

On flat H -separable extensions and Gabriel topology
 Dedicated to Professor Akira Hattori on his 60th birthday

By KOZO SUGANO
 (Received February 18, 1985)

0. Introduction. Throughout this paper every ring will be associative and have the identity 1, and every subring of it will contain 1. Further, every module over a ring will be unital. All terminologies and notations are the same as those in [6] and [7].

In the case where A is an algebra over a commutative ring R , A is an H -separable extension of R if and only if A is central separable over C and $C \otimes_R C \cong C$ by the map π such that $\pi(a \otimes b) = ab$, for $a, b \in C$ (See Proposition 1.1 [4]). The aim of this paper is to generalize this result to the case of non commutative ring extensions. We will show that in the case where A is an H -separable extension of a subring B and flat as left B -module we have $V_A(V_A(B)) \cong B_{(\mathfrak{F})}$, where \mathfrak{F} is the Gabriel topology on B consisting of the right ideals \mathfrak{a} of B such that $\mathfrak{a}A = A$ and $B_{(\mathfrak{F})} = \varinjlim \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{a}_R, B_R)$ (Theorem 2). Theorem 2 will induce an interesting result that if A is an H -separable extension of a regular ring B then $B = V_A(V_A(B))$ (Theorem 3). The rest of this paper will be devoted to the study on H -separable extension of a regular ring. We will give the complete improvement of Theorems 2 and 3 [9] concerning with H -separable extensions of full linear rings (Theorem 4).

1. Let R be a ring and M a flat left R -module. A right ideal \mathfrak{a} of R satisfies $\mathfrak{a}M = M$ if and only if $R/\mathfrak{a} \otimes_R M = 0$. Denote the class of all right ideals of R which satisfy this condition by \mathfrak{F} . \mathfrak{F} is a Gabriel topology on R , namely, \mathfrak{F} satisfies the following four conditions (see page 156 [6]).

(G. 1) If $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{F}$ and \mathfrak{b} is a right ideal of R containing \mathfrak{a} , then $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathfrak{F}$.

(G. 2) If $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \in \mathfrak{F}$, then $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b} \in \mathfrak{F}$.

(G. 3) If $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{F}$, then $(\mathfrak{a} : a) \in \mathfrak{F}$ for any $a \in R$,
 where $(\mathfrak{a} : a) = \{r \in R \mid ar \in \mathfrak{a}\}$.

(G. 4) If \mathfrak{a} is a right ideal of R , and if there exists $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathfrak{F}$ such that
 $(\mathfrak{a} : b) \in \mathfrak{F}$ for any $b \in \mathfrak{b}$, then $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{F}$.

Now for any Gabriel topology \mathfrak{F} on R , we can construct rings $R_{(\mathfrak{F})} = \varinjlim \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{a}_R, R_R)$ and $R_{\mathfrak{F}} = \varinjlim \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{a}_R, R/t(R)_R)$, where \mathfrak{a} runs over \mathfrak{F} , and $t(R)$ is the \mathfrak{F} -torsion submodule of R , which is an ideal of R . A left

R -module N is said to be \mathfrak{F} -divisible if $aN = N$ holds for each a in \mathfrak{F} . Now let N be any \mathfrak{F} -divisible flat left R -module. We can give N a left $R_{(\mathfrak{F})}$ -module structure as follows;

Let $x \in R_{(\mathfrak{F})}$, $n \in N$, and let $\xi \in \text{Hom}(a_R, R_R)$ with $a \in \mathfrak{F}$ represent x . Since $aN = N$, we have $n = \sum a_i n_i$ with $a_i \in a$ and $n_i \in N$. Now put $xn = \sum \xi(a_i) n_i$. If x is represented by $\eta \in \text{Hom}(b_R, R_R)$ with $b \in \mathfrak{F}$, too, then there exists $c \in \mathfrak{F}$ such that $c \subset a \cap b$ and $\xi|_c = \eta|_c$. Here $\xi|_c$ means the restriction of ξ on c . Now we have in $R \otimes_R N$ $1 \otimes n = \sum a_i \otimes n_i = \sum b_j \otimes m_j = \sum c_k \otimes p_k$ with $a_i \in a$, $b_j \in b$, $c_k \in c$ and $m_j, n_i, p_k \in N$, and consequently, $\sum \xi(a_i) \otimes n_i = \sum \xi(c_k) \otimes p_k = \sum \eta(c_k) \otimes p_k = \sum \eta(b_j) \otimes m_j$. Then $\sum \xi(a_i) n_i = \sum \eta(b_j) m_j$, which implies that xn does not depend on the choice of the representation of x nor $a_i \in a$, $n_i \in N$ with $\sum a_i n_i = n$. Thus this multiplication is well defined, and it is easy to see that this gives N an $R_{(\mathfrak{F})}$ -module structure which is compatible with the original R -module structure of N . The formulae $(xy)n = x(yn)$, for $x, y \in R_{(\mathfrak{F})}$, $n \in N$, follows from Lemma IX 1.1 [6].

