

An interpolation theorem

Mario Milman and Yoram Sagher

Given an interpolation couple (A_0, A_1) , the approximation functional is defined by:

$$(1) \quad E(t, a; A_0, A_1) = \inf \{ |a - a_0|_{A_1} / |a_0|_{A_0} \cong t \}.$$

An operator $T: A_0 + A_1 \rightarrow B_0 + B_1$ is E -quasi-linear (see [4]) iff

$$(2) \quad E(t_0 + t_1, T(a_0 + a_1); B_0, B_1) \cong C \{ E(dt_0, Ta_0; B_0, B_1) + E(dt_1, Ta_1; B_0, B_1) \}.$$

The following interpolation theorem is proved in [4]:

Theorem 1. *If $T: A_0 + A_1 \rightarrow B_0 + B_1$ is E -quasi-linear and*

$$(3) \quad \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t E(s, Ta; B_0, B_1) ds - E(t, Ta; B_0, B_1) \cong C_1 |a|_{A_1},$$

$$(4) \quad E(t, Ta; B_0, B_1) \cong C_0 t^{-\beta} |a|_{A_0}, \quad 0 < \beta < \infty.$$

Then

$$|Ta|_{\beta(1-\theta), q; E} \cong C |a|_{\theta, q; K}, \quad 0 < \theta < 1.$$

Condition (3) is interesting: it gives an abstract definition of T being of weak type. This has yielded in [4] a significant generalization of a theorem of J. Gilbert on interpolation with change of measure [2], and an extension of a theorem of Bennett—DeVore—Sharpley [1].

The proof of Theorem 1 in [4] is direct, and this entails a shortcoming: it makes it harder to apply interpolation theory to the new results. In this paper we intend to prove Theorem 1 again, within the framework of interpolation theory. Using this approach we are indeed able to strengthen the theorem: condition (4) which is $T: A_0 \rightarrow (B_0, B_1)_{\beta, \infty; E}$ is replaced by $T: A_0 \rightarrow B_0$.

Definition 2. Let f be integrable on $(0, t)$, all t . We define

$$(5) \quad f_{\#}(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f(u) du - f(t),$$

$$(6) \quad \|f\|_W = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 < t} |f_{\#}(t)|.$$

If we identify functions differing by a constant, $\|\cdot\|_W$ serves as a norm on the space of equivalence classes. Denote this space by W . Condition (3) is therefore $|E(s, Ta; B_0, B_1)|_W \leq c_1 |a|_{A_1}$. Our space W is not the class W of [1]. If we denote by $W(A_0, A_1)$ the class of elements of $A_0 + A_1$ which satisfy $|E(s, a; A_0, A_1)|_W < \infty$, the class W of [1] is $W(L_0, L_\infty)$. Note that $|Ta|_{B_1} \leq c_1 |a|_{A_1}$, which is the usual hypothesis in the interpolation theorem, implies $E(s, Ta; B_0, B_1) \leq c_1 |a|_{A_1}$. Our generalization consists therefore in replacing L_∞ estimates on E , by a W estimate.

The identification of $W(A_0, A_1)$ for given interpolation couples (A_0, A_1) will yield the conclusions of known interpolation theorems from weaker hypotheses, much in the same way as was done in [4] for interpolation with change of measure. We shall return to these and related problems in subsequent papers.

We are going to interpolate between W and L_p spaces. For the application of Wolff's theorem we need the following theorem:

Theorem 3. W is complete.

Proof. $\{f_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in W . $f_n \in \tilde{f}_n$ are chosen, so that:

$$(7) \quad \int_0^1 f_n = 0.$$

$\{(f_n)_{\#}\}$ is Cauchy in L_∞ , and so there exists $h \in L_\infty$ so that $f_{n\#} \rightarrow h$ (L_∞). On the other hand, using

$$(8) \quad \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f - \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s f = \int_t^s f_{\#}(u) \frac{du}{u}$$

we have

$$(9) \quad \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f_n = \int_t^1 (f_n)_{\#}(u) \frac{du}{u}$$

so that

$$(10) \quad \left| \int_0^t (f_n - f_m) \right| \leq t |\log t| \|f_n - f_m\|_W$$

and $\int_0^t f_n \rightarrow g(t)$, all t . Since also

$$(11) \quad |f_n(t) - f_m(t)| \leq |(f_n - f_m)_{\#}(t)| + \frac{1}{t} \left| \int_0^t (f_n - f_m) \right|.$$

We also have

$$(12) \quad f_n(t) \rightarrow f(t) \quad (L_1(0, M), \text{ for any } M), \quad \text{and for a.e. } t > 0.$$

Therefore f is integrable on $(0, M)$ for any M and $\int_0^t f = g$. Finally

$$(13) \quad (f_n)_\#(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f_n - f_n(t) \rightarrow \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f - f(t) = f_\#(t)$$

so that $f_\# = h$ and thus $\|f_{n\#} - f_\#\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ and $f_n \rightarrow f$ (W). The proof is complete.

