

A. Boccuto, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, via  
Vanvitelli 1, I-06123 Perugia, Italy. email:

boccuto@dipmat.unipg.it, boccuto@yahoo.it

D. Candeloro, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, via  
Vanvitelli 1, I-06123 Perugia, Italy. email: candelor@dipmat.unipg.it

## SOBCZYK-HAMMER DECOMPOSITIONS AND CONVERGENCE THEOREMS FOR MEASURES WITH VALUES IN $l$ -GROUPS

### Abstract

We find a decomposition of the type of Sobczyk-Hammer for measures with values in  $l$ -groups, and also deduce some convergence theorems for such decompositions. Our procedure is based on some theorems of the type of Vitali-Hahn-Saks, and on the so-called Stone extension method.

### 1 Introduction.

In [3] and [4], we obtained some versions of the Lebesgue decomposition theorem, and of the Vitali-Hahn-Saks theorem for finitely additive measures with values in (super) Dedekind complete  $l$ -groups. In the quoted papers, the notion of convergence was related to  $(D)$ -sequences and therefore many of the concepts and proofs appear somewhat complicated. In this paper we investigate a different kind of decomposition, and require that the involved  $l$ -group is super Dedekind complete and weakly  $\sigma$ -distributive. This allows us to avoid the machinery of  $(D)$ -convergence [2], thanks to a powerful result concerning suitable subsequences of an  $(O)$ -sequence 2.7. Hence all the relevant convergence properties can be formulated and proved only by means of  $(O)$ -sequences, which are a more natural tool.

---

Key Words:  $l$ -groups,  $(RO)$ -convergence, uniform  $s$ -boundedness, uniform continuity, Vitali-Hahn-Saks theorems, Sobczyk-Hammer decomposition

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: 28B15, 28B05, 28A39, 42C10, 42C25, 46G10.

Received by the editors December 6, 2006

Communicated by: Stefan Schwabik

The core of our research here concerns continuous and atomic  $l$ -group-valued measures. We obtain sufficient conditions for continuity and uniform continuity. By means of these results, we deduce a decomposition of a measure, in the sense of Sobczyk-Hammer, that is into its continuous and atomic part. Finally, we give a convergence theorem for such decompositions.

In Section 2 we give the definitions and state some preliminary results about the techniques to be used later. In Section 3 we introduce and study continuity properties of measures, obtaining a sufficient condition for a sequence of finitely additive measures to be uniformly continuous, and also some useful relations between continuity and absolute continuity. Finally, in Section 4 we introduce the sectional decompositions, and, thanks also to the previous theorems, we find some results concerning existence and convergence for Sobczyk-Hammer decompositions.

## 2 Preliminary Definitions and Results.

We shall introduce now the main definitions we need, together with some results.

**Definition 2.1.** An Abelian group  $(R, +)$  is called an  $l$ -group, if it is endowed with a compatible ordering  $\leq$ , and is a lattice with respect to it. An  $l$ -group  $R$  is said to be *Dedekind complete*, if every nonempty subset of  $R$ , bounded from above, has supremum in  $R$ .

One important consequence of this definition is that convergence of series can be defined, at least when the terms are in  $R_0^+ = \{r \in R : r \geq 0\}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Given any sequence  $(a_n)_n$  in  $R_0^+$ , we say that the *series*  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  is *convergent* if the set of all partial sums  $\{s_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is bounded in  $R$ , where  $s_n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$  for all  $n$ . If this is the case, we set  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = \sup\{s_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

Convergence of series is also related to the so-called  $(O)$ -convergence, according with the following definition.

**Definition 2.3.** Given a sequence  $(r_n)_n$  in  $R$ , we say that  $(r_n)_n$   *$(O)$ -converges* to an element  $r \in R$  if there exists a sequence  $(p_n)_n$  in  $R$ , such that  $p_n \downarrow 0$  (Such a sequence will be called an  *$(O)$ -sequence.*), satisfying  $|r_n - r| \leq p_n \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

It is not difficult to see that a series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ ,  $a_n \geq 0$ , is convergent to some element  $s$  if and only if the sequence  $(s_n)_n$   $(O)$ -converges to  $s$ .

We now introduce a first concept of  $\sigma$ -additivity, similar to the classical one. (In the sequel we will slightly sharpen this concept.)

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $R$  be a Dedekind complete  $l$ -group,  $\mathcal{F}$  be an algebra of subsets of a nonempty set  $X$  and  $m : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R_0^+$  be a finitely additive measure. We say that  $m$  is *order  $\sigma$ -additive* if  $m(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(H_n)$  whenever  $(H_n)_n$  is a disjoint sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{F}$ , such that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n \in \mathcal{F}$ .

In the sequel we shall assume further properties in our  $l$ -group, so we introduce now some definitions.

**Definitions 2.5.** A bounded double sequence  $(a_{i,j})_{i,j}$  in  $R$ , such that  $a_{i,j} \downarrow 0$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , is called a *regulator* or *(D)-sequence*.

For every *(D)*-sequence  $(a_{i,j})_{i,j}$ , and every mapping  $\phi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , the element  $\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i,\phi(i)}$  is called a *domination* of the *(D)*-sequence.

From now on, we shall denote by  $\Phi$  the set of all mappings  $\phi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ .

Now we can introduce the conditions we shall impose on  $R$ .

**Definitions 2.6.** We say that  $R$  is *weakly  $\sigma$ -distributive* if, for every *(D)*-sequence  $(a_{i,j})_{i,j}$ , the greatest lower bound of its dominations is 0; i.e.,

$$\bigwedge_{\phi \in \Phi} \left( \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i,\phi(i)} \right) = 0.$$

A Dedekind complete  $l$ -group  $R$  is said to be *super Dedekind complete*, if for any nonempty set  $A \subset R$ , bounded from above, there exists a countable subset  $A^* \subset A$ , such that  $\sup A = \sup A^*$ .

From now on, we shall always assume that  $R$  is a super Dedekind complete and weakly  $\sigma$ -distributive  $l$ -group.

