

Andrzej Nowik, Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences,  
Abrahama 18, 81–825 Sopot, Poland. e-mail: [nowik@impan.gda.pl](mailto:nowik@impan.gda.pl)  
*Permanent address:* University of Gdańsk, Institute of Mathematics, Wita  
Stwosza 57, 80–952 Gdańsk, Poland. e-mail: [matan@julia.univ.gda.pl](mailto:matan@julia.univ.gda.pl)

## ON SOME PROPERTIES OF THE CLASS OF REAL FUNCTIONS WITH $\lambda$ AND $\lambda'$ GRAPHS

### Abstract

We show that (under CH) there exists a CIVP function with a  $\lambda'$  graph. We examine some properties of  $\mathcal{M}_a(\lambda)$  and  $\mathcal{M}_a(\lambda')$  class of real valued functions.

### 1 General Notation

First, let us recall a couple of definitions:

1. A set  $L$  is said to be a  $\lambda$ -set if every countable subset of  $L$  is  $G_\delta$  relative to  $L$ .
2. A subset  $L$  of a space  $X$  is said to be a  $\lambda'$  (rel  $X$ ) if for every countable subset  $D$  of  $X$ ,  $L \cup D$  has property  $\lambda$ .

We shall need also the following well-known fact, basic in our investigations.

**Fact 1.1.** *If  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  has property  $\lambda'$  (rel  $\mathbb{R}$ ) and is the one-to-one projection of the subset  $H$  of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  (i.e.,  $H$  is the graph of an arbitrary real valued function with domain  $A$ ), then  $H$  has property  $\lambda'$  (rel  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ). The corresponding assertion for the  $\lambda$  property instead  $\lambda'$  holds.*

---

Key Words:  $\lambda$  set,  $\lambda'$  set,  $\mathcal{M}_a(\cdot)$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_m(\cdot)$  families.

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: Primary 03E15; Secondary 03E20, 28E15  
Received by the editors June 7, 2001

(See for example [1] or [8]). Note also that property  $\lambda'$  is countably additive. On the other hand, property  $\lambda$  is not preserved even under taking finite unions.

For  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  we denote by  $A_x$  and  $A^{(y)}$  the  $x$ -section and  $y$ -section of  $A$ , respectively (i.e.,  $A_x = \{y : \langle x, y \rangle \in A\}$ ,  $A^{(y)} = \{x : \langle x, y \rangle \in A\}$ ). Throughout this paper no distinction is made between a function and its graph. Therefore, to shorten notation we write  $f \in \lambda$  ( $\lambda'$ , resp.) in the case when  $f$  has a  $\lambda$  ( $\lambda'$ , resp.) graph or we say that  $f$  is a  $\lambda$  ( $\lambda'$ , resp.) function. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  denote as usual the ternary Cantor set.

We say that a function  $f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$  has the CIVP property (*Cantor Intermediate Value Property*) if for all different  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(x) \neq f(y)$  and for every Cantor set  $P$  between  $f(x)$  and  $f(y)$ , there exists a Cantor set  $Q$  between  $x$  and  $y$  such that  $f(Q) \subseteq P$ .

Let  $\text{Mkb}$  denote the Mokobodzki  $\sigma$ -ideal:

$$\text{Mkb} = \{X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 : \forall \epsilon > 0 \exists U \supseteq X [U \text{ open} \wedge \forall_x \mu(U_x) < \epsilon]\}.$$

Let us denote by  $\text{Mkb}^{-1}$  the “inverse” Mokobodzki  $\sigma$ -ideal, namely:

$$\text{Mkb}^{-1} = \{i(X) : X \in \text{Mkb}\},$$

where  $i(\langle x, y \rangle) = \langle y, x \rangle$ . It is well known that the  $\sigma$ -ideals  $\text{Mkb}$ ,  $\text{Mkb}^{-1}$  are generated by  $G_\delta$  sets; i.e.,

$$\forall X \in \mathcal{I} \exists G \in G_\delta \cap \mathcal{I} X \subseteq G.$$

where  $\mathcal{I} = \text{Mkb}$  or  $\mathcal{I} = \text{Mkb}^{-1}$ .

