

ON THE EXISTENCE OF POSITIVE ENTIRE SOLUTIONS OF NONLINEAR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS

MARCO SQUASSINA

ABSTRACT. Via non-smooth critical point theory, we prove existence of entire positive solutions for a class of nonlinear elliptic problems with asymptotic p -Laplacian behaviour and subjected to natural growth conditions.

1. Introduction

In the last few years there has been a growing interest in the study of positive solutions to variational quasilinear equations in unbounded domains of \mathbb{R}^n , since these problems are involved in various branches of mathematical physics (see [4]).

Since 1988, quasilinear elliptic equations of the form

$$(1) \quad -\operatorname{div}(\varphi(\nabla u)) = g(x, u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n$$

have been extensively treated, among the others, in [2], [8], [12], [14], [20] by means of a combination of topological and variational techniques.

Moreover, existence of a positive solution $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for the more general equation

$$-\sum_{i,j=1}^n D_j(a_{ij}(x, u)D_i u) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n D_s a_{ij}(x, u)D_i u D_j u + b(x)u = g(x, u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35D05; Secondary 58E05.

Key words and phrases. Positive entire solutions, non-smooth critical point theory.

behaving asymptotically ($|x| \rightarrow \infty$) like the problem

$$-\Delta u + \lambda u = u^{q-1} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

for some suitable $\lambda > 0$ and $q > 2$, has been firstly studied in 1996 in [9] via techniques of non-smooth critical point theory.

On the other hand, more recently, in a bounded domain Ω of \mathbb{R}^n some existence results for fully nonlinear problems of the type

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(\nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u)) + D_s \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u) = g(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

have been established in [1], [17], [18].

The goal of this paper is to prove existence of a nontrivial positive solution in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for the nonlinear elliptic equation

$$(3) \quad -\operatorname{div}(\nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u)) + D_s \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u) + b(x)|u|^{p-2}u = g(x, u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

behaving asymptotically like the p -Laplacian problem

$$-\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) + \lambda |u|^{p-2}u = u^{q-1} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

for some suitable $\lambda > 0$ and $q > p$. In other words, equation (3) tends to regularize as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ together with its associated functional $f : W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$(4) \quad f(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u) dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} b(x)|u|^p dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(x, u) dx.$$

Since in general f is continuous but not even locally Lipschitzian, unless \mathcal{L} does not depend on u or the growth conditions on \mathcal{L} are very restrictive, we shall refer to the non-smooth critical point theory developed in [7], [10], [11], [13], [16] and we shall follow the approach of [9].

We assume that $1 < p < n$, the function $\mathcal{L} : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable in x for all $(s, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$, of class C^1 in (s, ξ) for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathcal{L}(x, s, \cdot)$ is strictly convex and homogeneous of degree p . Take $b \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\underline{b} \leq b(x) \leq \bar{b}$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for some $\underline{b}, \bar{b} > 0$. Moreover, we shall assume that:

(H₁) there exists $\nu > 0$ such that

$$(5) \quad \nu |\xi|^p \leq \mathcal{L}(x, s, \xi) \leq \frac{1}{p} |\xi|^p,$$

for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for all $(s, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$,

(H₂) there exists $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$(6) \quad |D_s \mathcal{L}(x, s, \xi)| \leq c_1 |\xi|^p,$$

for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for all $(s, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, there exist $c_2 > 0$ and $a \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$(7) \quad |\nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, s, \xi)| \leq a(x) + c_2 |s|^{p^*/p'} + c_2 |\xi|^{p-1},$$

for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for all $(s, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$,

(H₃) there exists $R > 0$ such that

$$(8) \quad s \geq R \Rightarrow D_s \mathcal{L}(x, s, \xi) s \geq 0,$$

for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for all $(s, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

(H₄) uniformly in $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|\xi| \leq 1$ and $|\eta| \leq 1$

$$(9) \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \nabla_{\xi} \mathcal{L}(x, s, \xi) \cdot \eta = |\xi|^{p-2} \xi \cdot \eta,$$

$$(10) \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} D_s \mathcal{L}(x, s, \xi) s = 0,$$

$$(11) \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} b(x) = \lambda,$$

for some $\lambda > 0$ and with $b(x) \leq \lambda$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

(G₁) $G : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function, $G(x, s) = \int_0^s g(x, t) dt$ and there exist $\beta > 0$ and $q > p$ such that

$$(12) \quad s > 0 \Rightarrow 0 < qG(x, s) \leq g(x, s)s,$$

$$(13) \quad (q-p)\mathcal{L}(x, s, \xi) - D_s \mathcal{L}(x, s, \xi) s \geq \beta |\xi|^p,$$

for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for all $(s, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, there exist $\sigma \in]p, p^*[$ and $c > 0$ such that

$$(14) \quad |g(x, s)| \leq d(x) + c|s|^{\sigma-1},$$

for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all $s > 0$, where $d \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $r \in [np'/(n+p'), p']$.

