

Gorenstein homological dimension and Ext-depth of modules*

Amir Mafi

Abstract

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a commutative Noetherian local ring. It is well-known that R is regular if and only if the flat dimension of k is finite. In this paper, we show that R is Gorenstein if and only if the Gorenstein flat dimension of k is finite. Also, we will show that if R is a Cohen-Macaulay ring and M is a Tor-finite R -module of finite Gorenstein flat dimension, then the depth of the ring is equal to the sum of the Gorenstein flat dimension and Ext-depth of M . As a consequence, we get that this formula holds for every syzygy of a finitely generated R -module over a Gorenstein local ring.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, we assume that R is a commutative Noetherian ring with non-zero identity. In [16], Sharif and Yassemi have introduced Tor-finite R -modules. The R -module M is called Tor-finite if for any finitely generated R -module N , each $\text{Tor}_i^R(N, M)$ for all $i \geq 1$ is finitely generated. Obviously every finitely generated R -module is Tor-finite and it is easy to see that every syzygy of a Tor-finite module is also Tor-finite. Enochs, Jenda and Torrecillas [9] defined and studied Gorenstein flat modules. Now recall that an R -module M is said to be Gorenstein flat if there exists an exact sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow F^0 \longrightarrow F^1 \longrightarrow \dots$$

*This research was in part supported by a grant from IPM (No. 87130024).

Received by the editors August 2008.

Communicated by M. Van den Bergh.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification* : 13C11, 13C13, 13C15, 13H10.

Key words and phrases : Gorenstein flat, Auslander-Bridger formula, Cohen-Macaulay, Depth.

of flat R -modules with $M = \ker(F^0 \rightarrow F^1)$ such that for any injective R -module E , $E \otimes_R -$ leaves the sequence exact. We say that an R -module M has Gorenstein flat dimension at most t , denoted $\text{Gfd } M \leq t$, if there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow T_t \longrightarrow T_{t-1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow T_1 \longrightarrow T_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

with each T_i Gorenstein flat. If there is no shorter such sequence, we set $\text{Gfd } M = t$. Also, if there is no such t , we set $\text{Gfd } M = \infty$. We note that the notion of Gorenstein flat generalizes flat and so Gorenstein flat dimension generalizes flat dimension.

We start in section 2 by studying the Gorenstein flat, Gorenstein flat dimension, cotorsion and cotorsion flat modules. Recall that an R -module C is called cotorsion if $\text{Ext}_R^1(F, C) = 0$ for all flat modules F . If F is flat and cotorsion, then it was proved in [6] that F can be written uniquely in the form $F \cong \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)} T_{\mathfrak{p}}$, where $T_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \text{Hom}_R(E(R/\mathfrak{p}), E(R/\mathfrak{p})^{(X)})$ for some set X . This result is similar to the Matlis theorem for injective modules. Also, we characterize the Gorenstein local rings by Gorenstein flat dimension of modules. We then proceed in section 3 to study Tor-finite Gorenstein flat R -modules and we show that if (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) is a Cohen-Macaulay local ring and M is a non-flat Tor-finite R -module, then M Gorenstein flat implies that $\text{Ext-depth } M = \text{depth } R$ (see Proposition 3.5), where $\text{Ext-depth}(M) = \inf\{i : \text{Ext}_R^i(k, M) \neq 0\}$. Ext-depth is called E -depth in [17, Definition 5.3.6]. Also, by the above hypothesis we prove that if $\text{Gfd } M < \infty$, then $\text{Gfd } M + \text{Ext-depth } M = \text{depth } R$. This result is an improvement of the Auslander-Bridger formula (see [1]). To obtain these results, we will repetitively make use of the following. If (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) is a local ring and $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ is an R -regular element, then we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(R/xR, M) \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{x} M \rightarrow M/xM \rightarrow 0$. If M is a Gorenstein flat R -module, then $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/xR, M) = 0$ since $\text{fd } R/xR \leq 1$ (see [4, Lemma 3.3]). So if x is R -regular, then x is M -regular for any Gorenstein flat module.

2 Gorenstein flat dimension

We start this section with the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let M be an R -module with finite Gorenstein flat dimension. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) M is Gorenstein flat;
- (ii) $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, F) = 0$ for all cotorsion flat modules F and all $i \geq 1$;
- (iii) $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, F) = 0$ for all cotorsion flat modules F ;
- (iv) $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, L) = 0$ for all cotorsion modules L with finite flat dimension and all $i \geq 1$.

