C. K. Basu, Department of Mathematics, West Bengal State University, Berunanpukuria, Malikapur, Barasat, Kolkata-700126, (North) 24 Pgs., West Bengal, India. email: ckbasu1962@yahoo.com

M. K. Ghosh, Department of Mathematics, Dumkal College, Basantapur, Basantapur-742406, Murshidabad, West Bengal, India. email: manabghosh@gmail.com

# NON-CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH A COVERING PROPERTY DEFINED BY $\beta$ -OPEN SETS

#### Abstract

In this paper, new classes of non-continuous functions and multifunctions stronger than lower (upper)  $\beta$ -continuous functions and multifunctions due to Popa and Noiri [28] and [30] are introduced and investigated for characterizing the covering property  $\beta$ -closedness [7] from different angles.

### 1 Introduction.

The notions of  $\beta$ -open [1] (=semi-preopen [4]) sets and  $\beta$ -closure of a set in general topology have received a great deal of study in recent years; some of which are found in papers [1-8, 12, 16-18, 19-20, 27-30]. In the paper [1], using  $\beta$ -open sets, Abd El-Monsef et al. introduced the notion of  $\beta$ -continuity as a generalization of semi-continuity [22]. Borsík and Doboš [13] have initiated the notion of almost quasi-continuity. The equivalence of  $\beta$ -continuity and almost quasi-continuity is shown by Borsík [12] and Ewert [17]. The notion of  $\beta$ -continuity has been generalized to multifunction by Popa and Noiri [30]. In this paper, we initiate new classes of non-continuous functions stronger

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: Primary: 54C10, 54C60, 54D20, 54D99 Key words:  $\beta$ -open set,  $\beta$ -closure,  $\beta$ -closed space,  $\beta$ -regular sets,  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed sets,  $\beta$ -continuous, lower (resp. upper)  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous

Received by the editors August 17, 2008 Communicated by: Udayan Darji than lower (resp. upper)  $\beta$ -continuous functions and multifunctions [28, 30] for studying the covering property  $\beta$ -closedness which has been investigated recently by Basu and Ghosh [7]. Although  $\beta$ -closedness is independent of compactness and stronger than quasi H-closed (QHC, in short) but employing these newly introduced non-continuous functions as well as multifunctions and certain kind of partial orders, we produce analogues for  $\beta$ -closed spaces of the following well known theorems: first of G. Birkhoff [10] that each lower (resp. upper) semi-continuous function from a compact space X to a poset assumes a minimal (resp. maximal) value, second of the corresponding theorem in terms of multifunctions of J. Ceder [15] and in the end, of a theorem of A. D. Wallace [33] that a compact space X has a minimal (resp. maximal) element with respect to each lower (resp. upper) semi-continuous quasi-order on X.

Throughout the paper, X and Y denote topological spaces and int(S) and cl(S) denote the interior and the closure of a subset  $S \subset X$  respectively. A subset S is said to be  $\beta$ -open [1] or semi-preopen [4] (resp. preopen [24], semi-open [22],  $\alpha$ -open [26]) if  $S \subseteq cl(int(cl(S)))$  (resp.  $S \subseteq int(cl(S))$ ,  $S \subseteq$  $cl(int(S)), S \subseteq int(cl(int(S))).$   $\beta$ -closed, preclosed etc. are defined in a manner analogous to the corresponding concept of closed sets. The intersection of all  $\beta$ -closed (resp. preclosed, semi-closed,  $\alpha$ -closed) sets containing S is called the  $\beta$ -closure [4] (resp. preclosure, semiclosure,  $\alpha$ -closure) of S and is denoted by  $\beta cl(S)$  (resp. pcl(S), scl(S),  $\alpha cl(S)$ ). A set S is called  $\beta$ regular [7] (=sp-regular [27]) (resp. semi-regular [23]) if it is both  $\beta$ -open (resp. semi-open) as well as  $\beta$ -closed (resp. semi-closed). A point  $x \in X$ is said to be a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -adherent point of a subset S of X if  $S \cap \beta cl(U) \neq \emptyset$ for every  $\beta$ -open set U containing x. The set of all  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -adherent points of S is called  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closure [7] (=sp- $\theta$ -closure [27]) of S and is denoted by  $\beta$ - $\theta$ cl(S) (= sp- $\theta$ -cl(S)). A subset S is called  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed [7] (= sp- $\theta$ -closed) if  $\beta - \theta - cl(S) = S$ . The complement of a  $\beta - \theta$ -closed set is called a  $\beta - \theta$ -open (=sp- $\theta$ -open [27]) set. The family of all  $\beta$ -open (resp. pre-open, semi-open,  $\alpha$ open,  $\beta$ -regular,  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open) sets of X is denoted by  $\beta O(X)$  (resp. PO(X), SO(X),  $\tau_{\alpha}(X)$ ,  $\beta R(X)$ ,  $\beta - \theta - O(X)$ ) and that containing a point x of X is denoted by  $\beta O(X,x)$  (resp. PO(X,x), SO(X,x),  $\tau_{\alpha}(X,x)$ ,  $\beta R(X,x)$ ,  $\beta -\theta$ -O(X,x)). It is well known that for a topological space  $(X,\tau), \tau \subset \tau^{\alpha}(X) =$  $PO(X) \cap SO(X) \subseteq PO(X) \cup SO(X) \subseteq \beta O(X)$ , whereas the reverse inclusions are false. One can check easily that a set S is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open if for each  $x \in S$ , there exists a  $V \in \beta R(X,x)$  such that  $x \in V \subset S$ . A net  $(x_{\mu})$  is said to  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converge [7] to a point x of X if it is eventually in  $\beta cl(V)$  for every  $V \in \beta O(X,x)$ . A multifunction  $\alpha: X \to Y$  is a correspondence from X to Y with  $\alpha(x)$ , a non-empty subset of Y, for each  $x \in X$ . For a multifunction  $\alpha: X \to Y$ , the upper and lower inverse of a set B of Y will be denoted

