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Let $K$ be a closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$ and let $T: K \rightarrow K$ be a continuous pseudocontractive mapping. Then for $\beta \in(0,1)$ and each $t \in(0,1)$, there exists a sequence $\left\{y_{t}\right\} \subset K$ satisfying $y_{t}=\beta P_{K}\left[(1-t) y_{t}\right]+(1-\beta) T\left(y_{t}\right)$ which converges strongly, as $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$, to the minimumnorm fixed point of $T$. Moreover, we provide an explicit iteration process which converges strongly to a minimum-norm fixed point of $T$ provided that $T$ is Lipschitz. Applications are also included. Our theorems improve several results in this direction.

## 1. Introduction

Let $K$ be a nonempty subset of a real Hilbert space $H$. A mapping $T: K \rightarrow H$ is called Lipschitz if there exists $L \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T x-T y\| \leq L\|x-y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in K . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $L \in[0,1)$, then $T$ is called a contraction; if $L=1$ then $T$ is called a nonexpansive. It is easy to see from (1.1) that every contraction mapping is nonexpansive, and every nonexpansive mapping is Lipschitz.

A mapping $T$ is called strongly pseudocontractive if there exists $\alpha \in(0,1)$ such that inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle T x-T y, x-y\rangle \leq \alpha\|x-y\|^{2} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $x, y \in K . T$ is called $p$ seudocontractive if the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle T x-T y, x-y\rangle \leq\|x-y\|^{2} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $x, y \in K$. Note that inequality (1.3) can be equivalently written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T x-T y\|^{2} \leq\|x-y\|^{2}+\|(I-T) x-(I-T) y\|^{2}, \quad \forall x, y \in K \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that nonexpansive and strongly pseudocontractive mappings are pseudocontractive mappings. However, the converse may not be true (see [1, 2] for details).

Interest in pseudocontractive mappings stems mainly from their firm connection with the important class of nonlinear monotone mappings, where a mapping $A$ with domain $D(A)$ and range $R(A)$ in $H$ is called monotone if the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A x-A y, x-y\rangle \geq 0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for every $x, y \in D(A)$. We note that $A$ is monotone if and only if $T:=I-A$ is pseudocontractive, and hence a zero of $A, N(A):=\{x \in D(A): A x=0\}$ is a fixed point of $T, F(T):=\{x \in D(T): T x=x\}$.

Let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$ and $T: K \rightarrow K$ a pseudcontractive mapping. Assume that the set of fixed points of $T$ is nonempty. It is known from [3] that $F(T)$ is closed and convex.

Let the variational inequality (VI) be given as finding a point $x^{*}$ with the property that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{*} \in F(T) \text { such that }\left\langle x^{*}, x-x^{*}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in F(T) . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $x^{*}$ is the minimum-norm fixed point of $T$ which exists uniquely and is exactly the (nearest point or metric) projection of the origin onto $F(T)$, that is, $x^{*}=P_{F(T)}(0)$. We also observe that the minimum-norm fixed point of pseudocontractive $T$ is the minimum-norm solution of a monotone operator equation $A x=0$, where $A=(I-T)$.

It is quite often to seek the minimum-norm solution of a given nonlinear problem. In an abstract way, we may formulate such problems as finding a point $x^{*}$ with the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{*} \in K, \quad\left\|x^{*}\right\|=\min _{x \in K}\|x\| \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, $x^{*}$ is the projection of the origin onto $K$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{*}=P_{K}(0) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

A typical example is the split feasibility problem (SFP), formulated as finding a point $x^{*}$ with the property that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{*} \in K, \quad A x^{*} \in Q, \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ and $Q$ are nonempty closed convex subsets of the infinite-dimension real Hilbert spaces $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$, respectively, and $A$ is bounded linear mapping from $H_{1}$ to $H_{2}$. Equation (1.9) models many applied problems arising from image reconstructions and learning theory (see, e.g., [4]). Some works on the finite dimensional setting with relevant projection methods for solving image recovery problems can be found in [5-7]. Defining the proximity function $f$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x):=\frac{1}{2}\left\|A x-P_{Q} A x\right\|^{2} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

we consider the convex optimization problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{x \in K} f(x):=\min _{x \in K} \frac{1}{2}\left\|A x-P_{Q} A x\right\|^{2} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that $x^{*}$ is a solution to the split feasibility problem (1.9) if and only if $x^{*} \in K$ and $A x^{*}-P_{Q} A x^{*}=0$ which is the minimum-norm solution of the minimization problem (1.11).