The following lemma is a version of the *Fremlin Lemma* in the context of *(O)*-sequences. Though it is possible to prove it as a consequence of the Fremlin-type [6, Theorem 3.2.3, page 42], we give here a direct proof, because it looks somewhat easier.

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $(r_n)_n$  be any *(O)*-sequence in  $R_0^+$ . For every  $U \in R_0^+$  there exists an element  $\omega \in \Phi$  such that the mapping  $N \mapsto U \wedge \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} r_{\omega(n)}$  is an *(O)*-sequence.*

PROOF. For any couple  $(i, k)$  of positive integers, set  $A_{i,k} = U \wedge \left( \sum_{n=k}^{k+2^i-1} r_n \right)$ . Clearly,  $(A_{i,k})_{i,k}$  is a *(D)*-sequence. Next, for every element  $\phi \in \Phi$ , define  $d_{\phi} := \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{i,\phi(i)}$ . Since  $R$  is weakly  $\sigma$ -distributive and super Dedekind complete, there exists a sequence  $(\phi_h)_h$  in  $\Phi$ , such that  $\inf_h d_{\phi_h} = 0$ . Without loss of generality, we shall assume that  $\phi_h(n) < \phi_h(n+1)$  and  $\phi_h(n) < \phi_{h+1}(n)$  for every  $h$  and  $n$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ , so that  $N \mapsto g_N := d_{\phi_N}$  defines an *(O)*-sequence. Thus

we have  $g_1 \geq U \wedge \{r_{\phi_1(1)} + r_{\phi_1(1)+1}\}$  and also  $g_1 \geq U \wedge \{r_{\phi_1(2)} + r_{\phi_1(2)+1} + r_{\phi_1(2)+2} + r_{\phi_1(2)+3}\}$ , and so on. Now we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} U \wedge 2r_{\phi_1(1)+1} &\leq g_1, \quad U \wedge (r_{\phi_1(1)+1} + 2r_{\phi_1(2)+3}) \leq g_1, \\ U \wedge (r_{\phi_1(1)+1} + r_{\phi_1(2)+3} + 2r_{\phi_1(3)+7}) &\leq g_1 \end{aligned}$$

and so on. Then  $U \wedge \left(\sum_{n=1}^k r_{\phi_1(n)+2^n-1}\right) \leq g_1$  holds for every positive integer  $k$ ; hence  $U \wedge \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_{\phi_1(n)+2^n-1}\right) \leq g_1$ . In a similar way, one proves that  $U \wedge \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_{\phi_N(n)+2^n-1}\right) \leq g_N$  holds, for every positive integer  $N$ . Now, we set  $\omega(N) := \phi_N(N) + 2^N - 1$ . For every natural number  $k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} U \wedge \left(\sum_{n=N}^{N+k} r_{\omega(n)}\right) &= U \wedge \left(\sum_{n=N}^{N+k} r_{\phi_N(n)+2^n-1}\right) \leq U \wedge \left(\sum_{n=N}^{N+k} r_{\phi_N(n)+2^n-1}\right) \\ &\leq U \wedge \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_{\phi_N(n)+2^n-1}\right) \leq g_N. \end{aligned}$$

From the arbitrariness of  $k$ , we obtain the assertion.  $\square$

The next result expresses the fact that, as soon as  $\{(r_n^{(k)})_n : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is an equibounded countable family of  $(O)$ -sequences, there exists a single  $(O)$ -sequence, which can replace them all. More precisely, we have the following.

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $\{(r_n^{(k)})_n : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be an equibounded countable family of  $(O)$ -sequences. Then there exists an  $(O)$ -sequence  $(b_j)_j$  with the following property: For every  $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , an integer  $n = n(j, k) > 0$  exists, such that  $r_n^{(k)} \leq b_j$ .*

PROOF. Define  $a_{k,n} = r_n^{(k)}$  for each  $k, n$ . Clearly,  $(a_{k,n})_{k,n}$  is a  $D$ -sequence, hence there exists a sequence  $(\phi_j)_j$  in  $\Phi$ , such that  $j \mapsto b_j := \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n,\phi_j(n)}$  defines an  $(O)$ -sequence. As above, we can assume that  $\phi_j(n) < \phi_j(n+1)$  and  $\phi_j(n) < \phi_{j+1}(n)$  for all  $j, n$ . Arbitrarily fix  $j$  and  $k$ , and choose  $n = n(j, k) = \phi_j(k)$ . We have then  $r_n^{(k)} = a_{k,\phi_j(k)} \leq \bigvee_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k,\phi_j(k)} = b_j$ , which is the assertion.  $\square$

From now on, we denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  an algebra and by  $\mathcal{A}$  a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of a nonempty arbitrary set  $X$ .

We now introduce the concept of  $s$ -boundedness in the context of  $(O)$ -convergence.

**Definition 2.9.** A finitely additive measure  $m : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R$  is said to be  $s$ -bounded if there exists an  $(O)$ -sequence  $(b_j)_j$  such that, for every disjoint sequence  $(H_k)_k$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  and every index  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , one can find an integer  $k_0$  satisfying

$$|m(H_k)| \leq b_j \text{ for each } k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq k_0. \quad (1)$$

We say that the measures  $m_n : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are *uniformly  $s$ -bounded*, if the integer  $k_0$  in (1) can be chosen independently of  $n$ .

We now introduce the notion of  $\sigma$ -additivity in the context of  $(O)$ -convergence. This concept is, in general, stronger than the classical one of order  $\sigma$ -additivity.

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $m : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R$  be a finitely additive measure. We say that  $m$  is  $\sigma$ -additive if there exists an  $(O)$ -sequence  $(b_j)_j$  such that, for every sequence  $(H_k)_k$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ , decreasing to the empty set, and for every positive integer  $j$ , there exists a natural number  $k_0$  satisfying  $|m(B)| \leq b_j$  for every  $B \in \mathcal{F}, B \subset H_{k_0}$ . A similar definition concerns *uniform  $\sigma$ -additivity* for a family  $\{m_i : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R\}_i$  of measures.