(G₂) we assume that

$$(15) \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x, s)}{s^{q-1}} = 1,$$

uniformly in $s > 0$, and

$$(16) \quad \lim_{|s| \rightarrow 0} \frac{G(x, s)}{|s|^p} = 0,$$

uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $g(x, s) \geq s^{q-1}$ for each $s > 0$.

Under the previous assumptions, the following is our main result.

THEOREM 1. *The Euler's equation of f*

$$(17) \quad -\operatorname{div}(\nabla_{\xi} \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u)) + D_s \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u) + b|u|^{p-2}u = g(x, u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n$$

admits at least one nontrivial positive solution $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

This result extends to a more general setting Theorem 2 of [9] dealing with the case:

$$\mathcal{L}(x, s, \xi) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, s) \xi_i \xi_j,$$

and Theorem 2.1 of [8] involving integrands of the type:

$$\mathcal{L}(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{p} a(x) |\xi|^p,$$

where $a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $1 < p < n$. Let us remark that we assume (8) for large values of s , while in [9] it was supposed that, for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{R} : \sum_{i,j=1}^n s D_s a_{ij}(x, s) \xi_i \xi_j \geq 0.$$

This assumption has been widely considered in literature, not only in studying existence but also to ensure local boundedness of weak solutions (see e.g. [1]).

Condition (13) has been already used in [1], [17], [18] and seems to be a natural extension of what happens in the quasilinear case [7].

We point out that in a bounded domain, conditions (12) and (13) may be assumed for large values of s (see e.g. [18]).

Finally (9)–(11) and (15) fix the asymptotic behaviour of (3). By (9) and (10), there exist two maps $\varepsilon_1 : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon_2 : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(18) \quad \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, s, \xi) \cdot \eta = |\xi|^{p-2} \xi \cdot \eta + \varepsilon_1(x, s, \xi, \eta) |\xi|^{p-1} |\eta|,$$

$$(19) \quad D_s \mathcal{L}(x, s, \xi) s = \varepsilon_2(x, s, \xi) |\xi|^p,$$

where $\varepsilon_1(x, s, \xi, \eta) \rightarrow 0$ and $\varepsilon_2(x, s, \xi) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

2. Recalls from non-smooth critical point theory

We recall from [7] two basic definitions in a general setting.

DEFINITION 1. Let (X, d) be a metric space, $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a continuous function and $u \in X$. We denote by $|df|(u)$ the supremum of $\sigma \in [0, \infty[$ such that there exist $\delta > 0$ and a continuous map $\mathcal{H} : B_\delta(u) \times [0, \delta] \rightarrow X$ such that, for all $(v, t) \in B_\delta(u) \times [0, \delta]$,

$$d(\mathcal{H}(v, t), v) \leq t, \quad f(\mathcal{H}(v, t)) \leq f(v) - \sigma t.$$

We say that the extended real number $|df|(u)$ is the *weak slope* of f at u .

DEFINITION 2. Let (X, d) be a metric space, $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a continuous function and $u \in X$. We say that u is a *critical point* of f if $|df|(u) = 0$.

We now introduce the following variant of the classical $(PS)_c$ condition.

DEFINITION 3. Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$. A sequence $(u_h) \subset W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is said to be a *concrete Palais–Smale sequence at level c* ((CPS) $_c$ -sequence, in short) for f , if $f(u_h) \rightarrow c$,

$$-\operatorname{div}(\nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h)) + D_s \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) \in W^{-1,p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

eventually, as $h \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$-\operatorname{div}(\nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h)) + D_s \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) + b(x)|u_h|^{p-2}u_h - g(x, u_h) \rightarrow 0$$

strongly in $W^{-1,p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We say that f satisfies the *concrete Palais–Smale condition at level c* ((CPS) $_c$ in short), if every (CPS) $_c$ -sequence for f admits a strongly convergent subsequence.

The following proposition connects the abstract framework of non-smooth critical point theory with the weak solutions of our problem.

PROPOSITION 1. *The functional f is continuous and if $|df|(u) < \infty$ it results*

$$-\operatorname{div}(\nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u)) + D_s \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u) + b|u|^{p-2}u - g(x, u) \in W^{-1,p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

and

$$\|-\operatorname{div}(\nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u)) + D_s \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u) + b|u|^{p-2}u - g(x, u)\|_{-1,p'} \leq |df|(u).$$

PROOF. See [7, Theorem 2.1.3]. \square

As a consequence, each critical point of f solves (17) in the sense of distributions.

