Philip D. Loewen, Department of Mathematics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C., Canada, V6T 1Z2 (email: loew@math.ubc.ca) Xianfu Wang<sup>†</sup>, Department of Mathematics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C., Canada, V6T 1Z2 (email: xwang@math.ubc.ca)

# TYPICAL PROPERTIES OF LIPSCHITZ FUNCTIONS

#### Abstract

In terms of Baire category, a typical real-valued Lipschitz function on a finite dimensional space has a local minimum at every point of a dense subset of the domain, and a Dini subdifferential that is either singleton or empty at all points. Moreover, its Dini subdifferential is empty outside a set of first category. Hence a typical Lipschitz function has no points of subdifferential regularity.

# 1 Introduction

In [8], Sciffer constructs a real-valued Lipschitz function on the line that is nowhere Clarke regular. Here we describe a natural setting in which this behavior can be regarded as typical, even for a function of several variables. In fact, we show that for a typical Lipschitz function,

- the Dini subdifferential never contains more than one element,
- the Dini subdifferential is empty outside a set of first category,
- local minimizers are dense in the domain, and
- the Clarke subdifferential is maximal at every point.

Key Words: Lipschitz function, Dini subdifferential, Baire category, dense minimizers, nonangularity, subdifferential regularity

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: 49J52 Received by the editors August 23, 2000

<sup>\*</sup>Research supported by NSERC

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Research supported by NSERC post-doctoral fellowship

<sup>717</sup> 

Throughout this paper we deal with a fixed finite-dimensional normed space X with closed unit ball  $\mathbb{B}$ , topological dual  $X^*$ , and closed dual ball  $\mathbb{B}^*$ , and with a fixed compact convex set  $C \subset X^*$  such that int  $C \neq \emptyset$ . We write

$$\operatorname{Lip}_C := \{f \colon X \to R : f(x) - f(y) \le \sigma_C(x - y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X\},\$$

where  $\sigma_C(x) := \max \{ \langle c, x \rangle : c \in C \}$  is the support function of C. The boundedness of C implies that every function in  $\operatorname{Lip}_C$  is Lipschitzian in the usual sense. Indeed, when  $C = K\mathbb{B}^*$  for some K > 0,  $\operatorname{Lip}_C$  is the usual set of K-Lipschitz functions on X. We define a complete metric space  $(\operatorname{Lip}_C, \rho)$  by setting  $\rho_n(f, g) := \sup \{ |f(x) - g(x)| : x \in n\mathbb{B} \}$  and

$$\rho(f,g) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \min\{\rho_n(f,g), 1\}, \qquad f,g \in \operatorname{Lip}_C.$$

Note that a sequence of functions  $\langle f_k \rangle$  in  $\operatorname{Lip}_C$  converges to f in the metric  $\rho$  if and only if  $f_k$  converges to f uniformly on each compact subset of X. We call a property *typical in*  $\operatorname{Lip}_C$  when it holds throughout some subset of  $\operatorname{Lip}_C$  whose complement has first category.

**Subgradients.** For  $f \in \text{Lip}_C$  and  $x \in X$ , the lower Dini directional derivative and Dini subgradient are defined by

$$f_+(x;v) := \liminf_{t \to 0^+} \frac{f(x+tv) - f(x)}{t},$$
$$\widehat{\partial}f(x) := \left\{ x^* \in X^* : f_+(x;v) \ge \langle x^*, v \rangle \ \forall v \in X \right\}.$$

(Other authors call  $\widehat{\partial} f$  the Fréchet subdifferential or the regular subdifferential.) The set  $\widehat{\partial} f(x)$  is closed, but may well be empty. Thus we must contend with the set dom $(\widehat{\partial} f) := \left\{ x \in X : \widehat{\partial} f(x) \neq \emptyset \right\}$ . We will also refer to the upper Dini directional derivative  $f^+ = -(-f)_+$ , given explicitly by

$$f^+(x;v) := \limsup_{t \to 0^+} \frac{f(x+tv) - f(x)}{t}.$$

The general subgradient of f at x is, by definition,

$$\partial f(x) := \bigcap_{\delta > 0} \operatorname{cl} \left\{ z^* \, : \, z^* \in \widehat{\partial} f(z), \, \, \|z - x\| < \delta \right\}.$$

