

THE $\lambda^{+r}(\mu)$ -STATISTICAL CONVERGENCE

B. DE MALAFOSSE,¹ M. MURSALEEN,^{2*} and V. RAKOČEVIĆ³

Communicated by D. Leung

ABSTRACT. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a nondecreasing sequence of positive numbers tending to infinity such that $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_{n+1} \leq \lambda_n + 1$ for all n , and let $I_n = [n - \lambda_n + 1, n]$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then for any given nonzero sequence μ , we define by $\Delta^+(\mu)$ the operator that generalizes the operator of the first difference and is defined by $\Delta^+(\mu)x_k = \mu_k(x_k - x_{k+1})$. In this article, for any given integer $r \geq 1$, we deal with the $\lambda^{+r}(\mu)$ -statistical convergence that generalizes in a certain sense the well-known λ_E^r -statistical convergence. The main results consist in determining sets of sequences χ and χ' of the form s_ξ^0 satisfying $\chi \subset [V, \lambda]_0(\Delta^{+r}(\mu)) \subset \chi'$ and sets κ and κ' of the form s_ξ satisfying $\kappa \leq [V, \lambda]_\infty(\lambda^{+r}(\mu)) \leq \kappa'$. This study is justified since the infinite matrix associated with the operator $\Delta^{+r}(\mu)$ cannot be explicitly calculated for all r .

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

1.1. **Statistical convergence.** The notion of *statistical convergence*, first introduced by Fast [8], was later studied by Fridy ([9], [10]), Fridy and Orhan [11], and Connor [4]. The sequence $X = (x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is said to be *statistically convergent to the number L* if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0 \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0,$$

where the vertical bars indicate the number of elements in the enclosed set. Note that *lacunary statistical convergence*, which was introduced by Fridy and Orhan

Copyright 2017 by the Tusi Mathematical Research Group.

Received Dec. 16, 2015; Accepted May 14, 2016.

*Corresponding author.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46A15; Secondary 40C05, 40J05.

Keywords. matrix transformations, operator of first-difference, statistical convergence, *BK* space.

([11], [12]) and further studied by Alotaibi et al. [1], can be considered as a special case of statistical convergence. Recall that [6] defined the λ_E^{+r} -statistical convergence where $\lambda = (\lambda_n)_n$ is a nondecreasing sequence of positive numbers tending to infinity such that $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_{n+1} \leq \lambda_n + 1$ for all n (see also [2], [3], [7], [5], [19]). For a given Banach space E , the sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is said to be λ_E^{+r} -statistically convergent if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} |\{k \in I_n : \|\Delta^{+r} x_k - L\| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0,$$

where $I_n = [n - \lambda_n + 1, n]$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$; $\Delta^+ x_k = x_k - x_{k+1}$; and $\Delta^{+r} x_k = \sum_{j=0}^r (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} x_{k+j}$.

In the present article, we will take $E = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} , $L = 0$ and replace Δ^{+r} by $\Delta^{+r}(\mu) = (D_\mu \Delta^+)^r$ and deal with the $\lambda^{+r}(\mu)$ -statistical convergence to zero. (In all that follows, the subscripts are greater or equal to 1.) We will also consider the infinite matrix of first difference $\Delta^+ = (a_{nm})_{n,m \geq 1}$ defined by $a_{nn} = 1$, $a_{n,n+1} = -1$ and $a_{nm} = 0$ otherwise. Let D_μ be the diagonal matrix defined by $[D_\mu]_{nn} = \mu_n$ for all n and consider the set U of all sequences such that $u_n \neq 0$ for all n . Then we let $\Delta^+(\mu) = D_\mu \Delta^+$ for $\mu \in U$.

From the *generalized de la Vallée-Poussin mean* defined by

$$t_n(X) = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} x_k \quad \text{for } X = (x_n)_n,$$

we are led to define the following sets for $r \geq 1$ integer

$$\begin{aligned} [V, \lambda]_0(\Delta^{+r}(\mu)) &= \left\{ X \in s : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} |\Delta^{+r}(\mu)x_k| = 0 \right\}, \\ [V, \lambda]_\infty(\Delta^{+r}(\mu)) &= \left\{ X \in s : \sup_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} |\Delta^{+r}(\mu)x_k| < \infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In the case when $\lambda_n = n$, we will write the previous sets $[V]_0(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$ and $[V]_\infty(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$. For the convenience of the reader, note that

$$[V, \lambda]_0(\Delta^{+1}(\mu)) = \left\{ X \in s : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} |\mu_k(x_k - x_{k+1})| = 0 \right\},$$

and that in the previous definitions of $[V, \lambda]_0(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$ and $[V, \lambda]_\infty(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$, for $r = 2$, we have

$$\Delta^{+2}(\mu)x_k = \mu_k^2 x_k - \mu_k(\mu_k + \mu_{k+1})x_{k+1} + \mu_k \mu_{k+1} x_{k+2} \quad \text{for all } k.$$

Note that there is no general expression for $\Delta^{+r}(\mu)x_k$ where r is any given integer. Now we can state the definition of $\lambda^{+r}(\mu)$ -statistical convergence to zero.

Definition 1.1. A sequence $X = (x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is said to be $\lambda^{+r}(\mu)$ -statistically convergent to zero if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} |\{k \in I_n : |\Delta^{+r}(\mu)x_k| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0.$$

In this case, we write $x_k \rightarrow 0S_\lambda(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$. If $\lambda_n = n$ for all n , then we write $x_k \rightarrow 0S(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$.

Now we explicitly give both a relation between $X \in [V, \lambda]_0(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$ and the condition $x_k \rightarrow 0S_\lambda(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$ and a relation between the condition $x_k \rightarrow 0S(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$ and the condition $x_k \rightarrow 0S_\lambda(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$. In this way we have the next results.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\alpha, \mu \in U^+$, and let $r \geq 1$ be an integer. Then*

- (a) $X \in [V, \lambda]_0(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$ implies that $x_k \rightarrow 0S_\lambda(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$ and the inclusion is proper;
- (b) if $X \in l_\infty(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$ and $x_k \rightarrow 0S_\lambda(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$, then $X \in [V, \lambda]_0(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$, where $l_\infty(\Delta^{+r}(\mu)) := \{X \in s : \sup_k |\Delta^{+r}(\mu)x_k| < \infty\}$.