3. The concrete Palais–Smale condition

Let us now set, for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all $(s, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$(20) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(x, s, \xi) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}(x, s, \xi) & \text{if } s \geq 0, \\ \mathcal{L}(x, 0, \xi) & \text{if } s < 0, \end{cases} \quad \tilde{g}(x, s) = \begin{cases} g(x, s) & \text{if } s \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } s < 0. \end{cases}$$

We define a modified functional $\tilde{f} : W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ setting

$$(21) \quad \tilde{f}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(x, u, \nabla u) dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} b(x)|u|^p dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{G}(x, u) dx.$$

Then the Euler’s equation of \tilde{f} is given by

$$(22) \quad -\operatorname{div}(\nabla_\xi \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(x, u, \nabla u)) + D_s \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(x, u, \nabla u) + b(x)|u|^{p-2}u = \tilde{g}(x, u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

LEMMA 1. *If $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a solution of (22), then u is a positive solution of (17).*

PROOF. Let $Q : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the Lipschitz map defined by

$$Q(s) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s \geq 0, \\ s & \text{if } -1 \leq s \leq 0, \\ -1 & \text{if } s \leq -1. \end{cases}$$

Testing $\tilde{f}'(u)$ with $Q(u) \in W^{1,p} \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and taking into account (20) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \tilde{f}'(u)(Q(u)) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla_\xi \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla Q(u) \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_s \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(x, u, \nabla u) Q(u) \, dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} b(x) |u|^{p-2} u Q(u) \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{g}(x, u) Q(u) \, dx \\ &= \int_{\{-1 < u < 0\}} \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, 0, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u \, dx + \int_{\{u < 0\}} D_s \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(x, u, \nabla u) Q(u) \, dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} b(x) |u|^{p-2} u Q(u) \, dx - \int_{\{u < 0\}} \tilde{g}(x, u) Q(u) \, dx \\ &= \int_{\{-1 < u < 0\}} p \mathcal{L}(x, 0, \nabla u) \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} b(x) |u|^{p-2} u Q(u) \, dx \\ &\geq \underline{b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{p-2} u Q(u) \, dx \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, it results $Q(u) = 0$, namely $u \geq 0$. \square

Therefore, without loss of generality, we shall suppose that

$$g(x, s) = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}(x, s, \xi) = \mathcal{L}(x, 0, \xi) \quad \text{for all } s \leq 0,$$

for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

LEMMA 2. *Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then each $(\text{CPS})_c$ -sequence for f is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

PROOF. If (u_h) is a $(\text{CPS})_c$ -sequence for f , arguing as in [9, Lemma 2], since

$$f(u_h) - \frac{1}{q} f'(u_h)(u_h) = c + o(1)$$

as $h \rightarrow \infty$, by (12) and (13) we get

$$(23) \quad \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u_h|^p \, dx + \frac{q-p}{p} \underline{b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u_h|^p \, dx \leq C,$$

for some $C > 0$, hence the assertion. \square

Let us note that there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$(24) \quad |D_s \mathcal{L}(x, s, \xi)| \leq M \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, s, \xi) \cdot \xi$$

for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for all $(s, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

We now prove a local compactness property for $(\text{CPS})_c$ -sequences. In the following, $\Omega \Subset \mathbb{R}^n$ will always denote an open and bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^n .

THEOREM 2. *Let (u_h) be a bounded sequence in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and for each $v \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ set*

$$(25) \quad \langle w_h, v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) \cdot \nabla v \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_s \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) v \, dx.$$

If (w_h) is strongly convergent to some w in $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$ for each $\Omega \Subset \mathbb{R}^n$, then (u_h) admits a strongly convergent subsequence in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for each $\Omega \Subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

PROOF. Since (u_h) is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we find u in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that, up to a subsequence, $u_h \rightharpoonup u$ in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, for each $\Omega \Subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$u_h \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } L^p(\Omega), \quad u_h(x) \rightarrow u(x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

By a natural extension of [5, Theorem 2.1] to unbounded domains, we have

$$\nabla u_h(x) \rightarrow \nabla u(x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then, following the blueprint of [18, Theorem 3.4], we obtain for each $v \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$(26) \quad \langle w, v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla v \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_s \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u) v \, dx.$$

Choose now $\Omega \Subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and fix a positive smooth cut-off function η on \mathbb{R}^n with $\eta = 1$ on Ω . Moreover, let $\vartheta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function defined by

$$(27) \quad \vartheta(s) = \begin{cases} Ms & \text{if } 0 < s < R, \\ MR & \text{if } s \geq R, \\ -Ms & \text{if } -R < s < 0, \\ MR & \text{if } s \leq -R, \end{cases}$$

where M is as in (24). Since by [18, Proposition 3.1] $v_h = \eta u_h \exp\{\vartheta(u_h)\}$ are admissible test functions for (25), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) \cdot \nabla u_h \eta \exp\{\vartheta(u_h)\} \, dx - \langle w_h, \eta u_h \exp\{\vartheta(u_h)\} \rangle \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) \cdot \nabla \eta u_h \exp\{\vartheta(u_h)\} \, dx \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [D_s \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) + \vartheta'(u_h) \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) \cdot \nabla u_h] \eta u_h \exp\{\vartheta(u_h)\} \, dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let us observe that

$$\nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) \cdot \nabla u_h \rightarrow \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Since, for each $h \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$[-D_s \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) - \vartheta'(u_h) \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) \cdot \nabla u_h] \eta u_h \exp\{\vartheta(u_h)\} \leq 0,$$

Fatou's Lemma yields:

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_h \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [-D_s \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) \\ & \quad - \vartheta'(u_h) \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) \cdot \nabla u_h] \cdot \eta u_h \exp\{\vartheta(u_h)\} dx \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [-D_s \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u) - \vartheta'(u) \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u] \eta u \exp\{\vartheta(u)\} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_h \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) \cdot \nabla u_h \eta \exp\{\vartheta(u_h)\} dx \\ & = \limsup_h \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [-D_s \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) - \vartheta'(u_h) \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) \cdot \nabla u_h] \right. \\ & \quad \cdot \eta u_h \exp\{\vartheta(u_h)\} dx + \langle w_h, \eta u_h \exp\{\vartheta(u_h)\} \rangle \\ & \quad \left. - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) \cdot \nabla \eta u_h \exp\{\vartheta(u_h)\} dx \right\} \\ & \leq \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [-D_s \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u) - \vartheta'(u) \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u] \eta u \exp\{\vartheta(u)\} dx \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \langle w, \eta u \exp\{\vartheta(u)\} \rangle - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla \eta u \exp\{\vartheta(u)\} dx \right\} \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u \eta \exp\{\vartheta(u)\} dx, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (26) with $v = \eta u \exp\{\vartheta(u)\}$. In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (28) \quad & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u \eta \exp\{\vartheta(u)\} dx \\ & \leq \liminf_h \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) \cdot \nabla u_h \eta \exp\{\vartheta(u_h)\} dx \\ & \leq \limsup_h \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) \cdot \nabla u_h \eta \exp\{\vartheta(u_h)\} dx \\ (29) \quad & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u \eta \exp\{\vartheta(u)\} dx, \end{aligned}$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned} (30) \quad & \lim_h \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) \cdot \nabla u_h \eta \exp\{\vartheta(u_h)\} dx \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u \eta \exp\{\vartheta(u)\} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{L}(x, s, \cdot)$ is p -homogeneous by (5) for each $h \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\nu \eta p |\nabla u_h|^p \leq \eta \exp\{\vartheta(u_h)\} \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) \cdot \nabla u_h.$$

By the generalized Lebesgue's theorem we deduce that

$$\lim_h \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta |\nabla u_h|^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta |\nabla u|^p dx.$$

Up to substituting η with η^p , we get

$$\lim_h \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\eta \nabla u_h|^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\eta \nabla u|^p dx,$$

which implies that $\eta \nabla u_h \rightarrow \eta \nabla u$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, namely $\nabla u_h \rightarrow \nabla u$ in $L^p(\Omega)$. \square

Let us remark that, in general, since the imbedding

$$W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is not compact, we cannot have strong convergence of $(\text{CPS})_c$ sequences on unbounded domains of \mathbb{R}^n . Nevertheless, we have the following result.

LEMMA 3. *Assume that (u_h) is a $(\text{CPS})_c$ -sequence for f . Then there exists u in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that, up to a subsequence, the following facts hold*

- (a) (u_h) converges to u weakly in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,
- (b) (u_h) converges to u strongly in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for each $\Omega \Subset \mathbb{R}^n$,
- (c) u is a positive weak solution to (3).

PROOF. Since the sequence (u_h) is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by Lemma 2, of course (a) holds. Now, for fixed $\Omega \Subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we set

$$w_h = \gamma_h + g(x, u_h) - b|u_h|^{p-2}u_h \in W^{-1,p'}(\Omega), \quad \gamma_h \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } W^{-1,p'}(\Omega).$$

Then (b) follows by Theorem 2 with $w = g(x, u) - b|u|^{p-2}u$. Finally, by Lemma 1, (c) is a consequence of equation (26). \square

Let us now prove a technical lemma that we shall use later.

LEMMA 4. *Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and (u_h) be a bounded $(\text{CPS})_c$ -sequence for f . Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\varrho > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{\{|u_h| \leq \varrho\}} |\nabla u_h|^p dx \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for each } h \in \mathbb{N}.$$

PROOF. Let $\varepsilon, \varrho > 0$ and define, for $\delta \in]0, 1[$, the function $\vartheta_\delta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ setting

$$(31) \quad \vartheta_\delta(s) = \begin{cases} s & \text{if } |s| \leq \varrho, \\ \varrho + \delta\varrho - \delta s & \text{if } \varrho < s < \varrho + \varrho/\delta, \\ -\varrho - \delta\varrho - \delta s & \text{if } -\varrho - \varrho/\delta < s < -\varrho, \\ 0 & \text{if } |s| \geq \varrho + \varrho/\delta. \end{cases}$$

Since $\vartheta_\delta(u_h) \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle w_h, \vartheta_\delta(u_h) \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) \cdot \nabla \vartheta_\delta(u_h) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_s \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) \vartheta_\delta(u_h) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} b|u_h|^{p-2} u_h \vartheta_\delta(u_h) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x, u_h) \vartheta_\delta(u_h) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then condition (6), $b(x) > 0$ and $|\vartheta_\delta(u_h)| \leq \varrho$ yield

