

Rigidity of time changes for horocycle flows

by

MARINA RATNER⁽¹⁾

*University of California
Berkeley, CA, U.S.A.*

Let T_t be a measure preserving (m.p.) flow on a probability space (X, μ) and let τ be a positive integrable function on X , $\int_X \tau d\mu = \bar{\tau}$. We say that a flow T_t^τ is obtained from T_t by the time change τ if

$$T_t^\tau(x) = T_{w(x,t)}(x)$$

for μ -almost every (a.e.) $x \in X$ and all $t \in \mathbf{R}$, where $w(x, t)$ is defined by

$$\int_0^{w(x,t)} \tau(T_u x) du = t.$$

The flow T_t^τ preserves the probability measure μ_τ on X defined by

$$d\mu_\tau(x) = (\tau/\bar{\tau}) d\mu(x), \quad x \in X.$$

We say that two integrable functions $\tau_1, \tau_2: (X, \mu) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ are homologous along T_t if there is a measurable $v: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$\int_0^t (\tau_1 - \tau_2)(T_u x) du = v(T_t x) - v(x)$$

for μ -a.e. $x \in X$ and all $t \in \mathbf{R}$. One can check that two time changes τ_1 and τ_2 are homologous via v if and only if (iff) the map $\psi_v: X \rightarrow X$ defined by

$$\psi_v(x) = T_{v(x)} x,$$

⁽¹⁾ Partially supported by NSF grant MCS 81-02262.

where $\int_0^{\sigma(x)} \tau_2(T_u x) du = v(x)$, is an invertible conjugacy between $T_t^{\tau_1}$ and $T_t^{\tau_2}$, i.e.

$$\psi_v T_t^{\tau_1}(x) = T_t^{\tau_2} \psi_v(x)$$

for a.e. $x \in X$ and all $t \in \mathbf{R}$. If T_t is ergodic and τ_1, τ_2 are homologous along T_t via some measurable functions v_1 and v_2 then $v_2 - v_1$ is equal to a constant a.e.

Let G denote the group $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$ equipped with a left invariant Riemannian metric and let \mathbf{T} be the set of all discrete subgroups Γ of G such that the quotient space $M = \Gamma \backslash G = \{\Gamma g : g \in G\}$ has finite volume. The horocycle flow h_t and the geodesic flow g_t on M are defined by

$$h_t(\Gamma g) = \Gamma g \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$g_t(\Gamma g) = \Gamma g \begin{pmatrix} e^t & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t} \end{pmatrix}$$

$g \in G, t \in \mathbf{R}$. The flows h_t and g_t preserve the normalized volume measure μ on M , are ergodic and mixing on (M, μ) and

$$g_t \circ h_s = h_{s e^{2t}} \circ g_t \quad (*)$$

for all $s, t \in \mathbf{R}$.

In order to state our main theorem we shall need the following notations. Let

$$K = \left\{ K_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} : \theta \in (-\pi, \pi] \right\}$$

be the rotation subgroup of G . We say that a real valued function φ on $M = \Gamma \backslash G, \Gamma \in \mathbf{T}$ is Hölder continuous in the direction of K with the Hölder exponent $\delta > 0$ if

$$|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq C_\varphi |\theta|^\delta$$

for some $C_\varphi > 0$ and all $x, y \in M$ with $y = R_\theta(x)$, where $R_\theta(\Gamma g) = \Gamma g K_\theta, g \in G$. It was shown in [2] that if $\varphi \in L_2(M, \mu)$ is Hölder continuous in the direction of K with $\delta > \frac{1}{2}$ and $\bar{\varphi} = 0$ then

$$\left| \int_M \varphi(x) \varphi(h_t x) d\mu(x) \right| \leq D_\varphi |t|^{-\alpha_\varphi} \quad (**)$$

for some $D_\varphi, \alpha_\varphi > 0$ and all $t \neq 0$. We shall denote by $\mathbf{K}(M)$ the set of all positive integrable functions τ on M such that τ and τ^{-1} are bounded and $\tau - \bar{\tau}$ satisfies (**) for some $D_\tau, \alpha_\tau > 0$.

THEOREM 1. *Let $h_i^{(0)}$ be the horocycle flow on $(M_i = \Gamma_i \backslash G, \mu_i)$, $\Gamma_i \in \mathbf{T}$, $i=1, 2$ and let $h_i^{\tau_i}$ be obtained from $h_i^{(0)}$ by a time change $\tau_i \in \mathbf{K}(M_i)$, $i=1, 2$, with $\bar{\tau}_1 = \bar{\tau}_2$. Suppose that there is a measure preserving $\psi: (M_1, \mu_{\tau_1}) \rightarrow (M_2, \mu_{\tau_2})$ such that*

$$\psi h_i^{\tau_1}(x) = h_i^{\tau_2} \psi(x)$$

for μ_{τ_1} -a.e. $x \in M_1$ and all $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Then there are $C \in G$ and a measurable $\sigma: M_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$C\Gamma_1 C^{-1} \subset \Gamma_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(x) = h_{\sigma(\psi_C(x))}^{(2)}(\psi_C(x))$$

for μ_1 -a.e. $x \in M_1$, where $\psi_C(\Gamma_1 g) = \Gamma_2 Cg$, $g \in G$.

The second conclusion of Theorem 1 says that τ_1 and τ_C defined by $\tau_C(x) = \tau_2(\psi_C(x))$, $x \in M_1$ are homologous along $h_i^{(1)}$ via v_C defined by

$$v_C(x) = \int_0^{\sigma(\psi_C(x))} \tau_C(h_u^{(1)} x) du, \quad x \in M_1.$$

Let us note that it follows from [1] that if $\psi: (M_1, \mu_{\tau_1}) \rightarrow (M_2, \mu_{\tau_2})$ is an invertible measurable conjugacy between $h_i^{\tau_1}$ and $h_i^{\tau_2}$ then ψ is in fact measure preserving. The same is true when ψ is not invertible and M_2 is compact.

We assumed in Theorem 1 that $\bar{\tau}_1 = \bar{\tau}_2$. Suppose now that $a = \bar{\tau}_1 \neq \bar{\tau}_2 = b$ and let

$$\bar{\tau}_1(x) = \frac{b}{a} \tau_1(g_{-s} x), \quad s = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{a}{b}, \quad x \in M_1, \quad \bar{\tau}_1 = b.$$

The commutation relation (*) shows that $h_i^{\tau_1}$ and $h_i^{\bar{\tau}_1}$ are isomorphic via g_s , i.e. $g_s \circ h_i^{\tau_1} = h_i^{\bar{\tau}_1} \circ g_s$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$. We get the following:

COROLLARY 1. *Let $\tau_i \in \mathbf{K}(M_i)$, $i=1, 2$ and $\bar{\tau}_1 = a$, $\bar{\tau}_2 = b$. Suppose that $h_i^{\tau_1}$ is conjugate to $h_i^{\tau_2}$ via a measure preserving $\psi: (M_1, \mu_{\tau_1}) \rightarrow (M_2, \mu_{\tau_2})$. Then there are $C \in G$ and a measurable $\sigma: M_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that $C\Gamma_1 C^{-1} \subset \Gamma_2$ and $\psi(x) = h_{\sigma(\psi_C(g_s x))}^{(2)} \psi_C(g_s x)$ for μ_1 -a.e. $x \in M_1$, where ψ_C is as in Theorem 1 and $s = \frac{1}{2} \log(a/b)$.*

COROLLARY 2. *Let $\tau_i \in \mathbf{K}(M_i)$, $i=1, 2$, $\bar{\tau}_1 = a$, $\bar{\tau}_2 = b$. Then $h_i^{\tau_1}$ is isomorphic to $h_i^{\tau_2}$ if and only if there is $C \in G$ such that $C\Gamma_1 C^{-1} = \Gamma_2$ and $\tau_1(x)$ and $(a/b)\tau_2(\psi_C(g_s x))$, $x \in M_1$ are homologous along $h_i^{(1)}$, where $s = \frac{1}{2} \log(a/b)$. Every isomorphism between $h_i^{\tau_1}$ and $h_i^{\tau_2}$ has the form as in Corollary 1.*

THEOREM 2. *Let $h_i^{(0)}$ be the horocycle flow on $(M_i = \Gamma_i \backslash G, \mu_i)$, $\Gamma_i \in \mathbf{T}$ and let $h_i^{\tau_i}$ be obtained from $h_i^{(0)}$ by a time change $\tau_i \in \mathbf{K}(M_i)$, $i=1, 2$. Suppose that $h_p^{\tau_i}$ is ergodic for some $p \neq 0$, $i=1, 2$ and there is a measure preserving $\psi: (M_1, \mu_{\tau_1}) \rightarrow (M_2, \mu_{\tau_2})$ such that*

$$\psi h_p^{\tau_1}(x) = h_p^{\tau_2} \psi(x)$$

for μ_{τ_1} -a.e. $x \in M_1$. Then ψ is a conjugacy of the flows $h_i^{\tau_1}$ and $h_i^{\tau_2}$, i.e.

$$\psi h_i^{\tau_1}(x) = h_i^{\tau_2} \psi(x)$$

for μ_{τ_1} -a.e. $x \in M_1$ and all $t \in \mathbf{R}$.

For $\Gamma \in \mathbf{T}$ let $\tilde{\Gamma} = \{C \in G: CTC^{-1} = \Gamma\} \in \mathbf{T}$ be the normalizer of Γ in G . Let $\mathbf{K}_1(M)$ be the set of all $\tau \in \mathbf{K}(M)$ with $\bar{\tau} = 1$, $M = \Gamma \backslash G$. We say that $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathbf{K}_1(M)$ are homologous modulo $\tilde{\Gamma}$ if there is $C \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ such that τ_1 and $\tau_2 = \tau_1 \circ \psi_C$ are homologous along h_t . Corollary 2 says that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the isomorphism classes of h_t^{τ} , $\tau \in \mathbf{K}_1(M)$ and the homology classes of $\tau \in \mathbf{K}_1(M) \bmod \tilde{\Gamma}$.