Proof. (a) This follows easily from the following:

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} |\Delta^{+r}(\mu)x_k| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_n} |\{k \in I_n : |\Delta^{+r}(\mu)x_k| \geq \varepsilon\}|.$$

The following example shows that the inclusion is proper. Let $X = (x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be defined such that

$$\Delta^{+r}(\mu)x_k = \begin{cases} k & \text{for } n - [\sqrt{\lambda_n}] + 1 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $X \notin l_\infty$, and for $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$,

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} |\{k \in I_n : |\Delta^{+r}(\mu)x_k| \geq \varepsilon\}| = \frac{[\sqrt{\lambda_n}]}{\lambda_n} \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty);$$

that is, $x_k \rightarrow 0S_\lambda(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$. But

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} |\Delta^{+r}(\mu)x_k| \not\rightarrow 0;$$

that is, $x \notin [V, \lambda]_0(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$.

(b) Let $X \in l_\infty$. Then $|x_k| \leq M$ for all k , where $M > 0$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} |\Delta^{+r}(\mu)x_k| &= \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_n \\ |\Delta^{+r}(\mu)x_k| \geq \varepsilon}} |\Delta^{+r}(\mu)x_k| + \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_n \\ |\Delta^{+r}(\mu)x_k| < \varepsilon}} |\Delta^{+r}(\mu)x_k| \\ &\leq \frac{M}{\lambda_n} |\{k \in I_n : |\Delta^{+r}(\mu)x_k| \geq \varepsilon\}| + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x_k \rightarrow 0S_\lambda(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$ implies that $X \in [V, \lambda]_0(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$. \square

Remark 1.3. It is easy to see that $S_\lambda(\Delta^{+r}(\mu)) \subseteq S(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$ for all λ , since $\lambda_n/n \leq 1$.

Now we establish the reverse inclusion.

Theorem 1.4. *We have that $S^0(\Delta^{+r}(\mu)) \subseteq S_\lambda^0(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$ if and only if*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n} > 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where by $X \in S^0(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$ (or $X \in S_\lambda^0(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$), we mean that $x_k \rightarrow 0S(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$ (or that $x_k \rightarrow 0S_\lambda(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$).

Proof. For $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\{k \in I_n : |\Delta^{+r}(\mu)x_k| \geq \varepsilon\} \subset \{k \leq n : |\Delta^{+r}(\mu)x_k| \geq \varepsilon\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : |\Delta^{+r}(\mu)x_k| \geq \varepsilon\}| &\geq \frac{1}{n} |\{k \in I_n : |\Delta^{+r}(\mu)x_k| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda_n}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda_n} |\{k \in I_n : |\Delta^{+r}(\mu)x_k| \geq \varepsilon\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (1.1), we get the inclusion.

Conversely, suppose that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n} = 0.$$

Choose a subsequence $(n(j))_{j \geq 1}$ such that $\frac{\lambda_{n(j)}}{n(j)} < \frac{1}{j}$. Define a sequence $X = (x_k)_{k \geq 1}$ such that

$$\Delta^{+r}(\mu)x_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } k \in I_{n(j)}, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $X \in [V]_0(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$, and hence by Theorem 1.2(a), we have $X \in 0S(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$. But $X \notin [V, \lambda]_0(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$, and therefore by Theorem 1.2(b), we have $X \notin 0S_\lambda(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$. To get a subset for each of the sets $[V]_0(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$ and $[V]_\infty(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$, we need the following. \square

1.2. Some properties of the set \widehat{C}_1 . We write c_0 , c , and l_∞ for the sets of *null*, *convergent* and *bounded sequences*, respectively. If we then put $U^+ = \{(u_n)_n \in s : u_n > 0 \text{ for all } n\}$ and use Wilansky's notation (see [20]), we define, for any sequence $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_n \in U^+$ and for any set of sequences E , the set

$$(1/\alpha)^{-1} * E = \{(x_n)_n \in s : (x_n/\alpha_n)_n \in E\}.$$

To simplify, we will write $D_\alpha E = (1/\alpha)^{-1} * E$, where D_α is the diagonal matrix defined in Section 1.1, and we put $s_\alpha = D_\alpha l_\infty$, $s_\alpha^0 = D_\alpha c_0$, and $s_\alpha^{(c)} = D_\alpha c$. Recall that a *Banach space* $E \subset s$ is a *BK space* if each projection $X \mapsto P_n(X) = x_n$ is *continuous*. Each of the spaces $D_\alpha E$, where $E \in \{l_\infty, c_0, c\}$, is a *BK space* normed by $\|X\|_{s_\alpha} = \sup_n (|x_n|/\alpha_n)$, and s_α^0 has AK (see [14]).

Now let $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_n, \beta = (\beta_n)_n \in U^+$. By $S_{\alpha, \beta}$, we denote the set of all infinite matrices $A = (a_{nm})_{n, m \geq 1}$ such that

$$\|A\|_{S_{\alpha, \beta}} = \sup_n \left(\frac{1}{\beta_n} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |a_{nm}| \alpha_m \right) < \infty.$$

The set $S_{\alpha, \beta}$ with norm $\|A\|_{S_{\alpha, \beta}}$ is a *Banach space* with the norm.

Let E and F be any subsets of s . When A maps E into F , we will write $A \in (E, F)$ (see [13]). So for every $X \in E$, $AX \in F$ ($AX \in F$ will mean that, for each $n \geq 1$, the series defined by $A_n(X) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{nm}x_m$ is convergent and $(A_n(X))_n \in F$). It was shown in [17] that $A \in (s_\alpha, s_\beta)$ if and only if $A \in S_{\alpha, \beta}$. So we can write $(s_\alpha, s_\beta) = S_{\alpha, \beta}$.

When $s_\alpha = s_\beta$, we obtain the *Banach algebra with identity* $S_{\alpha, \beta} = S_\alpha$ (see [17]) normed by $\|A\|_{S_\alpha} = \|A\|_{S_{\alpha, \alpha}}$. We also have $A \in (s_\alpha, s_\alpha)$ if and only if $A \in S_\alpha$.

If $\alpha = (r^n)_{n \geq 1}$, Γ_α , S_α , s_α , s_α^0 and $s_\alpha^{(c)}$ are denoted by Γ_r , S_r , s_r , s_r^0 , and $s_r^{(c)}$, respectively. When $r = 1$, we obtain $s_1 = l_\infty$, $s_1^0 = c_0$, and $s_1^{(c)} = c$, and putting $e = (1, 1, \dots)$ we have $S_1 = S_e$. It is well known (see [13]) that $(s_1, s_1) = (c_0, s_1) = (c, s_1)$. We also have $A \in (c_0, c_0)$ if and only if $A \in S_1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nm} = 0$ for all $m \geq 1$.

For any subset E of s , we put

$$AE = \{Y \in s : Y = AX \text{ for some } X \in E\}.$$

If F is a subset of s , we denote

$$F(A) = F_A = \{X \in s : Y = AX \in F\}.$$

Define now the set \widehat{C}_1 of sequences $\alpha \in U^+$ satisfying the condition $\sup_n (\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k) / \alpha_n < \infty$. Let Δ be the well-known operator defined by $\Delta x_n = x_n - x_{n-1}$ for all n , with $x_0 = 0$. Note that $\Delta^T = \Delta^+$. Therefore, we get the next result (see [14]).