by  $\alpha^+(B)$  and  $\alpha^-(B)$  respectively; i.e.  $\alpha^+(B) = \{x \in X : \alpha(x) \subseteq B\}$  and  $\alpha^-(B) = \{x \in X : \alpha(x) \cap B \neq \emptyset\}$ . For lower (resp. upper) semi-continuous multifunctions we refer to the book of C. Berge [9].

# 2 $\beta$ -Closed Spaces, Lower (Resp. Upper) $\beta$ - $\theta$ -Continuous Functions and Partial Orders.

**Definition 2.1.** A function  $\psi: X \to Y$  is said to be  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous (resp.  $\beta$ -continuous [1]) if the inverse image of each open set is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open (resp.  $\beta$ -open).

**Definition 2.2.** A function  $\psi: X \to \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\mathbb{R}$  is the real line is said to be upper (resp. lower)  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous if for each  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , the set  $\{x \in X : \psi(x) < r\}$  (resp.  $\{x \in X : \psi(x) > r\}$ ) is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open in X.

If for the function  $\psi: X \to \mathbb{R}$ , the set  $\{x \in X : \psi(x) < r\}$  (resp.  $\{x \in X : \psi(x) > r\}$ )  $\in \beta O(X)$  for each  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\psi$  is called upper (resp. lower)  $\beta$ -continuous [30].

**Theorem 2.3.** A function  $\psi: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is lower (resp. upper)  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous if and only if for each  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\{x \in X : \psi(x) \le \mu\}$  (resp.  $\{x \in X : \psi(x) \ge \mu\}$ ) is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed in X.

**Corollary 2.4.** A subset S of X is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open (resp.  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed) if and only if the characteristic function  $\chi_S: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is lower (resp. upper)  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous.

Remark 2.5. The lower (resp. upper)  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuity is independent respectively of lower (resp. upper) semi-continuity. The following examples establish these facts.

**Example 2.6.** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$ , the set of reals and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}\}$ , where  $\mathbb{Q}$  is the set of rationals. We define a function  $\psi : X \to \mathbb{R}$  by  $\psi(2) = 3$  and  $\psi(\mathbb{Q} \setminus \{2\}) = \{4\}$  and  $\psi(\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}) = \{3.5\}$ . Then  $\psi$  is neither lower nor upper semi-continuous. Since  $\beta O(X) = \beta R(X) = P(X)$ ,  $\psi$  is obviously lower as well as upper  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous.

**Example 2.7.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}\}\}$ . Then obviously  $\beta O(X) = SO(X) = \tau$  and  $\beta R(X) = \beta \cdot \theta \cdot O(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}\}$ . Now we define a function  $\psi_1$  as follows  $\psi_1(a) = \psi_1(c) = 2$  and  $\psi_1(b) = 3$  and put  $\psi_2 = -\psi_1$ . Then  $\psi_1$  is lower semi-continuous but not lower  $\beta \cdot \theta$ -continuous and  $\psi_2$  is upper semi-continuous but is not upper  $\beta \cdot \theta$ -continuous.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $\{\psi_{\alpha}: X \to \mathbb{R}: \alpha \in I\}$  be any family of  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous maps. Then

- (a)  $M(x) = \sup \{ \psi_{\alpha}(x) : \alpha \in I \}$  (if exists) is lower  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous.
- (b)  $m(x) = \inf\{\psi_{\alpha}(x) : \alpha \in I\}$  (if exists) is upper  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous.

PROOF. (a) The proof is immediate from the fact that for each  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\{x \in X : M(x) > r\} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} \{x \in X : f_{\alpha}(x) > r\}$ . (b) The proof is similar to (a).

**Remark 2.9.** The above theorem can be generalized if  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuity of  $\psi_{\alpha}$  in (a) is replaced by lower  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuity and in (b) is replaced by upper  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuity.

**Remark 2.10.** If  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  are two lower  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous functions from X into  $\mathbb{R}$ , then the function  $\psi = \min\{\psi_1, \psi_2\}$  may not be lower  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous.

**Lemma 2.11.** [27] (a) If  $V \in \beta O(X)$ , then  $\beta cl(V) \in \beta O(X)$ . (b) If  $V \in \beta R(X)$ , then V is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open in X, but the reverse is not true.