The Clarke directional derivative and subgradient of f at x are

$$f^{\circ}(x;v) := \limsup_{y \to x, t \downarrow 0} \frac{f(y+tv) - f(y)}{t}, \ v \in X,$$
$$\partial^{\circ}f(x) := \left\{ x^* \in X^* : \langle x^*, v \rangle \le f^{\circ}(x;v) \ \forall v \in X \right\}.$$

Since  $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_C$ , we have  $\partial^{\circ} f \equiv \operatorname{co} \partial f$ . (See [3, 6] for details and discussion.) Recall that  $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_C$  is called *subdifferentially regular* [or *Clarke regular*] at x when  $\partial f(x) = \partial^{\circ} f(x)$ .

Our purpose in this note is to show that while a typical function in  $\operatorname{Lip}_C$  has a local minimum on a dense subset of points in its domain, making its Clarke subdifferential large everywhere, its Dini subdifferential is empty outside a set of first category, and never contains more than one element. Taken together, these statements imply that a typical Lipschitz function is nowhere subdifferentially regular.

### 2 Density of Local Minimizers

Given a continuous function  $\phi: X \to \mathbb{R}$ , let  $\Sigma(\phi)$  denote the set of points in X where  $\phi$  has a local minimum.

**Theorem 1.** For each  $c \in int(C)$ , the following set is residual in  $(Lip_C, \rho)$ .

$$G(c) := \{ f \in \operatorname{Lip}_C : \Sigma(f - c) \text{ is dense in } X \}.$$

(Here  $(f - c)(y) = f(y) - \langle c, y \rangle$ .)

PROOF. Fix  $c \in \text{int } C$ . For each fixed  $x \in X$ , define a sequence of sets indexed by  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$G_x^n := \left\{ f \in \operatorname{Lip}_C : \Sigma(f-c) \cap \operatorname{int}\left(x + \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{B}\right) \neq \emptyset \right\}.$$

We claim that each set  $\operatorname{int}(G_x^n)$  is dense in  $\operatorname{Lip}_C$ . To prove this, choose an arbitrary  $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_C$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ . Define  $h(y) := f(x) - \varepsilon + \sigma_C(y-x)$  for  $y \in X$ , and let  $h_1 := \min\{f, h\}$ . Clearly h and  $h_1$  lie in  $\operatorname{Lip}_C$ . Since  $f(y) - f(x) \leq \sigma_C(y-x)$  for every  $y \in X$ , we have

$$f(y) - \varepsilon \le f(x) - \varepsilon + \sigma_C(y - x) = h(y);$$

so  $f \ge h_1 \ge f - \varepsilon$  and  $\rho(h_1, f) \le \varepsilon$ .

As h(x) < f(x), by continuity there is some  $\delta \in (0, 1/n)$  sufficiently small that h(y) < f(y) for all y in  $x + \delta \mathbb{B}$ , whence  $h_1(y) = h(y)$  for all  $y \in x + \delta \mathbb{B}$ .

This implies that  $h_1 - c$  has a local minimum at x, since every  $y \in x + \delta \mathbb{B}$  obeys

$$h_1(y) - \langle c, y \rangle = h_1(x) - \langle c, x \rangle + [\sigma_C(y - x) - \langle c, y - x \rangle]$$
(1)

and the inequality  $\sigma_C(y-x) \ge \langle c, y-x \rangle$  holds because  $c \in C$ . Thus  $h_1 \in G_x^n$ . Let us show that in fact  $h_1 \in \operatorname{int} G_x^n$ . By hypothesis, there is some r > 0

such that  $c + r\mathbb{B}^* \subset C$ . Thus (1) implies

$$m := \inf \{h_1(y) - \langle c, y \rangle : \|y - x\| = \delta\} \ge h_1(x) - \langle c, x \rangle + r\delta.$$