Let f_t be a m.p. flow on a probability space (X, μ) and let $\Psi(f_t)$ be the set of all isomorphisms $\psi: X \rightarrow X$ such that $\psi f_t(x) = f_t \psi(x)$ for μ -a.e. $x \in X$ and all $t \in \mathbf{R}$, i.e. ψ commutes with every f_t , $t \in \mathbf{R}$. We say that $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \Psi(f_t)$ are equivalent if $\psi_2 = f_p \circ \psi_1$ a.e. for some $p \in \mathbf{R}$. Let $\kappa(f_t)$ denote the set of equivalence classes in $\Psi(f_t)$. We define a group operation in $\kappa(f_t)$ by $[\psi_1] \cdot [\psi_2] = [\psi_1 \circ \psi_2]$, where $[\psi]$ denotes the equivalence class of ψ . The group $\kappa(f_t)$ is called the commutant of f_t (see [6]).

It follows from Corollary 2 that if $\tau \in \mathbf{K}(M)$ and $\psi \in \Psi(h_t^{\tau})$ then there are $C \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ and a measurable $\sigma_C: M \rightarrow M$ unique up to an additive constant such that τ and $\tau_C = \tau \circ \psi_C$ are homologous along h_t and $\psi = h_{\sigma_C}^{\tau} \circ \psi_C$ a.e. This implies that

$$\kappa(h_t^{\tau}) = \{[h_{\sigma_C}^{\tau} \psi_C]: C \in \tilde{\Gamma}\}.$$

The map $\pi: \kappa(h_t^{\tau}) \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash \tilde{\Gamma}$ defined by $\pi[h_{\sigma_C}^{\tau} \psi_C] = \Gamma C$, $C \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ is a group isomorphism from $\kappa(h_t^{\tau})$ onto a subgroup of $\Gamma \backslash \tilde{\Gamma}$. The group $\Gamma \backslash \tilde{\Gamma}$ is finite, since $\Gamma \in \mathbf{T}$. We get the following:

COROLLARY 3. *If $\tau \in \mathbf{K}(M)$ then the commutant $\kappa(h_t^{\tau})$ is finite and is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\Gamma \backslash \tilde{\Gamma}$. If $\Gamma = \tilde{\Gamma}$ or τ is not homologous to τ_C for any $C \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ different from the identity then the commutant $\kappa(h_t^{\tau})$ is trivial.*

In view of [2] we get:

COROLLARY 4. *All the above results hold for time changes Hölder continuous in the direction of K with the Hölder exponent greater than $\frac{1}{2}$ (in particular, C^1 -functions in the direction of K) and bounded together with their reciprocals.*

Summarizing, we conclude that if $\tau \in K(M)$ then h_t^τ inherits all the rigid properties of h_t found in [6].

Finally, let us note that for any $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \in \mathcal{T}$ the horocycle flows $h_t^{(1)}$ and $h_t^{(2)}$ are Kakutani equivalent (see [4, 7]). This means that there is a time change $\tau_1: M_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ such that $h_t^{(2)}$ is isomorphic to $h_t^{\tau_1}$. It follows from [3] that τ_1 can be assumed differentiable and bounded on M_1 , but some partial derivatives of τ_1 may be unbounded. Our Corollary 4 shows that there is no such a τ_1 with bounded τ_1^{-1} and bounded partial derivatives unless Γ_1 and Γ_2 are conjugate in G .

I am grateful to C. Moore for proving [2] at my request.

1. Preliminaries

Let $p: G \rightarrow M = \Gamma \backslash G$, $\Gamma \in \mathcal{T}$ be the covering projection $p(g) = \Gamma g$, $g \in G$. Let

$$G_t g = g \cdot \begin{pmatrix} e^t & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad H_t g = g \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad g \in G, t \in \mathbf{R}$$

be the geodesic and the horocycle flows on G , covering g_t and h_t on M respectively. We shall also consider the flow $H_t^* g = g \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ on G , covering the flow $h_t^*(\Gamma g) = \Gamma H_t^* g$ on M . We have

$$\begin{aligned} G_t \circ H_s &= H_{s e^{2t}} \circ G_t \\ G_t \circ H_s^* &= H_{s e^{-2t}}^* \circ G_t \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

$t, s \in \mathbf{R}$. We shall assume without loss of generality that the Riemannian metric in G is such that the length of the orbit intervals $[g, G_t g]$, $[g, H_t g]$ and $[g, H_t^* g]$ is t , $g \in G$. We shall denote by d the metric on G (or on M) induced by this Riemannian metric.

For $g \in G$ and $a, b, c > 0$ denote

$$U(g; a, b, c) = \{ \tilde{g} \in G: \tilde{g} = H_r H_z^* G_p g \text{ for some } |p| \leq a, |z| \leq b, |r| \leq c \}$$

$$U(g; \varepsilon) = U(g; \varepsilon, \varepsilon, \varepsilon).$$

We have

$$U(g; a, b, c) = g \cdot U(\mathbf{e}; a, b, c)$$

where \mathbf{e} denotes the identity element of G . It follows from (1.1) that

$$G_\tau U(g; a, b, c) = U(G_\tau g; a, b e^{-2\tau}, c e^{2\tau}), \quad \tau \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Denote $W(g) = \{H_s^* G_t g; t, s \in \mathbf{R}\}$. The set $W(g)$ is called the stable leaf of g for the geodesic flow G_t . Let

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in U(\mathbf{e}; \varepsilon), \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

Suppose that for $s > 0$ there is $q(s) > 0$ such that

$$H_{q(s)} g \in W(H_s \mathbf{e}).$$

The function $q(s)$ is uniquely defined by s and g and

$$\begin{aligned} H_s g &= H_{r(s)} H_{z(s)}^* G_{p(s)}(H_s \mathbf{e}) \\ q(s) &= s + r(s) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} e^{p(s)} &= (d - bs)^{-1} \\ z(s) &= b e^{p(s)} \\ r(s) &= -e^{p(s)}(bs^2 + Ls - c) \\ L &= a - d. \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

One can compute that if

$$g = G_p H_z^* \mathbf{e}$$

then

$$H_{q(s)} g = G_\alpha H_\beta^*(G_p H_z^* H_s \mathbf{e})$$

where

$$|\alpha| \leq L_1 |q(s) z|, \quad |\beta| \leq L_2 |z\alpha| \tag{1.3}$$

for some $0 < L_1, L_2 \leq 2$, if z and p are sufficiently small.

For $0 < \eta < 1$ and

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in U(\mathbf{e}; \varepsilon)$$

denote

$$E = E(\mathbf{e}, g, \eta) = \{s \in \mathbf{R}^+ : |bs^2 + Ls| \leq 4s^{1-\eta}\}.$$

The set E consists of at most two connected components $E_0 = E_0(\mathbf{e}, g, \eta) = [0, l_0]$ and $E_1 = E_1(\mathbf{e}, g, \eta) = [l_1, l_2]$ for some $l_i = l_i(\mathbf{e}, g, \eta) > 0$ $i=0, 1, 2$ and $l_0 \leq l_1 \leq l_2$, where E_1 might be empty. One can compute that

$$|b| \leq \frac{\tilde{D}}{l_0^{1+\eta}}, \quad |L| \leq \frac{\tilde{D}}{l_0^\eta}$$

for some $\tilde{D} > 0$. This implies via (1.2) that

$$|z(s)| \leq \frac{D}{l_0^{1+\eta}}, \quad |p(s)| \leq \frac{D}{l_0^\eta} \quad (1.4)$$

for some $0 < D < 100$ and all $0 \leq s \leq l_0$, if $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small.

For $x, y \in G$, $y \in U(x; \varepsilon)$ denote $l_0(x, y, \eta) = l_0(e, x^{-1}y, \eta)$ and for $0 < r \leq l_0(x, y, \eta)$ denote

$$B(x, y, \eta) = \{(H_s x, H_{q(s)} y) : 0 \leq s \leq r\}. \quad (1.5)$$

The set $B(x, y, \eta)$ will be called the (ε, η) -block of x, y of length r . Expression (1.4) shows that

$$H_{q(s)} y \in U\left(H_s x; \frac{D}{l_0^\eta}, \frac{D}{l_0^{1+\eta}}, 0\right)$$

for all $0 \leq s \leq r$.

2. Dynamical properties of h_t

In this section we shall prove the following

LEMMA 2.1 (Basic). *Let h_t be the horocycle flow on $(M = \Gamma \backslash G, \mu)$, $\Gamma \in \mathbf{T}$. Given $0 < \eta < 1$, $0 < \omega < 1$ and $m > 1$, there are $\gamma = \gamma(\eta) > 0$, $0 < \theta = \theta(\gamma) < 1$, a compact $Y = Y(\gamma, \omega) \subset M$ with $\mu(Y) > 1 - \omega$ and $0 < \varepsilon = \varepsilon(Y, m) < 1$ possessing the following property. Let $u \in Y$, $v \in U(u; \varepsilon)$, and a subset $A \subset \mathbf{R}^+$ satisfy the following conditions (i) $0 \in A$, (ii) if $s \in A$ then $h_s u \in Y$ and there is $t(s) > 0$ increasing in s such that $h_{t(s)} v \in U(h_s u; \varepsilon)$, (iii)*

$|(t(s')-t(s))-(s'-s)| \leq (s'-s)^{1-\eta}$ for all $s, s' \in A$ with $\max\{(s'-s), (t(s')-t(s))\} \geq m$.
Then

(1) if $\lambda \in A$ and $l(A \cap [0, \lambda])/\lambda > 1 - \theta/8$ then there is $s_\lambda \in A \cap [0, \lambda]$ such that

$$h_{t(s_\lambda)} v \in U \left(h_{s_\lambda} u; \frac{D}{\lambda^{2\gamma}}, \frac{D}{\lambda^{1+2\gamma}}, \varepsilon \right)$$

for some $D > 0$, where $l(C)$ denotes the length measure of C ,

(2) if $A \cap [0, \lambda] \neq \emptyset$ for all $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ and $l(A \cap [0, \lambda])/\lambda > 1 - \theta/8$ for all $\lambda \in A$ with $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ then $v = h_p u$ for some $p \in \mathbf{R}$.

