

Lacunary sets on transformation groups

Catherine FINET and Valérie TARDIVEL-NACHEF

(Received January 20, 1992, Revised July 12, 1993)

The classical F. and M. Riesz theorem asserts that a measure μ on the circle group \mathbf{T} , such that

$$\forall n < 0, \hat{\mu}(n) = \int_{\mathbf{T}} e^{-int} d\mu(t) = 0$$

is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbf{T} . Many extensions of this result have been obtained. Helson-Lowdenslager [15, Theorem 8.2.3] and De Leeuw-Glicksberg [5] extended the theorem to compact abelian groups with certain ordered duals. Forelli extended it to transformation groups such that \mathbf{R} acts on a locally compact Hausdorff space [8]. Recently, Yamaguchi got the compact analogue of Forelli's result [18, 19, 20]. He proved :

PROPOSITION [19]. *Let (G, X) be a transformation group with G a compact abelian group which acts on a locally compact Hausdorff space X . Let σ be a positive Radon measure on X which is quasi-invariant, and let Λ be a Riesz set in \hat{G} . Let μ be a measure in $\mathcal{M}(X)$ with $\text{spec } \mu$ contained in Λ . Then $\text{spec } \mu_a$ and $\text{spec } \mu_s$ are both contained in $\text{spec } \mu$, where $\mu = \mu_a + \mu_s$ is the Lebesgue decomposition of μ with respect to σ .*

By using Yamaguchi's technique, we get in [7] the same result for a nicely placed subset Λ of \hat{G} . In this paper, we consider (G, X) as in the proposition and introduce a new notion of lacunarity in the transformation group case. It is what we call " σ -lacunarity" (Definition 1.4). The idea is the following: let Λ be a subset of \hat{G} , we consider functions and measures on X with spectrum contained in Λ and we work with a "reference" measure σ on X which is positive and quasi-invariant. We then define the analogue of the usual lacunarity notions. We want to transfer lacunarity properties on \hat{G} to σ -lacunarity properties.

In section 1, we give the necessary preliminaries and notation. Section 2 is devoted to a positive result: a nicely placed (resp. Shapiro) subset of \hat{G} is also σ -nicely placed (resp. σ -Shapiro). This is Theorem 2.1 and

its corollary. Of course, such a result does not hold for Riesz sets (see Example 1.3). Moreover σ -Shapiro sets are not necessarily σ -Riesz sets. This leads us to introduce a smaller class contained in the class of σ -Riesz sets. It is what we call the $N(\sigma)$ -Riesz sets (Definition 3.14). In the classical case (when $X=G$ and $\sigma=m_c$) the usual class of Riesz sets in \widehat{G} and our class of $N(\sigma)$ -Riesz sets both coincide. The first part of section 3 is devoted to the study of the set $N(\sigma)$. Some examples are given. The second part of section 3 is devoted to the study of identity approximations in our context. We then get the implication: σ -Shapiro \Rightarrow $N(\sigma)$ -Riesz (Theorem 3.13). We also extend Shapiro's lemma [16]. In section 4, we develop in our context the localization technique introduced by Meyer [14]. We show that the classes of σ -Riesz sets, $N(\sigma)$ -Riesz sets, σ -nicely placed and σ -Shapiro sets are localizable. This leads us to another transfer theorem: every Riesz subset of \widehat{G} is $N(\sigma)$ -Riesz (Theorem 4.10). In section 5, we use techniques of infinite dimensionnal Banach space theory and we consider on transformation groups Lust-Piquard's result [13] and Bachelis and Ebenstein's result [2].

Acknowledgment. The first author wants to thank Professors N. Asmar and G. Godefroy for many fruitfull conversations. Both authors thank Professor H. Yamaguchi for his instructive remarks, and the referee for his valuable advice.

1-Preliminaries and notation

Let G be a compact abelian group and X be a locally compact Hausdorff space. We say that (G, X) is a transformation group such that G acts on X if there exists a continuous map from $G \times X$ onto $X: (g, x) \mapsto g.x$ such that

$$\forall g \in G, \quad \forall h \in G, \quad \forall x \in X, \quad e.x = x, \quad g.(h.x) = (g.h).x.$$

Let us give a few examples. The following pairs (G, X) are transformation groups:

- a) if G is a compact abelian subgroup of a locally compact group X .
- b) let G be a compact abelian group and H be a subgroup of G . Take for X the homogeneous space G/H .
- c) let G be a metrizable compact abelian group and μ be a probability measure on G . Then take for X the Poisson space Π_μ of μ [1].

A Borel measure σ on X is called quasi-invariant if

$$\forall F \subseteq X, \quad F \text{ Borel}, \quad (|\sigma|(F) > 0) \implies (\forall g \in G, \quad |\sigma|(gF) > 0).$$

For example, let $X=G$ be the circle group. Then to say that σ is quasi-invariant means that every rotation carries the collection of σ -null sets onto itself.

We denote by $\mathcal{K}(X)$ the space of continuous functions on X with compact support and by $\mathcal{M}(X)$ the space of regular bounded measures on X . By dg or m_G , we denote the Haar measure on G normalized to total mass one. We denote by \widehat{G} the dual of G . We denote by (G, X) a transformation group and σ a positive quasi-invariant Radon measure on X . We also denote by I_E the characteristic function of a set E . $L^1(G, dg)=L^1(G)$, $L^1(X, \sigma)=L^1(\sigma)$ and $\|f\|_1$ have their usual meaning. If $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ we write $\mu \ll \sigma$ for “ μ absolutely continuous with respect to σ ”. We identify $k \in L^1(\sigma)$ with the element of $\mathcal{M}(X)$ absolutely continuous with respect to σ which k defines. Then for a Borel set Y of X , we write: $k(Y)=\int_Y k(x) d\sigma(x)$. For a Banach space Y , we denote by $B(Y)$ the unit ball of Y .

The usual notion of convolution can be generalized in the following way [18]. For μ in $\mathcal{M}(X)$ and λ in $\mathcal{M}(G)$, the convolution is defined by

$$(\lambda * \mu)(f) = \int_X \int_G f(g \cdot x) d\lambda(g) d\mu(x) \quad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{K}(X).$$

Then $\lambda * \mu$ is an element of $\mathcal{M}(X)$ and satisfies $\|\lambda * \mu\| \leq \|\lambda\| \cdot \|\mu\|$. Furthermore, if $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{M}(G)$, then $(\lambda * \mu) * \nu = \lambda * (\mu * \nu)$.

We also have the following property :

LEMMA 1.1. *Let γ be in \widehat{G} , ν be in $\mathcal{M}(G)$ and μ be in $\mathcal{M}(X)$. Then $(\gamma m_G) * \nu * \mu = \widehat{\nu}(\gamma) \cdot (\gamma m_G * \mu)$.*

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma m_G) * \nu * \mu &= ((\gamma m_G) * \nu) * \mu \\ &= (\widehat{\nu}(\gamma) \gamma m_G) * \mu \\ &= \widehat{\nu}(\gamma) (\gamma m_G) * \mu. \end{aligned}$$

We now give a characterization of quasi-invariant measures on X . It is the compact analogue of De Leeuw and Glicksberg’s result [5], (see also [18]).