In the sequel,  $\text{Perf}_{\mathcal{C}}$  denotes the collection of all perfect subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  homeomorphic to  $2^\omega$ . By  $\text{Intr}$  we denote the family of all open intervals  $(a, b)$ ,  $a < b$ . We define  $\omega_1^{Ev}$  ( $\omega_1^{Od}$ ) to be the set of all even (odd, respectively) ordinals from  $\omega_1$ .

## 2 Introduction

As it was observed by T. Natkaniec and I. Reclaw, under  $CH$  there exists a function  $f \in \lambda'$  such that  $f$  is almost continuous. Indeed, suppose that  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is a  $\mathfrak{c}$ -dense  $\lambda'$  subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  (for example, take any  $\mathfrak{c}$ -dense Sierpiński set). There exists a function  $f_1 : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that for each  $f^* \supseteq f_1$ ,  $f^*$  is almost continuous. (see for example [6] or [3]). Let  $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S$  be any one-to-one function. Note that  $\lambda'$  subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  forms a  $\sigma$ -ideal, thus if we define  $f = \tilde{f} \upharpoonright (\mathbb{R} \setminus S) \cup f_1$ , then the function  $f$  will be almost continuous and  $f \in \lambda'$ .

On the other hand, it is obvious that there is no  $\lambda$  function with the Ext property (for definition of Ext and almost continuous property see for example [7]).

Since  $AC \not\rightarrow CIVP$ , it is a natural question whether (under  $CH$ ) there exists a  $\lambda'$  function with the CIVP property. In the sequel we shall answer this question in the affirmative. In fact, we will show something more.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Assume  $CH$ . There exists a function  $f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$  such that*

1.  $f$  is a  $\lambda'$  set.
2. for each interval  $(a, b)$  and for each  $P \in Perf$  there is  $Q \subseteq (a, b)$ ,  $Q \in Perf$  such that  $Q \subseteq f^{-1}[P]$ . (in particular,  $f$  has the CIVP property)

We shall use two (folklore?) lemmas some of which might be well known. We give the proofs for completeness.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Suppose that  $X \in Mkb^{-1}$ . For each  $P \in Perf_{\mathcal{C}}$  and for each interval  $(a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  there exists  $Q, R \in Perf$  such that  $Q \subseteq (a, b)$ ,  $R \subseteq P$  and  $(Q \times R) \cap X = \emptyset$ .*

PROOF. Since  $X \in Mkb^{-1}$ , there exists  $G \in G_{\delta}$  such that  $G \in Mkb^{-1}$  and  $X \subseteq G$ . Then  $\forall_{y \in P} G^{(y)} \in \mathcal{N}$ , thus  $G \cap ((a, b) \times P)$  is of measure zero in the space  $(a, b) \times P$ . (homeomorphic to  $(a, b) \times 2^{\omega}$ ). Next, by the classical Mycielski theorem (see [5]), the conclusion follows.

Throughout this proof,  $\{C_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \omega_1^{Ev}}$  is a fixed enumeration of all countable subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Assume  $CH$ . Let  $\langle G_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \omega_1 \rangle, \langle l_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \omega_1 \rangle$  be a sequences of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that*

1.  $\forall_{\alpha \in \omega_1} G_{\alpha} \in G_{\delta}$  and  $l_{\alpha} \in \lambda'$ ,
2.  $\bigcup_{\alpha < \theta} G_{\alpha} \cup l_{\theta} \subseteq G_{\theta}$  and  $\bigcup_{\alpha < \theta} G_{\alpha} \cap l_{\theta} = \emptyset$  for each  $\theta < \omega_1$ .
3. For each  $\theta \in \omega_1^{Ev}$ ,  $C_{\theta} \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha < \theta} G_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow l_{\theta} \cap C_{\theta} \neq \emptyset$ .

Then the set  $l = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} l_{\alpha}$  is a  $\lambda'$  set.