Lemma 1.5. *Let $\alpha \in U^+$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\alpha \in \widehat{C}_1$,
- (ii) the operator Δ is bijective from s_α to itself,
- (iii) the operator Δ is bijective from s_α^0 to itself.

It can easily be deduced that if $\alpha \in \widehat{C}_1$, then for any given integer $r \geq 1$ the operator Δ^r is bijective from s_α to itself, and $s_\alpha(\Delta^r) = s_\alpha$. It is the same for the operator Δ considered as an operator from s_α^0 to itself. Recall the following result.

Lemma 1.6 ([14, Corollary 3.4, p. 1795]). *Let $r \geq 1$ be an integer. The following properties are equivalent:*

- (i) $\alpha \in \widehat{C}_1$,
- (ii) $s_\alpha(\Delta) = s_\alpha$,
- (iii) $s_\alpha(\Delta^r) = s_\alpha$,
- (iv) $C(\alpha)(\Sigma^{r-1}\alpha) \in l_\infty$.

In the remainder of this article, we will also use the next result (see [16, Proposition 2.1, p. 1656]), where Γ is the set of all $\alpha \in U^+$ such that $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\alpha_{n-1} / \alpha_n) < 1$.

Lemma 1.7. *Let $\alpha \in U^+$. Then*

- (i) if $\alpha \in \widehat{C}_1$, then there are $K > 0$ and $\gamma > 1$ such that $\alpha_n \geq K\gamma^n$ for all n ;

- (ii) the condition $\alpha \in \Gamma$ implies that $\alpha \in \widehat{C}_1$ and there is a real $b > 0$ such that

$$[C(\alpha)\alpha]_n \leq \frac{1}{1-\chi} + b\chi^n \quad \text{for } n \geq q+1 \text{ and } \chi = \gamma_q(\alpha) \in]0, 1[.$$

To state some results on the sets $[V]_0(\Delta^+(\mu))$ and $[V]_\infty(\Delta^+(\mu))$, we will give some properties on the sets $s_\alpha(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$ and $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$.

2. SOME PROPERTIES OF THE SETS $s_\alpha(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$ AND $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$
FOR $r \geq 1$ INTEGER

First, recall the following lemma (see [18, Theorem 3.5]).

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in U^+$. Then $s_\alpha^0 = s_\beta^0$ if and only if there are $K_1, K_2 > 0$ such that*

$$K_1 \leq \frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n} \leq K_2 \quad \text{for all } n.$$

We also need the next result.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $r \geq 1$ be an integer, and let $\alpha \in U^+$. The operator represented by the infinite matrix Δ^{+r} is surjective from $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+r})$ to s_α^0 .*

Proof. Consider the matrix $\Delta^{+r}(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_r)$ obtained from Δ^{+r} by addition of the rows e_r, e_{r-1}, \dots, e_1 , respectively. Similarly for any $B = (b_n)_n \in s$, we will write $B(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r)$ for the vector obtained from B by addition of the scalars u_r, u_{r-1}, \dots, u_1 ; that is, $B(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r) = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r, b_1, \dots, b_n, \dots)^T$. Since the infinite matrix $\Delta^{+r}(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_r)$ is a triangle, the equation $\Delta^{+r}X = B$, where $B \in s_\alpha^0$ has infinitely solutions in $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+r})$ given by

$$X = [\Delta^{+r}(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_r)]^{-1} B(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r)$$

for all scalars u_r, u_{r-1}, \dots, u_1 . This completes the proof. \square

We will now use the convention $x_n = 1$ for all $n \leq 0$, and put $(x_{n-r})_n$ for the sequence $(1, \dots, 1, x_1, \dots, x_{n-r}, \dots)$, where x_1 is in the $(r+1)$ -position. The following theorem extends some results given in [15] and [16].

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\alpha \in U^+$ and $r \geq 1$ be an integer. Then*

- (i) $(\alpha_{n-1}/\alpha_n)_n \in l_\infty$ if and only if $s_{(\alpha_{n-1})_n}^0 \subset s_\alpha^0(\Delta^+)$;
- (ii) the following statements are equivalent:
 - (a) $\alpha \in \widehat{C}_1$,
 - (b) $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^+) = s_{(\alpha_{n-1})_n}^0$,
 - (c) $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^+) \subset s_{(\alpha_{n-1})_n}^0$;
- (iii) (a) $(\alpha_n/\alpha_{n-1})_n \in l_\infty$ if and only if, for any given integer $r \geq 1$,
$$s_\alpha^0 \subset s_\alpha^0(\Delta^+) \subset \dots \subset s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+r});$$
 - (b) the conditions $\alpha \in \widehat{C}_1$ and $(\alpha_n/\alpha_{n-1})_n \in l_\infty$ are equivalent to $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^+) = s_\alpha^0$;
- (iv) the following statements are equivalent:
 - (a) $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^+) = s_\alpha^0$,

- (b) $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+k}) = s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+(k+1)})$ for all $k \geq 0$ integer,
(c) $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+k}) = s_\alpha^0$ for all $k \geq 0$ integer.

Proof. (i) We have $s_{(\alpha_{n-1})_n}^0 \subset s_\alpha^0(\Delta^+)$ if and only if $I \in (s_{(\alpha_{n-1})_n}^0, s_\alpha^0(\Delta^+))$. Thus $\Delta^+X \in s_\alpha^0$ for all $X \in s_{(\alpha_{n-1})_n}^0$ and $\Delta^+ \in (s_{(\alpha_{n-1})_n}^0, s_\alpha^0)$. This means $D_{1/\alpha}\Delta^+D_{(\alpha_{n-1})_n} \in (c_0, c_0)$, where $D_{1/\alpha}\Delta^+D_{(\alpha_{n-1})_n} = (a_{nm})_{n,m \geq 1}$ with $a_{nn} = \alpha_{n-1}/\alpha_n$, $a_{n,n+1} = 1$ and $a_{nm} = 0$, otherwise. Using the characterization of (c_0, c_0) given in the [Introduction](#), we have $D_{1/\alpha}\Delta^+D_{(\alpha_{n-1})_n} \in S_1$ and $(\alpha_{n-1}/\alpha_n)_n \in l_\infty$. We conclude that $s_{(\alpha_{n-1})_n}^0 \subset s_\alpha^0(\Delta^+)$ if and only if $(\alpha_{n-1}/\alpha_n)_n \in l_\infty$.

