

# Superconformal Current Algebras and Their Unitary Representations

Victor G. Kac and Ivan T. Todorov\*

Department of Mathematics, M.I.T., Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

**Abstract.** A natural supersymmetric extension  $(\widehat{dG})_\kappa$  is defined of the current (= affine Kac–Moody Lie) algebra  $\widehat{dG}$ ; it corresponds to a superconformal and chiral invariant 2-dimensional quantum field theory (QFT), and hence appears as an ingredient in superstring models. All unitary irreducible positive energy representations of  $(\widehat{dG})_\kappa$  are constructed. They extend to unitary representations of the semidirect sum  $S_\kappa(G)$  of  $(\widehat{dG})_\kappa$  with the superconformal algebra of Neveu–Schwarz, for  $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$ , or of Ramond, for  $\kappa = 0$ .

## 0. Introduction

The semidirect sum of the Virasoro algebra  $W_c$  and the algebra  $\widehat{dG}$  of left (or right) currents for a compact Lie group  $G$  arises naturally in both conformal invariant 2-dimensional QFT models [1–3] and in the general study of infinite dimensional Lie algebras [4–7] (see also [8, 9]). Its supersymmetric extension which is implicit in recent work on superstrings [10–12] also admits a local field interpretation (partly exploited in [13, 14] as a development of the QFT approach of [15]).

The objective of this note is two-fold: (a) to set a mathematical framework in which the supercurrent and string superalgebras arise naturally; (b) to classify all hermitian (= unitary) positive energy representations of these algebras. A remark is also included, concerning the unitarity of the discrete series of representations of the super Virasoro algebra (with central charge  $c < \frac{3}{2}$ ).

In the theory of infinite dimensional Lie algebras a chiral current algebra  $\widehat{dG}$  (called an *affine Kac–Moody algebra*) arises as a central extension of the *loop algebra*  $\widetilde{dG}$  generated by tensor products of elements of the finite dimensional Lie algebra  $dG$  with Laurent polynomials of a complex variable  $t$ . The supersymmetric extensions

---

\* On leave of absence from the Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy of the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, BG-1184 Sofia, Bulgaria

$(\widehat{dG})_\kappa$  discussed in this paper are obtained by simply adding a Grassmann variable  $\theta$  to the argument of the polynomials. We construct a “minimal representation” of the arising algebra which allows us to reduce the representation theory of  $(\widehat{dG})_\kappa$  (and of its super Virasoro extension  $S_\kappa(G)$ ) to the known classification of unitary highest weight irreducible representations (UHWIRs) of  $\widehat{dG}$ .

### 1. Superconformal Current Algebras

Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group and  $dG$  be its Lie algebra equipped with the (negative definite) Killing form  $(x, y)$ . The *super loop algebra*  $\widehat{dG}$  is defined as

$$\widetilde{dG} = dG \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}; \theta], \quad t \in \mathbb{C}^\times (= \{t \in \mathbb{C}; t \neq 0\}), \quad \theta^2 = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

regarded as an infinite Lie superalgebra with bracket

$$[x \otimes P(t, t^{-1}; \theta), \quad y \otimes Q(t, t^{-1}; \theta)] = [x, y] \otimes P(t, t^{-1}; \theta)Q(t, t^{-1}; \theta), \tag{1.2}$$

where  $P$  and  $Q$  are any (linear in  $\theta$ ) polynomials and  $[x, y]$  is the Lie bracket of  $dG$ . We introduce a  $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -gradation on  $\widetilde{dG}$  setting

$$\text{deg } dG = 0, \quad \text{deg } t = 1, \quad \text{deg } \theta = \kappa \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}; \tag{1.3}$$

the corresponding graded algebra will be denoted by  $(\widetilde{dG})_\kappa$ . The general even central extension  $(\widehat{dG})_\kappa$  of  $(\widetilde{dG})_\kappa$  is obtained by adding a cocycle

$$\psi(x \otimes P(t, t^{-1}; \theta), \quad y \otimes Q(t, t^{-1}; \theta)) = (x, y)f((dP)Q), \tag{1.4a}$$

to the right-hand side of (1.2), where  $f$  is a linear functional on 1-forms that vanishes on exact and on odd (in  $\theta$ ) forms:

$$f(P_0 dt - P_1 \theta d\theta + P_2 d\theta - P_3 \theta dt) = \oint_{|t|=1} (\alpha P_0 + \beta t^{2\kappa-1} P_1) \frac{dt}{2\pi i}, \tag{1.4b}$$

where  $P_k = P_k(t, t^{-1})$  are polynomials and we assume that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are positive numbers<sup>1</sup>. (The powers are chosen in such a way that  $\text{deg } \psi = 0$ .)