LEMMA 1.2. *Let λ be in $\mathcal{M}(X)$. Then the following are equivalent :*

- (1) λ is quasi-invariant on X .
- (2) $|\lambda|$ and $m_G * |\lambda|$ are equivalent.

PROOF. (1) implies (2). Let E be a λ -null Borel set. Then for all g

in G , $|\lambda|(g^{-1}.E)=0$ and $(m_G * |\lambda|)(E) = \int_G |\lambda|(g^{-1}.E)dg = 0$. And $m_G * |\lambda|$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $|\lambda|$. On the other hand, let E be a Borel subset of X such that $(m_G * |\lambda|)(E)=0$, then for almost all g in G , $|\lambda|(g^{-1}.E)=0$ and $|\lambda|(E)=0$.

(2) implies (1). Let E be such that $(m_G * |\lambda|)(E)=0$. It follows that $|\lambda|(E)=0$. And $\int_G |\lambda|(g^{-1}.(s.E))dg = (m_G * |\lambda|)(s.E) = 0$ for all s in G . But $|\lambda|$ and $m_G * |\lambda|$ are equivalent. So $|\lambda|$ is quasi-invariant.

Let σ be a positive quasi-invariant Radon measure on X . If $\mu \ll \sigma$, then for $\lambda \in \mathcal{M}(G)$, one has $\lambda * \mu \ll \sigma$. And we have the following inclusion: $\mathcal{M}(G) * L^1(\sigma) \subset L^1(\sigma)$. More precisely, Gulick, Liu and Van Rooij proved that $\mathcal{M}(G) * L^1(\sigma) = L^1(\sigma)$ [10]. But usually, $L^1(G) * \mathcal{M}(X) \not\subset L^1(\sigma)$ [10]. They proved the existence of a modular function \mathcal{T} such that \mathcal{T} is positive, locally integrable, defined on $G \times X$ and $\int_{G \times X} F(g, x) d(m_G \otimes \sigma)(g, x) = \int_{G \times X} F(g, g.x) \mathcal{T}(g, x) d(m_G \otimes \sigma)(g, x)$ for F in $L^1(G \times X)$. Then, for f in $L^1(G)$ and k in $L^1(\sigma)$, we have:

(a) for almost all x in X

$$(f * k)(x) = \int_G f(g) k(g^{-1}.x) \mathcal{T}(g^{-1}, x) dg;$$

(b) for all g in G

$$\int_X k(x) d\sigma(x) = \int_X k(g.x) \mathcal{T}(g, x) d\sigma(x).$$

We can now define the spectrum of a measure μ in $\mathcal{M}(X)$ [18]. Let $J(\mu)$ be the set of all f in $L^1(G)$ with $f * \mu = 0$. The spectrum of μ , denoted by $\text{spec } \mu$ or $\text{spec}_G \mu$, is the closed subset of \widehat{G} where all the Fourier transforms of functions in $J(\mu)$ vanish. We have that $s \in \text{spec } \mu$ if and only if $(sm_G) * \mu \neq 0$ [18]. Of course, when $X=G$ and $\sigma=m_G$, $\text{spec } \mu$ is just the support of $\widehat{\mu}$. By Lemma 1.1, it follows that if ν is in $L^1(G)$ and μ in $\mathcal{M}(X)$, then $\text{spec}(\nu * \mu) \subseteq \text{supp}(\widehat{\nu}) \cap \text{spec}(\mu)$. Let us give an example:

EXAMPLE 1.3. Let G be the circle group \mathbf{T} , $X=G \times G$ and $\sigma=m_G \otimes m_G$. For g in G and (x, y) in X , the action of G on X is given by the application: $(g, (x, y)) \mapsto (gx, y)$. For μ in $\mathcal{M}(X)$, the spectrum $\text{spec}_T \mu$ is exactly the projection of $\text{supp } \widehat{\mu}$ on the first coordinate.

Let Λ be a subset of \widehat{G} , we will denote by $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda(X)$ (resp. $L_\Lambda^1(\sigma)$) the subspace of $\mathcal{M}(X)$ (resp. $L^1(\sigma)$) of measures (resp. functions) with spec -

trum in Λ . We are now ready to give our definition of lacunary sets.

DEFINITION 1.4. Let Λ be a subset of \widehat{G} :

- a) Λ is σ -Riesz if every measure in $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda(X)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to σ .
- b) Λ is σ -nicely placed if the unit ball of $L^1_\Lambda(\sigma)$ is closed in $L^p(\sigma)$, ($0 < p < 1$).
- c) Λ is σ -Shapiro if every subset of Λ is σ -nicely placed.

Of course, similar definitions can be given for $\Lambda(p)$ -sets, Sidon sets, see [12], [15]. This paper is devoted to the study of these sets.

REMARK 1.5. As the space $(L^p(\sigma), \|\cdot\|_p)$, $0 < p < 1$, is metrizable, the unit ball of $L^1_\Lambda(\sigma)$ is closed in $L^p(\sigma)$ if and only if it is sequentially closed in $L^p(\sigma)$.

2-Transference of nicely placed and Shapiro sets

THEOREM 2.1. Let (G, X) be a transformation group with G metrizable. If Λ is a nicely placed subset of \widehat{G} , then Λ is σ -nicely placed.

PROOF. Let (f_n) be a sequence in $B(L^1_\Lambda(\sigma))$, which converges to f in $\|\cdot\|_p$. Then, up to a subsequence, we may assume that (f_n) converges to f almost everywhere. Let s be in $\widehat{G} \setminus \Lambda$; we have to prove that, $s*f=0$. We have that, for all n , $s*f_n=0$. By equality (a) in section 1, we have that for almost all x in X :

$$(s*f_n)(x) = \int_G s(g)f_n(g^{-1}.x) \mathcal{T}(g^{-1}, x) dg.$$

We consider the following functions defined on G : for almost all x in X ,

$$\begin{aligned} k_{n,x}(g) &= f_n(g.x) \mathcal{T}(g, x), \\ k_x(g) &= f(g.x) \mathcal{T}(g, x). \end{aligned}$$

We will show the following assertions : for almost all x in X ,

- (1) $\text{supp } \widehat{k_{n,x}} \subseteq \Lambda$,
- (2) $k_{n,x} \in L^1(G)$,
- (3) $k_{n,x}$ converges to k_x almost everywhere,
- (4) $\underline{\lim} \|k_{n,x}\|_1$ is finite.

- (1) One has, for almost all x in X :

$$(s*f_n)(x) = \int_G s(g)k_{n,x}(g^{-1}) dg$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\widehat{G}} s(g^{-1}) k_{n,x}(g) dg \\
&= \widehat{k}_{n,x}(s).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for almost all x in X , $\text{supp } \widehat{k}_{n,x} \subseteq \Lambda$.
(2)

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f_n\|_1 &= \int_X |f_n(x)| d\sigma(x) \\
&= \int_G \int_X |f_n(x)| d\sigma(x) dg.
\end{aligned}$$

By equality (b) of section 1, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f_n\|_1 &= \int_G \left[\int_X |f_n(g.x)| \mathcal{T}(g, x) d\sigma(x) \right] dg \\
&= \int_X \left[\int_G |f_n(g.x)| \mathcal{T}(g, x) dg \right] d\sigma(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, for almost all x in X , $\int_G |f_n(g.x)| \mathcal{T}(g, x) dg$ is finite. This proves assertion (2).