Motivated by the above split feasibility problem, we study the general case of finding the minimum-norm fixed point of a pseudocontractive mapping $T: K \rightarrow K$, that is, we find minimum norm fixed point of $T$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{*} \in F(T) \quad \text { such that }\left\|x^{*}\right\|=\min \{\|x\|: x \in F(T)\} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $T: K \rightarrow K$ be a nonexpansive self-mapping on closed convex subset $K$ of a Banach space $E$. For a given $u \in K$ and for a given $t \in(0,1)$ define a contraction $T_{t}: K \rightarrow K$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{t} x=(1-t) u+t T x, \quad x \in K \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Banach contraction principle, it yields a fixed point $z_{t} \in K$ of $T_{t}$, that is, $z_{t}$ is the unique solution of the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{t}=(1-t) u+t T z_{t} \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Browder [8] proved that as $t \rightarrow 1, z_{t}$ converges strongly to a fixed point of $T$ which is closer to $u$, that is, the nearest point projection of $u$ onto $F(T)$. In 1980, Reich [9] extended the result of Browder to a more general Banach spaces. Furthermore, Takahashi and Ueda [10] and Morales and Jung [11] improved results of Reich [9] to the class of continuous pseudocontractive mappings. For other results on pseudocontractive mappings, we refer to [12-15].

We note that the above methods can be used to find the minimum-norm fixed point $x^{*}$ of $T$ if $0 \in K$. However, if $0 \notin K$ neither Browder's, Reich's, Takahashi and Ueda's, nor Morales and Jung's method works to find minimum-norm fixed point of $T$.

Our concern is now the following: is it possible to construct a scheme, implicit or explicit, which converges strongly to the minimum-norm fixed point of $T$ for any closed convex domain $K$ of $T$ ?

In this direction, Yang et al. [4] introduced an implicit and explicit iteration processes which converge strongly to the minimum-norm fixed point of nonexpansive self-mapping $T$, in real Hilbert spaces. In fact, they proved the following theorems.

Theorem YLY1 (see [4]). Let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$ and $T: K \rightarrow K$ a nonexpansive mapping with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. For $\beta \in(0,1)$ and each $t \in(0,1)$, let $y_{t}$ be defined as the unique solution of fixed point equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{t}=\beta T y_{t}+(1-\beta) P_{K}\left[(1-t) y_{t}\right], \quad t \in(0,1) \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the net $\left\{y_{t}\right\}$ converges strongly, as $t \rightarrow 0$, to the minimum-norm fixed point of $T$.
Theorem YLY2 (see [4]). Let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$, and let $T: K \rightarrow K$ be a nonexpansive mapping with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. For a given $x_{0} \in K$, define a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ iteratively by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=\beta T x_{n}+(1-\beta) P_{K}\left[\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) x_{n}\right], \quad n \geq 1 \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta \in(0,1)$ and $\alpha_{n} \in(0,1)$, satisfying certain conditions. Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to the minimum-norm fixed point of $T$.

A natural question arises whether the above theorems can be extended to a more general class of pseudocontractive mappings or not.

Let $K$ be a closed convex subset a real Hilbert space $H$ and let $T: K \rightarrow K$ be continuous pseudocontractive mapping.

It is our purpose in this paper to prove that for $\beta \in(0,1)$ and each $t \in(0,1)$, there exists a sequence $\left\{y_{t}\right\} \subset K$ satisfying $y_{t}=\beta P_{K}\left[(1-t) y_{t}\right]+(1-\beta) T\left(y_{t}\right)$ which converges strongly, as $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$, to the minimum-norm fixed point of $T$. Moreover, we provide an explicit iteration process which converges strongly to the minimum-norm fixed point of $T$ provided that $T$ is Lipschitz. Our theorems improve Theorem YLY1 and Theorem YLY2 of Yang et al. [4] and Theorems 3.1, and 3.2 of Cai et al. [16].

## 2. Preliminaries

In what follows, we shall make use of the following lemmas.
Lemma 2.1 (see [11]). Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space. Then, for any given $x, y \in H$, the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x+y\|^{2} \leq\|x\|^{2}+2\langle y, x+y\rangle \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.2 (see [17]). Let $K$ be a closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$. Let $x \in H$. Then $x_{0}=P_{K} x$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle z-x_{0}, x-x_{0}\right\rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall z \in K \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.3 (see [18]). Let $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\},\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$, and $\left\{\gamma_{n}\right\}$ be sequences of nonnegative numbers satisfying the conditions: $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=0, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$, and $\gamma_{n} / \alpha_{n} \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let the recursive inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n+1} \leq \lambda_{n}-\alpha_{n} \psi\left(\lambda_{n+1}\right)+\gamma_{n}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

be given where $\psi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a strictly increasing function such that it is positive on $(0, \infty)$ and $\psi(0)=0$. Then $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 2.4 (see [3]). Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space, $K$ be a closed convex subset of $H$ and $T: K \rightarrow$ $K$ be a continuous pseudocontractive mapping, then
(i) $F(T)$ is closed convex subset of $K$;
(ii) $(I-T)$ is demiclosed at zero, that is, if $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence in $K$ such that $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$ and $T x_{n}-x_{n} \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $x=T(x)$.