(ii). First show that (c) implies (a). We have $I \in (s_\alpha^0(\Delta^+), s_{(\alpha_{n-1})_n}^0)$ if and only if

$$B = \Delta^+X \in s_\alpha^0 \quad \text{implies that } X \in s_{(\alpha_{n-1})_n}^0 \quad \text{for all } X \in s. \quad (2.1)$$

Using elementary calculations (see [15]), and Lemma 2.2 for every $B \in s_\alpha^0$, there is $X \in s_\alpha^0(\Delta^+)$ such that $B = \Delta^+X$ with $x_n = u - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_k$ for all $u \in \mathbb{C}$. Then (2.1) implies that, for every $B = (b_n)_n \in s_\alpha^0$,

$$\frac{u - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_k}{\alpha_{n-1}} \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \quad \text{for any given } u \in \mathbb{C}.$$

So if we take $u = 0$, then $(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_k)/\alpha_{n-1} \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$); that is, for every $B \in s_\alpha^0$ we have $\Sigma^-B \in s_{(\alpha_{n-1})_n}^0$, where

$$\Sigma^- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ 1 & 0 & & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{pmatrix}.$$

We conclude that $\Sigma^- \in (s_\alpha^0, s_{(\alpha_{n-1})_n}^0)$; that is, $\Sigma^- \in S_{\alpha, (\alpha_{n-1})_n}$, which means that

$$\frac{\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_{n-1}} = O(1) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha \in \widehat{C}_1.$$

Thus we have shown that (c) implies (a).

Let us show now that (a) implies (b). Assume that $\alpha \in \widehat{C}_1$ and let $X \in s_\alpha^0(\Delta^+)$. We have $B = \Delta^+X \in s_\alpha^0$ and for every n , we have $x_n = u - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_k$ for $u \in \mathbb{C}$. As we have seen above, the condition $\alpha \in \widehat{C}_1$ implies that $\Sigma^- \in S_{\alpha, (\alpha_{n-1})_n}$ and $\Sigma^-B \in s_{(\alpha_{n-1})_n}^0$ for all $B \in s_\alpha^0$. Then

$$\frac{x_n}{\alpha_{n-1}} = \frac{u - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_k}{\alpha_{n-1}} = o(1) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty);$$

that is, $X \in s_{(\alpha_{n-1})_n}^0$, and we conclude that $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^+) \subset s_{(\alpha_{n-1})_n}^0$. Now $\alpha \in \widehat{C}_1$ implies that $(\alpha_{n-1}/\alpha_n)_{n \geq 1} \in l_\infty$, and by (i), $s_{(\alpha_{n-1})_n}^0 \subset s_\alpha^0(\Delta^+)$. So $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^+) = s_{(\alpha_{n-1})_n}^0$ and we have shown that (a) implies (b). We conclude that (b) implies (c) and that (c) implies (a) and that (a) implies (b). This completes the proof of (ii).

(iii)(a) Let $X \in s_\alpha^0$. Then condition $(\alpha_{n+1}/\alpha_n)_{n \geq 1} \in l_\infty$ implies that

$$\frac{x_n - x_{n+1}}{\alpha_n} = \frac{x_n}{\alpha_n} - \frac{x_{n+1}}{\alpha_{n+1}} \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{\alpha_n} \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

and $s_\alpha^0 \subset s_\alpha^0(\Delta^+)$. Now assume that, for any given integer $i \geq 1$, $X \in s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+i})$; then $Y = \Delta^{+i}X \in s_\alpha^0$, and with $s_\alpha^0 \subset s_\alpha^0(\Delta^+)$, then $Y \in s_\alpha^0(\Delta^+)$ and $X \in s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+(i+1)})$. So we have $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+i}) \subset s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+(i+1)})$, which shows the necessity.

Conversely, the inclusion $s_\alpha^0 \subset s_\alpha^0(\Delta^+)$ implies that $\Delta^+X \in s_\alpha^0$ for all $X \in s_\alpha^0$. Thus $\Delta^+ \in (s_\alpha^0, s_\alpha^0)$ and $(\alpha_{n+1}/\alpha_n)_n \in l_\infty$.

(iii)(b) If $\alpha \in \widehat{C}_1$ and $(\alpha_n/\alpha_{n-1})_n \in l_\infty$, then there are $K_1, K_2 > 0$ such that

$$K_1 \leq \frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_{n-1}} \leq K_2 \quad \text{for all } n.$$

Then by Lemma 2.1, we have $s_{(\alpha_{n-1})_n}^0 = s_\alpha^0$. By (ii), we conclude that the condition $\alpha \in \widehat{C}_1$ implies that $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^+) = s_{(\alpha_{n-1})_n}^0 = s_\alpha^0$.

Conversely, assume that $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^+) = s_\alpha^0$. Then $I \in (s_\alpha^0, s_\alpha^0(\Delta^+))$ and $\Delta^+ \in (s_\alpha^0, s_\alpha^0)$; that is, $(\alpha_n/\alpha_{n-1})_n \in l_\infty$. Now as we have just seen in the proof of (c) implying (a) in (ii), for every $B \in s_\alpha^0$ there is $X \in s$ such that $B = \Delta^+X$ with $x_n = u - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_k$ for all $u \in \mathbb{C}$. Then for every $B \in s_\alpha^0$ with $B = \Delta^+X$, we have that $X \in s_\alpha^0$; that is,

$$\frac{u - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_k}{\alpha_n} \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \quad \text{for any given } u \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Then if we take $u = 0$, we have $\Sigma^- \in (s_\alpha^0, s_{(\alpha_{n-1})_n}^0)$; that is, $\Sigma^- \in S_{\alpha, (\alpha_{n-1})_n}$. So

$$\frac{\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1}}{\alpha_{n-1}} = O(1) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha \in \widehat{C}_1.$$

The proof of (iv) is elementary and left to the reader. \square

We immediately deduce the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4. *Let $r \geq 1$ be an integer, and assume that $(\alpha_n/\alpha_{n-1})_n \in l_\infty$. Then $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+r}) \subset s_\alpha^0$ implies that $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+r}) = s_\alpha^0$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.3(iii)(a), the condition $(\alpha_n/\alpha_{n-1})_n \in l_\infty$ implies that $s_\alpha^0 \subset s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+r})$. So the condition $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+r}) \subset s_\alpha^0$ implies that $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+r}) = s_\alpha^0$. \square

Remark 2.5. Note that in Theorem 2.3, the conditions $\alpha \in \widehat{C}_1$ and $(\alpha_n/\alpha_{n-1})_n \in l_\infty$ are equivalent to $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^+) = s_{(\alpha_{n-1})_n}^0 = s_\alpha^0$.