(3) This assertion will follow directly from the following lemma :

LEMMA 2.2. *Let E be a Borel subset of X . Then the following assertions are equivalent :*

- (i) $\sigma(E) = 0$.
- (ii) $m_G(\{g \in G : g.x \in E\}) = 0$, for almost all x in X .

PROOF. The proof follows from the equality

$$\forall x \in X, \quad m_G(\{g \in G : g.x \in E\}) = \int_G I_E(g.x) dg$$

and from the quasi-invariance of σ .

(4) We will follow the proof of Lemma 2.8 of [9].

For each l and n , we consider the set $A_{n,l} = \{x \in X : \|k_{n,x}\|_1 \geq l\}$. One has

$$l\sigma(A_{n,l}) \leq \int_X \|k_{n,x}\|_1 d\sigma(x) = \|f_n\|_1.$$

And, $\forall n, \forall l, \sigma(A_{n,l}) \leq l^{-1}$. Let us consider $B_l = \{x \in X, \exists \text{ infinitely many } n \text{ s.t. } x \notin A_{n,l}\}$. One has $X \setminus B_l = \bigcup_j \bigcap_{n \geq j} A_{n,l}$. And $\sigma(\bigcap_{n \geq j} A_{n,l}) \leq l^{-1}$ for every j . Therefore, for all l , $\sigma(X \setminus B_l) \leq l^{-1}$ and $\sigma(X \setminus \bigcup_{l \geq 1} B_l) = 0$. If x does not belong to this set, the condition (4) is satisfied.

Since Λ is nicely placed in \widehat{G} , then for almost all x in X , k_x is in $L^1_\Lambda(G)$. That is, for almost all x in X ,

$$\begin{aligned} \forall s \notin \Lambda, \quad \widehat{k}_x(s) &= \int_G s(g^{-1})f(g.x) \mathcal{F}(g.x) dg \\ &= (s*f)(x) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the theorem.

COROLLARY 2.3. *Let (G, X) be a transformation group with G metrizable. If Λ is a Shapiro subset of \widehat{G} , then Λ is a σ -Shapiro set.*

Let us come back to Example 1.3. We know that N is a Shapiro subset of \mathbf{Z} [9], then by the corollary, N is a σ -Shapiro set. If we only suppose Λ to be a Riesz subset of \widehat{G} , there is no reason for Λ to be a σ -Riesz set as we can see with our example. Indeed, there are many Riesz sets in $\widehat{G}=\mathbf{Z}$ which are not σ -Riesz. For example, consider Λ a subset of \widehat{G} containing 0. Let f be in $L^1_\Lambda(G)$ such that $\widehat{f}(0) \neq 0$ and μ be a measure in $\mathcal{M}_s^+(G)$. Then it is easy to see that the measure $f \otimes \mu$ in $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda(X)$ is not absolutely continuous with respect to σ . This shows also that the empty set is the only σ -Riesz set. Therefore, N is not a σ -Riesz set. This gives an example of a σ -Shapiro set which is not a σ -Riesz set. This situation is different from the usual one where every Shapiro set of \widehat{G} is a Riesz set [9]. As seen in this example, the notion of σ -Riesz set is much too strong. It is why we will introduce a new notion. It is what we call $N(\sigma)$ -Riesz set (see Definition 3.14). And we will get the following implications :

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma\text{-Shapiro set} &\implies N(\sigma)\text{-Riesz set} && \text{(Theorem 3.13)} && (1) \\ \text{Riesz set} &\implies N(\sigma)\text{-Riesz set} && \text{(Theorem 4.10)} && (2) \end{aligned}$$

The proof of implication (1) is based on Godefroy's ideas [9]. We need to know more about the different kinds of convergence of convolutions between identity approximations on G and measures on X . It is the object of the next section. The proof of implication (2) uses localization techniques and Yamaguchi's result [18, 19]. This is postponed to section 4.

3. The set $N(\sigma)$ and identity approximations

When $X=G$, it is known [3] that there exists an identity approximation $(f_v)_{v \in \mathcal{F}}$ in $B(L^1(G))$ such that

- (1) if $f \in L^1(G)$, then $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} f_v * f = f$ in $L^1(G)$, and
- (2) if $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_s(G)$, then $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} f_v * \mu = 0$ in Haar measure.

In the second part of this section, we will extend this result to the

transformation group case. Let \mathcal{F} be a filter of symmetric neighborhoods of e in G . We let $f_v = m_G(V)^{-1}I_V$. It is easy to check that if $f \in L^1(\sigma)$, then $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} f_v * f = f$ in $L^1(\sigma)$. The problem in proving the second assertion for $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_s(X)$ is that the measure $f_v * \mu$ on X is not necessarily absolutely continuous with respect to σ . This leads us to consider a set $N(\sigma)$ introduced by Gulick, Liu and Van Rooij [10], see also [11].

a- The set $N(\sigma)$

$$N(\sigma) = \{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X) : \forall f \in L^1(G) \quad f * \mu \ll \sigma\}.$$

In this section, we will recall some facts on this set [10], [11], and give some examples. We have the following inclusions: $L^1(\sigma) \subseteq N(\sigma) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(X)$. If $X = G$ and $\sigma = m_G$ then $N(\sigma) = \mathcal{M}(X)$. On the other hand, if the action is given by $(g, x) \rightarrow x$ for all g in G and x in X , then $N(\sigma) = L^1(\sigma)$. This is also the case when G is discrete. Let us come back to Example 1.3: $N(\sigma) \neq \mathcal{M}(X)$ and $N(\sigma) \neq L^1(\sigma)$ [10]. In that example, we can say more about $N(\sigma)$. We get

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let (G, X) be as in Example 1.3. Let μ_1, μ_2 be two non zero measures on G and $\mu = \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$. Then μ is in $N(\sigma)$ if and only if μ_2 is absolutely continuous with respect to m_G .*

PROOF. The proof follows from the fact that $f * (\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2) = (f * \mu_1) \otimes \mu_2$ for f in $L^1(G)$.

Let us now consider other examples.

EXAMPLE 3.2. Let $G = \mathbf{T}$ and $X = \mathbf{T}$ and $m_{\mathbf{T}}$ be the Haar measure on \mathbf{T} . Define for a Borel subset Y of X , $\sigma(Y) = m_{\mathbf{T}}(Y)$. The action of G on X is given by

$$\pi(e^{it}, e^{ix}) = e^{i(t+x)}.$$

It is easy to see that σ is quasi-invariant and $N(\sigma) = \mathcal{M}(X)$.

EXAMPLE 3.3. Let G be a compact abelian group and H be a closed subgroup of G . Then the action of G on G/H is given by $\pi(g, \tilde{x}) = \widetilde{g+x}$ where $\tilde{x} = x + H$ for some x in G . Let $\sigma = m_{G/H}$. Then $N(\sigma) = \mathcal{M}(X)$.

