Commun. Math. Phys. 123, 529-534 (1989)

# A Note on the Diffusion of Directed Polymers in a Random Environment

Erwin Bolthausen

Fachbereich Mathematik, Technische Universität Berlin, D-1000 Berlin 12

Abstract. A simple martingale argument is presented which proves that directed polymers in random environments satisfy a central limit theorem for  $d \ge 3$  and if the disorder is small enough. This simplifies and extends an approach by J. Imbrie and T. Spencer.

Communications in Mathematical

**Physics** © Springer-Verlag 1989

### 1. Introduction

In a recent paper, Imbrie and Spencer [1] considered the following model of a random walk in a random environment. Let  $\xi(t)$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  be an ordinary symmetric random walk on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  starting in 0 and let h(t, x),  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , be i.i.d. random variables which are + or -1 with probability 1/2 and also independent of  $\xi$ . We denote by  $\langle \rangle$  the expectation with respect to  $\xi$  and by E(.) the expectation with respect to the *h*-variables. Let  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  be fixed and for  $T \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\kappa(T) = \prod_{j=1}^{T} (1 + \varepsilon h(j, \xi(j))) .$$

Imbrie and Spencer proved the following result by a rather elaborate expansion technique:

**Theorem 1.** If  $\varepsilon > 0$  is small enough and  $d \ge 3$ , then

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \langle |\xi(T)|^2 \kappa(T) \rangle / T \langle \kappa(T) \rangle = 1 \quad almost \ surely$$

(here | | is the Euclidean norm).

We give here a very simple proof based on martingale limit theorems. The result in [1] is somewhat stronger and includes also a convergence rate. Such rates can also be obtained by the method presented here. An inspection of the proof reveals that the convergence rate is  $O(T^{-\delta})$  almost surely for  $\delta < (d-2)/4$ . Theorem 1 is a special case of a more general result which includes the central limit theorem which seems to be new. Let  $\xi_1(T), \ldots, \xi_d(T)$  be the components of the random walk.

E. Bolthausen

**Theorem 2.** If  $\varepsilon > 0$  is small enough and  $d \ge 3$ , then for all  $n_1, \ldots, n_d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \left\langle \prod_{j=1}^{d} \left( \frac{\xi_j(T)}{\sqrt{T}} \right)^{n_j} \kappa(T) \right\rangle \left| \left\langle \kappa(T) \right\rangle = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \gamma(n_j) d^{-n_j/2} \quad almost \ surely$$

where  $\gamma(n) = 0$  if n is odd,  $\gamma(0) = 1$ , and  $\gamma(2k) = 1 \cdot 3 \cdot \ldots \cdot (2k-1)$ .

This implies a central limit theorem. For a given realisation of the *h* variables, we define the probability measure  $\mu_h^T$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  by

$$\mu_{h}^{T}(A) = \langle 1_{A}(\xi(T)/V/T)\kappa(T) \rangle / \langle \kappa(T) \rangle$$

Theorem 2 implies the

**Corollary.** For almost all h,  $\mu_h^T$  converges to the centered normal law with covariance matrix 1/d times the identity matrix.

## 2. Proof

Let **F**<sub>t</sub> be the  $\sigma$ -field generated by the variables h(s, x),  $s \leq t$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ .

**Lemma 1.**  $\langle \kappa(t) \rangle$  is a nonnegative (**F**<sub>t</sub>)-martingale satisfying  $E(\langle \kappa(t) \rangle) = 1$ .

*Proof.*  $E(\langle \kappa(t) \rangle) = 1$  is obvious and

$$E(\langle \kappa(t) \rangle | \mathbf{F}_{t-1}) = (2d)^{-t} \sum_{\substack{\omega: 0 \to \\ |\omega| = t}} E\left(\prod_{j=1}^{t} (1 + \varepsilon h(j, \omega(j))) | \mathbf{F}_{t-1}\right)$$
$$= (2d)^{-t+1} \sum_{\substack{\omega: 0 \to \\ |\omega| = t-1}} \prod_{j=1}^{t-1} (1 + \varepsilon h(j, \omega(j))) = \langle \kappa(t-1) \rangle$$

The summation is over nearest neighbor paths,  $\omega = (\omega(0), \omega(1), \dots, \omega(s))$ .  $\omega: 0 \rightarrow$  stands for  $\omega(0) = 0$ , and  $|\omega|$  is the length s.