For any right R -module X denote the canonical R -homomorphism of X to $X_{(\mathfrak{F})}$ by φ_X . Then Lemma IX 1.4 [6] shows that if n in $X_{(\mathfrak{F})}$ is represented by $\xi \in \text{Hom}(a_R, X_R)$ with $a \in \mathfrak{F}$, then $\varphi_X \xi(a) = na$ for each $a \in a$.

Now let $x \in R_{(\mathfrak{F})}$, and suppose that x is represented by $\xi \in \text{Hom}(a_R, R_R)$ with $a \in \mathfrak{F}$. For any $n \in N$, let $n = \sum a_i n_i$ with $a_i \in a$, $n_i \in N$. Then in $R_{(\mathfrak{F})} \otimes_R N$ we have $1 \otimes xn = \sum 1 \otimes \xi(a_i) n_i = \sum \varphi_R \xi(a_i) \otimes n_i = \sum x a_i \otimes n_i = x \otimes \sum a_i n_i = x \otimes n$. This means that $R_{(\mathfrak{F})} \otimes_R N \cong N$ by $x \otimes n \rightarrow xn$. By this isomorphism we have $\text{Hom}({}_R N, {}_R N') = \text{Hom}({}_{R_{(\mathfrak{F})}} N, {}_{R_{(\mathfrak{F})}} N')$ for any left $R_{(\mathfrak{F})}$ -module N' . Next suppose that $xN = 0$. Then for any $a \in a$ we see that $0 = x(aN) = \xi(a)N$. Thus we have $\xi \in \text{Hom}(a_R, \text{Ann}({}_R N)_R)$, and we can easily see that $\text{Ann}({}_{R_{(\mathfrak{F})}} N) = (\text{Ann}({}_R N))_{(\mathfrak{F})}$.

Now since $t(R) \subset \text{Ann}({}_R N)$, N is also a left $R/t(R)$ -module. Then by the same method as the above argument we can give N a left $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ -module structure, and have $R_{\mathfrak{F}} \otimes_R N \cong N$, $\text{Hom}({}_R N, {}_R N') = \text{Hom}({}_{R_{\mathfrak{F}}} N, {}_{R_{\mathfrak{F}}} N')$ for any left $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ -module N' and $\text{Ann}({}_{R_{\mathfrak{F}}} N) = (\text{Ann}({}_R N))_{\mathfrak{F}}$. If $t(R) = \text{Ann}({}_R N)$, $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ can be regarded as a subring of $\text{Bicom}({}_R N)$.

Now we can obtain a little more explicit proof of Theorems 1.4 and 1.6 [5].

THEOREM 1. $\mathfrak{F} = \{a_R \subset R_R \mid aM = M\}$ is a Gabriel topology, and M is a flat and faithful left $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ -module such that $R_{\mathfrak{F}} \otimes_R M \cong M$ and $\text{Hom}({}_R M, {}_R M) = \text{Hom}({}_{R_{\mathfrak{F}}} M, {}_{R_{\mathfrak{F}}} M)$. Thus $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ can be regarded as a subring of $\text{Bicom}({}_R M)$ (See Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.6 [5]).

PROOF. Since M is R -flat, we have $0 = \text{Ann}({}_R M)M = \text{Ann}({}_R M) \otimes_R M$. Then $\text{Ann}({}_R M) \subset t(R)$ (See page 156 [6]). Hence we have $\text{Ann}({}_R M) = t(R)$. Then the proof of this Theorem is immediate from the above argument.