EXAMPLE 3.4. Let $G = \mathbf{T}$, $X = \mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{T}$ and $\sigma = m_{\mathbf{T}} \otimes m_{\mathbf{T}}$. Let α be a real number. The action of G on X is defined by

$$\pi_{\alpha}(e^{ir}, (e^{ix}, e^{iy})) = (e^{i(x+r)}, e^{i(y+\alpha r)}).$$

It is also easy to see that σ is quasi-invariant and that for all u , $\sigma(G.u) = 0$, thus $N(\sigma) \neq \mathcal{M}(X)$.

REMARKS 3.5.

(1) Let us recall the nice description of $N(\sigma)$ that Liu, Van Rooji and Wang got in [11]. Let G_0 be an open set which is also a countable union of compact sets and a subgroup of G . Let I_σ be the σ -ideal in the σ -algebra of all Borel subsets defined by

$$I_\sigma = \{Y : Y \text{ Borel} \quad \exists B \subset Y \quad \text{Borel invariant under } G_0 \quad \sigma(B) = 0\}.$$

Then a measure belongs to $N(\sigma)$ if and only if it vanishes on I_σ . And it follows that every measure in $\mathcal{M}(X)$ which is absolutely continuous with respect to a measure in $N(\sigma)$ is also in $N(\sigma)$.

(2) Let μ be another positive quasi-invariant Radon measure on X . If μ belongs to $N(\sigma)$, then it is easy to see that μ is absolutely continuous with respect to σ . Then, when $N(\sigma) = \mathcal{M}(X)$, every positive quasi-invariant Radon measure on X is absolutely continuous with respect to σ (the converse is false). In this situation, every μ -Riesz set (μ a positive quasi-invariant Radon measure on X) is a σ -Riesz set.

(3) Gulick, Liu and Van Rooij studied the case: $N(\sigma) = \mathcal{M}(X)$ (for G an abelian locally compact group) [10]. Let us say a few words on the case: $L^1(\sigma) = N(\sigma)$. It is easy to get:

PROPOSITION 3.6. *The following assertions are equivalent:*

- 1) $L^1(\sigma) = N(\sigma)$.
- 2) $L^1(G) * N(\sigma) = N(\sigma)$.
- 3) $\forall \mu \in N(\sigma), \exists g \in G, \delta_g * \mu \in L^1(\sigma)$.
- 4) $\forall \mu \in N(\sigma), \forall g \in G, \delta_g * \mu \in L^1(\sigma)$.

We close the first part of this section by giving the descriptive complexity of the set $N(\sigma)$ when X is σ -compact metrizable and G is metrizable. Let $\mathcal{M}^1(X)$ denote the unit ball of $\mathcal{M}(X)$. It is a polish space when equipped with the vague topology. Let $N^1(\sigma) = N(\sigma) \cap \mathcal{M}^1(X)$. Then, we have the following result:

PROPOSITION 3.7. *$N^1(\sigma)$ is a $F_{\sigma\delta}$ set in $\mathcal{M}^1(X)$.*

PROOF. Let (f_n) be an identity approximation in $L^1(G)$. It is easy to see that

$$N^1(\sigma) = \{\mu \in \mathcal{M}^1(X) : \forall n, f_n * \mu \ll \sigma\}.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_n : \mathcal{M}^1(X) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^1(X). \\ \mu &\longmapsto f_n * \mu \end{aligned}$$

Then ψ_n is continuous for the vague topology [4]. But $N^1(\sigma) =$

$\bigcap_n \psi_n^{-1}(L^1(\sigma) \cap \mathcal{M}^1(X))$. Let us compute the complexity of the set $L^1(\sigma) \cap \mathcal{M}^1(X)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \ll \sigma &\iff |\mu| \ll \sigma ; \\ \mu \ll \sigma &\iff \forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \exists \eta > 0 \quad \forall B \subset X, B \text{ Borel} \quad \sigma(B) < \eta \Rightarrow |\mu|(B) \leq \varepsilon ; \\ \mu \ll \sigma &\iff \forall k > 0 \quad \exists p > 0 \quad \forall B \subset X, B \text{ Borel} \quad \sigma(B) < 1/p \Rightarrow |\mu|(B) \leq 1/k. \end{aligned}$$

It is enough to check the last implication on finite unions of basis open sets. Moreover these unions form a countable set since X is σ -compact metrizable. Let us denote by (U_i) the members of this last set. Then we get

$$\mu \ll \sigma \iff \forall k > 0 \quad \exists p > 0 \quad \forall i \quad \sigma(U_i) < 1/p \Rightarrow |\mu|(U_i) \leq 1/k.$$

Thus

$$L^1(\sigma) \cap \mathcal{M}^1(X) = \bigcap_k \bigcup_p \bigcap_{\{i: \sigma(U_i) < p^{-1}\}} \{\mu : |\mu|(U_i) \leq k^{-1}\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{K}_U^+(X)$ be the set of positive continuous functions with compact support. It is easy to see that for any open set U , we have $|\mu|(U) = \sup_{\mathcal{K}_U^+(X)} |\mu|(f)$ where

$$\mathcal{K}_U^+(X) = \{f \in \mathcal{K}^+(X) : \forall x \in X \quad f(x) \leq I_U(x)\}.$$

So

$$|\mu|(U_i) \leq 1/k \iff \forall f \in \mathcal{K}_{U_i}^+(X) \quad |\mu|(f) \leq 1/k.$$

It follows that

$$L^1(\sigma) \cap \mathcal{M}^1(X) = \bigcap_k \bigcup_p \bigcap_{\{i: \sigma(U_i) < p^{-1}\}} \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{K}_{U_i}^+(X)} \{\mu : |\mu|(f) \leq k^{-1}\}.$$

For f in $\mathcal{K}_U^+(X)$ let

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_f &: \mathcal{M}^1(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}. \\ \mu &\mapsto |\mu|(f) \end{aligned}$$

Then ψ_f is l.s.c. for the vague topology [4] and $\{\mu : |\mu|(f) \leq k^{-1}\}$ is closed. Thus $L^1(\sigma) \cap \mathcal{M}^1(X)$ is a $F_{\sigma\delta}$ set and $N^1(\sigma)$ is also a $F_{\sigma\delta}$ set since for any n , ψ_n is continuous.

b-Identity approximations

The main result of this section is the following

THEOREM 3.8. *Suppose σ is in $\mathcal{M}^+(X)$. Then there exists a net of functions $\{f_\alpha\}$ in $B(L^1(G))$ such that for μ in $\mathcal{M}_s(X) \cap N(\sigma)$, the net $\{f_\alpha * \mu\}$ converges in σ -measure to zero.*

PROOF. The proof follows the one of Shapiro in the group case [16]. Consider \mathcal{U} a basis of symmetric neighborhoods of e in G . We direct the net in the usual way: $U \geq V$ if $U \subseteq V$. For $V \in \mathcal{U}$, let $f_V = m_G(V)^{-1}I_V$. Since $|f_V * \mu| \leq f_V * |\mu|$, we may suppose without loss of generality that μ is a positive measure. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $a > 0$. Since μ is a regular and singular measure on X , there exists a compact set H and an open set O such that $H \subset O \subset X$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(O) &= \mu(X) = \|\mu\|, \\ \mu(O \setminus H) &< \frac{\varepsilon a}{2}, \\ \sigma(O) &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Define λ in $\mathcal{M}(X)$ as follows: $\lambda(B) = \mu(B \cap H)$ for B a Borel subset of X . Then $\mu = \lambda + \theta$ where $\theta(X) < \frac{\varepsilon a}{2}$. There exists a neighborhood W in \mathcal{U} such that $W.H \subseteq O$. Since μ is in $N(\sigma)$, $f_W * \mu \ll \sigma$ and $f_W * \lambda \ll \sigma$. As σ and $f_W * \mu$ are finite measures on X , one has:

$$\begin{aligned} (I_W * \lambda)(X \setminus O) &= \int_G I_W(g) \lambda(g^{-1} \cdot (X \setminus O)) dg \\ &= \int_G I_W(g) \mu((g^{-1} \cdot (X \setminus O)) \cap H) dg \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

since the set $(g^{-1} \cdot (X \setminus O)) \cap H$ is empty, when $g \in W$.

