

**EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS FOR THE  
DISCRETE COAGULATION-FRAGMENTATION  
MODEL WITH DIFFUSION**

DARIUSZ WRZOSEK

---

*Dedicated to Olga Ladyzhenskaya*

**1. Introduction**

We consider the following infinite system of reaction-diffusion equations:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t} &= d_1 \Delta u_1 - u_1 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{1j} u_j + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{1j} u_{1+j}, \\ \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} &= d_i \Delta u_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (a_{i-j,j} u_{i-j} u_j - b_{i-j,j} u_i) \\ &\quad - u_i \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} u_j + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{ij} u_{i+j}, \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

on  $\Omega_T = \Omega \times (0, T)$ , subject to the initial condition

$$(1.2) \quad u_i(0, x) = U_i(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

and Neumann boundary condition

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial \nu} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots,$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35K57, 92E20.

The author was supported by the French–Polish project no. 558 and by Polish KBN grant no. 2 PO3A 065 08.

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a bounded domain with smooth boundary,  $\nu$  is an outward normal vector of  $\Omega$ , and  $U_i \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , are given nonnegative functions. We will refer to problem (1.1)–(1.3) as problem (P).

The system (1.1) is a generalization of the discrete coagulation-fragmentation model which describes the dynamics of cluster growth. Such models arise in polymer science [9, 10, 16, 17], atmospheric physics [8] and colloidal chemistry [15], to give a few examples. In models analyzed in this paper the clusters are assumed to be discrete, i.e. they consist of a finite number of smaller particles (resp. polymers and monomers in polymer physics).

The variable  $u_i$  represents the concentration of  $i$ -clusters, that is, clusters consisting of  $i$  identical elementary particles. The *coagulation rates*  $a_{ij}$  and *fragmentation rates*  $b_{ij}$  are nonnegative constants such that  $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$  and  $b_{ij} = b_{ji}$ , and  $d_i$  are the *diffusion coefficients*,  $d_i > 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . The coefficient  $a_{ij}$  represents reaction in which an  $(i + j)$ -cluster is formed from an  $i$ -cluster and a  $j$ -cluster, whereas  $b_{ij}$  is due to break-up of an  $(i + j)$ -cluster into  $i$ - and  $j$ -clusters. The first two terms in the  $i$ th equation describe the rate of change of  $i$ -clusters caused by coagulation of smaller clusters and by fragmentation. The last two terms represent the interaction of  $i$ -clusters with themselves and all others and break-up of larger clusters into  $i$ -clusters. Since the size of clusters is not limited *a priori*, an infinite number of variables is introduced.

A model taking into account the diffusion of clusters (in absence of fragmentation) was given in [7]. Some properties of such a model are shown in [14]. We generalize some results from the previous paper [4], where existence and uniqueness of solutions and mass conservation are studied under the hypothesis that  $a_{ij} = r_i r_j$ ,  $b_{ij} = 0$ ,  $r_i = O(i)$ ,  $i, j \geq 1$ .

After the manuscript of this article was accomplished the author learned about results obtained by Collet and Poupaud in [5]. They prove the existence of global-in-time solutions only in the case of equal diffusion coefficients in each equation and for a fairly restricted range of coagulation and fragmentation coefficients.

For a physical interpretation of the coefficients in the model we refer the reader to [2, 7, 8, 9, 16]. A survey of different variants of the model and numerical methods for the case without diffusion can be found in [6].

We assume two physically meaningful growth conditions of the coagulation coefficients, namely

$$(H1) \quad a_{ij} \leq Aij \quad \text{for } i, j \geq 1, A > 0, \text{ and}$$

$$(H2) \quad a_{ij} \leq A(i + j) \quad \text{for } i, j \geq 1, A > 0.$$

Many properties of the coagulation-fragmentation model without diffusion are proved in [2] under the hypothesis (H2).

The hypothesis (H2) is considered separately because in this case solutions to problem (P) preserve for all  $t \geq 0$  the total mass  $M_0$  contained in the initial distribution, i.e.

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \int_{\Omega} u_i(x, t) dx = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \int_{\Omega} U_i(x) dx = M_0 \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

From now on we assume that  $M_0 < \infty$ .

It is known that in the case

$$a_{ij} = r_i r_j, \quad r_i = i^\alpha, \quad \alpha > 1/2, \quad b_{ij} = 0, \quad d_i = d > 0, \quad i, j \geq 1,$$

(1.4) holds only locally in time. This is related to the *sol-gel transition* (see [9, 10, 16, 17]) for more details.

Similarly to the previous paper [4] solutions of problem (P) are constructed as limits of solutions of finite systems.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we prove some uniform bounds for solutions of finite systems approximating the original one. In Section 3 problem (P) is studied under the assumption that the diffusion coefficients  $d_i$  are arbitrary positive constants. In comparison with the model without diffusion [2], in order to show existence of global-in-time solutions we need the following additional restriction on the fragmentation coefficients:

(H3) For each  $i \geq 1$  there exists  $\gamma_i > 0$  such that

$$b_{i, j-i} \leq \gamma_i a_{ij} \quad \text{for } j \geq i + 1.$$

In this case we are able to prove the existence of global-in-time solutions satisfying

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \int_{\Omega} u_i(x, t) dx \leq M_0.$$

In Section 4 we assume that:

(H4) there exist  $M \geq 1$  and  $d > 0$  such that  $d_i = d$  for  $i \geq M$ .