Example 2.12. Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{x_2\}, \{x_3\}, \{x_2, x_3\}\}$ .  $\beta O(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{x_2\}, \{x_3\}, \{x_2, x_3\}, \{x_1, x_2\}, \{x_1, x_3\}\}$ .  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $O(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{x_2\}, \{x_3\}, \{x_2, x_3\}, \{x_1, x_2\}, \{x_1, x_3\}\}$ . Define  $\psi_1 : X \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $\psi_2 : X \to \mathbb{R}$  as follows:  $\psi_1(x_1) = 3$ ,  $\psi_1(x_2) = 2$ ,  $\psi_1(x_3) = 4$ ,  $\psi_2(x_1) = 6$ ,  $\psi_2(x_2) = 8$ ,  $\psi_2(x_3) = 2$ . Clearly  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  are lower  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous; since  $\{x_2, x_3\}$  is not  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed, the function  $\psi = \min\{\psi_1, \psi_2\}$  is not lower  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous.

**Definition 2.13.** A non-empty subset A of a topological space X is said to be  $\beta$ -closed relative to X ( $\beta$ -set, for short) if for every cover  $\{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in I\}$  of A by  $\beta$ -open sets of X, there exists a finite subset  $I_0$  of I such that  $A \subseteq \bigcup \{\beta cl(U_{\alpha}) : \alpha \in I_0\}$ . If, in addition A = X, then X is called a  $\beta$ -closed space [7].

**Theorem 2.14.** [7] For a space X, the following are equivalent:

- (a) X is  $\beta$ -closed.
- (b) Every family of  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed sets having finite intersection property has a non-void intersection.

- (c) Every cover by  $\beta$ -regular sets has a finite subcover.
- (d) Every net with a well order index set as domain has a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -adherent point.
- (e) Every net in X  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -adheres at some point in X.

**Theorem 2.15.** Let  $\psi: X \to \mathbb{R}$  be lower  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous. If for some  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ , the set  $\Delta_{\mu} = \{x \in X : \psi(x) \leq \mu\}$  is non-empty and  $\beta$ -closed relative to X (i.e.  $\beta$ -set) then  $\psi$  has a minimum value.

PROOF. By the definition of lower  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuity, for each  $x \in X$ , the set  $\{y \in X : \psi(y) > \psi(x) - m\}$  for  $m(>0) \in \mathbb{R}$  is a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open set in X containing x. So, there exists  $U_x \in \beta R(X,x)$  such that  $\psi(x) - m < \psi(y)$  for each  $y \in U_x$ . Since  $\Delta_{\mu}$  is a  $\beta$ -set and  $\{U_x : x \in \Delta_{\mu}\}$  is cover of  $\Delta_{\mu}$  by  $\beta$ -regular sets, there exist  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k \in \Delta_{\mu}$  such that  $\{U_{x_1}, \ldots, U_{x_k}\}$  covers  $\Delta_{\mu}$ . Therefore,  $\inf_{j \leq k} \psi(x_j) - m \leq \inf_{y \in \Delta_{\mu}} \psi(y) = \inf_{y \in X} \psi(y)$ . The last equality follows from the fact that whenever  $y \notin \Delta_{\mu}$  then  $\psi(y) > \mu$ . Therefore  $\psi$  is bounded below and hence  $\inf_{y \in X} \psi(y)$  exists. Let  $\beta = \inf_{y \in X} \psi(y)$ . So, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set of naturals,  $\psi(y_k) \leq \min\{\beta + \frac{1}{k}, \mu\}$  for some  $y_k \in \Delta_{\mu}$ . Since  $\Delta_{\mu}$  is  $\beta$ -closed (i.e.  $\beta$ -set), the net  $\{y_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  in  $\Delta_{\mu}$  has a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -adherent point say y in  $\Delta_{\mu}$ . Clearly, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $y_{\lambda} \in \Delta_{\beta + \frac{1}{k}}$  for all  $\lambda \geq k$  where  $\Delta_{\beta + \frac{1}{k}} = \{x \in X : \psi(x) \leq \beta + \frac{1}{k}\}$ . Since  $\psi$  is lower  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous then by Theorem 2.3,  $\Delta_{\beta + \frac{1}{k}}$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed in X and hence  $y \in \Delta_{\beta + \frac{1}{k}}$ , for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore  $\psi(y) \leq \beta$ . So  $\psi(y) = \beta$ .

**Theorem 2.16.** Let a function  $\psi: X \to \mathbb{R}$  be upper  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous. If for some  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ , the set  $D_{\mu} = \{x \in X : \psi(x) \geq \mu\}$  is non-empty and  $\beta$ -closed relative to X (i.e.  $\beta$ -set), then  $\psi$  has a maximum value.

PROOF. The proof is similar to the proof of the above theorem.  $\Box$ 

**Definition 2.17.** A partial order relation '\(\leq'\) on a topological space X is said to be upper (resp. lower)  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous if for each  $x^* \in X$ , the set  $\{x \in X : x^* \leq x\}$  (resp.  $\{x \in X : x \leq x^*\}$ ) is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed in X.

**Theorem 2.18.** A topological space X is  $\beta$ -closed if and only if X has a maximal element with respect to each upper  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous partial order on X.

