alpha_{ji} \leq 1$ ,  $(i, j) \in \cup_{n=1}^{2n} E_n$ , such that all the entries of  $(\alpha_{ji}u_{ji})$  are maximal. By inductive assumption there exists  $(x') \in \mathcal{M}((\alpha_{ji}u_{ji}))$ . Put  $\alpha_{ji} = 1$  for  $(i, j) \in E_{2m+1}$ . It is easy to check that  $(x''_i) \in \mathcal{M}((\alpha_{ji}t_{ji}))$ , where  $x''_i$  is defined as above. By Lemma 5 the entries of  $(\alpha_{ji}t_{ji})$  are maximal, hence all  $\alpha_{ji} = 1$ . This ends the proof of our claim. Therefore if  $h(T) \leq 2m + 1$  then all the entries of  $\mathcal{S}_m(T)$  are maximal.

Using inductive assumption we find (unique)  $(x'_i) \in \mathcal{M}((u_{ji}))$ . Put

$$x_i = \begin{cases} x'_i \eta_{j_i i} & \text{if } i \in I_{m+1} \\ x'_i & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

One can easily verify that  $(x_i) \in \mathcal{M}((t_{ji}))$ .

Now suppose that  $N = 2m - 1$  is odd. Let  $(u_{ji}) = \mathcal{S}'_m(T)$ . For  $(i, j) \in E_{2m-1}$  we let

$$\eta_{ji} = \frac{1}{\sqrt[q]{1 - \sum_{k \neq i} t_{jk}^q}}.$$

By the same argument as in the even case, all the entries of the matrix  $(u_{ji})$  are maximal. Using the inductive assumption we find  $(x'_i) \in \mathcal{M}((u_{ji}))$ . It is not difficult to check that  $(x_i) \in \mathcal{M}((t_{ji}))$ , where

$$x_i = \begin{cases} (t_{j_1 i})^{q/p} \eta_{j_1 i}^q t_{j_1 i} x'_{i_1} & \text{if } i \in I_{m+1}, j_1 \in \{j \in J_m : t_{j1} \neq 0\}, \\ & i_1 \in \{k \in I_m : t_{j_1 k} \neq 0\} \\ x'_i & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Analogously, if  $h(T) \leq 2m$  then all the entries of  $T$  are maximal.

*Remark 1.* (a) From the construction presented in the proof of Lemma 6 it follows that if  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S}_m(T)) \neq \emptyset$  ( $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S}'_m(T)) \neq \emptyset$ ) then  $\mathcal{M}(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore, if  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{T}_m(T)) \neq \emptyset$  then  $\mathcal{M}(T) \neq \emptyset$ .

(b) We get also that if  $\|T\| \leq 1$  then  $\|\mathcal{S}_m(T)\| \leq 1$  and  $\|\mathcal{S}'_m(T)\| \leq 1$ , hence  $\|\mathcal{T}_m(T)\| \leq 1$ , too.

(c) Let  $h(T) \leq 2m + 1$  and let the entries of  $T$  are maximal.

If  $(x'_i) \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ ,  $x''_i \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{T}_m(T))$  and  $x'_{i_1} = x''_{i_1} = 1$  then  $x'_i = x''_i$  for  $i \in \cup_{n=1}^m I_n$ .

Although  $\mathcal{T}_m$  is not a linear map, it has other useful properties.

LEMMA 7. Let  $T \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ .

- (a)  $\mathcal{T}_m(T) \geq 0$ ,
- (b)  $\|\mathcal{T}_m(T)\| \leq 1$ ,
- (c)  $(\mathcal{T}_m(T))_{ji} \geq t_{ji}$  for  $(i, j) \in E_{2m-1}$ .

Moreover if  $h(T) \leq 2m + 1$  then:

- (d) All the entries of  $T$  are maximal if and only if all the entries of  $\mathcal{T}_m(T)$  are maximal.

*Proof.* (a) and (c) are obvious. (b) follows from Remark 1. For (d), let  $h(T) \leq 2m + 1$ . Suppose that all the entries of  $\mathcal{T}_m(T)$  are maximal. Then in view of Lemma 6,  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{T}_m(T)) \neq \emptyset$ . By Remark 1,  $\mathcal{M}(T) \neq \emptyset$ . From Lemma 5 all the entries of  $T$  are maximal. The reverse implication follows directly from Lemma 6.