Lemma 2.5 (see [19]). Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space. Then for all $x, y \in H$ and $\alpha \in[0,1]$, the following equality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\alpha x+(1-\alpha) x\|^{2}=\alpha\|x\|^{2}+(1-\alpha)\|y\|^{2}-\alpha(1-\alpha)\|x-y\|^{2} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. Let $K$ be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$. Let $T: K \rightarrow$ $K$ be a continuous pseudocontractive mapping with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Then for $\beta \in(0,1)$ and each $t \in(0,1)$, there exists a sequence $\left\{y_{t}\right\} \subset K$ satisfying the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{t}=\beta P_{K}\left[(1-t) y_{t}\right]+(1-\beta) T\left(y_{t}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the net $\left\{y_{t}\right\}$ converges strongly, as $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$, to the minimum-norm fixed point of $T$.
Proof. For $\beta \in(0,1)$ and each $t \in(0,1)$ let $T_{t}(y):=\beta P_{K}[(1-t) y]+(1-\beta) T(y)$. Then using nonexpansiveness of $P_{K}$ and pseudocontractivity of $T$, for $x, y \in K$, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle T_{t} x-T_{t} y, x-y\right\rangle= & \beta\left\langle P_{K}[(1-t) x]-P_{K}[(1-t) y], x-y\right\rangle \\
& +(1-\beta)\langle T(x)-T(y), x-y\rangle \\
\leq & \beta(1-t)\|x-y\|^{2}+(1-\beta)\|x-y\|^{2}  \tag{3.2}\\
\leq & (1-t \beta)\|x-y\|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

This implies that $T_{t}$ is strongly pseudocontractive on $K$. Thus, by Corollary 1 of [20] $T_{t}$ has a unique fixed point, $y_{t}$, in $K$. This means that the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{t}=\beta P_{K}\left[(1-t) y_{t}\right]+(1-\beta) T\left(y_{t}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a unique solution for each $t \in(0,1)$. Furthermore, since $F(T) \neq \emptyset$, for $y^{*} \in F(T)$, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|y_{t}-y^{*}\right\|^{2} & =\left\langle\beta P_{K}\left[(1-t) y_{t}\right]+(1-\beta) T y_{t}-y^{*}, y_{t}-y^{*}\right\rangle \\
& =\beta\left\langle P_{K}\left[(1-t) y_{t}\right]-P_{K} y^{*}, y_{t}-y^{*}\right\rangle+(1-\beta)\left\langle T y_{t}-T y^{*}, y_{t}-y^{*}\right\rangle \\
& \leq \beta\left\|(1-t) y_{t}-y^{*}\right\| \cdot\left\|y_{t}-y^{*}\right\|+(1-\beta)\left\|y_{t}-y^{*}\right\|^{2}  \tag{3.4}\\
& \leq \beta\left[(1-t)\left\|y_{t}-y^{*}\right\|+t\left\|y^{*}\right\|\right]\left\|y_{t}-y^{*}\right\|+(1-\beta)\left\|y_{t}-y^{*}\right\|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y_{t}-y^{*}\right\| \leq \beta(1-t)\left\|y_{t}-y^{*}\right\|+\beta t\left\|y^{*}\right\|+(1-\beta)\left\|y_{t}-y^{*}\right\| \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence $\left\|y_{t}-y^{*}\right\| \leq\left\|y^{*}\right\|$. Therefore, $\left\{y_{t}\right\}$ and hence $\left\{T y_{t}\right\}$ is bounded.
Furthermore, from (3.3) and using nonexpansiveness of $P_{K}$ we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|y_{t}-T y_{t}\right\| & =\left\|\beta P_{K}\left[(1-t) y_{t}\right]+(1-\beta) T\left(y_{t}\right)-T y_{t}\right\| \\
& =\beta\left\|P_{K}\left[(1-t) y_{t}\right]-P_{K} T y_{t}\right\| \\
& \leq \beta\left\|(1-t) y_{t}-T y_{t}\right\|  \tag{3.6}\\
& \leq \beta\left\|y_{t}-T y_{t}\right\|+\beta t\left\|y_{t}\right\|
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y_{t}-T y_{t}\right\| \leq \frac{\beta}{(1-\beta)} t\left\|y_{t}\right\| \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } t \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, from (3.3), convexity of $\|\cdot\|^{2}$, (1.4), and (3.7), we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|y_{t}-y^{*}\right\|^{2}= & \left\|(1-\beta)\left(T y_{t}-y^{*}\right)+\beta\left(P_{K}\left[(1-t) y_{t}\right]-P_{K} y^{*}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
= & (1-\beta)\left\|T y_{t}-y^{*}\right\|^{2}+\beta\left\|P_{K}\left[(1-t) y_{t}\right]-P_{K} y^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & (1-\beta)\left[\left\|y_{t}-y^{*}\right\|^{2}+\left\|T y_{t}-y_{t}\right\|^{2}\right]+\beta\left\|(1-t) y_{t}-y^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & (1-\beta)\left\|y_{t}-y^{*}\right\|^{2}+(1-\beta)\left\|T y_{t}-y_{t}\right\|^{2}+\beta\left\|(1-t) y_{t}-y^{*}\right\|^{2}  \tag{3.8}\\
\leq & (1-\beta)\left\|y_{t}-y^{*}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\beta^{2}}{(1-\beta)} t^{2}\left\|y_{t}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\beta\left[\left\|y_{t}-y^{*}\right\|^{2}-2 t\left\|y_{t}-y^{*}\right\|^{2}-2 t\left\langle y^{*}, y_{t}-y^{*}\right\rangle+t^{2}\left\|y_{t}\right\|^{2}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y_{t}-y^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\langle y^{*}, y^{*}-y_{t}\right\rangle+t M, \quad \text { for some } M>0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, for $t_{n} \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, let $\left\{y_{n}:=y_{t_{n}}\right\}$ be a subsequence of $\left\{y_{t}\right\}$ such that $y_{n} \rightharpoonup y^{\prime}$. Then, we have from (3.7) and Lemma 2.4 that $y^{\prime} \in F(T)$. Furthermore, replacing $y^{*}$ by $y^{\prime}$ in (3.9) and the fact that $y_{n} \rightharpoonup y^{\prime}$ imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y_{n}-y^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\langle y^{\prime}, y^{\prime}-y_{n}\right\rangle+t_{n} M \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \mathrm{n} \longrightarrow \infty \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n} \longrightarrow y^{\prime}, \quad \text { as } n \longrightarrow \infty . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from (3.9) and (3.11), we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y^{\prime}-y^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\langle y^{*}, y^{*}-y^{\prime}\right\rangle, \quad \text { as } \mathrm{n} \longrightarrow \infty \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to the inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle y^{\prime}, y^{*}-y^{\prime}\right\rangle \geq 0 \quad \text { and hence } \quad y^{\prime}=P_{F} 0 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If there is another subsequence $\left\{y_{m}\right\}$ of $\left\{y_{t}\right\}$ such that $y_{m} \rightharpoonup y^{\prime \prime}$, similar argument gives that $y^{\prime \prime}=P_{F} 0$, which implies, by uniqueness of $P_{F} 0$, that $y^{\prime \prime}=y^{\prime}$. Therefore, the net $y_{t} \longrightarrow y^{\prime}=P_{F} 0$ which is the minimum-norm of fixed point of $T$. The proof is complete.