For $V \subset W$,

$$\begin{aligned} (f_V * \mu)(X \setminus O) &= \int_{X \setminus O} (f_V * \mu)(x) d\sigma(x) \\ &= \int_{X \setminus O} (f_V * \theta)(x) d\sigma(x) \\ &\leq (f_V * \theta)(X) \\ &\leq \|f_V\|_1 \theta(X) \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon a}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $A = \{x \in X \setminus O : (f_V * \mu)(x) \geq a\}$, then $\sigma(A) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and $\sigma(\{f_V * \mu \geq a\}) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \sigma(O) < \varepsilon$. This proves the theorem.

COROLLARY 3.9. Suppose that σ is in $\mathcal{M}^+(X)$. Then there exists a net of functions $\{f_a\}$ in $B(L^1(G))$ such that

- (1) for $f \in L^1(\sigma)$, the net $\{f_a * f\}$ converges in L^1 -norm to f ,
- (2) for $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_s(X) \cap N(\sigma)$, the net $\{f_a * \mu\}$ converges in σ -measure to

zero.

COROLLARY 3.10. *Let (G, X) be a transformation group with G metrizable. Let Λ be a σ -nicely placed subset of \widehat{G} and μ be in $N_\Lambda(\sigma)$. Then $\text{spec } \mu_a$ and $\text{spec } \mu_s$ are both contained in Λ .*

PROOF. Since μ is bounded and regular on X , there exists a σ -compact open set X_0 in X with $G.X_0 = X_0$ and a quasi-invariant measure σ' in $\mathcal{M}^+(X)$ such that μ is concentrated on X_0 and $\sigma'|_{X_0} \sim \sigma|_{X_0}$. Hence $\mu = \mu_a + \mu_s$ is also the Lebesgue decomposition of μ with respect to σ' . Moreover $\mu \in N(\sigma)$ implies $\mu \in N(\sigma')$. Thus, we may assume that σ is a measure in $\mathcal{M}^+(X)$ that is quasi-invariant. Let (f_n) be an identity approximation satisfying Corollary 3.9. Since $\text{spec } (f_n * \mu) \subset \text{spec } \mu$, the functions $(f_n * \mu)$ are in $L^1(\sigma)$. And by Corollary 3.9, the sequence $(f_n * \mu)$ converges in σ -measure to μ_a . Since $(f_n * \mu)$ is bounded in $L^1(\sigma)$ and σ is finite, $(f_n * \mu)$ also converges in $L^p(\sigma)$ ($0 < p < 1$). Then $\text{spec } \mu_a$ is contained in Λ and $\text{spec } \mu_s$ is also contained in Λ .

By Theorem 2.1, it follows

COROLLARY 3.11. *Let (G, X) be a transformation group with G metrizable. If Λ is a nicely placed subset of \widehat{G} and μ is in $N_\Lambda(\sigma)$, then both $\text{spec } \mu_a$ and $\text{spec } \mu_s$ are contained in Λ .*

Let us mention that we got the same result (without the restriction for μ to be in $N(\sigma)$) by using Yamaguchi's technique [7].

Let $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{P}(\widehat{G})$ be a family of subsets of \widehat{G} . We denote by \mathcal{E}^0 the biggest hereditary class contained in \mathcal{E} , that is

$$\mathcal{E}^0 = \{\Lambda \subset \widehat{G} : \forall \Lambda' \subset \Lambda, \Lambda' \in \mathcal{E}\}.$$

LEMMA 3.12 (cf. [9, Lemma 1.1]). *Let $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{P}(\widehat{G})$ be a family of subsets of \widehat{G} . Suppose that every $\Lambda \in \mathcal{E}$ satisfies the condition*

$$(*) \quad \mu \in N_\Lambda(\sigma) \text{ implies } \mu_s \in \mathcal{M}_\Lambda(X).$$

Then every $\Lambda \in \mathcal{E}^0$ satisfies that $\mu \in N_\Lambda(\sigma)$ implies $\mu \ll \sigma$.

PROOF. Let $\Lambda \in \mathcal{E}^0$ and $\mu \in N_\Lambda(\sigma)$. By hypothesis, $\mu_s \in \mathcal{M}_\Lambda(X)$. Suppose that $\mu_s \neq 0$. Then there exists $\alpha \in \Lambda$ such that $\alpha * \mu_s \neq 0$. Consider $\Lambda' = \Lambda \setminus \{\alpha\}$ and $\mu' = \mu - \alpha * \mu$. Then Λ' satisfies the condition (*). Since $\text{spec } (\mu') \subset \Lambda'$ and $\mu \in N_\Lambda(\sigma)$, we have $\mu_s = (\mu')_s \in \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda'}(X)$. Since $\alpha \notin \Lambda'$, we have $\alpha * \mu_s = 0$. This gives a contradiction.

By Corollary 3.10 and Lemma 3.12, we have

THEOREM 3.13. *Let (G, X) be a transformation group with G metrizable. Let Λ be σ -Shapiro in \widehat{G} . If μ is in $N_\Lambda(\sigma)$, then μ is absolutely continuous with respect to σ .*

This leads us to introduce a new class of σ -lacunary sets.

DEFINITION 3.14. *A set Λ in \widehat{G} is $N(\sigma)$ -Riesz if every measure μ in $N_\Lambda(\sigma)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to σ .*

Of course when $N(\sigma) = \mathcal{M}(X)$ the notion of $N(\sigma)$ -Riesz set coincides with the usual notion of σ -Riesz set. See also Examples 3.2 and 3.3. And we proved (Theorem 3.13) that every σ -Shapiro set is a $N(\sigma)$ -Riesz set.