Let us introduce some notations. Let I be an interval in \mathbf{R} and let J_i, J_j be disjoint subintervals of $I, J_i = [x_i, y_i], y_i < x_j$ if $i < j$. Denote $d(J_i, J_j) = l[y_i, x_j] = x_j - y_i$.

We shall use the following lemma whose proof in [5] is due to R. Solovay.

LEMMA 2.2. Given $\gamma > 0$, there is $0 < \theta = \theta(\gamma) < 1$ such that if I is an interval of length t (t is big) and $\alpha = \{J_1, \dots, J_n\}$ is a partition of I into black and white intervals such that

- (1) $d(J_i, J_j) \geq [\min\{l(J_i), l(J_j)\}]^{1+\gamma}$ for any two black $J_i, J_j \in \alpha$
- (2) $l(J) \leq 3t/4$ for any black $J \in \alpha$
- (3) $l(J) \geq 1$ for any white $J \in \alpha$

then $m_w(t, \alpha) \geq \theta$, where $m_w(t, \alpha)$ denotes the total relative measure of white intervals of α on I .

For given $0 < \eta < 1, 0 < \omega < 1$ and $m > 1$ we shall now specify the choice of γ, θ, Y and ε in Lemma 2.1.

First we choose $0 < \gamma < \eta/2$ satisfying

$$\frac{2}{1+\gamma} - 1 + \eta > 1 + 2\gamma. \quad (2.1)$$

The reason for this choice will be clear later.

Let $\theta = \theta(\gamma)$ be as in Lemma 2.2.

Since Γ is discrete, there are a compact $K \subset M, \mu(K) > 1 - 0.1 \min\{\gamma, \omega\}$ and $0 < \Delta < 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{if } x \in p^{-1}(K) = \tilde{K}, d(x, y) < \Delta \text{ and} \\ & d(H_t x, \mathbf{D}H_s y) < \Delta \text{ for some } e \neq \mathbf{D} \in \Gamma \\ & \text{then } \max\{|t|, |s|\} \geq m. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{if } x \in \tilde{K}, d(x, y) < \Delta \text{ and } d(x, \mathbf{D} \cdot y) < \Delta \\ & \text{for some } \mathbf{D} \in \Gamma, \text{ then } \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{e}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Since the geodesic flow g_t is ergodic on (M, μ) , given $\omega > 0$ there are a compact $\tilde{Y} = \tilde{Y}(\omega) \subset M$, $\mu(\tilde{Y}) > 1 - 0.1\omega$ and $t_0 = t_0(\tilde{Y}) > 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{if } w \in \tilde{Y}, t \geq t_0 \text{ then the relative length measure} \\ & \text{of } K \text{ on } [w, g_{-t}w] \text{ is greater than } 1 - 0.2\gamma. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Set $Y = K \cap \tilde{Y}$, $\mu(Y) > 1 - 0.2\omega$.

Let $\varrho > 1$ be such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \log \varrho > t_0 \quad \text{and} \quad 100\varrho^{-0.1\gamma} < \Delta/6. \quad (2.5)$$

Now we choose $0 < \varepsilon < \Delta$ so small that if $g \in W_\varepsilon(\mathbf{e})$, $g \in G$, then

$$l_0(\mathbf{e}, g, \eta) > \max\{\varrho, m\}. \quad (2.6)$$

(See (1.4).)

Thus $0 < \gamma, \theta, \varepsilon < 1$ and $Y \subset M$ have been chosen. The reason for these choices will become clear later.

Now let us describe a construction used in the proof of Lemma 2.3 below.

Let $u \in Y$, $v \in W_\varepsilon(u)$. We say that $(x, y) \in G \times G$ cover (u, v) if $y \in W_\varepsilon(x)$ and $p(x) = u$, $p(y) = v$. Let $B(x, y, \eta)$ be the (ε, η) -block of x, y of length r defined in (1.5). The set

$$B(u, v, \eta) = pB(x, y, \eta) = \{(h_s u, h_{q(s)} v) : 0 \leq s \leq r\}$$

will be called the (ε, η) -block of u, v of length $r \leq l_0(x, y, \eta) = l_0(u, v, \eta)$. We shall write

$$B(u, v, \eta) = \{(u, v), (h_r u, h_{q(r)} v)\} = \{(u, v), (\bar{u}, \bar{v})\}$$

emphasizing that (u, v) is the first and (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) is the last pair of the block $B(u, v, \eta)$. It follows from (1.4) that $h_{q(s)} v = h_{z(s)}^* g_{p(s)}(h_s u)$ where

$$|p(s)| \leq \frac{D}{l_0^\eta}, \quad |z(s)| \leq \frac{D}{l_0^{1+\eta}} \quad (2.7)$$

for all $s \in [0, r]$, where $l_0 = l_0(u, v, \eta)$.

Henceforth the symbol D will always mean a positive constant which can be chosen less than 100 if $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small.

Let $\beta = \{B_1, \dots, B_n\}$, $B_i = \{(u_i, v_i), (\bar{u}_i, \bar{v}_i)\}$ $i=1, \dots, n$ be a collection of pairwise disjoint (ε, η) -blocks on the orbit intervals $[u_1, h_\lambda u_1]$, $[v_1, h_{t(\lambda)} v_1]$ for some large λ , $t(\lambda) > 0$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}_n &= h_\lambda u_1, \quad \bar{v}_n = h_{t(\lambda)} v_1 \\ u_i, \bar{u}_i &\in Y, \quad v_i \in W_\varepsilon(u_i), \quad \bar{v}_i \in W_\varepsilon(\bar{u}_i) \\ u_i &= h_{s_i} u_1, \quad v_i = h_{t_i} v_1, \quad \bar{u}_i = h_{\bar{s}_i} u_1, \quad \bar{v}_i = h_{\bar{t}_i} v_1 \end{aligned}$$

for some $s_i, t_i, \bar{s}_i, \bar{t}_i > 0$, $\bar{s}_i < s_j \leq \lambda$, $\bar{t}_i < t_j \leq t(\lambda)$ if $i < j$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$.

Let $(x_i, y_i) \in G \times G$, $y_i \in W_\varepsilon(x_i)$ cover (u_i, v_i) . Although $v_j \in W_\varepsilon(u_j)$ it is not necessarily true that $H_{t_j - t_i} y_i \in W_\varepsilon(H_{s_j - s_i} x_i)$, but there is a unique $D \in \Gamma$ such that

$$D \cdot y_j \in W_\varepsilon(x_j) \tag{2.8}$$

where $y_j = H_{t_j - t_i} y_i$, $x_j = H_{s_j - s_i} x_i$. We shall write

$$(u_i, v_i) \stackrel{\Gamma}{\sim} (u_j, v_j) \quad \text{if } D \neq e \text{ in (2.8)}$$

$$(u_i, v_i) \stackrel{e}{\sim} (u_j, v_j) \quad \text{if } D = e \text{ in (2.8)}.$$

This definition does not depend on the choice of $(x_i, y_i) \in G \times G$ covering (u_i, v_i) . For $B_i, B_j \in \beta$, $i < j$ we write

$$d(B_i, B_j) = s \quad \text{if } u_j = h_s \bar{u}_i$$

$$B_i \stackrel{\Gamma}{\sim} B_j \quad \text{if } (u_i, v_i) \stackrel{\Gamma}{\sim} (u_j, v_j)$$

$$B_i \stackrel{e}{\sim} B_j \quad \text{if } (u_i, v_i) \stackrel{e}{\sim} (u_j, v_j).$$

We shall impose on β the following conditions

$$s_j - s_i > l_0(u_i, v_i, \eta)$$

$$|(t_j - t_i) - (s_j - s_i)| \leq 2(s_j - s_i)^{1-\eta}$$

$$|(\bar{t}_j - \bar{t}_i) - (\bar{s}_j - \bar{s}_i)| \leq 2(\bar{s}_j - \bar{s}_i)^{1-\eta}$$

$$\text{if } i < j \text{ and } B_i \stackrel{e}{\sim} B_j, \tag{2.9}$$

$$|(t_j - \bar{t}_i) - (s_j - \bar{s}_i)| \leq 2(s_j - \bar{s}_i)^{1-\eta}$$

$$\text{if } i < j \text{ and } B_i \stackrel{\Gamma}{\sim} B_j.$$

Now let us construct a new collection $\beta_\gamma = \{\tilde{B}_1, \dots, \tilde{B}_k\}$ by the following procedure.

Take $B_1 \in \beta$ and consider the following two cases. Case (i). There is no $j \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ such that $(u_1, v_1) \stackrel{e}{\sim} (u_j, v_j)$. In this case we set $\tilde{B}_1 = B_1$. Case (ii). There is $j \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ such that $(u_1, v_1) \stackrel{e}{\sim} (u_j, v_j)$. Let $(x_1, y_1) \in G \times G$ cover (u_1, v_1) and let $x_j = H_s x_1$, $y_j = H_{q(s)} y_1$, where $s = s_j - s_1$. We have $t_j - t_1 = q(s)$ and (x_j, y_j) cover (u_j, v_j) . Let

$$E = E(x_1, y_1, \eta) = [0, l_0] \cup [l_1, l_2], \quad l_i = l_i(x_1, y_1, \eta), \quad i = 0, 1, 2$$

be as in section 1. Expression (2.9) shows that $s \in [l_1, l_2]$. Denote

$$F(x_1, y_1, \eta) = \{s \in \mathbf{R}^+ : |bs^2 + Ls| \leq 4l_2^{1-\eta}\}$$

where

$$g = x_1^{-1} y_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$$

and $L = a - d$ (see (1.2)). The set $F(x_1, y_1, \eta)$ consists of at most two connected components $F_0 = [0, l]$ and $F_1 = [l, l_2]$ where $l > l_0(x_1, y_1, \eta)$, $l < l_1$ and $l_2 - l = l$ if $F_1 \neq \emptyset$.