This enables us to prove the existence of solutions under more general assumptions on the reaction rate coefficients and also to show (1.4). If the diffusion coefficients are the same in each equation the existence of solutions is proved without any growth condition on  $b_{ij}$  (see Theorem 4.2).

We shall denote by  $L$  the  $L^2$ -realization of the Laplace operator subject to homogeneous Neumann boundary condition:

$$Lu = \Delta u \quad \text{with} \quad D(L) = \{u : u \in H^2(\Omega), \partial u / \partial \nu = 0\},$$

and  $\Omega_t$  stands for  $\Omega \times (0, t)$ .

**2. Approximation of solutions**

Solutions of the infinite system are constructed in the process of approximation by the following systems  $(P^N)$  of  $2N$  equations defined for any integer  $N \geq 2$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial u_1^N}{\partial t} &= d_1 \Delta u_1^N - u_1^N \sum_{i=1}^N a_{1j} u_j^N + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} b_{1j} u_{1+j}^N, \\
 &\vdots \\
 (2.1) \quad \frac{\partial u_i^N}{\partial t} &= d_i \Delta u_i^N + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (a_{i-j,j} u_{i-j}^N u_j^N - b_{i-j,j} u_i^N) \\
 &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^N a_{ij} u_i^N u_j^N + \sum_{j=1}^{N-i} b_{ij} u_{i+j}^N \quad \text{for } i = 2, \dots, N, \\
 \frac{\partial u_i^N}{\partial t} &= d_i \Delta u_i^N + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=i-N}^N a_{j,i-j} u_j^N u_{i-j}^N \quad \text{for } N+1 \leq i \leq 2N,
 \end{aligned}$$

subject to boundary and initial conditions as in (1.2), (1.3).

Notice that this system corresponds to the first  $2N$  equations of the system (1.1) where

$$a_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{for } i > N \text{ or } j > N \quad \text{and} \quad b_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{for } i + j > N.$$

In this section we prove some properties of (2.1) which will be useful for further analysis.

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *For any  $N \geq 2$  the system  $(P^N)$  has a unique nonnegative solution  $\{u_k^N\}_{k=1}^{2N}$  defined on  $\Omega \times (0, T_{\max})$ : for  $k = 1, \dots, 2N$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_k^N &\in C([0, T_{\max}[; L^2(\Omega)) \quad \text{and satisfies (1.2),} \\
 u_k^N &\in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty([0, T_{\max}[; L^\infty(\Omega)), \\
 u_k^N &\in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,\infty}([0, T_{\max}[; L^2(\Omega)), \\
 u_k^N &\in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty([0, T_{\max}[; D(L)),
 \end{aligned}$$

and the equations of  $(P^N)$  are satisfied a.e. in  $\Omega \times (0, T_{\max})$ .

**PROOF.** The system  $(P^N)$  can be rewritten in the form

$$\frac{\partial u_i^N}{\partial t} = d_i L u_i^N + f_i(u_1^N, \dots, u_{2N}^N) + u_i^N g_i(u_1^N, \dots, u_{2N}^N), \quad i = 1, \dots, 2N,$$

where  $L_i$  is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup of bounded linear operators on  $L^2(\Omega)$ , and  $f_i, g_i$  are locally Lipschitz continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}^{2N}$  with  $f_i \geq 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+^{2N}$ . By the general theory of reaction-diffusion equations (see

e.g. [11], [13] or [12]) there exists a unique nonnegative, local-in-time solution of  $(P^N)$ .  $\square$

Multiplying the  $i$ th equation in (2.1) by a number  $g_i$  and then summing them up we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.2) \quad & \sum_{i=1}^{2N} g_i \frac{\partial u_i^N}{\partial t} - \sum_{i=1}^{2N} g_i d_i \Delta u_i^N \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} (g_{i+j} - g_i - g_j) a_{ij} u_i^N u_j^N \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 < i+j \leq N} (g_{i+j} - g_i - g_j) b_{ij} u_{i+j}^N \quad \text{on } \Omega \times (0, T_{\max}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $g_i = i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 2N$  and integrating over  $\Omega_t$ , we obtain for  $0 \leq t < T_{\max}$  the mass conservation formula

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{2N} \int_{\Omega} i u_i^N(x, t) dx = \sum_{i=1}^{2N} \int_{\Omega} i U_i(x) dx \leq M_0$$

and taking  $g_i = 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 2N$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.4) \quad & \sum_{i=1}^{2N} \int_{\Omega} u_i^N(x, t) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int \int_{\Omega_t} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} a_{ij} u_i^N u_j^N \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \int \int_{\Omega_t} \sum_{1 \leq i+j \leq N} b_{ij} u_{i+j}^N + \sum_{i=1}^{2N} \int_{\Omega} U_i(x) dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma shows that under some restrictions on the reaction coefficients the solutions to  $(P^N)$  are global in time.