We now state and prove a convergence theorem for the minimum-norm zero of a monotone mapping $A$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space. Let $A: H \rightarrow H$ be a continuous monotone mapping with $N(A) \neq \emptyset$. Then for $\beta \in(0,1)$ and each $t \in(0,1)$, there exists a sequence $\left\{y_{t}\right\} \subset H$ satisfying the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{t}=\beta(1-t) y_{t}+(1-\beta)(I-A) y_{t}, \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the net $\left\{y_{t}\right\}$ converges strongly, as $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$, to the minimum-norm zero of $A$.
Proof. Let $T x:=(I-A) x$. Then, we get that $T$ is continuous pseudocontractive mapping with $F(T)=N(A) \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, since $P_{H}$ is an identity mapping on $H$, when $A$ is replaced with ( $I-T$ ) scheme (3.14) reduces to scheme (3.1), and hence the conclusion follows from Theorem 3.1.

If in Theorem 3.1, we consider $\left\{t_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1)$ such that $t_{n} \rightarrow 0, \beta_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and $y_{n}:=y_{t_{n}}$, the method of proof of Theorem 3.1 provides the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. Let $K$ be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$. Let $T: K \rightarrow$ $K$ be continuous pseudocontractive mapping with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Then the sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\} \subset K$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}=\beta_{n} P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) y_{n}\right]+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) T\left(y_{n}\right), \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{t_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1)$ such that $t_{n} \rightarrow 0, \beta_{n} \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, converges strongly, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, to the minimum-norm fixed point of T.

The following proposition and lemma play an important role in proving the next theorem.