One can compute as in section 1 that if $H_{q(s)} y_1 = H_{z(s)}^* G_{p(s)}(H_s x_1)$ then

$$|z(s)| \leq \frac{Dl_2^{1-\eta}}{l^2}, \quad |p(s)| \leq \frac{Dl_2^{1-\eta}}{l} \quad (2.10)$$

for all $s \in [0, l]$. To define \tilde{B}_1 for the case (ii) we consider the following two possibilities:

(a) $l - l > l^{1+\gamma}$. In this case we set $\tilde{B}_1 = B_1$. (b) $l - l \leq l^{1+\gamma}$. Then

$$l \leq l_2 \leq 3l^{1+\gamma}.$$

This implies via (2.1), (2.10) and (1.2) that

$$|z(s)| \leq \frac{D}{l^{1+2\gamma}}, \quad |p(s)| \leq \frac{D}{l^{2\gamma}} \quad (2.11)$$

for all $s \in [0, l_2]$. We set in this case $\tilde{B}_1 = \{(u_1, v_1), (\tilde{u}_{j_1}, \tilde{v}_{j_1})\}$, where

$$j_1 = \max \{j \in \{2, \dots, n\} : B_1 \stackrel{e}{\sim} B_j\}.$$

Thus $\tilde{B}_1 \in \beta_\gamma$ has been constructed. Suppose that $\tilde{B}_m = \{(u_{j_{m-1}+1}, v_{j_{m-1}+1}), (\tilde{u}_{j_m}, \tilde{v}_{j_m})\}$, $j_0 = 0$ has been constructed. To define \tilde{B}_{m+1} we apply the above construction to $B_{j_{m+1}} \in \beta$. Thus β_γ is completely defined. It follows from the construction that if $i < j$ and $\tilde{B}_i \stackrel{e}{\sim} \tilde{B}_j$, $\tilde{B}_i, \tilde{B}_j \in \beta_\gamma$ then

$$d(\tilde{B}_i, \tilde{B}_j) > \varrho > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad d(\tilde{B}_i, \tilde{B}_j) > [l(\tilde{B}_i)]^{1+\gamma}. \quad (2.12)$$

It follows from (2.7), (2.11) and (2.6) that if $\tilde{B}_i = \{(u'_i, v'_i), (\bar{u}'_i, \bar{v}'_i)\}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} v'_i &\in U\left(u'_i; \frac{D}{r_i^{2\gamma}}, \frac{D}{r_i^{1+2\gamma}}, 0\right) \\ \bar{v}'_i &\in U\left(\bar{u}'_i; \frac{D}{r_i^{2\gamma}}, \frac{D}{r_i^{1+2\gamma}}, 0\right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

for some $r_i \geq \max\{\varrho, l(\tilde{B}_i)\}$, $i=1, \dots, k$.

Let $u'_i = h_{\tau_i} u_1$, $\bar{u}'_i = h_{\bar{\tau}_i} u_1$. Denote $J_i = [\tau_i, \bar{\tau}_i] \subset [0, \lambda]$, $i=1, \dots, k$. We shall call J_i the black interval induced by \tilde{B}_i . The collection β_γ induces a partition α of $I=[0, \lambda]$ into black and white intervals. We shall denote

$$m_w(\beta_\gamma) = m_w(\alpha, \lambda).$$

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $0 < \eta < 1$, $0 < \omega < 1$ and $m > 1$ be given. Let $\gamma = \gamma(\eta) > 0$, $0 < \theta = \theta(\gamma) < 1$, $Y = Y(\gamma, \omega) \subset M$ with $\mu(Y) > 1 - \omega$ and $0 < \varepsilon = \varepsilon(Y, m) < 1$ be chosen as above. Let $\beta = \{B_1, \dots, B_n\}$, $B_i = \{(u_i, v_i), (\bar{u}_i, \bar{v}_i)\}$, $v_i \in W_\varepsilon(u_i)$, $\bar{v}_i \in W_\varepsilon(\bar{u}_i)$, $i=1, \dots, n$ be a collection of pairwise disjoint (ε, η) -blocks on the orbit intervals $[u_1, h_\lambda u_1]$, $[v_1, h_{t(\lambda)} v_1]$ such that $u_i, \bar{u}_i \in Y$, $i=1, \dots, n$ and (2.9) holds for β . Suppose that $m_w(\beta) < \theta$. Then there is $B \in \beta_\gamma$ such that $l(B) > 3\lambda/4$.*

Proof. First let us show that

$$d(B', B'') > [\min\{l(B'), l(B'')\}]^{1+\gamma} \quad (2.14)$$

for any $B' \neq B'' \in \beta_\gamma$. Indeed, suppose on the contrary that there are $B' \neq B'' \in \beta_\gamma$ with $l(B') \leq l(B'')$ such that

$$d(B', B'') \leq [l(B')]^{1+\gamma}. \quad (2.15)$$

It follows then from (2.12) that $B' \stackrel{\perp}{\sim} B''$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} B' &= \{(u', v'), (\bar{u}', \bar{v}')\} \\ B'' &= \{(u'', v''), (\bar{u}'', \bar{v}'')\} \\ u'' &= h_s \bar{u}', \quad v'' = h_t \bar{v}'. \end{aligned}$$

We shall assume for simplicity that $s, t > 0$. We have

$$s \leq [l(B')]^{1+\gamma}, \quad t \leq 3s \quad (2.16)$$

by (2.15) and (2.9).

Let $(x, y) \in G \times G$ cover (u'', v'') , $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in G \times G$ cover (\bar{u}', \bar{v}') and $x = H_s \bar{x}$. We have

$$y = \mathbf{D} \cdot H_t \bar{y} \quad \text{for some } e \neq \mathbf{D} \in \Gamma \quad (2.17)$$

since $B' \stackrel{\Gamma}{\sim} B''$. It follows from (2.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} x &\in U\left(\bar{y}; \frac{D}{r^{2\gamma}}, \frac{D}{r^{1+2\gamma}}, s\right) \quad \text{and} \\ \mathbf{D} \cdot \bar{y} &\in U\left(x; \frac{D}{r^{2\gamma}}, \frac{D}{r^{1+2\gamma}}, -t\right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

for some $r \geq \max\{\varrho, l(B')\}$. Also

$$0 < s, t \leq 3r^{1+\gamma} \quad \text{by (2.16)} \quad (2.19)$$

Let $\tau_0 = \frac{1}{2} \log r^{1+1.5\gamma}$, $\tau_0 > t_0$ by (2.5). Since $u'' \in Y \subset \bar{Y}$ it follows from the definition of \bar{Y} and t_0 in (2.4) that the relative length measure of K on $[u'', g_{-\tau_0} u'']$ is greater than $1 - 0.2\gamma$. This implies that there is τ satisfying

$$(1 - 0.2\gamma) \tau_0 < \tau \leq \tau_0$$

such that $g_{-\tau} u'' \in K$ and therefore

$$z = G_{-\tau} x \in p^{-1}(K) = \tilde{K}. \quad (2.20)$$

We have using (2.18) and (1.1)

$$\begin{aligned} z &\in U\left(G_{-\tau} \bar{y}; \frac{D}{r^{2\gamma}}, \frac{D e^{2\tau}}{r^{1+2\gamma}}, \frac{s}{e^{2\tau}}\right) \\ \mathbf{D} \cdot G_{-\tau} \bar{y} &\in U\left(z; \frac{D}{r^{2\gamma}}, \frac{D e^{2\tau}}{r^{1+2\gamma}}, \frac{-t}{e^{2\tau}}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

where

$$r^{1+1.1\gamma} < r^{(1+1.5\gamma)(1-0.2\gamma)} < e^{2\tau} \leq e^{2\tau_0} = r^{1+1.5\gamma}.$$

This implies via (2.21), (2.19) and (2.5) that

$$d(G_{-\tau} \bar{y}, z) < \Delta \quad \text{and} \quad d(\mathbf{D} \cdot G_{-\tau} \bar{y}, z) < \Delta$$

and that

$$D = e \text{ by (2.3) and (2.20)}$$

which contradicts (2.17). Thus we proved (2.14). It also follows from the proof that if $B' \sim B''$, $B', B'' \in \beta_\gamma$, then

$$d(B', B'') > \varrho > 1.$$

This and (2.12) imply that

$$d(B', B'') > \varrho > 1$$

for all $B' \neq B'' \in \beta_\gamma$.

Now let α be the partition of $I = [0, \lambda]$ into black and white intervals induced by β_γ . We have using (2.12) and (2.14)

$$\begin{aligned} l(J) &> 1 \text{ for every white } J \in \alpha \\ d(J_i, J_j) &> [\min \{l(J_i), l(J_j)\}]^{1+\gamma} \\ &\text{for any two black } J_i, J_j \in \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$m_w(\alpha, \lambda) \leq m_w(\beta) < \theta$$

by the condition of the lemma. It follows then from Lemma 2.2 that there is a black $J \in \alpha$ with $l(J) > 3\lambda/4$. This says that there is $B \in \beta_\gamma$ such that $l(B) > 3\lambda/4$. This completes the proof. Q.E.D.

Proof of basic Lemma 2.1. For given $0 < \eta < 1$, $0 < \omega < 1$ and $m > 1$ we choose $\gamma = \gamma(\eta) > 0$, $0 < \theta = \theta(\gamma) < 1$, a compact $Y = Y(\gamma, \omega) \subset M$, $\mu(Y) > 1 - \omega$ and $0 < \varepsilon = \varepsilon(Y, m) < 1$ as above.