**Proposition 1.** *The most general graded odd and even differentiations  $D^\varepsilon(\varepsilon = 1, 0)$  satisfying*

$$D^\varepsilon f(\{d(P_0 + \theta P_1)\}Q) := f(\{dD^\varepsilon(P_0 + \theta P_1)\}Q) + f(\{d(P_0 + (-1)^\varepsilon \theta P_1)\}D^\varepsilon Q) = 0 \tag{1.5}$$

$(P_{0,1} = P_{0,1}(t, t^{-1}), \quad Q = Q_0(t, t^{-1}) + \theta Q_1(t, t^{-1}))$  are multiples of

$$D_{n+\kappa}^1 = t^n \left( \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} t^{2\kappa} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - t \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\beta \theta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right), \quad D_{n-\kappa}^1 = t^n \left( \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - t^{1-2\kappa} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right), \tag{1.6a}$$

$$D_n^0 = \frac{1}{2} [D_{n-\kappa}^1, D_\kappa^1]_+ = -t^n \left\{ t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \left( \frac{n}{2} + \kappa \right) \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right\} (n \in \mathbb{Z}). \tag{1.6b}$$

We shall sketch the *proof* for the odd generators. Setting  $D^1 = R_0 \theta (\partial/\partial t) +$

---

1 If  $\alpha\beta < 0$ , then the energy operator  $L_0$ , constructed below, would have negative spectrum

$R_1(\partial/\partial\theta)$  ( $R_{0,1} = R_{0,1}(t, t^{-1})$ ), we find

$$f\left(\left\{d\left(R_0\theta\frac{\partial P_0}{\partial t} + R_1P_1\right)\right\}(Q_0 + Q_1\theta) + \{d(P_0 - P_1\theta)\}\left(R_0\theta\frac{\partial Q_0}{\partial t} + R_1Q_1\right)\right) \\ = \oint\left(Q_1\frac{\partial P_0}{\partial t} - P_1\frac{\partial Q_0}{\partial t}\right)(\alpha R_1 + \beta t^{2\kappa-1}R_0)\frac{dt}{2\pi i} = 0.$$

Since  $P$  and  $Q$  are arbitrary, it follows that  $\alpha R_1 + \beta t^{2\kappa-1}R_0 = 0$ . A basis of homogeneous solutions of this equation is given by (1.6a).

**Corollary.** *The differential operators (1.6) play the role of superconformal generators, since they act on the 1-form*

$$\omega_\kappa = t^{2\kappa-1}dt - \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\theta d\theta \tag{1.7}$$

as a multiplication by a function:

$$D_{n+\kappa}^1\omega_\kappa = 0, \quad D_n^0\omega_\kappa = -(2\kappa + n)t^n\omega_\kappa. \tag{1.8}$$

With the change of variables  $\theta \rightarrow (\sqrt{\beta/\alpha})t^{[\kappa]}\theta$  ( $[\kappa]$  being the integer part of  $\kappa$ ) we can normalize the ratio  $\alpha/\beta$  in (1.6a) and (1.7) to 1 and reduce the class of graded superalgebras under consideration to two cases:  $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\kappa = 0$ . The *super Virasoro algebra*  $SV_\kappa$  is defined as the universal central extension of the algebra of differential operators (1.6). For  $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$  we have the *Neveu–Schwarz algebra* [16]; for  $\kappa = 0$  we obtain the *Ramond algebra* [17]. We denote the semidirect sum of the superalgebra,  $SV_\kappa$  and  $(\widehat{dG})_\kappa$  by  $S_\kappa(G)$ , and call it the *superconformal current algebra*.

*Remark.* We have derived the superalgebra  $S_\kappa(G)$  starting with the superaffine Lie algebra  $(\widehat{dG})_\kappa$  and looking for the most general (super-) differentiations that annihilate the cocycle (1.4). Alternatively, we could obtain  $S_\kappa(G)$  starting with the super Virasoro algebra  $SV_\kappa$  coupled to an extension of the ordinary (Bose) current algebra, determined from the super Jacobi identities.

## 2. A Graded Basis of Physical Generators of $S_\kappa(G)$

Let  $dG$  be a simple compact Lie algebra of dimension  $d_G$  with a basis  $x_a$  satisfying

$$(x_a, x_b) = -C_2\delta_{ab}, \quad [x_a, x_b] = f_{abc}x_c, \quad a, b, c = 1, \dots, d_G; \tag{2.1}$$

here  $C_2$  is the eigenvalue of the Casimir operator for the adjoint representation of  $G$ :

$$\left(\sum_{s=1}^{d_G}\sum_{t=1}^{d_G}\right)f_{sat}f_{sbt} = C_2\delta_{ab}(a, b = 1, \dots, d_G); \tag{2.2}$$

if  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  span an  $su(2)$  subalgebra, then  $f_{123} = 1$ . We define a graded “physical” basis of the super-extended Kac–Moody Lie algebra  $(\widehat{dG})_\kappa$  by

$$Q_n^a = ix_a \otimes t^n, \tag{2.3a}$$

$$h_{n+\kappa}^a = ix_a \otimes t^n\theta. \tag{2.3b}$$

At the price of a possible rescaling of  $\theta$  as indicated above, we can now write down the following commutation relations for the superalgebra  $S_\kappa(G)$ :

$$[h_{n+\kappa}^a, h_{m-\kappa}^b]_+ = \frac{\lambda}{2} \delta_{m+n} \delta_{ab} (\delta_l \equiv \delta_{l0}), \tag{2.4a}$$

$$[Q_n^a, h_{m+\kappa}^b] = if_{abc} h_{n+m+\kappa}^c, \tag{2.4b}$$

$$[Q_n^a, Q_m^b] = if_{abc} Q_{n+m}^c + \frac{\lambda}{2} n \delta_{n+m} \delta_{ab} \tag{2.4c}$$