PROOF. Let  $D \subseteq l$  be a countable set. There exists  $\theta \in \omega_1$  such that  $D \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \leq \theta} l_{\alpha}$ . Since sets with a  $\lambda'$  property forms a  $\sigma$ -ideal, we have  $\bigcup_{\alpha \leq \theta} l_{\alpha} \in \lambda'$ . Hence there exists a  $G_{\delta}$  set  $H$  such that  $H \cap \bigcup_{\alpha \leq \theta} l_{\alpha} = D$ . Thus

$$(G_{\theta} \cap H) \cap l = \left[ (G_{\theta} \cap H) \cap \bigcup_{\alpha \leq \theta} l_{\alpha} \right] \cup \left[ (G_{\theta} \cap H) \cap \bigcup_{\theta < \alpha < \omega_1} l_{\alpha} \right] = D.$$

Therefore  $l \in \lambda$ .

Let  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  be a countable set such that  $D \cap l = \emptyset$ . Then there exists  $\theta \in \omega_1^{Ev}$  such that  $D = C_\theta$ . We have  $C_\theta \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha < \theta} G_\alpha = \emptyset$ : if not we would have  $l_\theta \cap C_\theta \neq \emptyset$ , a contradiction. This means that  $D = C_\theta \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha < \theta} G_\alpha$ . Since  $\bigcup_{\alpha \leq \theta} l_\alpha \in \lambda'$ , there exists  $H \in G_\delta$  such that  $H \cap \left[ \bigcup_{\alpha \leq \theta} l_\alpha \cup D \right] = D$ . Define  $H^* = G_\theta \cap H$ , obviously  $H^* \in G_\delta$ . Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^* \cap (l \cup D) &= (G_\theta \cap H) \cap \left[ \bigcup_{\alpha \leq \theta} l_\alpha \cup \bigcup_{\alpha > \theta} l_\alpha \right] \cup D \\ &= \left[ H \cap \bigcup_{\alpha \leq \theta} l_\alpha \right] \cup D = D. \end{aligned}$$

This finally proves  $l \in \lambda'$  and finishes the proof of Lemma 2.3.  $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1. Enumerate  $Intr \times (Perf_C \cap \mathcal{N})$  as  $\{ \langle I_\alpha; P_\alpha \rangle : \alpha \in \omega_1^{Od} \}$ . We will construct inductively sequences  $\langle G_\theta : \theta \in \omega_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle l_\theta : \theta \in \omega_1 \rangle$  assuming the following induction hypothesis:

1. For each  $\theta \in \omega_1$ ,  $G_\theta \in G_\delta \cap \text{Mkb} \cap \text{Mkb}^{-1}$ ;
2.  $l_\theta : \text{dom}(l_\theta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a one-to-one function such that  $\text{dom}(l_\theta) \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $l_\theta \subseteq G_\theta$ .

Let  $\theta \in \omega_1$ . Consider two cases:

**Case 1:**  $\theta \in \omega_1^{Od}$ .

Define  $A_\theta^* = \bigcup_{\alpha < \theta} G_\alpha$  and  $H_\theta^* = \left[ \bigcup_{\alpha < \theta} \text{dom}(l_\alpha) \right] \times \mathbb{R}$ . One easily checks that  $A_\theta^* \in \text{Mkb} \cap \text{Mkb}^{-1}$  and  $H_\theta^* \in \text{Mkb}^{-1}$ . It follows that  $A_\theta^* \cup H_\theta^* \in \text{Mkb}^{-1}$ , hence by Lemma 2.2 there exists  $R_\theta \in Perf(P_\theta)$  and  $Q_\theta \in Perf$ ,  $Q_\theta \subseteq I_\theta$  such that  $(Q_\theta \times R_\theta) \cap (A_\theta^* \cup H_\theta^*) = \emptyset$ . Without loss of generality, one can assume that  $Q_\theta \in \mathcal{N}$ . Since  $R_\theta \in Perf$ , there is a  $\lambda'$  set  $S_\theta \subseteq R_\theta$  of size  $2^\omega$ . Let  $l_\theta$  be any bijection from  $Q_\theta$  onto  $S_\theta$ . Clearly  $l_\theta \in \text{Mkb} \cap \text{Mkb}^{-1}$  (since  $Q_\theta \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $P_\theta \in \mathcal{N}$ ). Hence  $A_\theta^* \cup l_\theta \in \text{Mkb} \cap \text{Mkb}^{-1}$ ; thus, there exists  $G_\theta \in G_\delta \cap \text{Mkb} \cap \text{Mkb}^{-1}$  such that  $A_\theta^* \cup l_\theta \subseteq G_\theta$ .