We observe that, in case of an equibounded sequence  $(m_n)_n$  of  $\sigma$ -additive measures, it is possible to find a unique  $(O)$ -sequence  $(b_j)_j$  which is related to the  $\sigma$ -additivity of all the  $m_n$ . This is a consequence of Lemma 2.8. The same also holds for other properties, such as continuity, to be introduced later.

From the extension theorems found in [4], it is possible to deduce that any  $s$ -bounded positive order  $\sigma$ -additive measure is also  $\sigma$ -additive.

Some of these extension theorems will now be recalled, for completeness, and also for further reference.

We first introduce some notation: given an algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of any nonempty set  $X$ , we denote by  $\sigma(\mathcal{F})$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{F}$ .

A stronger notion of convergence is also needed.

**Definition 2.11.** Let  $T$  be any nonempty set, and  $(f_n : T \rightarrow R)_n$  be any sequence of functions. We say that the sequence  $(f_n)_n$  is  $(RO)$ -convergent to a limit function  $f$  if there exists an  $(O)$ -sequence  $(p_j)_j$  in  $R$  such that, for every positive integer  $j$  and every element  $t \in T$ , a natural number  $n_0$  can be found,  $n_0 = n_0(j, t)$ , for which  $|f_n(t) - f(t)| \leq p_j \quad \forall n \geq n_0$ .

This definition will be mainly used for sequences of  $R$ -valued *measures*.

The theorems we list deal mainly with the so-called *Stone Isomorphism* technique. The well-known Stone Isomorphism Theorem asserts that any Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  is algebraically isomorphic with the algebra  $\Sigma$  of clopen sets in a suitable compact, totally disconnected, Hausdorff space  $S$ . Denoting by  $\psi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \Sigma$  such an isomorphism, any finitely additive measure  $m : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R$  can be associated with the measure  $m \circ \psi^{-1} : \Sigma \rightarrow R$ . Since  $\Sigma$  turns out to be perfect, any finitely additive measure on  $\Sigma$  is also order  $\sigma$ -additive.

Thus a suitable extension procedure, inspired by Carathéodory's construction, yields the following theorem [4].

**Theorem 2.12.** *Let  $m : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R_0^+$  be any finitely additive,  $s$ -bounded measure. There exists a  $\sigma$ -additive measure  $\tilde{m} : \sigma(\Sigma) \rightarrow R_0^+$  such that  $\tilde{m}|_{\Sigma} = m \circ \psi^{-1}$ . Moreover, there exists a suitable  $(O)$ -sequence  $(b_j)_j$  in  $R$ , such that, for every element  $A \in \sigma(\Sigma)$  and each positive integer  $j$ , an element  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  can be found, satisfying  $\tilde{m}(A \Delta \psi(F)) \leq b_j$ .*

Combining this theorem with a convergence theorem of the type of Vitali-Hahn-Saks [3, 4], the following result can be deduced.

**Theorem 2.13.** *Let  $(m_n : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow R)_n$  be an equibounded sequence of  $s$ -bounded finitely additive measures, defined on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Assuming that the sequence  $(m_n(A))_n$  is  $(RO)$ -convergent to a limit  $m(A)$ ,  $\forall A \in \mathcal{A}$ , then: (i) the sequence  $(m_n)_n$  is uniformly  $s$ -bounded, and therefore  $m$  is  $s$ -bounded too; and, (ii) the sequence  $(\tilde{m}_n(B))_n$  is  $(RO)$ -convergent to  $\tilde{m}(B)$ ,  $\forall B \in \sigma(\Sigma)$ , where as usual  $\Sigma$  denotes the Stone algebra isomorphic with  $\mathcal{A}$ , and  $\tilde{m}_n, \tilde{m}$  are the Stone extensions to the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\sigma(\Sigma)$  of  $m_n$  and  $m$  respectively.*

### 3 Continuous and Atomic Measures.

We now introduce the concept of continuity for  $l$ -group-valued measures [1, 7].

**Definition 3.1.** We say that a finitely additive measure  $m : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R_0^+$  is *continuous* if  $\inf_{P \in \Pi} [\sup_{D \in P} m(D)] = 0$ , where  $P$  is any finite partition of  $X$ , and the infimum is taken with respect to the totality  $\Pi$  of such partitions. The finitely additive measures  $m_n : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R_0^+$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are said to be *uniformly continuous* if  $\inf_{P \in \Pi} [\sup_{D \in P} (\sup_n m_n(D))] = 0$ .

The following result is a characterization of continuity for finitely additive positive measures.

**Proposition 3.2.** *A finitely additive measure  $m : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R_0^+$  is continuous if and only if there exists an  $(O)$ -sequence  $(b_j)_j$  in  $R$  such that for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a finite partition  $Q_j$  of  $X$  into sets  $D_1, \dots, D_{h_j}$ , for which*

$$m(D_i) \leq b_j \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, h_j. \quad (2)$$

*Analogously, the finitely additive measures  $m_n : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R_0^+$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are uniformly continuous if, and only if, there exists an  $(O)$ -sequence  $(b_j)_j$  in  $R$  such that for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a finite partition  $Q_j$  of  $X$  into sets  $D_1, \dots, D_{h_j}$ , satisfying  $\sup_n m_n(D_i) \leq b_j \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, h_j$ .*

**PROOF.** We give the proof only for the first part of the proposition. Let  $m$  be a positive continuous finitely additive measure. Since  $R$  is super Dedekind complete, then there exists a sequence  $(Q_j)_j$  of finite partitions of  $X$ , such

that  $\inf_j [\sup_{D \in Q_j} m(D)] = 0$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that the sequence  $(Q_j)_j$  is increasing with respect to the refinement order; i.e., we assume that, for every positive integer  $j$ , each element in  $Q_j$  is the union of some elements from  $Q_{j+1}$ .

For every index  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $b_j := \sup_{D \in Q_j} m(D)$ . Thus,  $(b_j)_j$  turns out to be an  $(O)$ -sequence, and the sequence  $(Q_j)_j$  is the required one.