(the coefficients  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in (1.4b) are related to the central charge  $\lambda$  by  $\alpha = \beta = \lambda/2C_2$ );

$$[h_{m+\kappa}^a, L_n] = \left(m + \kappa + \frac{n}{2}\right) h_{m+n+\kappa}^a, \tag{2.5a}$$

$$[Q_m^a, L_n] = m Q_{m+n}^a, \tag{2.5b}$$

$$[h_{m+\kappa}^a, G_{n-\kappa}]_+ = Q_{m+n}^a, \tag{2.5c}$$

$$[Q_m^a, G_{n+\kappa}] = m h_{m+n+\kappa}^a; \tag{2.5d}$$

$$[L_n, L_m] = (n - m) L_{n+m} + \frac{c}{12} n(n^2 - 1) \delta_{n+m}, \tag{2.6a}$$

$$[G_{m+\kappa}, L_n] = \left(m + \kappa - \frac{n}{2}\right) G_{m+n+\kappa}, \tag{2.6b}$$

$$[G_{m+\kappa}, G_{n-\kappa}]_+ = 2L_{m+n} + \frac{c}{3} \left\{ (\kappa + m)^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right\} \delta_{m+n}, \tag{2.6c}$$

$$m, n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots; \quad \kappa = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{1}{2}.$$

We notice that only for  $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$  does the algebra (2.6) contain the 5-dimensional superconformal algebra of the circle, generated by  $L_0, L_{\pm 1}$  and  $G_{\pm 1/2}$ .

The superconformal current algebra can be defined in a similar way for an abelian symmetry group  $G = U(1)$ . In general, it is the direct sum of various  $G$ -superalgebras with identified centres.

### 3. Field Theoretic Interpretation. Hermitian, Positive Energy Representations

Two-dimensional (conformally) compactified Minkowski space is the torus  $S^1 \times S^1(/Z_2)$ . The variables  $(z, w) \in S^1 \times S^1$  are related to the light-cone variables  $\xi = x^1 - x^0, \eta = x^1 + x^0$  by the inverse stereo-graphic projection

$$z = \frac{\xi + i}{1 + i\xi} \left( \xi = \frac{z - i}{1 - iz} \right) \text{ etc. } (\xi \in \mathbb{R} \Leftrightarrow |z| = 1). \tag{3.1}$$

The two independent components of the (conserved, symmetric, traceless) conformal stress-energy tensor,

$$T(z) = \frac{1}{2} \{ T_{10}(z, w) - T_{00}(z, w) \}, \tag{3.2a}$$

$$\bar{T}(w) = \frac{1}{2} \{ T_{10}(z, w) + T_{00}(z, w) \}, \tag{3.2b}$$

are related to the generators  $L_n$  and  $\bar{L}_n$  of two (commuting) copies of the Virasoro algebra by [18, 3]

$$T(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{L_n}{z^{n+2}}, \quad \bar{T}(w) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\bar{L}_n}{w^{n+2}}. \tag{3.3}$$

Similarly, the left conserved current has a Laurent expansion with coefficients  $Q_n^a$  (2.3a):

$$J_a(z) = \frac{1}{2}(J_a^0(z, w) + J_a^1(z, w)) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{Q_n^a}{z^{n+1}}. \tag{3.4}$$

The corresponding Fermi fields

$$G(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{G_{n+\kappa}}{z^{n+\kappa+3/2}}, \tag{3.5a}$$

$$H_a(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{h_{n+\kappa}^a}{z^{n+\kappa+1/2}} \tag{3.5b}$$

are single-valued on  $S^1$  in the Neveu–Schwarz case only. In the Ramond case (in which  $\kappa = 0$ , and hence  $G(e^{2\pi i}z) = -G(z)$  etc.) they can be regarded as (operator valued) functions on the double cover of the circle.