Proposition 3.4. Let $K$ be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space H. Let $T$ : $K \rightarrow K$ be continuous pseudocontractive mapping. Then the sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ in (3.15) satisfies the following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y_{n}-y_{n-1}\right\| \leq \frac{\left|\theta_{n-1}-\theta_{n}\right|}{\theta_{n} t_{n}}\left[\left\|y_{n}\right\|+\left\|P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) y_{n-1}\right]\right\|\right]+\frac{\theta_{n-1}}{\theta_{n}} \frac{\left|t_{n}-t_{n-1}\right|}{t_{n}}\left\|y_{n-1}\right\| \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta_{n}:=\beta_{n} /\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)$ for $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ decreasing sequence.
Proof. If we put $\theta_{n}:=\beta_{n} /\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)$, (3.15) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}=T y_{n}+\theta_{n}\left(P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) y_{n}\right]-y_{n}\right) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, using pseudocontractivity of $T$ and nonexpansiveness of $P_{K}$ we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|y_{n}-y_{n-1}\right\|^{2}= & \left\|T y_{n}+\theta_{n}\left(P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) y_{n}\right]-y_{n}\right)-T y_{n-1}-\theta_{n-1}\left(P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n-1}\right) y_{n-1}\right]-y_{n-1}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
= & \| T y_{n}-T y_{n-1}+\theta_{n-1} y_{n-1}-\theta_{n} y_{n}+\theta_{n-1} y_{n}-\theta_{n-1} y_{n} \\
& +\theta_{n} P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) y_{n}\right]-\theta_{n-1} P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n-1}\right) y_{n-1}\right] \|^{2} \\
= & \left\langle T y_{n}-T y_{n-1}+\theta_{n-1}\left(y_{n-1}-y_{n}\right)+\left(\theta_{n-1}-\theta_{n}\right) y_{n}, y_{n}-y_{n-1}\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle\theta_{n} P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) y_{n}\right]-\theta_{n} P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) y_{n-1}\right], y_{n}-y_{n-1}\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle\theta_{n} P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) y_{n-1}\right]-\theta_{n-1} P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) y_{n-1}\right], y_{n}-y_{n-1}\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle\theta_{n-1} P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) y_{n-1}\right]-\theta_{n-1} P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n-1}\right) y_{n-1}\right], y_{n}-y_{n-1}\right\rangle \\
\leq & \left\|y_{n}-y_{n-1}\right\|^{2}-\theta_{n-1}\left\|y_{n}-y_{n-1}\right\|^{2}+\left(\theta_{n-1}-\theta_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}\right\| \\
& \times\left\|y_{n}-y_{n-1}\right\|+\theta_{n}\left(1-t_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}-y_{n-1}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left(\theta_{n}-\theta_{n-1}\right)\left\|P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) y_{n-1}\right]\right\| \cdot\left\|y_{n-1}-y_{n}\right\| \\
& +\theta_{n-1}\left|t_{n}-t_{n-1}\right| \cdot\left\|y_{n-1}\right\|\left\|y_{n}-y_{n-1}\right\|, \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies, using the fact that $\theta_{n}$ is decreasing, that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|y_{n}-y_{n-1}\right\| \leq & {\left[1-\theta_{n-1}+\theta_{n}\left(1-t_{n}\right)\right]\left\|y_{n}-y_{n-1}\right\|+\left|\theta_{n-1}-\theta_{n}\right|\left[\left\|y_{n}\right\|+\left\|P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) y_{n-1}\right]\right\|\right] } \\
& +\theta_{n-1}\left|t_{n}-t_{n-1}\right| \cdot\left\|y_{n-1}\right\| \\
\leq & \left(1-t_{n} \theta_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}-y_{n-1}\right\|+\left|\theta_{n-1}-\theta_{n}\right|\left[\left\|y_{n}\right\|+\left\|P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) y_{n-1}\right]\right\|\right] \\
& +\theta_{n-1}\left|t_{n}-t_{n-1}\right| \cdot\left\|y_{n-1}\right\|, \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y_{n}-y_{n-1}\right\| \leq \frac{\left|\theta_{n-1}-\theta_{n}\right|}{\theta_{n} t_{n}}\left[\left\|y_{n}\right\|+\left\|P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) y_{n-1}\right]\right\|\right]+\frac{\theta_{n-1}}{\theta_{n}} \frac{\left|t_{n}-t_{n-1}\right|}{t_{n}}\left\|y_{n-1}\right\| \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof is complete.
For the rest of this paper, let $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\},\left\{\theta_{n}\right\}$ (decreasing) and $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ be real sequences in ( 0,1 ] satisfying the following conditions: (i) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta_{n}=0=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}$; (ii) $\lambda_{n}\left(1+\theta_{n}\right) \leq 1$, $\sum \lambda_{n} \theta_{n} t_{n}=\infty, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n} / \theta_{n} t_{n}=0$; (iii) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\theta_{n-1}-\theta_{n}\right] / \lambda_{n} \theta_{n}^{2} t_{n}^{2}=0$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[t_{n-1}-\right.$ $\left.t_{n}\right] / \lambda_{n} \theta_{n} t_{n}^{2}=0$. Examples of real sequences which satisfy these conditions are $\lambda_{n}=1 /(n+$ $1)^{1 / 2}, \theta_{n}=1 /(n+1)^{1 / 3}$ and $t_{n}=1 /(n+1)^{1 / 14}$.