Conversely, let  $(Q_j)_j$  be a sequence of finite partitions of  $X$ , satisfying (2). We get  $\inf_j [\sup_{D \in Q_j} m(D)] = 0$  and, a fortiori,  $\inf_{P \in \Pi} [\sup_{D \in P} m(D)] = 0$ , that is, continuity of  $m$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.3.** A finitely additive measure  $m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow R_0^+$  is said to be *atomic* if 0 is the unique finitely additive and continuous measure  $\nu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow R_0^+$ , satisfying  $\nu \leq m$ .

We note that the given definition of atomic measure agrees with the classical one of atomic  $\mathbb{R}_0^+$ -valued measure, by virtue of the Sobczyk-Hammer decomposition theorem for scalar measures [7].

In the sequel, a suitable notion of absolute continuity is needed. We introduce it now, only for  $\sigma$ -additive measures, and will then deduce a useful result about continuity of measures.

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $\nu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow R_0^+$  be any  $\sigma$ -additive measure, defined on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Given any other  $\sigma$ -additive measure  $m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow R_0^+$ , we say  $m$  is *absolutely continuous* with respect to  $\nu$  if  $\nu(A) = 0 \Rightarrow m(A) = 0$ .

Here is the theorem concerning continuity.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $m, \nu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow R_0^+$ , and assume  $m$  and  $\nu$  are  $\sigma$ -additive,  $m$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\nu$  and  $\nu$  is continuous. Then  $m$  is continuous.*

PROOF. Set  $U := m(X) + \nu(X)$ . Fix an  $(O)$ -sequence  $(b_j)_j$  in  $R$ , agreeing with the  $\sigma$ -additivity of  $m$ , with  $\sigma$ -additivity and with continuity of  $\nu$ . Thanks to Lemma 2.7, there exists a subsequence  $(b_{j_k})_k$  such that  $(\rho_N)_N$  is an  $(O)$ -sequence, where  $\rho_N := U \wedge \sum_{k \geq N} b_{j_k}$  for all  $N$ . Set  $b'_N := b_{j_N}$ , and define  $\alpha_N := \sup\{m(A) : \nu(A) \leq b'_N\}, \forall N \in \mathbb{N}$ . We shall prove that  $(\alpha_N)_N$  is an  $(O)$ -sequence. Clearly,  $(\alpha_N)_N$  is decreasing, so all that must be shown is  $\alpha := \inf_N \alpha_N$  coincides with 0.

If this is not the case, then there exists an integer  $N$  such that  $\alpha \not\leq b_N$ ; hence  $\alpha_k \not\leq b_N \forall k$ . This means that, for every natural number  $k$ , an element  $A_k \in \mathcal{A}$  can be found, such that  $\nu(A_k) \leq b'_k$ , but  $m(A_k) \not\leq b_N$ . For all positive integers  $s$ , define  $A_s^* := \bigcup_{k \geq s} A_k$ . We get  $\nu(A_s^*) \leq U \wedge \sum_{k \geq s} b'_k \leq \rho_s$ , but

$$m(A_s^*) \not\leq b_N, \tag{3}$$

for all  $s$ . The sequence  $(A_s^*)_s$  is decreasing. Denoting its limit by  $A$ , we get  $\nu(A) = 0$  by  $\sigma$ -additivity, and then  $m(A) = 0$ . Hence, the sequence  $(A_s^* \setminus A)_s$  is decreasing to  $\emptyset$ , and  $m(A_s^* \setminus A) = m(A_s^*)$  for all  $s$ . Thus, by  $\sigma$ -additivity, a positive integer  $\tau_N$  can be found, satisfying  $m(A_{\tau_N}^*) \leq b_N$ . But this is contrary to (3). We must conclude that  $\alpha = 0$ .

Now, we can easily prove the continuity of  $m$ . Indeed, for each  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , a partition  $P$  of  $X$  exists, such that  $\nu(D) \leq b'_N$  for all  $D \in P$ . Then  $m(D) \leq \alpha_N$  for all  $D \in P$ , and the assertion is proved.  $\square$

We now prove that, for continuous equibounded finitely additive measures, uniform  $s$ -boundedness is a sufficient condition for uniform continuity. To this aim, we begin with the following definition.

**Definition 3.6.** Let  $m : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R_0^+$  be any set function, and fix  $u \in R_0^+$ . Given any set  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , we say that  $A$  is *u-decomposable* (with respect to  $m$ ), if there exists a finite partition of  $A$  into sets  $D_1, \dots, D_k$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ , such that  $m(D_i) \leq u$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Thus we get that a positive finitely additive measure  $m$  is continuous if, and only if, there exists an  $(O)$ -sequence  $(r_j)_j$  in  $R$  such that, for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set  $X$  is  $r_j$ -decomposable (see also Proposition 3.2).

Let  $(m_n : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R_0^+)_n$  be a sequence of finitely additive equibounded measures. For every  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , set  $M(A) := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} m_n(A)$ . Given  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , we say that  $A$  is *uniformly u-decomposable* if it is  $u$ -decomposable with respect to  $M$ .

Thus we get that the  $m_n$ 's are uniformly continuous if, and only if, there exists an  $(O)$ -sequence  $(r_j)_j$  in  $R$  such that, for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set  $X$  is uniformly  $r_j$ -decomposable.