Introducing the odd (Fermi) superfield

$$F_a(z, \theta) = H_a(z) + \theta J_a(z)z^{1-2\kappa}, \tag{3.6}$$

We can now write down the superconformal ( $SV_\kappa$ -) transformation law (2.5) in the following compact form:

$$[F_a(z, \theta), L_n] = -z_n \left\{ z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{n}{2} + \kappa + \left( \frac{n}{2} + \kappa \right) \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right\} F_a(z, \theta), \tag{3.7a}$$

$$[F_a(z, \theta), G_{n+\kappa}]_+ = z^n \left\{ z^{2\kappa} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \theta \left( z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + n + 2\kappa \right) \right\} F_a(z, \theta). \tag{3.7b}$$

The hermiticity of the fields implies that for a *hermitian (unitary) representation* of  $S_\kappa(G)$  we should have

$$L_n^* = L_{-n}, \quad G_\rho^* = G_{-\rho}, \quad Q_n^* = Q_{-n}, \quad h_\rho^{a*} = h_{-\rho}^a. \tag{3.8}$$

*Energy positivity* means that the spectrum of  $L_0$  should be non-negative. It follows that there exists a “highest<sup>2</sup> weight” vector  $|hw\rangle$  such that, as a consequence of the commutation relations (2.5) and (2.6),

$$L_n |hw\rangle = 0 = Q_n^a |hw\rangle, \quad G_\rho |hw\rangle = 0 = h_\rho^a |hw\rangle \quad \text{for } n, \rho > 0. \tag{3.9}$$

#### 4. Minimal Unitary Highest Weight Representation of $S_\kappa(G)$

The classification of UHWIRs of  $(\widehat{dG})_\kappa$ , outlined below, uses in an essential way the “minimal representation” of the superconformal current algebra.

---

2 We stick to the common mathematical terminology. The term “lowest weight” was used in [3]

The minimal representation of the Lie superalgebra  $S_\kappa(G)$  is constructed in terms of a Fock space ( $\mathcal{F}$ ) realization of the infinite dimensional Clifford algebra (2.4a) (the algebra of the free Fermi field  $H_a(z)$  for  $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$ ) as follows. Let the central charge  $\lambda$  of  $d\widehat{G}$  be

$$\lambda = C_2 \left( = \frac{1}{d_G} \text{tr } \vec{T}^2, \text{ where } (T_{aI})^s = i f_{\text{sat}} \right) \tag{4.1}$$

( $\vec{T}^2 = \sum_{a=1}^{d_G} T_a^2$  standing for the Casimir invariant in the adjoint representation of  $dG$ —cf. (2.2).) We set

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n^a &= \frac{i}{C_2} f_{\text{sat}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} : h_{\kappa-m}^s h_{n+m-\kappa}^t : \\ &= \frac{i}{2C_2} f_{\text{sat}} \left( \sum_{m \geq 1} + \sum_{m \geq -n} \right) (h_{\kappa-m}^s h_{n+m-\kappa}^t - h_{\kappa-m}^t h_{n+m-\kappa}^s) \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

(the last equation serving as the definition of the normal product in the first line),

$$\begin{aligned} G_{n+\kappa} &= \frac{2}{3C_2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} : \vec{Q}_{-m} \vec{h}_{m+n+\kappa} : \\ &= \frac{1}{3C_2} \left( \sum_{m \geq 1} + \sum_{m \geq -n} \right) (\vec{Q}_{-m} \vec{h}_{m+n+\kappa} + \vec{h}_{\kappa-m} \vec{Q}_{m+n}) \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

( $\vec{Q}_\kappa \vec{h}_\rho = \sum_{s=1}^{d_G} Q_\kappa^s h_\rho^s$  is the AdG-invariant inner product). Finally,  $L_n$  is evaluated from (2.6):

$$\begin{aligned} L_n &= \frac{1}{2} [G_{n-\kappa}, G_\kappa]_+ = \frac{1}{6C_2} \left[ G_{n-\kappa}, \left( \sum_{m \geq 1} + \sum_{m \geq 0} \right) (\vec{Q}_{-m} \vec{h}_{m+\kappa} + \vec{h}_{\kappa-m} \vec{Q}_m) \right]_+ \\ &= \frac{1}{3C_2} \left\{ \left( \sum_{m \geq 1} + \sum_{m \geq -n} \right) \vec{Q}_{-m} \vec{Q}_{m+n} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left( \sum_{m \geq 2\kappa} m + \sum_{m \geq 2\kappa-n} (m+n-2\kappa) \right) \vec{h}_{\kappa-m} \vec{h}_{n+m-\kappa} \right\} \text{ for } n \neq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{4.4a}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 &= \frac{1}{2} [L_1, L_{-1}] = \frac{1}{3C_2} \left\{ \vec{Q}_0^2 + 2 \sum_{m \geq 1} (\vec{Q}_{-m} \vec{Q}_m + (m-\kappa) \vec{h}_{\kappa-m} \vec{h}_{m-\kappa}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\frac{1}{2} - \kappa)^2 \vec{h}_{-\kappa} \vec{h}_\kappa \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4b}$$

**Proposition 2.** The canonical anticommutation relations (CARs) (2.4a) (with  $\lambda = C_2$ ) and Eqs. (4.2–4) imply the supercommutation relations (2.4–6) with central charges

$$\lambda = C_2, \quad c = \frac{d_G}{2}. \tag{4.5}$$

The *proof* of this statement is straightforward. For instance, having verified (2.4), we find:

$$\begin{aligned}
 [Q_m^a, G_\rho] &= \frac{m}{3} h_{m+\rho}^a + \frac{2i}{3C_2} f_{abc} \sum_{k=1}^m [Q_{m-k}^c, h_{\rho+k}^b] \\
 &= \frac{m}{3} \left( h_{m+\rho}^a + \frac{2}{C_2} f_{abc} f_{bcs} h_{m+\rho}^s \right) = m h_{m+\rho}^a.
 \end{aligned}$$