Proof. (i) \implies (ii). Let F be a cotorsion flat module. Then F is a summand of a module $\text{Hom}_R(E, E')$ where E and E' are injective (see [6, Lemma 2.3]). Hence it is enough to prove that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, \text{Hom}_R(E, E')) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. By the following isomorphisms $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, \text{Hom}_R(E, E')) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Tor}_i^R(M, E), E')$ and by using [12, Theorem 3.6], we have $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, \text{Hom}_R(E, E')) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$.

(ii) \implies (iii) is trivial.

(iii) \implies (i). Let $I = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. Then I is injective cogenerator for all R -modules and hence, by [6, Lemma 2.1], $\text{Hom}_R(E, I)$ is a cotorsion flat module for all injective R -modules E . Therefore, by the isomorphism $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, \text{Hom}_R(E, I)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Tor}_1^R(M, E), I)$, we have $\text{Tor}_1^R(M, E) = 0$ for all injective R -modules E . Hence, by [12, Theorem 3.14], M is Gorenstein flat.

(iv) \implies (ii) is trivial.

(ii) \implies (iv). Let L be a cotorsion module with $\text{fd } L = n$. We use induction on n . If $n = 0$, then L is cotorsion flat and there is nothing to prove. Now, we assume that $\text{fd } L = n > 0$. Let F be a flat cover of L with kernel K such that F is flat and cotorsion (see [6, Corollary 2.2]). Then, by the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0$$

where K is cotorsion and of flat dimension $n - 1$, we have the following exact sequence

$$\text{Ext}_R^i(M, K) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(M, F) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(M, L) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(M, K)$$

for all $i \geq 1$. Hence, by induction hypothesis, $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, L) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. ■

Theorem 2.2. *Let M be an R -module with finite Gorenstein flat dimension. Let n be a non-negative integer. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $\text{Gfd } M \leq n$;
- (ii) $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, L) = 0$ for all $i > n$ and all cotorsion modules L with finite flat dimension;
- (iii) $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, F) = 0$ for all $i > n$ and all cotorsion flat R -modules F ;
- (iv) $\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(M, F) = 0$ for all cotorsion flat R -modules F .

Proof. (i) \implies (ii). By [12, Theorem 3.14], we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K_n \longrightarrow G_{n-1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow G_1 \longrightarrow G_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

such that G_0, \dots, G_{n-1} and K_n are Gorenstein flats. By Lemma 2.1, it is easy to see that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, L) \cong \text{Ext}_R^1(K_n, L) = 0$ for all $i > n$.

(ii) \implies (iii) and (iii) \implies (iv) are trivial.

(iv) \implies (i) follows by [12, Theorem 3.14] and by using the same proof as Lemma 2.1((iii) \implies (i)). ■

Corollary 2.3. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local ring. Let M be an R -module of finite Gorenstein flat dimension such that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, T_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ and all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$. Then*

$$\text{Gfd } M = \sup\{i : \text{Ext}_R^i(M, T_{\mathfrak{m}}) \neq 0\}.$$

Proof. Let $\text{Gfd } M = t$ and K_t be a t -th syzygy of M . Hence K_t is Gorenstein flat and so $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, T_{\mathfrak{m}}) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{i-t}(K_t, T_{\mathfrak{m}}) = 0$ for all $i > t$. It therefore follows that $\sup\{i : \text{Ext}_R^i(M, T_{\mathfrak{m}}) \neq 0\} \leq t$. We shall prove the assertion of the corollary by assuming that $\sup\{i : \text{Ext}_R^i(M, T_{\mathfrak{m}}) \neq 0\} < t$ and deriving a contradiction. Let K_{t-1} be a $(t - 1)$ -th syzygy of M . Then $\text{Ext}_R^i(K_{t-1}, T_{\mathfrak{m}}) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{i+(t-1)}(M, T_{\mathfrak{m}}) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. Since $\text{Ext}_R^i(K_{t-1}, F) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ and all cotorsion flat modules F and K_{t-1} has finite Gorenstein flat dimension, we have K_{t-1} Gorenstein flat and

so $\text{Gfd } M \leq t - 1$. But this contradicts with $\text{Gfd } M = t$, and so we must have $\sup\{i : \text{Ext}_R^i(M, T_m) \neq 0\} = t$. ■

The following theorem is an improvement of [3, Theorem 5.2.10].