Lemma 3.5. Let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H. Let $T: K \rightarrow K$ be a Lipschitz pseudocontractive mapping with Lipschitz constant $L \geq 0$ and $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Let a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be generated from arbitrary $x_{1} \in K$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}:=\left(1-\lambda_{n}\right) x_{n}+\lambda_{n} T x_{n}-\lambda_{n} \theta_{n}\left(x_{n}-P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) x_{n}\right]\right), \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all positive integers $n \geq 1$. Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded.
Proof. We follow the method of proof of Chidume and Zegeye [21]. Since $\lambda_{n} /\left(\theta_{n} t_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, there exists $N_{0}>0$ such that $\lambda_{n} /\left(\theta_{n} t_{n}\right) \leq d:=1 /\left(2(3+L)^{2}\right)$, for all $n \geq N_{0}$. Let $x^{*} \in F(T)$ and $r>0$ be sufficiently large such that $x_{N_{0}} \in B_{r}\left(x^{*}\right)$ and $\left\|x^{*}\right\| \leq r /(2(4+L))$. Now, we show by induction that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ belongs to $B:=\overline{B_{r}\left(x^{*}\right)}$ for all integers $n \geq N_{0}$. By construction, we have $x_{N_{0}} \in B$. Assume that $x_{n} \in B$ for any $n>N_{0}$. Then, we prove that $x_{n+1} \in B$. Suppose $x_{n+1}$ is
not in $B$. Then $\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\|>r$, and thus from the recursion formula (1.2) and Lemma 2.1 we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}= & \left\|x_{n}-x^{*}-\lambda_{n}\left(\left(x_{n}-T x_{n}\right)+\theta_{n}\left(x_{n}-P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) x_{n}\right]\right)\right)\right\|^{2} \\
= & \left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}-2 \lambda_{n}\left\langle\left(x_{n}-T x_{n}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\theta_{n}\left(x_{n}-P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) x_{n}\right]\right), j\left(x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle  \tag{3.22}\\
= & \left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}-2 \lambda_{n} \theta_{n}\left\langle x_{n+1}-x^{*}, x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\rangle \\
& +2 \lambda_{n}\left\langle\theta_{n}\left(x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right)-\left(x_{n}-T x_{n}\right)+\theta_{n}\left(P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) x_{n}\right]-x^{*}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(x_{n+1}-T x_{n+1}\right)-\left(x_{n+1}-T x_{n+1}\right), j\left(x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $T$ is pseudocontractive we have $\left\langle x_{n+1}-T x_{n+1}, j\left(x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \geq 0$. Thus, (3.22) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq & \left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}-2 \lambda_{n} \theta_{n}\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \lambda_{n}(2+L)\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\| \cdot\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& +2 \lambda_{n} \theta_{n}\left\langle P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) x_{n}\right]-x^{*}, j\left(x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \\
= & \left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}-2 \lambda_{n} \theta_{n}\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}  \tag{3.23}\\
& +2 \lambda_{n}(2+L)\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\| \cdot\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& +2 \lambda_{n} \theta_{n}\left\langle P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) x_{n}\right]-P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) x_{n+1}\right]+P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) x_{n+1}\right]\right. \\
& \left.-P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) x^{*}\right]+P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) x^{*}\right]-x^{*}, j\left(x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq & \left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}-2 \lambda_{n} \theta_{n} t_{n}\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \lambda_{n}\left(2+L+\left(1-t_{n}\right)\right)\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\| \cdot\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& +2 \lambda_{n} \theta_{n}\left\|P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) x^{*}\right]-x^{*}\right\| \cdot\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\| \\
= & \left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}-2 \lambda_{n} \theta_{n} t_{n}\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \lambda_{n}(3+L)\left[\lambda_{n} \| \theta_{n}\left(P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) x_{n}\right]-P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) x^{*}\right]\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) x^{*}\right]-x^{*}+x^{*}-x_{n}\right)+T x_{n}-T x^{*}+x^{*}-x_{n} \|\right]  \tag{3.24}\\
& \times\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\|+2 \lambda_{n} \theta_{n} t_{n}\left\|x^{*}\right\| \cdot\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\| \\
\leq & \left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}-2 \lambda_{n} \theta_{n} t_{n}\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \lambda_{n}^{2}(3+L)^{2}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \cdot\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& +2 \lambda_{n} \theta_{n} t_{n}(4+L)\left\|x^{*}\right\|\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\| .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\|>\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|$, from (3.24) we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\| \leq \frac{\lambda_{n}}{\theta_{n} t_{n}}(3+L)^{2}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|+(4+L)\left\|x^{*}\right\| \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence $\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\| \leq r$, since $x_{n} \in B,\left\|x^{*}\right\| \leq r /(2(4+L))$ and $\lambda_{n} / \theta_{n} t_{n} \leq$ $1 / 2(3+L)^{2}$ for all $n \geq N_{0}$. But this is a contradiction. Therefore, $x_{n} \in B$ for all positive integers $n \geq N_{0}$, and hence the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded.