LEMMA 2.2. *Under the hypothesis (H3) the solutions of  $(P^N)$  are global in time and for  $i \leq N$ ,*

$$(2.5) \quad \|u_i^N\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, \infty))} \leq K_i,$$

uniformly with respect to  $N$ , where

$$(2.6) \quad K_i = k_i + 1 + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{i-j, j} K_{i-j} K_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{i-j, j} + a_{ii}}, \quad k_i = \max\{\gamma_i, \|U_i\|_\infty\}$$

for  $i \geq 2$  and  $K_1 = k_1$ . For  $N < i \leq 2N$ ,

$$\|u_i^N\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)} \leq \|U_i\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + t \left( \sum_{j=i-N}^N a_{i-j, j} K_{i-j} K_j \right) \quad \text{for } t > 0.$$

PROOF. In the first equation of  $(P^N)$  take the test function

$$\phi_1 = (u_1 - k_1)_+ |_{[0, t]},$$

where  $k_1 = \max\{\gamma_1, \|U_1\|_\infty\}$  and  $0 \leq t < T_m$  where  $T_m$  is the maximal existence time. Integrating yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \|(u_1^N(\cdot, t) - k_1)_+\|_2^2 + d_1 \int \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla(u_1^N - k_1)_+|^2 \\ &= -a_{11} \int \int_{\Omega_t} (u_1^N - k_1)_+^2 - \int \int_{\Omega_t} \sum_{j=2}^N (a_{1j}u_1^N - b_{1j-1})u_j^N (u_1^N - k_1)_+ \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from (H3) and from the nonnegativity of solutions. Thus, we have

$$\|u_1^N(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq k_1 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t < T_m,$$

uniformly with respect to  $N$ . Let  $\|u_j^N\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)} \leq K_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq i - 1$ . We shall show that

$$(2.7) \quad \|u_i^N\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)} \leq K_i,$$

where  $K_i$  is given by (2.6). To this end let us test the  $i$ th equation with the function

$$\phi_i = p(u_i^N - k_i)_+^{p-1}|_{[0,t]},$$

where  $p \geq 2$  and  $t < T_m$ . We then obtain, taking  $k_i \geq \|U_i\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (2.8) \quad & \|(u_i^N(t) - k_i)_+\|_p^p + d_i p(p-1) \int \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla(u_i^N - k_i)_+|^2 (u_i^N - k_i)_+^{p-2} \\ & \leq \int \int_{\Omega_t} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{i-j,j} K_{i-j} K_j - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{i-j,j} (u_i^N - k_i)_+ - a_{ii} (u_i^N - k_i)_+^2 \right) \\ & \quad \times p(u_i^N - k_i)_+^{p-1} dx dt \\ & \quad - \int \int_{\Omega_t} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} u_j^N u_i^N + \sum_{j=i+1}^N (a_{ij} u_i^N - b_{i,j-i}) u_j^N \right) \\ & \quad \times p(u_i^N - k_i)_+^{p-1} dx dt =: I_1 - I_2. \end{aligned}$$

By (H3),  $I_2 \geq 0$  if  $k_i \geq \gamma_i$ . Using the Young inequality “with  $\varepsilon$ ” we find

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 & \leq p^{p-1} \left( \frac{p}{p-1} \right)^{1-p} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} K_i K_j \right)^p \varepsilon^{1-p} |\Omega| t + \varepsilon \int \int_{\Omega_t} (u_i^N - k_i)_+^p \\ & \quad - p \left( \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{i-j,j} \right) \int \int_{\Omega_t} (u_i^N - k_i)_+^p + |\Omega| t - p a_{ii} \int \int_{\Omega_t} (u_i^N - k_i)_+^p. \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $\varepsilon = p(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{i-j,j} + a_{ii})$  yields

$$(2.9) \quad I_1 \leq \left( \left( \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} K_i K_j \right)^p \left( \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{i-j,j} + a_{ii} \right)^{1-p} + 1 \right) |\Omega| T_m$$

and finally from (2.8) and (2.9) it follows that

$$(2.10) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T_m]} \limsup_{p \geq 2} \left( \int_{\Omega} (u_i^N(x, t) - k_i)^p dx \right)^{1/p} \leq \left( \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} K_i K_j \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{i-j, j} + a_{ii} \right)^{-1} + 1,$$

which yields (2.7). Therefore, solutions can be prolonged for all  $t > 0$ , which implies (2.5) and (2.6). The last statement of the theorem follows immediately from the maximum principle.  $\square$

In Lemma 2.3, below, which will be used in Section 4, we shall use the following formula: for  $M < N$ ,

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=M}^{2N} g_i \frac{\partial u_i^N}{\partial t} - \sum_{i=M}^{2N} g_i d_i \Delta u_i^N \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{M \leq i, j \leq N} (g_{i+j} - g_i - g_j) a_{ij} u_i^N u_j^N \\ & \quad + \sum_{1 \leq i < M \leq j \leq N} (g_{i+j} - g_j) a_{ij} u_i^N u_j^N + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq M \leq i+j} g_{i+j} a_{ij} u_i^N u_j^N \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{T_1} (g_{i+j} - g_i - g_j) b_{ij} u_{i+j}^N - \sum_{T_2} (g_{i+j} - g_j) b_{ij} u_{i+j}^N \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{T_3} g_{i+j} b_{ij} u_{i+j}^N. \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= \{(i, j) : i + j \leq N, i, j \geq M\}, \\ T_2 &= \{(i, j) : i + j \leq N, j \geq M, 1 \leq i \leq M - 1\}, \\ T_3 &= \{(i, j) : i, j \leq M \leq i + j \leq N\}, \end{aligned}$$

which follows from (2.2) by setting  $g_i = 0$  for  $1 \leq i < M < N$ .