Let  $\alpha := m - (h_1(x) - \langle c, x \rangle) > 0$  and choose  $0 < \beta < \min \{\alpha/2, 1\}$ . Also choose an integer N > ||x|| + 1, and note that every  $g \in \operatorname{Lip}_C$  with  $\rho(g, h_1) < \beta/2^N$ satisfies  $\rho_N(g, h_1) < \beta$ . When  $||y - x|| = \delta$  we have

$$g(y) - \langle c, y \rangle = g(y) - h_1(y) + h_1(y) - \langle c, y \rangle \ge -\beta + m, \text{ and}$$
  
$$g(x) - \langle c, x \rangle = g(x) - h_1(x) + h_1(x) - \langle c, x \rangle \le \beta + h_1(x) - \langle c, x \rangle.$$

Thus

$$\inf \{g(y) - \langle c, y \rangle : \|y - x\| = \delta\} \ge -\beta + m$$
  
>  $\beta + h_1(x) - \langle c, x \rangle \ge g(x) - \langle c, x \rangle$ 

Now the continuous function g - c must attain its minimum over the compact set  $x + \delta \mathbb{B}$ , and the strict inequality above implies that the minimizing point cannot lie on the boundary. Hence this point must actually provide an unrestricted local minimum for g - c. Since  $\delta < 1/n$ , this shows that  $g \in G_x^n$ . The same conclusion holds for every g satisfying  $\rho(g, h_1) < \beta/2^N$ ; so indeed  $h_1 \in \operatorname{int} G_x^n$ .

Since  $\operatorname{int}(G_x^n)$  is open and dense in  $\operatorname{Lip}_C$ , the set  $G_x := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{int}(G_x^n)$  is dense in  $\operatorname{Lip}_C$ . If  $f \in G_x$ , then for every *n* there exists  $x_n \in \operatorname{int}(x + n^{-1}\mathbb{B})$  such that f - c attains a local minimum at  $x_n$ . That is, f - c attains a local minimum in every neighborhood of x.

Finally, let  $Q = \{x_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a countable dense subset of X. Since each  $G_{x_k}, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , is a dense  $G_{\delta}$  by the previous paragraph, the set  $G := \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} G_{x_k}$  is a dense  $G_{\delta}$  in  $\operatorname{Lip}_C$ . Now consider any  $f \in G$ . Every open set U in X contains some  $x_k$  in Q, and since  $f \in G_{x_k}$ , the function f - c attains a local minimum at some point in U. Hence the set of points at which f - c attains a local minimum is dense in X. Since f is arbitrary in G, the dense  $G_{\delta}$  set G defined here is a subset of the set G(c) defined in the theorem statement. Hence G(c) is residual.

**Corollary 2** ([1]). The following set is residual in  $(Lip_C, \rho)$ .

$$\{f \in \operatorname{Lip}_C : \partial^{\circ} f(x) = \partial f(x) = C \,\,\forall x \in X\}.$$

PROOF. For each  $c \in C$ , define G(c) as in Theorem 1. If  $f \in G(c)$ , then c lies in  $\partial f(x)$  at every point where f - c has a local minimum, and such points are dense in X. Consequently  $c \in \partial f(x)$  for every  $x \in X$ . By taking a countable set  $\{c_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  dense in the interior of C we obtain a residual set  $G := \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} G(c_k)$  such that every  $f \in G$  obeys  $c_k \in \partial f(x)$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $x \in X$ . But  $\partial f(x)$  is a closed set; so  $\partial f(x) \supseteq C$ . The reverse inclusion follows immediately from the defining property of  $\operatorname{Lip}_C$ ; so equality holds. Hence  $\partial f(x) = C = \operatorname{co} C = \operatorname{co} \partial f(x) = \partial^\circ f(x)$ .

# 3 Sparseness of the Dini Subdifferential

We include a simpler proof of the following lemma due to Giles and Sciffer [4] to make our exposition self-contained. Both the statement and the proof remain valid when X is replaced by an arbitrary separable Banach space.

**Lemma 3.** For every  $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_C$ , there exists a dense  $G_{\delta}$  subset of X in which every point x obeys  $f^{\circ}(x; v) = f^{+}(x; v) \ \forall v \in X$ .