We also need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $(m_n : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R_0^+)_n$  be a sequence of continuous, equibounded and uniformly  $s$ -bounded measures, and let  $(r_j)_j$  be an  $(O)$ -sequence, according with the uniform  $s$ -boundedness and continuity of each measure  $m_n$ . Assume that, for some  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , with  $M(A) \not\leq 2r_j$ . Then there exists  $H \subset A$ ,  $H \in \mathcal{F}$ , uniformly  $r_j$ -decomposable and such that  $M(H) \not\leq 2r_j$ .*

PROOF. Let  $(r_j)_j$  be as in the hypotheses. We note that such a sequence does exist, by virtue of Lemma 2.8 and equiboundedness. Without loss of generality, suppose  $j = 1$ , and set  $r = r_1$ . By contradiction, suppose that there exists  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , with  $M(A) \not\leq 2r$ , such that there are no uniformly  $r$ -decomposable subsets  $H \in \mathcal{F}$ , with  $M(H) \not\leq 2r$ . Without loss of generality, suppose that  $m_1(A) \not\leq 2r$ . By the continuity of  $m_1$ , there exists a partition  $\mathcal{D}_A$  of  $A$  into sets  $D_1, \dots, D_k$ , such that  $m_1(D_i) \leq r$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . By virtue of the assumed contradiction, we get  $M(D_{k_1}) \not\leq 2r$  for some index  $k_1 \leq k$ , and thus, in correspondence with  $k_1$ , there exists an integer  $n_1 > 1$  such that



$m_{n_1}(D_{k_1}) \not\leq 2r$ . Set  $A_1 := D_{k_1}$  and  $B_1 := A \setminus A_1$ . By difference, we get  $m_1(B_1) \not\leq r$ . By the continuity of  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{n_1}$ , there exists a partition  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $A_1$  into sets  $D'_1, D'_2, \dots, D'_s$ , such that  $m_1(D'_i) \vee m_2(D'_i) \vee \dots \vee m_{n_1}(D'_i) \leq r$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, s$ . Again by contradiction, it follows:  $M(D'_{k_2}) \not\leq 2r$  for a suitable index  $k_2 \leq s$ , and hence there exists an integer  $n_2 > n_1$  such that  $m_{n_2}(D'_{k_2}) \not\leq 2r$ . Put  $A_2 := D'_{k_2}$ , and  $B_2 := A_1 \setminus A_2$ . By difference, we get  $m_{n_1}(B_2) \not\leq r$ . By virtue of continuity of  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{n_2}$ , select a partition of  $A_2$  and a set  $A_3 \subset A_2$ ,  $A_3 \in \mathcal{F}$ , such that  $M(A_3) \not\leq 2r$  and  $m_{n_2}(A_3) \leq r$ . Setting  $B_3 := A_2 \setminus A_3$ , by difference we have  $m_{n_2}(B_3) \not\leq r$ . Proceeding in this way, we find an increasing sequence of natural numbers  $(n_h)_h$  and a decreasing sequence of sets  $(A_h)_h$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ , such that  $m_{n_h}(B_{h+1}) \not\leq r$  for all  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $(B_h := A_h \setminus A_{h+1})_h$  is a disjoint sequence in  $\mathcal{F}$ . This contradicts uniform  $s$ -boundedness, and the lemma is proved.  $\square$

We finally turn to the announced useful result.

**Theorem 3.8.** *Under the same notations as in Lemma 3.7, if the  $m_n$ 's are positive, continuous, equibounded and uniformly  $s$ -bounded finitely additive  $R$ -valued measures, then they are uniformly continuous.*

PROOF. By virtue of equiboundedness and thanks to Lemma 2.8, there exists an  $(O)$ -sequence  $(r_j)_j$ , agreeing both with the uniform  $s$ -boundedness and with the continuity of each measure  $m_n$ . To prove the theorem, we shall show that, for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $X$  is uniformly  $2r_j$ -decomposable. Fix any positive integer  $j$ , and set  $r = r_j$ . Suppose, for purposes of contradiction, that  $X$  is not uniformly  $2r$ -decomposable. (From now on in this proof, the term “decomposable” will always mean “uniformly decomposable.”) Then  $M(X) \not\leq 2r$ , and thus, by Lemma 3.7, there exists a set  $A_1 \in \mathcal{F}$ , with  $M(A_1) \not\leq 2r$ , but  $r$ -decomposable. By the assumed contradiction,  $X \setminus A_1$  is not  $2r$ -decomposable, and hence we have  $M(X \setminus A_1) \not\leq 2r$ . Again by Lemma 3.7, there exists an  $r$ -decomposable set  $A_2 \subset X \setminus A_1$ , with  $A_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ , such that  $M(A_2) \not\leq 2r$ . Since  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are  $r$ -decomposable and  $X$  is not, then  $X \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2)$  is not  $2r$ -decomposable, and thus, again by Lemma 3.7, there exists an  $r$ -decomposable set  $A_3$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ , disjoint both from  $A_1$  and from  $A_2$ , such that  $M(A_3) \not\leq 2r$ . Thus we get the existence of a sequence  $(A_k)_k$  of pairwise disjoint  $r$ -decomposable sets of  $\mathcal{F}$ , such that  $M(A_k) \not\leq 2r, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ . This contradicts uniform  $s$ -boundedness of the measures  $m_n$ , and thus  $X$  is  $2r$ -decomposable. So the assertion follows.  $\square$

## 4 Sobczyk-Hammer Decompositions.

In this section, we deduce existence and convergence theorems for Sobczyk-Hammer decompositions, first for  $\sigma$ -additive measures, and then for finitely additive ones.

For  $\sigma$ -additive measures  $m$ , we shall obtain a decomposition of *sectional* type; i.e., we shall find a suitable set  $H \in \mathcal{A}$ , such that the restrictions of  $m$  to  $H$  and to  $H^c$  are continuous and atomic respectively.

We begin with a lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Suppose  $m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow R$  is a positive,  $\sigma$ -additive measure. If  $m$  is not atomic, then there exists at least a set  $F \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $m(F) \neq 0$ , such that  $m|_F$  is continuous.*

PROOF. Since  $m$  is not atomic, there exists a continuous non-trivial measure  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow R_0^+$ , such that  $\mu \leq m$ . It is readily seen that  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -additive. Let now  $\mathcal{H}$  be the family of all sets  $H \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mu(H) = 0$ , and define

$$\alpha = \sup\{m(H) : H \in \mathcal{H}\}. \quad (4)$$

By super Dedekind completeness, there exists a sequence  $(K_n)_n$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ , such that  $\alpha = \sup_n m(K_n)$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that the sequence  $(K_n)_n$  is non-decreasing. Then,  $m(K) = \alpha$  and  $\mu(K) = 0$ , where  $K = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$ .

