

Jean-Christophe Feauveau, Institut Préparatoire aux Etudes Scientifiques et Techniques, Route de Sidi Bou Saïd, 2070 La Marsa, Tunisia.
email: jean-christophe.feauveau@supelec-rennes.fr

A CHARACTERIZATION OF COMPACT PARTS OF L^p SPACES APPLICATION TO SOBOLEV EMBEDDINGS

Abstract

To characterize compact parts of spaces $L^p(\Omega)$, we introduce a concept of equi-integrability based on the approximation of elements of $L^p(\Omega)$ by simple functions. The resulting theorem will be used to develop a new methodology to prove and extend results about the compactness of Sobolev embeddings.

Introduction

This paper is divided into two parts. In sections 1 to 4, we develop a characterization of compact subsets of $L^p(\Omega)$. Results and proofs are simple but appear to be unknown until now. More precisely, for a metric locally compact space Ω , we define a notion of equi-integrability which allows us to state an Ascoli theorem for $L^p(\Omega)$. This approach is a continuation of some work in generalized Riemann theory of integration framework [4]. In the second part, we develop a methodology to retrieve and improve standard results about Sobolev embeddings and compact embeddings $W^{1p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^q(\Omega)$. In the classical approach (Cf [1, 2, 3, 7] for instance), the Sobolev-Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality is proved on \mathbb{R}^N and is extended to some extension domains (i.e. with a bounded extension operator $W^{1p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{1p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$). This provides the continuity of Sobolev embeddings. Obtaining the Rellich-Kondrachov theorem requires the use of a theorem characterizing the compact parts of $L^p(\Omega)$ using the approximations of functions f by the translated functions

Key Words: Generalized Riemann integrals, L^p spaces, Sobolev embeddings
Mathematical Reviews subject classification: 26A39, 46E30, 46E35
Received by the editors May 12, 2001

$\tau_h(f)(x) = f(x+h)$. To prove those results, we must extend functions from $W^{1p}(\Omega)$ to $W^{1p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$: this is an “external” approach limited to extension domains. Our approach is “internal”. Results on Sobolev spaces will arise from our characterization of compact part of $L^p(\Omega)$ and from the Meyers-Serrin theorem, which is available for every open set Ω . We always stay in Ω and, for this reason, we will be able to extend Sobolev and Rellich-Kondrachov results to more general domains than extension domains. Precisely, the compacity of the embedding $W^{1p} \rightarrow L^p(\Omega)$ is proved very easily in section 6 for bounded convex open subsets of \mathbb{R}^N . We need some additional estimation to prove the continuity of embedding $W^{1p} \rightarrow L^{p^*}(\Omega)$ with compacity for $W^{1p} \rightarrow L^{p^*}(\Omega)$, $1 \leq q < p^*$ (see section 8). Finally, we prove in section 10 that compact embedding $W^{1p} \rightarrow L^p(\Omega)$ can be achieved for a wide class of domains which overshoot the Lipschitz condition, the cone condition (see [1]) or the domain extension condition.

1 Definitions and Notations

In section 2 and 3 (Ω, d) denotes a relatively compact part of a metric locally compact space $\tilde{\Omega}$, \mathfrak{M} is a σ -algebra of $\tilde{\Omega}$ including all borelian sets, and μ is a measure over \mathfrak{M} satisfying the following conditions (Cf. [6]):

- (i) $\mu(K) < +\infty$ for every compact subset $K \subset \Omega$.
- (ii) If $E \in \mathfrak{M}$, then $\mu(E) = \inf\{\mu(V), E \subset V \text{ and } V \text{ open}\}$.
- (iii) If E is open with a finite measure, then $\mu(E) = \sup\{\mu(K), K \subset E \text{ and } K \text{ compact}\}$.
- (iv) If $E \in \mathfrak{M}$, $A \subset E$ and $\mu(E) = 0$, then $A \in \mathfrak{M}$.

Briefly, μ is a Radon measure. We also assume that $\mu(\Omega) > 0$.

In section 5, (Ω, d) denotes a metric locally compact space and μ is a Radon measure on Ω .

In particular, in sections 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 Ω will be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N and μ will be the usual Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^N . We denote $|\cdot|$ as the euclidian norm of \mathbb{R}^N .

We write $diam(E)$ for the diameter of $E \subset \Omega$ and E^c for the complement of E in Ω .

For a normed vector space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, we denote by $B_X(y, \alpha)$ the closed ball of center y and radius α .

For $p \in [1, +\infty[$ and every measurable function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, we set $\|f\|_p = (\int_{\Omega} |f|^p)^{1/p}$. We denote $\mathcal{L}^p(\Omega)$ as the set of functions satisfying $\|f\|_p < \infty$.

As usual, $L^p(\Omega)$ is the quotient of $\mathcal{L}^p(\Omega)$ modulo the negligibility relation and $\|\cdot\|_p$ is the usual norm of $L^p(\Omega)$.

A subdivision of Ω is a partition $S = (E_i)_{1 \leq i \leq q}$ of Ω with measurable parts satisfying $\mu(E_i) > 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq q$ and we set $\tau(S) = \text{Max}_{1 \leq i \leq q} \text{diam}(E_i)$.

A simple function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is a (finite) linear combination of characteristic functions of measurable sets. We say that a subdivision $S = (E_i)_{1 \leq i \leq q}$ of Ω and a simple function f are adapted to each other if f is constant over E_i , for all $1 \leq i \leq q$. We denote $E(\Omega)$ as the classes of simple functions modulo the negligibility relation. We say that a subdivision $S = (E_i)_{1 \leq i \leq q}$ of Ω and $F \in E(\Omega)$ are adapted to each other if there is a simple function $f \in F$ adapted to S .

Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be an integrable function and a subdivision $S = (E_i)_{1 \leq i \leq q}$ of Ω . We denote $T(f, S)$ the simple function such that

$$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, q\}, \forall t \in E_i, \quad T(f, S)(t) = \frac{1}{\mu(E_i)} \int_{E_i} f.$$

For every $F \in L^p(\Omega)$, $f \in F$ and S , a subdivision of Ω , we still denote by $T(F, S)$ the class of $T(f, S)$.

2 A Theorem on Approximation by Simple Functions

In this section, $1 \leq p < +\infty$ and Ω is bounded. Let us recall a usual approximation theorem (Cf. [6] for instance).

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(\Omega)$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $g \in C_c(\Omega)$ such that $\|f - g\|_p \leq \varepsilon$.*

Lemma 2.1. *Let $(f, g) \in \mathcal{L}^p(\Omega)^2$ and S be a subdivision of Ω , we have*

$$\|T(f, S) - T(g, S)\|_p \leq \|f - g\|_p.$$

PROOF. Indeed, if $S = (E_i)_{1 \leq i \leq q}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |T(g, S) - T(f, S)|^p &\leq \sum_{i=1}^q \mu(E_i) \left[\frac{1}{\mu(E_i)} \int_{E_i} |g - f| \right]^p \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^q \mu(E_i) \frac{1}{\mu(E_i)} \int_{E_i} |g - f|^p \leq \int_{\Omega} |g - f|^p. \end{aligned}$$

□

We can state an approximation theorem of integrable functions by simple functions.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $(f_k)_{0 \leq k \leq n}$ be a finite family of $\mathcal{L}^p(\Omega)$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\eta > 0$ such that for every subdivision S of Ω satisfying $\tau(S) < \eta$ we have*

$$\forall k \in \{0, \dots, n\}, \quad \|f_k - T(f_k, S)\|_p \leq \varepsilon.$$

PROOF. We first extend the functions to $\bar{\Omega}$ (by 0 for instance). For $\varepsilon > 0$ and $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, there exists $g_k \in C(\Omega)$ such that $\|f_k - g_k\|_p \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$.

There exists $\eta > 0$ such that

$$\forall k \in \{0, \dots, n\}, \quad \forall (u, v) \in \Omega^2, \quad d(u, v) \leq \eta \Rightarrow |g_k(u) - g_k(v)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3\mu(\Omega)^{1/p}}.$$

Let $S = (E_i)_{1 \leq i \leq q}$ be a subdivision of Ω such as $\tau(S) \leq \eta$ (note that Ω is bounded). For every $0 \leq k \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |g_k - T(g_k, S)|^p &= \sum_{i=1}^q \int_{E_i} \left| g_k(u) - \frac{1}{\mu(E_i)} \int_{E_i} g_k(v) dv \right|^p du \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^q \int_{E_i} \left[\frac{1}{\mu(E_i)} \int_{E_i} |g_k(u) - g_k(v)| dv \right]^p du \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon^p}{3^p}. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.1, we also have $\|T(g_k, S) - T(f_k, S)\|_p \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ and we have,

$$\|f_k - T(f_k, S)\|_p \leq \|f_k - g_k\|_p + \|g_k - T(g_k, S)\|_p + \|T(g_k, S) - T(f_k, S)\|_p \leq \varepsilon.$$

□

3 A Characterization of Compact Sets in $L^p(\Omega)$

In this section, $1 \leq p < +\infty$ and Ω is bounded.