**4. Proofs of the main lemmas**

Let  $T \in \mathcal{P}$ . We define a family of matrices  $U^{mk}$  ( $m, k \in \mathcal{N}$ ) by letting

$$U^{mk} = \mathcal{T}_m \mathcal{T}_{m+1} \mathcal{T}_{m+2} \cdots \mathcal{T}_{m+k-1}(T).$$

By Lemma 7 (b), (c) we obtain  $\|U^{mk}\| \leq 1$  and  $1 \geq u_{ji}^{m, k+1} \geq u_{ji}^{mk} \geq 0$ , respectively. Let

$$u_{ji}^{(m)} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_{ji}^{mk}.$$

We define a map  $\mathcal{S}_m$  by

$$\mathcal{S}_m(T) = (u_{ji}^m).$$

We have  $\|\mathcal{S}_m(T)\| \leq 1$ , since  $\|U^{mk}\| \leq 1$ . By definition,  $U^{mk} = \mathcal{T}_m(U_m^{m+1, k-1})$ . Since the function

$$u_{ji}^{mk} = t_{ji} \left[ 1 - \sum_{a \in I_{m+1}} \left[ t_{ja} \left( 1 - \sum_{b \in J_{m+1}} (u_{ba}^{m+1, k-1})^p \right)^{-1/p} \right]^q \right]^{-1/q}$$

is continuous and increasing in  $u_{ba}^{m+1, k-1}$  and

$$\sum_{k \in J_{m+1}} u_{ba}^{(m+1)} < 1, \quad \sum_{a \in I_{m+1}} \cdots < 1 \quad (\|\mathcal{S}(T)\| \leq 1)$$

by passing to the limit as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain

$$\mathcal{S}_m(T) = \mathcal{T}_m \mathcal{S}_{m+1}(T).$$

*Proof of Lemma 1.* Let all the entries of  $T \in \mathcal{P}$  be maximal. We claim that all the entries of  $\mathcal{S}_m(t)$  are maximal. Indeed we only need to show all the entries of  $\mathcal{S}_1(T)$  are maximal, because of Lemma 7 (d) and the fact that

$$\mathcal{S}_1(T) = \mathcal{T}_1 \mathcal{T}_2 \cdots \mathcal{T}_{m-1} \mathcal{S}_m(T).$$

Suppose, to get a contradiction, that the entries of  $(u_{ji}^{(1)}) = \mathcal{S}_m(T)$  are not maximal. Let  $\alpha_{ji} \geq 1$  ( $(i, j) \in E_1$ ) be such that all the entries of  $(\alpha_{ji} u_{ji}^{(1)})$  are maximal. Put  $\alpha_{ji} = 1$  for  $(i, j) \notin E_1$ . Since

$$(\alpha_{ji} u_{ji}^{(1)}) = \mathcal{T}_1 \mathcal{T}_2 \cdots \mathcal{T}_{m-1} \mathcal{S}_m((\alpha_{ji} t_{ji})),$$

by Lemma 7 (d), all the entries of  $\mathcal{L}_m((\alpha_{ji}t_{ji}))$  are maximal, so

$$\|\mathcal{L}_m((\alpha_{ji}t_{ji}))\| = 1.$$

Since  $0 \leq \mathcal{B}_m((\alpha_{ji}t_{ji})) \leq \mathcal{T}_m((\alpha_{ji}t_{ji})) \leq \mathcal{L}_m((\alpha_{ji}t_{ji}))$  we have  $\|\mathcal{B}_m((\alpha_{ji}t_{ji}))\| \leq 1$  for all  $m$ . Hence  $\|(\alpha_{ji}t_{ji})\| \leq 1$ . But this shows us that the entries of the matrix  $(t_{ji})$  are not maximal. This contradiction proves our claim.

By Lemma 6 and Remark 1 there exist  $(x_i^{(m)}) \in \mathcal{M}((u_{ji}^{(m)}))$  for all  $m$ . We assume that  $x_{i_1}^{(m)} = 1$  for all  $m$ . We have  $x_i^{(m)} = 0$  for  $i \notin \cup_{n=1}^m I_n$ . From Remark 1(c), if  $m < m_1 < m_2$  then

$$x_i^{(m_1)} = x_i^{(m_2)} \neq 0 \quad \text{for } i \in I_m.$$

We put  $x_i = x_i^{(m+1)}$  for  $i \in I_m$ . Now it is easy to see that  $(x_i) \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ .

*Remark 2.* Let all the entries of  $T$  be maximal. Then if  $(x_i) \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ ,  $(x_i^{(m)}) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{L}_m(T))$  and  $x_{i_1}^{(m)} = x_{i_1} = 1$  then  $x_i = x_i^{(m)}$  for  $i \in \cup_{n=1}^m I_n$ . Moreover,

$$(\mathcal{L}_m(T))_{j_0i_0} = t_{j_0i_0}^{1/p} (y_{j_0}/x_{i_0})^{1/q}$$

for  $(i_0, j_0) \in E_{2m-1}$ ,  $((y_j)$  is a sequence corresponding to  $(x_i) \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ ). Indeed, fix  $(i_0, j_0) \in E_{2m-1}$ . Let  $H = \{(i, j: \text{the path joining the node } i_0 \text{ and the edge } i, j \text{ include the edge } i_0, j_0)\}$ . Note that  $(i, j) \in H$ . Put  $A = \{(i, j) \in H\}$ . We define a matrix  $T'$  by

$$t'_{ji} = \begin{cases} t_{ji} & \text{if } (i, j) \in H \\ (\mathcal{L}_m(T))_{ji} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We have  $\mathcal{L}_m(T) = \mathcal{L}_m(T')$ . Let  $(x_i) \in \mathcal{M}(T)$  and  $(x_i^{(m)}) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{L}_m(T))$ . Put

$$x'_i = \begin{cases} x_i & \text{if } i \in A \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^m I_n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and  $y'_j = \sum_i t'_{ji} x'_i$ . It is easy to see that  $(x'_i) \in \mathcal{M}(T')$ . Let  $j_1 \in J_{m-1}$  be such that  $t_{j_1i_0} \neq 0$ . For  $j \in J_m$  we denote a unique  $i_j \in I_m$  such that  $t_{ij_j} \neq 0$ . We have  $y_j^{(m)} = (\mathcal{L}_m(T))_{ji_j} x_{i_j}^{(m)}$  for  $j \in J_m$  ( $x_{i_j} = x_{i_j}^{(m)}$ ). We have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{i_0}^{p-1} &= t_{j_1i_0} y_{j_1}^{p-1} + \sum_{j \in J_m} (\mathcal{L}_m(T))_{ji_0} y_j^{p-1} \\ &= t_{j_1i_0} y_{j_1}^{p-1} + \sum_{j \in J_m} (\mathcal{L}_m(T))_{ji_0}^p x_{i_0}^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

But when we consider the matrix  $T'$  we have

$$x_{i_0}^{p-1} = t_{j_1 i_0} y_{j_1}^{p-1} + \sum_{\substack{j \in J_m \\ j \neq j_0}} (\mathcal{L}_m(T))_{j i_0}^p x_{i_0}^{p-1} + t_{j_0 i_0} y_{j_0}^{p-1}.$$

Hence

$$(\mathcal{L}_m(T))_{j_0 i_0}^p x_0^{p-1} = t_{j_0 i_0} y_0^{p-1},$$

which ends the proof.

*Proof of Lemma 2.* We define matrices  $U^{2,k} = \mathcal{T}_2 \mathcal{T}_3 \cdots \mathcal{T}_{k+1}(T)$ ,  $(u_{ji}^{(2)}) = \mathcal{L}_2(T)$  and  $S^{(k)}$  by

$$s_{ji}^{(k)} = \begin{cases} t_{ji} \frac{u_{ji}^{(2)}}{u_{ji}^{2,k}} & \text{if } (i, j) \in E_3 \\ t_{ji} & \text{if } (i, j) \in \bigcup_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq 3}}^{2k+3} E_n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise, } k \in \mathbf{N}. \end{cases}$$

We have

$$\mathcal{L}_2(T) = \mathcal{T}_2 \mathcal{T}_3 \cdots \mathcal{T}_{k+1}(S^{(k)})$$

By Lemma 7(d) and the claim in the proof of Lemma 1, all the entries of  $S^{(k)}$  are maximal. Let  $(x_i) \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ ,  $(x_i^0) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{L}_2(T))$ ,  $(x_i^{(k)}) \in \mathcal{M}(S^{(k)})$  be such that  $x_{i_1} = x_{i_1}^0 = x_{i_1}^{(k)} = 1$ . By  $(y_j), (y_j^0), (y_j^{(k)})$  we denote the corresponding sequences.

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $\varepsilon_i > 0$  be such that

$$(x_i^{p-1} - 2\varepsilon_i)^q > x_i^p - \varepsilon 2^{-i-1}, \quad i \in I_1 \cup I_2.$$

Put  $I'_1 = I_1 = \{i_1\}$ . Choose  $J'_1$  a finite subset of  $J_1$  such that

$$\sum_{j \in J'_1} t_{j i_1} y_j^{p-1} > x_{i_1}^{p-1} - \varepsilon_{i_1}.$$

Find  $\delta_j > 0$  ( $j \in J'_1$ ) such that

$$\sum_{j \in J'_1} t_{j i_1} (y_j - \delta_j)^{p-1} > x_{i_1}^{p-1} - 2\varepsilon_{i_1}.$$

Choose  $I_2''$  a finite subset of  $I_2$  such that

$$\sum_{i \in I_1' \cup I_2''} t_{ji} x_i > y_j - \delta_j \quad \text{for } j \in J_1'.$$

Let  $N_0 = \max\{i \in I_1' \cup I_2'' : t_{ji} > 0\}$ . Fix  $N \geq N_0$ . Note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N t_{ji} x_i = \sum_{i \in I_1' \cup I_2''} t_{ji} x_i$$

where  $I_2' = I_2'' \cup \{i \in I_2 : t_{ji} > 0, i \leq N\}$ .