**Lemma 2.**  $\langle \kappa(t) \rangle$  converges a.s. to a random variable  $\zeta$  satisfying

$$E(\zeta) = 1$$
 and  $P(\zeta = 0) = 0$ .

*Proof.*  $\langle \kappa(t) \rangle$  converges a.s. by the martingale limit theorem (see e.g. [2, Theorem II-2–9]), say to  $\zeta$ .

We consider two independent copies of the random walk  $\xi^{(1)}$ ,  $\xi^{(2)}$  with corresponding quantities

$$\kappa^{(i)}(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{t} (1 + \varepsilon h(j, \xi^{(i)}(j)))$$

The *h* variables remain independent of  $\xi^{(1)}$  and  $\xi^{(2)}$ . Then

$$E(\langle \kappa(t) \rangle^2) = E(\langle \kappa^{(1)}(t) \rangle \langle \kappa^{(2)}(t) \rangle) = E(\langle \kappa^{(1)}(t) \kappa^{(2)}(t) \rangle)$$
$$= \left\langle E\left(\prod_{j=1}^{t} (1 + \varepsilon h(j, \xi^{(1)}(j))) (1 + \varepsilon h(j, \xi^{(2)}(j)))\right) \right\rangle$$
$$= \left\langle (1 + \varepsilon^2)^{n_t(\xi^{(1)}, \xi^{(2)})} \right\rangle,$$

530

Directed Polynomials in Random Environment

where

$$n_t(\xi^{(1)},\xi^{(2)}) = \sum_{s=1}^t \mathbf{1}_{\xi^{(1)}(s) = \xi^{(2)}(s)} \leq n_\infty(\xi^{(1)},\xi^{(2)}) \ .$$

The law of  $n_{\infty}$  is the same as the number of visits of a single random walk to 0 (of course, not a single nearest neighbor random walk but nevertheless, one with symmetric jump distribution). A random walk in dimension  $d \ge 3$  has after every visit to 0 a positive probability of never returning to 0. Therefore,  $n_{\infty}$  has an exponential moment. So it follows that for small enough  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\sup_t E(\langle \kappa(t) \rangle^2) < \infty \quad .$$

We can conclude that  $\langle \kappa(t) \rangle$  converges to  $\zeta$  in  $L_2$  and  $L_1$  (see [2, Proposition IV-2–7]). Therefore,  $E(\zeta) = 1$  and from this, we see that  $P(\zeta = 0) \neq 1$ . It is easy to see that the event { $\zeta = 0$ } belongs to the tail field

$$\bigcap_t \sigma(h(s, x) : s \ge t, x \in \mathbf{Z}^d)$$

(although  $\zeta$  is certainly not tail measurable!). To see this, we write for T > t,

$$\langle \kappa(T) \rangle = (2d)^{-t} \sum_{x} \sum_{\substack{\omega: 0 \to x \\ |\omega| = t}} \prod_{s=1}^{t} (1 + \varepsilon h(s, \omega(s)))(2d)^{-T+t}$$
$$\cdot \sum_{\substack{\omega: x \to t \\ |\omega| = T-t}} \prod_{s=1}^{T-t} (1 + \varepsilon h(t + s, \omega(s))) ,$$

where the sum over x extends to those reachable from 0 in t steps. This converges to 0 for  $T \rightarrow \infty$  if and only if the second part converges to 0 for any x reachable from 0 in t steps. Therefore,  $\{\zeta = 0\}$  is a tail event and by Kolmogoroffs 0-1-law and from  $P(\zeta = 0) \neq 1$  it follows that  $P(\zeta = 0) = 0$ , proving the lemma.