4. Localization techniques in transformation groups

A way to construct lacunary sets is the localization technique introduced by Meyer [14] and used by Godefroy [9] and Tardivel [17]. If G is a compact abelian group, we denote by τ the Bohr topology on \widehat{G} . It is the topology induced on \widehat{G} by the pointwise convergence on G . If $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{P}(\widehat{G})$ is a family of subsets of \widehat{G} , we will say that \mathcal{E} is localizable if the following property holds :

$$\Lambda \in \mathcal{E} \iff \forall \alpha \in \widehat{G}, \exists V_\alpha, \text{ a } \tau\text{-neighborhood of } \alpha \text{ in } \widehat{G}, \\ (\Lambda \cap V_\alpha) \in \mathcal{E}$$

and that \mathcal{E} is strongly localizable if

$$\Lambda \in \mathcal{E} \iff \forall E \in \mathcal{E}, \forall \alpha \in \widehat{G} \setminus E, \exists V_\alpha, \text{ a } \tau\text{-neighborhood of } \alpha \text{ in } \widehat{G}, \\ (\Lambda \cap V_\alpha) \in \mathcal{E}.$$

Meyer proved that the class of Riesz sets is localizable [14]. Godefroy proved that the classes of nicely placed sets, of Shapiro sets and the class $\mathcal{E}_0 = \{\Lambda \subset \widehat{G} : \forall \mu \in \mathcal{M}_\Lambda(G), \mu_s \in \mathcal{M}_\Lambda(G)\}$ are localizable [9], Tardivel proved that the class of Riesz sets is strongly localizable [17]. We will give other examples.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let ν be a discrete measure on G and μ_s be a singular bounded measure on X with respect to σ . Then $(\nu * \mu)_s = \nu * \mu_s$*

PROOF. Let us write $\nu = \sum_n a_n \delta_{g_n}$ with $a_n \in \mathbb{C}$, $\sum_n |a_n| < \infty$ and $g_n \in G$. Since μ_s is singular, there exists two Borel sets B_1 and B_2 in X such that $B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$, $B_1 \cup B_2 = X$, $|\mu_s|(B_1) = 0$ and $\sigma(B_2) = 0$. Consider $B = \bigcup_n g_n B_2$. It is a Borel set. Let E be a Borel subset of X such that $E \cap B = \emptyset$, then

$\nu^*\mu_s(E) = \sum_n a_n \mu_s(g_n^{-1}.E) = 0$. This shows that $\nu^*\mu_s$ is concentrated in B . On the other hand, $\sigma(B_2) = 0$ implies that $\sigma(g_n^{-1}.B_2) = 0$ for all n by the quasi-invariance of σ . Thus $\sigma(B) = 0$. This proves the lemma.

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let H be either $\mathcal{M}(X)$ or $N(\sigma)$. The class*

$$\mathcal{C}_H = \{\Lambda \subset \widehat{G} : \forall \mu \in H, \text{spec } \mu \subset \Lambda \implies \mu \ll \sigma\}$$

is strongly localizable.

PROOF. Let $\Lambda \subset \widehat{G}$ be such that

$$(1) \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{C}_H, \quad \forall \alpha \in \widehat{G} \setminus E, \quad \exists V_\alpha, \quad (V_\alpha \cap \Lambda) \in \mathcal{C}_H.$$

Let $E \in \mathcal{C}_H$, $\alpha \in \widehat{G} \setminus E$ and $\mu \in H$ such that $\text{spec } \mu \subset \Lambda$. There exist a τ -neighborhood V_α of α and a discrete measure ν , on G such that

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} \widehat{\nu}(\alpha) = 1 \\ \widehat{\nu}(\lambda) = 0 \quad \forall \lambda \in \widehat{G} \setminus V_\alpha \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 1.1, we have : $\alpha^* \nu^* \mu = \widehat{\nu}(\alpha)(\alpha^* \mu) = \alpha^* \mu$ and $\text{spec}(\nu^* \mu)$ is contained in $V_\alpha \cap \Lambda$. By (1), $\nu^* \mu \ll \sigma$. We have $\nu^* \mu = \nu^*(\mu_\alpha + \mu_s) = (\nu^* \mu_\alpha) + (\nu^* \mu_s)$ and $\nu^* \mu_\alpha \ll \sigma$. Therefore $\nu^* \mu_s = (\nu^* \mu)_s = 0$. We have : $\alpha^* \mu_s = \alpha^* \nu^* \mu_s = 0$, and $\alpha \notin \text{spec } \mu_s$. It follows that $\text{spec } \mu_s$ is contained in E which belongs to \mathcal{C}_H . Thus $\mu_s = 0$.

COROLLARY 4.3. *The following classes are strongly localizable :*

- 1) *The class of σ -Riesz sets.*
- 2) *The class of $N(\sigma)$ -Riesz sets.*

PROPOSITION 4.4. *Suppose $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}^+(X)$. Then the class of σ -nicely placed sets is localizable.*

We need a lemma

LEMMA 4.5. *Suppose $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}^+(X)$. Let ν be a discrete measure on G . Let $f \in L^1(\sigma)$ and let (f_n) be a sequence in $B(L^1(\sigma))$ such that (f_n) converges to f in $L^p(\sigma)$, $0 < p < 1$. Then there exists a subsequence (f_{n_k}) of (f_n) such that $(\nu^* f_{n_k})$ converges to $\nu^* f$ in $L^p(\sigma)$, where $\nu^* f(x) = \int_G f(g^{-1}.x) \mathcal{F}(g^{-1}, x) d\nu(g)$.*

PROOF. There exists a subsequence (f_{n_k}) of (f_n) such that (f_{n_k}) converges to f almost everywhere. Put $\nu = \sum_n a_n \delta_{g_n}$, $g_n \in G$ and $\sum_n |a_n| < \infty$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\nu' = \sum_{p \in J} a_p \delta_{g_p}$, where J is a finite set, such that $\|\nu - \nu'\| < \varepsilon$. Then

$$\|\nu^*f_{n_k} - \nu^*f\|_p^p \leq \|\nu^*f_{n_k} - \nu'^*f_{n_k}\|_p^p + \|\nu'^*f_{n_k} - \nu'^*f\|_p^p + \|\nu'^*f - \nu^*f\|_p^p$$

where $\|\nu^*f\|_p^p = \int_X |\nu^*f(x)|^p d\sigma(x)$. Since $\|f_{n_k}\|_1 \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\nu - \nu')^*f_{n_k}\|_p^p &= \int_X \left| \int_G f_{n_k}(g^{-1}.x) \mathcal{T}(g^{-1}.x) d(\nu - \nu')(g) \right|^p d\sigma(x) \\ &\leq \left(\int_X \int_G |f_{n_k}(g^{-1}.x)| \mathcal{T}(g^{-1}.x) d|\nu - \nu'| \right)^p \sigma(X)^{1-p} \\ &\leq \sigma(X)^{1-p} \varepsilon^p. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|(\nu - \nu')^*f\|_p^p \leq \sigma(X)^{1-p} \varepsilon^p.$$

Since J is finite and σ is quasi-invariant, $\nu'^*f_{n_k}$ converges to ν'^*f almost everywhere. Moreover $\|\nu'^*f_{n_k}\|_1 \leq \|\nu\|$ and $\|\nu'^*f\|_1 \leq \|\nu\|$. Hence

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\nu'^*f_{n_k} - \nu'^*f\|_p = 0.$$

Thus we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\nu^*f_{n_k} - \nu^*f\|_p = 0,$$

which proves the lemma.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 4.4. Let $\Lambda \subset \widehat{G}$ be such that $\forall \alpha \in \widehat{G}$, $\exists V_\alpha$, $V_\alpha \cap \Lambda$ is σ -nicely placed. Let (f_n) in $B(L_\Lambda^1(\sigma))$ be such that (f_n) converges to f in $L^p(\sigma)$, $0 < p < 1$. Let $\alpha \notin \Lambda$. We need to prove that $\alpha^*f = 0$. There exists a discrete measure ν on G satisfying (2). By Lemma 4.5, there exists a subsequence (f_{n_k}) of (f_n) such that $(\nu^*f_{n_k})$ converges to ν^*f in $L^p(\sigma)$. Since $\text{spec}(\nu^*f_{n_k})$ is contained in $V_\alpha \cap \Lambda$, $\text{spec}(\nu^*f)$ is also contained in $V_\alpha \cap \Lambda$. Since $\alpha \notin V_\alpha \cap \Lambda$, $\alpha^*\nu^*f = 0$, and so $\widehat{\nu}(\alpha)(\alpha^*f) = 0$. But by (2), $\widehat{\nu}(\alpha) = 1$. This implies that $\alpha^*f = 0$. And $\text{spec } f$ is contained in Λ .