2. Now we will consider the case where A is a ring and B is a subring of A such that A is left B -flat. Let \mathfrak{F} be the Gabriel topology on B consisting of right ideals \mathfrak{a} of B such that $\mathfrak{a}A = A$. Note that the functor L of $\text{Mod-}B$ to $\text{Mod-}B_{(\mathfrak{F})}$ defined by $L(N) = N_{(\mathfrak{F})}$ for each N_B is left exact (see page 199 [6]). Thus we can regard $B_{(\mathfrak{F})}$ as a subring of A by virtue of the next lemma, which has already been proved by Y. Kurata in [4].

LEMMA 1. A is an \mathfrak{F} -closed right B -module.

PROOF. Let $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{F}$. Since $\mathfrak{a}A = A$ and ${}_B A$ is flat, the map $\pi_{\mathfrak{a}}$ of $\mathfrak{a} \otimes_B A$ to A defined by $\pi_{\mathfrak{a}}(a \otimes x) = ax$, for $a \in \mathfrak{a}$, $x \in A$, is an isomorphism. Let $1 = \sum a_i x_i$ with $a_i \in \mathfrak{a}$, $x_i \in A$. Then $a \otimes 1 = \sum a_i \otimes x_i a$ for each $a \in \mathfrak{a}$. Hence for any $\xi \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{a}_B, A_B)$ we have $\xi(a) = \sum \xi(a_i) x_i a$. This means that A is \mathfrak{F} -injective. On the other hand if $x\mathfrak{a} = 0$ for $x \in A$ and $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{F}$, then we have $x\mathfrak{a}A = xA = 0$ and $x = 0$. Thus A is \mathfrak{F} -torsion free.

Now φ_A is an isomorphism, and $B_{(\mathfrak{F})}$ can be identified with the subring $\text{Im}(\varphi_A^{-1}L(i))$, where i is the inclusion map of B to A and L is the functor introduced above. Put $B^* = \text{Im}(\varphi_A^{-1}L(i))$. Now we will investigate the structure of B^* . Note that $B_{(\mathfrak{F})}$ and $A_{(\mathfrak{F})}$ can be identified with $B_{\mathfrak{F}}$ and $A_{\mathfrak{F}}$, respectively, since B and A are \mathfrak{F} -torsion free.

LEMMA 2. Let $y \in A_{\mathfrak{F}}$ be represented by $\xi \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{a}_B, A_B)$ with $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{F}$. Then $\varphi_A^{-1}(y) = \sum \xi(a_i)x_i$, where $a_i \in \mathfrak{a}$, $x_i \in A$ with $\sum a_i x_i = 1$.

PROOF. By Lemma IX 1.4 [6] we have $\varphi_A \xi(a) = ya$ for each $a \in \mathfrak{a}$. Let $\sum a_i x_i = 1$ with $a_i \in \mathfrak{a}$, $x_i \in A$. Then $\xi(a) = \sum \xi(a_i)x_i a$ for each $a \in \mathfrak{a}$ as is shown in the proof of Lemma 1. Then $ya = \varphi_A \xi(a) = \varphi_A(\sum \xi(a_i)x_i)a$, and we have $(\varphi_A(\sum \xi(a_i)x_i) - y)\mathfrak{a} = 0$. But $A_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is \mathfrak{F} -closed by Proposition IX 1.8 [6]. Hence we have $y = \varphi_A(\sum \xi(a_i)x_i)$.

PROPOSITION 1. $B^*(= \text{Im}(\varphi_A^{-1}L(i))) = \{ \sum \xi(a_i)x_i \mid \mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{F}, \xi \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{a}_B, B_B), \sum a_i x_i = 1, a_i \in \mathfrak{a}, x_i \in A \} \subset V_A(V_A(B))$. $B^* \otimes_B A \cong A$, and A is left B^* -flat.

PROOF. If $\xi \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{a}_B, B_B)$ with $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $\sum a_i x_i = 1$ with $a_i \in \mathfrak{a}$, $x_i \in A$, then we have $\varphi_A(\sum \xi(a_i)x_i) = \sum L(i)(\varphi_B \xi(a_i))\varphi_A(x_i) = \sum L(i)(ya_i)\varphi_A(x_i) = L$