Put  $F = K^c$ , and let us show that  $F$  is the required set. First of all, it is easy to check that the measure  $m|_F$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ . Indeed, if there exists a set  $G \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mu(G) = 0$  and  $m|_F(G) > 0$ , then  $m[(G \cap F) \cup K] = m(G \cap F) + m(K) > \alpha$ . But  $\mu[(G \cap F) \cup K] = \mu(G \cap F) + \mu(K) = 0$ , and therefore  $\alpha$  could not be the supremum in (4), a contradiction. Thus, by virtue of Theorem 3.5,  $m|_F$  is continuous. Finally we observe that  $m(F) \neq 0$ , otherwise  $\mu \equiv 0$ , which is impossible.  $\square$

A useful consequence is the following.

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  be two atomic (continuous)  $\sigma$ -additive positive  $R$ -valued measures, defined on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $m_1 + m_2$  is atomic (continuous).*

PROOF. The assertion concerning continuous measures is easy, so we deal only with the atomic case. Let us assume that  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are atomic, and  $m_1 + m_2$  is not. Then there exists a set  $F$ , according with the previous Lemma. Thus  $m_1|_F$  is continuous, and hence null. Similarly,  $m_2|_F$  is null; hence we obtain  $(m_1 + m_2)|_F = 0$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

We now turn to the following Sobczyk-Hammer-type theorem.

**Theorem 4.3.** *If  $m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow R$  is a  $\sigma$ -additive positive measure, then there exists a set  $E \in \mathcal{A}$ , such that  $m|_E$  is continuous and  $m|_{E^c}$  is atomic.*

PROOF. If  $m$  is atomic, it is enough to take  $E = \emptyset$ . Otherwise, by virtue of Lemma 4.1, there exists  $F \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $m(F) \neq 0$  and  $m|_F$  is continuous. Denote by  $\mathcal{K}$  the family of such sets, and write  $\alpha := \sup\{m(F) : F \in \mathcal{K}\}$ . By super Dedekind completeness of  $R$ , there exists an increasing sequence  $(F_n)_n$  in  $\mathcal{K}$ , such that  $\sup_n m(F_n) = \alpha$ . Put  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ . We prove that  $E$  is the requested set. First of all, note that

$$m|_E(A) = \sup_n m|_{F_n}(A) \quad (5)$$

for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Moreover, it is easy to check that the  $m|_{F_n}$ 's,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are uniformly  $s$ -bounded. By Theorem 3.8, these measures are uniformly continuous, and hence, by (5),  $m|_E$  is  $\sigma$ -additive and continuous.

Finally we prove that  $m|_{E^c}$  is atomic. Otherwise, by Theorem 4.1, there exists a set  $H \in \mathcal{A}$ , such that  $m(H) \neq 0$ ,  $H \cap E = \emptyset$  and  $m|_H$  is continuous. Then  $H \cup E \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $m(H \cup E) > \alpha$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

We now prove some convergence theorems for Sobczyk-Hammer-type decompositions.

First, consider the case of positive,  $\sigma$ -additive,  $(RO)$ -convergent measures. Recall that a sequence  $(m_k)_k$  of finitely additive measures, defined on an algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  with values in  $R$ , is  $(RO)$ -convergent to a measure  $m$ , if there exists an  $(O)$ -sequence  $(r_n)_n$  such that, for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , an integer  $k_0$  can be found, such that  $|m_k(A) - m(A)| \leq r_n$  for all  $k \geq k_0$ .

In order to give convergence theorems for decompositions, we first prove the following.

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $(m_n)_n$  be a sequence of  $\sigma$ -additive positive  $R$ -valued measures, defined on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . If the  $m_n$ 's are equibounded, then there exists  $H \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $m_n|_H$  is continuous and  $m_n|_{H^c}$  is atomic for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

PROOF. For any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , denote by  $\mu_N$  the measure  $\mu_N := \sum_{i=1}^N m_i$ , and let  $(A_N, A_N^c)$  be a Sobczyk-Hammer sectional decomposition of  $\mu_N$  (See Theorem 4.3). Set now, for positive integers  $N, L$ , with  $N \leq L$ :  $B_{N,L} := \bigcup_{p=0}^{L-N} A_{N+p}$ ,  $B_N := \bigcup_{j=N}^{\infty} B_{N,j} = \bigcup_{j=N}^{\infty} A_j$ . We have

$$m_N|_{B_{N,L}} \leq \sum_{p=0}^{L-N} (\mu_{N+p})|_{A_{N+p}}$$

and hence  $m_N|_{B_{N,L}}$  is continuous. Letting  $L \rightarrow \infty$ , we deduce, by uniform  $s$ -boundedness, that the measure  $m_N|_{B_N}$  is continuous (see Theorem 3.8).

Clearly,  $\mu_N|_{B_N^c} \leq \mu_N|_{A_N^c}$  is atomic, for every  $N$ . Set now

$$H := \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} B_N.$$

We shall show that  $H$  is the requested set. Indeed, for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $m_N|_H \leq m_N|_{B_N}$ ; hence  $m_N|_H$  is continuous.

We finally prove that  $m_N|_{H^c}$  is atomic, for all  $N$ . To this aim, fix any integer  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\beta$  be any positive measure,  $\beta \leq m_N|_{H^c}$ : we deduce easily that  $\beta|_{B_{N+p}^c} \leq m_N|_{B_{N+p}^c} \leq (\mu_{N+p})|_{B_{N+p}^c}$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , and hence  $\beta|_{B_{N+p}^c}$  is null, by atomicity of  $(\mu_{N+p})|_{B_{N+p}^c}$ . But  $\beta|_{H^c} = (RO)\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \beta|_{B_{N+p}^c}$ ; hence  $\beta$  is null. This concludes the proof, by arbitrariness of  $N$ .  $\square$

We now prove a first convergence theorem for Sobczyk-Hammer-type decompositions.