Let $u \in Y$, $v \in U(u; \varepsilon)$ and let $A \subset \mathbf{R}^+$ satisfy (i)–(iii). For $\lambda \in A$ denote

$$A_\lambda = A \cap [0, \lambda]$$

and assume that

$$l(A_\lambda)/\lambda > 1 - \frac{\theta}{8}. \tag{2.22}$$

Let us construct a collection $\beta(\lambda)$ of pairwise disjoint (ε, η) -blocks as in Lemma 2.3. To do this take u, v and set $u_1 = u, v_1 = v$. Let $(x_1, y_1) \in G \times G$ cover (u_1, v_1) and let

$$\bar{s}_1 = \sup \{s \in A_\lambda \cap [0, l_0(x_1, y_1, \eta)]: H_{t(s)} y_1 \in U(H_s x_1, \varepsilon)\}.$$

Let B_1 be the (ε, η) -block of u_1, v_1 of length \bar{s}_1 , $B_1 = \{(u_1, v_1), (\bar{u}_1, \bar{v}_1)\}$, where $\bar{u}_1 = h_{\bar{s}_1} u_1 \in Y$, since Y is compact.

To define B_2 we take

$$\begin{aligned} s_2 &= \inf \{s \in A_\lambda: s > \bar{s}_1\} \\ t(s_2) &= \inf \{t(s): s \in A_\lambda, s > \bar{s}_1\} \end{aligned}$$

and apply the above procedure to

$$u_2 = h_{s_2} u_1, \quad v_2 = h_{t(s_2)} v_1.$$

It is clear that $u_2 \in Y$, since Y is compact. This process defines a collection $\beta(\lambda) = \{B_1, \dots, B_n\}$ of (ε, η) -blocks on the orbit intervals $[u_1, h_\lambda u_1], [v_1, h_{t(\lambda)} v_1]$, $B_i = \{(u_i, v_i), (\bar{u}_i, \bar{v}_i)\}$, $u_i, \bar{u}_i \in Y$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} u_i &= h_{s_i} u_1, \quad \bar{u}_i = h_{\bar{s}_i} u_1 \\ v_i &= h_{t_i} v_1, \quad \bar{v}_i = h_{\bar{t}_i} v_1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $B_i \overset{\Gamma}{\sim} B_j$, $i < j$. Then

$$\max \{s_j - \bar{s}_i, t_j - \bar{t}_i\} \geq m$$

by (2.2) and our choice of ε . This implies via (iii) that

$$|(t_j - \bar{t}_i) - (s_j - \bar{s}_i)| \leq (s_j - \bar{s}_i)^{1-\eta}.$$

Suppose that $B_i \overset{\varepsilon}{\sim} B_j$, $i < j$. It follows from the construction of B_i, B_j that

$$s_j - s_i \geq l_0(u_i, v_i, \eta) > m$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |(t_j - t_i) - (s_j - s_i)| &\leq (s_j - s_i)^{1-\eta} \\ |(\bar{t}_j - \bar{t}_i) - (\bar{s}_j - \bar{s}_i)| &\leq (\bar{s}_j - \bar{s}_i)^{1-\eta} \end{aligned}$$

by (iii). This implies that

$$s_j - s_i > l_0(u_i, v_i, \eta)$$

and that B_i and B_j are disjoint.

Thus $\beta(\lambda)$ satisfies all conditions of Lemma 2.4. We have

$$m_w(\beta(\lambda)) < \theta$$

by (2.22), since each $s \in A_\lambda$ belongs to a black interval induced by $\beta(\lambda)$. This implies by Lemma 2.3 that there is $B_\lambda \in \beta_\gamma(\lambda)$, $B_\lambda = \{(u_\lambda, v_\lambda), (\bar{u}_\lambda, \bar{v}_\lambda)\}$ such that

$$l(B_\lambda) > 3\lambda/4.$$

It follows then from (2.13) that

$$v_\lambda \in U\left(u_\lambda; \frac{D}{\lambda^{2\gamma}}, \frac{D}{\lambda^{1+2\gamma}}, 0\right). \quad (2.23)$$

This proves (1) with s_λ such that $h_{s_\lambda} u = u_\lambda$.

Now let $A_\lambda \neq \emptyset$ for all $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ and let

$$l(A_\lambda)/\lambda > 1 - \frac{\theta}{8} \quad (2.24)$$

for all $\lambda \in A$ with $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$. It follows from (2.24) that there are $\lambda_n \in A$, $\lambda_n \geq \lambda_0$, $n=1, 2, \dots$, $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$, $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$\lambda_n < \lambda_{n+1} < \frac{9}{8}\lambda_n, \quad n=1, 2, \dots \quad (2.25)$$

Let $B_{\lambda_n} \in \beta_\gamma(\lambda_n)$ be as above. We have

$$l(B_{\lambda_n}) > 3\lambda_n/4.$$

This and (2.25) imply that

$$B_{\lambda_n} \cap B_{\lambda_{n+1}} \neq \emptyset, \quad n=1, 2, \dots$$

and therefore

$$B_{\lambda_n} \subset B_{\lambda_{n+1}}, \quad n=1, 2, \dots$$

This implies via (2.23) that

$$v_{\lambda_1} \in U\left(u_{\lambda_1}; \frac{D}{\lambda_n^{2\gamma}}, \frac{D}{\lambda_n^{1+2\gamma}}, 0\right)$$

for all λ_n , $n=1, 2, \dots$. This says that $v_{\lambda_1} = u_{\lambda_1}$ and therefore $v = h_p u$ for some $p \in \mathbf{R}$. Q.E.D.

3. The class $K(M)$

Let us recall that a positive measurable function τ on $M = \Gamma \backslash G$, $\Gamma \in \mathbf{T}$ belongs to $\mathbf{K}(M)$, if τ and τ^{-1} are bounded and

$$\left| \int_M \varphi(x) \varphi(h_t x) d\mu \right| \leq D |t|^{-\alpha} \tag{3.1}$$

for some $D = D(\tau) > 0$, $0 < \alpha = \alpha(\tau) < 1$ and all $t \neq 0$, where $\varphi = \tau - \bar{\tau}$.

LEMMA 3.1. Let $\varphi: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be measurable, bounded, $\bar{\varphi} = 0$ and let (3.1) hold for φ with some $D(\varphi)$, $\alpha(\varphi) > 0$. Then given $\omega > 0$ there are $P = P(\omega) \subset M$ with $\mu(P) > 1 - \omega$ and $m = m(P) > 0$ such that if $x \in P$ then

$$\left| \int_0^t \varphi(h_u x) du \right| \leq t^{1-\alpha'}$$

for all $t \geq m$, where $\alpha' = \alpha'(\varphi) = \alpha(\varphi)/8$.

Proof. Denote

$$s_t(x) = \int_0^t \varphi(h_u x) du$$

$$C(t) = \int_M \varphi(x) \varphi(h_t x) d\mu.$$

We claim that

$$\int_M [s_t(x)]^2 d\mu \leq \bar{D} t^{2-\alpha} \tag{3.2}$$

for some $\bar{D} > 0$ and all $t > 0$, where $\alpha = \alpha(\varphi)$ is as in (3.1). Indeed, we have using (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M [s_t(x)]^2 d\mu &= \int_M \left(\int_0^t \int_0^t \varphi(h_s x) \varphi(h_u x) ds du \right) d\mu \\ &= \int_0^t \int_0^t C(u-s) ds du \leq 2 \int_0^t \left(\int_0^t |C(v)| dv \right) ds \\ &\leq \frac{2D}{1-\alpha} t^{2-\alpha} = \bar{D} t^{2-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.2) that

$$\mu\{x \in M: |s_t(x)| \geq t^{1-\alpha/4}\} \leq \bar{D} t^{-\alpha/2} \tag{3.3}$$

Denote

$$A_t = \{x \in M : |s_t(x)| < t^{1-a/4}\}, \quad t > 0$$

$$p_n = n^{4/\alpha}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

We have using (3.3)

$$\mu(A_{p_n}) \geq 1 - \frac{\bar{D}}{n^2}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Given $\omega > 0$, let $k_0 = k_0(\omega)$ be such that

$$\bar{D} \sum_{k \geq k_0} \frac{1}{k^2} < \omega$$

and let $P = P(\omega) = \bigcap_{k \geq k_0} A_{p_k}$. We have

$$\mu(P) > 1 - \omega$$

and if $x \in P$ then

$$|s_{p_k}(x)| < p_k^{1-a/4}$$

for all $k \geq k_0$.

Now let $t \geq p_{k_0}$ and let $k \geq k_0$ be such that

$$p_k < t \leq p_{k+1}.$$

One can compute that

$$p_{k+1} - p_k = Q p_k^{1-a/4}$$

for some $Q > 0$ and all $k = 1, 2, \dots$. This implies that

$$t = p_k + q$$

where $0 < q \leq Q p_k^{1-a/4}$. For $x \in P$ we have using (3.2)

$$|s_t(x)| \leq |s_{p_k}(x)| + \left| \int_{p_k}^{p_k+q} \varphi(h_u x) du \right| \leq \bar{Q} p_k^{1-a/4} < \bar{Q} t^{1-a/4}$$

for some $\bar{Q} > 0$, since φ is bounded. This completes the proof.

Q.E.D.

4. Time changes and a conjugacy ψ

In this section we shall prove Theorem 1.

Let $M_i = \Gamma_i \backslash G$, $\Gamma_i \in \mathbf{T}$ and let $\tau_i: M_i \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ be a time change for the horocycle flow $h_t^{(i)}$ on (M_i, μ_i) , $i=1, 2$. Suppose that $\tau_i \in \mathbf{K}(M_i)$ and let

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{M_i} \tau_i d\mu_i &= a > 0 \\ \varphi_i &= \tau_i - a \\ \sup_{x \in M_i} \{\tau_i(x), \tau_i^{-1}(x)\} &\leq K \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

for some $K > 1$, $i=1, 2$.

We shall assume without loss of generality that $a=1$. Let $h_t^{r_i}$ be obtained from $h_t^{(i)}$ by the time change τ_i and let $\psi: (M_1, \mu_{\tau_1}) \rightarrow (M_2, \mu_{\tau_2})$ be measure preserving and

$$\psi h_t^{r_1}(x) = h_t^{r_2} \psi(x) \quad (4.2)$$

for μ_{τ_i} -a.e. $x \in M_i$ and all $t \in \mathbf{R}$, where $d\mu_{\tau_i}(x) = \tau_i(x) d\mu_i(x)$, $i=1, 2$.