For the next theorem, let $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ denotes the sequence defined by $y_{n}:=y_{s_{n}}=s_{n} T y_{s_{n}}+$ $\left(1-s_{n}\right) P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) y_{n}\right], s_{n}=1 /\left(1+\theta_{n}\right)$, for all $n \geq 1$, guaranteed by Corollary 3.3 (which reduces to $\left.\theta_{n}\left(P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) y_{n}\right]-y_{n}\right)-\left(y_{n}-T y_{n}\right)=0\right)$.

Theorem 3.6. Let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$. Let $T: K \rightarrow K$ be a Lipschitz pseudocontractive mapping with Lipschitz constant $L \geq 0$ and $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Let a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be generated from arbitrary $x_{1} \in K$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}:=\left(1-\lambda_{n}\right) x_{n}+\lambda_{n} T x_{n}-\lambda_{n} \theta_{n}\left(x_{n}-P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) x_{n}\right]\right), \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all positive integers $n \geq 1$. Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to the minimum-norm fixed point of $T$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.5, we have that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. Now, we show that it converges strongly to a minimum-norm fixed point of $T$. But from (3.26) and Lemma 2.1, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq & \left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}-2 \lambda_{n} \theta_{n}\left\langle\left(x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right), j\left(x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right)\right\rangle \\
& +2 \lambda_{n}\left\langle\theta_{n}\left(x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right)-\left(x_{n}-T x_{n}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\theta_{n}\left(x_{n}-P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) x_{n}\right]\right), j\left(x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right)\right\rangle \\
= & \left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}-2 \lambda_{n} \theta_{n}\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}+2 \lambda_{n}\left\langle\theta_{n}\left(x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right)\right.  \tag{3.27}\\
& +\left[\theta_{n}\left(P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) y_{n}\right]-y_{n}\right)-\left(y_{n}-T y_{n}\right)\right]-\left[\left(x_{n+1}-T x_{n+1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\left(y_{n}-T y_{n}\right)\right]+\theta_{n}\left(P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) x_{n}\right]-P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) y_{n}\right]\right) \\
& \left.+\left[\left(x_{n+1}-T x_{n+1}\right)-\left(x_{n}-T x_{n}\right)\right], j\left(x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right)\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

Observe that by the property of $y_{n}$ and pseudocontractivity of $T$ we have $\theta_{n}\left(P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) y_{n}\right]-\right.$ $\left.y_{n}\right)-\left(y_{n}-T y_{n}\right)=0($ see $(3.17))$ and $\left\langle\left(x_{n+1}-T x_{n+1}\right)-\left(y_{n}-T y_{n}\right), j\left(x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right)\right\rangle \geq 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. Thus, we have from (3.27) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq & \left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}-2 \lambda_{n} \theta_{n}\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}+2 \lambda_{n}\left\langle\theta_{n}\left(x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right)\right. \\
& +\theta_{n}\left(P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) x_{n}\right]-P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) x_{n+1}\right]\right. \\
& \left.+P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) x_{n+1}\right]-P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) y_{n}\right]\right) \\
& \left.+\left(x_{n+1}-T x_{n+1}\right)-\left(x_{n}-T x_{n}\right), j\left(x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right)\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\leq & \left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}-2 \lambda_{n} \theta_{n} t_{n}\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \lambda_{n}(3+L)\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\| \cdot\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\| . \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

But by Corollary 3.3, we have that $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. Therefore, there exists $M_{1}>0$ such that $\max \left\{(3+L)\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\| \cdot\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}+\theta_{n}\left(x_{n}-P_{K}\left[\left(1-t_{n}\right) x_{n}\right]\right)\right\|\right\} \leq M_{1}$. Thus from (3.28), we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}-2 \lambda_{n} \theta_{n} t_{n}\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}+2 \lambda_{n}^{2} M_{1} . \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

But using triangle inequality and Proposition 3.4, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2} & \leq\left[\left\|x_{n}-y_{n-1}\right\|+\left\|y_{n-1}-y_{n}\right\|\right]^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-y_{n-1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|y_{n-1}-y_{n}\right\| M_{2}  \tag{3.30}\\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-y_{n-1}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\left|\theta_{n-1}-\theta_{n}\right|}{\theta_{n} t_{n}} M_{3}+\frac{\left|t_{n}-t_{n-1}\right|}{t_{n}} M_{3},
\end{align*}
$$

for some $M_{2}, M_{3}>0$, and for all $n \geq N_{0}$. Now, substituting (3.30) in (3.29) we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq & \left\|x_{n}-y_{n-1}\right\|^{2}-2 \lambda_{n} \theta_{n} t_{n}\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \lambda_{n}^{2} M_{4}+\frac{\theta_{n-1}-\theta_{n}}{\theta_{n} t_{n}} M_{4}+\frac{\left|t_{n}-t_{n-1}\right|}{t_{n}} M_{4} \tag{3.31}
\end{align*}
$$

for some constant $M_{4}>0$. Now, by Lemma 2.3 and the conditions on $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\},\left\{\theta_{n}\right\}$, and $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ we get $x_{n+1}-y_{n} \rightarrow 0$. Consequently, $\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Therefore, since by Corollary 3.3 we have that $y_{t} \rightarrow y^{*} \in F(T)$, where $y^{*}$ is with the minimum-norm in $F(T)$, we get that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to the minimum-norm of fixed point of $T$.