**Case 2:**  $\theta \in \omega_1^{Ev}$ .

If  $C_\theta \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha < \theta} G_\alpha$ , then let  $l_\theta = \emptyset$ . If  $C_\theta \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha < \theta} G_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ , then pick an arbitrary  $z_\theta \in C_\theta \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha < \theta} G_\alpha$  and define  $l_\theta = \{z_\theta\}$ . Next, choose an arbitrary  $G_\delta$  set  $G$  from  $\text{Mkb} \cap \text{Mkb}^{-1}$  which contains  $\bigcup_{\alpha < \theta} G_\alpha \cup l_\theta$  and define  $G_\theta = G$ . Observe that

$$\forall \alpha, \beta \in \omega_1^{Od} \alpha \neq \beta \Rightarrow \text{dom}(l_\alpha) \cap \text{dom}(l_\beta) = \emptyset.$$

Indeed, suppose that  $\alpha < \beta$ . Since  $(Q_\beta \times R_\beta) \cap (A_\beta^* \cup H_\beta^*) = \emptyset$ , we have  $Q_\beta \cap \bigcup_{\mu < \beta} \text{dom}(l_\mu) = \emptyset$ , thus  $\text{dom}(l_\alpha) \cap \text{dom}(l_\beta) = \emptyset$ . Let us define  $k = \bigcup_{\theta \in \omega_1^{Od}} l_\theta$ . It is easy to see that  $k$  is a real function a domain of which is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since the sequences  $\langle G_\theta : \theta \in \omega_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle l_\theta : \theta \in \omega_1 \rangle$  fulfill the conditions (1)-(3) of Lemma 2.3, we conclude that  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \omega_1} l_\alpha \in \lambda'$ , thus  $k \in \lambda'$ .

Suppose that  $I \in \text{Intr}$ ,  $P \in \text{Perf}_C$ . We will show that there exists a perfect set  $Q$  such that  $Q \subseteq k^{-1}(P) \cap I$ . Choose  $\theta \in \omega_1^{Od}$  such that  $I = I_\theta$  and  $P = P_\theta$ . Then we have

$$Q_\theta \subseteq l_\theta^{-1}(P_\theta) \subseteq k^{-1}(P_\theta).$$

Moreover,  $Q_\theta \subseteq I_\theta = I$ . Therefore if we extend  $k$  arbitrarily to  $l \in \lambda'$  defined on whole real line we obtain the conclusion of Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

### 3 Compositions

**Theorem 3.1.** *Assume that there exists a set  $X \in \lambda'$  of size  $2^\omega$ . Then every real function  $h \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$  can be expressed as the composition of two  $\lambda'$  functions.*

PROOF. Let  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a  $\lambda'$  set of size  $2^\omega$ . Let  $h \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$  be arbitrary. Let  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Lambda$  be an arbitrary bijection. Let us define  $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as follows:

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} h(f^{-1}(x)) & \text{if } x \in \Lambda \\ f(x) & \text{if } x \notin \Lambda \end{cases}$$

Since  $g \upharpoonright \Lambda \in \lambda'$  and  $f \upharpoonright (\mathbb{R} \setminus \Lambda) \in \lambda'$  we infer that  $g \in \lambda'$ . It is evident that  $g \circ f = h$ .  $\square$

We end this chapter by an example which is useful later in this article. We use it in Example 4.4, Theorem 4.5 and Theorem 5.1.