Let $0 < \alpha'_i = \alpha'(\varphi_i) < 1$ be as in Lemma 3.1 for $\varphi_i = \tau_i - 1$, $i=1, 2$ and let

$$\eta = \frac{1}{2} \min \{\alpha'_1, \alpha'_2\}.$$

Let $\gamma = \gamma(\eta) > 0$ and $0 < \theta = \theta(\gamma) < 1$ be chosen as in Lemma 2.1.

Since ψ is measure preserving and μ_{τ_i} is equivalent to μ_i , $i=1, 2$, there is $0 < \omega < \theta / (200K^4)$ such that

$$\mu_1(\psi^{-1}(A)) < \frac{\theta}{200K^4}$$

whenever $\mu_2(A) < \omega$.

Let $P_i = P_i(\omega) \subset M_i$, $\mu_i(P_i) > 1 - \omega$ and $m_i = m_i(P_i) > 0$ be as in Lemma 3.1 for φ_i , $i=1, 2$. If $x \in P_i$ then

$$\left| \int_0^t \varphi_i(h_u^{(i)} x) du \right| \leq t^{1-2\eta}$$

for all $t \geq \max \{m_1, m_2\}$, $i=1, 2$. This implies that there is $m_0 \geq \max \{m_1, m_2\}$ such that

$$\left| \int_0^t \varphi_i(h_u^{(i)} x) du \right| \leq \frac{1}{200K^4} t^{1-\eta} \quad (4.3)$$

for all $x \in P_i$ and all $t \geq m_0$, $i=1, 2$.

Set $m=2K^4 m_0$ and let $Y=Y(\gamma, \omega) \subset M_2$, $\mu_2(Y) > 1-\omega$ and $0 < \varepsilon = \varepsilon(Y, m) < 1$ be as in Lemma 2.1 for $h_i^{(2)}$ on (M_2, μ_2) .

Since $\psi: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is measurable, there is a compact $\Lambda \subset M_1$, $\mu_1(\Lambda) > 1-\omega$ such that ψ is uniformly continuous on Λ . Let $0 < \delta < \varepsilon/2$ be such that if $u, v \in \Lambda$, $d(u, v) < \delta$ then $d(\psi(u), \psi(v)) < \varepsilon/2$. Let now $0 < \delta' < \delta$ be so small that if $x \in G$ and $y \in W_{\delta'}(x)$ then

$$H_{q(t)} y \in W_{\delta/2}(H_t x) \quad \text{and} \quad |q(t) - t| \leq \delta t \quad (4.4)$$

for all $0 \leq t \leq 2K^2$ (see section 1 for the definition of $q(t)$ and W_δ).

Let $X = P_1 \cap \Lambda \cap \psi^{-1}(P_2 \cap Y)$. We have

$$\mu_1(X) > 1 - \frac{\theta}{50K^4}$$

For $x \in M_i$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ denote

$$\xi_i(x, t) = \int_0^t \tau_i(h_u^{(i)} x) du, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

For $u \in M_1$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ let $z(u, t)$ be defined by

$$\xi_1(u, t) = \xi_2(\psi(u), z(u, t)). \quad (4.5)$$

It follows from (4.2) that

$$\psi h_t^{(1)}(u) = h_{z(u, t)}^{(2)} \psi(u)$$

for μ_1 -a.e. $u \in M_1$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Expression (4.1) implies that

$$\frac{1}{K^2} t \leq z(u, t) \leq K^2 t \quad (4.6)$$

for all $u \in M_1$, $t \geq 0$.

Since $h_t^{(1)}$ is ergodic, there are $V_n \subset M$, $\mu_1(V_n) > 1-2^{-n}$ and $t_n > 1$, $t_n \nearrow \infty$, $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that if $u \in V_n$ and $|t| \geq t_n/2$ then

$$|z(u, t) - t| \leq |t| n^{-1} \quad (4.7)$$

and

the relative length measure of X on $[u, h_t^{(1)}u]$ is at least $1 - \frac{\theta}{40K^4}$. (4.8)

We shall use (4.7) in the proof of Lemma 4.2 below and (4.8) in the proof of Lemma 4.1.

Let $r_n = \frac{1}{2} \log t_n^{1+\gamma}$ and let $V = \bigcap_n g_{-r_n}^{(1)} V_n$, $\mu_1(V) > 0$.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let $u, v \in V$ and $v = g_\alpha^{(1)} h_\beta^{*(1)} u$ for some $|\alpha|, |\beta| < \delta'$. Then*

$$d(\bar{v}_n, g_\alpha^{(2)} h_\beta^{*(2)} \bar{u}_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\bar{u}_n = g_{-r_n}^{(2)} \psi g_{r_n}^{(1)} u$, $\bar{v}_n = g_{-r_n}^{(2)} \psi g_{r_n}^{(1)} v$.

Proof. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &= g_{r_n}^{(1)} u, & v_n &= g_{r_n}^{(1)} v \\ u'_n &= \psi(u_n), & v'_n &= \psi(v_n). \end{aligned}$$

We have using (4.4) and (1.3)

$$\begin{aligned} v_n &= g_\alpha^{(1)} h_\beta^{*(1)} u_n \\ h_t^{(1)} u_n &\in U \left(h_{-\beta_n}^{*(1)} g_{-\alpha}^{(1)} h_{q(t)}^{(1)} v_n; \frac{4}{t_n^\gamma}, \frac{4}{t_n^{1+2\gamma}}, 0 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

for all $0 \leq t \leq 2t_n$, where $\beta_n = \beta t_n^{-(1+\gamma)}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$

For $p \in \mathbb{R}$ denote

$$\begin{aligned} u_n(p) &= h_p^{(1)} u_n, & v_n(p) &= h_p^{(1)} v_n \\ s(p) &= z(u_n, p), & a(p) &= z(v_n, p). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} u'_n(s(p)) &= h_{s(p)}^{(2)} u'_n = \psi u_n(p) \\ v'_n(a(p)) &= h_{a(p)}^{(2)} v'_n = \psi v_n(p). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$B_n = \{p \in [0, t_n]: u_n(p) \in X, v_n(p) \in X\}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

It follows from (4.8) and (4.9) that

$$l(B_n)/t_n > 1 - \frac{\theta}{18K^4}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (4.10)$$

if $\delta' > 0$ is sufficiently small. It follows from the definition of X that if $p \in B_n$ then

$$u_n(p), v_n(q(p)) \in P_1 \cap \Lambda$$

$$u'_n(s(p)), v'_n(a(q(p))) \in Y \cap P_2$$

and

$$v'_n(a(q(p))) \in U(u'_n(s(p)); \varepsilon/2), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (4.11)$$

Suppose that

$$s(p') - s(p) \geq m$$

for some $p, p' \in B_n, p < p'$. It follows then from (4.6) and (4.9) that

$$p' - p \geq m/K^2 = 2K^2 m_0$$

$$q(p') - q(p) \geq K^2 m_0$$

$$a(q(p')) - a(q(p)) \geq m_0$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |(s(p') - s(p)) - (p' - p)| &\leq 0.01(s(p') - s(p))^{1-\eta}/K^2 \\ |(a(q(p')) - a(q(p))) - (q(p') - q(p))| &\leq 0.01(q(p') - q(p))^{1-\eta}/K^2 \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

by (4.3) and (4.6), since $u_n(p), v_n(q(p)) \in P_1$ and $u'_n(s(p)), v'_n(a(q(p))) \in P_2$.

Denote

$$p_0 = p_0(n) = \inf B_n, \quad \bar{p} = \bar{p}(n) = \sup B_n$$

$$s_0 = s_0(n) = s(p_0), \quad \bar{s} = \bar{s}(n) = s(\bar{p}), \quad \bar{s} - s_0 = \lambda_n$$

$$a_0 = a_0(n) = a(q(p_0)), \quad \bar{a} = \bar{a}(n) = a(q(\bar{p}))$$

$$B'_n = s(B_n) \subset [s_0, \bar{s}], \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

We can assume without loss of generality that $p_0, \bar{p} \in B_n$. We have using (4.10) and (4.6)

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 - \frac{\theta}{18K^4}\right) t_n &\leq \bar{p} - p_0 \leq t_n \\ \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\theta}{18K^4}\right) t_n}{K^2} &\leq \lambda_n \leq K^2 t_n \\ l(B'_n)/\lambda_n &\geq 1 - \frac{\theta}{18}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Let

$$A'_n = \{s_0\} \cup (B'_n \cap [s_0 + m, \bar{s}]).$$

We have

$$l(A'_n)/\lambda_n \geq 1 - \frac{\theta}{15} \quad (4.14)$$

if n is sufficiently large. It follows from (4.12) that

$$|(a(q(p)) - a_0) - (q(p) - q(p_0))| \leq 0.01(q(p) - q(p_0))^{1-\eta}/K^2$$

for all p with $s(p) \in A'_n$.