PROOF. Let L be a chain in X. Since the partial order ' $\leq$ ' on X is upper  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous, for each  $y \in X$  the set  $L(y) = \{x \in X : y \leq x\}$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed in X. Therefore the family  $\mathcal{F} = \{L(y) : y \in L\}$  has the finite intersection property (since L is a chain). Since X is  $\beta$ -closed, then by Theorem 2.14,  $\cap \mathcal{F} = \cap \{L(y) : y \in L\} \neq \emptyset$ . If  $y_0 \in \cap \mathcal{F}$ , then  $y \leq y_0$  for all  $y \in L$ . Hence by Zorn's lemma, X has a maximal element.

Conversely, suppose X be not  $\beta$ -closed. So by Theorem 2.14, there exists a net  $\{x_{\mu}: \mu \in D\}$ , where D is a well ordered directed set, which has no  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -adherent point in X. So, for each  $x \in X$ , there exists a  $V \in \beta R(X, x)$  and a  $\mu_0 \in D$  such that  $x_{\mu} \notin V$  for all  $\mu \geq \mu_0$ . Consider  $\mathcal{B} = \{V \in \beta R(X, x): \exists \mu_0 \in D \text{ such that } x_{\mu} \notin V \text{ for } \mu \geq \mu_0\}$ . Now, for each  $V \in \mathcal{B}$ , let  $\mu(V)$  be the smallest element of D satisfying  $x_{\mu} \notin V$  for all  $\mu \geq \mu(V)$ . Let  $\mu(x)$  be the smallest element of  $D^*(x) = \{\mu(V): V \in \mathcal{B}\}$ . Clearly for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\mu(x)$  is the first element of  $D^*(x)$  such that  $x \notin \beta$ - $\theta$ - $cl\{x_{\mu}: \mu \geq \mu(x)\}$ . So corresponding to  $\mu(x)$  there exists a  $V \in \mathcal{B}$ , say  $V_{\mu(x)}$  such that  $\{x_{\mu}: \mu \geq \mu(x)\} \cap V_{\mu(x)} = \emptyset$  and hence for  $\beta < \mu(x)$ ,  $V_{\mu(x)} \cap \{x_{\mu}: \mu \geq \beta\} \neq \emptyset$ . We define the relation on X as follows:  $x_1 \leq x_2$  in X if and only if  $\mu(x_1) \leq \mu(x_2)$ . Clearly  $\leq$  is a partial order on X.

In order to show ' $\leq$ ' is upper  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous it is sufficient to show that for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\{y \in X : x \leq y\}$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed. Suppose there exists  $x^* \in X$  such that  $y^* \in \beta$ - $\theta$ - $cl\{y \in X : x^* \leq y\}$  but  $y^* < x^*$ . Now as discussed above  $V_{\mu(y^*)}$  is a  $\beta$ -regular set containing  $y^*$  such that  $y \in V_{\mu(y^*)}$  with  $y^* < y$ ; i.e.  $\mu(y^*) < \mu(y)$  and  $V_{\mu(y^*)} \cap \{x_{\mu} : \mu \geq \mu(y^*)\} = \emptyset$ ; i.e.  $y \notin \beta$ - $\theta$ - $cl\{x_{\mu} : \mu \geq \mu(y^*)\}$ . But  $\mu(y)$  is the smallest element such that  $y \notin \beta$ - $\theta$ - $cl\{x_{\mu} : \mu \geq \mu(y)\}$ — a contradiction. Therefore '<' is upper  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous.

Next we shall show that  $(X, \leq)$  has no maximal element. Indeed, if  $y^* \in X$  is a maximal element of X, then for some fixed  $\mu_0 = \mu(y^*) \in D$ ,  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $cl\{x_{\mu} : \mu \geq \mu_0\} \subseteq \beta$ - $\theta$ - $cl\{x_{\mu} : \mu \geq \lambda\}$  for each  $\lambda \in M = \{\mu(x) : x \in X\}$ , where  $\mu(x)$  is as discussed above. Then  $x_{\mu_0} \in \beta$ - $\theta$ - $cl\{x_{\mu} : \mu \geq \lambda\}$  for each  $\lambda \in M$ . But as  $x_{\mu_0} \in X$ , there must exist  $\mu(x_{\mu_0}) \in M$  such that  $x_{\mu_0} \notin \beta$ - $\theta$ - $cl\{x_{\mu} : \mu \geq \mu(x_{\mu_0})\}$  which is a contradiction.

**Theorem 2.19.** A space X is  $\beta$ -closed if and only if X has a minimal element with respect to each lower  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous partial order on X.

**Definition 2.20.** Let  $\psi: X \to Y$  be a function where X is a topological space and  $(Y, \leq)$  is a poset. Then  $\psi$  is said to be upper (resp. lower)  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous if for each  $y_0 \in Y$ , the set  $\psi^{-1}\{y \in Y: y_0 \leq y\}$  (resp.  $\psi^{-1}\{y \in Y: y \leq y_0\}$ ) is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed in X.

**Theorem 2.21.** A space X is  $\beta$ -closed if and only if each upper  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous function from X into a poset assumes a maximal value.