PROOF. For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $v \in X$ , define

$$D_k^v := \left\{ x \in X : \frac{f(x + t_x v) - f(x)}{t_x} - f^{\circ}(x; v) > -\frac{1}{k} \text{ for some } t_x \in \left(0, \frac{1}{k}\right) \right\}.$$

Because f is Lipschitz and  $-f^{\circ}(\cdot; v)$  is l.s.c.,  $D_k^v$  is open. To show that  $D_k^v$  is dense, let  $x \in X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Choose  $y \in X$  such that  $||y - x|| < \varepsilon/2$  and  $f^{\circ}(\cdot; v)$  is continuous at y. (This is possible because  $f^{\circ}(\cdot; v)$  is u.s.c., so  $f^{\circ}(\cdot; v)$  is continuous on a residual subset of X—see [7, Exercise 7.43].) Then choose  $\delta \in (0, \varepsilon/2)$  so small that

$$f^{\circ}(y;v) - f^{\circ}(z;v) > -\frac{1}{2k} \quad \text{whenever } \|z - y\| < \delta.$$

$$(2)$$

The definition of  $f^{\circ}(y; v)$  provides points z and  $t_z$  satisfying  $||z - y|| < \delta$ ,  $0 < t_z < 1/k$ , and

$$\frac{f(z+t_z v) - f(z)}{t_z} > f^{\circ}(y; v) - \frac{1}{2k}.$$

In conjunction with (2), this implies

$$\frac{f(z + t_z v) - f(z)}{t_z} > f^{\circ}(z; v) - \frac{1}{k}$$

Thus  $z \in D_k^v$ ; also  $||z - x|| < \varepsilon$ ; so  $D_k^v$  is dense. It follows that each set  $D(v) := \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} D_k^v$  is a dense  $G_{\delta}$  in X. Moreover, for every  $x \in D(v)$ , each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  has some  $0 < t_k < 1/k$  for which

$$\frac{f(x+t_k v) - f(x)}{t_k} - f^{\circ}(x; v) > -\frac{1}{k}$$

As  $k \to \infty$  here, we obtain  $f^+(x; v) \ge f^\circ(x; v)$ . But  $f^\circ(x; v) \ge f^+(x; v)$  is obvious, so equality holds.

Now take a countable dense subset  $\{v_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of X, and consider  $D := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D(v_n)$ . This is a dense  $G_{\delta}$  in X, and for every  $x \in D$  we have  $f^+(x; v_n) = f^{\circ}(x; v_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . But both  $f^+(x, \cdot)$  and  $f^{\circ}(x, \cdot)$  are Lipschitz, so in fact  $f^+(x; \cdot) \equiv f^{\circ}(x; \cdot)$ , as required.

**Theorem 4.** The following subset of  $(\text{Lip}_C, \rho)$  is residual.

$$G := \left\{ g \in \operatorname{Lip}_C : \operatorname{dom}(\widehat{\partial}g) \text{ has first category in } X \right\}.$$

PROOF. We will show  $G \supseteq A$ , where  $A := \{g \in \operatorname{Lip}_C : \partial^\circ g(x) = C \ \forall x \in X\}$ . Recall that A is residual in  $(\operatorname{Lip}_C, \rho)$ , by Corollary 2. Fix  $g \in A$ . Then  $\partial^\circ(-g) \equiv -C$  on X, and the set

$$S := \{ x \in X : (-g)^+(x; \cdot) \equiv (-g)^\circ(x; \cdot) \}$$

is residual in X by Lemma 3. For fixed x in S, the definition of the Clarke subgradient gives  $(-g)^+(x;v) = (-g)^\circ(x;v) = \sigma_{-C}(v)$ ; i.e.,  $g_+(x;v) = -\sigma_C(-v)$ , for all  $v \in X$ . Now since C has nonempty interior, the sublinear function  $\sigma_C$ cannot be dominated by a linear function. Therefore the set

$$\widehat{\partial}g(x) = \{x^* \in X^* : \langle x^*, v \rangle \le g_+(x;v) = -\sigma_C(-v) \ \forall v \in X\}$$

must be empty. This shows that  $\operatorname{dom}(\widehat{\partial}g)$  is a subset of  $X \setminus S$ , which implies that  $\operatorname{dom}(\widehat{\partial}g)$  has first category in X. Hence  $g \in G$ , as required.  $\Box$ 

Although Rademacher's theorem asserts that every g in  $\operatorname{Lip}_C$  is differentiable on a set of full Lebesgue measure, each g in the set G of Theorem 4 is differentiable at most on a set of first category in X.