Definition 3.1. Let Γ be a subset of $L^p(\Omega)$. We say that Γ is *uniformly equi- p -integrable* if one of those equivalent properties is satisfied.

- (a) For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\eta > 0$ such that for every subdivision S of Ω satisfying $\tau(S) \leq \eta$ we have

$$\forall F \in \Gamma, \quad \|F - T(F, S)\|_p \leq \varepsilon.$$

- (b) For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\eta > 0$ such that for every subdivision S of Ω satisfying $\tau(S) \leq \eta$, and for every $F \in \Gamma$, we can find $F_{s\varepsilon} \in E(\Omega)$ adapted to S such that $\|F - F_{s\varepsilon}\|_p \leq \varepsilon$.

Definition 3.2. Let Γ be a subset of $L^p(\Omega)$. The set Γ is equi- p -integrable if and only if one of those equivalent properties is satisfied.

- (a) For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a subdivision S of Ω such that

$$\forall F \in \Gamma, \quad \|F - T(F, S)\|_p \leq \varepsilon.$$

- (b) For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a subdivision S of Ω such that for all $F \in \Gamma$ we can find $F_{s\varepsilon} \in E(\Omega)$ adapted to S and such that $\|F - F_{s\varepsilon}\|_p \leq \varepsilon$.

Theorem 3.1. *In the above definitions the pairs of properties are equivalent*

PROOF. The equivalence of those two pairs of properties is easy to show. For the equi- p -integrability, one implication is obvious (we choose $F_{s\varepsilon} = T(F, S)$).

Conversely, we suppose that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a subdivision S of Ω such that for all $F \in \Gamma$ we can find $F_{s\varepsilon} \in E(\Omega)$ adapted to S and such that $\|F - F_{s\varepsilon}\|_p < \varepsilon$. From lemma 2.1,

$$\|F - T(F, S)\|_p \leq \|F - F_{s\varepsilon}\|_p + \|T(F_{s\varepsilon}, S) - T(F, S)\|_p \leq 2\|F - F_{s\varepsilon}\|_p \leq 2\varepsilon$$

because $F_{s\varepsilon} = T(F_{s\varepsilon}, S)$, and the result follows. The proof for the uniform equi- p -integrability is similar. □

Remark 3.1. *Equi- p -integrability and uniform equi- p -integrability are different notions.*

For instance, if we define $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ 1 & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < x \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

the set of functions $\Gamma = \{\lambda f, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset L^p(\Omega)$ is obviously equi- p -integrable - consider the subdivision $(0, \frac{1}{2}, 1)$, but Γ is not uniformly equi- p -integrable by considering the subdivisions $(\frac{k}{2p+1})_{0 \leq k \leq 2p+1}$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Nevertheless, as a consequence of theorem 3.1, those concepts are equivalent for a bounded subset of $\mathcal{L}^p(\Omega)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let (F_n) be a sequence of $E(\Omega)$ adapted to a same subdivision of Ω . If $(\|F_n\|_p)$ is bounded, we can extract a subsequence $(F_{\varphi(n)})$ converging in $(E(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_p)$.*

PROOF. Let $S = (E_i)_{1 \leq i \leq q}$ be a subdivision adapted to (F_n) . The subspace of simple functions adapted to S is of finite dimension and the result follows. \square

We are now able to state a theorem characterizing the compacts subsets of $L^p(\Omega)$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let Γ be a subset of $L^p(\Omega)$. The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) Γ is relatively compact ;
- (ii) Γ is bounded and uniformly equi- p -integrable ;
- (iii) Γ is bounded and equi- p -integrable.

PROOF. We consider three implications.

- (i) \Rightarrow (ii):

A relatively compact subset Γ of $L^p(\Omega)$ is bounded. We have to show that Γ is uniformly equi- p -integrable. For $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists G_0, \dots, G_n in $L^p(\Omega)$ such that $\Gamma \subset \bigcup_{k=0}^n B\left(G_k, \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right)$. From Theorem 2.2, there exists $\eta > 0$ such that

$$\forall k \in \{0, \dots, n\}, \quad \|G_k - T(G_k, S)\|_p \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

for every subdivision $S = (E_i)_{1 \leq i \leq q}$ of Ω satisfying $\tau(S) \leq \eta$. For $F \in \Gamma$, there exists $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ such that $\|F - G_k\|_p \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. Now, from Lemma 2.1, we have $\|T(G_k, S) - T(F, S)\|_p \leq \|G_k - F\|_p$ and we deduce

$$\|F - T(F, S)\|_p \leq \|F - G_k\|_p + \|G_k - T(G_k, S)\|_p + \|T(G_k, S) - T(F, S)\|_p \leq \varepsilon.$$

- (ii) \Rightarrow (iii):

For $\varepsilon > 0$, we choose $\eta > 0$ from the equi- p -integrability hypothesis. From the compactness of $\overline{\Omega}$, there exists a subdivision S of Ω such that $\tau(S) \leq \eta$, and the result is proved.

- (iii) \Rightarrow (i):

Let Γ be an equi- p -integrable bounded part of $L^p(\Omega)$ and $M = \sup_{F \in \Gamma} \|F\|_p$. Let (F_n) be a sequence of Γ . For every $q \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a subdivision S^q

such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\|F_n - F_n^q\|_p \leq 2^{-q}$. Just as in Ascoli's theorem, the end of the proof is an application of the Cantor diagonal process. From lemma 3.1 there exists a strictly increasing application $\varphi_0 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall (n, m) \in \mathbb{N}^2, \quad \left\| F_{\varphi_0(n)}^0 - F_{\varphi_0(m)}^0 \right\|_p \leq 1.$$

Then, for every integer q , we build a strictly increasing application $\varphi_q : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall (n, m) \in \mathbb{N}^2, \quad \left\| F_{\varphi_q(n)}^q - F_{\varphi_q(m)}^q \right\|_p \leq 2^{-q},$$

where indices $(\varphi_q(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are selected from the previously selected indices $(\varphi_{q-1}(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the strictly increasing application defined by $\varphi(r) = \varphi_r(r)$. For $r < s$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_{\varphi(r)} - F_{\varphi(s)}\|_p &\leq \left\| F_{\varphi(r)} - F_{\varphi(r)}^r \right\|_p + \left\| F_{\varphi(r)}^r - F_{\varphi(s)}^r \right\|_p + \left\| F_{\varphi(s)}^r - F_{\varphi(s)}\right\|_p \\ &\leq 3 \cdot 2^{-r}. \end{aligned}$$

The sequence $(F_{\varphi(r)})_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies the Cauchy property and the compacity of $\bar{\Gamma}$ follows from the completeness of $L^p(\Omega)$. □

We emphasize the simplicity of the above equivalences. Statement and proof are analogous to Ascoli's theorem, with the definition "à la Riemann" for the equi- p -integrability of classes of functions.

In the following, the property of equi- p -integrability will be used to establish the compacity of some parts of $L^p(\Omega)$. In fact, the characterization using $T(f, S)$ gives a precise direction to follow in order to verify the compacity of a given subset of $L^p(\Omega)$.

4 The Case $p = +\infty$

In this part, unless otherwise stated, Ω is a metric locally compact space. We are going to study how to modify the previous results in the special case $p = +\infty$. In this context, we extend the definition of subdivision and simple functions to metric locally compact spaces.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $(f_k)_{0 \leq k \leq n}$ be a finite family of $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\Omega)$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a subdivision S of Ω and simple functions $(g_k)_{0 \leq k \leq n}$ adapted to S such that $\|f_k - g_k\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$. If $\mu(\Omega)$ is finite, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a subdivision S of Ω such that $\|f_k - T(f_k, S)\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$ for all $0 \leq k \leq n$.*

PROOF. Let $f \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, we denote by r the entire part of $2\|f\|_\infty/\varepsilon$. We have $f = \Re(f) + i\Im(f)$, and for every $(k, l) \in \{-r-1, \dots, r\}^2$, we set

$$E_{kl} = \left\{ x \in \Omega / \frac{k\varepsilon}{2} \leq \Re(f)(x) < \frac{(k+1)\varepsilon}{2} \text{ and } \frac{l\varepsilon}{2} \leq \Im(f)(x) < \frac{(l+1)\varepsilon}{2} \right\}.$$

Let Δ be the subset of indexes such that $\mu(E_{kl}) > 0$. We choose $(k_0, l_0) \in \Delta$ and we add to $E_{k_0 l_0}$ the elements of the negligible set $\Omega - \cup_{(k,l) \in \Delta} E_{kl}$. The resulting family $S = (E_{kl})_{(k,l) \in \Delta}$ is a subdivision of Ω and the function $g =$

$\sum_{(k,l) \in \Delta} \left(\frac{k\varepsilon}{2} + i \frac{l\varepsilon}{2} \right) \chi_{E_{kl}}$ satisfies to $\|f - g\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$. Now, for a finite family

$(f_k)_{0 \leq k \leq n}$, we can build such subdivisions $(S_k)_{0 \leq k \leq n}$. The subdivision S obtained by taking the intersection of all elements of those subdivisions answer to the question. If $\mu(\Omega) < +\infty$, for the previous subdivision S , $T(f, S)$ is defined for every $f \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and clearly verifies $\|f_k - T(f_k, S)\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$. \square

Definition 4.1. Let Γ be a subset of $L^\infty(\Omega)$. We say that Γ is *equi- ∞ -integrable* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a subdivision S of Ω such that for all $F \in \Gamma$ we can find a simple function $F_{s\varepsilon}$ adapted to S and such that $\|F - F_{s\varepsilon}\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$.