LEMMA 2.3. *Suppose (H4) and*

(i) *for  $1 \leq i < M$  there exists a positive constant  $\gamma_i$  such that*

$$b_{i, j-i} \leq \gamma_i a_{ij} \quad \text{for } j \geq i + 1,$$

(ii)  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^2 \|U_i\|_{\infty} \leq \text{const.}$

Then under the hypothesis (H1) there exists  $T_m > 0$  and a constant  $C_1(T)$  such that

$$(2.12) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{2N} i^2 u_i^N(\cdot, t) \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C_1(T) \quad \text{for } T \in [0, T_m[,$$

(2.13) under the hypothesis (H2), (2.12) is true for  $T_m = \infty$ .

PROOF. To show (2.12) we proceed as in [4]. Let  $\{u_i^N\}_{i=1}^{2N}$  be the solution to (P<sup>N</sup>). Taking in (2.11)

$$(2.14) \quad g_i = \begin{cases} i^2 & \text{for } i \geq M, \\ 0 & \text{for } 1 \leq i < M, \end{cases}$$

and using (H1) and Lemma 2.2 we obtain for  $\varrho^N = \sum_{i=M}^{2N} i^2 u_i^N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varrho^N}{\partial t} - d\Delta \varrho^N &\leq A \sum_{M \leq i, j \leq N} i^2 j^2 u_i^N u_j^N + A \sum_{1 \leq i < M \leq j \leq N} i^2 j(i+2j) u_i^N u_j^N \\ &\quad + \frac{A}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq M \leq i+j} ij(i+j)^2 u_i^N u_j^N \\ &\leq A(\varrho^N)^2 + F_1 \varrho^N + F_2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$F_1 = 3A \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} i^2 K_i, \quad F_2 = \frac{A}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq M \leq i+j} ij(i+j)^2 K_i K_j,$$

with  $K_i, i = 1, \dots, M$ , given by (2.6). Applying the parabolic comparison principle, we obtain

$$\varrho^N(x, t) \leq w(t) \quad \text{on } \Omega \times [0, T_m[,$$

where  $w : [0, T_m[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the maximal solution of the o.d.e.

$$\frac{dw}{dt} = Aw^2 + F_1 w + F_2, \quad w(0) = \sum_{i=M}^{\infty} i^2 \|U_i\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}.$$

Hence, for  $T < T_m$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2N} i^2 u_i^N(x, t) \leq C_1(T) \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in \Omega_T,$$

where

$$C_1(T) = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} i^2 K_i + w(t) \right\}.$$

To show (2.13) we shall first prove that there exists a constant  $C_2(T)$  such that

$$(2.15) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{2N} i u_i^N(\cdot, t) \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C_2(T) \quad \text{for all } T \geq 0.$$

To this end, take in (2.11)

$$(2.16) \quad g_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 1 \leq i < M, \\ i & \text{for } i > M. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\eta^N = \sum_{i=M}^{2N} i u_i^N$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \eta^N - d\Delta \eta^N &\leq A \sum_{1 \leq i < M \leq j \leq N} i(i+j) u_i^N u_j^N + \frac{A}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i, j < M \leq i+j} (i+j)^2 u_i^N u_j^N \\ &\leq G_1 \eta^N + G_2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$G_1 = 2A \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} i K_i, \quad G_2 = \frac{A}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i, j < M \leq i+j} (i+j)^2 K_i K_j.$$

By the parabolic comparison principle, we obtain

$$\eta^N(x, t) \leq z(t) \quad \text{on } \Omega \times (0, T),$$

where  $z : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the solution of the linear o.d.e.

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = G_1 z + G_2, \quad z(0) = \sum_{i=M}^{\infty} i \|U_i\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}.$$

Hence, the solution to (P<sup>N</sup>) can be prolonged for all  $T > 0$  and (2.15) follows with

$$C_2(T) = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} i K_i + z(t) \right\}.$$

Now, taking in (2.11)  $g_i$  as in (2.14) and using (2.15) we have for  $\varrho^N = \sum_{i=M}^{2N} i^2 u_i^N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varrho^N}{\partial t} - d\Delta \varrho^N &\leq 2A \left( \sum_{j=M}^N j u_j^N \right) \sum_{i=M}^{2N} i^2 u_i^N \\ &\quad + A \sum_{1 \leq i < M \leq j \leq N} (i^2 + 2ij)(i+j) u_i^N u_j^N \\ &\quad + \frac{A}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i, j < M \leq i+j} (i+j)^3 u_i^N u_j^N \\ &\leq H_1 \varrho^N + H_2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$H_1 = 2AC_2(T) + 6A \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} i K_i, \quad H_2 = \sum_{1 \leq i, j < M \leq i+j} (i+j)^3 K_i K_j.$$

Using again the comparison principle we obtain

$$\varrho^N(x, t) \leq y(t) \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T),$$

where  $y : [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the solution of the linear o.d.e. defined for all  $T > 0$ ,

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = H_1 y + H_2, \quad y(0) = \sum_{i=M}^{\infty} i^2 \|U_i\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}.$$

Thus, (2.13) follows with

$$C_1(T) = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} i^2 K_i + y(t) \right\}.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.3. □