Choose  $J_2'$  a finite subset of  $J_2$  such that

$$\sum_{j \in J_1' \cup J_2'} t_{ji} y_j^{p-1} > x_i^{p-1} - \varepsilon_i, \quad i \in I_2',$$

and find  $\delta_j > 0$  ( $j \in J_2'$ ) such that

$$\sum_{j \in J_1' \cup J_2'} t_{ji} \left( y_j - \sqrt[p-1]{\frac{u_{ji}^{(2)}}{t_{ji}}} \delta_j \right)^{p-1} > x_i^{p-1} - 2\varepsilon_i, \quad i \in I_2'.$$

Let  $I'$  be a finite subset of  $I_2' \cup \{i \in I_3 : t_{ji} \neq 0 \text{ for some } j \in J_2'\}$ . Since for all  $k$ ,

$$\sum_{j \in J_2'} s_{ji}^{(k)} \left( \sum_{n \in I_2 \cup I_3} s_{jn}^{(k)} x_n^{(k)} \right)^{p-1} < x_i^{p-1} \quad (i \in I_2'),$$

there exists  $M > 0$  such that

$$(S^k(\mathbf{z}))_j < M \quad (j \in J_2'),$$

where

$$z_i = \begin{cases} x_i & \text{for } i \in I' \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\sum_{i \in I'} t_{ji} x_i^{(k)} < M$  for  $j \in J_2'$ . Since  $(S^{(k)}(\mathbf{z}))_j$  and  $(T\mathbf{z})_j$  differ only for  $j \in J_2'$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|S^{(k)}\mathbf{z}\|^p - \|T\mathbf{z}\|^p &= \sum_{j \in J_2'} |(S^{(k)}\mathbf{z})_j|^p - |(T\mathbf{z})_j|^p \\ &= \sum_{j \in J_2'} \left[ \left( \sum_{i \in I'} s_{ji}^{(k)} x_i^{(k)} \right)^p - \left( \sum_{i \in I'} t_{ji} x_i^{(k)} \right)^p \right]. \end{aligned}$$

For  $j \in J'_2$  we denote a unique  $i_j \in I'_2$  such that  $t_{j i_j} \neq 0$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \|S^{k \mathbf{z}}\|^p - \|T \mathbf{z}\|^p \\ & \leq \sum_{j \in J'_2} \left\{ \left[ (s_{j i_1}^{(k)} - t_{j i_1}) x_{i_j} + \sum_{i \in I'} t_{ji} x_i^{(k)} \right]^p - \left( \sum_{i \in I'} t_{ji} x_i^{(k)} \right)^p \right\} \\ & \leq \sum_{j \in J'_2} p M^{p-1} (s_{j i_j}^{(k)} - t_{j i_j}) x_{i_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $s_{j i_j}^{(k)} \Rightarrow t_{j i_j}$ , there exists  $k$  such that

$$\|S^{(k) \mathbf{z}}\|^p - \|T \mathbf{z}\|^p < \varepsilon/2.$$

By Remarks 1(c) and 2 we have  $x_i = x_i^0 = x_i^{(k)}$  for  $i \in I_2$ . Now consider  $U^{(2)}$  and  $T_a^{(k)} = \mathcal{F}_3 \mathcal{F}_4 \cdots \mathcal{F}_{k+1}(S^{(k)})$ . Let  $(x_i^{(a)}) \in \mathcal{M}(T_a^{(k)})$ . Since  $U^{(2)} = \mathcal{F}_2(T_a^{(k)})$ , by Remark 2,

$$u_{ji}^{(2)} = \sqrt[p]{s_{ji}^{(k)}} \sqrt[q]{y_j^{(k)}/x_i}$$

for  $(i, j) \in E_3$ . Since  $y_j^0 = u_{ji}^{(2)} x_i$  we get  $u_{ji}^{(2)}(y_j^0)^{p-1} = s_{ji}^{(k)}(y_j^{(k)})^{p-1}$ . And, since  $\mathcal{S}_2(T) = \mathcal{F}_2 \mathcal{F}_3(T)$  we get  $u_{ji}^{(2)}(y_j^0)^{p-1} = t_{ji} y_j^{p-1}$ .

