

Existence of periodic solutions for a nonautonomous differential equation

Anderson Luis Albuquerque de Araujo
Ricardo Miranda Martins

Abstract

We consider the nonautonomous differential equation of second order $x'' + a(t)x - b(t)x^l + c(t)x^{2k+1} = 0$, where $a(t), b(t), c(t)$ are T -periodic functions and $2 \leq l < 2k + 1$. This is a generalization of a biomathematical model of an aneurysm in the circle of Willis. We prove the existence of a T -periodic solution for this equation, using a saddle-point theorem.

1 Introduction

We consider the nonautonomous differential equation

$$x'' + a(t)x - b(t)x^l + c(t)x^{2k+1} = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $2 \leq l < 2k + 1$ and $a(t), b(t), c(t)$ are T -periodic functions, subject to the constraints $0 < a \leq a(t) \leq A, 0 < c \leq c(t) \leq C$ and $|b(t)| \leq B$, for $a, A, b, B, C > 0$. Equation (1) comes from biomathematics, see for example [1].

The existence of periodic solutions to (1) for $(k, l) = (3, 2)$ was previously considered in [2], [3] and [4], using different methods. Here we aim in the general case adopting methods similar to these in [3], basically a saddle point theorem due to Silva ([5]). The key point in the generalization of the results in [3] for arbitrary l and k is the proof of the existence of real positive zeroes for a $2k$ degree polynomial.

In this paper we prove the existence of a nontrivial T -periodic solution for (1) using a saddle point theorem, under some specific assumptions on a, A, c, C, B to be given latter. For simplicity, we fix $T = 2\pi$.

Received by the editors May 2011.

Communicated by J. Mawhin.

2 Description of the main result

The starting point is to associate weak solutions of (1) with critical points of a functional. If $F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is a function of class C^2 , $I : C^1[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$I(x) = \int_a^b F(x(t), x'(t), t) dt,$$

and I has an extremum at $x_0 \in S$, then x_0 satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha}(x_0(t), x'_0(t), t) - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \beta}(x_0(t), x'_0(t), t) = 0,$$

for $t \in [a, b]$.

Now we recall the Palais-Smale (PS) condition. Let H be a Hilbert space. A differentiable functional $J \in C^1(H, \mathbb{R})$ is said to satisfy the (PS) condition if every sequence $(x_n)_n$ in H such that $(J(x_n))_n$ is bounded and $J'(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ in H has a convergent subsequence in H .

The following saddle-point theorem is due to Silva ([5]).

Theorem 1. Let $E = X_1 \oplus X_2$ a real Banach space, with X_1 finite dimensional. Suppose $f \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ with

(i) $f(x) \leq 0$, for $x \in X_1$,

(ii) $f(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in X_2$ with $\|x\| = \rho$, for some $\rho > 0$,

(iii) $f(x) \leq \beta$ for $x = x_1 + \lambda \zeta \in X_1 \oplus \langle \zeta \rangle$, for some unitary $\zeta \in X_2$ and $\beta > 0$, with $\lambda > 0$.

If f satisfies (PS) condition, then f has a nonzero critical point in E .

Now we present a criteria on the existence of positive zeroes for a polynomial. For a proof, see [6].

Theorem 2. Let $p(t) = a_0 + a_1 t + \dots + a_m x^m$ be a polynomial. Consider the sequence $\bar{p} = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m)$. Then the number of positive roots of p does not exceed the number of the changes of sign in the sequence \bar{p} .

Our main theorem is

Theorem 3. Consider $l, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $2 \leq l < 2k + 1$ and let $a(t), b(t), c(t)$ be measurable 2π -periodic functions with $|b(t)| \leq B$, $0 < c \leq c(t) \leq C$, $m^2 < a \leq a(t) \leq A < (m + 1)^2$, $\frac{c(l + 1)}{B(2k + 2)} > 1$ and $\frac{(a - m^2)(l + 1)}{2B} > 1$ for some integer $m \geq 0$. Then the equation

$$x'' + a(t)x - b(t)x^l + c(t)x^{2k+1} = 0$$

has a nontrivial 2π periodic solution.

Example 4. Consider equation (1) with $a(t) = 6 + \sin(t)$, $b(t) = \cos(t)$, $c(t) = 2k + 3 + \sin(t)$ and $m = 2$. Define $a = 5$, $A = 7$, $B = 1$, $c = 2k + 2$ and $C = 2k + 4$. As $l \geq 2$, Theorem 3 guarantees that the equation

$$x'' + (6 + \sin(t))x - \cos(t)x^l + (2k + 3 + \sin(t))x^{2k+1} = 0$$

has a nontrivial 2π -periodic solution, for every $2 \leq l < 2k + 1$.