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $(m_n)_n$  be a sequence of  $\sigma$ -additive positive  $R$ -valued measures, defined on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Suppose the  $m_n$  are equibounded and  $(RO)$ -convergent to a measure  $m$ . Then  $m$  is  $\sigma$ -additive, and the sequences  $(m_n^1)_n$  and  $(m_n^2)_n$  are  $(RO)$ -convergent to the measures  $m^1$  and  $m^2$ , where  $(m_n^1, m_n^2)$ ,  $(m^1, m^2)$  are the sectional Sobczyk-Hammer decompositions of  $m_n$  and  $m$  respectively,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

PROOF. By virtue of the Vitali-Hahn-Saks theorem [4], the measures  $m_n$  are uniformly  $s$ -bounded, and hence the limit measure  $m$  is  $\sigma$ -additive. By applying Proposition 4.4 to the sequence  $(m_n)_n$ , we get the existence of a set  $H \in \mathcal{A}$ , which yields a sectional decomposition of the measures  $m_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and of the limit measure  $m$ . The assertion follows immediately from  $(RO)$ -convergence.  $\square$

We now turn to the finitely additive case.

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $m : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R$  be any positive, finitely additive,  $s$ -bounded measure, defined on an algebra  $\mathcal{F}$ . There exists a decomposition  $m = m^1 + m^2$  of  $m$  into two positive, finitely additive measures, such that  $m^1$  is continuous and  $m^2$  is atomic.*

PROOF. We make use of the Stone isomorphism; namely we consider the Stone space  $S$  associated with  $\mathcal{F}$ , and the algebraic isomorphism  $\psi$  from  $\mathcal{F}$  to the algebra  $\Sigma$  of all clopen subsets of  $S$ . From Theorem 2.12, the measure  $m^0 := m \circ \psi^{-1}$  can be extended to a  $\sigma$ -additive measure  $\tilde{m}$  on  $\sigma(\Sigma)$ . Using Theorem 4.4, decompose  $\tilde{m}$  into the sum  $\tilde{m}^1 + \tilde{m}^2$ , in the sense of Sobczyk-Hammer,

where  $\tilde{m}^1$  is continuous and  $\tilde{m}^2$  is atomic. Now, restrict  $\tilde{m}^1$  and  $\tilde{m}^2$  to the algebra  $\Sigma$ , thus obtaining two measures, denoted by  $m_S^1$  and  $m_S^2$  respectively.

We shall see that  $m_S^1$  and  $m_S^2$  are continuous and atomic, respectively. From this, it will follow immediately that the measures,  $m^1 := m_S^1 \circ \psi$  and  $m^2 := m_S^2 \circ \psi$ , give the requested decomposition of  $m$ . Let us prove that  $m_S^1$  is continuous. By the continuity of  $\tilde{m}^1$ , there exists an  $(O)$ -sequence  $(a_j)_j$  such that, for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , a finite partition  $\{D_1, \dots, D_{h_j}\}$  in  $\sigma(\Sigma)$  can be found, satisfying  $\tilde{m}^1(D_k) \leq a_j$ ,  $\forall k = 1, \dots, h_j$ . Now, by virtue of Theorem 2.12, there exists an  $(O)$ -sequence  $(b_j)_j$  such that, for all  $D \in \sigma(\Sigma)$  and  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , it is possible to find  $E \in \Sigma$  such that  $\tilde{m}^1(E \Delta D) \leq b_j$ .

Moreover, thanks to Lemma 2.7, and denoting by  $U$  any majorant for all elements  $m_n(X)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a subsequence  $(b_{j_l})_l$  such that  $N \mapsto U \wedge \sum_{l=N}^{\infty} b_{j_l}$  is still an  $(O)$ -sequence. Let us call  $(\rho_N)_N$  such a sequence.

The  $(O)$ -sequence  $(a_N + \rho_N)_N$  can be used to prove continuity of  $m_S^1$ .

To this aim, fix any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . We can find a partition  $\{D_1, \dots, D_{h_N}\}$  in  $\sigma(\Sigma)$ , satisfying  $\tilde{m}^1(D_k) \leq a_N$  for all  $k$ . For each index  $k$ , from 1 to  $h_N$ , choose an element  $E_k \in \Sigma$  such that  $\tilde{m}^1(E_k \Delta D_k) \leq b_{j_{(N+k)}}$ . We have  $\tilde{m}^1(E_k) \leq a_N + \rho_N$ , for all  $k$ .

Let  $F_1 = E_1$ ,  $F_2 = E_2 \setminus E_1$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $F_{h_N} = E_{h_N} \setminus (E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_{h_N-1})$ . Finally, set  $F_{h_N+1} := X \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{h_N} F_k = X \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{h_N} E_k$ .

Of course, all the sets  $F_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , belong to  $\Sigma$ , and

$$m_S^1(F_k) = \tilde{m}^1(F_k) \leq b_N + \rho_N \quad \forall k = 1, \dots, h_N.$$

As to  $F_{h_N+1}$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} m_S^1(F_{h_N+1}) &= \tilde{m}^1(X) - \sum_{k=1}^{h_N} \tilde{m}^1(F_k) = \tilde{m}^1 \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{h_N} D_k \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{h_N} E_k \right) \\ &\leq \tilde{m}^1 \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{h_N} (D_k \Delta E_k) \right) \leq U \wedge \sum_{k=1}^{h_N} b_{j_{(N+k)}} \leq \rho_N. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the partition  $\{F_1, \dots, F_{h_N}, F_{h_N+1}\}$  satisfies the condition  $m_S^1(F_k) \leq \rho_N + a_N$  for all  $k = 1, \dots, h_N + 1$ , and thus  $m_S^1$  is continuous.

We now prove the atomicity of  $m_S^2$ . Let  $\nu : \Sigma \rightarrow R$  be a continuous positive finitely additive measure, such that  $0 \leq \nu(A) \leq m_S^2(A)$ ,  $\forall A \in \Sigma$ . Then  $\nu$  admits a Carathéodory-type extension  $\tilde{\nu}$  to the whole of  $\sigma(\Sigma)$ . The continuity of  $\tilde{\nu}$  follows immediately from the continuity of  $\nu$ . Thus we get that  $\tilde{\nu}$  is a continuous finitely additive measure, such that  $0 \leq \tilde{\nu}(A) \leq \tilde{m}^2(A)$ ,  $\forall A \in \sigma(\Sigma)$ . Thanks to the atomicity of  $\tilde{m}^2$ , we get  $\tilde{\nu} \equiv 0$  on  $\sigma(\Sigma)$ , and thus, *a fortiori*,  $\nu \equiv 0$  on  $\Sigma$ .  $\square$

Before stating our final convergence theorem, we introduce some definitions, in order to also consider measures taking values not necessarily positive.

