

Sunny Garlang Noah, 773 19th Avenue Apartment B, San Francisco, CA  
94121, USA. email: ccsfmthclub@gmail.com

## THE MEDIAN OF A CONTINUOUS FUNCTION

### Abstract

Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with finite Lebesgue measure and  $f \in C(\Omega) \cap L^1(\Omega)$  a real-valued function on  $\Omega$ . It is shown that there exists a unique number  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  at which the function  $I(y) = \int_{\Omega} |f(x) - y| d\lambda^n(x)$  is minimized, where  $\lambda^n$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We can define this number as *the* median of  $f$  over  $\Omega$  with respect to  $\lambda^n$ .

### 1 Introduction.

Given a random variable  $X$  and a probability measure  $P$  on a sample space  $\Omega$ , one can define the mean of  $X$  as  $E[X] = \int_{\Omega} x dP(x)$  and a median of  $X$  as a real number  $M$  such that  $P(X \leq M) \geq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $P(X \geq M) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ . For any real-valued measurable function  $f : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\Omega$  is a measurable set with finite Lebesgue measure, one can also define its mean as  $\bar{f} = \int_{\Omega} f(x) \frac{d\lambda^n(x)}{\lambda^n(\Omega)}$ , where  $\lambda^n$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We could define a median of  $f$  as a real number  $M$  such that  $\lambda^n(f^{-1}(-\infty, M] \cap \Omega) \geq \frac{\lambda^n(\Omega)}{2}$  and  $\lambda^n(f^{-1}[M, \infty) \cap \Omega) \geq \frac{\lambda^n(\Omega)}{2}$ . Notice that a median so defined may not be unique. For example, consider  $f : [-2, 2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & -2 \leq x \leq -1 \\ 1 & -1 < x < 1 \\ 0 & 1 \leq x \leq 2 \end{cases} .$$

We see that

$$g(m) = \lambda(f^{-1}(-\infty, m] \cap [-2, 2]) = \begin{cases} 0 & m < 0 \\ 2 & 0 \leq m < 1 \\ 4 & m \geq 1 \end{cases} .$$

---

Key Words: median, continuous functions  
Mathematical Reviews subject classification: Primary 60A10, Secondary 26D15  
Received by the editors July 24, 2007  
Communicated by: Stefan Schwabik

Consequently, every  $m \in (0, 1)$  is a median, as whenever  $m \in (0, 1)$ , we have

$$\lambda(f^{-1}(-\infty, m] \cap [-2, 2]) = \lambda(f^{-1}[m, \infty) \cap [-2, 2]) = \frac{\lambda([-2, 2])}{2} = 2.$$

Let  $\text{med}_\Omega(f)$  denote the set of all medians of  $f$  over  $\Omega$ . If  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ , it is known that  $m \in \text{med}_\Omega(f)$  if and only if

$$\int_\Omega |f(x) - m| d\lambda^n(x) = \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \int_\Omega |f(x) - y| d\lambda^n(x)$$

(cf. [2], [3]). If  $\Omega$  is an open, connected subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with finite Lebesgue measure and  $f$  is continuous on  $\Omega$ , we will show that there exists a unique number  $M$  such that  $I(M) < I(y)$  for all  $y \neq M$ .

## 2 Well-Posedness.

Henceforth, we will assume that  $\Omega$  is an open, connected subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with finite Lebesgue measure. Suppose  $f \in C(\Omega) \cap L^1(\Omega)$ . We seek to minimize  $I(y) = \int_\Omega |f(x) - y| d\lambda^n(x)$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

One can easily see that  $I(y)$  is continuous in  $y$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *If  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ , then  $I(y)$  as defined above is a continuous function in  $y$ .*