3 Proof of Theorem 3

Let us denote

$$E = H^1(0, 2\pi) = \left\{ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k e^{ikt}; c_{-k} = \overline{c_k}, \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + k^2) c_k^2 < \infty \right\}$$

normed with

$$\|x\|^2 = \int_0^{2\pi} (x'^2 + x^2) dx.$$

Note that for $x = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k e^{ikt} \in E$ we have that

$$\|x\|^2 = 2\pi \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + k^2) c_k^2.$$

Consider

$$F(x, x', t) = \frac{1}{2} x'^2 - \frac{1}{2} a(t) x^2 + \frac{1}{l+1} b(t) x^{l+1} - \frac{1}{2k+2} c(t) x^{2k+2}.$$

Recall that critical points of

$$f(x) = \int_0^{2\pi} F(x, x', t) dt$$

are weak solutions of

$$x'' + a(t)x - b(t)x^l + c(t)x^{2k+1} = 0.$$

Consider

$$X_1 = \{x \in E; x = \sum_{k \leq m^2} c_k e^{ikt}\}$$

and

$$X_2 = \{x \in E; x = \sum_{k \geq (m+1)^2} c_k e^{ikt}\}.$$

As we want to use Theorem 1, we shall estimate $f(x)$ for $x \in X_1$ and for $x \in X_2$.

Let $x \in X_1$.

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &\leq \pi \sum_{k^2 \leq m^2} c_k^2 (k^2 - a) + \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{l+1} b(t) x^{l+1} - \frac{1}{2k+2} c(t) x^{2k+2} \right) dx \\ &\leq \pi (m^2 - a) \sum_{k^2 \leq m^2} c_k^2 + \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{l+1} B |x|^{l+1} - \frac{1}{2k+2} c x^{2k+2} \right) dt \quad (2) \\ &\leq \int_0^{2\pi} x^2 \left(\frac{m^2 - a}{2} + \frac{1}{l+1} B |x|^{l-1} - \frac{1}{2k+2} c |x|^{2k} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Let $q(x) = \frac{m^2 - a}{2} + \frac{1}{l+1} B |x|^{l-1} - \frac{1}{2k+2} c |x|^{2k}$. The hypotheses of Theorem 3 guarantees that $q(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in X_1$, so the last integral in (2) is negative and the first item of Theorem 1 is true.

Now let $x \in X_2$.

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &\geq \pi \sum_{k^2 \geq (m+1)^2} c_k^2(k^2 - A) + \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{l+1} b(t)x^{l+1} - \frac{1}{2k+2} c(t)x^{2k+2} \right) dt \\ &\geq \int_0^{2\pi} x^2 \left(\frac{(m+1)^2 - A}{2} - \frac{1}{l+1} B|x|^{l-1} - \frac{1}{2k+2} C|x|^{2k} \right) dt \end{aligned}$$

To verify (ii) in Theorem 1, we have to find $\rho > 0$ such that $f(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in X_2$ and $\|x\| \leq \rho$. This is equivalent to find a positive solution of $\Delta(x) = 0$, where

$$\Delta(x) = \frac{(m+1)^2 - A}{2} - \frac{1}{l+1} Bx^{l-1} - \frac{1}{2k+2} Cx^{2k}.$$

The next lemma applied to the polynomial $\Delta(x)$ guarantee that it has a positive root.

Lemma 5. *A polynomial $p(x) = p_0 - p_1x^{l-1} - p_2x^{2k}$, with $p_0, p_1, p_2 > 0$ and $l < 2k + 1$, always has a unique positive root.*

Proof. As we have just one change of sign in the sequence of the coefficients of p , follows from Theorem 2 that p has at most one positive real root. As $p(0) > 0$ and $\lim_{y \rightarrow +\infty} p(y) = -\infty$, p has exactly one real root. ■

Now we check item (iii) of Theorem 1. Consider

$$\zeta(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(1+(m+2)^2)}} \cos(m+2)x.$$

Note that $\zeta(x) \in X_2$. Also observe that $\|\zeta\| = 1$. If $\bar{x} = \sum_{k^2 \leq m^2} c_k e^{ikt} + \lambda \zeta$, with $\lambda \geq 0$, then, by the equivalence of the p -norms in the finite dimensional space $X_1 \oplus \langle \zeta \rangle$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} f(\bar{x}) &\leq \pi t^2(m+1)^2 + \frac{B}{l+1} \int_0^{2\pi} |\bar{x}|^{l+1} dt - \frac{c}{2k+2} \int_0^{2\pi} \bar{x}^{2k+2} dt \\ &\leq \frac{(m+1)^2}{2} \|\bar{x}\|_2^2 + \frac{B}{l+1} \|\bar{x}\|_{l+1}^{l+1} - \frac{c}{2k+2} \|u\|_{2k+2}^{2k+2} \\ &\leq \frac{(m+1)^2}{2} \|\bar{x}\|_2^2 + \delta_1 \frac{B}{l+1} \|\bar{x}\|_2^{l+1} - \delta_2 \frac{c}{2k+2} \|\bar{x}\|_2^{2k+2}, \end{aligned}$$

with $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$. Put $\varphi(t) = \frac{(m+1)^2}{2} t^2 + \delta_1 \frac{B}{l+1} t^{l+1} - \delta_2 \frac{c}{2k+2} t^{2k+2}$. As $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \varphi(t) = -\infty$, φ is bounded from above by a constant $\beta > 0$, so $f(\bar{x}) \leq \beta$ and item (iii) of Theorem 1 is verified.