The minimal UHWIR of  $S_\kappa(G)$  is thus defined by the corresponding CAR representation, which has different characteristics for  $\kappa = 0$  and  $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$ . For  $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$  we have the standard Fock representation of (2.4a) with vacuum vector  $|0\rangle$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_\rho^a |0\rangle &= 0 \quad \text{for } \rho \geq \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{so that } Q_n^a |0\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } n \geq 0, \\
 L_n |0\rangle &= 0 \quad \text{for } n \geq -1.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

For  $\kappa = 0$  we define a Ramond-type highest weight vector  $|R(G)\rangle$  satisfying

$$h_n^a |R(G)\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } n \geq 1, \quad \vec{z} \vec{h}_0 |R(G)\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } z \in Z_-, \tag{4.7}$$

where  $Z_-$  is a fixed maximal ( $[d_G/2]$ -dimensional) isotropic subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^{d_G}$  that is closed under the skew vector multiplication  $(\vec{z}_1 \wedge \vec{z}_2)_c = f_{abc} z_1^b z_2^c$  and gives rise to a subalgebra of  $dG$  of elements  $\{\vec{z} \vec{q}_0, z \in Z_-\}$  which contains all “raising operators” (for a given Cartan basis). The linear span of the vectors  $h_0^a \dots h_0^{a_n} |R(G)\rangle (0 \leq n \leq d_G)$  is the representation space for the  $2^{\lfloor d_G/2 \rfloor}$ -dimensional irreducible representation of the Clifford algebra of  $O(d_G)$ . It carries a representation of  $G$  of highest weight  $[1, \dots, 1]$  (see, e.g. [6]) and multiplicity  $m_R = 2^{1/2 d_G - n_+}$ , where  $n_+$  is the number of positive roots of  $dG$  ( $n_+ = \frac{1}{2} N(N-1)$  for  $G = \text{SU}(N)$ ; the representation of  $G$  is irreducible, i.e.,  $m_R = 1$ , for  $G = \text{SU}(2)$  only). Unlike the vacuum, the vector  $|R(G)\rangle$  is neither  $G$ -nor  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ -invariant, its conformal weight being

$$\Delta_{R(G)} = \frac{C_2[1, \dots, 1]}{3C_2} + \frac{d_G}{48} = \frac{d_G}{16}, \quad ((L_0 - \Delta_{R(G)}) |R(G)\rangle = 0), \tag{4.8}$$

where we have used the identity  $C_2[1, \dots, 1] = C_2 d_G / 8$ .

*Remark.* Whenever the vectors  $Q_0^a |hw\rangle$  span irreducible representation of  $G$  (i.e. for  $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$ , or for  $\kappa = 0$  and  $G = \text{SU}(2)$ ) the following identity holds for the generators (4.4) of the Virasoro subalgebra:

$$L_n = \frac{1}{2C_2} \left( \sum_{m \geq 1} + \sum_{m \geq -n} \right) \vec{Q}_{-m} \vec{Q}_{n+m} \tag{4.9a}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2C_2} \left( \sum_{m \geq 2\kappa} m + \sum_{m \geq 2\kappa - n} (m + n - 2\kappa) \right) \vec{h}_{\kappa - m} \vec{h}_{n + m - \kappa} + \frac{\delta_{n,0}}{C_2} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \kappa \right)^2 \vec{h}_{-\kappa} \vec{h}_\kappa. \tag{4.9b}$$

We notice that Eq. (4.9a) is a graded (discrete-) basis counterpart of the Sugawara formula [19]  $T(z) = 1/2C_2 :J^2(z):$

### 5. Arbitrary UHWIRs of $(\widehat{dG})_\kappa$ and $S_\kappa(G)$

We shall distinguish in this section the generators (4.2–4) of the minimal representation of  $S_\kappa(G)$  by a superscript  $^\circ$ . The following observation is similar to

one made by Goddard and Olive [8] (in the context of the Sugawara realization of  $L_n$ ).

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\tilde{Q}_n^a$  and  $\tilde{h}_\rho^a$  be the operators of an arbitrary representation of  $(\widehat{dG})_\kappa$ . Then the differences*

$$q_n^a = \tilde{Q}_n^a - \hat{Q}_n^a, \text{ where } \hat{Q}_n^a = \frac{i}{C_2} f_{\text{sat}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} : \tilde{h}_{\kappa-m}^s \tilde{h}_{m+n-\kappa}^t :, \tag{5.1}$$

*commute with  $\tilde{h}_\rho^a$  and satisfy the Kac–Moody commutation relations (2.4c):*

$$[q_n^a, \tilde{h}_\rho^b] = 0, \quad [q_n^a, q_m^b] = i f_{abc} q_{n+m}^c + \frac{n}{2} \lambda(q) \delta_{n+m} \delta_{ab}. \tag{5.2}$$

The proof is an immediate consequence of (2.4) and of the commutation relations

$$[\tilde{Q}_n^a, \tilde{h}_\rho^b] = [\hat{Q}_n^a, \tilde{h}_\rho^b] = i f_{abc} \tilde{h}_{n+\rho}^c. \tag{5.3}$$

The classification of UHWIRs of both  $(\widehat{dG})_\kappa$  and  $S_\kappa(G)$  is given by the following result.