Corollary 2.6. *Let $\alpha \in U^+$.*

- (i) *Let $r \geq 1$ be an integer. The condition $\alpha \in \widehat{C}_1$ implies $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+r}) = s_{(\alpha_{n-r})_n}^0$.*
- (ii) *The conditions $\alpha \in \widehat{C}_1$ and $(\alpha_n/\alpha_{n-1})_n \in l_\infty$ are equivalent to $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+k}) = s_\alpha^0$ for all $k \geq 0$.*

Proof. For statement (i), the condition $\alpha \in \widehat{C}_1$ implies that $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^+) = s_{(\alpha_{n-1})_n}^0$ by Theorem 2.3(ii). Now let $j \geq 1$ be an integer, and assume that $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+j}) = s_{(\alpha_{n-j})_n}^0$. Then $X \in s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+(j+1)})$ if and only if $\Delta^{+(j+1)}X \in s_\alpha^0$, which in turn is $\Delta^+X \in s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+j}) = s_{(\alpha_{n-j})_n}^0$. So $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+(j+1)}) = s_{(\alpha_{n-j})_n}^0(\Delta^+)$. Since $\alpha \in \widehat{C}_1$, then $(\alpha_{n-j})_n \in \widehat{C}_1$ and $s_{(\alpha_{n-j})_n}^0(\Delta^+) = s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+(j+1)}) = s_{(\alpha_{n-(j+1)})_n}^0$. This shows (i).

For statement (ii), the conditions $\alpha \in \widehat{C}_1$ and $\alpha_n/\alpha_{n-1} = O(1)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) imply that $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^+) = s_{(\alpha_{n-1})_n}^0 = s_\alpha^0$ by Theorem 2.3(iii)(b). This implies that $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+k}) = s_{(\alpha_{n-k})_n}^0 = s_\alpha^0$ by what we have just shown. Conversely, assume that $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+k}) = s_\alpha^0$ for all $k \geq 0$; then obviously $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^+) = s_\alpha^0$, and by Theorem 2.3 this implies that $\alpha \in \widehat{C}_1$ and $\alpha_n/\alpha_{n-1} = O(1)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). \square

Corollary 2.7. *Let $\alpha \in U^+$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^+) \neq s_\alpha^0$;
- (ii) *there is $k \geq 0$ integer such that $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+k}) \neq s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+(k+1)})$;*
- (iii) *either $\alpha \notin \widehat{C}_1$ or there is a sequence of integers $(n_i)_i$ strictly increasing to infinity such that $\alpha_{n_i}/\alpha_{n_i-1} \rightarrow \infty$ ($i \rightarrow \infty$).*

Proof. Here (i) is equivalent to (ii) by Theorem 2.3(iv), and (i) is equivalent to (iii) by Theorem 2.3(iii). \square

Corollary 2.8. *Let $\alpha \in U^+ \setminus \widehat{C}_1$. Then $(\alpha_n/\alpha_{n-1})_n \in l_\infty$ if and only if*

$$s_{(\alpha_{n-1})_n}^0 \subsetneq s_\alpha^0(\Delta^+).$$

Proof. This result comes from Theorem 2.3 parts (i) and (iii). Indeed, by Theorem 2.3(ii), $\alpha \in U^+ \setminus \widehat{C}_1$ if and only if $s_{(\alpha_{n-1})_n}^0 \neq s_\alpha^0(\Delta^+)$. \square

Using Theorem 2.3 and the equivalence (ii) and (iii) in Corollary 2.8, we get the following.

Proposition 2.9. *Let $\alpha \in U^+ \setminus \widehat{C}_1$. Then $(\alpha_n/\alpha_{n-1})_n \in l_\infty$ if and only if, for any given integer $k \geq 1$,*

$$s_\alpha^0 \subsetneq s_\alpha^0(\Delta^+) \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+k}).$$

We immediately deduce the following.

Theorem 2.10. *Let $r \geq 1$ be an integer, and let $\alpha \in U^+$. Then we have the following.*

- (i) *For any given $\beta \in U^+$, the condition*

$$s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+r}) \subset s_\beta^0 \subset s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+(r+1)}) \tag{2.2}$$

implies that $s_\alpha^0 = s_\beta^0 = s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+r})$.

- (ii) *Condition (2.2) implies that $(\alpha_n/\alpha_{n-1})_n \in l_\infty$, $\alpha \in \widehat{C}_1$ and $s_\alpha^0 = s_{(\alpha_{n+j})_n}^0$ for all $j \geq 1$.*

Proof. (i) First, the inclusion $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+r}) \subset s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+(r+1)})$ implies that

$$\Delta^{+r}X = Y \in s_\alpha^0 \quad \text{implies} \quad \Delta^{+r}Y \in s_\alpha^0 \quad \text{for all } Y \in s.$$

By Lemma 2.2, the operator represented by the infinite matrix Δ^{+r} is surjective from $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+r})$ to s_α^0 . So $\Delta^{+r} \in S_\alpha$ and $(\alpha_{n+1}/\alpha_n)_n \in l_\infty$, and by Theorem 2.3(iii), we have $s_\alpha^0 \subset s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+r})$.

Now the condition $s_\beta^0 \subset s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+(r+1)})$ implies that $I \in (s_\beta^0, s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+(r+1)}))$ and that $\Delta^{+(r+1)} \in S_{\beta, \alpha}$; and since all the diagonal entries of $\Delta^{+(r+1)}$ are equal to 1, we successively get $\beta/\alpha \in l_\infty$ and $s_\beta^0 \subset s_\alpha^0$. Then

$$s_\alpha^0 \subset s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+r}) \subset s_\beta^0 \subset s_\alpha^0$$

and $s_\alpha^0 = s_\beta^0 = s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+r})$.

(ii) By (i) and Theorem 2.3(iii), condition (2.2) implies that $(\alpha_n/\alpha_{n-1})_n \in l_\infty$ and $\alpha \in \widehat{C}_1$. Then the condition $(\alpha_n/\alpha_{n-1})_n \in l_\infty$ implies that $s_\alpha^0 \supset s_{(\alpha_{n+1})_n}^0 \supset \dots \supset s_{(\alpha_{n+j})_n}^0$ and $\alpha \in \widehat{C}_1$ implies that $(\alpha_{n-1}/\alpha_n)_n \in l_\infty$ and $s_\alpha^0 \subset s_{(\alpha_{n+1})_n}^0 \subset \dots \subset s_{(\alpha_{n+j})_n}^0$ for all $j \geq 1$. This gives the conclusion. \square

Concerning the sets $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$ and $s_\alpha(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$, we deduce from the preceding the next result.