Theorem 4. $(L_0, W)_{1/p, q; E} = L(p, q)$, $0 < p < \infty$; $0 < q \leq \infty$. All function spaces here are taken on $(0, \infty)$.

Proof. We first interpolate W with L_1 and then, using a theorem of Wolff we get the full result.

If $f_\# \in L(p, q)$, $1 < p < \infty$, then there exists a constant c so that $f + c \in L(p, q)$. For: if $f_\# \in L(p, q)$ then $\int_t^\infty |f_\#(u)| du/u < \infty$. From (8) then we have:

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s f(u) du = c$$

exists. Let $g = f - c$. Of course $g_\# = f_\#$ so that from (8) again we have

$$(14) \quad \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t g = \int_t^\infty g_\#(u) \frac{du}{u}.$$

From $g_\# \in L(p, q)$, using Hardy's inequality we get $\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t g \in L(p, q)$. Since

$$(15) \quad g(t) \leq \left| \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t g \right| + |g_\#(t)|$$

we get $g \in L(p, q)$.

As elements of W , $f \equiv g$ and in the sequel we shall therefore write for $f \in W$: $f_\# \in L(p, q) \Rightarrow f \in L(p, q)$. This amounts to taking the element in the equivalence class of f for which $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s f = 0$.

On the other hand, $f \in L(p, q)$, $1 < p < \infty$, implies $f_\# \in L(p, q)$. To see this, consider the linear operator $\# : f \rightarrow f_\#$. Obviously:

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} \# : L_1 &\rightarrow L(1, \infty), \\ \# : L_\infty &\rightarrow L_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Interpolating we get

$$(17) \quad \# : L(p, q) \rightarrow L(p, q), \quad 1 < p < \infty, \quad 0 < q \leq \infty.$$

Now for the identification

$$(18) \quad (L_1, W)_{\theta, q; \kappa} = L(p, q), \quad \frac{1}{p} = 1 - \theta, \quad 0 < q \leq \infty.$$

Since $L_\infty \subset W$, we have $L(p, q) \subset (L_1, W)_{\theta, q; K}$. For the converse note that $\#$ actually maps W to L_∞ so that

$$(19) \quad \# : (L_1, W)_{\theta, q; K} \rightarrow L(p, q).$$

Therefore

$$(20) \quad |f_\#|_{p, q} \cong C_p |f|_{(L_1, W)_{\theta, q; K}}.$$

Since however $|f|_{p, q} \cong C_p |f_\#|_{p, q}$, we get (18). To get the full theorem we apply Wolff's theorem. We restate it in a form more convenient for our application.

A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 are quasi-Banach Abelian groups and $A_1 \cap A_4 \subset A_2 \cap A_3$. Assume

$$(21) \quad (A_1, A_3)_{\beta, q; E} = A_2, \quad 0 < \beta < \infty, \quad 0 < q \cong \infty,$$

$$(22) \quad (A_2, A_4)_{\psi, r; K} = A_3, \quad 0 < \psi < 1, \quad 0 < r \cong \infty.$$

Then

$$(23) \quad (A_1, A_4)_{\alpha_2, q; E} = A_2, \quad \alpha_2 = \beta/\psi,$$

$$(24) \quad (A_1, A_4)_{\alpha_3, r; E} = A_3, \quad \alpha_3 = \beta \frac{1-\psi}{\psi}.$$