Now it rests to check (PS) condition. Denote $g(t, x) = c(t)x^{2k+1} - b(t)x^l$. Consider $G(t, x) = \int_0^x g(t, y) dy$. Take $\theta = l + 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \theta G(t, x) &= \frac{l+1}{2k+2} c(t)x^{2k+2} - b(t)x^{l+1} \\ &\leq c(t)x^{2k+2} - b(t)x^{l+1} \\ &= xg(t, x). \end{aligned}$$

Note also that $G(t, x) > 0$ for larger values of x . This shows that g satisfies the Rabinowitz's condition.

Let (u_n) be a (PS) sequence for f in E . There exists a constant c_0 such that for $v \in (2, \theta)$

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 + \frac{1}{v} \|u_n\| &\geq f(u_n) - \frac{1}{v} (f'(u_n), u_n) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{v}\right) \|u_n\|^2 - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{v}\right) (m^2 + 1) \|u_n\|_2^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{v} \int_0^{2\pi} u_n g(x, u_n) dx - \int_0^{2\pi} G(x, u_n) dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{v}\right) \|u_n\|^2 - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{v}\right) (m^2 + 1) \|u_n\|_2^2 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\theta}{v} - 1\right) \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2k+2} c(t) x^{2k+2} - \frac{1}{l+1} b(t) x^{l+1}\right) dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{v}\right) \|u_n\|^2 - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{v}\right) (m^2 + 1) \|u_n\|_2^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{c}{2k+2} \left(\frac{\theta}{v} - 1\right) \|u_n\|_{2k+2}^{2k+2} - \frac{B}{l+1} \left(\frac{\theta}{v} - 1\right) \|u_n\|_{l+1}^{l+1} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{v}\right) \|u_n\|^2 - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{v}\right) (m^2 + 1) \beta_1 \|u_n\|_{2k+2}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{c}{2k+2} \left(\frac{\theta}{v} - 1\right) \|u_n\|_{2k+2}^{2k+2} - \frac{B}{l+1} \left(\frac{\theta}{v} - 1\right) \beta_2 \|u_n\|_{2k+2}^{l+1}, \end{aligned}$$

with $\beta_1, \beta_2 > 0$. So the sequence (x_n) is bounded in E because $2k + 2 > l + 1 > 2$. Assume the weak convergence $x_n \rightharpoonup u$ in E , the strong convergence $x_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^2(0, 2\pi)$ and the uniform convergence $x_n \rightarrow u$ in $C[0, 2\pi]$.

Note that x is a critical point of f , as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f'(x_n), y) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(x'_n y' - a(t) x_n y + b(t) x_n^l y - c(x) x_n^{2k+1} y\right) dt \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \left(x' y' - a(t) x y + b(t) x^l y - c(t) x^{2k+1} y\right) dt, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n\|^2 &= (f'(x_n), x_n) + \int_0^{2\pi} \left((a(t) + 1) x_n^2 + x_n g(t, x_n)\right) dt \\ &\rightarrow \int_0^{2\pi} \left((a(t) + 1) x^2 + x g(t, x)\right) dt \\ &= \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

As weak convergence and convergence of the square of the norm implies convergence, the proof is complete.

References

- [1] G. AUSTIN. *Biomathematical model of aneurysm of the circle of willis I: The Duffing equation and some approximate solutions*. *Mathematical Biosciences* **11** (1971) 163–172.
- [2] M.R. GROSSINHO, L. SANCHEZ. *A note on periodic solutions of some nonautonomous differential equations*. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **34** (1986) 253–265.
- [3] M.R. GROSSINHO, L. SANCHEZ, ST. A. TERSIAN. *Periodic solutions for a class of second order differential equations*. *Applications of mathematics in engineering* (Sozopol, 1998), 67–70, Heron Press, Sofia, 1999.
- [4] S. LI, M. WILLEM. *Applications of local linking to critical point theory*. *J. Math. Anal. and Appl.* **189** (1995) 6–32.
- [5] E. A. B. SILVA. *Linking theorems and applications to semilinear elliptic problems at resonance*. *Nonlinear Anal.* **16** (1991), no. 5, 455–477.
- [6] V. V. PRASOLOV. *Polynomials*. *Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics* **11**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.

DMA-UFV, Av. Peter Henry Rolfs, s / n,
36570-000, Viçosa (MG), Brazil
anderson.araujo@ufv.br

IMECC-UNICAMP, 13083-970, Campinas (SP), Brazil
rmiranda@ime.unicamp.br