Theorem 4.2. When $\mu(\Omega)$ is finite, Γ is *equi- ∞ -integrable* if and only if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a subdivision S of Ω such that for all $F \in \Gamma$, $\|F - T(F, S)\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$.

PROOF. We suppose $\mu(\Omega) < +\infty$. If Γ is *equi- ∞ -integrable*, let $S = (E_i)_{0 \leq i \leq n}$ be a subdivision of Ω such that for every $F \in \Gamma$ there exists a simple function $F_{s\varepsilon} = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i(F) \chi_{E_i}$ satisfying $\|F - F_{s\varepsilon}\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon/2$. For $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ and for almost all $x \in E_i$, we have $|F(x) - \alpha_i(F)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Thus $|T(F, S)(x) - \alpha_i(F)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and $\|F - T(F, S)\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$. The converse implication is straightforward. \square

Theorem 4.3. Let Γ be a subset of $L^\infty(\Omega)$. The following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) Γ is relatively compact ;
- (ii) Γ is bounded and *equi- ∞ -integrable*.

PROOF. (i) \Rightarrow (ii):

We have to show that Γ is uniformly *equi- ∞ -integrable*. For $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist G_1, \dots, G_n in $L^p(\Omega)$ such that $\Gamma \subset \bigcup_{k=0}^n B\left(G_k, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)$. From the Theorem

4.1, there exists a subdivision S of Ω and simple functions H_0, \dots, H_n adapted to S such that

$$\forall k \in \{0, \dots, n\}, \quad \|G_k - H_k\|_\infty \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

For $F \in \Gamma$, there exists $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ such that $\|F - G_k\|_\infty \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and we find

$$\|F - H_k\|_\infty \leq \|F - G_k\|_p + \|G_k - H_k\|_p \leq \varepsilon.$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (i):

Let Γ be an equi- ∞ -integrable bounded part of $L^\infty(\Omega)$ and define $M = \sup_{F \in \Gamma} \|F\|_\infty$. Let (F_n) be a sequence of Γ . For every $q \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a subdivision S^q such that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|F_n - F_n^q\|_\infty \leq 2^{-q}$. Now, just like for lemma 3.1, for every bounded sequence in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ of simple functions adapted to a fixed subdivision of Ω , we can extract a subsequence converging in $L^\infty(\Omega)$. The end of the proof is similar to the one of Theorem 3.1. \square

To conclude this section, let us recall the usual characterization of the compact parts of $L^p(\Omega)$ ([1] p. 31 or [2] p. 72). Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N and $1 \leq p < +\infty$. For every $f \in L^p(\Omega)$, we define an extension \tilde{f} of f

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in \Omega \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R}^N - \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 4.4. (*Fréchet-Kolmogorov Theorem*) *A bounded part Γ of $L^p(\Omega)$ is relatively compact if and only if we can find, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, a real $\delta > 0$ and a compact part ω of Ω such that $\forall f \in \Gamma$,*

$$\forall h \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ with } |h| < \delta, \quad \int_\omega |\tilde{f}(u+h) - \tilde{f}(u)|^p du \leq \varepsilon^p,$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega-\omega} |f(u)|^p du \leq \varepsilon^p.$$

Remark 4.1. *This theorem provides a direct characterization of bounded equi- p -integrable parts of $L^p(\Omega)$, when Ω is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N .*

Remark 4.2. *The Fréchet-Kolmogorov theorem uses the additive structure of \mathbb{R}^N which is not required in our approach.*

5 The Embedding $W^{1p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^p(\Omega)$ is Compact for every Convex Bounded Subset of \mathbb{R}^N

Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N and μ the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^N . For every $p \in [1, +\infty]$, let $W^{1p}(\Omega)$ be the usual Sobolev Spaces normed by

$$\forall f \in W^{1p}(\Omega), \quad \|f\|_{W^{1p}} = \|f\|_p + \|\nabla f\|_p$$

with

$$\nabla f = (\partial_1 f, \dots, \partial_N f) \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla f| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N |\partial_i f|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

We recall a well-known density theorem (Cf. [1] or [7]).

Theorem 5.1. (*Meyers-Serrin Theorem*). *For every open subset Ω of \mathbb{R}^N and every $1 \leq p < +\infty$, $C^\infty(\Omega) \cap W^{1p}(\Omega)$ is a dense subset of $W^{1p}(\Omega)$.*

Lemma 5.1. (*Poincaré-Wirtinger Theorem*). *Let E be a bounded convex part of \mathbb{R}^N and $1 \leq p < +\infty$. Then, there exists $\lambda_N \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$, such that for all $f \in W^{1p}(E)$,*

$$\int_{v \in E} \left| f(v) - \frac{1}{\mu(E)} \int_{u \in E} f(u) du \right|^p dv \leq \lambda_N \text{diam}(E)^p \int_{u \in E} |\nabla f(u)|^p du$$

with $\lambda_1 = 2 \ln(2)$ and $\lambda_N = \frac{2^N - 2}{N - 1}$ for $N \geq 2$.

PROOF. Using Meyers-Serrin’s theorem, we have only to prove the result for $f \in C^\infty(\Omega) \cap W^{1p}(\Omega)$. Let $D = \mu(E)^{p-1} \text{diam}(E)^p$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{v \in E} \left| \int_{u \in E} (f(v) - f(u)) du \right|^p dv \leq \mu(E)^{p-1} \int_{v \in E} \int_{u \in E} |f(u) - f(v)|^p du dv \\ & \leq \mu(E)^{p-1} \int_{v \in E} \int_{u \in E} \int_{t \in [0,1]} |\nabla f(u + t(v - u))|^p |v - u|^p dt du dv \\ & \leq D \int_{v \in E} \int_{u \in E} \int_{t \in [1/2,1]} (|\nabla f(u + t(v - u))|^p + |\nabla f(v + t(u - v))|^p) dt du dv \\ & \leq 2D \int_{u \in E} \int_{t \in [1/2,1]} t^{-N} \int_{h \in u + t(-u + E)} |\nabla f(h)|^p dt dh du \\ & \leq 2D \int_{u \in E} \int_{t \in [1/2,1]} t^{-N} \int_{h \in E} |\nabla f(h)|^p dt dh du \\ & \leq \lambda_N D \int_{h \in E} |\nabla f(h)|^p dh. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Theorem 5.2. (*Rellich-Kondrachov Theorem*) *Let Ω be a bounded convex open subset of \mathbb{R}^N . For every $p \in [1, +\infty]$, the canonical embedding of $W^{1p}(\Omega)$ into $L^p(\Omega)$ is compact.*

PROOF. • FIRST CASE: $1 \leq p < +\infty$.

We will show that the unit ball $B_{W^{1p}}(0, 1)$ of $W^{1p}(\Omega)$ is a relatively compact subset of $L^p(\Omega)$. This is a bounded subset and we have to prove that $B_{W^{1p}}(0, 1)$ is an equi- p -Integrable subset of $L^p(\Omega)$.

Let $S = (E_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ a subdivision of Ω composed of convex parts (the intersection of Ω with a regular lattice, for instance). We deduce from lemma 5.1

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |f - T(f, S)|^p &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{E_i} \left| f(v) - \frac{1}{\mu(E_i)} \int_{E_i} f(u) du \right|^p dv \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\mu(E_i)^p} \int_{E_i} \left[\int_{E_i} |f(v) - f(u)| du \right]^p dv \\ &\leq \lambda_N \sum_{i=1}^n \text{diam}(E_i)^p \int_{u \in E_i} |\nabla f(u)|^p du \\ &\leq \lambda_N \tau(S)^p \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{u \in E_i} |\nabla f(u)|^p du \\ &\leq \lambda_N \tau(S)^p \int_{u \in \Omega} |\nabla f(u)|^p du \\ &\leq \lambda_N \tau(S)^p. \end{aligned}$$

At last, for every $\eta > 0$, there exists such a subdivision S of Ω satisfying $\tau(S) < \eta$. We apply the previous inequality to conclude with theorem 3.2.

• SECOND CASE: $p = +\infty$.