### 3. Existence of solutions in the case of arbitrary positive diffusion coefficients

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Under the assumption (H3), if*

$$(3.1) \quad a_{ij} = o(j) \quad \text{for each } i \geq 1$$

*then for  $T > 0$  there exists a mild solution  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  to (P) defined on  $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$  such that for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ,*

$$(3.2) \quad \|u_i\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, \infty))} \leq K_i \quad \text{where } K_i \text{ is defined in (2.6),}$$

$$(3.3) \quad u_i \in C([0, T]; L^1(\Omega)),$$

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} u_j, \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} b_{i,j-i} u_j \in L^\infty(0, T; L^1(\Omega)) \quad \text{for any } T > 0$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i u_i(x, t) dx \leq M_0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T].$$

**PROOF.** Let  $\{u_i^N\}_{i=1}^{2N}$  be the solution to  $(P^N)$  defined on  $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$ . From (3.1) it follows that for each  $i$ , there exists a constant  $\tilde{c}_i$  such that

$$a_{ij} \leq \tilde{c}_i j \quad \text{for } j \geq 1.$$

Hence, by (2.3) we obtain, for each  $i$  and  $N > i$ ,

$$(3.6) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^N a_{ij} u_j^N(x, t) dx \leq \tilde{c}_i M_0 \quad \text{for any } T > 0.$$

Similarly, by (H3) and (2.3) we have

$$(3.7) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=i+1}^N b_{i,j-i} u_j^N(x, t) dx \leq \tilde{c}_i \gamma_i M_0 \quad \text{for any } T > 0.$$

Let us denote the reaction terms in the  $i$ th equation of  $(P^N)$  by

$$F_i^N = f_i^N - u_i^N g_i^N + h_i^N,$$

where

$$f_i^N = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{i-j,j} u_{j-i}^N u_j^N - b_{i-j,j} u_i^N \right),$$

$$g_i^N = \sum_{j=1}^N a_{ij} u_j^N, \quad h_i^N = \sum_{j=1}^{N-i} b_{ij} u_{i+j}^N = \sum_{j=i+1}^N b_{i,j-i} u_j^N$$

if  $i \leq N$ , and

$$f_i^N = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=i-N}^N a_{j,i-j} u_j^N u_{i-j}^N, \quad g_i^N = 0, \quad h_i^N = 0$$

for  $N < i \leq 2N$ .

By Lemma 2.2 and (3.6), (3.7) it follows that for  $N \geq i$ ,

$$(3.8) \quad \|f_i^N - u_i^N g_i^N + h_i^N\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^1(\Omega))} \leq D_i,$$

where  $D_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$ , are positive constants independent of  $N$ .

The operator  $L$  is closable in  $L^1(\Omega)$  and accretive in this space. Its closure  $L_1$  generates a compact, positive, analytic semigroup in  $L^1(\Omega)$  (see [1]). Using (3.8) and applying to each equation the compactness result from [3, Theorem 1(i)] yields, for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , relative compactness of  $\{u_i^N\}_{N=i}^\infty$  in the space  $C([0, T]; L^1(\Omega))$ . Let  $\{N_l\}_{l=1}^\infty$  be the diagonal increasing sequence such that

$$(3.9) \quad u_i^{N_l} \rightarrow u_i \quad \text{in } C([0, T]; L^1(\Omega)), \quad u_i^{N_l} \rightarrow u_i \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega_T$$

as  $l \rightarrow \infty$ , for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . For fixed  $l$  and  $i < N_l$  the function  $u_i^{N_l}$  is the mild solution given by the Duhamel formula

$$(3.10) \quad u_i^{N_l}(t) = e^{td_i L} U_i + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)d_i L} (f_i^{N_l}(s) - u_i^{N_l}(s)g_i^{N_l}(s) + h_i^{N_l}(s)) ds.$$

Notice that thanks to (3.6) and (3.7), for fixed  $i$ , (3.4) follows. By (3.9) and Lemma 2.2 it follows that

$$f_i^{N_l} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{i-j,j} u_{i-j} u_j - b_{i-j,j} u_i \right) \quad \text{in } C([0, T]; L^1(\Omega))$$

as  $l \rightarrow \infty$ . In order to pass to the limit in (3.10) we shall show that for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$(3.11) \quad g_i^{N_l} \rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^\infty a_{ij} u_j \quad \text{in } L^1(\Omega_T),$$

$$(3.12) \quad h_i^{N_l} \rightarrow \sum_{j=i+1}^\infty b_{i,j-i} u_j \quad \text{in } L^1(\Omega_T)$$

as  $l \rightarrow \infty$ . To this end notice that by (3.1) for fixed  $i$  and arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $l_0$  such that for any  $l > l_0$ ,

$$\int \int_{\Omega_T} \sum_{j=N_{l_0}}^{N_l} a_{ij} u_j^{N_l} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad \int \int_{\Omega_T} \sum_{j=N_{l_0}}^{\infty} a_{ij} u_j < \frac{\varepsilon}{3},$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is such that

$$3M_0 T a_{ij} / j \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for } j > N_{l_0}.$$

Thanks to (3.9) there exists  $l_1 \geq l_0$  such that for any  $l > l_1$ ,

$$\int \int_{\Omega_T} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{l_0}-1} a_{ij} |u_j^{N_l} - u_j| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

It follows that for  $l > l_1$ ,

$$\int \int_{\Omega_T} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{N_l} a_{ij} u_j^{N_l} - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} u_j \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Hence (3.11) follows. The proof of (3.12) is similar, so we skip it. By Lemma 2.2, (3.9) and (3.11) it follows that

$$u_i^{N_l} g_i^{N_l} \rightarrow u_i \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} u_j \quad \text{in } L^1(\Omega_T) \text{ as } l \rightarrow \infty,$$

which enables us to pass to the limit in (3.10).