**Theorem 4.** *Given an UHWIR of the affine Kac–Moody algebra  $\widehat{dG}$  generated by the operators  $q_n^a$  acting in a Hilbert space  $V_{[\mu]}$  of highest weight vector  $|\mu\rangle$ ,  $[\mu] = [\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r]$  ( $r = \text{rank } G$ ) and central charge  $\lambda(q)$ , such that*

$$q_n^a |\mu\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } n \geq 1, \quad \bar{q}_0^2 |\mu\rangle = C_2 [\mu] |\mu\rangle, \tag{5.4}$$

*the operators*

$$h_\rho^a = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda(q) + C_2}{C_2}} \tilde{h}_\rho^a, \quad Q_n^a = \hat{Q}_n^a + q_n^a \tag{5.5}$$

*give rise to an UHWIR of  $(\widehat{dG})_\kappa$  on  $\mathcal{F}_\kappa \otimes V_{[\mu]}$ , which extends to  $S_\kappa(G)$  by*

$$G_{n+\kappa} = \frac{1}{C_2 + \lambda(q)} \left( \sum_{m \geq 1} + \sum_{m \geq -n} \right) \{ (\frac{1}{3} \hat{Q} + \bar{q})_{-m} \bar{h}_{m+n+\kappa} + \bar{h}_{\kappa-m} (\frac{1}{3} \hat{Q} + \bar{q})_{m+n} \}, \tag{5.6}$$

$$L_n = \frac{1}{2} [G_{n-\kappa}, G_\kappa]_+ \quad \text{for } n \neq 0, \quad L_0 = \frac{1}{2} [L_1, L_{-1}]. \tag{5.7}$$

The central charges are

$$\lambda = \lambda(q) + C_2, \quad c = \frac{d_G C_2 + 3\lambda(q)}{2 C_2 + \lambda(q)} = \frac{d_G}{2} + \frac{\lambda(q) d_G}{C_2 + \lambda(q)}; \tag{5.8}$$

the highest weights depend on  $\kappa$ :

$$(\mu_0 + C_2), \mu_1, \dots, \mu_r; \Delta_{[\mu]} (= \min L_0) = \frac{C_2 [\mu]}{C_2 + \lambda(q)} \quad \text{for } \kappa = \frac{1}{2}, \tag{5.9a}$$

$$(\mu_0 + 1), \mu_1 + 1, \dots, \mu_r + 1; \Delta_{[\mu]} = \frac{d_G}{16} + \frac{C_2 [\mu]}{C_2 + \lambda(q)} \quad \text{for } \kappa = 0. \tag{5.9b}$$

All the UHWIRs of  $(\widehat{dG})_\kappa$  and  $S_\kappa(G)$  are constructed in this way.

*Proof.* The fact that the operators (5.5) generate an UHWIR of  $\widehat{dG}$  follows from

Proposition 2 and Lemma 3. The commutation relations (2.5d) are implied by the following corollaries of (2.4):

$$[q_m^a, G_{n+\kappa}] = \frac{m\lambda(q)}{C_2 + \lambda(q)} h_{m+n+\kappa}^a + \frac{if_{abc}}{C_2 + \lambda(q)} \left( \sum_{k \geq 1} + \sum_{k \geq -n} \right) \cdot (q_{m-k}^c h_{n+k+\kappa}^b + h_{\kappa-k}^b q_{m+n+k}^c) \tag{5.10a}$$

$$[\hat{Q}_m^a, G_{n+\kappa}] = \frac{mC_2}{C_2 + \lambda(q)} h_{m+n+\kappa}^a + \frac{if_{abc}}{C_2 + \lambda(q)} \left( \sum_{k \geq 1} + \sum_{k \geq -n} \right) \cdot (q_{-k}^b h_{n+m+k+\kappa}^c + h_{m+\kappa-k}^c q_{n+k}^b), \tag{5.10b}$$

which are also used in deriving (5.9). The properties of the Virasoro generators (5.7) are a consequence of (2.5c, d) and of the super Jacobi identities. The fact that we get all the UHWIRs of  $(\widehat{dG})_\kappa$  follows from Lemma 3.