**Example 3.2.**

Assume  $CH$ . Let  $P$  be a perfect set and  $A$  its countable, dense subset. Let  $S \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$  be a scale of size  $\omega_1$ . Let us denote:  $D = [\omega]^{<\omega}$ . It is well known (see for example Theorem 5.6 of [4]) that  $S$  is a  $\lambda$ -set and for each  $H \in G_\delta$  such that  $D \subseteq H$  we have  $H \cap S \neq \emptyset$ . We may assume that  $D \subseteq C$ ,  $\overline{D} = C$  and  $S \subseteq C$ . Let  $f_D: A \rightarrow D$  be a function such that  $\forall d \in D \overline{f_D^{-1}(\{d\})} = P$ . Let  $S_1 \subseteq S$  be any subset of  $S$  of size  $\omega_1$  such that  $|S \setminus S_1| = \omega_1$  and for each  $H \in G_\delta$  such that  $D \subseteq H$  we have  $H \cap S_1 \neq \emptyset$ . Set  $S_1$  can be easy constructed by transfinite induction. Let  $R_1$  be any subset of  $P \setminus A$  of size  $\omega_1$  such that  $R_1$  is a comeager subset of  $P$  and  $|P \setminus R_1| = \omega_1$ . Let  $\{H_\theta\}_{\theta \in \omega_1}$  be an enumeration of all  $G_\delta$  subsets  $H$  of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $f_D \subseteq H$ . We will construct a sequence  $\{\langle x_\theta, y_\theta \rangle\}_{\theta \in \omega_1}$  such that  $x_\theta \in R_1$  and  $y_\theta \in S_1$  by transfinite induction. Suppose that we have

already constructed  $\{\langle x_\alpha, y_\alpha \rangle\}_{\alpha < \theta}$ . It is folklore that if  $H$  is a  $G_\delta$  set, then the set  $\{y: \overline{H^{(y)} \cap P} \in \text{co-MGR}(P)\}$  is a  $G_\delta$  set. Since  $f_D \subseteq H_\theta$  and  $\forall d \in D \overline{f_D^{-1}(\{d\})} = P$ , the set  $H_\theta^* = \{y \in \mathcal{C}: H_\theta^{(y)} \cap P \in \text{co-MGR}(P)\}$  is a  $G_\delta$  and comeager subset of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Moreover  $D \subseteq H_\theta^*$  hence  $H_\theta^* \cap S_1 \setminus \{y_\alpha: \alpha < \theta\} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $y_\theta$  be an arbitrary element of  $H_\theta^* \cap S_1 \setminus \{y_\alpha: \alpha < \theta\}$ . Next, choose an arbitrary  $x_\theta$  from the set  $R_1 \setminus \{x_\alpha: \alpha < \theta\} \cap H_\theta^{(y_\theta)}$ . Extend  $\{\langle x_\theta, y_\theta \rangle: \theta \in \omega_1\}$  to a one-to-one function  $f^*: (\mathbb{R} \setminus A) \rightarrow S$  and then define:  $f^{(P,A)} = f^* \cup f_D$ .

Suppose that  $H$  is a  $G_\delta$  set such that  $f_D \subseteq H$ . Then there exists  $\theta \in \omega_1$  such that  $H = H_\theta$ . On the other hand,  $\langle x_\theta, y_\theta \rangle \in H_\theta$ . Thus  $H_\theta \cap (f^{(P,A)} \setminus f_D) \neq \emptyset$ . This witnesses  $f^{(P,A)} \notin \lambda$ . Furthermore, suppose that  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|\gamma| \geq 1$ . Define

$$f_\gamma^{(P,A)}(x) = \begin{cases} f^{(P,A)}(x) & \text{if } x \in A \\ f^{(P,A)}(x) + \gamma & \text{if } x \notin A \end{cases}$$

If  $\gamma \geq 1$ , then  $f_\gamma^{(P,A)} = (f^{(P,A)} \upharpoonright A) \cap [\mathbb{R} \times (-\infty; 1]] \cup (f^{(P,A)} \upharpoonright (\mathbb{R} \setminus A) + \gamma) \cap [\mathbb{R} \times \langle 1; \infty)$ . Since  $f^{(P,A)} \upharpoonright A$  is countable,  $f^{(P,A)} \upharpoonright A$  is a  $\lambda$  set. Moreover,  $f^{(P,A)} \upharpoonright (\mathbb{R} \setminus A): (\mathbb{R} \setminus A) \rightarrow S$  is one-to-one, hence  $f^{(P,A)} \upharpoonright (\mathbb{R} \setminus A) + \gamma \in \lambda$ . As  $\mathbb{R} \times (-\infty; 1)$  and  $\mathbb{R} \times \langle 1; \infty)$  are  $G_\delta$  sets we obtain that  $f_\gamma^{(P,A)} \in \lambda$ . In a similar fashion we can prove that  $f_\gamma^{(P,A)}$  is a  $\lambda$  set for  $\gamma \leq -1$ .  $\square$

## 4 Additive Families

Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\mathbb{R}$  be a family of real functions. The following notion was first defined and examined by T. Natkaniec in [6].