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) \cdot \nabla \vartheta_\delta(u_h) dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x, u_h) \vartheta_\delta(u_h) dx + \varrho \|u_h\|_{1,p}^p + \frac{1}{p' p^{p'/p} \delta^{p'/p}} \|w_h\|_{-1,p'}^{p'} + \delta \|u_h\|_{1,p}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Since (u_h) is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\delta \|u_h\|_{1,p}^p \leq \varepsilon\nu/8$, and

$$(32) \quad \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) \cdot \nabla u_h dx \leq \varepsilon\nu/2,$$

uniformly with $h \in \mathbb{N}$ so large that $(1/p' p^{p'/p} \delta^{p'/p}) \|w_h\|_{-1,p'}^{p'} \leq \varepsilon\nu/8$. Now, since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x, u_h) \vartheta_\delta(u_h) dx &\leq \int_{\{|u_h| \leq \varrho + \varepsilon/8\}} g(x, u_h) u_h dx \\ &\leq \|d\|_r \left(\int_{\{|u_h| \leq \varrho + \varepsilon/8\}} |u_h|^{r'} dx \right)^{1/r'} + c \int_{\{|u_h| \leq \varrho + \varepsilon/8\}} |u_h|^\sigma dx, \end{aligned}$$

we can find $\varrho > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x, u_h) \vartheta_\delta(u_h) dx \leq \varepsilon\nu/8$$

and $\varrho \|u_h\|_{1,p}^p \leq \varepsilon\nu/8$. Therefore we obtain

$$\int_{\{|u_h| \leq \varrho + \varepsilon/8\}} \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) \cdot \nabla \vartheta_\delta(u_h) dx \leq \varepsilon\nu/2,$$

namely, taking into account (32),

$$\int_{\{|u_h| \leq \varrho\}} \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) \cdot \nabla u_h dx \leq \varepsilon\nu.$$

By (5) the proof is complete. \square

Let us now introduce the ‘‘asymptotic functional’’ $f_\infty : W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by setting

$$f_\infty(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^p dx + \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^p dx - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u^+|^q dx$$

and consider the associated p -Laplacian problem

$$-\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) + \lambda|u|^{p-2}u = u^{q-1} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

(See [8] for the case $p > 2$ and [3] for the case $p = 2$.) We now investigate the behaviour of the functional f over its $(\text{CPS})_c$ -sequences.

LEMMA 5. *Let (u_h) be a $(\text{CPS})_c$ -sequence for f and u its weak limit. Then*

$$(33) \quad f(u_h) \approx f(u) + f_\infty(u_h - u),$$

$$(34) \quad f'(u_h)(u_h) \approx f'(u)(u) + f'_\infty(u_h - u)(u_h - u)$$

as $h \rightarrow \infty$, where the notation $A_h \approx B_h$ means $A_h - B_h \rightarrow 0$.

PROOF. By [6, Lemma 2.2] we have the splitting

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(x, u_h) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(x, u) dx - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(u_h - u)^+|^q dx = o(1),$$

as $h \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, we easily get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} b|u_h|^p dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} b|u|^p dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u_h - u|^p dx = o(1),$$

as $h \rightarrow \infty$. Observe now that thanks to (18) we have

$$\int_{\{|x|>\varrho\}} \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) \cdot \nabla u_h dx - \int_{\{|x|>\varrho\}} |\nabla u_h|^p dx \rightarrow 0,$$

as $\varrho \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in $h \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\int_{\{|x|>\varrho\}} \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u dx - \int_{\{|x|>\varrho\}} |\nabla u|^p dx \rightarrow 0,$$

as $\varrho \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, taking into account that for each $\sigma > 0$ there exists $c_\sigma > 0$ with

$$|\nabla u_h|^p \leq c_\sigma |\nabla u|^p + (1 + \sigma) |\nabla u_h - \nabla u|^p,$$

we deduce that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\varrho > 0$ such that for each $h \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{|x|>\varrho\}} \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) \cdot \nabla u_h dx - \int_{\{|x|>\varrho\}} \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u dx \\ - \int_{\{|x|>\varrho\}} |\nabla(u_h - u)|^p dx < \tilde{c}\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

for some $\tilde{c} > 0$. On the other hand, by Lemma 3, $\nabla u_h \rightarrow \nabla u$ in $L^p(B(0, \varrho), \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Since we deduce

$$\int_{\{|x|\leq\varrho\}} \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) \cdot \nabla u_h dx = \int_{\{|x|\leq\varrho\}} \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u dx + o(1),$$

as $h \rightarrow \infty$. Then, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\bar{h} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\int_{\{|x| \leq \varrho\}} \nabla_{\xi} \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) \cdot \nabla u_h \, dx - \int_{\{|x| \leq \varrho\}} \nabla_{\xi} \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u \, dx - \int_{\{|x| \leq \varrho\}} |\nabla(u_h - u)|^p \, dx < \widehat{c}\varepsilon,$$