Corollary 3.7. Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space. Let $A: H \rightarrow H$ be a Lipschitz monotone mapping with Lipschitz constant $L \geq 0$ and $N(A) \neq \emptyset$. Let a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be generated from arbitrary $x_{1} \in H$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\lambda_{n} A x_{n}+\lambda_{n} \theta_{n} t_{n} x_{n}, \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all positive integers $n$. Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to the minimum-norm solution of the equation $A x=0$.

Proof. Let $T:=(I-A)$. Then $T$ is a Lipschitz pseudocontractive mapping with Lipschitz constant $L^{\prime}:=(L+1)$, and the minimum-norm solution of the equation $A x=0$ is the minimum-norm fixed point of $T$. Moreover, if we replace $T$ by $(I-A)$ in (3.26), then the equation reduces to (3.32). Thus, the conclusion follows from Theorem 3.6.

## 4. Applications

For the rest of this paper, let $H$ be a Hilbert space and $A: H \rightarrow H$ a bounded linear operator. Consider the convexly constrained linear inverse problem, which has extensively been discussed in the literature (see, e.g., [22]), given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in K, \quad A x=b, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is closed and convex subset of $H$ and $b \in H$, which is a special case of the SFP problem (1.9). Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x):=\frac{1}{2}\|A x-b\|^{2} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The least-square solution of (4.1) is the least-norm minimizer of the minimization problem (4.2). Let $\Omega$ denote the solution set of (4.2). It is known that $\Omega$ is nonempty if and only if $P_{\overline{A(K)}}(b) \in A(\mathrm{~K})$. In this case, $\Omega$ has a unique element with minimum norm which is a leastsquare solution of (4.1), that is, there exists a unique point $x^{*} \in \Omega$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x^{*}\right\|=\min \{\|x\|: x \in \Omega\} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that $\varphi(x)$ is a quadratic function with gradient:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \varphi(x)=A^{*}(A x-b) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A^{*}$ is adjoint of $A$. Let $\gamma>0$ and $x^{*} \in \Omega$. Thus, $x^{*}$ is the minimum-norm solution of the minimization problem (4.2) if and only if $x^{*}$ a solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma \nabla \varphi(x)=\gamma A^{*}(A x-b)=0 . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we state applications of our theorems.
Theorem 4.1. Assume that the solution set of convexly constrained linear inverse problem (4.1) with $K:=H$, a real Hilbert space, is nonempty and that $\nabla \varphi$ is monotone. Then for $\beta \in(0,1)$ and each $t \in(0,1)$, there exists a sequence $\left\{y_{t}\right\} \subset H$ satisfying the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{t}=\beta(1-t) y_{t}+(1-\beta)\left(y_{t}-\gamma A^{*}\left(A y_{t}-b\right)\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A^{*}$ is adjoint of $A$, and the net $\left\{y_{t}\right\}$ converges strongly, as $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$, to the minimum-norm solution of the split feasibility problem (4.1).

Proof. We note that $\varphi(x)$ is continuously differentiable function with gradient:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \varphi(x)=A^{*}(A x-b) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A^{*}$ is adjoint of $A$, which is Lipschitz (see Lemma 8.1 of [5]) and monotone (by hypothesis). Thus, the conclusion follows from Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 4.2. Assume that the solution set of split feasibility problem (4.1) is nonempty and that $\nabla \varphi$ with $K:=H$, a real Hilbert space, is monotone. Let a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be generated from arbitrary $x_{1} \in E b y$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\lambda_{n} \gamma A^{*}\left(A x_{n}-b\right)+\lambda_{n} \theta_{n} t_{n} x_{n} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all positive integers $n$, where $\gamma>0$ and $A^{*}$ is adjoint of $A$. Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to the minimum-norm solution of the split feasibility problem (4.1).

Remark 4.3. Theorem 3.1 improves Theorem YLY1 and Theorem 3.1 of Cai et al. [16] to a more general class of pseudocontractive mappings. Moreover, Theorem 3.6 improves Theorem YLY1 and Theorem 3.2 of Cai et al. [16] in the sense that our scheme provides a minimumnorm fixed point of pseudocontractive mapping $T$.
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