Lemma 2.11. *Let $\alpha, \mu \in U^+$, and let $r \geq 1$ be an integer. If*

$$\alpha/\mu, (\alpha_{n-1}/(\mu_{n-1}\mu_n))_n, \dots, (\alpha_{n-r+1}/(\mu_{n-r+1} \cdots \mu_n))_n \in \widehat{C}_1 \quad (2.3)$$

holds, then we have

$$s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+r}(\mu)) = s_{(\frac{\alpha_{n-r}}{\mu_{n-r} \cdots \mu_{n-1}})_n}^0 \quad \text{and} \quad s_\alpha(\Delta^{+r}(\mu)) = s_{(\frac{\alpha_{n-r}}{\mu_{n-r+1} \cdots \mu_{n-1}})_n}.$$

Proof. It is enough to show that under (2.3), $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+r}(\mu)) = s_{(\alpha_{n-r}/(\mu_{n-r} \cdots \mu_{n-1}))_n}^0$, the other proof being similar. Since $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^+(\mu)) = s_{\alpha/\mu}^0(\Delta^+)$ by Corollary 2.6(i), we have $s_\alpha^0(\Delta^+(\mu)) = s_{(\alpha_{n-1}/\mu_{n-1})_n}^0$ for $\alpha/\mu \in \widehat{C}_1$ and the lemma holds for $r = 1$. Now let j be an integer with $1 \leq j \leq r - 1$ and assume that

$$s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+j}(\mu)) = s_{(\frac{\alpha_{n-j}}{\mu_{n-j} \cdots \mu_{n-1}})_n}^0$$

for $\alpha/\mu, (\alpha_{n-1}/(\mu_{n-1}\mu_n))_n, \dots, (\alpha_{n-j+1}/(\mu_{n-j+1} \cdots \mu_n))_n \in \widehat{C}_1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+(j+1)}(\mu)) &= \{X \in s : \Delta^{+j}(\mu)(\Delta^+(\mu)X) \in s_\alpha\} \\ &= \{X \in s : \Delta^+(\mu)X \in s_\alpha(\Delta^{+j}(\mu))\} \\ &= s_{(\alpha_{n-j}/(\mu_{n-j} \cdots \mu_{n-1}))_n}^0(\Delta^+(\mu)). \end{aligned}$$

Now the condition

$$\left(\frac{\alpha_{n-j}}{\mu_{n-j} \cdots \mu_{n-1} \mu_n} \right)_n \in \widehat{C}_1 \quad (2.4)$$

implies that

$$s_{(\frac{\alpha_{n-j}}{\mu_{n-j} \cdots \mu_{n-1}})_n}^0(\Delta^+(\mu)) = s_{(\frac{\alpha_{n-1-j}}{\mu_{n-1-j} \cdots \mu_{n-2} \mu_{n-1}})_n}^0 = s_{(\frac{\alpha_{n-(j+1)}}{\mu_{n-(j+1)} \cdots \mu_{n-1}})_n}^0.$$

Since condition (2.4) is equivalent to

$$\left(\frac{\alpha_{n-(j+1)+1}}{\mu_{n-(j+1)+1} \cdots \mu_n} \right)_n \in \widehat{C}_1,$$

we have shown how $\alpha/\mu, (\alpha_{n-1}/(\mu_{n-1}\mu_n))_n, \dots, (\alpha_{n-(j+1)+1}/(\mu_{n-(j+1)+1} \cdots \mu_n))_n \in \widehat{C}_1$ implies that

$$s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+(j+1)}(\mu)) = s_{\left(\frac{\alpha_{n-(j+1)}}{\mu_{n-(j+1)} \cdots \mu_{n-1}}\right)_n}^0.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 2.12. We immediately see that $\alpha \in \widehat{C}_1$ successively implies that

$$\begin{aligned} s_\alpha(\Delta^r) = s_\alpha, \quad s_\alpha^\circ(\Delta^r) = s_\alpha^\circ, \quad s_\alpha(\Delta^{+r}) = s_{(\alpha_{n-r})_n} \quad \text{and} \\ s_\alpha^\circ(\Delta^{+r}) = s_{(\alpha_{n-r})_n}^\circ. \end{aligned}$$

3. PROPERTIES OF THE SETS $[V]_0(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$ AND $[V]_\infty(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$

In this section, we give some conditions to have $x_k \rightarrow 0S(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$. Among other things we also make explicit interesting subsets of $[V]_0(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$ and $[V]_\infty(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$. In the following we will use the condition

$$\sup_n \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \right) < \infty \quad (3.1)$$

for given sequence $\alpha \in U^+$. We will put $\xi = (n)_n$, and we will denote by $C(\xi) = (c_{nm})_{n,m \geq 1}$, or C_1 for short, the *Cesàro operator* defined by $c_{nm} = 1/n$ for $m \leq n$ and $c_{nm} = 0$ otherwise. It can easily be seen that $C_1 \in (c_0, c_0)$ and $c_0(\Delta^{+r}(\mu)) \subset [V]_0(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$. Since $Y = \Delta^{+r}(\mu)X \in c_0$ implies that $C_1(|Y|) \in c_0$, it is interesting to explicitly define a set $E \subset s$ such that

$$c_0(\Delta^{+r}(\mu)) \not\subset E \subset [V]_0(\Delta^{+r}(\mu)). \quad (3.2)$$

We will see that we can take $E = s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$ for $\alpha \notin l_\infty$ satisfying (3.1). In this way we are led to state the following result.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\alpha \in U^+$. Assume there is a map $\varphi : \mathbb{N}^* \mapsto \mathbb{N}^*$ strictly increasing satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) $n^2/\varphi(n) = O(1)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$),
- (ii) $\alpha_{\varphi(n)}/n = O(1)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$),
- (iii) $(\sum_{k \in I, k \leq n} \alpha_k)/n = O(1)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) where $I = [\varphi(\mathbb{N}^*)]^c$.

Then $\alpha \in U^+ \setminus l_\infty$ satisfies (3.1).

Proof. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer and let i_n be the greatest integer less than n , for which $\varphi(i_n) \leq n$. So we have $\varphi(i_n) \leq n < \varphi(i_n + 1)$. Then there is $K > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k}{n} &= \frac{\alpha_{\varphi(1)} + \cdots + \alpha_{\varphi(i_n)} + \sum_{k \in I, k \leq n} \alpha_k}{n} \\ &\leq K \frac{1 + 2 + \cdots + i_n}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k \in I, k \leq n} \alpha_k \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq K \frac{i_n(i_n+1)}{\varphi(i_n)} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k \in I, k \leq n} \alpha_k = O(1) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

So we have shown that $\alpha \notin l_\infty$ and $(\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k)/n = O(1)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$).