(i) $(y)\varphi_A(\sum a_i x_i) = L(i)(y)$ where y is the element of $B_{\mathfrak{F}}$ represented by ξ . Hence $\sum \xi(a_i)x_i \in \text{Im}(\varphi_A^{-1}L(i))$. Conversely if $x \in \text{Im}(L(i))$, x is represented by $i\xi$ for some $\xi \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{a}_B, B_B)$, $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{F}$. Therefore the converse inclusion is immediate by Lemma 2. Next, let $\sum a_i x_i = 1$ with $a_i \in \mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{F}$, $x_i \in A$ and $\xi \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{a}_B, B_B)$, and put $D = V_A(B)$. Then we have $\sum a_i \otimes dx_i = \sum a_i \otimes x_i d$ in $\mathfrak{a} \otimes_B A$ for each $d \in D$, because both are mapped to d by the isomorphism $\pi_{\mathfrak{a}} : \mathfrak{a} \otimes_B A \rightarrow A$. Then $\sum \xi(a_i)x_i d = \sum \xi(a_i)dx_i = d \sum \xi(a_i)x_i$. This means that $B^* \subseteq V_A(D)$.

Let C be the center of A , and denote $D = V_A(B)$ and $B' = V_A(V_A(B))$. A is an H -separable extension of B if and only if D is C -finitely generated projective and the map η of $A \otimes_B A$ to $\text{Hom}({}_C D, {}_C A)$ defined by $\eta(x \otimes y)(d) = xdy$, for $x, y \in A$, $d \in D$, is an isomorphism.

THEOREM 2 *If A is an H -separable extension of B and left B -flat, then we have $B^* = B'$.*

PROOF. Let $b \in B' = V_A(D)$, and consider the isomorphism η of $A \otimes_B A$ to $\text{Hom}({}_C D, {}_C A)$ introduced above. Clearly $\eta(b \otimes 1) = \eta(1 \otimes b)$, and we have $b \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes b$ in $A \otimes_B A$. Then since ${}_B A$ is flat, $b \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes b$ holds in $B' \otimes_B A$, too, for each $b \in B'$. This implies $B' \otimes_B A \cong A$ by $\pi_{B'}$ ($\pi_{B'}(b \otimes x) = bx$, $b \in B'$, $x \in A$). Then $(B'/B) \otimes_B A = 0$, and we see that B'/B is \mathfrak{F} -torsion (see page 156 [6]). Thus if $b \in B'$, there exists $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{F}$ such that $b\mathfrak{a} \subset B$, and we see that the left multiplication $b^{(l)}$ of b on \mathfrak{a} yields a right B -homomorphism of \mathfrak{a} to B . Then for $a_i \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $x_i \in A$ with $\sum a_i x_i = 1$, we have $b = \sum (b^{(l)} a_i) x_i \in B^*$. Thus we have $B' \subset B^*$. The converse inclusion has been shown in Proposition 1.

Let \mathfrak{F}' denote the class of right ideals \mathfrak{a} of B such that $\mathfrak{a}B_{\mathfrak{F}} = B_{\mathfrak{F}}$. Obviously we have $\mathfrak{F}' \subset \mathfrak{F}$ in the present situation. Now the problem whether or not B' is a right perfect localization of B in this case comes out. This is affirmative if $\mathfrak{a}B' = B'$ holds for each $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{F}$ by Theorem IX 2.1 [6]. Thus we have

PROPOSITION 2. *Let A be an H -separable extension of B , and suppose that A is left B -flat and B' is a left B -direct summand of A . Then B' is a perfect right localization of B .*

PROOF. We can prove this without using Theorem XI 2.1 [6]. In the proof of Theorem 2 we have shown that $b \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes b$ holds in $B' \otimes_B A$ for each $b \in B'$. But now $B' \otimes_B B'$ is a direct summand of $B' \otimes_B A$. Hence for each $b \in B'$, $b \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes b$ holds in $B' \otimes_B B'$. This implies $B' \otimes_B B' \cong B'$. Of course,

B' is also left B -flat.

3. In this section we will deal with H -separable extensions of von Neumann regular ring. First applying Theorem 2 we obtain

THEOREM 3. *If B is a regular ring, and A is an H -separable extension of B , then we have $B = V_A(V_A(B))$.*

PROOF. First, A is left B -flat, since B is a regular ring. Next let $a \in \mathfrak{F}$. There exist finite $a_i \in a$ and $x_i \in A$ such that $\sum a_i x_i = 1$. Put $b = \sum a_i B$. Then b is also in \mathfrak{F} and generated by an idempotent e in B , since B is regular. Then $A = bA = eA$, and we see that $e = 1$. Thus $b = a = B$, and we see that $\mathfrak{F} = \{B\}$, which implies that $B' = B^* = B$.