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} x_n &= u_n(p_0), & y_n &= v_n(q(p_0)) \\ x'_n &= \psi(x_n) = u'_n(s_0), & y'_n &= \psi(y_n) = v'_n(a_0) \\ & & y'_n &\in U(x'_n; \varepsilon/2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

We have

$$x_n = g_{c_n}^{(1)} h_{b_n}^{*(1)} y_n$$

for some $b_n, c_n \in \mathbf{R}$, $n=1, 2, \dots$. Denote

$$w_n = g_{c_n}^{(2)} h_{b_n}^{*(2)} y'_n \in W_{\delta/2}(y'_n).$$

We have

$$w_n \in U(x'_n; \varepsilon)$$

by (4.15). Let

$$A_n = \{s - s_0 : s \in A'_n\} \subset [0, \lambda_n].$$

We have

$$0, \lambda_n \in A_n, \quad l(A_n)/\lambda_n > 1 - \frac{\theta}{15} \quad \text{and if } s \in A_n \text{ then } h_s^{(2)} x'_n \in Y. \quad (4.16)$$

Let $\chi: [0, 2K^2 t_n] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be defined by

$$H_{\chi(p)} \bar{w}_n \in W_{\delta/2}(H_p \bar{y}'_n)$$

where $(\bar{w}_n, \bar{y}'_n) \in G \times G$ cover (w_n, y'_n) . The function χ for w_n, y'_n is analogous to the function q for u_n, v_n . One can see that

$$\chi(q(p')-q(p)) = p' - p$$

for every $p, p' \geq p_0$. For $s = s(p) - s_0 \in A_n$ let

$$t(s) = \chi(a(q(p)) - a_0).$$

We have using (4.11)

$$h_{t(s)}^{(2)} w_n \in W_{\delta/2}(h_{a(q(p))}^{(2)} y_n') \quad \text{and} \quad h_{t(s)}^{(2)} w_n \in U(h_s^{(2)} x_n'; \varepsilon) \quad (4.17)$$

for all $s \in A_n$ with $s = s(p) - s_0$.

Expressions (4.16) and (4.17) show that the subset $A_n \subset [0, \lambda_n]$ satisfies conditions (i)–(ii) of Lemma 2.1 with x_n', w_n instead of u, v respectively. We claim that A_n satisfies (iii), too. Indeed, let us show that if $s, s' \in A_n, s < s'$ and

$$\max \{(t(s') - t(s)), (s' - s)\} \geq m$$

then

$$|(t(s') - t(s)) - (s' - s)| \leq (s' - s)^{1-\eta}. \quad (4.18)$$

So let $s' = s(p'), s = s(p), s < s', s, s' \in A_n$ and suppose that

$$s' - s \geq m.$$

Denote

$$a' = a(q(p')), \quad a = a(q(p)).$$

We have using (4.12)

$$\begin{aligned} |(s' - s) - (p' - p)| &\leq 0.01(s' - s)^{1-\eta}/K^2 \\ |(a' - a) - (q(p') - q(p))| &\leq 0.01(q(p') - q(p))^{1-\eta}/K^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} t(s') - t(s) &= \chi(a') - \chi(a) \\ |(a - a_0) - (q(p) - q(p_0))| &\leq 0.01(q(p) - q(p_0))^{1-\eta}/K^2. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\chi(a) = (p - p_0) + f \quad (4.20)$$

where $|f| \leq 0.02t_n^{1-\eta}/K^2$. Let

$$h_{p-p_0}^{(1)} x_n = g_{c(p)}^{(1)} h_{b(p)}^{*(1)} (h_{q(p)-q(p_0)}^{(1)} y_n).$$

It follows from (4.9), (1.3) and (4.20) that

$$h_{\chi(a)}^{(2)} w_n \in U \left(g_{c(p)}^{(2)} h_{b(p)}^{*(2)} (h_a^{(2)} y_n'); \frac{0.02t_n^{-\eta}}{K^2}, \frac{0.02t_n^{1-\eta}}{K^2}, 0 \right).$$

This implies that

$$|(\chi(a') - \chi(a)) - (p' - p)| \leq 0.08(s' - s)^{1-\eta}$$

by (4.19), (1.2) and (4.6). This and (4.19) show that

$$|(\chi(a') - \chi(a)) - (s' - s)| \leq (s' - s)^{1-\eta}$$

or

$$|(t(s') - t(s)) - (s' - s)| \leq (s' - s)^{1-\eta}.$$

Thus we have proved (4.18) assuming that $s' - s \geq m$. Similarly, we can prove (4.18) assuming that $t(s') - t(s) \geq m$.

Thus $A_n \subset [0, \lambda_n]$ satisfies all conditions of Lemma 2.1. Using this lemma and (4.14) we conclude that there is $s_n \in A_n$ with

$$h_{t(s_n)}^{(2)} w_n \in U \left(h_{s_n}^{(2)} x_n'; \frac{D}{\lambda_n^{2\gamma}}, \frac{D}{\lambda_n^{1+2\gamma}}, \varepsilon \right). \quad (4.21)$$

Let $s_n = s(p_n) - s_0$, $a_n = a(q(p_n)) - a_0$. We have via (4.9)

$$h_{t(s_n)}^{(2)} w_n \in U \left(h_{-\beta_n}^{*(2)} g_{-\alpha}^{(2)} h_{a_n}^{(2)} y_n'; \frac{2K^2}{t_n^\gamma}, \frac{2K^2}{t_n^{1+2\gamma}}, 0 \right)$$

This implies via (4.21) that if we denote $s(p_n) = \bar{s}_n$, $a(q(p_n)) = \bar{a}_n$ then

$$h_{\bar{a}_n}^{(2)} v_n' \in U \left(g_{\alpha}^{(2)} h_{\beta_n}^{*(2)} h_{\bar{s}_n}^{(2)} u_n'; \frac{DK^2}{\lambda_n^\gamma}, \frac{DK^2}{\lambda_n^{1+2\gamma}}, \varepsilon \right). \quad (4.22)$$

We have

$$\bar{v}_n = g_{-r_n}^{(2)} v_n', \quad \bar{u}_n = g_{-r_n}^{(2)} u_n', \quad e^{2r_n} = t_n^{1+\gamma}.$$

This implies that

$$d(\bar{v}_n, g_{\alpha}^{(2)} h_{\beta}^{*(2)} \bar{u}_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

by (4.22), (4.13) and (1.1), since $0 < \bar{a}_n \leq 2K^2 t_n$ and $0 < \bar{s}_n \leq t_n$. This completes the proof. Q.E.D.

LEMMA 4.2. *If $u \in V$ and $v = h_p^{(1)}u$ for some $p \in \mathbf{R}$ then $d(\bar{u}_n, h_p^{(2)}\bar{v}_n) \rightarrow 0$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$, where \bar{u}_n, \bar{v}_n are as in Lemma 4.1.*

Proof. Let $p \neq 0$ and let u_n, v_n, u'_n, v'_n and $s: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be as in the proof of Lemma 4.1. We have

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\in V_n \\ v_n &= h_{pt_n^{1+\gamma}}^{(1)} u_n \\ v'_n &= h_{s(pt_n^{1+\gamma})}^{(2)} u'_n. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (4.7) that

$$|s(pt_n^{1+\gamma}) - pt_n^{1+\gamma}| \leq |p| t_n^{1+\gamma} n^{-1}$$

if n is so big that $|p| t_n^{1+\gamma} \geq t_n$. This implies that

$$d(\bar{v}_n, h_p^{(2)}\bar{u}_n) \leq |p| n^{-1}$$

if n is sufficiently large. This completes the proof. Q.E.D.

COROLLARY 4.1. *There are an $h_i^{(1)}$ -invariant subset $\Omega \subset M_1$ with $\mu_1(\Omega) = 1$ and a subsequence $\{n_k; k=1, 2, \dots\} \subset \{n; n=1, 2, \dots\}$ such that if $u \in \Omega$ then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \bar{u}_{n_k} = \zeta(u) \in M_2$ exists and $\zeta(h_p^{(1)}u) = h_p^{(2)}\zeta(u)$ for all $p \in \mathbf{R}, u \in \Omega$.*

Proof. Let $M_2 = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$, where K_n are compact and $\mu_2(M_2 - K_n) < 2^{-n}$, $n=1, 2, \dots$. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K}_n &= M_2 - K_n \\ F_n &= g_{-r_n}^{(1)} \psi^{-1} g_{r_n}^{(2)} \tilde{K}_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_1(F_n) < \infty.$$

Let

$$F = \{u \in M_1; u \text{ belongs to finitely many } F_n\}.$$

By the Borel-Cantelli lemma

$$\mu(F) = 1. \tag{4.23}$$

If $u \in F$ then \bar{u}_n belongs to finitely many \tilde{K}_n . This implies that there is a subsequence $n_k(u)$, $k=1, 2, \dots$ such that $\bar{u}_{n_k(u)}$ converges in M_2 .

Let $V \subset M_1$, $\mu_1(V) > 0$ be as in Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2. In view of (4.23) we can assume that $V \subset F$. Since $\mu_1(V) > 0$, there is $u^0 \in V$ such that

$$\nu(V \cap W_{\delta'}(u^0)) > 0$$

where ν denotes the Riemannian volume on the stable leaf $W(u^0)$. Since $u^0 \in F$, there is a subsequence $n_k = n_k(u^0)$ such that $\bar{u}_{n_k}^0$ converges in M_2 . Let

$$\Omega = \{h_p^{(1)} w : p \in \mathbf{R}, w \in V \cap W_{\delta'}(u^0)\}.$$

The set Ω is $h_t^{(1)}$ -invariant and $\mu_1(\Omega) > 0$. Since $h_t^{(1)}$ is ergodic, $\mu_1(\Omega) = 1$. It follows then from Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \bar{u}_{n_k} = \zeta(u)$ exists for every $u \in \Omega$ and $\zeta(h_p^{(1)} u) = h_p^{(2)} \zeta(u)$ for all $p \in \mathbf{R}$, $u \in \Omega$. This completes the proof. Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\Omega \subset M_1$, $\mu_1(\Omega) = 1$ and a subsequence $\{n_k\} \subset \{n\}$ be as in Corollary 4.1. We can assume without loss of generality that $\Omega = M_1$ and $\{n_k\} = \{n\}$. Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{u}_n = \zeta(u)$$

exists for all $u \in M_1$ and

$$\zeta(h_p^{(1)} u) = h_p^{(2)} \zeta(u)$$

for all $p \in \mathbf{R}$, $u \in M_1$. This says that the map

$$\zeta: (M_1, \mu_1) \rightarrow (M_2, \mu_2)$$

is a measurable conjugacy between $h_t^{(1)}$ and $h_t^{(2)}$. In fact, ζ is measure preserving (see [6]). It follows from the rigidity theorem [6] that there are $C \in G$, $a \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$C \Gamma_1 C^{-1} \subset \Gamma_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta(u) = h_a^{(2)} \psi_C(u) \tag{4.24}$$

for μ_1 -a.e. $u \in M_1$, where $\psi_C(\Gamma_1 g) = \Gamma_2 Cg$, $g \in G$. It follows from Lemma 4.1 that if $u, v \in V$, $v = g_\alpha^{(1)} h_\beta^{*(1)} u$ for some $|\alpha|, |\beta| < \delta'$ then

$$\zeta(v) = g_\alpha^{(2)} h_\beta^{*(2)} \zeta(u).$$

This implies that $a=0$ in (4.24) and therefore

$$\zeta(u) = \psi_C(u)$$

for μ_1 -a.e. $u \in M_1$.