Now we consider the matrix  $(s_{ji}^{(k)})$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \in J'_1} s_{j i_1}^{(k)} (y_j^{(k)})^{p-1} > (x_{i_1}^{(k)})^{p-1} - \varepsilon_{i_1}, \\ & \sum_{j \in J'_1} s_{ji}^{(k)} (y_j^{(k)} - \delta_j)^{p-1} > (x_{i_1}^{(k)})^{p-1} - 2\varepsilon_{i_1}, \\ & \sum_{i \in I'_1 \cup I'_2} s_{ji}^{(k)} x_i^{(k)} \geq y_j^{(k)} - \delta_j, \quad j \in J'_1, \\ & \sum_{j \in J'_1 \cup J'_2} s_{ji}^{(k)} (y_j^{(k)})^{p-1} = \sum_{j \in J'_1 \cup J'_2} t_{ji} y_j^{p-1} > (x_i^{(k)})^{p-1} - \varepsilon_i, \quad i \in I'_2, \\ & \sum_{j \in J'_1 \cup J'_2} s_{ji}^{(k)} (y_j^{(k)} - \delta_j)^{p-1} = \sum_{j \in J'_1 \cup J'_2} s_{ji}^{(k)} \left( \sqrt[p-1]{\frac{t_{ji}}{s_{ji}^{(k)}}} y_j - \delta_j \right)^{p-1} \\ & = \sum_{j \in J'_1 \cup J'_2} t_{ji} \left( y_j - \sqrt[p-1]{\frac{s_{ji}^{(k)}}{t_{ji}}} \delta_j \right)^{p-1} \\ & > (x_i^{(k)})^{p-1} - 2\varepsilon_i, \quad i \in I'_2, \end{aligned}$$

Now choose  $I'_3$  a finite subset of  $I_3$  such that

$$\sum_{i \in I'_1 \cup I'_2} s_{ji}^{(k)} x_i^{(k)} > y_j^{(k)} - \delta_j, \quad j \in J'_2.$$

Let  $\varepsilon_i > 0$ ,  $i \in I_1 \cup I_2$ , be such that

$$\left( (x_i^{(k)})^{p-1} - 2\varepsilon_i \right)^q > (x_i^{(k)})^p - \varepsilon_2^{-i-1}$$

Note that the above inequality holds also for  $i \in I'_1 \cup I'_2$ . Choose  $J'_3$  a finite subset of  $J_3$  such that

$$\sum_{j \in J'_2 \cup J'_3} s_{ji}^{(k)} (y_j^{(k)})^{p-1} > (x_i^{(k)})^{p-1} - \varepsilon_i, \quad i \in I'_3.$$

Find  $\delta_j > 0$  ( $j \in J'_3$ ) such that

$$\sum_{j \in J'_2 \cup J'_3} s_{ji}^{(k)} (y_j^{(k)} - \delta_j)^{p-1} > (x_i^{(k)})^{p-1} - 2\varepsilon_i, \quad i \in I'_3.$$

Choose  $I'_4$  a finite subset of  $I_4$  such that

$$\sum_{i \in I'_3 \cup I'_4} s_{ji}^{(k)} x_i^{(k)} > y_j^{(k)} - \delta_j, \quad j \in J'_3.$$

We continue the above process for the matrix  $S^{(k)}$  to get a finite sequence  $I'_1, J'_1, I'_2, \dots, J'_{2k+2}$ . Let

$$I = \bigcup_{n=1}^{2k+2} I'_n \quad \text{and} \quad J = \bigcup_{n=1}^{2k+2} J'_n.$$

And let  $\mathbf{u}^{(N)} \in l^p$  be defined by

$$u_i^{(N)} = \begin{cases} x_i^{(k)} & \text{if } i \in I \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $\mathbf{v} = (v_j) = S^{(k)} \mathbf{u}^{(N)}$ . For  $j \in J$  we have

$$v_j = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s_{ji}^{(k)} u_i^{(N)} \geq \sum_{i \in I} s_{ji}^{(k)} x_i^{(k)} > y_j^{(k)} - \delta_j.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s_{ji}^{(k)} v_j^{p-1} \geq \sum_{j \in J} s_{ji}^{(k)} (y_j^{(k)} - \delta_j)^{p-1} > (x_i^{(k)})^{p-1} - 2\varepsilon_i$$