PROOF. Let  $\phi: X \to Z$  be an upper  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous function, where X is  $\beta$ -closed and  $(Z, \leq_Z)$  is a poset. On X, the relation ' $\leq_X$ ' defined by  $x_1 \leq_X x_2$  if and only if  $\phi(x_1) \leq_Z \phi(x_2)$ , is clearly a partial order relation. Since  $\phi$  is upper  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous, for each  $x_0 \in X$ , the set  $\{x \in X : x_0 \leq_X x\} = \phi^{-1}\{z \in Z : \phi(x_0) \leq_Z z\}$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed in X. Hence ' $\leq_X$ ' is an upper  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous partial order on X and since X is  $\beta$ -closed, by above Theorem 2.18, X has a maximal element, say  $x' \in X$ .  $\phi(x')$  is therefore a maximal element of  $\phi(X)$ .

Conversely, let X be not  $\beta$ -closed. Then by Theorem 2.14, there exists a net  $(x_{\mu})_{\mu \in D}$  with a well ordered directed set  $(D, \leq)$ , with no  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -adherent point. We define a function  $\phi: X \to D$  by  $\phi(x) = \mu(x)$  for each  $x \in X$ , where  $\mu(x)$  is the first element of the set  $\{\mu_0 \in D: x \notin \beta$ - $\theta$ - $cl\{x_{\mu}: \mu_0 \leq \mu\}\}$ . Since  $(D, \leq)$  is well ordered, the function  $\phi$  is well defined. It is clear that  $\phi(X)$  has no maximal element. We shall show that  $\phi$  is upper  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous. For this we define a relation  $\leq_X$  on X as follows:  $x_1 \leq_X x_2$  if and only if  $\mu(x_1) \leq \mu(x_2)$ . Let  $\mu(x^*) \in D$  for some  $x^* \in X$ . Then as  $\{x \in X: x^* \leq x\} = \phi^{-1}\{\mu \in D: \mu(x^*) \leq \mu\}$  and  $\{x \in X: x_0 \leq x\}$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed (as discussed in the proof of Theorem 2.18),  $\phi$  is upper  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous. So we arrive at a contradiction. Therefore X is  $\beta$ -closed.

**Theorem 2.22.** A space X is  $\beta$ -closed if and only if each lower  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous function from X into a poset assumes a minimal value.

Remark 2.23. It is clear that every lower (resp. upper)  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous function is lower (resp. upper)  $\beta$ -continuous [28, 30] but the converses are not true, in general.

**Example 2.24.** Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be the set of reals with the co-countable topology  $\tau$ . Since a subset S is  $\beta$ -open if  $S \subseteq cl(int(cl(S)), \beta O(\mathbb{R}) = \{A \subseteq \mathbb{R} : A \text{ is uncountable or } A = \emptyset\}$ . Also it is clear that  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $O(R, \tau) = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}\}$ . We define a function  $\psi : (\mathbb{R}, \tau) \to (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{U})$ , where  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{U})$  is the set of reals with the usual topology  $\mathcal{U}$ , as follows:

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in (-\infty, 0], \\ 2 & \text{if } x \in (0, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Then  $\psi$  is lower (resp. upper)  $\beta$ -continuous but is not lower (resp. upper)  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous.

## 3 $\beta$ -Closed Spaces and $\beta$ - $\theta$ -Continuous Multifunctions.

In this section, we introduce lower (resp. upper)  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous multifunctions to characterize  $\beta$ -closed spaces and investigate some of their properties also.

**Definition 3.1.** [25] Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and CL(X) denotes the class of all non-empty closed subsets of X. The 'upper Vietories topology' denoted by  $\tau_{UV}$  is the topology generated by the base  $\{U^+ : U \in \tau\}$ , where  $U^+ = \{A \in CL(X) : A \subseteq U\}$ .

The 'lower Vietories topology' denoted by  $\tau_{LV}$  is the topology generated by the subbase  $\{U^-: U \in \tau\}$ , where  $U^- = \{A \subset CL(X): A \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$ .

The 'Vietories topology' denoted by  $\tau_V$  is the topology generated by the subbase  $\{U^+: U \in \tau\} \cup \{U^-: U \in \tau\}$ .

**Definition 3.2.** A multifunction  $\alpha: X \to Y$  is said to be lower (resp. upper)  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous if (when viewed as a function)  $\alpha: X \to (CL(Y), \tau_{LV})$  (resp.  $\alpha: X \to (CL(Y), \tau_{UV})$ ) is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous.

We deduce the following characterizations using the definitions of lower and upper Vietoris topologies  $\tau_{LV}$  and  $\tau_{UV}$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** For a multifunction  $\alpha: X \to Y$ , the following are equivalent:

- (a)  $\alpha$  is lower (resp. upper)  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous.
- (b) For each  $x \in X$  and each open set U in Y with  $x \in \alpha^{-}(U)$  (resp.  $x \in \alpha^{+}(U)$ ), there exists a  $V \in \beta R(X,x)$  such that  $V \subseteq \alpha^{-}(U)$  (resp.  $V \subset \alpha^{+}(U)$ ).
- (c) For each open set U in Y,  $\alpha^-(U)$  (resp.  $\alpha^+(U)$ ) is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open in X.
- (d) For each closed set F in Y,  $\alpha^+(F)$  (resp.  $\alpha^-(F)$ ) is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed in X.

**Theorem 3.4.** For a function  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ , let us define a multifunction as  $F(x) = \{ \mu \in R : f(x) \ge \mu \}$  for each  $x \in X$ . Then

- (a) f is lower  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous if and only if F is lower  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous.
- (b) f is upper  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous if and only if F is upper  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous.