We create now a whole family of new martingales. If  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , let

$$\varrho(\lambda) = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \cosh(\lambda_j)$$
.

It is well-known (and obvious) that

$$\exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j \xi_j(t) - t \log \varrho(\lambda)\right)$$

is a martingale with respect to the filtration of the random walk (no *h*-variables are involved). This remains true when  $\xi(t)$  is replaced by a more general *d*-dimensional random walk  $\sum_{j=1}^{t} X(j)$ , where X(j) are i.i.d. with  $\varrho(\lambda) = \langle \exp(\lambda \cdot X) \rangle < \infty$  for  $\lambda$  in a neighborhood of 0 in  $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ .

If  $n = (n_1, ..., n_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ , the polynomial  $W_n(t, x)$  is defined by

$$\frac{\partial^{|n|}}{\partial \lambda_1^{n_1} \dots \partial \lambda_d^{n_d}} \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j x_j - t \log \varrho(\lambda)\right)\Big|_{\lambda=0} ,$$

E. Bolthausen

where  $|n| = n_1 + n_2 + \ldots + n_d$ . We write

$$W_n(t,x) = \sum A_n(i_1,...,i_d,j) x_1^{i_1}...x_d^{i_d} t^j$$
.

The coefficients A depend on the derivatives of  $\log \rho$  in 0.

**Lemma 3.** For a general random walk with  $\varrho(\lambda) < \infty$  for  $\lambda$  in a neighborhood of 0 and  $\langle X(j) \rangle = 0$ , we have

a) if  $i_1 + \ldots + i_d + 2j > |n|$ , then  $A_n(i_1, \ldots, i_d, j) = 0$ .

b) The coefficients with  $i_1 + ... + i_d + 2j = |n|$  depend on the second derivatives of  $\log \varrho$  at 0.

c) If  $i_1 + \ldots + i_d = |n|$ , then  $A_n(i_1, \ldots, i_d, 0) = \delta_{i_1 n_1} \delta_{i_2 n_2} \ldots \delta_{i_d n_d}$ .

*Proof.* a) and c) are obvious and b) follows from the fact that  $\partial \varrho / \partial \lambda_j$  at  $\lambda = 0$  equals 0.

 $W_n(t, \xi(t))$  is a martingale for the filtration of the random walk, i.e.

$$\langle W_n(t,\xi(t))|\xi(s), s \leq t-1 \rangle = W_n(t-1,\xi(t-1))$$

Here  $\langle |\xi(s), s \leq t-1 \rangle$  denotes conditional expectation given the path up to time t-1. Coming back to our special symmetric random walk, it follows that

$$Y_n(t) = \langle W_n(t, \xi(t)) \kappa(t) \rangle$$

is a  $(\mathbf{F}_t)$ -martingale.

**Lemma 4.** If  $|n| \ge 1$  then

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{-|n|/2} Y_n(t) = 0 \quad almost \ surely \ .$$

*Proof.* We show that the martingale

$$\sum_{s=1}^{t} s^{-|n|/2} (Y_n(s) - Y_n(s-1))$$

remains  $L_2$ -bounded. From this, it follows that it converges almost surely and from the Kronecker-lemma Lemma 4 follows:

$$\begin{split} E(\langle W(t,\xi)\kappa(t) - W(t-1,\xi)\kappa(t-1)\rangle^2) &= E(\langle W(t,\xi)\varepsilon\kappa(t-1)h(t,\xi(t))\rangle^2) \\ &= E(\varepsilon^2 \langle W(t,\xi^{(1)})\kappa^{(1)}(t-1)h(t,\xi^{(2)}(t))W(t,\xi^{(2)})\kappa^{(2)}(t-1)h(t,\xi^{(2)}(t))\rangle) \ , \end{split}$$

where  $\xi^{(i)}$ ,  $\kappa^{(i)}$  are as in the proof of Lemma 2 and we drop the index *n* for convenience. The above expression equals