Let $f \in W^{1\infty}(\Omega)$. For every $p \in [1, +\infty[$, $f \in W^{1p}(\Omega)$ and

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \|f\|_p = \|f\|_{\infty} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \|f\|_{W^{1p}} = \|f\|_{W^{1\infty}}.$$

From the first case, we know that for every subdivision S of Ω composed of convex parts, we have

$$\|f - T(f, S)\|_p \leq \lambda_N^{1/p} \tau(S) \|f\|_{W^{1p}}$$

and we deduce

$$\forall f \in W^{1\infty}(\Omega), \quad \|f - T(f, S)\|_{\infty} \leq \tau(S) \|f\|_{W^{1\infty}}.$$

The unit ball $B_{W^{1\infty}}(0, 1)$ of $W^{1\infty}(\Omega)$ is a bounded and equi- ∞ -Integrable by theorem 4.2: it is a compact subset of $L^\infty(\Omega)$. \square

Extension of theorem 5.2. The aim of this section and those following is to show how to deal with equi-Integrability and theorems 3.1, and 4.2. The Rellich-Kondrachov theorem presented above can be extended classically in two directions: compact embeddings between $W^{mp}(\Omega)$ spaces and compact embedding $W^{1p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^q(\Omega)$ for $q \in [1, p^*[$, where p^* is the Sobolev conjugate exponent of p . The first extension can be done, as is usual, by the iteration of $W^{1p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^q(\Omega)$ compact embeddings. The second one is more difficult.

Usually, we must prove the Sobolev-Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality with $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$, and this result is extended to every extension domain. To conclude, we can prove that convex bounded open subsets of \mathbb{R}^N are extension domains, but this “external” proof is not very satisfying in our “internal” approach. In fact, we shall prove a Sobolev-Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality for convex bounded open subsets Ω of \mathbb{R}^N . First, we give two results allowing one to extend compact embedding results.

6 Compact Embedding Theorems for Other Domains

From a “puzzle” point of view, the following theorem will be useful later.

Theorem 6.1. *Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N such that there exists a family $(\Omega_i)_{0 \leq i \leq m}$ of subsets of Ω satisfying $\Omega = \bigcup_{i=0}^m \Omega_i$ and, for all $0 \leq i \leq m$, $\mu(\partial\Omega_i) = 0$.*

We assume that the embeddings $W^{1p}(\overset{\circ}{\Omega}_i) \rightarrow L^q(\overset{\circ}{\Omega}_i)$ are compact for some $(p, q) \in [1, +\infty]^2$. Then the embedding $W^{1p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^q(\Omega)$ is compact.

PROOF. Let (f_n) be a sequence of $B_{W^{1p}(\Omega)}(0, 1)$. From the hypothesis, we can extract a sequence (g_n) such that, for every $0 \leq i \leq m$, the restriction of g_n to $\overset{\circ}{\Omega}_i$ converges to a limit G_i in $L^q(\overset{\circ}{\Omega}_i)$. If $\overset{\circ}{\Omega}_i \cap \overset{\circ}{\Omega}_j \neq \emptyset$, the restriction of G_i and G_j define the same class of functions.

Thus, we can define a unique class G over $\bigcup_{i=0}^m \overset{\circ}{\Omega}_i$, which can be extended to Ω because $\mu\left(\Omega - \bigcup_{i=1}^m \overset{\circ}{\Omega}_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=0}^m \mu(\partial\Omega_i) = 0$. It is easy to verify the convergence of (g_n) toward G in $L^q(\Omega)$. \square

We shall also need a result concerning a change of variable on Sobolev functions.

A one-to-one mapping $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega'$ is bi-Lipschitzian if T and T^{-1} are Lipschitzian maps. As an application of Rademacher's theorem, we find in [7] p. 52 the following result.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $T : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bi-Lipschitzian mapping. If $f \in W^{1p}(\Omega)$, $p \in [1, +\infty]$, then $g = f \circ T \in W^{1p}(T^{-1}(\Omega))$, and $\nabla f(T(x)) \cdot dT_x = \nabla g(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, where dT_x is the differential of T at point x .*

We deduce easily the following theorem.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $(p, q) \in [1, +\infty]^2$, $T : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bi-Lipschitzian mapping, Ω_1 an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N and $\Omega_2 = T(\Omega_1)$. If the canonical embedding $W^{1p}(\Omega_1) \rightarrow L^q(\Omega_1)$ is compact, then the embedding $W^{1p}(\Omega_2) \rightarrow L^q(\Omega_2)$ is compact.*

PROOF. The applications $\Phi_q : L^q(\Omega_1) \rightarrow L^q(\Omega_2)$ and $\Psi_p : W^{1p}(\Omega_2) \rightarrow W^{1p}(\Omega_1)$ defined by $\Phi_q(f) = f \circ T^{-1}$ and $\Psi_p(g) = g \circ T$ are linear and continuous. The result follows by chain rule since $W^{1p}(\Omega_1) \rightarrow L^q(\Omega_1)$ is compact. \square

7 A Sobolev-Gagliardo-Nirenberg Inequality

Let us recall a well-known result (See [2] for instance).

Lemma 7.1. *Let $N \geq 2$ and $f_1, \dots, f_N \in L^{N-1}(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ we set*

$$\tilde{x}_k = (x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}.$$

Then, the function $f(x) = f_1(\tilde{x}_1) \dots f_N(\tilde{x}_N)$ is in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and

$$\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \prod_{k=1}^N \|f_k\|_{L^{N-1}(\mathbb{R}^{N-1})}.$$

Lemma 7.2. *Let Ω be a convex bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N . For every $f \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ we have*

$$\|f - T(f, \Omega)\|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-1}}(\Omega)} \leq N \frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)^N}{\mu(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|$$

where $T(f, \Omega)$ is the average of f over Ω .

PROOF. The proof is a simple adaptation of the corresponding estimation in the usual Sobolev-Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality.

We have to prove the result for $f \in C^\infty(\Omega)$. We first remark that we can find a box $E = I_1 \times \dots \times I_N$, $\Omega \subset E$, where every I_k are non empty open intervals of \mathbb{R} with $l(I_1) = \text{diam}(\Omega)$ and $l(I_k) \leq \text{diam}(\Omega)$ for $2 \leq k \leq N$ (we denote by $l(I)$ the length of I). We extend f and ∇f by null functions over $E - \Omega$ and we set $\tilde{I}_k = I_1 \times \dots \times I_{k-1} \times I_{k+1} \times \dots \times I_N$.

Thanks to the convexity, for $(u, v) \in \Omega^2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |f(v_1, \dots, v_N) - f(u_1, \dots, u_N)| \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{I_k} |\nabla f(u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, t_k, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_N)| dt_k \end{aligned}$$

and we deduce for $v \in E$,

$$\begin{aligned} & |f(v) - T(f, \Omega)| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\mu(\Omega)} \sum_{k=1}^N \prod_{i=k}^N l(I_i) \int_{I_1 \times \dots \times I_k} |\nabla f(t_1, \dots, t_k, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_N)| dt_1 \dots dt_k \\ & = f_1(\tilde{v}_1). \end{aligned}$$

By a permutation of indexes, we also have $|f(v) - T(f, \Omega)| \leq f_k(\tilde{v}_k)$ for every $1 \leq k \leq N$.

A simple computation gives

$$\|f_k\|_{L^1(\tilde{I}_k)} \leq N \frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)^N}{\mu(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|.$$

Now, since $|f(v) - T(f, \Omega)|^N \leq \prod_{k=1}^N f_k(\tilde{v}_k)$, we deduce from Lemma 7.1 the inequality

$$\|f - T(f, \Omega)\|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-1}}(\Omega)} \leq \prod_{k=1}^N \|f_k\|_{L^1(\tilde{I}_k)}^{1/N} \leq N \frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)^N}{\mu(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|.$$

□

We recall that the Sobolev conjugate of $p \in [1, N[$ is defined by $\frac{1}{p^*} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{N}$.

Theorem 7.1. *Let $N \geq 2$ and Ω be a convex bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N . For every $p \in [1, N[$ we have $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset L^{p^*}(\Omega)$ with continuous embedding and $\forall f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$,*

$$\|f - T(f, \Omega)\|_{p^*} \leq \left((N - 1)p^* \frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)^N}{\mu(\Omega)} + \lambda_N^{1/p} \frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)}{\mu(\Omega)^{1/N}} \right) \|\nabla f\|_p.$$

PROOF. It is enough to prove this inequality for $f \in C^1(\Omega)$ that satisfy $T(f, \Omega) = 0$. For $t > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\frac{tN}{N-1}}^t &= \left\| |f|^{t-1} f \right\|_{\frac{N}{N-1}} \\ &\leq \left\| |f|^{t-1} - T(|f|^{t-1}, \Omega) \right\|_{\frac{N}{N-1}} + \left\| T(|f|^{t-1}, \Omega) \right\|_{\frac{N}{N-1}} \\ &\leq tN \frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)^N}{\mu(\Omega)} \left\| |f|^{t-1} |\nabla f| \right\|_1 + \frac{1}{\mu(\Omega)^{1/N}} \|f\|_t^t. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\left\| |f|^{t-1} |\nabla f| \right\|_1 \leq \|f\|_{p'(t-1)}^{t-1} \|\nabla f\|_p$$

and, thanks to the Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality,

$$\|f\|_t^t \leq \|f\|_p \|f\|_{p'(t-1)}^{t-1} \leq \lambda_N^{1/p} \text{diam}(\Omega) \|f\|_{p'(t-1)}^{t-1} \|\nabla f\|_p.$$

Choosing t such that $\frac{tN}{N-1} = p'(t-1)$ we have $p^* = \frac{tN}{N-1}$ and previous inequalities give

$$\|f\|_{p^*} \leq \left((N - 1)p^* \frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)^N}{\mu(\Omega)} + \lambda_N^{1/p} \frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)}{\mu(\Omega)^{1/N}} \right) \|\nabla f\|_p.$$

Now, for every $f \in C^1(\Omega)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{p^*} &\leq \left((N - 1)p^* \frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)^N}{\mu(\Omega)} + \lambda_N^{1/p} \frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)}{\mu(\Omega)^{1/N}} \right) \|\nabla f\|_p + \|T(f, \Omega)\|_{p^*} \\ &\leq \left((N - 1)p^* \frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)^N}{\mu(\Omega)} + \lambda_N^{1/p} \frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)}{\mu(\Omega)^{1/N}} \right) \|\nabla f\|_p + \mu(\Omega)^{1/p^* - 1/p} \|f\|_p \end{aligned}$$

which proves the continuity of the embedding $W^{1p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{p^*}(\Omega)$. □

Now, we can extend theorem 5.2.