#### 4 Nonangularity

A function  $f: X \to R$  is called *nonangular at x in direction v* when

$$f_+(x;v) \le -f_+(x;-v)$$
 and  $(-f)_+(x;v) \le -(-f)_+(x;-v)$ . (3)

We call f nonangular at x when (3) holds for every  $v \in X$ . This definition extends a concept well known when  $X = \mathbb{R}$ : see Bruckner [2].

**Theorem 5.** The subset of  $\operatorname{Lip}_C$  consisting of functions nonangular at every point of X is a dense  $G_{\delta}$ .

**PROOF.** Let us fix  $v \in X$  and show that

$$\begin{aligned} A(v) &:= \{ f \in \operatorname{Lip}_C : f_+(x;v) > -f_+(x;-v) \text{ for some } x \in X \} \,, \\ B(v) &:= \{ f \in \operatorname{Lip}_C : (-f)_+(x;v) > -(-f)_+(x;-v) \text{ for some } x \in X \} \,, \end{aligned}$$

are  $F_{\sigma}$  sets of first category in  $\operatorname{Lip}_{C}$ . We treat the set A(v), the arguments for B(v) being similar. Since  $A(v) = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} A^{m}$ , where

$$A^m := \{ f \in \operatorname{Lip}_C : f_+(x;v) > -f_+(x;-v) \text{ for some } x \in m\mathbb{B} \},\$$

it suffices to show that each  $A^m$  is an  $F_{\sigma}$  of first category in  $\operatorname{Lip}_C$ . For fixed  $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , with p < q, let

$$A_{pqn} := \left\{ f \in \operatorname{Lip}_{C} : \text{some } x \in m\mathbb{B} \text{ obeys} \right.$$
  
both  $\frac{f(x+tv) - f(x)}{t} \le p \ \forall t \in \left(-\frac{1}{n}, 0\right)$   
and  $\frac{f(x+tv) - f(x)}{t} \ge q \ \forall t \in \left(0, \frac{1}{n}\right) \right\}.$ 

Clearly  $A^m = \bigcup_{p,q,n} A_{pqn}$ . We check that each  $A_{pqn}$  is closed and nowhere dense in Lip<sub>C</sub>.

To see that  $A_{pqn}$  closed, let  $\{f_k\}$  be any sequence in  $A_{pqn}$  converging in  $\operatorname{Lip}_C$  to some function f. We must show that  $f \in A_{pqn}$ . For each k, there exists  $x_k \in m\mathbb{B}$  such that

$$\frac{f_k(x_k+tv) - f_k(x_k)}{t} \le p \ \forall t \in \left(-\frac{1}{n}, 0\right),\tag{4}$$

$$\frac{f_k(x_k+tv) - f_k(x_k)}{t} \ge q \ \forall t \in \left(0, \frac{1}{n}\right).$$
(5)

By passing to a subsequence if necessary (we do not relabel), we may assume that  $\langle x_k \rangle$  converges to some point  $x \in m\mathbb{B}$ . As  $k \to \infty$  along this subsequence,

(4) and (5) yield

$$\frac{f(x+tv) - f(x)}{t} \le p \ \forall t \in \left(-\frac{1}{n}, 0\right),$$
$$\frac{f(x+tv) - f(x)}{t} \ge q \ \forall t \in \left(0, \frac{1}{n}\right).$$

Thus  $f \in A_{pqn}$  and  $A_{pqn}$  is closed.