for  $i \in I$ . Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \| (S^{(k)})^* (S^{(k)} \mathbf{u}^{(N)})^{p-1} \|_q^q &= \| (S^{(k)})^* \mathbf{v}^{p-1} \|_q^q \\ &\geq \sum_{i \in I} \left[ \sum_{j \in J} s_{ji}^{(k)} v_j^{p-1} \right]^q \\ &> \sum_{i \in I} \left[ (x_i^{(k)})^{p-1} - 2\varepsilon_i \right]^q \\ &> \sum_{i \in I} \left[ (x_i^{(k)})^p - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{i+1}} \right] = \| \mathbf{u}^{(N)} \|_p^p - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\| S^{(k)} \mathbf{u}^{(N)} \|_p^p > \| \mathbf{u}^{(N)} \|_p^p - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

We have

$$\| S^{(k)} \mathbf{u}^{(N)} \|_p^p - \| T \mathbf{u}^{(N)} \|_p^p = \| S^{(k)} \mathbf{z} \|_p^p - \| T \mathbf{z} \|_p^p < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Thus

$$\| T \mathbf{u}^{(N)} \|_p^p > \| \mathbf{u}^{(N)} \|_p^p - \varepsilon.$$

### 5. Additional remarks on extreme positive $l^p$ -contractions

LEMMA 8. Let  $T \in \mathcal{P}$  and let the graph  $G(T)$  be a tree. If all the entries of  $T$  are maximal then  $(x_i) \in \mathcal{M}(T)$  is unique up to a multiplicative constant.

*Proof.* Suppose, to get a contraction, that there exist two different sequences  $(x'_i), (x''_i) \in \mathcal{M}(T)$  such that  $x'_{i_1} = x''_{i_1} = 1$ . Then the corresponding sequences  $(y'_j)$  and  $(y''_j)$  differ for some  $j_1$ . We may and do assume that  $j_1 \in J_1$ . Suppose  $y''_{j_1} < y'_{j_1}$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be such that

$$\left( \frac{t_{j_1 i_1}}{t_{j_1 i_1} + \varepsilon} \right)^p = t_{j_1 i_1} y''_{j_1}{}^{p-1} + \sum_{\substack{j \in J_1 \\ j \neq j_1}} t_{j i_1} y'_j{}^{p-1}$$

We define a new matrix  $(t'_{ji})$  by

$$t_{ji} = \begin{cases} t_{ji} \frac{t_{j_1 i_1} + \varepsilon}{t_{j_1 i_1}} & \text{if } (i, j) \in E_1 \\ t_{ji} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We have  $t'_{ji} \geq t_{ji}$  and  $t'_{j_1 i_1} > t_{j_1 i_1}$ . Put  $A = \{k: \text{the path joining nodes } k \text{ and } i_1 \text{ includes edge } i_1 j_1\}$ . It is easy to see that  $(x_i) \in \mathcal{M}((t'_{ji}))$  where

$$x_i = \begin{cases} \frac{t_{j_1 i_1}}{t_{j_1 i_1} + \varepsilon} & \text{for } i = i_1 \\ x''_i & \text{for } i \in A \\ x'_i & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence, by Corollary 1,  $\|(t'_{ji})\| \leq 1$ . This contradicts the fact that all the entries of  $T$  are maximal. Therefore there are not two linearly independent elements of  $\mathcal{M}(T)$ .

*Example 1.* It should be pointed out that for some  $T \in \mathcal{P}$  there are more than one linearly independent elements in  $\mathcal{M}(T)$  (even if the graph  $G(T)$  has no cycle). We define a sequence  $(a_n)$  by

$$a_1 = (2^{p+1} - 2)^{1/(p-1)}, \quad a_2 = 2a_1 - 1, \\ a_{2n+1} = (2a_{2n}^{p-1} - a_{2n-1}^{p-1})^{1/(p-1)}, \quad a_{2n+2} = 2a_{2n-1} - a_{2n} \quad (n \in \mathbf{N}).$$

The sequence  $(a_n)$  is increasing. Let  $T = (t_{ji})$  be defined as follows:

$$t_{11} = t_{21} = t_{31} = 1/4, \\ t_{i, i+1} = t_{i+3, i+1} = 1/2, \quad i \in \mathbf{N}, \\ t_{ji} = 0 \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