Theorem 7.2. *Let Ω be a bounded convex open subset of \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 2$. For every $p \in [1, +\infty]$, the canonical embedding of $W^{1p}(\Omega)$ into $L^q(\Omega)$ is compact for $1 \leq q < p^*$.*

PROOF. These embeddings are continuous. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $0 \in \Omega$. There exists $h \in C^0(S_{N-1}, \mathbb{R}_+^*)$ such that $\Omega = \{th(y)y, y \in S_{N-1}, t \in [0, 1[\}$. The application h is Lipschitzian and the application defined by $\Phi_h(0) = 0$ and $\Phi_h(x) = h(\frac{x}{|x|})x$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N - \{0\}$ is bi-Lipschitzian (a proof is given in appendix B). Obviously, Φ_h sends the unit open ball B_N onto Ω .

The hypercube $C =]-1, 1[^N$ also satisfies this condition for an application Φ_{h_0} . Then $\Phi = \Phi_h \circ \Phi_{h_0}^{-1}$ is a bi-Lipschitzian application sending C onto Ω . Considering theorem 6.2., we have merely to prove the compacity of the embeddings $W^{1p}(C) \rightarrow L^q(C)$ for $1 \leq q < p^*$.

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we consider a subdivision $S_n = (C_{in})_{i \in \Delta_n}$ of C by half-Open hypercubes with sides of size $1/n$. From theorem 7.1, there exists a constant $\alpha = \alpha(N, p)$ such that for every C_{in} ,

$$\forall f \in W^{1p}(C), \int_{C_{in}} \left| f(u) - \frac{1}{\mu(C_{in})} \int_{C_{in}} f \right|^{p^*} \leq \alpha \left(\int_{C_{in}} |\nabla f|^p \right)^{p^*/p}.$$

We deduce, for all $f \in W^{1p}(C)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_C |f(u) - T(f, S_n)(u)|^{p^*} &\leq \alpha \sum_{i \in \Delta_n} \left(\int_{C_{in}} |\nabla f|^p \right)^{p^*/p} \\ &\leq \alpha \left(\int_C |\nabla f|^p \right)^{p^*/p} \end{aligned}$$

since $p \leq p^*$ and $\|f - T(f, S_n)\|_{p^*} \leq \alpha^{1/p^*} \|\nabla f\|_p$.

The end of the proof is classical: for every $1 \leq q < p^*$, we can write

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{\eta}{1} + \frac{1-\eta}{p^*} \text{ with } 0 < \eta \leq 1.$$

Using Hölder’s interpolation inequality, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - T(f, S_n)\|_q &\leq \|f - T(f, S_n)\|_1^\eta \|f - T(f, S_n)\|_{p^*}^{1-\eta} \\ &\leq \alpha^{(1-\eta)/p^*} \|\nabla f\|_p^{1-\eta} \|f - T(f, S_n)\|_1^\eta \\ &\leq \alpha^{(1-\eta)/p^*} \mu(C)^{\eta(p-1)/p} \|\nabla f\|_p^{1-\eta} \|f - T(f, S_n)\|_p^\eta \\ &\leq \tau(S_n)^\eta \alpha^{(1-\eta)/p^*} \mu(C)^{\eta(p-1)/p} \lambda_N^{\eta/p} \|\nabla f\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

thanks to the final estimation in the proof of theorem 5.2. Now, the conclusion follows from theorem 3.2. □

Remark 7.1. *In the special case $N = 2$, we need not to use Lipschitzian and bi-Lipschitzian mappings. Indeed, the reader will easily see that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a subdivision S_ε of Ω such that $\text{diam}(E)^2 \leq 2\mu(E)$ for every part of this subdivision, and $\tau(S_\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon$. The end of the proof is straightforward.*

8 Extension domain and the Rellich-Kondrachov theorem

Let us recall that a bounded open subset $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a Lipschitz domain if each point on $\partial\Omega$ has a neighborhood U_x such that $\partial\Omega \cap U_x$ is the graph of a Lipschitz function. For a general definition of Lipschitz domains, see [1] or [3].

It is well known that embeddings $W^{1p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^p(\Omega)$ are compact for Lipschitz domains because they are extension domains [3]. In this way, P. W. Jones characterized all finitely connected extension domains in the plane (for the Sobolev embedding) and proved that it is exactly the (ε, δ) -Domains [5]. Using this characterization, we will show that a very simple subset Ω of \mathbb{R}^2 which is not an extension domain can satisfy the conclusion of the Rellich-Kondrachov theorem.

An open subset Ω of \mathbb{R}^N is an extension domain if for every $(k, p) \in \mathbb{N} \times [1, +\infty]$ there exists a bounded linear operator $\Lambda_{kp} : W^{kp}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{kp}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\Lambda_{kp}(f)|_\Omega = f$ for all $f \in W^{kp}(\Omega)$.

An open subset Ω of \mathbb{R}^N is an (ε, δ) -Domain if, $\forall(x, y) \in \Omega^2$ such that $|x - y| < \delta$, there exists a rectifiable arc $\gamma \subset \Omega$ joining x to y and satisfying

$$l(\gamma) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}|x - y| \quad \text{and} \quad d(z, \Omega^c) \geq \frac{\varepsilon|x - z||y - z|}{|x - y|}, \quad \forall z \in \gamma,$$

where $l(\gamma)$ is the length of γ .

Jones proved the following theorem: Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be an open finitely connected set. Then Ω is an extension domain if and only if it is an (ε, δ) -Domain for some values of $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$.

Let us consider the open set of the plane $\Omega = \{(x, y), x \in]-1, 1[, 0 < y < 1 + \sqrt{|x|}\}$. The set Ω can be split in two convex subsets by the line $x = 0$. We can apply theorems 5.2 and 6.1 to deduce that the canonical embeddings $W^{1p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^p(\Omega)$ are compact for $p \in [1, +\infty]$. Nevertheless, Ω is not an extension domain.

Indeed, for $n \in \mathbb{N}^*, n \geq 2$, we consider $X_n = \left(-\frac{1}{n}, 1 + \sqrt{\frac{1}{2n}}\right)$ and $Y_n = \left(\frac{1}{n}, 1 + \sqrt{\frac{1}{2n}}\right)$. We easily verify that every path $\gamma \subset \Omega$ joining X_n and Y_n is such that $l(\gamma) \geq 2\left(\frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{2n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}}$.

But we have $|X_n - Y_n| = \frac{2}{n}$ and the (ε, δ) condition cannot be verified for any ε and δ in \mathbb{R}_+^* .

9 Compact embedding theorem for non Lipschitz domains

In this section, we will extend the Lipschitz boundary condition to provide more general domains satisfying the conclusion of the Rellich-Kondrachov theorem. The reader will easily verify that those domains are not (ε, δ) -Domains in general. In fact, if a boundary of an (ε, δ) -Domain must be rather smooth, we will show that the boundary of domains satisfying the conclusion of the Rellich-Kondrachov theorem can be wilder.

For every $p \in [1, +\infty]$, we denote by p' the conjugate exponent of p . For $r > 0$, we set $Q_r =]-r, r[^{N-1}$ and $\overline{Q}_r = [-r, r]^{N-1}$.