for each $h \geq \bar{h}$ and some $\widehat{c} > 0$. Putting the previous inequalities together, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla_{\xi} \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) \cdot \nabla u_h \, dx \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla_{\xi} \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla(u_h - u)|^p \, dx + o(1) \end{aligned}$$

as $h \rightarrow \infty$. Taking into account that $\mathcal{L}(x, s, \cdot)$ is homogeneous of degree p , (33) is proved. To prove (34), by the previous step and condition (15), it suffices to show that

$$(35) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_s \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) u_h \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_s \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u) u \, dx + o(1),$$

as $h \rightarrow \infty$. By (19), we find $b_1, b_2 > 0$ such that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\varrho > 0$ with

$$\int_{\{|x| > \varrho\}} D_s \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) u_h \, dx \leq b_1 \varepsilon, \quad \int_{\{|x| > \varrho\}} D_s \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u) u \, dx \leq b_2 \varepsilon,$$

uniformly in $h \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, combining (b) of Lemma 3 with (13), the generalized Lebesgue's Theorem yields

$$\int_{\{|x| \leq \varrho\}} D_s \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) u_h \, dx = \int_{\{|x| \leq \varrho\}} D_s \mathcal{L}(x, u, \nabla u) u \, dx + o(1),$$

as $h \rightarrow \infty$. Then (34) follows by the arbitrariness of ε . \square

Let us recall from [15] the following result:

LEMMA 6. *Let $1 < p \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq q < \infty$ with $q \neq p^*$. Assume that (u_h) is a bounded sequence in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with (∇u_h) bounded in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and there exists $R > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{y+B_R} |u_h|^q \, dx = o(1),$$

as $h \rightarrow \infty$. Then $u_h \rightarrow 0$ in $L^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for each $\alpha \in]q, p^[$.*

PROOF. See [15, Lemma I.1]. \square

Let (u_h) denote a concrete Palais–Smale sequence for f and let us assume that its weak limit u is 0. If $np'/(n+p') < r < p'$, recalling that by (35) it results

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_s \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) u_h \, dx = o(1),$$

as $h \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} pc &= pf(u_h) - f'(u_h)(u_h) + o(1) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x, u_h) u_h \, dx + o(1) \\ &\leq \|d\|_r \|u_h\|_{r'} + c \|u_h\|_\sigma^\sigma + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, either $\|u_h\|_{r'}$ or $\|u_h\|_\sigma$ does not converge strongly to 0. If we now apply Lemma 6 with $p = q$ (note also that $p < r'$, $\sigma < p^*$), taking into account that (u_h) is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we find $C > 0$ and a sequence $(y_h) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|y_h| \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$\int_{y_h + B_R} |u_h|^p \, dx \geq C,$$

for some $R > 0$. In particular, if $\tau_h u_h(x) = u_h(x - y_h)$, we have

$$\int_{B_R} |\tau_h u_h|^p \, dx \geq C$$

and there exists $\bar{u} \not\equiv 0$ such that

$$(36) \quad \tau_h u_h \rightharpoonup \bar{u} \quad \text{in } W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

If $r = np'/(n+p')$, the same can be obtained in a similar fashion since for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist

$$d_{1,\varepsilon} \in L^\ell(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \ell \in \left] \frac{np'}{n+p'}, p' \right[, \quad d_{2,\varepsilon} \in L^{np'/(n+p')}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

such that $d = d_{1,\varepsilon} + d_{2,\varepsilon}$ and $\|d_{2,\varepsilon}\|_{np'/(n+p')} \leq \varepsilon$. We now show that \bar{u} is a weak solution of:

$$(37) \quad -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) + \lambda |u|^{p-2} u = u^{q-1} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

LEMMA 7. *Let (u_h) a $(\text{CPS})_c$ -sequence for f with $u_h \rightharpoonup 0$. Then \bar{u} is a weak solution of (37). Moreover, $\bar{u} > 0$.*

PROOF. For all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $h \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $(\tau^h \varphi)(x) := \varphi(x + y_h)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Since (u_h) is a $(\text{CPS})_c$ -sequence for f , we have that $f'(u_h)(\tau^h \varphi) = o(1)$, for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ namely, as $h \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) \cdot \nabla \tau^h \varphi \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_s \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) \tau^h \varphi \, dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} b(x) |u_h|^{p-2} u_h \tau^h \varphi \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x, u_h) \tau^h \varphi \, dx = o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Of course, as $h \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} b(x)|u_h|^{p-2}u_h\tau^h\varphi dx &= \int_{\text{supt } \varphi} b(x-y_h)|\tau_h u_h|^{p-2}\tau_h u_h\varphi dx \\ &\rightarrow \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\bar{u}|^{p-2}\bar{u}\varphi dx, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x, u_h)\tau^h\varphi dx &= \int_{\text{supt } \varphi} g(x-y_h, \tau_h u_h)\varphi dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\bar{u}^+|^{q-1}\varphi dx. \end{aligned}$$