Using the above reasoning one can construct a solution defined on  $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$  which satisfies (3.2), in the following way. Let  $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be any increasing sequence of positive numbers such that  $T_n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then using a compactness argument there exists a sequence  $\{N_l^1\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$  such that for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  a solution  $u_i^1$  to (P) on the interval  $[0, T_1]$  is defined as the limit of  $\{u_i^{N_l^1}|_{[0, T_1]}\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ . Let  $N_l^2$  be a subsequence of  $\{N_l^1\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $\{u_i^{N_l^2}|_{[0, T_2]}\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$  tends to the solution  $u_i^2$  defined on  $[0, T_2]$ . Of course, the solutions  $u_i^1$  and  $u_i^2$  coincide on  $[0, T_1]$ . Step by step we define in this way the sequence  $\{N_l^n\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$  for any  $n \geq 1$ . Hence, the solution  $u_i$  to (P) on  $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$  is defined as the limit of  $\{u_i^{N_l^i}\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ , where  $\{N_l^i\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$  is the diagonal subsequence.

Passing to the limit we obtain (3.4) and (3.5) from (3.8) and (2.3) respectively. □

REMARK 1. Since the reaction terms in each equation are in the space  $L^\infty(0, T; L^1(\Omega))$ , we have  $u_i \in C^\alpha([\delta, T]; L^1(\Omega))$  for any  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$  and  $\delta > 0$ , which follows from the regularity result for mild solutions (see e.g. [12, pp. 110–111]) applied separately to each equation.

Assuming some structural assumptions on the coefficients  $a_{ij}$  we are able to prove the existence of strong solutions in  $L^2(\Omega_T)$ .

THEOREM 3.2. *Under the assumption (H3), suppose that there exists  $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  such that*

$$(3.13) \quad a_{ij} = r_i r_j,$$

$$(3.14) \quad r_i = o(i) \quad \text{for } i \geq 1, \text{ and}$$

$$(3.15) \quad \sum_{i+j=k} b_{ij} \leq Bk \quad \text{where } B > 0.$$

Then there exists a nonnegative solution  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  to (P) defined on  $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$  such that (3.2) and (3.5) hold and for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} &u_i \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)) \quad \text{for any } T \text{ and satisfies (1.2),} \\ &u_i \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}([0, \infty[; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^2([0, \infty[; D(L)), \\ &\sum_{i=1}^\infty r_i u_i \in L^2(\Omega_T) \quad \text{for any } T > 0, \end{aligned}$$

and the equations (1.1) are satisfied a.e. on  $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$ .

PROOF. Let  $\{u_i^N\}_{i=1}^{2N}$  be the solution of  $(P^N)$ . Taking  $g_i = 1$  for  $i \geq 1$  in (2.2) and integrating on  $\Omega_t$  we obtain, using (3.13),

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{2N} \int_{\Omega} u_i^N(x, t) dx - \sum_{i=1}^{2N} \int_{\Omega} U_i(x) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int \int_{\Omega_t} \left( \sum_{i=1}^N r_i u_i^N \right)^2 \\ = \frac{1}{2} \int \int_{\Omega_T} \sum_{k=2}^N \sum_{i+j=k} b_{ij} u_k^N. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using (3.15) for any  $T > 0$ ,

$$(3.18) \quad \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N r_i u_i^N \right\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}^2 \leq BTM_0.$$

By (H3) we have for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$(3.19) \quad \int \int_{\Omega_T} \left( \sum_{j=i+1}^N b_{i,j-i} u_j^N \right)^2 \leq \gamma_i r_i \int \int_{\Omega_T} \left( \sum_{j=i+1}^N r_j u_j^N \right)^2.$$

Therefore,

$$(3.20) \quad \|f_i^N - u_i^N g_i^N + h_i^N\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)} \leq D_i,$$

where  $D_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$ , are positive constants independent of  $N$ .

Now, to end the proof, it is sufficient to use the existence result of Theorem 3.1. Since now the reaction terms are in the space  $L^2(\Omega)$  the regularity of solutions in (3.16) follows from the standard theory of parabolic equations (see e.g. [11, 13, 12]) applied separately to each equation in (P).

**4. Existence of mass preserving solutions**

In this section we exploit the hypothesis (H4) which enables us to find better estimates of the terms

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} iu_i, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij}u_j, \quad \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} b_{i,j-i}u_j.$$

Then the hypothesis (H3) can be modified in the following way:

(H3)<sub>M</sub> For each  $i \geq 1$ , if  $1 \leq i < M$  then there exists  $\gamma_i > 0$  such that

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} b_{i,j-i} &\leq \gamma_i a_{ij} \quad \text{for } j \geq i + 1, \text{ and} \\ b_{ij} &= o(j^2) \quad \text{for each } i \geq M. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 4.1. *Under the assumptions (H4), (H3)<sub>M</sub> and (H1) or (H2), if*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^2 \|U_i\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \text{const}$$

then there exists  $T > 0$  such that (P) has a nonnegative solution  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  on  $\Omega_T$  such that for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} u_i &\in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)) \quad \text{and satisfies (1.2),} \\ u_i &\in L^\infty(\Omega_T) \cap W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)), \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} u_i &\in L^2_{\text{loc}}([0, T]; D(L)), \\ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij}u_j, \quad \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} b_{i,j-i}u_j &\in L^\infty(\Omega_T). \end{aligned}$$

The equations of (1.1) are satisfied a.e. on  $\Omega_T$  and

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} k u_k(x, t) dx = M_0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T].$$

Under the hypothesis (H2) the solution is defined on  $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$  and the above statement is true for any  $T > 0$ .