For every $h \in C^0(\overline{Q}_r, \mathbb{R}_+^*)$, we set

$$E(h) = \{(y_1, \dots, y_N), (y_1, \dots, y_{N-1}) \in Q_r, \text{ and } 0 < y_N < h(y_1, \dots, y_{N-1})\}$$

and

$$F(h) = \{(y, h(y)), y \in Q_r\}.$$

Definition 9.1. Let $q \in [1, \infty]$, Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N and $x \in \partial\Omega$. We say that Ω has a q -Rectifiable boundary at point x if there exists $r > 0$, $h \in C^0(\overline{Q}_r, \mathbb{R}_+^*) \cap W^{1q}(Q_r)$, an affine rotation L and an open neighborhood U of x such that $L(F(h)) \subset U$, $\Omega \cap U = L(E(h))$ and

- (a) For $q = +\infty$: There exists $\alpha > 0$ such that for all $1 \leq k \leq N - 1$, and almost all $(y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}, y_{k+1}, \dots, y_{N-1}) \in]-r, r[^{N-2}$, the application $z \rightarrow h(y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}, z, y_{k+1}, \dots, y_{N-1})$ is in $W^{1\infty}(]-r, r[)$ with

$$\text{ess. sup}_{\mathbb{R}} \{|\nabla h(y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}, z, y_{k+1}, \dots, y_{N-1})|, z \in]-r, r[\} \leq \alpha.$$

- (b) For $1 < q < +\infty$: There exists $\alpha > 0$ such that for all $1 \leq k \leq N - 1$, and almost all $(y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}, y_{k+1}, \dots, y_{N-1}) \in]-r, r[^{N-2}$, the application $z \rightarrow h(y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}, z, y_{k+1}, \dots, y_{N-1})$ is in $W^{1q}(]-r, r[)$ with

$$\left[\int_{-r}^r |\partial_k h(y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}, z, y_{k+1}, \dots, y_{N-1})|^q dz \right]^{1/q} \leq \alpha$$

- (c) For $q = 1$: $\forall \alpha > 0, \exists \eta > 0$ such that for every $1 \leq k \leq N - 1$, and for almost all $(y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}, y_{k+1}, \dots, y_{N-1}) \in]-r, r[^{N-2}$, the application $z \rightarrow h(y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}, z, y_{k+1}, \dots, y_{N-1})$ is in $W^{1q}(]-r, r[)$ with

$$\int_a^b |\partial_k h(y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}, z, y_{k+1}, \dots, y_{N-1})| dz \leq \alpha$$

as soon as $-r < a \leq b < r$ with $b - a \leq \eta$.

Remark 9.1. If $N = 2$, the conditions become $h \in C^0([-r, r], \mathbb{R}_+^*) \cap W^{1q}(]-r, r[)$.

Remark 9.2. In the general case, the hypothesis on functions h impose a condition on the length of paths drawn on the surface $F(h)$ staying on the parallels to the coordinate axes.

Lemma 9.1. Let $p \in [1, +\infty]$, $r > 0$ and $h \in W^{1p'}(Q_r)$ satisfying the hypothesis of definition 9.1 for $q = p'$. Then the canonical embedding $W^{1p}(E(h)) \rightarrow L^p(E(h))$ is compact.

PROOF. Without loss of generality, we can assume $r = 1$.

- FIRST CASE: $1 < p < +\infty$.

For every $0 < t < 1$ and $x \in \overline{Q}$, we set $\gamma(t, x) = (x, th(x))$. We denote $m = \min_{x \in \overline{Q}} h(x) > 0$ and $M = \max_{x \in \overline{Q}} h(x) > 0$.

From the hypothesis, there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that for all $0 \leq k \leq N - 1$, almost every $y \in]-1, 1[^{N-2}$

$$\left[\int_{-1}^1 \left(1 + |\partial_k h(y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}, z, y_{k+1}, \dots, y_{N-1})|^2 \right)^{p'/2} dz \right]^{1/p'} \leq \alpha.$$

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we denote $(C_\lambda^n)_{\lambda \in \Lambda_n}$ a subdivision of Q by half-Open hypercubes of size $1/n$. In the following, for the sake of simplicity, we denote $(C_i)_{0 \leq i \leq r}$ for the partition $(C_\lambda^n)_{\lambda \in \Lambda_n}$.

We consider a sequence $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_q = 1$, and for $0 \leq i \leq r$ and $1 \leq j < q$, we set $\Omega_{ij} = \{\gamma(t, x), x \in C_i, t_j \leq t < t_{j+1}\}$ and $\Omega_{i0} = \{\gamma(t, x), x \in C_i, 0 < t < t_1\}$. Thus, $S_{n,t_0,\dots,t_q} = (\Omega_{ij})_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq r \\ 0 \leq j < q}}$ is a subdivision of $\Omega = E(h)$.

Let us fix $0 \leq i \leq r$ and $0 \leq j < q$. For $g \in L^1(\Omega_{ij}) \cap C^1(\Omega_{ij})$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega_{ij}} g(u) du = \int_{x \in C_i} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} g(\gamma(t, x))h(x) dt dx.$$

For $f \in W^{1p}(\Omega) \cap C^1(\Omega)$, $0 \leq i \leq r$ and $0 \leq j < q$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{i,j} &= \int_{\Omega_{ij}} \left| f(v) - \frac{1}{\mu(\Omega_{ij})} \int_{\Omega_{ij}} f(u) du \right|^p dv \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\mu(\Omega_{ij})} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \int_{C_i} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \int_{C_i} |f(\gamma(t, x)) - f(\gamma(t', x'))|^p h(x)h(x') dx' dt dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we must join the points $\gamma(t, x)$ and $\gamma(t', x')$ with a path staying in Ω_{ij} .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &|f(\gamma(t, x)) - f(\gamma(t', x'))| \\ &\leq |f(\gamma(t, x)) - f(\gamma(t', x))| + |f(\gamma(t', x)) - f(\gamma(t', x'))| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &|f(\gamma(t, x)) - f(\gamma(t', x'))|^p \\ &\leq 2^{p-1} (|f(\gamma(t, x)) - f(\gamma(t', x))|^p + |f(\gamma(t', x)) - f(\gamma(t', x'))|^p). \end{aligned}$$

On one hand

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\gamma(t, x)) - f(\gamma(t', x))|^p &\leq \left| \int_{a=t}^{t'} |\nabla f(\gamma(a, x))| h(x) da \right|^p \\ &\leq (t_{j+1} - t_j)^{p-1} M^p \int_{a=t_j}^{t_{j+1}} |\nabla f(\gamma(a, x))|^p da. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\Delta_1^{i,j} = \frac{1}{\mu(\Omega_{ij})} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \int_{C_i} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \int_{C_i} |f(\gamma(t, x)) - f(\gamma(t', x))|^p h(x)h(x') dx' dt dx dt$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq (t_{j+1} - t_j)^p M^p \int_{x \in C_i} \int_{a=t_j}^{t_{j+1}} |\nabla f(\gamma(a, x))|^p h(x) da dx \\ &\leq (t_{j+1} - t_j)^p M^p \int_{\Omega_{ij}} |\nabla f|^p \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if we set $C_i = I_{i1} \times \dots \times I_{iN-1}$, then for $1 \leq k \leq N - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} &|f(\gamma(t', (x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x'_k, \dots, x'_{N-1}))) - f(\gamma(t', (x_1, \dots, x_k, x'_{k+1}, \dots, x'_{N-1})))| \\ &\leq \left[\int_{I_{ik}} |\nabla f(\gamma(t', (x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, z, x'_{k+1}, \dots, x'_{N-1})))|^p dz \right]^{1/p} \\ &\quad \times \left[\int_{I_{ik}} \left(1 + |\partial_k h(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, z, x'_{k+1}, \dots, x'_{N-1})|^2\right)^{p'/2} dz \right]^{1/p'} \\ &\leq \alpha \left[\int_{I_{ik}} |\nabla f(\gamma(t', (x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, z, x'_{k+1}, \dots, x'_{N-1})))|^p dz \right]^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &|f(\gamma(t', x)) - f(\gamma(t', x'))|^p \\ &\leq \left[\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \left| f(\gamma(t', (x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x'_k, \dots, x'_{N-1}))) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - f(\gamma(t', (x_1, \dots, x_k, x'_{k+1}, \dots, x'_{N-1}))) \right| \right]^p \\ &\leq \alpha^p N^{p-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \int_{I_{ik}} |\nabla f(\gamma(t', (x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, z, x'_{k+1}, \dots, x'_{N-1})))|^p dz \end{aligned}$$

and, if $\Delta f \gamma = f(\gamma(t', x)) - f(\gamma(t', x'))$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_2^{i,j} &= \frac{1}{\mu(\Omega_{ij})} \int_{t=t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \int_{x \in C_i} \int_{t'=t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \int_{x' \in C_i} \left| \Delta f \gamma \right|^p h(x) h(x') dx' dt' dx dt \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha^p M^2 N^{p-1}}{nm} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \int_{t'=t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \int_{C_i} \left| \Theta(f, \gamma) \right|^p dx_1 \dots dx_{k-1} dz dx'_{k+1} dx_{N-1} dt' \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha^p M^2 N^p}{nm^2} \int_{\Omega_{ij}} |\nabla f|^p. \end{aligned}$$

where $\Theta(f, \gamma) = \nabla f(\gamma(t', (x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, z, x'_{k+1}, \dots, x'_{N-1})))$. Now, $\Delta^{i,j} \leq 2^{p-1}(\Delta_1^{i,j} + \Delta_2^{i,j})$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - T(f, S_{n,t_0,\dots,t_q})\|_p^p &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq r \\ 0 \leq j < q}} \Delta^{ij} \\ &\leq 2^{p-1} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq r \\ 0 \leq j < q}} \left[(t_{j+1} - t_j)^p M^p + \frac{\alpha^p M^2 N^{p+1}}{nm} \right] \int_{\Omega_{ij}} |\nabla f|^p. \end{aligned}$$

We choose $(t_j)_{0 \leq j \leq q}$ such that $\text{Max}_{0 \leq j < q} (t_{j+1} - t_j) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2M}$ and $n \geq 1$ such that $n \geq \frac{2^p \alpha^p M^2 N^p}{m^2 \varepsilon^p}$. The subdivision S_{n,t_0,\dots,t_q} satisfies

$$\|f - T(S, f)\|_p \leq \varepsilon \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla f(u)|^p du \right)^{1/p}$$

for every $f \in W^{1p}(\Omega)$ (with the usual density argument). Thus, the embedding $W^{1p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^p(\Omega)$ is compact, and the proof is complete.