Now we have to show that

$$\psi(u) = h_{\sigma(u)}^{(2)} \psi_C(u)$$

for some $\sigma(u) \in \mathbf{R}$ and μ_1 -a.e. $u \in M_1$.

Let $0 < \eta, \gamma, \theta, \omega, \varepsilon < 1$, $m \geq 1$, $Y, P_2 \subset M_2$ and P_1 be chosen as above.

Let $S \subset M_1$, $\mu_1(S) > 1 - \omega$ and $n_0 \geq 1$ be such that if $u \in S$ and $n \geq n_0$ then $d(\bar{u}_n, \zeta(u)) < \varepsilon$.

Let $n \geq n_0$ be fixed. Denote

$$\bar{X} = g_{-r_n}^{(1)}(P_1 \cap \psi^{-1}P_2) \cap S \cap \zeta^{-1}(Y).$$

We have

$$\mu_1(\bar{X}) > 1 - \frac{\theta}{50}.$$

Let $Q \subset M_2$, $\mu_1(Q) = 1$ be the generic set of \bar{X} for $h_t^{(1)}$. This means that if $u \in Q$ then the relative length measure of \bar{X} on $[u, h_t^{(1)}u]$ tends to $\mu_1(\bar{X})$ when $t \rightarrow \infty$. Denote $\bar{Q} = Q \cap \bar{X}$, $\mu_1(\bar{Q}) > 0$.

Let $u \in \bar{Q}$ and let

$$A = A(u) = \{s \in \mathbf{R}^+ : h_s^{(1)}u \in \bar{X}\}.$$

We have

$$l(A \cap [0, \lambda]) / \lambda \rightarrow 1 - \frac{\theta}{50} \tag{4.25}$$

when $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Denote

$$v(u) = \bar{u}_n \in M_2.$$

For $s \in \mathbf{R}$ define $t(s)$ by

$$h_{t(s)}^{(2)} v(u) = v(h_s^{(2)} u).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} h_s^{(2)} \zeta(u) &\in Y \\ h_{t(s)}^{(2)} v(u) &\in U(h_s^{(2)} \zeta(u); \varepsilon) \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

for all $s \in A$. Also $0 \in A$. This and (4.26) show that A satisfies conditions (i)–(ii) of Lemma 2.1 with $\zeta(u)$ and $v(u)$ instead of u and v respectively.

Let us show that A satisfies (iii), too. Indeed, let $s, s' \in A$, $s < s'$ and let

$$\max \{s' - s, t(s') - t(s)\} \geq m.$$

Suppose for definiteness that

$$s' - s \geq m$$

and show that

$$|(t(s') - t(s)) - (s' - s)| \leq (s' - s)^{1-\eta}. \quad (4.27)$$

Let

$$u_n(s) = g_{r_n}^{(1)}(h_s^{(1)} u)$$

and let $z: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be defined by

$$z(p) = z(u_n(s), p)$$

where $z(u, p)$ is defined in (4.5). We have

$$\begin{aligned} u_n(s) &\in P_1, \quad \psi(u_n(s)) \in P_2 \\ u_n(s') &= h_{t_n^{1+\gamma}(s'-s)}^{(1)} u_n(s) \\ \psi(u_n(s')) &= h_{z(t_n^{1+\gamma}(s'-s))}^{(2)} \psi(u_n(s)) \\ t(s') - t(s) &= t_n^{-(1+\gamma)} z(t_n^{1+\gamma}(s'-s)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (4.3) that

$$|z(t_n^{1+\gamma}(s'-s)) - t_n^{1+\gamma}(s'-s)| \leq [t_n^{1+\gamma}(s'-s)]^{1-\eta}$$

and therefore

$$|(t(s') - t(s)) - (s' - s)| \leq (s' - s)^{1-\eta}.$$

This proves (4.27) when $s' - s \geq m$. Similarly, we prove (4.27) when $t(s') - t(s) \geq m$.

Thus $A=A(u)$, $u \in \bar{Q}$ satisfies all conditions of Lemma 2.1. Using this lemma and (4.25) we conclude that

$$v(u) = \bar{u}_n \text{ lies on the } h_t^{(1)}\text{-orbit of } \zeta(u) \text{ for every } u \in \bar{Q}.$$

We have

$$\zeta(g_{r_n}^{(1)}u) = g_{r_n}^{(2)}\zeta(u)$$

for μ_1 -a.e. $u \in M_1$. This implies that if we denote

$$Q_n = g_{r_n}^{(1)}\bar{Q}, \quad \mu_1(Q_n) > 0$$

then

$$\psi(u) = h_{\sigma(u)}^{(2)}\zeta(u)$$

for some $\sigma(u) \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $u \in Q_n$. The set

$$\bar{\Omega} = \{u \in M_1 : \psi(u) = h_{\sigma(u)}^{(2)}\zeta(u) \text{ for some } \sigma(u) \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

is $h_t^{(1)}$ -invariant and contains Q_n . This implies that

$$\mu_1(\bar{\Omega}) = 1$$

since $h_t^{(1)}$ is ergodic and $\mu_1(Q_n) > 0$. This completes the proof. Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 2. We can assume without loss of generality that $p=1$ in the theorem. So let $\tau_i \in \mathbf{K}(M_i)$ and $h_1^{\tau_i}$ be ergodic, $i=1, 2$. Let $\psi: (M_1, \mu_{\tau_1}) \rightarrow (M_2, \mu_{\tau_2})$ be m.p. and

$$\psi h_1^{\tau_1}(x) = h_1^{\tau_2}\psi(x)$$

for μ_{τ_1} -a.e. $x \in M_1$.

Let $0 < \eta, \gamma, \theta, \omega, \varepsilon < 1, m > 1, Y, P_2 \subset M_2$ and $P_1 \subset M_1$ be as above.

Since ψ is measurable, there is $\Lambda \subset M_1$, $\mu_1(\Lambda) > 1 - \omega$ such that ψ is uniformly continuous on Λ . Let $\delta > 0$ be such that if $u, v \in \Lambda$, $d(u, v) < \delta$ then $d(\psi(u), \psi(v)) < \varepsilon$. Let

$$Z = \Lambda \cap P_1 \cap \psi^{-1}(P_2 \cap Y), \quad \mu_1(Z) > 1 - \frac{\theta}{50K^2}$$

and let Q be the generic set of Z for $h_1^{\tau_1}$, $\mu_1(Q) = 1$. Let $\bar{Q} = Q \cap Z$, $\mu_1(\bar{Q}) > 0$. We claim that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{if } u, v \in \bar{Q} \text{ and } v = h_p^{(1)}u \text{ for some } |p| < \delta \\ & \text{then } \psi(v) = h_q^{(2)}\psi(u) \text{ for some } |q| < \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

Indeed, let $\xi(p)$, $r(p)$, $p \in \mathbf{R}$ be defined by

$$\int_0^{\xi(p)} \tau_2(h_s^{(2)}\psi(u)) ds = p = \int_0^{r(p)} \tau_2(h_s^{(2)}\psi(v)) ds$$

and let

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \{n \in \mathbf{Z}^+ : h_n^{r_1}u, h_n^{r_2}v \in Z\} \\ A &= \{\xi(n+p) : n \in B, 0 \leq p \leq 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$l(A \cap [0, \lambda]) / \lambda > 1 - \frac{\theta}{50} \quad (4.29)$$

for all $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$. Also

$$h_{\xi(n)}^{(2)}\psi(u) \in Y \quad (4.30)$$

for all $\xi(n) \in A$ with $n \in B$. For $\xi = \xi(n+p) \in A$ define

$$t(\xi) = r(n+p).$$

If $\xi = \xi(n)$ for some $n \in B$ then

$$h_{t(\xi)}^{(2)}\psi(v) \in U(h_{\xi}^{(2)}\psi(u); \varepsilon). \quad (4.31)$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1 we show that if $\xi = \xi(n) < \xi' = \xi(n')$, $n, n' \in B$ then

$$|(t(\xi') - t(\xi)) - (\xi' - \xi)| \leq (\xi' - \xi)^{1-\eta} \quad (4.32)$$

whenever

$$\max \{(t(\xi') - t(\xi)), (\xi' - \xi)\} \geq m.$$

Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 2.1 we show that (4.29), (4.30), (4.31) and (4.32) imply that

$$\psi(v) = h_q^{(2)}\psi(u)$$

for some $|q| < \varepsilon$.

Thus we proved (4.28). We omit the rest of the proof, since it is completely similar to the proof of Theorem 3 in [6]. Q.E.D.

References

- [1] DANI, S. G., Dynamics of the horospherical flow. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 3 (1980), 1037–1039.
- [2] MOORE, C., Exponential decay of matrix coefficients. To appear.
- [3] ORNSTEIN, D. & SMORODINSKY, M., Continuous speed changes for flows. *Israel J. Math.*, 31 (1978), 161–168.
- [4] RATNER, M., Horocycle flows are loosely Bernoulli. *Israel J. Math.*, 31 (1978), 122–132.
- [5] — The Cartesian square of the horocycle flow is not loosely Bernoulli. *Israel J. Math.*, 34 (1979), 72–96.
- [6] — Rigidity of horocycle flows. *Ann. of Math.*, 115 (1982), 587–614.
- [7] — Ergodic theory in hyperbolic space. *Contemp. Math.*, 26.

Received March 26, 1984