PROOF. We proceed in much the same way as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. By Lemma 2.3 there exists  $T_m > 0$  such that for any  $N > 1$  and  $0 < T < T_m$ ,

$$(4.5) \quad \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N a_{ij} u_j^N \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)} \leq \left\| A_i \sum_{j=1}^N j u_j^N \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)} \leq A_i C_1(T)$$

and by (4.1),

$$(4.6) \quad \left\| \sum_{j=i+1}^N b_{i,j-i} u_j^N \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)} \leq \tilde{c}_i C_1(T),$$

where  $\tilde{c}_i$  is a positive constant. Under the assumption (H2) the estimates above are valid for any  $T > 0$ .

It follows that (3.20) still holds true. Using again the compactness argument we can choose a subsequence  $\{N_l\}_{l=1}^\infty$  such that

$$u_i^{N_l} \rightarrow u_i \quad \text{in } C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)), \quad u_i^{N_l} \rightarrow u_i \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega_T$$

as  $l \rightarrow \infty$ . By Lemma 2.3 it follows that for any  $k \leq N_l$ ,

$$(4.7) \quad \left\| \sum_{j=k}^{N_l} a_{ij} u_j^{N_l} \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)} + \left\| \sum_{j=k}^{2N_l} j u_j^{N_l}(\cdot, t) \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \frac{iA+1}{k} C_1(T)$$

for  $0 \leq t \leq T$ . By Lemma 2.3 and (4.1) it follows that for each  $i \geq 1$  and for  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $k_0 > i$  such that

$$\left\| \sum_{j=k_0}^{N_l} b_{i,j-i} u_j^{N_l} \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)} < \varepsilon,$$

where

$$C_1(T) \frac{b_{i,j-i}}{j^2} < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } j \geq k_0.$$

Proceeding as in the last part of the proof of Theorem 3.1 one shows (3.11) and (3.12) with  $L^1(\Omega_T)$  replaced by  $L^2(\Omega_T)$ . Similarly, by (4.7) for  $0 \leq t \leq T$ ,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2N_l} j u_j^{N_l}(\cdot, t) \rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^\infty j u_j(\cdot, t) \quad \text{in } L^1(\Omega) \quad \text{as } l \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, using (2.3) we obtain (4.4). Finally, by (4.5) and (4.6) we arrive at (4.3) by passing to the limit.  $\square$

The following theorem shows the existence of solutions under the assumption (H2) for arbitrarily fast fragmentation. However, to prove it we need all diffusion coefficients to be the same in each equation.

**THEOREM 4.2.** *In the case (H2), if  $d_i = d > 0$  for  $i \geq 1$  and*

$$(4.8) \quad \sum_{i=1}^\infty i^2 \|U_i\|_{L^\infty} \leq \text{const},$$

*then for arbitrary  $b_{ij} \geq 0$ ,  $i, j \geq 1$ , there exists a mild solution  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  to (P) defined on  $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$  such that for any  $T > 0$  and each  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} &u_i \in C([0, T]; L^1(\Omega)) \quad \text{and satisfies (1.2),} \\ &\sum_{j=1}^\infty a_{ij} u_j \in L^\infty(\Omega_T), \quad \sum_{j=1}^\infty b_{i,j-i} u_j \in L^1(\Omega_T), \\ &\int_\Omega \sum_{i=1}^\infty i u_i(x, t) \, dx = M_0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. For any  $N > 2$  let  $\{u_i^N\}_{i=1}^{2N}$  be the solution of  $(P^N)$ . Setting  $M = 1$  in Lemma 2.3 we have for  $T > 0$ ,

$$(4.9) \quad \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{2N} j^2 u_j^N \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)} \leq C_1(T)$$

without any growth condition on  $b_{ij}$ . In particular, it follows that the solution is global in time. Now, taking in (2.2)  $g_i = i^2$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 2N$ , integrating over  $\Omega_t$  and using (H2) we obtain for  $0 < t \leq T$ ,

$$(4.10) \quad \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{2N} i^2 u_i^N(x, t) dx + \int \int_{\Omega_t} \sum_{1 < i+j \leq N} ij b_{ij} u_{i+j}^N \leq |\Omega|TA(C_1(T))^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} i^2 U_i(x) dx.$$

Hence, for each  $i$  and  $N > i$  there exists a constant  $\widehat{c}_i$  independent of  $N$  such that for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$(4.11) \quad \int \int_{\Omega_T} \sum_{j=1}^{N-i} b_{ij} u_{i+j}^N \leq \widehat{c}_i(T).$$