$$\varepsilon^{2} \langle W(t, \xi^{(1)}) W(t, \xi^{(2)}) (1 + \varepsilon^{2})^{n_{t-1}(\xi^{(1)}, \xi^{(2)})} \mathbf{1}_{\xi^{(1)}(t) = \xi^{(2)}(t)} \rangle$$
  

$$\leq \varepsilon^{2} \langle W(t, \xi)^{8} \rangle^{1/4} \langle (1 + \varepsilon^{2})^{8n_{\infty}(\xi^{(1)}, \xi^{(2)})} \rangle^{1/8} P(\xi^{(1)}(t) = \xi^{(2)}(t))^{3/4}$$
  

$$P(\xi^{(1)}(t) = \xi^{(2)}(t))^{3/4} \text{ is of order } (t^{-d/2})^{3/4} \leq t^{-9/8} \text{ and}$$

$$\langle (1+\varepsilon^2)^{8n_\infty} \rangle$$

is finite for small enough  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

532

Directed Polynomials in Random Environment

Therefore, in order to show that

$$\sup_{t} E\left(\sum_{s=1}^{t} s^{-|n|/2} (Y_{n}(s) - Y_{n}(s-1))\right)^{2} = \sup_{t} \sum_{s=1}^{t} s^{-|n|} E((Y_{n}(s) - Y_{n}(s-1))^{2}) < \infty ,$$

it suffices to prove

$$\langle W(t,\xi)^8 \rangle = 0(t^{4|n|})$$
.

This is obvious from Lemma 3a).

## **Proof of Theorem 2**

The theorem is a consequence of Lemma 2-4. By induction, it follows from Lemma 3a), 3c), and 4 that

$$\sup_{t} \left\langle \prod_{j=1}^{d} \left( \frac{\xi_{j}(t)}{\sqrt{t}} \right)^{n_{j}} \kappa(t) \right\rangle < \infty \quad \text{almost surely} \quad . \tag{2.1}$$

We introduce the polynomial  $U_n(t, x)$  by deleting from  $W_n$  all summands

 $A(i_1,\ldots,i_d,j)x_1^{i_1}\ldots x_d^{i_d}t^j$ 

with  $i_1 + \ldots + i_d + 2j < |n|$ . We conclude from (2.1) and Lemma 4 that for  $|n| \ge 1$ ,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{-|n|/2} \langle U_n(t,\xi(t))\kappa(t) \rangle = 0 \quad \text{almost surely} ,$$

i.e.

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \left\langle \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_d} A_n \left( i_1, \dots, i_d, \frac{|n| - i_1 - \dots - i_d}{2} \right) \left( \frac{\xi_1(t)}{\sqrt{t}} \right)^{i_1} \dots \left( \frac{\xi_d(t)}{\sqrt{t}} \right)^{i_d} \kappa(t) \right\rangle = 0$$
  
almost surely , (2.2)

where the sum extends over those  $i_1, \ldots, i_d$  with  $|n| - i_1 - \ldots - i_d \ge 0$  and even. Using Lemma 2, the theorem follows by induction. This can be seen by looking at

$$0 = \frac{\partial^{|n|}}{\partial \lambda_1^{n_1} \dots \partial \lambda_d^{n_d}} \left\langle \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j X_j - \frac{1}{2d} \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j^2\right) \right\rangle ,$$

where  $X_1, \ldots, X_d$  are i.i.d. normally distributed random variables with mean 0 and variance 1/d. Because of Lemma 3b) this gives

$$\left\langle \sum A_n \left( i_1, \ldots, i_d, \frac{|n| - i_1 - \ldots - i_d}{2} \right) X_1^{i_1} \ldots X_d^{i_d} \right\rangle = 0 \quad .$$

Comparing this with (2.2), the theorem follows.

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank J. Imbrie for sending me a preprint of their paper prior to publication.

## References

- 1. Imbrie, J.Z., Spencer, T.: Diffusions of directed polymers in a random environment. J. Stat. Phys. **52**, 609 (1988)
- 2. Neveu, J.: Discrete parameter martingales. Amsterdam: North-Holland 1975

Communicated by J. Fröhlich

Received June 20, 1988

## 534