REMARK. It is already known that A is also a regular ring under the assumption of Theorem 3 (See [3] Proposition 5.4).

LEMMA 3. *Let B be a regular ring and A an H -separable extension of B . Then if A is finitely generated as left B -module, A is left B -finitely generated projective. In particular if B is a right B -direct summand of A , A is left B -finitely generated projective.*

PROOF. By assumption we see that A is left B -flat and $A \otimes_B A$ is left A -projective. Thus, if A is left B -finitely generated, A is left B -projective by Proposition I 11.6 [6]. If B is right B -direct summand of A , A is left B -finitely generated by (2.2) [8]. Hence A is left B -projective.

PROPOSITION 3. *Let B be an indecomposable regular ring, and A an H -separable extension of B . If A is left B -finitely generated, then A is an indecomposable regular ring and D is a simple artinian ring.*

PROOF. Let Z be the center of B . Then Z is a field, and we have $C \subset Z =$ the center of D , because $B = V_A(V_A(B))$ by Theorem 3. Thus A is an indecomposable regular ring, and C is a field. On the other hand we have a ring isomorphism $D \otimes_R A^0 \cong \text{Hom}_{(B,A, B,A)}$ (See e.g. (1.5) [8]). Now, $\text{Hom}_{(B,A, B,A)}$ is regular, since B is regular and A is left B -finitely generated projective by Lemma 3. Then D has no nilpotent ideal, and we see that D is semisimple artinian, because $[D : C] < \infty$. Then D is simple, since its center Z is a field.

A ring A is a left full linear ring if there exist a division ring K and a left K -vector space V such that $A = \text{Hom}_{(K,V, K,V)}$. This is indecomposable regular and left self injective. In [9] left full linear ring is called right closed irreducible ring, and sometimes was called right closed primitive ring. Now by Lemma 3 we can improve Theorem 3 [8] as follows;

THEOREM 4. *Let B be a left full linear ring. Then A is an H -separable extension of B if and only if following three conditions are satisfied ;*

- (1) *A is also a left full linear ring*
- (2) *$V_A(V_A(B)) = B$*
- (3) *$D(= V_A(B))$ is a simple C -algebra with $[D : C] < \infty$.*

If these conditions are satisfied, A is a free Frobenius extension of B having a left (or right) free basis over B consisting of $[D : C]$ elements.

PROOF. Now we can give a proof of the “only if” part different from the one given in [9]. Suppose that A is an H -separable extension of B . Then by Proposition 3 we have already (2) and (3). Also we can see that A is an indecomposable regular ring and right B -finitely generated projective. Furthermore, A is left A -injective by (2.3) [8], since B is left B -injective. It is already well known that the left socle of B coincides with the right socle of B and is contained in every two sided ideal of B (See e. g., Theorem 15.1 [1]). In addition, we have $\mathfrak{A} = A(B \cap \mathfrak{A})$ for every two sided ideal \mathfrak{A} of A by (2.2) [8], since B is a left B -direct summand of A . Thus ASA is contained in every two sided ideal of A , where S is the socle of B . Then, since A has the Jacobson radical 0, there exists a maximal right ideal I of A such that $ASA \not\subset I$. Put $M = A/I$. If M is not faithful, we have $I \supset \text{Ann}({}_A M) \supset ASA$, a contradiction. Thus M is a faithful simple right A -module. On the other hand, B has a faithful minimal left ideal \mathfrak{l} . Then since $\mathfrak{l}M \neq 0$, there exists an x in M such that $0 \neq \mathfrak{l}x \cong \mathfrak{l}$. This isomorphism is extended to a left B -homomorphism of M to B , since B is left B -injective. Thus we have $0 \neq \text{Hom}({}_B M, {}_B A) \supset \text{Hom}({}_B M, {}_B B)$. Then since A is an H -separable extension of B , for an A - A -module $X = \text{Hom}({}_C M, {}_C A)$ we have $\text{Hom}({}_B M, {}_B A) = X^B \cong D \otimes_C X^A$ (See (1.3) [8]). Thus we have $X^A = \text{Hom}({}_A M, {}_A A) \neq 0$. This means that A has a faithful minimal left ideal isomorphic to M . Then by Theorem 15.3 [1] A has also a faithful minimal right ideal \mathfrak{r} . Set $L = \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{r}_A, \mathfrak{r}_A)$ and $A^* = \text{Hom}({}_L \mathfrak{r}, {}_L \mathfrak{r})$. Clearly $A \subset A^*$, and we can easily see that \mathfrak{r} is also a faithful minimal right ideal of A^* . While, we have $A^* = A \oplus N$ for some left A -submodule N of A^* , since A is left A -injective. Then $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{r}A^* = \mathfrak{r} \oplus \mathfrak{r}N$, and we have $\mathfrak{r}N = 0$. Hence $N = 0$, and $A = A^*$. Thus we have (1), and have finished the proof of the “only if” part. Conversely, suppose that A and B satisfy the conditions (1), (2) and (3). Let $[D : C] = n$. Now we will show that A has a right $V_A(D)$ -free basis consisting of n elements. This assertion has already been shown in Theorem 36.2 [1] by a little bit different proof. By (1) there exist division ring K and a left K -module ${}_{\mathfrak{M}}$ such that $A = \text{End}({}_K \mathfrak{M})$. As usual we regard ${}_K \mathfrak{M}_A$ as a left $K \otimes$