For example, let α be a sequence satisfying $\alpha_{2^i} = i$ for all $i \geq 1$ and $\alpha_k \leq K$ for given $K > 0$ and for all $k \in I$, where $I = \{k \in \mathbb{N}^* : k \neq 2^i \text{ for all } i \geq 1\}$. Then (3.1) holds. \square

Now we can state the main result.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\alpha, \mu \in U^+$, and let $r \geq 1$ be an integer. Assume that (2.3) and (3.1) hold. Then we have*

$$x_k \rightarrow 0S(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$$

for all sequences $(x_n)_n \in s$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_{n-1} \cdots \mu_{n-r}}{\alpha_{n-r}} x_n = 0$.

Proof. We have $C(\xi)|\Delta^{+r}(\mu)X| \in c_0$ if and only if

$$|\Delta^{+r}(\mu)X| \in \Delta s_{(n)_n}^0. \quad (3.3)$$

Now (3.3) is satisfied when

$$|\Delta^{+r}(\mu)X| \in s_\alpha^0 \quad (3.4)$$

with

$$s_\alpha^0 \subset \Delta s_{(\mu_{n-r} \cdots \mu_{n-1})_n}^0. \quad (3.5)$$

Thus by Lemma 2.11, condition (2.3) implies that (3.4) holds if and only if

$$X \in s_\alpha^0(\Delta^{+r}(\mu)) = s_{(\alpha_{n-r}/(\mu_{n-r} \cdots \mu_{n-1}))_n}^0$$

and (3.1) means $D_{1/\xi} \Sigma D_\alpha \in (c_0, c_0)$, which is equivalent to (3.5). Now for $\varepsilon > 0$, we get

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |\Delta^{+r}(\mu)x_k| \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k \in I_\varepsilon(n)} |\Delta^{+r}(\mu)x_k| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{n} |\{k \leq n : |\Delta^{+r}(\mu)x_k| \geq \varepsilon\}|.$$

We easily conclude, since as we have just seen

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_{n-1} \cdots \mu_{n-r}}{\alpha_{n-r}} x_n = 0 \quad \text{implies that} \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |\Delta^{+r}(\mu)x_k| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \quad \square$$

Remark 3.3. From Theorem 3.2 under (2.3) and (3.1), we have

$$s_{(\frac{\alpha_{n-r}}{\mu_{n-1} \cdots \mu_{n-r}})}^0 \subset [V]_0(\Delta^{+r}(\mu)).$$

Using a similar argument, we also have $s_{(\frac{\alpha_{n-r}}{\mu_{n-1} \cdots \mu_{n-r}})} \subset [V]_\infty(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$.

Remark 3.4. Note that for $\mu = (2^{-n})_n$, we immediately see that

$$c_0(\Delta^+(\mu)) = s_2^0 \subset [V]_0(\Delta^+(\mu)),$$

and that

$$c_0(\Delta^{+2}(\mu)) = s_{(1/2^{-(2n-3)})_n}^0 = s_4^0 \subset [V]_0(\Delta^{+2}(\mu)).$$

In fact for $\alpha = e$, we get $\alpha/\mu = (2^n)_n$, $(\alpha_{n-1}/\mu_{n-1}\mu_n)_n = (2^{2n-1})_n \in \widehat{C}_1$.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\beta, \mu \in U^+$, and let $r \geq 1$ be an integer. Assume that $(n/\beta_n)_n \in l_\infty$ and $\beta/\mu, (\beta_{n-1}/(\mu_{n-1}\mu_n))_n, \dots, (\beta_{n-r+1}/(\mu_{n-r+1} \cdots \mu_n))_n \in \widehat{C}_1$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} [V]_0(\Delta^{+r}(\mu)) &\subset s_{(\beta_{n-r}/(\mu_{n-r} \cdots \mu_{n-1}))_n}^0 && \text{and} \\ [V]_\infty(\Delta^{+r}(\mu)) &\subset s_{(\beta_{n-r}/(\mu_{n-r} \cdots \mu_{n-1}))_n}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Proof. As we have seen above, $X \in [V]_0(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$ if and only if

$$|\Delta^{+r}(\mu)X| \in \Delta s_{(n)_n}^0.$$

Now since $(n/\beta_n) \in l_\infty$, we have $D_{1/\beta}\Delta D_{(n)_n} \in (c_0, c_0)$ and $\Delta s_{(n)_n}^0 \subset s_\beta^0$. Therefore, $|\Delta^{+r}(\mu)X| \in s_\beta^0$ and $X \in s_\beta^0(\Delta^{+r}(\mu))$. Finally by Lemma 2.11, we have

$$s_\beta^0(\Delta^{+r}(\mu)) = s_{(\beta_{n-r}/(\mu_{n-r} \cdots \mu_{n-1}))_n}^0$$

and the first inclusion given in (3.6) holds. The other inclusion can be shown similarly. \square

Corollary 3.6. *Let $\beta, \mu \in U^+$, and assume that $(n/\beta_n)_{n \geq 1} \in l_\infty$ and $\beta/\mu \in \widehat{C}_1$. Then we have*

$$[V]_0(\Delta^+(\mu)) \subset s_{(\beta_{n-1}/\mu_{n-1})_n}^0 \quad \text{and} \quad [V]_\infty(\Delta^+(\mu)) \subset s_{(\beta_{n-1}/\mu_{n-1})_n}.$$

We also have the next result.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $\alpha, \mu \in U^+$ satisfy the conditions $(\alpha_n/\alpha_{n-1})_n \in l_\infty$ and $(\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \mu_k)/n = O(1)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). Then $x_k \rightarrow 0S(\Delta^+(\mu))$ for all $X \in s_\alpha^0$.*

Proof. Let $X \in s_\alpha^0$. First, we have $D_{(1/n)_n}\Sigma D_{\mu\alpha} = (\sigma_{nm})_{n,m \geq 1}$ with $\sigma_{nm} = \mu_n \alpha_m/n$ for $m \leq n$ and $\sigma_{nm} = 0$ for $m > n$; and since $(\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \mu_k)/n = O(1)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), we deduce that $D_{(1/n)_n}\Sigma D_{\mu\alpha} \in S_1$ and $\sigma_{nm} \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) for all m . So by the characterization of (c_0, c_0) , we conclude that $D_{(1/n)_n}\Sigma D_{\mu\alpha} \in (c_0, c_0)$. Then $(\Sigma D_\mu)s_\alpha^0 \subset s_{(n)_n}^0$ and $s_\alpha^0 \subset D_{1/\mu}\Delta s_{(n)_n}^0$. Now, by Corollary 2.6 the condition $(\alpha_n/\alpha_{n-1})_n \in l_\infty$ implies that $s_\alpha^0 \subset s_\alpha^0(\Delta^+)$; we then have $|\Delta^+X| \in s_\alpha^0$ for all $X \in s_\alpha^0$. Then $|\Delta^+X| \in D_{1/\mu}\Delta s_{(n)_n}^0$ (i.e., $C((n)_n)|\Delta^+(\mu)X| \in c_0$ for all $X \in s_\alpha^0$). This concludes the proof. \square

These results lead us to compare Theorem 3.2 with Proposition 3.7. In this way we can finish the next remark.