Let

$$x' = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } i = 1 \\ a_{2k} & \text{if } i = 3k + 1, k \in \mathbf{N} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$x''_i = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } i = 1 \\ a_{2k} & \text{if } i = 3k, k \in \mathbb{N} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now it is easy to see that  $x', x'' \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{P}$  and let the graph  $G(T)$  be a tree. Suppose that all entries of  $T$  are maximal. If  $t_{j_1 i_1} > 0, t_{j_2 i_2} > 0$  and  $\alpha \in (0, t_{j_1 i_1})$  then there exists  $\beta > 0$  such that  $\|(t'_{ji})\| = 1$  and all entries of the matrix  $(t'_{ji})$  are maximal, where  $t'_{ji} = t_{ji} - \alpha \delta_{j j_1} \delta_{i i_1} + \beta \delta_{j j_2} \delta_{i i_2}$ .*

*Proof.* Because the graph  $G(T)$  is connected we may restrict our attention to the case when  $t_{j_1 i_1}$  and  $t_{j_2 i_2}$  belong to the same row or column. For instance assume that  $j_1 = j_2$ . By Lemma 5 there exists  $(x_i) \in \mathcal{M}(T)$ . Fix  $\alpha \in (0, t_{j_1 i_1})$ . Choose  $\beta > 0$  such that

$$x_{i_1} t_{j_1 i_1} + x_{i_2} t_{j_1 i_2} = \eta^q x_{i_1} t_{j_1 i_1} + \xi^q x_{i_2} t_{j_1 i_2}$$

where

$$\eta^{p-1} = (t_{j_1 i_1} - \alpha) / t_{j_1 i_1}, \quad \xi^{p-1} = (t_{j_1 i_2} + \beta) / t_{j_1 i_2}.$$

Let

$$A = \{k : \text{the path joining nodes } k \text{ and } j_1 \text{ include the edge } i_1 j_1\},$$

and

$$B = \{k : \text{the path joining nodes } k \text{ and } j_1 \text{ include the edge } i_2 j_1\}.$$

Note that  $i_1 \in A, i_2 \in B$ . It is easy to see that  $(x'_i) \in \mathcal{M}((t'_{ji}))$ , where

$$x'_i = \begin{cases} \eta x_i & \text{if } i \in A \\ \xi x_i & \text{if } i \in B \\ x_i & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus  $\|(t'_{ji})\| \leq 1$  (by Corollary 1). This construction shows us that if some entry of a matrix is not maximal then no entry is maximal. If some entry of  $(t'_{ji})$  is not maximal then doing the reserve operation to that presented above we get that no entry of  $(t_{ji})$  is maximal. Hence the entries of  $(t'_{ji})$  are maximal and  $\|(t'_{ji})\| = 1$ .

As an immediate consequence we get the following interesting fact.

**COROLLARY 2.** *For a positive contraction whose graph is a connected tree either all entries are maximal or no entry is maximal.*

*Example 2.* For  $c > 0$  we define an operator  $T_c$  by

$$T_c(u_i) = (cu_1, (u_1 + u_2)/2, (u_2 + u_3)/2, (u_3 + u_4)/2, \dots), \quad (u_i) \in l^p.$$

Consider sequences  $(x_i), (y_j)$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_{ji} x_i = y_j, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_{ji} y_j^{p-1} = x_i^{p-1} \quad \text{with } x_1 = 1.$$

We have  $y_1 = c, y_2 = 2(1 - c^p)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= 2y_{n+1} - x_n \quad (n \geq 1), \\ y_{n+1}^{p-1} &= 2x_n^{p-1} - y_n^{p-1} \quad (n \geq 2). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $a_{2n-1} = y_n$  and  $a_{2n} = x_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). We have

$$a_{2n+2} - a_{2n+1} = a_{2n+1} - a_{2n} \quad (n \geq 1)$$

and

$$a_{2n+1}^{p-1} - a_{2n}^{p-1} = a_{2n}^{p-1} - a_{2n-1}^{p-1} \quad (n \geq 2).$$

If  $c = \sqrt[p]{1/2}$  then  $a_n = 1$  for  $n \geq 2$ . And if  $c < \sqrt[p]{1/2}$  then  $a_3 - a_2 > 0$  and  $a_4 - a_3 > 0$ , so  $(a_n)$  is increasing. Therefore for  $c \in (0, \sqrt[p]{1/2}]$  the set  $\mathcal{M}(T_c)$  is non-empty and  $\mathcal{M}(T_c)$  has exactly one sequence (up to a multiplicative constant). Obviously entries of  $T_c$  for  $c \in (0, \sqrt[p]{1/2})$  are not maximal, so  $T_c \notin \text{ext } \mathcal{P}$ . Thus we get an example of non-extreme operator such that an element of  $\mathcal{M}(T)$  is unique. Note that the condition (ii) for the operator  $T_c$  ( $c \in (0, \sqrt[p]{1/2})$ ) holds.