*Remarks.* A. If an integrable UHWIR of the affine Kac–Moody algebra (with generators  $q_n^a$ ) is given by its (generalized) highest weight [5, 20]  $(\hat{\mu}) = (\mu_0, \dots, \mu_r)$ , where all  $\mu_\nu$  are non-negative integers, then its central charge is

$$(\lambda(q) = )\lambda(\hat{\mu}) = \mu_0 + a_1^v \mu_1 + \dots + a_r^v \mu_r, \tag{5.11}$$

where the positive integers  $a_i^v$  are the coefficients of the expansion of the highest short root into simple roots (for  $SU(N)$ ,  $a_i^v = 1, i = 1, \dots, N - 1$ ; for  $E_8$ ,  $a_i^v = i + 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 5, a_6^v = 4, a_7^v = 2, a_8^v = 3$  see [5], Chapters 4, 6). The dual Coxeter number  $C_2$  of Eqs. (2.2) (4.1) is given by

$$C_2 = 1 + a_1^v + \dots + a_r^v, \text{ so that } C_2[SU(N)] = N, \quad C_2[E_8] = 30 \tag{5.12}$$

(see Exercise 6.2 of [5] where the label  $g$  is used instead of  $C_2$  ).

B. For  $G = SU(2)$  Eq. (4.5) gives the lower limit of the continuous spectrum ( $c \geq \frac{3}{2}$ ) of UHWIRs of the Neveu–Schwarz super-algebra found in [21].

### 6. Discrete series of UHWIRs of $SV_\kappa$

As a further application of Theorem 4 we shall prove the unitarity of the discrete series of positive energy representations of the super Virasoro algebra with central charge [14]

$$c_m = \frac{3}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{8}{(m+2)(m+4)} \right) \text{ for } m = 2, 3, \dots, \tag{6.1}$$

using a construction of Goddard–Kent–Olive [9]. We take  $G = SU(2) \times SU(2)$  and consider the UHWIR

$$(\mu_0 = m - 2I, \mu_1 = 2I) \oplus (2, 0) \quad (2I = 0, 1, \dots, m - 2; m \geq 2) \text{ if } \kappa = \frac{1}{2} \tag{6.2}$$

of the super-algebras

$$(\widehat{dG})_\kappa = (\widehat{su(2)})_\kappa \oplus (\widehat{su(2)})_\kappa \text{ and } S_\kappa(G) = S_\kappa(SU(2)) \oplus S_\kappa(SU(2)). \tag{6.3}$$

According to (5.8) and (5.11) the values of the central charges for this representation

are

$$\lambda_G = m + 2, \quad c_G = \frac{3m}{m+2} + \frac{3}{2}. \quad (6.4)$$

On the other hand, for the diagonal  $SU(2)$ -subgroup,  $H = SU(2)_{\text{diag}}$ , we have

$$\lambda_H = \lambda_G = m + 2, \quad c_H = \frac{d_H \lambda_H}{\lambda_H + C_2} = \frac{3(m+2)}{m+4}. \quad (6.5)$$

An analogue of Lemma 3, established in [8], says that the differences

$$l_n = L_n(G) - L_n(H) \quad (6.6)$$

satisfy the commutation relations (2.6a) with

$$c = c_G - c_H = \frac{3}{2} - \frac{12}{(m+2)(m+4)} = c_m. \quad (6.7)$$

Since  $L_n(G)$  and  $L_n(H)$  correspond to hermitian representations of the Virasoro algebra realized in the same Hilbert space, then the same is true for  $l_n$ . This completes the proof of the above statement.

There also exist unitary representations of  $SV_\kappa$  with central charge  $c_1 = \frac{7}{10}$ , but the proof of this fact requires a different argument.

*Acknowledgements.* V. Kac acknowledges partial support by NSF grant MCS-8203739. I Todorov thanks Professor I. E. Segal for his kind hospitality in M.I.T.; financial support from the Department of Mathematics under NSF grant DMS-8303304 and from the Center for Theoretical Physics, Laboratory for Nuclear Science and Department of Physics through funds provided by the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC02-76ER03069 is also gratefully acknowledged.

## References

1. Witten, E.: Non-abelian bosonization in two dimensions. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **92**, 455–472 (1984)
2. Knizhnik, V. G., Zamolodchikov, A. B.: Current algebra and Wess–Zumino model in two dimensions. *Nucl. Phys.* **B247**, 83–103 (1984)
3. Todorov, I. T.: Current algebra approach to conformal invariant two-dimensional models. *Phys. Lett.* **153B**, 77–81 (1985); Infinite Lie algebras in 2-dimensional conformal field theory, ISAS Trieste lecture notes 2/85/E.P.
4. Kac, V. G.: Contravariant form for infinite dimensional Lie algebras and superalgebras. *Lecture Notes in Physics, Heidelberg, New York*, **94** Berlin: Springer, 1979 pp. 441–445; Frenkel, I. B., Kac, V. G.: Basic representations of affine Lie algebras and dual resonance models. *Invent. Math.* **62**, 23–66 (1980); Frenkel, I. B.: Two constructions of affine Lie algebra representations and Boson–Fermion correspondence in quantum field theory. *J. Funct. Anal.* **44**, 259–327 (1981)
5. Kac, V. G.: *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras: An introduction*, Boston: Birkhäuser 1983
6. Kac, V. G., Peterson, D. H.: Spin and wedge representations of infinite dimensional Lie algebras and groups. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **78**, 3308–3312 (1981)
7. Kac, V. G., Peterson, D. H.: Infinite dimensional Lie algebras, theta functions and modular forms. *Adv. Math.* **53**, 125–264 (1984); Goodman, R., Wallach, N. R.: Structure and unitary cocycle representations of loop groups and the group of diffeomorphisms of the circle. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **347**, 69–133 (1984), Erratum, *ibid.* **352**, 220 (1984)
8. Goddard, P., Olive, D.: Kac–Moody algebras, conformal symmetry and critical exponents, *Nucl. Phys.* **B257** [FS 14], 226–240 (1985)