${}_C D^0$ -module. Now $K \otimes_C D^0$ is a simple artinian ring, since C coincides with the center of K and $[K \otimes_C D^0 : K] = n < \infty$. Hence \mathfrak{m} is a direct sum of simple $K \otimes_C D^0$ -submodules $\{I_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$, which are mutually isomorphic and finite dimensional over K , where Λ is an index set. Let I be one of $\{I_\alpha\}$ chosen arbitrarily, and set $L = \text{End}({}_K I_D)$, $S = \text{End}({}_K I)$. Then $A = \text{End}({}_K \mathfrak{m})$ is isomorphic to $M_\Lambda(S)$, the ring of $\Lambda \times \Lambda$ -row finite matrices over S , and $V_A(D) = \text{End}({}_K \mathfrak{m}_D)$ is isomorphic to $M_\Lambda(L)$. On the other hand, since $K \otimes_C D^0 = I_1 \oplus I_2 \oplus \dots \oplus I_r$ with $I \cong I_j (1 \leq j \leq r)$ as left $K \otimes_C D^0$ -module, we have $K \otimes_C \text{End}({}_C D^0) \cong \text{End}({}_K K \otimes_C D^0) \cong M_r(S)$ and $K \otimes_C D^0 \cong \text{End}({}_{K \otimes_C D^0} K \otimes_C D^0) \cong M_r(L)$. Here, $K \otimes_C \text{End}({}_C D^0)$ is a right $K \otimes_C D^0$ -free module (See Proposition 3.4 [10]), and its rank is $[K \otimes_C \text{End}({}_C D^0) : K] / [K \otimes_C D^0 : K] = n$. Hence S must be a right L -free module of rank n , and we see that $M_\Lambda(S)$ has a right $M_\Lambda(L)$ -free basis consisting of n elements. Thus we have the conclusion. Note that the above proof shows that this assertion holds for any left full linear ring A and any simple C -subalgebra S of A with $[S : C] < \infty$ (Theorem 36.2 [1]). As for the rest of the proof, see Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 [9].

References

- [1] G. AZUMAYA and T. NAKAYAMA : Daisugaku II (in Japanese), Iwanami, 1954.
- [2] K. HIRATA : Some types of separable extension of rings, Nagoya Math. J., 33 (1968).
- [3] S. ELLIGER : Über Automorphismen und Derivationen von Ringen, J. reine angew. Math., 277 (1975), 155-177.
- [4] Y. KURATA : On the localization of modules (unpublished)
- [5] K. MORITA : Flat modules, injective modules and quotient rings, Math. Z., 120 (1971), 25-40.
- [6] B. STENSTRÖM : Rings of quotients, Springer, 1975.
- [7] K. SUGANO : Note on semisimple extensions and separable extensions, Osaka J. Math., 4 (1967), 265-270.
- [8] K. SUGANO : Separable extensions of quasi-Frobenius rings, Algebra-Berichte, 28 (1975), Munich.
- [9] K. SUGANO : H-separable extensions of simple rings, Proc. 16th Symposium on Ring Theory, Okayama, 1983, 13-20.
- [10] H. TOMINAGA and T. NAGAHARA : Galois Theory of Simple Rings, Okayama Lecture Notes, Okayama, 1970.