**Definiton 4.1** (T. Natkaniec).

$$\mathcal{M}_a(\mathcal{F}) = \{f \in \mathbb{R}^\mathbb{R}: \forall h \in \mathcal{F} f + h \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_m(\mathcal{F}) = \{f \in \mathbb{R}^\mathbb{R}: \forall h \in \mathcal{F} f \cdot h \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

The goal of this section is to provide a detailed investigation of the families  $\mathcal{M}_a(\lambda), \mathcal{M}_a(\lambda')$ . We start with a straightforward observation:

**Theorem 4.2.** *Every continuous function belongs to  $\mathcal{M}_a(\lambda)$  and to  $\mathcal{M}_a(\lambda')$ .*

PROOF. Suppose that  $f$  is a continuous real function. Define a function  $\Phi_f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  as follows:

$$\Phi_f(x, y) = \langle x, y + f(x) \rangle.$$

The following lists some easy properties of the function defined above:

1.  $\Phi_f$  is a bijection.
2. If  $f$  is a continuous function, then  $\Phi_f$  is an automorphism of  $R^2$ .
3. For each  $g \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $\Phi_f[g] = f + g$ .

From this the theorem follows. □

**Theorem 4.3.** *Suppose that a function  $f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$  is such that there exist a sequence of functions  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  from  $\mathcal{M}_a(\lambda')$  and a partition  $\{X_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $f = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} f_n^* \upharpoonright X_n$ . Then  $f$  belongs to  $\mathcal{M}_a(\lambda')$ .*

PROOF. Suppose that  $l \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$  has the  $\lambda'$  property. Then for each  $n \in \omega$  we have  $f_n^* + l \in \lambda'$ , therefore  $f + l = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} (f_n^* + l) \upharpoonright X_n \in \lambda'$ , since  $\lambda'$  is a  $\sigma$ -ideal. □

The next example shows that our previous theorem is no longer valid for the functions with a  $\lambda$  graph.

**Example 4.4.** *Assume CH. The function  $2D: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by*

$$2D(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} \\ 2 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

*does not belong to  $\mathcal{M}_a(\lambda)$ .*

PROOF. We will use the function  $f_{\gamma}^{(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q})}$  from Example 3.2. We have

$$\begin{aligned} f_2^{(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q})}(x) + 2D(x) &= f^{(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q})}(x) + 2 \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{Q}, \text{ and} \\ f_2^{(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q})}(x) + 2D(x) &= f^{(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q})}(x) + 2 \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $(f_2^{(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q})} + 2D) = f^{(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q})} + 2 \notin \lambda$ . Since  $f_2^{(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q})} \in \lambda$  we obtain that  $2D \notin \mathcal{M}_a(\lambda)$ . □

This example can be generalized to the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.5.** *Assume CH. Suppose that  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is a countable set.*

1. *If  $|\overline{A}| \leq \omega$ , then  $\chi_A \in \mathcal{M}_a(\lambda)$ .*
2. *If  $\overline{A}$  is a perfect set, then  $\chi_A \notin \mathcal{M}_a(\lambda)$ .*

PROOF. 1. Let  $l \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$  be a function such that  $l \in \lambda$  and suppose that  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is a countable set. Without loss of generality we may assume that  $\bar{A} \subseteq D$ . Since  $l$  is a  $\lambda$  set, there exists a  $G_\delta$  set  $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $G \cap l = l \upharpoonright D$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi_A + l) \upharpoonright D &= (\chi_A + l) \upharpoonright \bar{A} \cup (\chi_A + l) \upharpoonright (D \setminus \bar{A}) \\ &= (\chi_A + l) \upharpoonright \bar{A} \cup l \upharpoonright D \setminus \bar{A} \\ &= [(\bar{A} \times \mathbb{R}) \cup [G \cap (\bar{A}^c \times \mathbb{R})]] \cap (\chi_A + l). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(\bar{A} \times \mathbb{R})$  and  $(\bar{A}^c \times \mathbb{R})$  are  $G_\delta$  sets we conclude that  $\chi_A + l$  is a  $\lambda$ -set.