PROOF. (a) Let f be a lower  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous function and U be a non-empty open set in  $\mathbb R$  such that  $x \in F^-(U)$ . Let  $r \in F(x) \cap U$ . Since U is open in  $\mathbb R$ , there is  $r' \in U$ , r' < r. Since f is lower  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous,  $\{y \in X : f(y) > r'\}$ 

is a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open set containing x. Hence there is a  $V \in \beta R(X,x)$  such that  $V \subseteq \{y \in X : f(y) > r'\}$ . Now let  $z \in V$ . Since  $f(z) > r', r' \in F(z) \cap U$ . So  $V \subseteq F^-(U)$ . Therefore, by Theorem 3.3, F is lower  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous.

Conversely, for each  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ , since  $\{x \in X : \mu < f(x)\} = F^{-}(\mu, \infty)$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open then f is lower  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous. 

(b) The proof is similar to (a).

**Theorem 3.5.** A multifunction  $\psi: X \to Y$  is lower  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous if and only if  $cl(\psi): X \to Y$  is lower  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous (where  $cl(\psi)$  is defined as  $(cl(\psi))(x) = cl_Y(\psi(x))$  for each  $x \in X$ ).

PROOF. Since for any open set U in Y,  $\{x \in X : \psi(x) \cap U \neq \emptyset\} = \{x \in X : \psi(x) \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$  $(cl(\psi))(x) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . Then the proof follows easily.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $\alpha: X \to Y$  be a upper  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous multifunction such that for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\alpha(x)$  is compact. Then for each  $\beta$ -set S of X,  $\alpha(S)$  is compact.

PROOF. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in I\}$  be an open cover of  $\alpha(S)$ . For each  $x \in X$ , since  $\alpha(x)$  is compact, there exists  $U_{\alpha_1}, ..., U_{\alpha_k} \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $\alpha(x) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^k U_{\alpha_i} = U_x$ (say). Since  $\alpha$  is upper  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous, then for each  $x \in S$ , there exists  $V_x \in \beta R(X,x)$  such that  $\alpha(V_x) \subseteq U_x$ . But as S is a  $\beta$ -set, there exists  $x_1,...,x_n \in S$  such that  $S \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_{x_i}$ . Hence  $\alpha(S) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{x_i}$  and therefore  $\alpha(S)$  is compact.

A topological space X is said to be  $\beta$ -connected [3] if X can not be expressed as the union of two non-empty disjoint  $\beta$ -open sets.

**Lemma 3.7.** [1] Let A and Y be subsets of a space X. If  $Y \in \tau^{\alpha}(X)$  and  $A \in \beta O(X)$ , then  $A \cap Y \in \beta O(Y)$ .

**Theorem 3.8.** Let  $\alpha: X \to Y$  be a multifunction which is either lower  $\beta$ - $\theta$ continuous or upper  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous with connected values. If  $S \subseteq X$  is  $\alpha$ -open and  $\beta$ -connected subset of X,  $\alpha(S)$  is connected.

PROOF. Let  $\alpha: X \to Y$  be a lower  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous multifunction. Suppose there exist non-empty disjoint open sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  in the subspace  $\alpha(S)$  such that  $\alpha(S) = V_1 \cup V_2$ . Then  $V_i = U_i \cap \alpha(S)$  for open sets  $U_i$  in Y, i = 1, 2. Now, let  $W_i = \{x \in X : \alpha(x) \cap U_i \neq \emptyset\}, i = 1, 2$ . Since  $\alpha$  is lower  $\beta$ - $\theta$ continuous, each  $W_i$  for i=1,2, is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open and hence is  $\beta$ -open in X. Let  $A_i = W_i \cap S$ . Since S is an  $\alpha$ -open set, by Lemma 3.7,  $A_i$  is  $\beta$ -open in S. Clearly  $S = A_1 \cup A_2$ . Let  $x \in S$ . Since  $\alpha(x) \subseteq V_1 \cup V_2$  and  $\alpha(x)$  is connected, either  $\alpha(x) \cap V_1 = \emptyset$  or  $\alpha(x) \cap V_2 = \emptyset$ . Hence either  $x \notin W_1$  or  $x \notin W_2$ . Thus either  $x \notin A_1$  or  $x \notin A_2$ . Therefore  $S = A_1 \cup A_2$  where  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$  are non-empty disjoint  $\beta$ -open sets in the subspace S of S. So S is not S-connected — a contradiction. So  $\alpha(S)$  is connected.

For the upper  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous case, the proof is quite similar.

**Corollary 3.9.** Let  $\alpha: X \to Y$ , where Y is Hausdorff, be an upper  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous multifunction with, for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\alpha(x)$  is connected as well as compact. If X is  $\beta$ -closed and  $\alpha(X) = Y$ , then Y is a continuum.

**Theorem 3.10.** A space X is  $\beta$ -closed if and only if every lower  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous multifunction from X into the closed subsets of a space assumes a minimal value with respect to set inclusion relation.