Theorem 2.4. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) R is Gorenstein;
- (ii) $\text{Gfd } k$ is finite;
- (iii) $\text{Gfd } M$ is finite for any finitely generated R -module M ;
- (iv) $\text{Gfd } M$ is finite for any R -module M .

Proof. (iv) \implies (iii) and (iii) \implies (ii) are trivial.

(ii) \implies (i). Let $t \geq 0$. One has the following isomorphism

$$\text{Tor}_t^R(k, E(k)) \cong \text{Tor}_t^R(k, D D(E(k))) \cong D(\text{Ext}_R^t(k, D(E(k)))) \cong D(\text{Ext}_{\hat{R}}^t(k, \hat{R})),$$

where \hat{R} is the completion of R in \mathfrak{m} -adic topology and $D(-) = \text{Hom}_R(-, E(k))$. Now, by using [5, P. 178], we have $\text{id}_{\hat{R}} \hat{R} = \text{Gfd } k < \infty$. Hence \hat{R} and so R are Gorenstein.

(i) \implies (iv) follows from [3, Theorem 5.2.10]. ■

In the following theorem we use the notion of Gorenstein injective dimension and the notion of Gorenstein projective dimension. The reader is referred to [8] for more results in this direction.

Theorem 2.5. *Let R be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) R is n -Gorenstein;
- (ii) $\text{Gid } M \leq n$ for all R -modules M ;
- (iii) $\text{Gfd } M \leq n$ for all R -modules M ;
- (iv) $\text{Gfd } M \leq n$ for all finitely generated R -modules M ;
- (v) $\text{Gpd } M \leq n$ for all R -modules M ;
- (vi) $\text{Gpd } M \leq n$ for all finitely generated R -modules M .

Proof. (i) \implies (ii). Let R be n -Gorenstein. Then, by [8, Theorem 10.1.13], $\text{Gid } M \leq n$ for all R -modules M .

(ii) \implies (i) follows by [13, Theorem 2.1].

(iii) \implies (iv) and (v) \implies (vi) are trivial.

(iii) \iff (v) and (iv) \iff (vi) conclude by [11, Theorem B].

(i) \implies (iii) follows by [8, Theorem 10.3.13].

(iii) \implies (ii). By [11, Theorem B], [13, Theorem 2.6] and [12, Theorem 2.28] we have $\text{fd } E(R/\mathfrak{m}) \leq n$ for every maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of R . Then by [19, Theorem 5.1.2] and [8, Theorem 10.1.13] the result follows.

(iv) \implies (i). Let $\text{Gfd } M \leq n$ for all finitely generated modules M . Then, by [3, Lemma 5.1.3], $\text{Gfd } M_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq n$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ and all finitely generated modules M . Hence, by Theorem 2.4, $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and so \hat{R} are n -Gorenstein. ■

3 Gorenstein flat dimension and Ext-depth

Lemma 3.1. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local ring and let x be an R -regular element of \mathfrak{m} . If M is a Gorenstein flat R -module, then M/xM is a Gorenstein flat R/xR -module.*

Proof. Set $\bar{R} = R/xR$ and $\bar{X} = X \otimes_R \bar{R}$. Let

$$\dots \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow F^0 \longrightarrow F^1 \longrightarrow \dots$$

be a complete flat resolution of M . Then, by [4, Lemma 3.3],

$$\dots \longrightarrow \bar{F}_1 \longrightarrow \bar{F}_0 \longrightarrow \bar{F}^0 \longrightarrow \bar{F}^1 \longrightarrow \dots$$

is a complete flat resolution of the \bar{R} -module \bar{M} since $\text{fd } \bar{R} \leq 1$. We only need to show that this sequence exact when $E \otimes_{\bar{R}} -$ is applied to it for any injective \bar{R} -module E . But $E \otimes_{\bar{R}} \bar{F} \cong E \otimes_R F$ and $\text{id}_R(E) = 1$ by [18, Exercise 4.3.3]. So, by [4, Lemma 3.3], the result follows. ■

Lemma 3.2. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local ring and let M be a Tor-finite R -module. Then $\text{fd } M = \sup\{i : \text{Tor}_i^R(k, M) \neq 0\}$.*

Proof. This is clear by [16, Theorem 2.6 and Proposition 2.5]. ■

Lemma 3.3. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local ring and let M be a Tor-finite R -module of finite flat dimension. Then $\text{fd } M \leq \text{depth } R$.*

