

Vasile Ene,* Ovidius University Constanța, Romania
 Current address†: Quellenstraße 18, 63571 Gelnhausen, Germany;
 e-mail: gabrielaene@hotmail.com

ON A PROBLEM OF FAURE AND GUÉNARD

Abstract

In [3], Faure and Guénard put the following problem: Characterize the Denjoy*-integrable functions $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ that can be approximated by two Baire 1 functions g_ϵ and h_ϵ , $\epsilon > 0$, that are \mathcal{D}^* -integrable. In the present article we show that this class of functions coincides with the class of all \mathcal{D}^* -integrable functions $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

In [3], Faure and Guénard put the following problem, that can be written as follows:

Problem 1. *Characterize the Denjoy*-integrable (short \mathcal{D}^* -integrable) functions $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ that can be approximated by two Baire 1 functions g_ϵ and h_ϵ that are \mathcal{D}^* -integrable, i.e., $\epsilon > 0$, $g_\epsilon \leq f \leq h_\epsilon$, $g_\epsilon < \infty$, $h_\epsilon > -\infty$ and*

$$(\mathcal{D}^*) \int_a^b (h_\epsilon - g_\epsilon)(t) dt = (\mathcal{L}) \int_a^b (h_\epsilon - g_\epsilon)(t) dt < \epsilon.$$

In what follows we show that the class of functions from Problem 1 coincides with the class of all \mathcal{D}^* -integrable functions $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$.

We shall use the following well known classes of functions: \mathcal{C} (the continuous functions), \mathcal{B}_1 (the Baire 1 functions), AC^*G and \underline{AC}^*G (see for example [6] or [2]).

Definition 1. ([6, p. 241] or [2, p. 175]). A function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be \mathcal{D}^* -integrable on $[a, b]$ if there exists $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $F \in AC^*G \cap \mathcal{C}$ on $[a, b]$ and $F'(x) = f(x)$ a.e. on $[a, b]$. Then $(\mathcal{D}^*) \int_a^b f(t) dt = F(b) - F(a)$.

Key Words: Denjoy*-integral, Perron type integrals, Baire class 1.

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: 26A39

Received by the editors December 10, 1998

*The author died on November 11, 1998 at the age of 41 years.

†At this address you can contact the author's wife Gabriela Ene.

Remark 1. There exist a lot of integrals of Perron type that are equivalent to the \mathcal{D}^* -integral. In [2] we gathered many of these definitions and classified them: for example the Perron type integral defined in [4, p. 158] is called $\mathcal{P}_{7,7}$ in [2] (note that this is the same integral as the one used in [3]), and the \mathcal{P}_0 integral of [6] is called $\mathcal{P}_{3,3}$ in [2]. In what follows we shall need the $\mathcal{P}_{1,1}$ -integral and the $\mathcal{P}_{8,8}$ -integral of [2].

Definition 2. [2, p. 31] Let $P \subseteq [a, b]$, $x_o \in P$ and $F : P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. F is said to be \mathcal{C}_i at x_o if $\limsup_{x \nearrow x_o, x \in P} F(x) \leq F(x_o)$, whenever x_o is a left accumulation point for P , and $F(x_o) \leq \liminf_{x \searrow x_o, x \in P} F(x)$, whenever x_o is a right accumulation point for P . F is said to be \mathcal{C}_i on P if it is so at each point $x \in P$.

Definition 3. [2, pp. 174-175] Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. We define the following classes of majorants:

- $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(f) = \{M : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : M(a) = 0, M \in AC^*G \cap \mathcal{C}; M'(x) \text{ exists (finite or infinite); } f(x) \leq M'(x) \neq -\infty\}$;
- $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_8(f) = \{M : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : M(a) = 0, M \in \underline{AC}^*G \cap \mathcal{C}_i \text{ on } [a, b]; f(x) \leq M'(x) \text{ a.e. on } [a, b]\}$;

We define the following classes of minorants:

- $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_1(f) = \{m : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : -m \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(f)\}$;
- $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_8(f) = \{m : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : -m \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_8(f)\}$;

If $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(f) \neq \emptyset$ (respectively $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_8(f) \neq \emptyset$), then we denote by $\overline{I}_1(b)$ (respectively $\overline{I}_8(b)$) the lower bound of all $M(b)$, M in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(f)$ (respectively $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_8(f)$).

If $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_1(f) \neq \emptyset$ (respectively $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_8(f) \neq \emptyset$) then we denote by $\underline{I}_1(b)$ (respectively $\underline{I}_8(b)$) the upper bound of all $m(b)$, m in $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_1(f)$ (respectively $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_8(f)$).

- f is said to have a $\mathcal{P}_{1,1}$ -integral on $[a, b]$ if $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(f) \times \underline{\mathcal{M}}_1(f) \neq \emptyset$ and $\overline{I}_1(b) = \underline{I}_1(b) = (\mathcal{P}_{1,1}) \int_a^b f(t) dt$.
- f is said to have a $\mathcal{P}_{8,8}$ -integral on $[a, b]$ if $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_8(f) \times \underline{\mathcal{M}}_8(f) \neq \emptyset$ and $\overline{I}_8(b) = \underline{I}_8(b) = (\mathcal{P}_{8,8}) \int_a^b f(t) dt$.