PROOF. Let  $y_i \rightarrow y$ . We may assume without loss of generality that  $|y_i| \leq |y| + 1$ . Since we know that there exists a sufficiently large number  $N$  such that for all  $i > N$ ,  $|y_i| \leq |y| + 1$  after discarding finitely many terms (if necessary), we will have the desired property. Next observe that

$$(1) \quad |f(x) - y_i| \leq |f(x)| + |y| + 1.$$

Furthermore, by virtue of the fact that  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$  and that  $\lambda^n(\Omega) < \infty$ , we deduce that

$$(2) \quad \int_\Omega [|f(x)| + |y| + 1] d\lambda^n(x) = C < \infty,$$

where  $C$  is a fixed constant. Moreover, for (almost) every  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $|f(x) - y_i| \rightarrow |f(x) - y|$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . It follows from the Dominated Convergence Theorem (DCT) that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{y_i \rightarrow y} I(y_i) &= \lim_{y_i \rightarrow y} \int_\Omega |f(x) - y_i| d\lambda^n(x) \\ &= \int_\Omega \lim_{y_i \rightarrow y} |f(x) - y_i| d\lambda^n(x) \quad (\text{DCT}) \\ &= \int_\Omega |f(x) - y| d\lambda^n(x) = I(y), \end{aligned}$$

yielding the continuity for  $I(y)$ .  $\square$

To minimize  $I(y)$ , we can restrict  $y$  to a compact subset in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ , then there exists a positive number  $B > 0$  such that  $\min_{y \in \mathbb{R}} I(y) = \min_{y \in [-B, B]} I(y)$ .*

PROOF. It suffices to show that there exist positive numbers  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  such that for all  $y > B_1$ ,  $I(y) > I(B_1)$ , and for all  $y < -B_2$ ,  $I(y) > I(-B_2)$ , because then we can choose  $B$  to be  $\max\{B_1, B_2\}$ . The argument for the existence of  $B_1$  is identical to the one for that of  $B_2$ . Since  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ , there exists  $B_1$  sufficiently large and positive so that

$$(3) \quad \lambda^n \{x \in \Omega : f < B_1\} \geq \frac{3}{4} \lambda^n(\Omega).$$

We claim that for all  $y > B_1$ ,  $I(y) > I(B_1)$ . Toward this end, we consider the following two expressions:

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} I(y) &= \int_{\Omega} |f - y| \, d\lambda^n(x) = \\ &= \int_{\{f < B_1\} \cap \Omega} (y - f) \, d\lambda^n(x) + \int_{\{B_1 < f < y\} \cap \Omega} (y - f) \, d\lambda^n(x) + \int_{\{f > y\} \cap \Omega} (f - y) \, d\lambda^n(x). \end{aligned}$$

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} I(B_1) &= \int_{\Omega} |f - B_1| \, d\lambda^n(x) = \\ &= \int_{\{f < B_1\} \cap \Omega} (B_1 - f) \, d\lambda^n(x) + \int_{\{B_1 < f < y\} \cap \Omega} (f - B_1) \, d\lambda^n(x) + \int_{\{f > y\} \cap \Omega} (f - B_1) \, d\lambda^n(x). \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting (5) from (4), we find that

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} I(y) - I(B_1) &= \\ &= \int_{\{f < B_1\} \cap \Omega} (y - B_1) \, d\lambda^n(x) + \int_{\{B_1 < f < y\} \cap \Omega} (y + B_1 - 2f) \, d\lambda^n(x) + \int_{\{f > y\} \cap \Omega} (B_1 - y) \, d\lambda^n(x). \end{aligned}$$

By (3) and the supposition that  $y > B_1$ , we have

$$(7) \quad \lambda^n(\{f > y\} \cap \Omega) \leq \frac{1}{4} \lambda^n(\Omega).$$

$$(8) \quad \lambda^n(\{B_1 < f < y\} \cap \Omega) \leq \frac{1}{4} \lambda^n(\Omega).$$

Applying inequalities (3), (7), and (8) to (6), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
 I(y) - I(B_1) & \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{2} \lambda^n(\Omega)(y - B_1) + \int_{\{B_1 < f < y\} \cap \Omega} (y + B_1 - 2f) \, d\lambda^n(x) \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{2} \lambda^n(\Omega)(y - B_1) + \frac{1}{4} \lambda^n(\Omega)(y + B_1 - 2y) \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} \lambda^n(\Omega)(y - B_1) > 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

by the assumption that  $y > B_1$ . This proves the claim. To find  $B_2$ , we can retrace our steps. In this case, we will consider choosing  $B_2$  sufficiently large and positive so that

$$\lambda^n \{x \in \Omega : f > -B_2\} \geq \frac{3}{4} \lambda^n(\Omega).$$

Going through the same argument, one arrives at the conclusion we stated at the outset.  $\square$

Therefore, to minimize  $I(y)$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  is the same as to minimize  $I(y)$  over a compact subset  $[-B, B]$ . Since  $I(y)$  is continuous by Theorem 2.1, the Extreme Value Theorem implies that there exists  $m \in [-B, B]$  such that  $I(m) \leq I(y)$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus, we have shown the following.