**Definition 4.7.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be any algebra of subsets of a nonempty set  $X$ . Assume that  $m : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R$  is any finitely additive bounded measure. We put:

$$\begin{aligned} m^+(A) &= \sup\{m(B) : B \in \mathcal{F}, B \subset A\}, \\ m^-(A) &= -\inf\{m(B) : B \in \mathcal{F}, B \subset A\}, \\ v(m)(A) &= \sup\{|m(B)| : B \in \mathcal{F}, B \subset A\} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ . The set functions  $m^+$ ,  $m^-$ ,  $v(m)$  are called the *positive variation*, *negative variation* and *semivariation* of  $m$  respectively. It is easy to see that  $m^+$  and  $m^-$  are positive finitely additive measures,  $m^+ - m^- = m$ , and  $v(m) \leq m^+ + m^- \leq 2v(m)$ .

For any bounded finitely additive measure  $m : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R$ , we shall say that  $m$  is *continuous* (resp. *atomic*) if the measure  $m^+ + m^-$  is.

From these definitions, it turns out immediately that any  $s$ -bounded finitely additive measure  $m : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R$  admits a Sobczyk-Hammer decomposition. It suffices to decompose  $m^+$  and  $m^-$ , and then apply Proposition 4.2.

We now state our final theorem.

**Theorem 4.8.** *Let  $(m_n)_n$  be any sequence of  $s$ -bounded, equibounded, finitely additive measures, defined on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  and taking values in  $R$ , and assume that  $(RO)\lim_n m_n(A) = m(A)$  exists, for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then,  $m$  is  $s$ -bounded, and the sequences  $(m_n^1)_n$  and  $(m_n^2)_n$  are  $(RO)$ -convergent to the measures  $m^1$  and  $m^2$ , where  $(m_n^1, m_n^2)$ ,  $(m^1, m^2)$  are the continuous and the atomic parts which form the Sobczyk-Hammer decompositions of  $m_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m$ , respectively.*

**PROOF.** Again, we make use of the Stone isomorphism technique. Denote by  $\Sigma$  the algebra of clopen sets, which is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{A}$ , and denote by  $\psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Sigma$  such an isomorphism. Denote respectively by  $\widetilde{m}_n$ ,  $\widetilde{m}_n^+$ , and so on, the countably additive extensions of  $m_n$ ,  $m_n^+$  and so on, to the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\sigma(\Sigma)$ . (We observe that  $m_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $m$  are  $s$ -bounded, and hence their Stone extensions,  $\widetilde{m}_n$ ,  $\widetilde{m}$  do exist.)

Thanks to Theorem 2.13, the sequence  $(\widetilde{m}_n)_n$  is  $(RO)$ -convergent to  $\widetilde{m}$  in  $\sigma(\Sigma)$ . Now, apply Proposition 4.4 to the sequences  $(\widetilde{m}_n^+)_n$  and  $(\widetilde{m}_n^-)_n$ ; thus obtaining a set  $H \in \sigma(\Sigma)$  such that:

- (1)  $\widetilde{m}_n^+|_H$  is continuous,  $\widetilde{m}_n^+|_{H^c}$  is atomic (and the same for  $\widetilde{m}_n^-$ );
- (2)  $(RO)\lim_n \widetilde{m}_n|_H = \widetilde{m}|_H$ ,  $(RO)\lim_n \widetilde{m}_n|_{H^c} = \widetilde{m}|_{H^c}$ .

If we denote by  $m_{nS}^1, m_{nS}^2, m^1_S, m^2_S$  the restrictions to  $\Sigma$  of the measures  $\widetilde{m}_n|_H, \widetilde{m}_n|_{H^c}, \widetilde{m}|_H, \widetilde{m}|_{H^c}$ , respectively, then  $m_n^1 := m_{nS}^1 \circ \psi, m_n^2 := m_{nS}^2 \circ \psi$  are the Sobczyk-Hammer decompositions of the measures  $m_n$ , and  $m^1 := m^1_S \circ \psi, m^2 := m^2_S \circ \psi$  give the Sobczyk-Hammer decomposition of  $m$ . Clearly,  $(RO)$ -convergence of the measures  $\widetilde{m}_n|_H$  and  $\widetilde{m}_n|_{H^c}$  implies  $(RO)$ -convergence of  $m_n^1$  to  $m^1$  and of  $m_n^2$  to  $m^2$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] A. Boccuto, *Continuous invariant vector-valued means and applications*, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena, **43** (1995), 229–244.
- [2] A. Boccuto, *Egorov property and weak  $\sigma$ -distributivity in  $l$ -groups*, Acta Math. (Nitra), **6** (2003) 61–66.
- [3] A. Boccuto and D. Candeloro, *Uniform  $s$ -boundedness and convergence results for measures with values in complete  $l$ -groups*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **265** (2002), 170–194.
- [4] A. Boccuto and D. Candeloro, *Convergence and decompositions for  $l$ -group-valued set functions*, Commentationes Math. Prace Mat., **44** (2003), 11–37.
- [5] M. Duchoň and B. Riečan, *On the Kurzweil-Stieltjes integral in ordered spaces*, Tatra Mountains Math. Publ., **8** (1996), 133–141.
- [6] B. Riečan and T. Neubrunn, *Integral, Measure and Ordering* (1997), Kluwer Acad. Publ./Ister Science, Dordrecht/Bratislava.
- [7] A. Sobczyk and P. C. Hammer, *A decomposition of additive set functions*, Duke Math. J., **11** (1944), 839–846.