Next we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) \cdot \nabla \tau^h \varphi dx \\ &= \int_{\text{supt } \varphi} \nabla_\xi \mathcal{L}(x-y_h, \tau_h u_h, \nabla \tau_h u_h) \cdot \nabla \varphi dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \bar{u}|^{p-2} \nabla \bar{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, Lemma 4 gives a $\varrho > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_s \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) \tau^h \varphi dx \leq \tilde{c}\varepsilon + \int_{\{|u_h| > \varrho\}} D_s \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) \tau^h \varphi dx.$$

On the other hand, by (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\{|u_h| > \varrho\}} D_s \mathcal{L}(x, u_h, \nabla u_h) \tau^h \varphi dx \\ &= \int_{\text{supt } \varphi \cap \{|\tau_h u_h| > \varrho\}} D_s \mathcal{L}(x-y_h, \tau_h u_h, \nabla \tau_h u_h) \varphi dx = o(1), \end{aligned}$$

as $h \rightarrow \infty$. By arbitrariness of ε we conclude the proof. Finally $\bar{u} \geq 0$ follows by Lemma 1 and $\bar{u} > 0$ follows by [19, Theorem 1.1]. \square

LEMMA 8. *Let (u_h) be a $(\text{CPS})_c$ -sequence for f with $u_h \rightarrow 0$. Then*

$$f_\infty(\bar{u}) \leq \liminf_h f_\infty(\tau_h u_h).$$

PROOF. Since (u_h) weakly goes to 0, Lemma 5 gives $f'_\infty(u_h)(u_h) \rightarrow 0$ as $h \rightarrow \infty$, so that $f'_\infty(\tau_h u_h)(\tau_h u_h) \rightarrow 0$ as $h \rightarrow \infty$, namely

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \tau_h u_h|^p dx + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\tau_h u_h|^p dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\tau_h u_h^+)^q dx \rightarrow 0$$

as $h \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore

$$f_\infty(\tau_h u_h) - \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\tau_h u_h^+)^q dx \rightarrow 0.$$

Similarly, Lemma 7 yields

$$f_\infty(\bar{u}) = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\bar{u}|^q dx,$$

and the assertion follows by Fatou's Lemma. \square

LEMMA 9. *If (u_h) is a $(\text{CPS})_c$ -sequence for f with $u_h \rightharpoonup 0$, then $f_\infty(\bar{u}) \leq c$.*

PROOF. Since Lemma 5 yields $f(u_h) \approx f_\infty(\tau_h u_h)$ as $h \rightarrow \infty$, by the previous Lemma we conclude the proof. \square

We finally come to the proof of the main result of this paper.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Since G is superlinear at ∞ (12), we have

$$\forall u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\} : u \geq 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(tu) = -\infty.$$

Let $v \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ positive be such that $f(tv) < 0$ for all $t > 1$ and define the minimax class

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)) : \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = v\},$$

and the minimax value

$$c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} f(\gamma(t)).$$

Let us remark that, for each $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$f(u) \geq \nu \|\nabla u\|_p^p + \frac{b}{p} \|u\|_p^p - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(x, u) dx.$$

Then, by (16), it results

$$\lim_h \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(x, w_h)}{\|w_h\|_{1,p}^p} = 0$$

for each (w_h) that goes to 0 in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, f has a mountain pass geometry, and by the deformation Lemma of [7] there exists a $(\text{CPS})_c$ -sequence $(u_h) \subset W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for f . By Lemma 3 it results that (u_h) converges weakly to a positive weak solution u of (3). Therefore, if $u \neq 0$, we are done. On the other hand, if $u = 0$ let us consider \bar{u} . We now prove that \bar{u} is a weak solution to our problem. Since, for each $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$, we have

$$u \geq 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f_\infty(tu) = -\infty,$$

we find $R > 0$ so large that

$$\forall a, b \geq 0 : a + b = R \Rightarrow f_\infty(a\bar{u} + bv) < 0.$$

Define the path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\gamma(t) = \begin{cases} 3Rt\bar{u} & \text{if } t \in [0, 1/3], \\ (3t-1)Rv + (2-3t)R\bar{u} & \text{if } t \in [1/3, 2/3], \\ (3R+3t-3Rt-2)v & \text{if } t \in [2/3, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Of course we have $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $f_\infty(\gamma(t)) < 0$ for each $t \in]1/3, 1]$ and by [8, Lemma 2.4]

$$\max_{t \in [0, 1/3]} f_\infty(\gamma(t)) = f_\infty(\bar{u}).$$

Hence, by Lemma 8 and the assumptions on \mathcal{L} and g , we have

$$c \leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} f(\gamma(t)) \leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} f_\infty(\gamma(t)) = f_\infty(\bar{u}) \leq c.$$

Therefore, since γ is an optimal path in Γ , by the non-smooth deformation Lemma of [7], there exists $\bar{t} \in]0, 1[$ such that $\gamma(\bar{t})$ is a critical point of f at level c . Moreover, $\gamma(\bar{t}) = \bar{u}$, otherwise

$$f(\gamma(\bar{t})) \leq f_\infty(\gamma(\bar{t})) < f_\infty(\bar{u}) = c,$$

in contradiction with $f(\gamma(\bar{t})) = c$. Then \bar{u} is a positive solution to (3). \square

REMARK 1. Let $1 < p < n$, $q > p$ and $\lambda > 0$. As a by-product of Theorem 1, taking

$$\mathcal{L}(x, s, \xi) = \frac{1}{p} |\xi|^p + \frac{\lambda}{p} |s|^p - \frac{1}{q} |s|^q,$$

we deduce that the problem

$$(38) \quad -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) + \lambda |u|^{p-2} u = |u|^{q-2} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

has at least one nontrivial positive solution $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see also [8], [20]).