**Theorem 2.3.** *If  $f \in C(\Omega) \cap L^1(\Omega)$ , then there exists  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $I(m) \leq I(y)$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

We may further restrict  $y$  to a subset  $[a, b] \subset [-B, B]$  such that  $f : \Omega \rightarrow [a, b]$  is surjective, except possibly at  $a$  and  $b$ .

Next, we show that there exists a unique  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  that minimizes  $I(y)$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** *If  $f \in C(\Omega) \cap L^1(\Omega)$ , then there exists a unique  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $I(m) < I(y)$  for all  $y \neq m \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

PROOF. Suppose there exist two absolute minima denoted by  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  with  $m_1 < m_2$  and  $m_1, m_2 \in [a, b]$  defined as above. Thus,  $I(m_1) = I(m_2)$ . Now,

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& I\left(\frac{m_1 + m_2}{2}\right) - \frac{I(m_1) + I(m_2)}{2} = \\
& = \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{f - m_1}{2} + \frac{f - m_2}{2} \right| d\lambda^n(x) \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \int_{\Omega} |f - m_1| d\lambda^n(x) + \int_{\Omega} |f - m_2| d\lambda^n(x) \right] = \\
& = \int_{\{f \leq m_1\} \cap \Omega} \left( \frac{m_1 - f}{2} + \frac{m_2 - f}{2} \right) d\lambda^n(x) \\
& \quad + \int_{\{f \geq m_2\} \cap \Omega} \left( \frac{f - m_1}{2} + \frac{f - m_2}{2} \right) d\lambda^n(x) \\
& \quad + \int_{\{m_1 < f < m_2\} \cap \Omega} \left| \frac{f - m_1}{2} + \frac{f - m_2}{2} \right| d\lambda^n(x) \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \int_{\{f \leq m_1\} \cap \Omega} (m_1 - f) d\lambda^n(x) + \int_{\{f > m_1\} \cap \Omega} (f - m_1) d\lambda^n(x) \right] \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \int_{\{f \leq m_2\} \cap \Omega} (m_2 - f) d\lambda^n(x) + \int_{\{f > m_2\} \cap \Omega} (f - m_2) d\lambda^n(x) \right] = \\
& = \int_{\{m_1 < f < m_2\} \cap \Omega} \left| \frac{f - m_1}{2} + \frac{f - m_2}{2} \right| d\lambda^n(x) \\
& \quad - \int_{\{m_1 < f < m_2\} \cap \Omega} \frac{f - m_1}{2} d\lambda^n(x) - \int_{\{m_1 < f < m_2\} \cap \Omega} \frac{m_2 - f}{2} d\lambda^n(x) < 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the continuity of  $f$ , the triangle equality (if we call  $\frac{f - m_1}{2} = A$  and  $\frac{f - m_2}{2} = B$ , then  $|A + B| \leq |A| + |B|$ . However, equality holds if and only if  $A$  and  $B$  have the same sign; as  $A > 0$  and  $B < 0$  in our situation, we arrive at the strict inequality), and the fact that  $\lambda^n(\{m_1 < f < m_2\} \cap \Omega) > 0$ .

This contradicts the fact that  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are absolute minima. In summary, we conclude that there exists a unique  $m$  such that  $I(m) < I(y)$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{m\}$ .  $\square$

The above approach gives an elementary proof of the existence of a unique minimizer to the function  $I(y) = \int_{\Omega} |f - y| d\lambda^n(x)$  for any continuous, absolutely integrable function on an open, bounded, connected subset  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

### 3 Acknowledgment.

The author would like to express his gratitude to the referee for helpful comments and suggestions.

### References

- [1] Lawrence Evans and Ronald Gariepy, *Measure Theory and Fine Properties of Functions*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1992.
- [2] Jun Shao, *Mathematical Statistics*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.
- [3] Daniel Stroock, *Probability Theory: An Analytic View*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [4] James Yeh, *Lectures on Real Analysis*, World Scientific, River Edge, 2000.