PROOF. Let  $\alpha: X \to Y$  be a lower  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous multifunction from the  $\beta$ -closed space X into Y. Also let CL(Y) be the set of all non-empty closed subsets of Y together with the set inclusion relation ' $\subseteq$ ' as a poset. Let  $F \in CL(Y)$  and let  $x \notin \alpha^{-1}\{K \in CL(Y): K \subseteq F\}$ . Then for each  $K \in CL(Y)$  for which  $K \subseteq F$ ,  $\alpha(x) \neq K$  and hence  $\alpha(x) \cap (Y - F) \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $x \in \alpha^-(Y - F)$ . Hence by Theorem 3.3, there exists a  $V \in \beta R(X, x)$  such that  $V \subset \alpha^-(Y - F)$ . So for each  $v \in V$ ,  $\alpha(v) - F \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $V \cap \alpha^{-1}\{K \in CL(Y): K \subseteq F\} = \emptyset$ . So,  $\alpha^{-1}\{K \in CL(Y): K \subseteq F\}$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed in X for each  $F \in CL(Y)$ . Therefore  $\alpha: X \to (CL(Y), \subseteq)$  is lower  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous function and hence by Theorem 2.22,  $\alpha$  assumes a minimal value.

Conversely, let X be not  $\beta$ -closed. Then by Theorem 2.14, there is a net  $S = \{x_{\mu}\}_{\mu \in D}$ , where D is a well ordered directed set, such that S has no  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -adherent point in X. Let D have the order topology. We define a multifunction  $\alpha: X \to D$  by  $\alpha(x) = \{\mu \in D: \mu \geq \mu(x)\}$ , where  $\mu(x)$  is as in the proof of Theorem 2.21. Clearly  $\alpha(x) \in CL(D)$  and as the set  $\{\mu(x): x \in X\}$  has no greatest element,  $\alpha$  does not assume any minimal value with respect to set inclusion relation. In order to show that  $\alpha$  is lower  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous multifunction, it is enough to show that by Theorem 3.3, that  $\alpha^-(V)$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open for each open set V of D. Suppose  $x \in \alpha^-(V)$ . Then  $\alpha(x) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\mu_0 \in \alpha(x) \cap V$ . Then by definition of  $\alpha$  and  $\mu(x)$  we have  $x \notin \beta$ - $\theta$ - $cl\{x_{\mu}: \mu_0 \leq \mu\}$  with  $\mu(x) \leq \mu_0$ . So there is a non-empty  $W \in \beta R(X,x)$  such that  $W \cap \{x_{\mu}: \mu_0 \leq \mu\} = \emptyset$ . Let  $x_0 \in W$  be an arbitrary point. Then  $x_0 \notin \beta$ - $\theta$ - $cl\{x_{\mu}: \mu_0 \leq \mu\}$ . So  $\mu(x_0) \leq \mu_0$  and hence  $\mu_0 \in \{\mu \in D: \mu(x_0) \leq \mu\} = \alpha(x_0) \cap V$ . So  $x \in W \subseteq \alpha^-(V)$ . Therefore  $\alpha^-(V)$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open. This contradicts the hypothesis of the theorem.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 3.11.** A space X is  $\beta$ -closed if and only if each upper  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous multifunction from X into a  $T_1$  space assumes a maximal value with respect to set inclusion relation.

PROOF. The proof of the sufficiency is quite similar to that of Theorem 3.10. For the necessary part, let for a  $T_1$  space Y,  $\alpha: X \to Y$  be an upper  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous multifunction. If we can show that  $\alpha: X \to (P(Y), \subseteq)$  is upper  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous, then the proof will be completed by Theorem 2.21. Let  $F \in P(Y)$  and let  $x \notin \alpha^{-1}\{K \subseteq Y: F \subseteq K\}$ . Then obviously  $F - \alpha(x) \neq \emptyset$  and let  $z \in F - \alpha(x)$ . So,  $\alpha(x) \subseteq Y - \{z\}$ , which is open since Y is  $T_1$ . Then by Theorem 3.3, there exists a  $V \in \beta R(X, x)$  such that  $\alpha(V) \subseteq Y - \{z\}$  and hence  $z \in F - \alpha(V)$ . Therefore  $V \cap \alpha^{-1}\{K \subseteq Y: F \subseteq K\} = \emptyset$ . So,  $\alpha^{-1}\{K \subseteq Y: F \subseteq K\}$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed. Therefore,  $\alpha$  is an upper  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous function.

**Theorem 3.12.** If  $\alpha: X \to X$  is a multifunction on a  $\beta$ -closed space X which satisfies  $\alpha(K)$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed whenever K is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed, then there exists a non-empty  $\beta$ -set S of X such that  $\alpha(S) = S$ .

PROOF. Let  $\mathcal{G} = \{K \subseteq X : K \text{ is } \beta\text{-}\theta\text{-closed and } \alpha(K) \subseteq K\}$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{G} \neq \emptyset$  as  $X \in \mathcal{G}$ . Let  $\{K_{\lambda} : \lambda \in I\}$  be a linearly ordered subset of the poset  $(\mathcal{G}, \subseteq)$ . As  $X \text{ is } \beta\text{-closed}$ ,  $K = \bigcap_{\lambda \in I} K_{\lambda}$  is a non-empty  $\beta\text{-}\theta\text{-closed}$  set. Since  $\alpha(K) \subseteq K_{\lambda}$  for each  $\lambda$ ,  $\alpha(K) \subseteq K$ ; i.e.  $K \in \mathcal{G}$ . Therefore, K is the g.l.b. of  $\{K_{\lambda} : \lambda \in I\}$ . Hence by Zorn's lemma, a minimal element of  $\mathcal{G}$  is the required fixed set of  $\alpha$ .