Proof. We can assume that $\text{fd } M = t \geq 1$. So, by Lemma 3.2, $\text{Tor}_t^R(k, M) \neq 0$. Now, we assume that $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{m}$ be a maximal R -sequence. Then $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Ass}(R/(x_1, \dots, x_n)R)$ and so by the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow k \longrightarrow R/(x_1, \dots, x_n)R,$$

we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Tor}_t^R(k, M) \longrightarrow \text{Tor}_t^R(R/(x_1, \dots, x_n)R, M).$$

It therefore follows that $\text{Tor}_t^R(R/(x_1, \dots, x_n)R, M) \neq 0$. On the other hand $\text{fd } R/(x_1, \dots, x_n)R = n$. Then $n \geq t$ and the result follows. ■

Theorem 3.4. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local ring and let M be a Tor-finite R -module. Then $\text{Ext-depth } M \leq \dim R$.*

Proof. $\text{Tor-depth } M \leq \dim R$ by Lemma 3.3 and [16, Lemma 2.4]. Hence, by [17, Corollary 6.1.10], the result follows. ■

Proposition 3.5. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring and let M be a Tor-finite Gorenstein flat R -module. Then $\text{Ext-depth } M = \text{depth } R$.*

Proof. Let $\text{depth } R = n$. If $n = 0$, then the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} is nilpotent and since $\text{Ass}(0 :_M \mathfrak{m}) = \text{Ass}(0 :_M \mathfrak{m}^t)$ for all $t \geq 1$ we have $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, M) \neq 0$. Hence $\text{Ext-depth } M = 0$. Now, suppose that $n \geq 1$. Then there exists an R -regular element $x \in \mathfrak{m}$. By Lemma 3.1 and [14, P.140] M/xM is a Tor-finite Gorenstein flat R/xR -module. But R/xR is a Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension $n - 1$. Hence, by induction hypothesis, $\text{Ext-depth}_{R/xR}(M/xM) = n - 1$. Since x is a non-zero divisor on M , then $\text{Ext-depth}_{R/xR}(M/xM) = \text{Ext-depth}(M) - 1$. Therefore $\text{Ext-depth}(M) = n$, as required. ■

The following result is a dual of [7, Theorem 4.8].

Theorem 3.6. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring and let M be a non-flat Tor-finite R -module. If M is of finite Gorenstein flat dimension, then*

$$\text{Gfd } M + \text{Ext-depth } M = \text{depth } R.$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on $n = \text{depth } R$. If $n = 0$, then R is complete and by [3, Corollary 5.2.15] $\text{Gfd } M = 0$ and $\text{Ext-depth } M = 0$ by Proposition 3.5. Now, suppose that $n \geq 1$. Then there exists an R -regular element $x \in \mathfrak{m}$. By [14, P.140] and [15, Theorem 3.11] M/xM is a Tor-finite R/xR -module of finite Gorenstein flat dimension. Also, R/xR is Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension $n - 1$. Now, by induction hypothesis, we have $\text{Gfd}_{R/xR}(M/xM) + \text{Ext-depth}_{R/xR}(M/xM) = \text{depth } R/xR$. By using [15, Theorem 3.11] and [14, P.140], we have $\text{Gfd } M + \text{Ext-depth } M = \text{depth } R$, as required. ■

Proposition 3.7. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local ring and let M be a cotorsion flat R -module. Then $\text{Ext-depth } M < \infty$ if and only if $T_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a summand of M . In this case, $\text{Ext-depth } M = \text{depth } R$.*

Proof. Since M is cotorsion flat, then we have $M \cong \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)} T_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in which $T_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \text{Hom}_R(E(R/\mathfrak{p}), E(R/\mathfrak{p})^{(X)})$ for some set X . Hence, by [2, Theorem 3],

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_R^i(k, M) &\cong \text{Ext}_R^i(k, \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)} T_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cong \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)} \text{Ext}_R^i(k, T_{\mathfrak{p}}) \\ &\cong \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)} \text{Ext}_R^i(k, \text{Hom}_R(E(R/\mathfrak{p}), E(R/\mathfrak{p})^{(X)})) \\ &\cong \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)} \text{Hom}_R(\text{Tor}_i^R(k, E(R/\mathfrak{p})), E(R/\mathfrak{p})^{(X)}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{m}$, then $\text{Tor}_i^R(k, E(R/\mathfrak{p})) = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$. It therefore follows $\text{Ext}_R^i(k, M) \cong \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)} \text{Ext}_R^i(k, \hat{R}^{(X)})$ and so if $T_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a summand of M , then $\text{Ext-depth } M = \text{depth } \hat{R}^{(X)} = \text{depth } \hat{R} = \text{depth } R$. If $T_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is not a summand of M , then $\text{Ext}_R^i(k, M) = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$ and so $\text{Ext-depth } M$ is infinite. ■