2. Let us assume that  $|A| \leq \omega$  and  $\bar{A}$  is a perfect set. We will use the function  $f_\gamma^{(\bar{A}, A)}$  from Example 3.2. We have

$$\begin{aligned} f_2^{(\bar{A}, A)}(x) + 2\chi_A(x) &= f^{(\bar{A}, A)}(x) + 2 \text{ for } x \in A, \text{ and} \\ f_2^{(\bar{A}, A)}(x) + 2\chi_A(x) &= f^{(\bar{A}, A)}(x) + 2 \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus A. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $(f_2^{(\bar{A}, A)} + 2\chi_A) = f^{(\bar{A}, A)} + 2 \notin \lambda$ . Since  $f_2^{(\bar{A}, A)} \in \lambda$  we obtain that  $2\chi_A \notin \mathcal{M}_a(\lambda)$ , hence  $\chi_A \notin \mathcal{M}_a(\lambda)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.6.** *Assume CH. Suppose that  $\mathcal{I}$  is a  $\sigma$ -ideal generated by  $G_\delta$  sets containing all singletons. Let  $f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$  be a function from  $\mathcal{M}_a(\lambda')$ . Then  $f$  has the following property:*

$$\forall P \in \text{Perf} \exists P \supseteq P_1 \in \text{Perf} \exists E \in \text{co} - \mathcal{I} f(P_1) + E \in \mathcal{MGR}.$$

PROOF. By way of contradiction, assume that there exists a perfect set  $P$  such that for every perfect  $P_1 \subseteq P$  and for every  $E \in \text{co} - \mathcal{I}$  we have  $f(P_1) + E \notin \mathcal{MGR}$ . Let  $Q_P$  be any countable, dense subset of  $P$ . Let  $\langle G_\theta : \theta \in \omega_1^{Od} \rangle$  be an enumeration of all  $G_\delta$  sets containing  $Q_P \times \mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $\langle C_\theta : \theta \in \omega_1^{Ev} \rangle$  be an enumeration of all countable subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . We will use the following result:

**Fact 4.7** ([2], Exercise 19.3). *If  $R \subseteq 2^\omega \times \mathbb{R}$  is a comeager subset, then there exist a perfect set  $Q$  and a dense  $G_\delta$  set  $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that  $Q \times G \subseteq R$ .*

We will construct by induction on  $\theta \in \omega_1$  a sequences  $\{\langle x_\theta, y_\theta \rangle : \theta \in \omega_1\}$  and  $\{H_\theta : \theta \in \omega_1\}$  such that  $H_\theta$  are  $G_\delta$  sets from  $\mathcal{I}$ . Assume that  $\langle x_\mu, y_\mu \rangle$  and  $H_\mu$  have been chosen for  $\mu < \theta$ . Let us consider two cases.

**Case 1.**  $\theta \in \omega_1^{Ev}$

Choose  $x_\theta \in P \setminus [\{x_\mu : \mu < \theta\} \cup Q_P]$ . There are two possible cases. If  $C_\theta \setminus \bigcup_{\mu < \theta} H_\mu \neq \emptyset$ , then we pick any  $y_\theta \in C_\theta \setminus \bigcup_{\mu < \theta} H_\mu$ . In the other case choose an arbitrary  $y_\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \bigcup_{\mu < \theta} H_\mu$ .



**Case 2.**  $\theta \in \omega_1^{Od}$

Since  $G_\theta \cap (P \times \mathbb{R})$  is a comeager set in  $P \times \mathbb{R}$ , by Fact 4.7 there exists a perfect set  $Q_\theta \subseteq P$  and a comeager set  $K_\theta$  such that  $Q_\theta \times K_\theta \subseteq G_\theta$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $Q_\theta \cap [Q_P \cup \{x_\mu : \mu < \theta\}] = \emptyset$ . By the assumption,  $f(Q_\theta) + [\bigcup_{\mu < \theta} H_\mu]^c$  is not meager. Hence  $(f(Q_\theta) + [\bigcup_{\mu < \theta} H_\mu]^c) \cap K_\theta \neq \emptyset$ . Choose  $x_\theta \in Q_\theta$  and  $y_\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \bigcup_{\mu < \theta} H_\mu$  such that  $f(x_\theta) + y_\theta \in K_\theta$ .