For each  $i$  inequalities (4.10) and (4.11) imply the uniform bound

$$\|f_i^N - u_i^N g_i^N + h_i^N\|_{L^1(0,T;L^1(\Omega))} \leq \text{const.}$$

Using the compactness argument from [3, Theorem 1(ii)] one can choose a subsequence  $\{N_l\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_i^{N_l} &\rightarrow u_i && \text{in } L^q(0, T; L^1(\Omega)) \text{ for any } 1 \leq q < \infty, \\ u_i^{N_l} &\rightarrow u_i && \text{a.e. in } \Omega_T \end{aligned}$$

as  $l \rightarrow \infty$ , for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . In the same way as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 one shows (3.11) using (4.9). To show (3.12) notice that due to (4.10) for each  $i \geq 1$  and  $k < N_l - i$ ,

$$\int \int_{\Omega_T} \sum_{j=k}^{N_l-i} b_{ij} u_{i+j}^{N_l} \leq \frac{\widehat{c}_i(T)}{k}.$$

Now, passing to the limit in the Duhamel formula as  $l \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain

$$u_i^{N_l} \rightarrow u_i \quad \text{in } C([0, T]; L^1(\Omega)).$$

By (4.10) for  $0 < t \leq T$  we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=k}^{2N} i u_i^N(x, t) dx \leq \frac{\text{const}}{k},$$

hence (1.4) follows. □

REMARK 2. In [2] the existence of solutions for the model without diffusion is proved under the same assumptions on  $a_{ij}$  and  $b_{ij}$  as in the above theorem. It is worth pointing out that the result is shown there under the only assumption that the total mass contained in the initial data is finite,  $M_0 < \infty$ . We assume more, (4.8), but our proof seems to be shorter than that in [2]. It is not known to the author how to transfer the result from [2] to our case.

REMARK 3. In general there is no uniqueness of solutions for the system (1.1) even for the case without diffusion (see [2]). In the particular case of  $a_{ij} = r_i r_j$  and  $r_i = Ai + B$  where  $A, B$  are constants ( $A > 0, B \geq 0$ ),  $b_{ij} = 0$  for  $i, j \geq 1$ , and (H4) holds, uniqueness of solutions is proved in [4].

*Acknowledgments.* The author would like to express his thanks to Professor Philippe Bénilan for many discussions during the author's stay at the Université de Franche-Comté in Besançon in September 1995.

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. AMANN, *Dual semigroups and second order linear elliptic boundary value problems*, Israel J. Math. **45** (1983), 225–254.
- [2] J. M. BALL AND J. CARR, *The discrete coagulation-fragmentation equations: existence, uniqueness and density conservation*, J. Statist. Phys. **61** (1990), 203–234.
- [3] P. BARAS, *Compacité de l'opérateur  $f \rightarrow u$  solution d'une équation non linéaire  $f \in (du/dt) + Au$* , C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A **286** (1978), 1113–1116.
- [4] P. BÉNILAN AND D. WRZOSEK, *On an infinite system of reaction-diffusion equations*, Adv. Math. Sci. Appl. **7** (1997), 349–364.
- [5] J. F. COLLET AND F. POUPAUD, *Existence of solutions to coagulation-fragmentation systems with diffusion*, Transport Theory Statist. Phys. **25** (1996), 503–513.
- [6] P. DEUFLHARD AND M. WULKOW, *Computational treatment of polyreaction kinetics by orthogonal polynomials of a discrete variable*, Konrad-Zuse-Zentrum (Berlin), Preprint SC 88-6 (1988).
- [7] P. G. J. VAN DONGEN, *Spatial fluctuations in reaction-limited aggregation*, J. Statist. Phys. **54** (1989), 221–271.
- [8] R. DRAKE, *Topics in Current Aerosol Research* (G. M. Hidy and J. R. Brock, eds.), Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1972.
- [9] E. M. HENDRIKS, M. H. ERNST AND R. M. ZIFF, *Coagulation equations with gelation*, J. Statist. Phys. **31** (1983), 519–563.
- [10] F. LEYVRAZ AND H. R. TSCHUDI, *Singularities in the kinetics of coagulation processes*, J. Phys. A. Math. Gen. **14** (1981), 3389–3405.
- [11] R. H. MARTIN AND M. PIERRE, *Nonlinear reaction-diffusion systems*, Nonlinear Equations in Applied Science (W. F. Ames and C. Rogers, eds.), Academic Press, Boston, 1992.
- [12] A. PAZY, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [13] F. ROTHE, *Global solutions of reaction-diffusion systems*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [14] M. SLEMROD, *Coagulation-diffusion systems: derivation and existence of solutions for the diffuse interface structure equations*, Phys. D **46** (1990), 351–366.

- [15] M. SMOLUCHOWSKI, *Versuch einer mathematischen Theorie der kolloiden Lösungen*, Z. Phys. Chem. **92** (1917), 129–168.
- [16] R. M. ZIFF, *Kinetics of polymerization*, J. Statist. Phys. **23** (1980), 241–263.
- [17] R. M. ZIFF AND G. STELL, *Kinetics of polymer gelation*, J. Chem. Phys. **73** (1980), 3492–3499.

*Manuscript received September 20, 1996*

DARIUSZ WRZOSEK  
Department of Mathematics, Computer Science and Mechanics  
University of Warsaw  
Banacha 2  
02-097 Warszawa, POLAND

*E-mail address:* darekw@hydra.mimuw.edu.pl