Theorem 3.8. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring and let M be a syzygy of finitely generated R -module N . If M is of finite Gorenstein flat dimension, then*

$$\text{Gfd } M + \text{Ext-depth } M = \text{depth } R.$$

Proof. It is easy to see that M is Tor-finite and so by Theorem 3.6 the result follows. ■

Theorem 3.9. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a Gorenstein local ring and let M be a syzygy of a finitely generated R -module N . Then*

$$\text{Gfd } M + \text{Ext-depth } M = \text{depth } R.$$

Proof. This is immediate by Theorems 2.4 and 3.8. ■

It is a natural to ask "is there a non-flat and a non-finitely generated Tor-finite module?" The answer is positive:

Example 3.10. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local Gorenstein integral domain with $\dim R = 1$. Set $M = E(R/\mathfrak{m})$. $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/\mathfrak{p}, M) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ with $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{m}$ and $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/\mathfrak{m}, M)$ is finitely generated and hence by [16, Lemma 2.1] M is Tor-finite. Whereas M is not finitely generated and not flat since $\text{fd}(M) = 1$ by [8, P. 238].

Acknowledgement. The author is deeply grateful to the referee for carefully reading of the manuscript and the helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] M. Auslander and M. Bridger, *Stable module theory*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **94**, Providence, R. I. (1969).
- [2] R. Belshoff, *Some change of ring theorems for Matlis reflexive modules*, Comm. Alg., **22**(9)(1994), 3545-3552.
- [3] L. W. Christensen, *Gorenstein dimension*, Lecture Note in Mathematics, **1774**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [4] L.W. Christensen, A. Frankild and H. Holm, *On Gorenstein projective injective and flat dimension-A functorial description with applications*, J. Alg., **302**(2006), 231-279.
- [5] L.W. Christensen and S. Iyengar, *Gorenstein dimension of modules over homomorphisms*, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra, **208**(2007), 177-188.
- [6] E. Enochs, *Flat covers and flat cotorsion modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **92**(2)(1984), 179-184.
- [7] E. Enochs and O. Jenda, *Gorenstein injective dimension and Tor-depth of modules*, Arch. Math., **72**(2)(1999), 107-117.
- [8] E. Enochs and O. Jenda, *Relative homological algebra*, de Gruyter Expositions in Math., **30**, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2000.
- [9] E. Enochs, O. Jenda and B. Torrecillas, *Gorenstein flat modules*, Nanjing Math. J., **5**(1993), 1-9.
- [10] E. Enochs and J. Xu, *On invariants dual to the Bass numbers*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **125**(4)(1997), 951-960.
- [11] M.A. Esmkhani and M. Tousi, *Gorenstein homological dimensions and Auslander categories*, J. Alg., **308**(1)(2007), 321-329.
- [12] H. Holm, *Gorenstein homological dimensions*, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra, **189**(2004), 167-193.
- [13] H. Holm, *Rings with finite Gorenstein injective dimension*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **132**(5)(2004), 1279-1283.
- [14] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1986.
- [15] P. Sahandi and T. Sharif, *Dual of the Auslander-Bridger formula and GF-perfectness*, Math. Scand., **101**(1)(2007), 5-18.
- [16] T. Sharif and S. Yassemi, *A Generalization of Auslander-Buchsbaum and Bass formulas*, Comm. Alg., **30**(2)(2002), 869-875.
- [17] J. Strooker, *Homological questions in local algebra*, Lecture Notes Series **145**, Cambridge, 1990.

- [18] C.A. Wiebel, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge University Press, 1994.
- [19] J. Xu, *Flat covers of modules*, Lecture Notes in Math., **1634**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.

Department of Mathematics, University of Kurdistan,
P.O. Box: 416, Sanandaj, Iran
and Institute for Studies in Theoretical Physics and Mathematics,
P. O. Box 19395-5746, Tehran, Iran.
email:a-mafi@araku.ac.ir