In both those cases we define  $H_\theta$  in the following way. Since  $\bigcup_{\mu < \theta} H_\mu \cup \{y_\theta\} \in \mathcal{I}$ , so we can choose a  $G_\delta$  set  $H_\theta \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $\bigcup_{\mu < \theta} H_\mu \cup \{y_\theta\} \subseteq H_\theta$ . The construction is complete.

Let  $Y$  be defined by  $Y = \{y_\theta : \theta \in \omega_1\}$ . It is easy to see that such defined set  $Y$  is a  $\lambda'$ -set. Thus the set  $l^*$  defined by  $l^* = \{\langle x_\theta, y_\theta \rangle : \theta \in \omega_1\}$  is a  $\lambda'$ -set, too.

Next, let  $l$  be any  $\lambda'$  extension of the function  $l^*$  onto  $\mathbb{R}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} f + l &= \{\langle x, f(x) + l(x) \rangle : x \in \mathbb{R}\} \supseteq \\ &\supseteq \{\langle x_\theta, f(x_\theta) + y_\theta \rangle : \theta \in \omega_1^{Od}\}. \end{aligned}$$

For each  $\theta \in \omega_1^{Od}$  we have:  $f(x_\theta) + y_\theta \in K_\theta$ , thus  $\langle x_\theta, f(x_\theta) + y_\theta \rangle \in Q_\theta \times K_\theta \subseteq G_\theta$ . Therefore  $[(f + l) \cap G_\theta] \setminus [Q_P \times Q] \neq \emptyset$ . This proves that  $f + l \notin \lambda'$ , which is a contradiction. This ends the proof of Theorem 4.6. □

**Problem 4.8.** Characterize the classes

$$\mathcal{M}_a(\lambda) \text{ and } \mathcal{M}_a(\lambda').$$

### 5 Minima and Maxima

It is obvious that for every two functions  $f_1, f_2$  with a  $\lambda'$  graph we have  $\min\{f_1, f_2\} \in \lambda'$ . The next example shows that the analogous result does not hold for functions with a  $\lambda$  graph.

**Theorem 5.1.** Assume CH. There exist two functions  $g_1, g_2 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $g_1, g_2 \in \lambda$ , but  $\min\{g_1, g_2\} \notin \lambda$ .

PROOF. We will use the function  $f_\gamma^{(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q})}$  from Example 3.2. Define  $g_1 = f_{-2}^{(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q})} + 2$  and  $g_2 = f_2^{(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q})}$ . Note that

$$g_1(x) = \begin{cases} f^{(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q})}(x) + 2 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ f^{(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q})}(x) & \text{if } x \notin \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

and

$$g_2(x) = \begin{cases} f^{(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q})}(x) & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ f^{(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q})}(x) + 2 & \text{if } x \notin \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that  $\min\{g_1, g_2\}(x) = f^{(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q})}(x)$ . Hence,  $\min\{g_1, g_2\} \notin \lambda$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] J. B. Brown and G. V. Cox, *Classical Theory of Totally Imperfect Spaces*, Real Anal. Exchange, **7(1)** (1981–1982), 185–232.
- [2] A. S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [3] K. R. Kellum, *Sums and Limits of Almost Continuous Functions*, Coll. Math., **31** (1974), 125–128.
- [4] Arnold W. Miller, *Special Subsets of the Real Line*, Handbook of Set Theoretic Topology (Amsterdam) (K. Kunen and J. E. Vaughan, eds.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984, 201–235.
- [5] J. Mycielski, *Algebraic Independence and Measure*, Fund. Math., **61** (1967), 165–169.
- [6] T. Natkaniec, *Almost Continuity*, (habilitation thesis), Bydgoszcz WSP Press, Bydgoszcz, 1992.
- [7] T. Natkaniec, *Some Cardinal Invariants in Real Analysis*, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ., **14** (1998), 39–45.
- [8] W. Sierpiński, *Sur la non-invariance topologique de la propriete  $\lambda'$* , Fund. Math., **33** (1945), 264.