• SECOND CASE: $p = 1$.

The proof is very similar. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1^{i,j} &\leq (t_{j+1} - t_j) M \int_{\Omega_{ij}} |\nabla f(u)| du, \quad \text{and} \\ \Delta_2^{i,j} &\leq \frac{M^2 N (1 + \|h\|_{W^{1\infty}})}{nm^2} \mu(\Omega_{ij}) \int_{\Omega_{ij}} |\nabla f|. \end{aligned}$$

• THIRD CASE: $p = +\infty$.

Let $f \in W^{1\infty}(\Omega) \cap C^1(\Omega)$. For $(t, t') \in [t_j, t_{j+1}]^2$, $t \leq t'$ and $(x, x') \in C_i^2$, the estimations become

$$|f(\gamma(t, x)) - f(\gamma(t', x))| \leq \int_{a=t}^{t'} |\nabla f(\gamma(a, x))| h(x) da \leq (t_{j+1} - t_j) M \|f\|_{W^{1\infty}}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &|f(\gamma(t', x)) - f(\gamma(t', x'))| \\ &\leq \|f\|_{W^{1\infty}} \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{I_{ik}} \left(1 + |\partial_k h(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, z, x'_{k+1}, \dots, x'_{N-1})|^2 \right)^{1/2} dz. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for $\varepsilon > 0$, we can choose $(t_j)_{0 \leq j \leq q}$ satisfying $\text{Max}_{0 \leq j < q} (t_{j+1} - t_j) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2M}$ and $n \geq 1$ such that for every $1 \leq k \leq N - 1$, and for almost all $(y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}, y_{k+1}, \dots, y_{N-1}) \in [-1, 1]^{N-2}$

$$\int_a^b \left(1 + |\partial_k h(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, z, x'_{k+1}, \dots, x'_{N-1})|^2\right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2N}$$

as soon as $-1 < a \leq b < 1$ with $b - a \leq \frac{1}{n}$. And we have

$$f \in W^{1\infty}(\Omega) \cap C^1(\Omega), \quad \|f - T(f, S_{n,t_0,\dots,t_q})\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon \|f\|_{W^{1\infty}}.$$

Using an usual application of regularization, for every $f \in W^{1\infty}(\Omega)$, there exists a sequence $(f_r)_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $W^{1\infty}(\Omega) \cap C^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \|f_r - f\|_\infty = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \|f_r\|_{W^{1\infty}} \leq \|f\|_{W^{1\infty}}.$$

We obtain

$$f \in W^{1\infty}(\Omega), \quad \|f - T(f, S_{n,t_0,\dots,t_q})\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon \|f\|_{W^{1\infty}}$$

and the result follows. □

Definition 9.2. We say that an open set Ω has a q -Rectifiable boundary if there exists a finite family $(x_k)_{0 \leq k \leq m}$ of $\partial\Omega$ such that Ω is q -Rectifiable at every point x_k with $\partial\Omega = \bigcup_{k=0}^m \overline{L_{x_k}(F(h_{x_k}))}$.

Theorem 9.1. Let $p \in [1, +\infty]$. If Ω is a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N with a q -Rectifiable boundary, then the embedding $W^{1p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^p(\Omega)$ is compact.

PROOF. Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N with a q -Rectifiable boundary and a family $(x_i)_{0 \leq i \leq m}$ of points of $\partial\Omega$ such that $\partial\Omega = \bigcup_{k=0}^m \overline{L_{x_k}(F(h_{x_k}))}$.

The reader may wish to convince himself that $\Omega' = \Omega - \bigcup_{i=0}^m \overline{L_{x_i}(E(h_{x_i}))}$

is a an open polytope of \mathbb{R}^N which can be split (up to a negligible set) in a finite partition of convex polytopes $(\Omega_k)_{0 \leq k \leq r}$. A proof of this result can be found in appendix B. Then, from theorems 5.2 and 6.1, the embedding $W^{1p}(\Omega') \rightarrow L^p(\Omega')$ is compact.

We have a partition of Ω in $m + 2$ parts. From lemma 9.1 and theorem 6.2, the embeddings $W^{1p}(L_{x_i}(E(h_{x_i}))) \rightarrow L^p(L_{x_i}(E(h_{x_i})))$ are compact, and since $\mu(\partial E(h_{x_i})) = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq m$, we conclude from theorem 6.1 that the embedding $W^{1p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^p(\Omega)$ is compact. \square

We can give a nice formulation of this result in the case $N = 2$.

Corollary 9.1. *Let $p \in [1, +\infty[$. If Ω is a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^2 for whom the boundary is locally a graph of continuous applications in $W^{1p'}$, then the embedding $W^{1p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^p(\Omega)$ is compact.*

10 APPENDIX A Extension to Locally Compact Metric Spaces

In this appendix, we give without proof the straightforward extension of compactness theorem when Ω is a general metric locally compact set and $1 \leq p < +\infty$.

To extend the result of section 3, we must specify notions of subdivision and simple function.

- A restricted subdivision of Ω is a couple (Ω_0, S) , where $\Omega_0 \in \mathfrak{M}$ is relatively compact, and S is a subdivision of Ω_0 .
- A function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is a restricted simple function if there is a restricted subdivision (Ω_0, S) of Ω such that the restriction of f to Ω_0 is simple, and f is the null function over Ω_0^c . In this case, we will say that f and (Ω_0, S) are adapted to each other. We denote by $E(\Omega)$ the classes of restricted simple functions modulo the negligibility relation. We will say that $F \in E(\Omega)$ and a restricted subdivision (Ω_0, S) are adapted to each other if there is $f \in F$ adapted to (Ω_0, S) .
- Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ be a measurable function and (Ω_0, S) a restricted subdivision such that f is integrable on Ω_0 . We denote by $T(f, \Omega_0, S)$ the restricted simple function null outside of Ω_0 and such that the restriction to Ω_0 is $T(f, S)$. For every $F \in L^p(\Omega)$, $f \in F$ and (Ω_0, S) a restricted subdivision of Ω , we still denote by $T(F, \Omega_0, S)$ the class of $T(f, S)$.

Remark 10.1. *If Ω is relatively compact, for every subdivision S of Ω , (Ω, S) is a restricted subdivision of Ω . Moreover, simple functions and restricted simple functions are the same.*

Theorem 10.1. *Let Γ be a subset of $L^p(\Omega)$. We say that Γ is equi- p -Integrable if one of those equivalent properties is satisfied.*

- For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a restricted subdivision (Ω_0, S) of Ω such that

$$\forall F \in \Gamma, \quad \|F - T(F, \Omega_0, S)\|_p \leq \varepsilon.$$

- For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a restricted subdivision (Ω_0, S) of Ω such that for all $F \in \Gamma$ we can find $F_{s\varepsilon} \in E(\Omega)$ adapted to (Ω_0, S) and such that $\|F - F_{s\varepsilon}\|_p \leq \varepsilon$.

We say that Γ is weakly uniformly equi- p -Integrable if one of those equivalent properties is satisfied.

- For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\eta > 0$ and $\Omega_0 \in \mathfrak{M}$ such that for every restricted subdivision (Ω_0, S) of Ω satisfying $\tau(S) \leq \eta$ we have

$$\forall F \in \Gamma, \quad \|F - T(F, \Omega_0, S)\|_p \leq \varepsilon.$$

- For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\eta > 0$ and $\Omega_0 \in \mathfrak{M}$ such that for every restricted subdivision (Ω_0, S) of Ω satisfying $\tau(S) \leq \eta$, and for every $F \in \Gamma$, we can find $F_{s\varepsilon} \in E(\Omega)$ adapted to (Ω_0, S) such that $\|F - F_{s\varepsilon}\|_p \leq \varepsilon$.

Remark 10.2. The equivalence of the two definitions of equi- p -Integrability is obvious for a relatively compact subset Ω , but uniform equi- p -Integrability and weak uniform equi- p -Integrability are not exactly the same notion.

Clearly, uniform equi- p -Integrability implies weak uniform equi- p -Integrability but the converse is false. For instance, if $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $f(x) = 0$ for $0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $f(x) = 1$ for $\frac{1}{2} < x \leq 1$, the set of functions $\Gamma = \{\lambda f, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset L^p(\Omega)$ is weakly uniformly equi- p -Integrable (we set $\Omega_0 = [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \dots$), but it is not uniformly equi- p -Integrable.