9. Goddard, P., Kent, A., Olive, D.: Virasoro algebras and coset space models. *Phys. Lett.* **152B**, 88–93 (1985)
10. Green, M. B., Schwarz, J. H.: Anomaly cancellation in supersymmetric  $D = 10$  gauge theory and superstring theory. *Phys. Lett.* **149B**, 117–122 (1984); Infinity cancellations in  $SO(32)$  superstring theory. *Phys. Lett.* **151B**, 21–25 (1984)
11. Witten, E.: Some properties of  $O(32)$  superstrings. *Phys. Lett.* **149B**, 351–356 (1984)
12. Gross, D. J., Harvey, J. A., Martinec, E., Rohm, R.: Heterotic string. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **54**, 502–505 (1985); Heterotic string theory I. The free heterotic string. Princeton: Princeton University Press, preprint (1985)
13. Eichenherr, H.: Minimal operator algebras in superconformal quantum field theory. *Phys. Lett.* **151B**, 26–30 (1985); Bershadsky, M. A., Knizhnik, V. G., Teitelman, M. G.: Superconformal symmetry in two dimensions. *Phys. Lett.* **151B**, 31–36 (1985)
14. Friedan, D., Qiu, Z., Shenker, S.: Superconformal invariance in two dimensions and the tricritical Ising model. *Phys. Lett.* **151B**, 37–43 (1985)
15. Belavin, A. A., Polyakov, A. M., Zamolodchikov, A. B.: Infinite conformal symmetry in two-dimensional quantum field theory. *Nucl. Phys.* **B241**, 333–380 (1984); Infinite conformal symmetry of critical fluctuations in two dimensions. *J. Stat. Phys.* **34**, 763–774 (1984)
16. Neveu, A., Schwarz, J. H.: Factorizable dual model of pions. *Nucl. Phys.* **B31**, 86–112 (1971)
17. Ramond, P.: Dual theory for free fermions. *Phys. Rev.* **D3**, 2415–2418 (1971)
18. Ferrara, S., Gatto, R., Grillo, A.: Conformal algebra in two-space time dimensions and the Thirring model. *Nuovo Cim.* **12A**, 959–968 (1972); Mansuri, F., Nambu, Y.: Gauge conditions in dual resonance models. *Phys. Lett.* **39B**, 375–378 (1972); Fubini, S., Hanson, A., Jackiw, R.: New approach to field theory. *Phys. Rev.* **D7**, 1732–1760 (1973); Lüscher, M., Mack, G.: The energy momentum tensor of critical quantum field theory in  $1 + 1$  dimensions (Hamburg 1975) (unpublished)
19. Sugawara, H.: A field theory of currents. *Phys. Rev.* **170**, 1659–1662 (1968); Sommerfield, C.: Currents as dynamical variables. *Phys. Rev.* **176**, 2019–2025 (1968); Coleman, S., Gross, D., Jackiw, R.: Fermion avatars of the Sugawara model. *Phys. Rev.* **180**, 1359–1366 (1969); Bardakci, K., Halpern, M.: New dual quark models. *Phys. Rev.* **D3**, 2493–2506 (1971)
20. Kac, V. G.: Infinite dimensional Lie algebras and Dedekind's  $\eta$ -function. *Funkts. Anal. Prilozh.* **8**, 77–78 (1974) [Engl. transl: *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **8**, 68–70 (1974)]; Garland, H.: The arithmetic theory of loop algebras. *J. Algebra* **53**, 480–551 (1978); Kac, V. G., Peterson, D. H.: Unitary structure in representations of infinite-dimensional groups and a convexity theorem. *Invent. Math.* **76**, 1–14 (1984)
21. Kac, V. G.: Some problems on infinite-dimensional Lie algebras and their representations. In: *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Vol. **933**, pp. 117–126, Heidelberg, New York, Berlin: Springer 1982

Communicated by A. Jaffe

Received May 2, 1985

**Note added in proof.** In a recent paper by Di Vecchia et al., “A supersymmetric Wess–Zumino Lagrangian in two dimensions”, *Nucl. Phys.* **B253**, 701–726 (1985) (which appeared after our paper has been accepted for publication) it is shown that a supersymmetric Wess–Zumino Lagrangian in  $1 + 1$  dimensions gives rise to the superalgebra  $S_{1/2}(G)$ .