In [5] the statement of the theorem is for quasi-Banach spaces, i.e., $|rx|_A = |r||x|_A$ for scalars r is required. This, in fact, is not used in the proof. The added generality is needed here for L_0 defined by

$$(25) \quad |f|_{L_0} = \int_{\{|f(x)| > 0\}} d\mu(x)$$

does not have the homogeneity property. It is easy to see that for $0 < p \cong \infty$

$$(26) \quad E(t, f; L_0, L_p) = \left(\int_t^\infty [f^*(s)]^p ds \right)^{1/p}$$

and, applying an extension of Hardy's inequality applicable to decreasing functions, see [3], we get from (26) for $1 < p$:

$$(27) \quad L_1 = (L_0, L_p)_{1/p', 1; E}; \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1.$$

Since, by (18), we have

$$(28) \quad (L_1, W)_{1/p', p; K} = L_p$$

we get, using (23) and (24),

$$(29) \quad (L_0, W)_{1, 1; E} = L_1,$$

$$(30) \quad (L_0, W)_{1/p, p; E} = L_p; \quad 1 < p < \infty.$$

To get the result for the full range we shall need a version of the reiteration theorem:

$$(31) \quad (A_0, (A_0, A_1)_{\alpha, r; E})_{\beta, q; E} = (A_0, A_1)_{\alpha + \beta, q; E}.$$

Fix $0 < r < 1$ and write

$$L_r = (L_0, L_1)_{1/r-1, r; E} = (L_0, (L_0, W)_{1, 1; E})_{1/r-1, r; E} = (L_0, W)_{1/r, r; E}.$$

Finally, another application of the reiteration theorem gives the result in full generality. The theorem is proved.

Theorem 5. $T: A_0 + A_1 \rightarrow B_0 + B_1$ is E -quasi-linear and

$$(32) \quad \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t E(s, Ta; B_0, B_1) ds - E(t, Ta; B_0, B_1) \cong C_1 |a|_{A_1}.$$

$$(33) \quad |Ta|_{B_0} \cong C_0 |a|_{A_0}.$$

Then, for $0 < \alpha < \infty$, $0 < q \cong \infty$:

$$(34) \quad |Ta|_{(B_0, B_1)_{\alpha, q; E}} \cong C |a|_{(A_0, A_1)_{\alpha, q; E}}.$$

Proof. Consider $E_T: A_0 + A_1 \rightarrow L_0 + W$ defined by

$$(35) \quad E_T(a)(s) = E(s, Ta; B_0, B_1).$$

Conditions (32), (33) give

$$(36) \quad E_T: A_1 \rightarrow W,$$

$$(37) \quad E_T: A_0 \rightarrow L_0,$$

while from the E -quasi-linearity of T we have, for each $0 < \alpha < \infty$, $0 < q \cong \infty$: $|Ta|_{(B_0, B_1)_{\alpha, q; E}}$ is a semi-quasi-norm on $A_0 \cap A_1$, satisfying:

$$\begin{aligned} |Ta|_{(B_0, B_1)_{\alpha, q; E}} &\sim |E_T(a)|_{(L_0, W)_{\alpha, q; E}} \\ &\cong |E_T(a)|_{L_0}^{\alpha} |E_T(a)|_W \\ &\cong C_0^{\alpha} C_1 |a|_{A_0}^{\alpha} |a|_{A_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Reiteration between different values of α now yields for $0 < \alpha < \infty$, $0 < q \cong \infty$:

$$(38) \quad T: (A_0, A_1)_{\alpha, q; E} \rightarrow (B_0, B_1)_{\alpha, q; E}$$

and the theorem is proved.

References

1. BENNETT, C., DeVORE, R. A. and SHARPLEY, R., Weak- L^∞ and BMO, *Ann. of Math.*, **113** (1981), 601—611.
2. GILBERT, J. E., Interpolation between weighted L^p -spaces, *Ark. Mat.*, **10** (1972), 234—249.
3. SAGHER, Y., Some remarks on interpolation of operators and Fourier coefficients, *Studia Math.*, **44** (1972), 239—252.
4. SAGHER, Y., An application of the approximation functional in interpolation theory, *Proceedings of Conference in Harmonic Analysis in honor of Antoni Zygmund, Chicago, 1981*, Vol. II, Wadsworth, Belmont, 1983, 802—809.
5. WOLFF, T., A note on interpolation spaces, *Proceedings of Conference in Harmonic Analysis, Minneapolis 1981*. Lecture notes in mathematics 908. Springer, Berlin—Heidelberg—New York, 1982.

Received April 4, 1982

Mario Milman
Southern Illinois University
Carbondale, Ill. 62 901

Yoram Sagher
University of Illinois, Chicago and
Syracuse University