Nevertheless, as a consequence of theorem 10.3, those concepts are equivalent for a bounded subset of $\mathcal{L}^p(\Omega)$.

The proofs of the following results are straightforward adaptations of previous theorems and will be omitted.

Theorem 10.2. Let $(f_k)_{0 \leq k \leq n}$ be a finite family of $\mathcal{L}^p(\Omega)$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a relatively compact part Ω_0 in \mathfrak{M} and $\eta > 0$ such that for every restricted subdivision (Ω_0, S) of Ω satisfying $\tau(S) < \eta$ we have

$$\forall k \in \{0, \dots, n\}, \quad \|f_k - T(f_k, \Omega_0, S)\|_p \leq \varepsilon.$$

Lemma 10.1. Let (F_n) be a sequence of $E(\Omega)$ adapted to a same restricted subdivision of Ω . If $(\|F_n\|_p)$ is bounded, we can extract a subsequence $(F_{\varphi(n)})$ converging in $(E(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_p)$.

Theorem 10.3. *Let Γ be a subset of $L^p(\Omega)$. The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) Γ is relatively compact ;
- (ii) Γ is bounded and weakly uniformly equi- p -Integrable ;
- (iii) Γ is bounded and equi- p -Integrable.

11 APPENDIX B Topological Results

Theorem 11.1. *Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N with a q -Rectifiable boundary, and let a finite family $(x_k)_{0 \leq k \leq m}$ of $\partial\Omega$ be such that Ω is q -Rectifiable at every point x_k with $\partial\Omega = \cup_{k=0}^m \overline{L_{x_k}(F(h_{x_k}))}$. Then the open set $\Omega' = \Omega - \cup_{k=0}^m \overline{L_{x_k}(E(h_{x_k}))}$ is the union of a finite family of convex polytopes, with a negligible set lying on boundaries of those convex polytopes.*

PROOF. For $0 \leq i \leq m$ we set $\Omega_i = L_{x_i}(E(h_{x_i}))$ and denote by $(G_{ik})_{1 \leq k \leq 2^N - 1}$ the hyperplanes limiting the boundary of Ω_i . We set $G_{ik} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N, g_{ik}(x) = 0\}$, where g_{ik} are non null linear forms, and $G_{ik}^+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N, g_{ik}(x) > 0\}$, $G_{ik}^- = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N, g_{ik}(x) < 0\}$.

We first prove that $\partial\Omega' \subset \cup_{i,k} G_{ik}$.

Indeed, since $\Omega'^c = \Omega^c \cup (\cup_{0 \leq i \leq m} \overline{\Omega_i})$ we deduce that for every $x \in \partial\Omega'$, there exists an index i such that $x \in \Omega_i \cap \overline{\Omega'}$, and more precisely, $x \in \partial\Omega_i \cap \overline{\Omega'}$.

For every index i , we have $\partial\Omega_i \subset (\cup_k G_{ik}) \cup L_{x_i}(F(h_{x_i}))$. But $U_{x_i} \subset \overline{\Omega'^c}$ and $L_{x_i}(F(h_{x_i})) \subset U_{x_i}$. We deduce $x \in \cup_k G_{ik} \subset \cup_{j,k} G_{jk}$ and the result follows.

For $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_{ik}) \in \{+, -\}^{(m+1)(2^N - 1)}$, we set $V_\varepsilon = \cap_{i,k} G_{ik}^{\varepsilon_{ik}}$. We want to prove the following alternative: $V_\varepsilon \cap \Omega' = \emptyset$ or $V_\varepsilon \subset \Omega'$.

If there exist $x_0 \in V_\varepsilon \cap \Omega'$ and $x_1 \in V_\varepsilon \cap \Omega'^c$, we can find $x_2 \in [x_0, x_1] \cap \partial\Omega'$. Then, there exists an index (i, k) such that $x_2 \in G_{ik}$ which is a contradiction with $x_2 \in V_\varepsilon$.

Now, let Δ be the set of indexes ε such that $V_\varepsilon \subset \Omega'$. From the partition $\mathbb{R}^N = (\cup_\varepsilon V_\varepsilon) \cup (\cup_{i,k} G_{i,k})$, we deduce $\Omega' = (\cup_{\varepsilon \in \Delta} V_\varepsilon) \cup (\Omega' \cap (\cup_{i,k} G_{i,k}))$. □

Lemma 11.1. *Let Ω be a bounded convex open subset of \mathbb{R}^N with $0 \in \Omega$. The function $h : S_{N-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$ defined by $h(u)u \in \partial\Omega$ is Lipschitzian.*

PROOF. Let $\alpha > 0$ be such that $B(0, \alpha) \subset \Omega$. If h is not a Lipschitzian application, we can find two sequences (u_n) and (v_n) of S_{N-1} with the same limit u , such that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad u_n \neq v_n, \quad u_n \neq -v_n \quad \text{and} \quad h(u_n) - h(v_n) \geq n|u_n - v_n|.$$

Let $w_n \in S_{N-1}$ be such that (v_n, w_n) is an orthonormal basis of $\text{vect}(u_n, w_n)$ satisfying $u_n = \cos(\theta_n)v_n + \sin(\theta_n)w_n$ with $\theta_n \in]0, \pi[$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \theta_n = 0$. We have $\theta_n < \pi/2$ for $n \geq n_0$. We are going to show that $h(v_n)v_n$ falls into the triangle $(O, h(u_n)u_n, -\alpha w_n)$ for some n . This will be in contradiction with $h(v_n)u_n \in \partial\Omega$.

$$\text{For } n \geq n_0, h(v_n)v_n = \frac{h(v_n)}{\cos(\theta_n)h(u_n)}h(u_n)u_n + \frac{\sin(\theta_n)h(v_n)}{\alpha \cos(\theta_n)}(-\alpha w_n).$$

We have

$$\frac{h(v_n)}{\cos(\theta_n)h(u_n)} > 0 \text{ and } \frac{\sin(\theta_n)h(v_n)}{\alpha \cos(\theta_n)} > 0;$$

we have to prove

$$\frac{h(v_n)}{\cos(\theta_n)h(u_n)} + \frac{\sin(\theta_n)h(v_n)}{\alpha \cos(\theta_n)} < 1 \text{ for large } n.$$

From the hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{h(v_n)}{\cos(\theta_n)h(u_n)} + \frac{\sin(\theta_n)h(v_n)}{\alpha \cos(\theta_n)} &\leq \frac{1}{\cos(\theta_n)} \left(1 - \frac{2n \sin(\theta_n/2)}{h(u_n)} + \frac{\sin(\theta_n)}{\alpha} h(v_n) \right) \\ &\leq 1 - \frac{n\theta_n}{h(u)} + o(n\theta_n) \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof. □

Theorem 11.2. *Let Ω be a bounded convex open subset of \mathbb{R}^N with $0 \in \Omega$ and h as in lemma 11.1. The function $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ defined by $\Phi(0) = 0$ and, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N - \{0\}$, $\Phi(x) = h\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)x$ is bi-Lipschitzian.*

PROOF. M denotes a Lipschitz ratio for h . For x and x' in $\mathbb{R}^N - \{0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi(x) - \Phi(x')| &= \left| h\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)x - h\left(\frac{x'}{|x'}\right)x' \right| \\ &\leq \|h\|_\infty |x - x'| + |x'| \left| h\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right) - h\left(\frac{x'}{|x'}\right) \right| \\ &\leq \|h\|_\infty |x - x'| + M|x'| \left| \frac{x}{|x|} - \frac{x'}{|x'} \right| \\ &\leq \|h\|_\infty |x - x'| + \frac{M}{|x|} |x|x'| - x'|x| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \|h\|_\infty |x - x'| + \frac{M}{|x|} (|x||x'| - |x| + |x||x - x'|) \\ &\leq (\|h\|_\infty + 2M)|x - x'| \end{aligned}$$

which is still valid when $x = 0$ or $x' = 0$.

Now, $\Phi^{-1}(x) = \left[h\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right) \right]^{-1} x$ for $x \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ and the previous calculus still stands for Φ^{-1} because

$$\forall (u, v) \in S_{N-1}^2, \quad \left| \frac{1}{h(u)} - \frac{1}{h(v)} \right| \leq \frac{M}{m^2} |u - v|$$

where $m = \min\{h(u), u \in S_{N-1}\} > 0$. □

References

- [1] R. Adams, *Sobolev spaces*, Academic Press, 1975.
- [2] H. Brézis, *Analyse fonctionnelle*, Masson, Paris, (1983).
- [3] L. C. Evans and R. F. Gariepy, *Measure theory and fine properties of functions*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CCR Press, (1992).
- [4] J.-C. Feauveau, *Approximation theorems for generalized Riemann integrals*, Real Anal. Exchange, **26(2)** (2000–2001), 471–484.
- [5] P. W. Jones, *Quasiconformal mappings and extendability of functions in Sobolev spaces*, Acta Math., **147** (1981).
- [6] W. Rudin, *Real and complex analysis*, McGraw-Hill, 1966.
- [7] W. P. Ziemer, *Weakly differentiable functions*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, **120** (1989).