To show that  $A_{pqn}$  is nowhere dense in  $\operatorname{Lip}_C$ , it suffices to observe that any differentiable function in  $\operatorname{Lip}_C$  must lie outside  $A_{pqn}$  and that differentiable functions are dense in  $\operatorname{Lip}_C$ . Indeed, standard mollification methods show that  $C^{\infty}$  functions are dense in  $\operatorname{Lip}_C$ . For example, following [6, page 409], let  $\phi: X \to \mathbb{R}$  be a nonnegative function of class  $C^{\infty}$  supported in  $\mathbb{B}$  and satisfying  $\int_{\mathbb{B}} \phi(x) dx = 1$ . For given  $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_C$ , define  $v_k = 1/\int_X \phi(kz) dz$  and let

$$f_k(x) := v_k \int_X f(x-z)\phi(kz) \, dz = v_k \int_X f(z)\phi(k(x-z)) \, dz, \ k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then  $f_k \to f$  uniformly on compact subsets of X, and  $f_k \in C^{\infty}$  for each k. Moreover,  $f'_k(x) = v_k \int_X f'(x-z)\phi(kz) dz$ . Since  $f'(x-z) \in C$  almost everywhere (Rademacher's Theorem), while C is compact convex and  $v_k \int_X \phi(kz) dz = 1$ , we have  $f'_k(x) \in C$  for every  $x \in X$ . This implies  $f_k \in \operatorname{Lip}_C$ .

Now let  $\{v_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a countable dense subset of X, and put

$$G = \operatorname{Lip}_C \setminus \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left[ A(v_k) \cup B(v_k) \right].$$

This is a dense  $G_{\delta}$  in  $\operatorname{Lip}_{C}$ . For every  $f \in G$ , we have  $f_{+}(x; v_{k}) \leq -f_{+}(x; -v_{k})$ and  $(-f)_{+}(x; v_{k}) \leq -(-f)_{+}(x; -v_{k})$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . But  $f_{+}(x; \cdot)$  and  $(-f)_{+}(x; \cdot)$ are Lipschitzian, so these inequalities extend to every v in X. Hence every  $f \in G$  is nonangular in every direction, at every point of X.  $\Box$ 

Note that if f is nonangular at x and  $x^* \in \partial f(x)$ , then  $\langle x^*, v \rangle = f_+(x; v)$  for all v, so  $\partial f(x) = \{x^*\}$ . Thus the set  $\partial f(x)$  contains at most one point, and likewise for  $\partial (-f)(x)$ . Together with Corollary 2 and Theorem 5, this observation establishes the following generic complement to the explicit construction of Sciffer [8].

**Corollary 6.** There is a residual subset G of  $\operatorname{Lip}_C$  such that every  $f \in G$  fails to be subdifferentially regular at every point of X, and the same is true for -f.

724

**Remark.** When  $X = \mathbb{R}$ , analogues of Corollary 6 hold in the spaces of bounded continuous functions on X or bounded nondecreasing functions on X using the supremum norm.

**Remark.** When  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and C = [-1, 1], Preiss and Tisér [5] have shown that the set

$$H := \left\{ f \in \operatorname{Lip}_C : \limsup_{y \to x} \left| \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} \right| = 1 \text{ for every } x \in [0, 1] \right\}$$

is residual. Every f in H has  $f'(x) \in \{-1, 1\}$  for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . In conjunction with Corollary 2 and [3, Theorem 2.5.1], this implies that there is a residual subset of  $\operatorname{Lip}_C$  in which every f is such that both

$$D_+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : f'(x) = 1\}$$
 and  $D_- = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : f'(x) = -1\}$ 

meet every open interval in a set of positive measure.

# References

- J. M. Borwein, W. B. Moors, X. Wang, Generalized subdifferentials: a Baire categorical approach, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear.
- [2] A. M. Bruckner, Differentiation of Real Functions, second edition, Providence: American Mathematical Society, 1994.
- [3] F. H. Clarke, Optimization and Nonsmooth Analysis, Wiley Interscience, New York, 1983.
- [4] J. R. Giles, S. Sciffer, Locally Lipschitz functions are generically pseudoregular on separable Banach spaces, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 47 (1993), 205–212.
- [5] D. Preiss, J. Tiśer, Points of nondifferentiability of typical Lipschitz functions, *Real Anal. Exch.* 20 (1994/5), 219–226.
- [6] R. T. Rockafellar, R. J-B Wets, Variational Analysis, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [7] H. L. Royden, *Real Analysis*, third edition, Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, 1988.
- [8] S. Sciffer, Regularity of locally Lipschitz functions on the line, *Real Anal. Exch.* 20 (1994/1995), 786–798.

Philip D. Loewen and Xianfu Wang