Remark 3.13. Clearly every lower (resp. upper)  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous multifunction is lower (resp. upper)  $\beta$ -continuous [30], but the converses are not true. In the Example 2.24, when we define  $\psi(x) = \{1\}$  for  $x \in (-\infty, 0]$  and  $\psi(x) = \{2\}$  for  $x \in (0, \infty)$ , the multifunction justifies our claim.

**Acknowledgement:** The authors are thankful to the learned referee for some constructive comments and suggestions towards some improvements of the earlier version of the paper.

### References

[1] M. E. Abd El-Monsef, S. N. El-Deeb and R. A. Mahmoud,  $\beta$ -open sets and  $\beta$ -continuous mappings, Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut Univ., **12** (1983), 77–90.

- [2] M. E. Abd El-Monsef, A. M. Kozae, Some generalized forms of compactness and closedness, Delta J. Sci., 9 (1985), 257–269.
- [3] T. Aho and T. Nieminen, Spaces in which preopen subsets are semi-open, Ricerche Mat., 43 (1994), 45–59.
- [4] D. Andrijević, Semi-preopen sets, Mat. Vesnik, 38 (1986), 24–32.
- [5] D. Andrijević, On SPO-equivalent topologies, Suppl. Rend. Cir. Mat. Palermo, 29 (1992), 317–328.
- [6] D. Andrijević, On b-open sets, Math. Vesnik, 48 (1996), 59-64.
- [7] C. K. Basu and M. K. Ghosh,  $\beta$ -closed spaces and  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -subclosed graphs, Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math., 1 (2008), 40–50.
- [8] Y. Beceren and T. Noiri, Some functions defined by semi-open and  $\beta$ -open sets, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, **36** (2008), 1225–1231.
- [9] C. Berge, Espaces topologiques: Fonctions multivoques, French, Collection Universitaire de Mathmatiques, 3, Dunod, Paris 1959.
- [10] G. Birkhoff, Lattice Theory, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. 25, Revised edition, Amer. Math. Soc., New York, 1948.
- [11] J. Borsík, On almost quasi continuous functions, Math. Bohemica, 118 (1993), 241–248.
- [12] J. Borsík, Oscillation for almost continuity, Acta. Math. Hungar., 115 (2007), 319–332.
- [13] J. Borsík and J Doboš, On decomposition of quasi-continuity, Real Anal. Exchange, 16 (1990-1991), 292–305.
- [14] M. Caldas and S. Jafari, Some properties of contra β-continuous functions, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi. Univ. (Math), **22** (2001), 19–28.
- [15] J. Ceder, Compactness and semicontinuous carriers, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 14 (1963), 991-993.
- [16] Z. Duszyński, On some concepts of weak connectedness of topological spaces, Acta. Math. Hungar., 110 (2006), 81–90.
- [17] J. Ewert, On almost quasi-continuity of functions, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ., 2 (1993), 81–92.

- [18] M. Ganster and D. Andrijević, On some questions concerning semipreopen sets, J. Inst. Math. Comp. Sci. (Math. Ser.), 1 (1988), 65–75.
- [19] T. H. Halvac, Relations between new topologies obtained from old ones, Acta Math. Hungar., 64 (1994), 231–235.
- [20] S. Jafari and T. Noiri, *Properties of*  $\beta$ -connected spaces, Acta Math. Hungar., **101** (2003), 227–236.
- [21] J. E. Joseph and M. H. Kwack, On S-closed spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 80 (1980), 341–348.
- [22] N. Levine, Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly, **70** (1963), 36–41.
- [23] G. Di Maio and T. Noiri, On s-closed spaces, Ind. J. Pure Appl. Math., 18 (1987), 226–233.
- [24] A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd El-Monsef and S. N. El-Deeb, On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings, Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt, 53 (1982), 47–53.
- [25] E. Michael, Topologies on spaces of subsets, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 71 (1951), 152–183.
- [26] O. Njåstad, On some classes of nearly open sets, Pacific Jour. Math., 15 (1965), 961–970.
- [27] T. Noiri, Weak and strong forms of  $\beta$ -irresolute functions, Acta Math. Hungar., **99** (2003), 315–328.
- [28] V. Popa and T. Noiri, On  $\beta$ -continuous functions, Real Anal. Exchange, **18** (1992-1993), 544–548.
- [29] V. Popa and T. Noiri, Weakly  $\beta$ -continuous functions, An. Univ. Timisoara Ser. Mat. Inform., **32** (1994), 83–92.
- [30] V. Popa and T. Noiri, On upper and lower  $\beta$ -continuous multifunctions, Real Anal. Exchange, **22** (1996/1997), 362–376.
- [31] M. K. Singal and A. Mathur, On nearly compact spaces, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital., 4 (1969), 702–710.
- [32] T. Thompson, S-closed spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 60 (1976), 335–338.

[33] A. D. Wallace, A fixed point theorem, Bull Amer. Math. Soc.,  ${\bf 51}$  (1945), 413–416.