PROPOSITION 4.6. *The class $\mathcal{E}_0 = \{\Lambda \subset \widehat{G} : \forall \mu \in \mathcal{M}_\Lambda(X), \mu_s \in \mathcal{M}_\Lambda(X)\}$ is localizable.*

PROOF. Let $\Lambda \subset \widehat{G}$ be such that $\forall \alpha \in \widehat{G}$, $\exists V_\alpha$, $V_\alpha \cap \Lambda \in \mathcal{E}_0$. Let μ be in $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda(X)$. We have to show that $\text{spec } \mu_s$ is contained in Λ . Let $\alpha \notin \Lambda$. There exists a discrete measure ν on G satisfying (2) and $\text{spec } (\nu^*\mu)$ is contained in $V_\alpha \cap \Lambda$. Therefore $\text{spec } (\nu^*\mu)_s = \text{spec } (\nu^*\mu_s)$ is also contained in $V_\alpha \cap \Lambda$. But $\alpha \notin V_\alpha \cap \Lambda$. Then $0 = \alpha^*\nu^*\mu_s = \alpha^*\mu_s$. And $\alpha \notin \text{spec } \mu_s$.

REMARK. Yamaguchi's result [18, 19] says that the class \mathcal{E}_0 contains

the Riesz subsets of \widehat{G} . This class \mathcal{E}_0 also contains the nicely placed subsets of \widehat{G} [7].

Let $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{P}(\widehat{G})$ be a family of subsets of \widehat{G} . Let \mathcal{E}^0 be the biggest hereditary class contained in \mathcal{E} .

Of course, if \mathcal{E} is (strongly) localizable, then \mathcal{E}^0 is also (strongly) localizable.

COROLLARY 4.7. *Suppose $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}^+(X)$. Then the class of σ -Shapiro sets is localizable.*

COROLLARY 4.8. *The class \mathcal{E}_0^0 is localizable.*

PROPOSITION 4.9. *Let Λ be in \mathcal{E}_0^0 , then Λ is a $N(\sigma)$ -Riesz set.*

PROOF. We can prove the proposition as we proved Lemma 3.12.

From the remark and Proposition 4.9, we get the following theorem :

THEOREM 4.10. *Every Riesz subset of \widehat{G} is a $N(\sigma)$ -Riesz set.*

COROLLARY 4.11. *When $N(\sigma) = \mathcal{M}(X)$, every Riesz subset of \widehat{G} is a σ -Riesz set.*

Let us come back again to our Example 1.3. In this case, we obtain a nice characterization of Riesz subsets of \mathbf{Z} .

PROPOSITION 4.12. *Let (G, X) be as in Example 1.3. Let Λ be a subset of \widehat{G} . Then Λ is Riesz if and only if Λ is $N(\sigma)$ -Riesz.*

PROOF. Suppose that $L_\Lambda^1(\sigma) = N_\Lambda(\sigma)$. Let ν be in $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda(\mathbf{T})$. Consider μ in $N(\sigma)$ defined for $Y \subset \mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{T}$ by $\mu(Y) = \int_{\mathbf{T}} I_Y(1, g) dg$. The measure $\nu * \mu$ is in $N_\Lambda(\sigma)$. Let K_1 and K_2 be compact subsets of \mathbf{T} such that $m_{\mathbf{T}}(K_1) = 0$ and $m_{\mathbf{T}}(K_2) \neq 0$. Consider $K = K_1 \times K_2$. Then $\sigma(K) = 0$ and $(\nu * \mu)(K) = 0$. But $(\nu * \mu)(K) = \nu(K_1) \cdot m_{\mathbf{T}}(K_2)$. It follows that $\nu(K_1) = 0$. Thus ν is absolutely continuous with respect to $m_{\mathbf{T}}$ and Λ is a Riesz set. The converse implication follows from Theorem 4.10.

Let us also mention the following transfer result : when G is metrizable, if $\Lambda \subset \widehat{G}$ is τ -closed, then Λ is σ -nicely placed. This result follows from the fact that a τ -closed subset of \widehat{G} is nicely placed [9] and from Theorem 2.1.

5-Lacunarity and geometry of Banach spaces

Lust-Piquard got a nice characterization of Riesz sets [13] : let G be

a compact abelian group, a subset Λ of \widehat{G} is a Riesz set if and only if the space $L^1_\Lambda(G)$ has the Radon-Nikodym property. Finet extended this result to the compact non abelian group case and the hypergroup case [6]. Let us recall that a Banach space Y has the *Radon-Nikodym Property* (R.N.P.) if and only if every linear continuous operator $T : L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu) \rightarrow Y$ (where μ is a probability measure) is representable by a Y -valued strongly μ -measurable and bounded function F , that is

$$\forall \varphi \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu) \quad T(\varphi) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(\omega) F(\omega) d\mu(\omega)$$

In this situation, we get

THEOREM 5.1. *Let (G, X) be a transformation group with X metrizable, Let σ be a quasi-invariant measure in $\mathcal{M}^+(X)$. If $L^1_\Lambda(\sigma)$ has R.N.P., then Λ is $N(\sigma)$ -Riesz set.*

PROOF. Let μ be in $N_\Lambda(\sigma)$ and define the operator T_μ on $L^1(G)$ by $T_\mu(f) = f * \mu$. As μ is in $N_\Lambda(\sigma)$, $f * \mu$ is in $L^1_\Lambda(\sigma)$. For f in $L^1(G)$ and Θ in $\mathcal{H}(X)$

$$\begin{aligned} (f * \mu)(\Theta) &= \int_G f(g) \left[\int_X \Theta(g \cdot x) d\mu(x) \right] dg \\ &= \int_G f(g) (\delta_g * \mu)(\Theta) dg. \end{aligned}$$

The space $L^1_\Lambda(\sigma)$ has R.N.P., then for almost all g in G , $\delta_g * \mu$ is in $L^1(\sigma)$. Thus for almost all g in G , the measure $\delta_{g^{-1}} * (\delta_g * \mu)$ is in $L^1(\sigma)$ (since for a quasi-invariant positive measure σ , $\mathcal{M}(G) * L^1(\sigma) \subset L^1(\sigma)$). Thus μ is in $L^1(\sigma)$ and Λ is a $N(\sigma)$ -Riesz set.