In some sense, Theorem 1 implies that the ε -perturbed problem

$$(39) \quad -\operatorname{div}((1 + \varepsilon(x, u, \nabla u)) |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) + \lambda |u|^{p-2} u = |u|^{q-2} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

has at least one nontrivial positive solution $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

REMARK 2. By [1, Lemma 1.4] we have a local boundedness property for solutions of problem (3), namely, for each $\Omega \Subset \mathbb{R}^n$ each weak solution $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ of (3) belongs to $L^\infty(\Omega)$ provided that in (14) is $d \in L^s(\Omega)$ for a sufficiently large s (see [1], [7]).

Acknowledgments. The author warmly thanks Marco Degiovanni for providing helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. ARCOYA AND L. BOCCARDO, *Critical points for multiple integrals of the calculus of variations*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **134** (1996), 249–274.
- [2] M. BADIALE AND G. CITTI, *Concentration compactness principle and quasilinear elliptic equations in \mathbb{R}^n* , Comm. Partial Differential Equations **16** (1991), 1795–1818.
- [3] V. BENCI AND G. CERAMI, *Positive solutions of some nonlinear elliptic problems in exterior domains*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **99** (1987), 283–300.
- [4] H. BERESTYCKI AND P. L. LIONS, *Nonlinear scalar field equation I, existence of a ground state*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **82** (1983), 313–346.
- [5] L. BOCCARDO AND F. MURAT, *Almost everywhere convergence of the gradients of solutions to elliptic and parabolic equations*, Nonlinear Anal. **19** (1992), 581–597.
- [6] D. CAO AND X. ZHU, *The concentration-compactness principle in nonlinear elliptic equations*, Acta Math. Sci. **9** (1989), 307–328.

- [7] A. CANINO AND M. DEGIOVANNI, *Nonsmooth critical point theory and quasilinear elliptic equations*, Topological Methods in Differential Equations and Inclusions (A. Granas, M. Frigon, G. Sabidussi, eds.), Montreal, 1994, pp. 1–50; NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. (1995).
- [8] G. CITTI, *Existence of positive solutions of quasilinear degenerate elliptic equations on unbounded domains*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **158** (1991), 315–330.
- [9] M. CONTI AND F. GAZZOLA, *Positive entire solutions of quasilinear elliptic problems via nonsmooth critical point theory*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **8** (1996), 275–294.
- [10] J. N. CORVELLEC, M. DEGIOVANNI AND M. MARZOCCHI, *Deformation properties for continuous functionals and critical point theory*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **1** (1993), 151–171.
- [11] M. DEGIOVANNI AND M. MARZOCCHI, *A critical point theory for nonsmooth functionals*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **167** (1994), 73–100.
- [12] B. FRANCHI, E. LANCONELLI AND J. SERRIN, *Existence and uniqueness of ground state solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations*, Nonlinear Diffusion Equations and their Equilibrium States II (W. M. Ni, L. A. Peletier and J. Serrin, eds.), vol. 1, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988, pp. 293–300.
- [13] A. IOFFE AND E. SCHWARTZMAN, *Metric critical point theory I. Morse regularity and homotopic stability of a minimum*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **75** (1996), 125–153.
- [14] G. LI AND S. YAN, *Eigenvalue problems for quasilinear elliptic equations in \mathbb{R}^n* , Comm. Partial Differential Equations **14** (1989), 1291–1314.
- [15] P. L. LIONS, *The concentration compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **1** (1984), 109–145 (Part I), 223–283 (Part II).
- [16] G. KATRIEL, *Mountain pass theorems and global homeomorphism theorems*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **11** (1994), 189–209.
- [17] B. PELLACCI, *Critical points for non differentiable functionals*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (7) **11** (1997), 733–749.
- [18] M. SQUASSINA, *Weak solutions to general Euler’s equations via nonsmooth critical point theory*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) **9** (2000), 113–131.
- [19] N. S. TRUDINGER, *On Harnack type inequalities and their application to quasilinear elliptic equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **20** (1967), 721–747.
- [20] L. S. YU, *Nonlinear p -Laplacian problems on unbounded domains*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **115** (1992), 1037–1045.

Manuscript received February 5, 2001

MARCO SQUASSINA
Dipartimento di Matematica
Via C. Saldini 50
20133 Milano, ITALY

E-mail address: squassin@dmf.bs.unicatt.it