Remark 3.8. Note that Theorem 3.2 does not imply Proposition 3.7, and conversely Proposition 3.7 does not imply Theorem 3.2. Indeed, let α be a sequence defined by $\alpha_{2^i} = i$ for all $i \geq 1$ and $\alpha_k = 1$ for all $k \in I$, where $I = \{k \in \mathbb{N}^* : k \neq 2^i \text{ for all } i \geq 1\}$. For $\mu = (2^{-n})_n$, we deduce that Theorem 3.2 holds for $r = 1$ and that Proposition 3.7 cannot be satisfied. Now take $\alpha = 1/\mu = (2^n)_n$; then $x_k \rightarrow 0S(\Delta^+(\mu))$ by Proposition 3.7 and α does not satisfy (2.3) and Theorem 3.2 with $r = 1$.

Acknowledgment. Mursaleen's work was partially supported by the Department of Science and Technology, New Delhi, under grant SR/S4/MS:792/12.

REFERENCES

1. A. Alotaibi, B. Hazarika, and S. A. Mohiuddine, *On lacunary statistical convergence of double sequences in locally solid Riesz spaces*, J. Comput. Anal. Appl. **17** (2014), no. 1, 156–165. [Zbl 1314.40004](#). [MR3183977](#). [2](#)
2. A. Alotaibi and M. Mursaleen, *Generalized statistical convergence of difference sequences*, Adv. Difference Equ. **2013** (2013), 5 pp. [MR3089863](#). [DOI 10.1186/1687-1847-2013-212](#). [2](#)
3. R. Çolak and Ç. Bektaş, *λ -statistical convergence of order α* , Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed. **31** (2011), no. 3, 953–959. [Zbl 1240.40016](#). [MR2830535](#). [DOI 10.1016/S0252-9602\(11\)60288-9](#). [2](#)
4. J. S. Connor, *The statistical and strong p -Cesàro convergence of sequences*, Analysis (Berlin) **8** (1988), no. 1–2, 47–63. [Zbl 0653.40001](#). [MR0954458](#). [1](#)
5. M. Et, *Spaces of Cesàro difference sequences of order r defined by a modulus function in a locally convex space*, Taiwanese J. Math. **10** (2006), no. 4, 865–879. [Zbl 1149.46008](#). [MR2229627](#). [2](#)
6. M. Et, Y. Altin, and H. Altinok, *On some generalized difference sequence spaces defined by a modulus function*, Filomat **17** (2003), 23–33. [Zbl 1050.40001](#). [MR2077347](#). [2](#)
7. M. Et and F. Nuray, *Δ^m -statistical convergence*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **32** (2001), no. 6, 961–969. [Zbl 1028.46033](#). [MR1848005](#). [2](#)
8. H. Fast, *Sur la convergence statistique*, Colloq. Math. **2** (1951), 241–244. [Zbl 0044.33605](#). [MR0048548](#). [1](#)
9. J. A. Fridy, *On statistical convergence*, Analysis (Berlin) **5** (1985), 301–313. [Zbl 0588.40001](#). [MR0816582](#). [DOI 10.1524/anly.1985.5.4.301](#). [1](#)
10. J. A. Fridy, *Statistical limit points*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **118** (1993), 1187–1192. [Zbl 0776.40001](#). [MR1181163](#). [DOI 10.2307/2160076](#). [1](#)
11. J. A. Fridy and C. Orhan, *Lacunary statistical convergence*, Pacific J. Math. **160** (1993), no. 1, 43–51. [Zbl 0794.60012](#). [MR1227502](#). [1](#), [2](#)
12. J. A. Fridy and C. Orhan, *Statistical core theorems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **208** (1997), no. 2, 520–527. [Zbl 0872.40001](#). [MR1441452](#). [DOI 10.1006/jmaa.1997.5350](#). [2](#)
13. I. J. Maddox, *Infinite Matrices of Operators*, Lecture Notes in Math. **786**, Springer, Berlin, 1980. [Zbl 0424.40002](#). [MR0568707](#). [5](#)
14. B. de Malafosse, *On some BK space*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **28** (2003), no. 28, 1783–1801. [Zbl 1023.46010](#). [MR1986671](#). [DOI 10.1155/S0161171203204324](#). [4](#), [5](#)
15. B. de Malafosse, *On the sets of sequences that are strongly α -bounded and α -convergent to naught with index p* , Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino **61** (2003), no. 1, 13–32. [Zbl 1072.40005](#). [MR2034596](#). [6](#), [7](#)
16. B. de Malafosse, *Calculations on some sequence spaces*, Int. J. of Math. and Math. Sci. **31** (2004), no. 29–32, 1653–1670. [Zbl 1082.46007](#). [MR2085086](#). [DOI 10.1155/S0161171204209401](#). [5](#), [6](#)
17. B. de Malafosse and E. Malkowsky, *Sequence spaces and inverse of an infinite matrix*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) **51** (2002), no. 2, 277–294. [Zbl 1194.46006](#). [MR1916930](#). [DOI 10.1007/BF02871655](#). [5](#)

18. B. de Malafosse and E. Malkowsky, *Matrix transformations in the sets $\chi(\overline{N}_p\overline{N}_q)$ where χ is in the form s_ξ , or s_ξ° , or $s_\xi^{(c)}$* , Filomat **17** (2003), 85–106. [Zbl 1052.47023](#). [MR2077352](#). [6](#)
19. S. A. Mohiuddine and A. Alotaibi, *Statistical summability of double sequences through de la Vallée-Poussin mean in probabilistic normed spaces*, Abst. Appl. Anal. **2013**, art. ID 215612. [Zbl 1303.40004](#). [MR3132539](#). [2](#)
20. A. Wilansky, *Summability through Functional Analysis*, North-Holland Math. Stud. **85**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984. [Zbl 0531.40008](#). [MR0738632](#). [4](#)

¹LMAH UNIVERSITÉ DU HAVRE, BP 4006 IUT LE HAVRE, 76610 LE HAVRE, FRANCE.
E-mail address: bdemalaf@wanadoo.fr

²DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ALIGARH MUSLIM UNIVERSITY, ALIGARH 202 002, INDIA.
E-mail address: mursaleenm@gmail.com

³DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NIŠ, VIDEGRADSKA 33, 18000 NIŠ, SERBIA.
E-mail address: vrakoc@ptt.rs