Remark 2. Following Bruckner [1], let $\Delta = \{f : [a, b] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} : f \text{ is a derivative (finite or infinite), i.e., there exists } F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } F' = f\}$. Then $\Delta \subset \mathcal{B}_1$ (see Theorem 2.2.4 of [2, p. 30], or see Corollary 2.4 and Remark 90 of [1, p. 90] and the classification of the Zahorski classes, or [7], or [5]).

Definition 4. We denote by $\underline{\mathcal{B}}_1$ the class of all functions $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ that are lower semi Baire 1, i.e., the set $\{x \in [a, b] : f(x) > \alpha\}$ is of F_σ -type for $\alpha \in [-\infty, +\infty)$. Similarly $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_1$ is the class of all functions $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ that are upper semi Baire 1, i.e., the set $\{x \in [a, b] : f(x) < \alpha\}$ is of F_σ -type for $\alpha \in (-\infty, +\infty]$. Clearly $\mathcal{B}_1 = \underline{\mathcal{B}}_1 \cap \overline{\mathcal{B}}_1$.

Note that in [3], these two classes are denoted by \mathcal{C}^{+-} respectively \mathcal{C}^{+} .

Theorem 1. Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. The following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) f is \mathcal{D}^* -integrable on $[a, b]$;
- (ii) For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exist two \mathcal{D}^* -integrable functions $g_\epsilon : [a, b] \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty)$ and $h_\epsilon : [a, b] \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ such that:
 - $g_\epsilon, h_\epsilon \in \Delta$ on $[a, b]$;
 - $g_\epsilon \leq f \leq h_\epsilon$ and $(\mathcal{D}^*) \int_a^b (h_\epsilon - g_\epsilon)(t) dt < \epsilon$;
- (iii) Replace in (ii) “ $g_\epsilon, h_\epsilon \in \Delta$ ” by “ $g_\epsilon, h_\epsilon \in \mathcal{B}_1$ ”;
- (iv) Replace in (ii) “ $g_\epsilon, h_\epsilon \in \Delta$ ” by “ $g_\epsilon \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}_1, h_\epsilon \in \underline{\mathcal{B}}_1$ ”.

PROOF. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) From [2, Corollary 5.9.1], it follows that f is \mathcal{D}^* integrable on $[a, b]$ if and only if f is $\mathcal{P}_{1,1}$ integrable on $[a, b]$, and then

$$(\mathcal{D}^*) \int_a^b f(t) dt = (\mathcal{P}_{1,1}) \int_a^b f(t) dt.$$

By [2, Lemma 5.7.5], we have that f is \mathcal{D}^* -integrable on $[a, b]$ if and only if for $\epsilon > 0$ there is a pair $(M_\epsilon, m_\epsilon) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(f) \times \underline{\mathcal{M}}_1(f) \neq \emptyset$ such that $M_\epsilon(b) - m_\epsilon(b) < \epsilon$. Putting $g_\epsilon = m'_\epsilon$ and $h_\epsilon = M'_\epsilon$ we obtain (ii).

- (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) See Remark 2.
- (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) This is evident.
- (iv) \Rightarrow (i) Let

$$M_\epsilon(x) = (\mathcal{D}^*) \int_a^x h_\epsilon(t) dt \quad \text{and} \quad m_\epsilon(x) = (\mathcal{D}^*) \int_a^x g_\epsilon(t) dt.$$

Then $(M_\epsilon, m_\epsilon) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_8(f) \times \underline{\mathcal{M}}_8(f) \neq \emptyset$. By [2, Lemma 5.7.5] it follows that f is $\mathcal{P}_{8,8}$ -integrable on $[a, b]$, and by [2, Corollary 5.9.1], we obtain that f is \mathcal{D}^* -integrable on $[a, b]$. □

Remark 3. Theorem 1, (i), (iv) is in fact Theorem C, 1), 2) of Faure and Guénard [3]. Theorem 1, (i), (iii) solves the Problem 1.

References

- [1] A. M. Bruckner, *Differentiation of real functions*, Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 659, Springer-Verlag, 1978.
- [2] V. Ene, *Real functions – current topics*, Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 1603, Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [3] C. A. Faure and F. Guénard, *Upper and lower approximation of Perron integrable functions*, Real Analysis Exchange **22** (1996/7), no. 2, 626–637.
- [4] I. P. Natanson, *Theory of functions of a real variable*, 2nd. rev. ed., Ungar, New York, 1961.
- [5] D. Preiss, *Approximate derivatives and Baire classes*, Czech. Math. J. **21** (1971), no. 96, 373–382.
- [6] S. Saks, *Theory of the integral*, 2nd. rev. ed., vol. PWN, Monografie Matematyczne, Warsaw, 1937.
- [7] Z. Zahorski, *Über die Menge der Punkte in welchen die Ableitung unendlich ist*, Tôhoku Math. J. **48** (1941), 321–330.