Bachelis and Ebenstein showed that a subset Λ of \widehat{G} is $\Lambda(1)$ if and only if $L^1_\Lambda(G)$ is reflexive [2], (see also [6]). A similar proof gives :

PROPOSITION 5.2. *Let σ be a quasi-invariant measure in $\mathcal{M}^+(X)$. If $\Lambda \subset \widehat{G}$ is σ - $\Lambda(1)$ then $L^1_\Lambda(\sigma)$ is reflexive.*

COROLLARY 5.3. *Let (G, X) be a transformation group with X metrizable and let σ be quasi-invariant measure in $\mathcal{M}^+(X)$. If $\Lambda \subset \widehat{G}$ is σ - $\Lambda(1)$, then Λ is a $N(\sigma)$ -Riesz set.*

PROOF. The corollary follows from the fact that a reflexive Banach space has R.N.P.

We are now concerned with the question : what can be said on $L^1_\Lambda(G)$

if $L^1_\Lambda(\sigma)$ has R.N.P.? Let us recall a definition :

DEFINITION 5.4. Let (G, X) be a transformation group. We say that G acts *freely* on X if for any x in X , the map $g \mapsto g.x$ is one-to-one.

A trivial example is the transformation group (G, X) , when G is a compact abelian subgroup of a locally compact group X .

We get the following result :

THEOREM 5.5. *Let (G, X) be a transformation group with X metrizable. Suppose that G acts freely on X . Let σ be a quasi-invariant measure in $\mathcal{M}^+(X)$ and Λ be a subset of \widehat{G} . If $L^1_\Lambda(\sigma)$ has R.N.P., then $L^1_\Lambda(G)$ also has R.N.P.*

PROOF. It is equivalent to prove that Λ is a Riesz set. Let μ be in $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda(G)$. We define the operator T_μ on $L^1_\Lambda(\sigma)$ by $T_\mu(f) = \mu * f$. We have that $T_\mu(f)$ is in $L^1_\Lambda(\sigma)$. For f in $L^1(\sigma)$ and Θ in $\mathcal{M}(X)$:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu * f)(\Theta) &= \int_X f(x) \left[\int_G \Theta(g.x) d\mu(g) \right] d\sigma(x) \\ &= \int_X f(x) (\mu * \delta_x)(\Theta) d\sigma(x) \end{aligned}$$

As the space $L^1_\Lambda(\sigma)$ has R.N.P., it follows that for almost all x in X , $\mu * \delta_x$ is in $L^1(\sigma)$. We will show that this implies that μ is in $L^1(G)$. Let K be a Borel subset of G such that $m_G(K) = 0$. Then, for all x in X , $m_G(\{g \in G, g.x \in K.x\}) = 0$. Therefore $\int_X \int_G I_{K.x}(g.x) dg d\sigma(x) = 0$. And, for almost all g in G , $\int_X I_{K.x}(g.x) d\sigma(x) = 0$. But this last integral is exactly $\sigma(g^{-1}K.x)$. Since σ is quasi-invariant, it follows that $\sigma(K.x) = 0$ for all x in X . And, for almost all x in X , $(\mu * \delta_x)(K.x) = 0$. That is $\int_G I_{K.x}(g.x) d\mu(g) = 0$. Hence $\mu(K) = 0$ since the map $g \mapsto g.x$ is one-to-one.

COROLLARY 5.6. *Let (G, X) be a transformation group, where G acts freely on X . Let σ be a quasi-invariant measure in $\mathcal{M}^+(X)$. If $\Lambda \subset \widehat{G}$ is a σ -Riesz set, then Λ is a Riesz set.*

References

- [1] R. AZENCOTT, Espaces de Poisson des groupes localement compacts, Springer Verlag, Lecture Notes 148.
- [2] G. F. BACHELIS and S. E. EBENSTEIN, On $\Lambda(p)$ -sets, Pacific J. Math. 5 (1974), 35-38.
- [3] J. BOCLÉ, Sur la théorie ergodique, Ann. Inst. Fourier 10 (1960), 1-45.
- [4] N. BOURBAKI, Integration Eléments de Mathématiques Livre VI Ch. 6 Hermann, Paris

- 1959.
- [5] K. DE LEEUW and I. GLICKSBERG, Quasi-invariance and analicity of measures on compact groups, *Acta Math.* 109 (1963), 179-205.
 - [6] C. FINET, Lacunary sets for groups and hypergroups, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* 54 (1993), 39-60.
 - [7] C. FINET and V. TARDIVEL-NACHEF, A variant of a Yamaguchi's result, *Hokkaido Math. J.* 21 (1992) 483-489.
 - [8] F. FORELLI, Analytic and quasi-invariant measures, *Acta Math.* 118 (1967), 33-57.
 - [9] G. GODEFROY, On Riesz subsets of abelian discrete groups, *Israel J. Math* 61 (1988), 301-331.
 - [10] S. L. GULICK, T. S. LIU and A. C. VAN ROOIJ, Group algebra modules II, *Canad. J. Math.* 19 (1967), 151-173.
 - [11] T. S. LIU, A. VAN ROOIJ and J. K. WANG, Transformation groups and absolutely continuous measures II, *Indag. Math.* 32 (1970), 57-61.
 - [12] L. A. LINDHAL and F. POULSEN, *Thin sets in Harmonic Analysis*, Marcel Decker, New York 1971.
 - [13] F. LUST-PIQUARD, Ensembles de Rosenthal et Ensembles de Riesz, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 282 (1976), 833.
 - [14] Y. MEYER, Spectres des mesures absolument continues, *Studia Math.* 30 (1968), 87-89.
 - [15] W. RUDIN, *Fourier Analysis on Groups*, Interscience, New York 1962.
 - [16] J. SHAPIRO, Subspaces of $L^p(G)$ spanned by characters, $0 < p < 1$, *Israel J. Math.* 29 (1978), 248-264.
 - [17] V. TARDIVEL, Ensembles de Riesz, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 305 (1988), 167-174.
 - [18] H. YAMAGUCHI, The F. and M. Riesz Theorem on certain transformation groups, *Hokkaido Math. J.* 17 (1988) 289-332.
 - [19] H. YAMAGUCHI, The F. and M. Riesz Theorem on certain transformation groups II, *Hokkaido Math. J.* 19 (1990) 345-359.
 - [20] H. YAMAGUCHI, A property of spectrum of measures on certain transformation groups, *Hokkaido Math. J.* 20 (1991), 109-121.

Université de Mons-Hainaut
 Institut de Mathématique et d'Informatique
 Avenue Maistriau, 15
 7000 MONS-BELGIQUE
 Université Paris 7
 2 Place Jussieu
 75251 Paris cedex 05

Université Paris 6
 Equipe d'Analyse, U. A. 754 au C.N.R.S.
 Tour 46-00, 4ème étage, 4, place Jussieu
 75252 PARIS CEDEX 05-FRANCE
 Université de Cergy-Pontoise
 Pôle scientifique, 47-49
 Avenue des Genottes, B. P. 8428
 95806 CERGY-PONTOISE CEDEX