Suppose that  $\|T_c\| \leq 1$ . Then

$$c^p + \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{2k+1}{2n} \right)^p = \|T_c \mathbf{u}\| \leq \|\mathbf{u}\| = \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{k}{n} \right)^p$$

where

$$\mathbf{u} = \left( 1, \frac{n-1}{n}; \frac{n-2}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, 0, 0, 0, \dots \right).$$

Using the mean-value theorem we obtain

$$\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)^p - k^p = \frac{p}{2} \left(k + \frac{1}{2}\xi_k\right)^{p-1} \quad \text{where } 0 < \xi < 1.$$

Hence

$$c^p \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(k + 1/2)^p - k^p}{n^p} = \frac{p}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{k + \xi_k/2}{n} \right)^{p-1} \right];$$

when  $n$  tends to infinity we obtain

$$c^p \leq \frac{p}{2} \int_0^1 t^{p-1} dt = \frac{1}{2}$$

Therefore we have  $\|T_c\| > 1$  for  $c > \sqrt[p]{1/2}$ . Hence the entries of  $T$  for  $c = \sqrt[p]{1/2}$  are maximal.

For  $c > \sqrt[p]{1/2}$  we have  $a_3 - a_2 < 0$ ,  $a_4 - a_3 < 0$ , so  $(a_n)$  is decreasing. Because of results presented before there exists  $n_0$  such that  $a_n < 0$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. G.D. BIRKHOFF, *Tres observaciones sobre el algebra lineal*, Univ. Nac. Tucumán Rev. Ser. A, vol. 5 (1946), pp. 147–151.
2. J. CLARKSON, *Uniformly convex spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 40 (1936), 396–414.
3. J.L. DENNY, *The support of discrete measures and subspace density*, Michigan Math. J., vol. 11 (1980), pp. 59–64.
4. A.J. ELLIS, *Extreme positive operators*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford, 15 (1964), pp. 342–344.
5. A. GENDLER, *Extreme operators in the unit ball of  $L(C(X), C(Y))$  over the complex field*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 57 (1976), pp. 85–88.
6. R. GRZAŚLEWICZ, *Extreme operators on 2-dimensional  $l^p$ -spaces*, Colloquium Math., vol. 44 (1981), pp. 309–315.
7. \_\_\_\_\_, *A note on extreme contractions on  $l^p$ -spaces*, Portugalia Math., vol. 40 (1981), pp. 413–419.
8. \_\_\_\_\_, *Extreme contractions on real Hilbert space*, Math. Ann., vol. 261 (1982), pp. 463–466.
9. \_\_\_\_\_, *Extreme positive contractions on finite dimensional  $l^p$ -spaces*, Canadian J. Math., vol. 37 (1985), pp. 682–699.
10. \_\_\_\_\_, *On extreme infinite doubly stochastic matrices*, Illinois J. Math., vol. 31 (1987), pp. 529–543.
11. P.R. HALMOS and V.S. SUNDER, *Bounded integral operators on  $L^2$  spaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.
12. J. HENNEFELD, *Compact extremal operators*, Illinois J. Math., vol. 21 (1977), pp. 61–65.
13. A. IONESCU TULCEA and C. IONESCU TULCEA, *A note on extreme points*, unpublished.
14. A. IWANIK, *Extreme contractions on certain function spaces*, Colloq. Math., vol. 40 (1978), pp. 147–153.
15. R.V. KADISON, *Isometries of operator algebras*, Ann. of Math., vol. 54 (1951), pp. 325–338.

16. CHARN-HUEN KAN, *A class of extreme  $L_p$  contractions,  $p \neq 1, 2, \infty$ , and real  $2 \times 2$  extreme matrices*, Illinois J. Math., vol. 30 (1986), pp. 612–635.
17. ———, *A family of  $2 \times 2$  extreme contractions and a generalization of Clarkson's inequalities*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, vol. 21 (1987) pp. 191–198.
18. C.W. KIM, *Extreme contraction operators on  $l_\infty$* , Math. Zeitschr., vol. 151 (1976), pp. 101–110.
19. J. LAMPERTI, *On the isometries of certain function spaces*, Pacific J. Math., vol. 8 (1958), pp. 459–466.
20. G. LETAC, *Représentation des mesures de probabilité sur le produit de deux espaces dénombrables, de marges données*, Illinois J. Math., vol. 10 (1966), pp. 497–507.
21. H.G. MUKERJEE, *Supports of extremal measures with given marginals*, Illinois J. Math., vol. 29 (1985), pp. 248–260.
22. H.H. SCHAEFER, *Banach lattices and positive operators*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss., no. 215, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974.

TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF WROCLAW  
WROCLAW, POLAND